Title: Descriptive analysis of COVID-19 aeromedical evacuations by Critical Care Air Transport Teams

Authors: Maj William T. Davis^{1,2,3}, Maj Patrick C. Ng^{1,2,3}, Julie E. Cutright¹, Shelia C. Savell¹, Allyson A. Arana¹, Brooks McCarvel⁴, Lt Col Joseph K. Maddry^{1,2,3}

¹United States Air Force En route Care Research Center/59th MDW/ST

²Department of Emergency Medicine, Brooke Army Military Medical Center

³Department of Military and Emergency Medicine, Uniformed Services University, Bethesda, MD

⁴ United States Air Force Academy, Colorado Springs, CO

Disclaimer: The opinions expressed on this document are solely those of the author and do not represent an endorsement by or the views of the United States Air Force, the Department of Defense, or the United States Government. This study was conducted under a protocol reviewed and approved by the USAF 59th Medical Wing IRB and in accordance with the approved protocol.

Abstract

Background

Preserving aeromedical evacuation capabilities for critically ill patients with COVID-19 required innovation for en route care logistics, training, and equipment. The aim of this study was to describe characteristics and in-flight interventions for patients with suspected COVID-19 requiring aeromedical evacuation by U.S. Air Force Critical Care Air Transport Teams (CCATT).

Methods

We performed a retrospective chart review of patients with suspected COVID-19 requiring aeromedical evacuation by CCATT from April 2020 to February 2021. We included patients with an available CCATT medical record and transport with COVID-19 infection isolation precautions. CCATT medical records were the data source, and we performed descriptive analyses of patient characteristics and in-flight interventions.

Results

We reviewed 460 records and identified 16 patients for inclusion. The Transport Isolation System (50%) and Negatively Pressurized Conex (31%) were commonly utilized portable biocontainment units. Median patient age was 48.5 years and 94% were male. All patients required oxygen supplementation, with eight (50%) receiving mechanical ventilation. In-flight interventions among intubated patients (n=8) included vasopressors (50%), paralytics (25%), and patient-ventilator asynchrony management (63%).

Conclusion

Patients with COVID-19 requiring CCATT transport were older than prior military en route care cohorts, and in-flight interventions for patient-ventilator asynchrony were commonly required during mechanical ventilation.

Introduction

The world is currently experiencing a pandemic caused by a novel coronavirus (CoV), SARS-CoV-2, which leads to the disease referred to as COVID-19. The clinical presentation ranges from no symptoms to fever, cough, dyspnea, expectoration, headache, myalgia, and fatigue; and in 5-20% of patients progresses to critical illness primarily characterized by acute respiratory distress syndrome.¹ The pandemic is having a significant impact on the Military Health System (MHS), affecting 72,671 active duty service members as of 10 December 2020, plus an additional 36,167 MHS beneficiaries.² Approximately 9% of these cases occurred outside of the continental United States (U.S.).² An article published in the December 2020 Medical Surveillance Report described 225 air evacuations of COVID-19 infected service members in the Central Command (n=186) and European Command (n= 39) Areas of Responsibility.³ The report had limited clinical data and did not describe critically ill patients.

United States Air Force Critical Care Air Transport Teams (CCATT) are three person teams composed of a physician, nurse, and respiratory therapist with the mission of transporting critically ill patients within and out of theaters of combat operations to higher levels of care.^{4,5} CCATT also assists in natural disasters, humanitarian efforts, and medical evacuations for U.S. military personnel across the globe. The COVID-19 pandemic challenged the en route care community to transport large volumes of patients with effective infection isolation precautions during flight. In response to this need, the Air Force developed the Negatively Pressurized Conex (NPC) and the NPC-Lite (NPCL) to provide airborne isolation precautions during flight (Figure 1).⁶ COVID-19 transports were augmented with a public health official and infectious disease specialist to assist with adherence to infection isolation precautions and protocols for enplaning, in-flight care, and deplaning.² These personnel work closely with aeromedical evacuation (AE) crews who manage non-critically ill patients requiring air transport. Portable biocontainment units were a requirement for regulated transports of patients with confirmed or suspected COVID-19 in order to reduce risk of in-flight disease transmission to aircrew, medical personnel, and other passengers. Civilian transport services made similar efforts to augment critical care and infection isolation capabilities for interfacility transports of critically ill patients with COVID-19.^{7,8}

The aim of this study was to describe characteristics and in-flight interventions for patients with COVID-19 requiring aeromedical evacuation by CCATT. Describing critically ill patients with COVID-19 and resources utilized for aeromedical evacuation will help inform planning and delivery of future en route care for COVID-19 and other highly contagious diseases. It is imperative that we record lessons learned from the current pandemic, to be better prepared to respond to future threats and preserve en route care capabilities while mitigating spread of infectious disease. The description of epidemiological parameters of COVID-19 is important in the provision of critical information to inform modelers, and policy makers.

Methods

We performed a retrospective analysis of patients requiring transport by United States Air Force CCATT with concern for COVID-19 between March 2020 and February 2021. The U.S. Air Force 59th Medical Wing Institutional Review Board approved this study.

We queried the En Route Critical Care Pilot Unit Quality Improvement database for CCATT transport missions for patients with COVID-19. Following receipt of potentially eligible patients, we searched the Theater Medical Data Store (TMDS) for CCATT medical records. For patients with CCATT medical

records, the Patient Movement Record (PMR) from TRANSCOM Regulating and Command & Control Evacuation System (TRAC2ES) and the CCATT medical record, Air Force Form 3899 (Appendix Figure 1), were reviewed. Inclusion criteria included 1) transport by CCATT, 2) available CCATT medical record, and 3) COVID diagnosis or suspicion necessitating infection isolation precautions for transport. We excluded patients with no available CCATT medical record.

Data Sources

Trained research nurses with experience in CCATT medical records abstracted patient demographics, clinical characteristics, and in-flight events into an Access database (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). Demographic data included age, sex, and service status. Clinical characteristics included documented past medical history related to increased risk for severe COVID-19 illness, the presence and method of COVID-19 diagnosis, and COVID-19 treatment pre-flight. Pre-flight and in-flight respiratory support characteristics were collected for each patient. The type of isolation system (if any) and in-flight pain and sedation doses were recorded.

All data recorded were based on documentation in the PMR or provider documentation in the 3899. Usual data quality measures, to include meetings between the PI and abstractors to develop abstraction guidelines, regular discussions to clarify unclear entries, and quality assurance checking by a second team member, were employed. The PI reviewed the free text narrative of each record to provide a narrative summary of any in-flight events or COVID-19 management decisions.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive analyses were performed for all data. Given the limited number of patients meeting enrollment criteria, we calculated frequencies with percentages and medians with ranges or interquartile ranges (IQR) for the majority of data elements.

Results

We reviewed 460 records from TMDS. The most common reasons for exclusion were lack of a 3899 CCATT medical record and cancelled transports (Figure 2). The initial search contained missions with CCATT augmentation for infection isolation precautions, but CCATT medical records were generated only for critically ill patients primarily assigned to CCATT. Thus, the high proportion of patients without a CCATT medical record was likely due to many patients requiring air transport with infection precautions but were not critically ill enough to require primary assignment to CCATT. We identified and analyzed 16 patients meeting inclusion criteria.

The median age was 48.5 years, and most patients (94%) were male (Table 1). Diabetes (19%) was the most common comorbidity, but the majority of patients (63%) had no documented pre-existing medical conditions associated with increased risk for severe COVID-19 illness. The majority of patients had positive COVID-19 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) testing but specific numbers are not currently approved for information release. Pre-flight fever (69%) was common.

Aircraft platforms included the C-17 (94%) and C-130J (6%). Median transport time was 7.3 hours (range: 0.7 to 17 hours). The Transport Isolation System (TIS) was the most commonly utilized portable biocontainment unit (50% of flights) followed by the Negatively Pressurized Conex (31%). All patients required oxygen supplementation in-flight, with 50% receiving mechanical ventilation (Table 2). All

patients (n=16) received DVT prophylaxis. Among intubated patients (n=8), in-flight interventions included vasopressor (63%) and paralytic (25%) administration. All intubated patients received continuous fentanyl and propofol infusions with 25% of intubated patients also receiving ketamine infusions. Three patients required additional IV pushes of analgosedation in flight (Table 3). Patient-ventilator asynchrony (PVA) was documented in 63% of patients requiring mechanical ventilation. Four of five patients with PVA were successfully managed with ketamine IV push. The fifth patient required increasing levels of sedation and initiation of chemical paralysis to enable tolerance of mechanical ventilation.

Notable findings from narrative case review included in-flight chest pain in one patient with a pre-flight pulmonary embolus diagnosis (Table 4). In-flight awake prone positioning was successfully implemented in one non-intubated patient with a high pre-flight oxygen requirement. One intubated patient had worsening respiratory status in flight requiring up-titration of mechanical ventilation to 100% FiO2 and initiation of chemical paralysis. One transport team successfully performed a flight line transfer of care from a stretcher to a litter at the back of the aircraft while maintaining infection isolation precautions for a critically ill patient with multiple tubes, lines, and drains.

Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic challenged the US military en route care system to enable air transport of US personnel across the globe while maintaining infection isolation precautions to limit COVD-19 transmission risk to aircrew and healthcare workers. This study highlights the retention of en route critical care capabilities with the transition of the care environment from an open bay to portable biocontainment units with enhanced personal protective equipment for infection isolation precautions. Among patients with concern for COVID-19 requiring critical care during transport, essential medical capabilities include mechanical ventilation with increased positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) and hemodynamic monitoring and medication pumps to enable simultaneous infusions of analgosedation.

A cohort of interfacility transports in civilian systems found that 40% of patients required mechanical ventilation, 32% required vasopressor support, and 80% required oxygen therapy. In this cohort, 13% received neuromuscular paralysis prior to transport and another 5% of patients had chemical paralysis initiated during transport.⁹ While our study had a very limited sample size, patients in the military en route critical care system required interventions with similar frequency to this civilian cohort. PVA was frequently documented during flight, and most teams successfully utilized ketamine boluses to resolve these episodes.

Self-proning in non-intubated patients with COVID-19 has been previously described to assist with oxygenation.^{10,11} Self-proning was feasible for the single patient where this was recorded in the current cohort. Given the long transport times and vibrations of military aircraft, transport teams should consider that patients will not be able to tolerate self-proning for the entirety of a transport.

As noted in table 4, one case required transferring a fully loaded critical care patient to a different litter on the flight line at the back of the aircraft. Prior case series have noted the importance of a CCATT capability to be able to safely transition and package patients in a variety of operational conditions, to include flight-line transfers.¹² CCATT teams performing COVID-19 transports receive additional training to transition usual en route critical care skills to the infection isolation environment, to include a portable biocontainment unit and additional personal protective equipment. The successful and safe transfer of this patient represents a successful validation of these training efforts. Retention of these skills as COVID-19 transports decline for CCATT will be a future challenge for skill sustainment training platforms.

Limitations

Limitations of our study include lack of data regarding COVID-19 transmission among medical crew and aircrew performing these evacuations, but military publications have suggested that transport using these precautions is safe.⁶ We lack clinical outcomes for these patients following transport but flight care records indicated that the patients were transferred to definitive care in stable condition. The small sample size as a result of this very specialized population of air transport patients does not allow for inferential statistics. CCATT were part of an augmentation package for COVID-19 transports but we were only able to include patients with a CCATT medical record in TMDS. This may result in missing some COVID-19 patients who received en route critical care from CCATT.

Conclusions


Patients with COVID-19 requiring CCATT transport were older than prior military en route care cohorts, and in-flight interventions for patient-ventilator asynchrony were commonly required for patients receiving mechanical ventilation.

Figure 1. Negatively Pressurized Conex (NPC). The top photo shows an NPC being loaded onto a C-17 Globemaster III from <u>https://www.af.mil/News/Article-Display/Article/2517264/negative-for-covid-19/.</u> The bottom photo shows the interior of an NPC from https://usdefensestory.com/new-kid-on-the-block-negatively-pressurized-conex-npc-arrives-at-ramstein/.





Figure 2. CONSORT Flow Diagram.



*CCATT medical records were only generated for critically ill patients primarily assigned to CCATT for inflight care.

	Analyzed patients (n=16)
Origin	
Middle East	14 (87.5)
Europe	1 (6.3)
Asia	1 (6.3)
Destination	
Middle East	1 (6.3)
Europe	14 (87.5)
United States	1 (6.3)
Age, years	48.5 [38.8 to 55]
Male	15 (93.8)
Days since symptom onset	8 [4 to 11]
Past medical history*	
Diabetes	3 (18.8)
Hypertension	2 (12.5)
Smoking	1 (6.3)
Precedence Category	
Urgent	4 (25)
Priority	11 (68.8)
Routine	1 (6.3)
Portable Biocontainment Unit	
TIS	8 (50.0)
NPC	5 (31.3)
NPCL	1 (6.3)
Unknown	2 (12.5)
Pre-flight medications	
Antiviral agent	5 (31.3)
Corticosteroid	10 (62.5)
Antibiotic	12 (75.0)

Table 1. Demographics and pre-flight COVID diagnosis and treatments

Data are presented as median [IQR], or frequency (percentage).

*No patients had documented pre-existing cardiac (other than hypertension), pulmonary, kidney, liver, or neurologic conditions.

	Non-intubated (n=8)
Pre-flight	
Supplemental O ₂ , L/min	4 [1-6]
O ₂ saturation, %	96 [93-97]
In-flight	50[55-57]
•	4 [1-8]
Supplemental O ₂ , L/min	
Minimum O_2 saturation, %	92 [85-93]
	Intubated (n=8)
Pre-flight	
O ₂ saturation, %	96 [93.5-96]
P/F ratio	159 [131-184]
Mechanical ventilation	
FiO ₂ , %	60 [55-70]
Respiratory Rate	14 [13-17]
Tidal Volume	450 [450-480]
PEEP	10 [5-10]
In-flight	
Minimum O_2 saturation, %	93.5 [92.3-94.0]
Minimum P/F ratio	146 [104-155]
Maximum required ventilation settings	110 [101 100]
FiO ₂ , %	60 [52.5-90]
Respiratory Rate	22 [16.8-24]
Tidal Volume	490 [450-540]
PEEP	10 [5.8-13.5]
	10 [0.0-10.0]

Table 2. Respiratory characteristics among intubated and non-intubated patients

All data are presented as median [IQR].

Table 3. In-flight analgosedation dosing for ventilated patients (n=8)

	Fentanyl	Propofol	Ketamine
IV gtt administered	8 (100)	8 (100)	2 (25)
IV gtt dose	100 mcg/hr {75-500}	43 mcg/kg/min {20-60}	18.5 mcg/kg/min {17-20}
IV push administered	1 (12.5)	3 (37.5)	2 (25)
IVP per transport	2	3 {1-3}	6 {5-7}
IVP dose	37.5 mcg {25-50}	20 mg {10-40}	100 mg {100-150}

All data are presented as frequency (percentage of ventilated patients) or median {range}.

Table 4. Narrative summary of select cases.


	Narrative case descriptions
1.	Non-intubated patient with concomitant pulmonary embolism developed chest pain during flight
	with associated ST depressions. Pain resolved spontaneously and EKG improved post-flight.
2.	Non-intubated patient with pre-flight oxygen saturation of 87% on 6 L/min was transitioned to
	awake prone positioning. He tolerated in-flight prone positioning for 3 hours and had an increased
	oxygen requirement to 8 L/min after transition to supine positioning.
3.	Intubated patient with high pre-flight sedation requirement required in-flight initiation of chemical
	paralysis and increase of ventilation support to 100% FiO2 and PEEP of 14 cm of water.
4.	Intubated patient with 5 medication drips required flight line transfer of care on flight line from
	wheeled stretcher to medical litter.
5.	Point-of-care ultrasound was utilized in-flight to guide fluid management after one patient
	developed hypoxia and tachycardia during flight.
6.	Patient ventilator asynchrony (PVA) was successfully managed with ketamine IVP in four patients.
	One patient required push dose phenylephrine for transient hypotension.
7.	Hyperglycemia was managed with insulin.

Appendix Figure 1. The CCATT medical record was the data source for descriptive analyses. Retrieved from https://static.e-publishing.af.mil/production/1/af_sg/form/af3899I/3899I.pdf.

							IENT RE	COR	D ENRO								_		
1. Name	VACY ACT OF 1974 SSN 3. Cite #						PERM 4. Age 5. Sex 6. WT 7. HT 8. A					RMANENT MEDICAL RECORD 3. Allergies 9. Precedence 10. Date/Time							
11. Originating facility				12 D.	tination Fa	-1114-1			12.40	/ Tail #	14 45	E/CCAT unit					6. Hrs En Route		
11. Originating is	emty			12. Des	unation ra	cuity			13. AC	/ 1 all #	14. AE	CCATUM			Total #	pts	to, fil's En Koute		
17. Diagnosis: D NBI BI																			
18. Preflight Procedures:																			
19.Alt. Restriction	n 20). Max Cabin Alt		Req/D	elivery	Ventilat	or V 🔲 731		ode AC 🔲 SIN	W TPC	FiO	2 TV	Rate	Peep	22. ETT size / location ; cm@				
			Type:	L	pm / %		V [] /JI			110					□ Nasal	-	Dral 🔲 Trach		
23. Pre-flight	Т	SpO ₂ 1	RR	HR	BP/M	AP	ICP/CPP	CVP	Bladder	p pH/	BE	PaO ₂ /P	CO ₂	Na/K	_Hgb_/	Hct	ICa / HC03		
Time	_				/	() /				/		/		/	/	_	/		
24. Post-flight Time	Т	SpO2 1	RR	HR	BP/M	AP	ICP/CPP C	CVP	Bladder	p pH/	BE	PaO ₂ /P	CO2	Na/K	Hgb / H	Ict	ICa / HCO3		
25. Pre-flight asse	ssment	/ Time:	26	26. Post-flight Assessment / Time:					27. IVF	Non	o 28 T	/	None 2	/ 9 Tuber	None 30. Drains/Dre		/		
Neurologic: E:	M:	V: GCS	_	Neurologic: E: M: V: GCS:						F None 28. Lines None 29. Tubes None 30. Drai NS 5%Alb Line #1 Foley Y Size: Type/loc						2			
Pupils R: L:	mm R mm R	eactive Y eactive Y	N Pu	upils R: L:		nn Rea	ctive □Y ctive □Y		T 1/2NS Rate:	meq ml/hr	К		c	Color/Chara	icter/Assess				
				2.	1	an ne				S 🗖 5%A	1h Ting	12		NGER		Type/	location		
									1/2NS	mec	ιK		1	Gravity	Suct	- 9 (94)	ACCREMENT.		
Sedated: 🔲 Y 🔲	N F	aralyzed 🔲 Y 👔	N Se	edated:	□Y □N	Pa	ralyzed 🔲 Y		Rate:	_ml/hr			ſ	Int Co	ont				
Cardiovascular R Regular 🗖 Iri		Tachy D M	Ca	ardiova	cular Rhy	thm	Tachy M			IS 🗖 5%A		¥3				Other			
Gallops 🗖 Ru					ar 📋 Irreg ps 🔲 Rubs			armurs	Rate:	meq ml/f			A	uct 🔄 t	cmH2O				
									Other:		A - Li	ne	C	T#2 🗖 R. 1	L	Other			
									Rate:	ml/hr	🗆 Y	ad Brac		uct 🗆 Y	cmH2O				
											⊡A.	il 🗖 Fem	Ped 🗌						
Respiratory W		R L B Bases Otherwise		espirato			R L L		31. Major Events/Location: Airway Loss Cardiac Arrest SpO2<90% ΔHR>20% ↑ O2 Requirement >10% FiO2 or ↑>2 lpm ΔMAP >20% Terms < 96 F										
Rales R L							hi 🗆 R 🗆 L		Tachy Brady Narrow Wide Loss Extremity Pulse:										
									🗖 Bleedi	Loss of Line: Loss of Tube/Drain: Type/Site: Bleeding: Site: Est Vol: ICP>20 CPP<60mm Hg									
										△Bladder Pressure: ETT Cuff Rupture Rapid Decompression Fire/Fumes AC Divert Tail Swap Pre-Flight Facility Post-Flight Facility									
Gastrointestinal	Onen	Abdoman	0			0	1.1		Ambus To Ambus From Enplaning Deplaning Ascent Descent Altitude Trauma/Critical Incident Activation Code # Other:										
🔲 Soft 🔲 Diste	nded [🛾 Tympanitic 🔲	Pain [🗌 Soft		led 🔲	Tympanitic		Trauma/Gritical Incident Activation Code # Utner.										
Mass Her	nia 🔲 I	Bowel Sounds Pro	esent [Mass	Hernia	a 🗆 Bo	owel Sounds	Present											
Musculoskeletal							ess 🔲 Pain		32. Procedures Enroute: Intubate Surgical Airway CPR Chest Tube Art Line										
X-Fix RUE I Normal Sensati							E CLLE	Pelvis	Rad Fem Central Line PIV Splint Modification Hemorrhage Control ABG										
	Left	1	Pu	ulses I arotid		eft v I													
Radial 🗾	÷		Ra	adial	-	-													
Fem Pedal	÷			em edal	-	-													
Integumentary							Cool D		33. Equipment Used Enroute/ Issues: Propag HeartStart MRx IV Pump ambIT Suction EZ-IO istat SMEED Ventric Parynch ICP Interface Ctrl Unit										
Diaphoretic Skin Breakdow					oretic 🔲 K Breakdown		req Position	Change	Codman Exprs VAC C-Collar VSB NATO Litter OSL Floor PSP										
										Stanchion SLS Head First Freet First Front Rear Rt Lt AC Power ECAS Freq PTLOX AC O2 Power Loss User Error Eq Failure Other									
36 Made Duine 17	uhe For	ada/Dece/Time	27	7 RY -	eceived/wa	etal to			34 Care	n Flinke			n - 5 423	Mach Variat	ilation 🗖 A d		ch Ventilation		
36. Meds/Drips/1 1.	ane 1.66	eus/Dose/1ime	37	/. KA-F	eceived/wa	ater tui	n-over		🔲 J-Tube	Feeding [ET Cut	ff Pressure	Check 🗔	Oral Care	Wright R	espire	ometer 🔲 Ventric		
2.															or 🔲 Chest T biotics 🔲 IV		danagement otic Analgesia		
									🔲 IV See	lation 🗔 N	IMB 🗖 (Complex V	Vound/Dr	essing 🛆 🛽		3% N	IaCl 🔲 Mannitol		
3.																			
4.				DTT	-1-07	an e *			-										
5.				s. RT Ra	nk/Name/Sk	m revel:													
б.				35. Medical Decision Making in Flight / Comments:															
7.	39. RN Rank/Name/AFSC:									vascular	n Makir	ıg in Fligh	r / Com	nents:					
8.			Signature:																
		40. MD Rank/Name/AFSC:								□ Neurologic									
9.	9								Abdominal Renal										
10.	10. Signature									sia/Sedatio	n								
AF 38991	2044	0407 1/0 0																	

AF 3899L, 20140107, V2.0

Submit



References

- Cummings MJ, Baldwin MR, Abrams D, et al. Epidemiology, clinical course, and outcomes of critically ill adults with COVID-19 in New York City: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10239):1763-1770.
- 2. Kleiman MP. U.S. Transportation Command's Patient Movement Requirements Center-East administered hundreds of compassionate care COVID-19 aeromedical evacuations across three continents. Published 2020. Accessed June 22, 2021. https://www.msc.usff.navy.mil/Press-Room/News-Stories/Article/2437013/us-transportation-commands-patient-movement-requirements-center-east-administer/
- Stanila V, Wells N, Ziadeh C, Stahlman S. Air evacuation of service members for COVID-19 in U.S. Central Command and U.S. European Command from 11 March 2020 through 30 September 2020. *Med Surveill Mon Rep.* 2020;27(12):14-17.
- 4. Crawley PG. The critical care air transport experience. *Curr Pulmonol Reports 2016 52*. 2016;5(2):77-85.
- 5. Johannigman JA. Critical care aeromedical teams (Ccatt): Then, now and what's next. *J Trauma Inj Infect Crit Care*. 2007;62(6 SUPPL.).
- 6. Aeromedical Evacuation During COVID-19: A Joint Urgent Operational Need Met. *Def Transp J*. Published online 2021. https://www.ndtahq.com/aeromedical-evacuation-during-covid-19/
- Allen R, Wanersdorfer K, Zebley J, Shapiro G, Coullahan T, Sarani B. Interhospital Transfer of Critically III Patients Because of Coronavirus Disease 19–Related Respiratory Failure. *Air Med J*. 2020;39(6):498-501.
- 8. Garfinkel E, Lopez S, Troncoso R, et al. A Critical Care Transport Program's Innovative Approach to Safety During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. *Air Med J.* 2021;40(2):112-114.
- 9. Troncoso RD, Garfinkel EM, Leon D, et al. Decision Making and Interventions During Interfacility Transport of High-Acuity Patients With Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection. *Air Med J*. 2021;0(0).
- 10. Elharrar X, Trigui Y, Dols AM, et al. Use of Prone Positioning in Nonintubated Patients with COVID-19 and Hypoxemic Acute Respiratory Failure. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc*. 2020;323(22):2336-2338.
- 11. Caputo ND, Strayer RJ, Levitan R. Early Self-Proning in Awake, Non-intubated Patients in the Emergency Department: A Single ED's Experience During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2020;27(5):375-378.
- 12. Maddry JK, Ball EM, Cox DB, Flarity KM, Bebarta VS. En route resuscitation Utilization of CCATT to transport and stabilize critically injured and unstable casualties. *Mil Med*. 2019;184(5-6):e172-e176.