

APRIL 17, 2020

Hasan Yasar

Technical Director, Adjunct Faculty Member

Overcoming DevSecOps Challenges

A PRACTICAL GUIDE FOR ALL STAKEHOLDERS



Copyright 2020 Carnegie Mellon University.

This material is based upon work funded and supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8702-15-D-0002 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.


The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this material are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Government position, policy, or decision, unless designated by other documentation.

NO WARRANTY. THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

[DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A] This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution. Please see Copyright notice for non-US Government use and distribution.

This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

DM20-0330



It is me!
I felt the speed of
DevSecOps



- 25+ years of software development experiences
- Certified Scrum Practitioner
- Certified Ethical Hacker
- Various roles throughout SDLC ; Manager, Architect, Tester, Developer, QA, IT Manager, Project Manager, VP...
- Started with waterfall in 1990
- Started with agile in 2003
- Started with DevOps in 2010
- Instructor on delivering DevOps course at CMU, SEI since 2015
- DevOps, DevSecOps community organizer, frequent Speaker
- PC members in various research conferences,
- Editorial board member, IJSS, AJSE
- Vice Chair of IEEE 2675 DevOps study group



Outline

- Overview of DevSecOps
- DevSecOps Challenges and Actions
- Sustaining DevSecOps Platform
- What is Next?



Overview of DevSecOps




DevOps is a set of principles and practices emphasizing collaboration and communication between software development teams and IT operations staff along with acquirers, suppliers, and other stakeholders in the lifecycle of a software system

DevSecOps is a model on integrating the software development and operational process considering security activities: requirements, design, coding, testing, delivery, deployment and incident response.

Mature DevOps practices are constantly testing, deploying and validating that software meets every requirement and allows for fast recovery in the event of a problem. As a result we can easily say,


“DevSecOps is DevOps done right”

- Feature to deployment
- Iterative and incremental development
- Automation in every phase of the SDLC
- Continuous feedback
- Metrics and measurement
- Complete engagement with all stakeholders
- Transparency and traceability across the lifecycle




Key benefits of DevSecOps

WATERFALL




DEVOPS



- Reduced security errors during deployment
- Reduced time to deploy and response incident on time
- Repeatable/automated steps
- Continuous availability of pipeline and application
- Increased time for learning new concepts
- Responsiveness to business needs
- Increased stability and quality



Think **Security** from Inception
to Deploy and improve on
every delivery





DevSecOps Challenges

DevSecOps Challenges

1. Lack of security assurance at the business and project levels
2. Organizational barriers related to collaboration, tooling, and culture
3. Impact to quality because security is not a priority while systems are getting more complex
4. Lack of security skills for developers, business stakeholders, and auditors
5. Insufficient security guidance due to lack of resources, standards, and data

CHALLENGE #1: Lack of Assurance

Industry lacks
assurance models

Business lacks assurance of
security

Project lacks assurance of
security

ACTION:

- Don't wait for an industry standard to emerge
- Join informal working groups with industry peers
- Attend conferences and network
- Share your experience with lesson learned
- Work with others to extend the body of knowledge and establish best practices



CHALLENGE #1: Lack of Assurance

Industry lacks assurance models

Business lacks assurance of security

Project lacks assurance of security



ACTION:

- Focus on fundamentals: What are the threats? What are the business drivers? Balance the two.
- Align with business needs (time to market, cost savings, resilience)
- Conduct external audits
- Understand the business context
- Identify, link, and rank business and technical risks
- Get security requirements in early
- Define the risk mitigation strategy
- Educate top management and get them on board
- Engage more senior technical people first to work with security teams
- Make security part of senior technical reviews; organically spread the word

CHALLENGE #1: Lack of Assurance



Industry lacks assurance models

Business lacks assurance of security

Project lacks assurance of security

ACTION:

- Map reporting data from tools to form a continuous view of value
- Run security tools on all code to measure code quality and standards
- Review code changes for security and document approval prior to release
- Use dedicated testing resources in the case of significant changes
- Track all changes and approvals for incident purposes
- Conduct code reviews
- Expose security team to your metrics and data

CHALLENGE #2: Organizational Barriers



Poor stakeholder collaboration




Integrating pipeline security



Making security a priority

ACTION:

- Document your current state; you are going to have some type of silos around Development, Infrastructure, and Security
- Start building collaboration between Security and Dev and Ops teams
- Be prepared: people generally don't want to change their culture/workflow
- Make sure everyone gets on the same page around the importance of security (from Execs to DevSecOps teams)
- Instill a continuous security mindset
- Focus on partnership not unhealthy conflict; destroy the blame culture
- Get stakeholders to agree on a shared a vision for a project
- Balance workload among teams involved
- Put security people into Dev teams



CHALLENGE #2: Organizational Barriers


Poor stakeholder collaboration

Integrating pipeline security


Making security a priority

ACTION:

- Integrate your process with TM, SAST, DAST, IAST
- install security requirements traceability
- Metrics can help
 - MTTR, MTTD, vulnerability escape rate, repeated incident root cause, time to deploy the app from Dev to Prod
- Look at different approaches
 - Abuse cases, architectural risk analysis, application penetration testing
- Design for security
 - Fail securely and fail safe defaults
 - Least privilege
 - Defense in depth
- Automate where possible
 - IaC, virtualization, containers, and load balancing
 - Configuration management
 - Continuous application and performance monitoring



Integrating pipeline security



CHALLENGE #2: Organizational Barriers


Poor stakeholder collaboration

Integrating pipeline security

Making security a
priority

ACTION:

- Use evangelists to drive culture change
- Explain why security is an important, shared responsibility, and its impact
- Embed security into ops escalation
- Invite security to post-mortems
- Create a plan in small parts; start with a pilot and be mindful of cross-team resource constraints
- Keep it simple; don't overwhelm the system. If there are too many things to do, the chances this plan will fail.
- Incrementally chase real risk and threats first
- Test whether your organization is ready for the culture change; no single technology/tool will get you DevSecOps



CHALLENGE #3: Lack of Quality


Security brought in too late

Lack of confidence in release

System complexity

ACTION:

- Start getting security and compliance requirements in early
- Tie compliance objectives into providing assurance back to the business
- Test compliance against security policies to identify gaps
- Define a risk mitigation strategy early



CHALLENGE #3: Lack of Quality

Security brought in too late

Lack of confidence in release

System complexity

ACTION:

- Instill risk based security testing
- Move the conversation from CABs and phase gates to compliance driven releases
- Automate reporting for compliance violations and stop the pipeline when threshold is exceeded or policy not met
- Move toward frequent, automated audits
- Audit yourself to demonstrate compliance with policies or regulations
- Establish security requirements traceability




CHALLENGE #3: Lack of Quality

Security brought in too late

Lack of confidence in release

System complexity



ACTION:

- Identify proxy metrics for complexity
 - Number of issues in production
 - Time to deploy application
- Drive security policies into production by integrating security tasks in early stages of DevSecOps pipeline

CHALLENGE #4: Lack of Security Skills

Business lacks security skills

Developers lack security skills

Auditors lack security skills

ACTION:

- Shift the conversation to risk and quality
- Service and protect the business interests to lower risk
 - Identify risk/security value
- Identify architectural risk and uncertainty; map these risks to compliance, resiliency, and feature delivery

RISK MATRIX

LIKELIHOOD	MEDIUM RISK	MEDIUM RISK	CRITICAL RISK
	LOW RISK	MEDIUM RISK	MEDIUM RISK
	LOW RISK	LOW RISK	MEDIUM RISK
	IMPACT		

HIGH IMPACT – HIGH RISK

HIGH IMPACT – MEDIUM RISK

LOW IMPACT – MEDIUM RISK

LOW IMPACT – LOW RISK

CHALLENGE #4: Lack of Security Skills

Business lacks security skills

Developers lack security skills

Auditors lack security skills



ACTION:


- Keep the end game in mind; build a collaborative security culture
- Implement compliance automation as a way to drive business thinking into the SDLC
- It's not a checkbox; Security training once a year has limited effectiveness
- Aim for attitude and behavior; simply providing better technical training alone won't change attitudes
- Motivate and unblock the path toward the goal (remove task ambiguity, set clear role targets, don't overload)
- Aim for long term retention; apply learning in context repeatedly
- Rotate experts on the team where possible

CHALLENGE #4: Lack of Security Skills

Business lacks security skills

Developers lack security skills

Auditors lack security skills



ACTION:

- Build working relationships and collaboration across silos
- Make security as part of informal discussions
- Provide cross functional training for both technical and compliance domains
- Integrate low disruption workflows
- Get familiar with some common standards and frameworks (OWASP Top 10, NIST 800-53, ISO 27001)

CHALLENGE #5: Insufficient Security Guidance


● **Lack of security resources**

● **Lack of security standards**

● **Lack of proactive monitoring**

ACTION:

- Aim for long term sustainability; when you come back a couple of years after deployment is the change still there?
- Start by introducing a policy and assess your gaps; grow from there
- Map policies to domain specific procedures (development, testing)
- The goal is to spread security responsibility across multiple people



CHALLENGE #5: Insufficient Security Guidance


Lack of security resources

Lack of security standards

Lack of proactive monitoring

ACTION:

- Don't go big bang
- Start with a well known framework like OWASP Top 10; create a few policies around that
- Aim low hanging fruit (CI or testing, for example); measure security against your initial policies
- Grow from there by looking upstream and downstream for the next easiest implementation
- Bake policies into the workflow to avoid regression



CHALLENGE #5: Insufficient Security Guidance

Lack of security resources

Lack of security standards

Lack of proactive monitoring



ACTION:

- Start with Ops log monitoring before attempting expensive tools
- Create feedback loop from Ops back to Development
- Update security documentation including trust boundaries, new threats, and component verification

Sustaining your DevSecOps environment



Effective Usage

- Train Users and build DevOps skills
- All stakeholders access
- Playbook/Developers guidance
- Project startup guidance
- Project Architectural Guidance
 - Common Services,
 - Common Security approach
 - Architectural patterns
 - Test methods
- DevOps environment usage policy
 - Build and Deployment Strategies

Maintaining (cost/update)

- Updating the environment (new version or security patches)
- Supporting new tools
- Adding/setting up new projects
- Operational Support
 - Base Image, OSS Support, Test harness, Temp Environment Creation
- Pipeline orchestration
- Securing pipeline
- Usage meter/billing support
- Auditability/log and data collection

What Is Next?

- 
- ❖ Assess your gaps
 - ❖ Identify quick wins
 - ❖ Empower champions
 - ❖ Measure results
 - ❖ Evaluate and repeat
- 

For more information...

DevOps: <https://www.sei.cmu.edu/go/devops>

DevOps Blog: <https://insights.sei.cmu.edu/devops>

Webinar : <https://www.sei.cmu.edu/publications/webinars/index.cfm>

Podcast : <https://www.sei.cmu.edu/publications/podcasts/index.cfm>



Thank You

Hasan Yasar

Technical Director, Adjunct Faculty Member

Continuous Deployment of Capability

hyasar@sei.cmu.edu

[@securelifecycle](#)

Questions :

please Join Slack Channel

[#2020-devsecops](#)

