Software Engineering Institute
CURRENT RANSOMWARE THREATS

Marisa Midler Kyle O'Meara, and Alexandra Parisi May 2020

### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge the contributions of National Cyber-Forensics and Training Alliance (NCFTA) for providing valuable insight to the current ransomware landscape and top 10 trending ransomware families.

### **Executive Summary**

Ransomware continues to be a grave security threat to both organizations and individual users. The increased sophistication in ransomware design provides enhanced accessibility and distribution capabilities that enable attackers of all types to employ this malicious tool. This report discusses ransomware, including an explanation of its design, distribution, execution, and business model. Additionally, the report provides a detailed discussion of encryption methods and runtime activities, as well as indicators that are useful in their detection and mitigation.

Ransomware has evolved into a sophisticated tool that is usable by even non-technical persons and has multiple variants offered as Ransomware as a Service (RaaS). RaaS decreases the risk for ransomware authors, since they do not perform attacks, and reduces the affiliates' cost to mount attacks. Additionally, as of 2019, some ransomware families have started threatening public disclosure of a victim's sensitive data if they do not pay a ransom and are following through with the threat.

Ransomware uses strong encryption, making decryption without a key or implementation flaws practically impossible. The success of initial ransomware infections is primarily attributed to the following:

- failures in email filtering
- users who are unaware and susceptible to opening malicious email attachments
- unpatched systems and applications that are vulnerable to exploits
- operating systems that lack proactive heuristics-based monitoring

This report recommends both proactive and reactive approaches that help avoid having to pay a ransom and minimize the loss of data. The best way to mitigate against ransomware is to sustain frequent offline backups of all data, which minimizes data loss and increases the likelihood of not

## Software Engineering Institute

paying ransomware operators. Additionally, to mitigate the data breach threat from data exfiltration, organizations should employ data encryption on data at rest.

Ransomware attacks can take down critical systems, and currently these variants are targeting government agencies and the healthcare, education, and transportation industries. Ransomware will continue to be a problem for the unforeseeable future and, with the advent of RaaS, the threat landscape is likely to expand. Detection and mitigation of ransomware is possible by making frequent offline backups, conducting ongoing user awareness training, and applying system and network security enhancements.

Software Engineering Institute

# **Table of Contents**

Acknowledgments								
Exec	utive	Summa	ary	i				
1	Introduction							
	1.1	Definit	tion	6				
	1.2	Recen	nt History	7				
	1.3	Busine	ess Model	7				
2	Curre	ent Stat	te	10				
	2.1	Knowr	n Ransomware Families	10				
		2.1.1	FuxSocy Encryptor	10				
		2.1.2	GlobeImposter/GlobeImposter 2.0	10				
		2.1.3	LockerGoga	11				
		2.1.4	SamSam	11				
		2.1.5	MedusaLocker	12				
		2.1.6	Ryuk	12				
		2.1.7	Nemty	13				
		2.1.8	MegaCortex	13				
		2.1.9	Maze	14				
		2.1.10	Sodinokibi	15				
	2.2	Notab	le Ransomware Behaviors	15				
		2.2.1	Publishing Exfiltrated Data	15				
		2.2.2	Ransomware as a Service	15				
	2.3	Ranso	omware Groups Profitability and Targets	17				
3	Tech	nical O	Overview	19				
	3.1	Ranso	omware: Attack Approaches and Techniques	19				
		3.1.1	Ransomware Attack Overview	19				
		3.1.2	Common Ransomware Infection Vectors	19				
		3.1.3	Operating System (OS) Modifications	23				
		3.1.4	Finding the Target	25				
	3.2	Encry	ption	27				
		3.2.1	Algorithms	27				
		3.2.2	Data Integrity	31				
	3.3	Payme	ent	31				
		3.3.1	Informing the User	31				
		3.3.2	Currency	32				
		3.3.3	Customer Service	32				
		3.3.4	Payment Deadline Expiration	32				
	3.4	Decry	ption	32				
		3.4.1	What the Actors Provide	32				
		3.4.2	Trustworthiness of Decryption	33				
		3.4.3	Reliability Concerns	33				
	3.5	Data E	Exfiltration	34				
4	Stop	ping Ra	ansomware	36				

# Software Engineering Institute

	4.1	Monitoring 3						
		4.1.1 System Level	36					
		4.1.2 Network Level	37					
	4.2	Policies and Procedures	38					
		4.2.1 Mitigation	38					
		4.2.2 Response	38					
	4.3	System Configuration	39					
	4.4	Network Configuration	42					
5	Conc	lusion	43					
6	Appe	ndix	44					
	6.1	FuxSocy	44					
	6.2	Globelmposter/Globelmposter 2.0	45					
	6.3	LockerGoga	50					
	6.4	SamSam	55					
	6.5	MedusaLocker	57					
	6.6	Ryuk	58					
	6.7	Nemty	65					
	6.8	MegaCortex	68					
	6.9	Maze	72					
	6.10	Sodinokibi	75					
Refer	ences	/Bibliography	78					

# List of Figures

17
20
Now Pushed by 21
mware Now 22
the Least 27
28
29
30
33
34
34

Software Engineering Institute

# List of Tables

Table 1: Backblaze Computer Backup Frequency Survey Data 2008-2019	8
Table 2: Overview for Ransomware Families	25
Table 3: Ransomware Prevention Methods	40

# 1 Introduction

Ransomware is one of the most profitable cybercrime schemes in use today. Simply stated, ransomware locks access to a victim's data and holds it hostage in return for money. Ransomware attacks occur virtually every day, affecting victims ranging from large organizations to individual computer users. The majority of victims end up paying the ransom in order to recuperate their data. Underlying the success in ransomware schemes are several important security deficiencies:

- Email filtering fails to identify and block incoming malicious emails (e.g., phishing and malicious spam emails).
- Users lack security awareness in detecting, avoiding, and reporting potentially suspicious emails, leading to the opening and execution of malicious email attachments and allowing malware into the system.
- Current host-based malware detection software is inadequate to keep end users from being victimized and permits the success of ransomware despite the presence of various security measures.

The ransomware threat continues to increase, driven by security deficiencies and quick profitability. Many industries are seeking to better understand the attack landscape to mitigate the threat and prepare to respond if necessary. This report addresses these issues by providing up-to-date information on what ransomware is, how it functions, and what users can do to avoid being a victim of it.

#### 1.1 Definition

The defining characteristics of ransomware are the data encryption and extortion components. Since encrypted data can't be recovered without involving the attacker, it facilitates ransomware to demand, and, in most cases, receive various sums of money. All other characteristics of ransomware are present in other types of malicious code and can be generalized as belonging to the class of malware. As it is typically used, the encryption deployed by ransomware is intended to make the ransom payment the most economical way for victims to recover their data. Unless organizations have prepared a means to recover their data, or the encrypted files have no value, they end up paying the demanded amount of money.

It can be argued that requiring money in the form of a ransom is what defines this class of malware. However, there are other types of malicious code, such as scareware (e.g., FakeAV), that also attempt to lure the user into paying money to receive something in return, such as a clean computer or the removal of annoying pop-up windows. Ransomware is formally categorized as part of the cryptovirology field, which focuses on ways in which cryptography can be used as a component of malware. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) provides an accurate definition based on these characteristics of ransomware:

Ransomware is a type of malicious software, or malware, designed to deny access to a computer system or data until a ransom is paid. (Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) 2020)

Software Engineering Institute

### **1.2 Recent History**

In recent years, ransomware has evolved and expanded due to advancements in related technologies and the lure of potential profits. The attack landscape has shifted from targeting individual users to targeting healthcare, government agencies, universities, and corporations. Ransomware encryption mechanisms have advanced from weak, custom implementations to recognized industry standard encryption algorithms. Communication with command-and-control (C2) servers became a common feature with some ransomware using encrypted and anon-ymous communication channels. Payment methods have also changed, shifting from wire transfers and prepaid cards to the use of cryptocurrency (e.g., Bitcoin).

As ransomware evolves, it also adapts to the types of data it needs to encrypt. Early ransomware samples primarily encrypted user documents and pictures, while newer ransomware variants focus on network storage, databases, and websites in addition to user files. As users increasingly attempted to recover encrypted data from backups, ransomware adapted to locating and encrypting network-accessible backup storage. In 2019, ransomware started exfiltrating company data, with the intent of publishing it if the affected company does not pay the ransom. In addition to the new data exfiltration threat, some ransomware is now charging two fees: (1) to decrypt the encrypted data and (2) to prevent the publishing of exfiltrated data. These adaptations demonstrate the remarkable ability of ransomware authors to rapidly adjust to the changing landscape.

#### 1.3 Business Model

Ransomware is a highly lucrative criminal scheme motivated by profit and proven to be an effective producer of revenue for cyber criminals. Anyone can be victimized by ransomware; the same ransomware variant can attack businesses and individuals. It is target agnostic and has caused significant impact to several sectors of society.

The existence of cryptocurrency is crucial to the success of ransomware. Bitcoin and other cryptocurrency transactions are largely unregulated by legal authorities; this allows cyber criminals to move ransom payments out of otherwise well-regulated financial environments and into jurisdictions less hostile to the criminals' activities.

Additionally, ransomware is primarily a service-based business. As with all profitable service-based business models, sustainment is achieved by delivering the goods once payment is made. In the case of ransomware, the service provided is the delivery of decryption keys, decryption software, and customer support.

The most successful ransomware schemes excel at providing this service. Ransomware tends to be well organized, providing each victim with unique identifiers, which are then used to deliver the correct decryption keys. The decryption software usually works as expected and all encrypted files tend to be fully restored to their original form. The software is also designed to be easy to use, even by a non-technical person, and is often available in multiple languages.

The creators of the ransomware business model have made the following fundamental assumptions that generally hold true and have facilitated its success:

• Most users do not routinely back up their data. The financial success of ransomware clearly illustrates that most victims do not adequately back up their data. If they did, payments would potentially be less frequent. In 2019, Backblaze conducted a survey of 1,858 participants, each owning at least one computer. The survey showed that only 9% of users back up their data daily, 20% never back up their data, and 38% only back up data when they remember to do so. (Bauer 2019)

### Software Engineering Institute

Backblaze has conducted annual surveys of backup frequencies since 2008. The results from 2008-2019 are shown in Table 1.

Over the twelve-year period, only a small fraction of users backed up data weekly; 19-26% of users performed regular yearly backups; and in the largest category, 20-35% reported that they never backed up their data at all. However, the trend toward more frequent backups continues to slowly improve over time.

Frequency	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Daily	6%	6%	8%	6%	10%	10%	9%	8%	8%	9%	6%	9%
Weekly	7%	8%	8%	8%	10%	9%	9%	9%	9%	12%	11%	10%
Monthly	14%	12%	14%	13%	16%	17%	16%	19%	17%	16%	17%	20%
Yearly	20%	20%	21%	20%	19%	22%	22%	23%	25%	26%	26%	25%
Year plus	19%	15%	15%	16%	14%	13%	16%	16%	16%	15%	17%	16%
Never	35%	35%	33%	34%	29%	29%	29%	25%	25%	21%	24%	20%

Table 1: Backblaze Computer Backup Frequency Survey Data 2008-2019

- Encryption is mathematically designed to be very difficult to break. It is well known that the mathematical foundation of encryption relies on the use of large numeric sequences. The purpose of these sequences is to require an unreasonable amount of time to test all possibilities to find a match.
- Users are susceptible to phishing. The Verizon 2019 Data Breach Investigations Report found in a study that email attachments were the most common point of entry in cyber incidents. The report noted that when malware installation was detected, over 90% of the malware was distributed by email and that ransomware also uses this infection vector. (Verizon 2019) Over the past few years, user click rates on phishing emails have decreased to 3%. However, research conducted by the Avant Research Group, LLC. indicates that users are more susceptible to phishing attacks through mobile devices due to how users interact with mobile hardware and software. Mobile interfaces provide limited methods to verify emails due to small screen sizes which scale down the information portrayed to the user. Finally, the Avant research study also investigated the multitasking aspect of mobile users' behavior and found it to interfere with users' ability to pay attention to details.
- Organizations have additional priorities. Ransomware authors realize that unlike regular users, businesses are more sensitive to losing access to their data, even for a short period of time. While organizations are more likely to backup data frequently, they also rely on their services to be available at all times. Even if ransomware only encrypts a portion of the data in the datacenter and restoring from a backup only takes a day, it still requires the business to go offline temporarily. This weakness often causes the business to pay the ransom to be operational faster and with minimal loss of data. Recently, Jackson County,

### Software Engineering Institute

GA agencies paid a \$400,000 ransom for the decryption key after the Ryuk hackers impacted law enforcement, emergency dispatchers, and the county jails. (Novinson, The 10 Biggest Ransomware Attacks of 2019; 9. Jackson County, Ga. 2019) In a similar incident, government leaders in Lake City, FL paid the Ryuk ransomware hackers \$460,000 in Bitcoin to decrypt the city's data. (Novinson, The 10 Biggest Ransomware Attacks of 2019; 8. Lake City, Fla. 2019) Often, the amount of the ransom is perceived as the lowest cost response option, especially compared to the potential loss of future profits and liability concerns.

• End-user machines connect to networks. In corporate environments, the end-user laptop or desktop is typically connected to a host of network servers housing large amounts of organizational data belonging to multiple people. Once the user is logged on, the connection to these servers is typically automatic and may not require further authentication for remote read and write privileges. It is therefore easy for ransomware to discover, access, and encrypt network server data once it runs on the user's local machine. This weakness can allow for large amounts of data to be encrypted, increasing the likelihood of receiving payment.

The above fundamental assumptions of the ransomware business model exclude assumptions about computers in general, such as software not being regularly updated and the ability to subvert most security products. These more general assumptions aid in the success of all malware and are not unique to ransomware.

## 2 Current State

#### 2.1 Known Ransomware Families

Sections 2.1.1 through 2.1.10 describe the top ten trending ransomware variants from January 2018 to present as identified by the National Cyber-Forensics and Training Alliance (NCFTA).

#### 2.1.1 FuxSocy Encryptor

**Overview:** Discovered by MalwareHunterTeam in October 2019, FuxSocy is similar to the now non-operational Cerber ransomware. (New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell 2019) Once FuxSocy is on a system, it creates several registry entries to gain administrative privileges. (Carballo 2019) During encryption, FuxSocy encrypts files whose path contains particular strings. (New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell 2019) Once the system is encrypted, it changes the desktop background notifying the victim of the infection and provides instructions to contact them on the ToxChat messaging app. (Woods 2019) What is unique about FuxSocy is that it does not encrypt entire files. It only partially encrypts the files, corrupting them through a combination of RSA and AES-256. (New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell 2019)

**Infection Vector:** FuxSocy's preferred method of infection is phishing emails, that drop a malicious payload primarily via the AppData, Local, and LocalLow directories. In addition to phishing emails, ransomware may be delivered with the help of macro-laced documents and untrustworthy downloads to load malicious scripts and/or trojans or worms and plant ransomware on the device directly. (New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell 2019)

Encryption used: Combination of RSA and AES-256 encryption

Decryptor available: No public decryptor available

Industries targeted: Information unavailable

**Countries targeted:** Information unavailable

See Appendix 6.1 for more indicators of compromise (IOC).

#### 2.1.2 Globelmposter/Globelmposter 2.0

**Overview:** Also known as "Fake Globe" because of the way the ransomware mimics the Globe ransomware family, GlobeImposter is commonly distributed by malware spam email campaigns. (New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell 2019) Most commonly, the adversary sends malicious spam to the victim with a Zip archive containing malware written in JavaScript. Most of the time, GlobeImposter remains almost fully unrecognizable and runs silently during encryption. The ransom note contains a personal infection ID that is required for ransom payment; the note directs the victim to use Tor to contact one of the associated email addresses (see Appendix 6.2) for payment and decryption instructions. (Manuel and Salvio 2019) (Malwarebytes Labs 2017)

### Software Engineering Institute

**Infection Vector/Methodology:** GlobeImposter infects Windows machines via a malware spam campaign, using exploits, malicious advertising, false updates, and repacked infected installers.

**Encryption used:** Combination of RSA-4096 and AES-256 (Malwarebytes Labs 2017) (Neumann and Natvig 2019)

**Decryptor available:** A decryptor is available for some variants on the No More Ransom website and through the NCFTA (The No More Ransom Project 2019)

Industries targeted: Information unavailable

Countries targeted: United States and Europe (NCFTA 2020) (Manuel and Salvio 2019)

See Appendix 6.2 for more IOC.

#### 2.1.3 LockerGoga

**Overview:** Discovered in January 2019, LockerGoga is believed to be the product of the FIN6 group. There are three stages to LockerGoga's execution which it switches between based on the given parameters. While executing, LockerGoga changes account passwords, drops ransom notes, and disables interfaces. It uses a parent/child model that accelerates the encryption of a victim's files. The parent process finds files to target and then writes the file paths in the shared memory to communicate to the child processes a list of files to encrypt. (Manuel and Salvio 2019) (Lopez 2019) This ransomware uses administrative rights and may be part of a multipronged attack. (Manuel and Salvio 2019) (NCFTA 2020) LockerGoga has common trends also found in attacks with WannaCry, NotPetya, and SamSam.

**Infection Vector:** LockerGoga utilizes phishing emails that contain malicious attachments with embedded macros. It also utilizes the Server Message Block (SMB) protocol and Active Directory services through scheduled tasks to spread payload across victim networks as well as modifies all user account passwords. (Manuel and Salvio 2019) (NCFTA 2020) (Neumann and Natvig 2019)

Encryption used: Combination of RSA-4096 and AES-256 (Neumann and Natvig 2019)

Decryptor available: No public decryptor available

Industries targeted: Industrial and Engineering (NCFTA 2020)

Countries targeted: United States and France (Manuel and Salvio 2019) (NCFTA 2020)

See Appendix 6.3 for more IOC.

#### 2.1.4 SamSam

**Overview:** Discovered in December 2015, SamSam was created for targeted attacks. It does not spread automatically and requires human involvement to run. (Malwarebytes Labs 2018) SamSam can only be launched by the author, or someone who knows the author's password. In November 2018, the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Access Control and U.S. Department of Justice indicted two Iran-based individuals on the charges of exchanging Bitcoin ransom payments from SamSam ransomware and depositing those payments into Iran-based banks. (Coveware 2019)

### Software Engineering Institute

**Infection Vector:** SamSam utilizes vulnerabilities to infect specific organizations and institutions. It does this by execution of brute force of weak passwords, attacks on vulnerable JBoss host servers, Remote Desktop Protocol (RDP) systems, Java-based web servers, and File Transfer Protocol (FTP) servers. (Infradata 2019)

Encryption: RSA-2048 (Boyd 2019)

Decryptor: No public decryptor available (Coveware 2019)

Industries Targeted: Government, transportation, healthcare, and education (Infradata 2019)

**Countries Targeted:** United States and Netherlands (Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA) 2018)

See Appendix 6.4 for more IOC.

#### 2.1.5 MedusaLocker

**Overview:** MedusaLocker was discovered in September 2019 by MalwareHunterTeam. The delivery method is unconfirmed but malicious payloads have been distributed to victims via phishing and spam emails with an attached link that leads to a malicious website. (MalwareHunterTeam 2019) (Walter, How MedusaLocker Ransomware Aggressively Targets Remote Hosts 2019) It then restarts the LanmanWorkstation service, responsible for creating and holding client-network connections to remote servers over SMB protocol. When this is halted or restarted, MedusaLocker forces configuration changes made into effect. It then targets executables and kills generic products used to conduct analysis and reverse engineering.

**Infection Vector**: A malicious payload is distributed to the victim through phishing and spam email with an attached link to a malicious website.

Encryption used: Combination of RSA-2048 and AES-256

Decryptor available: No public decryptor available

Industries targeted: Information unavailable

Countries targeted: Information unavailable

See Appendix 6.5 for more IOC.

#### 2.1.6 Ryuk

**Overview:** Discovered in 2018, Ryuk is considered a modified version of the Hermes ransomware commonly attributed to the North Korean Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) Lazarus Group. Ryuk gains access through different social engineering techniques or an unsecure website. Once on the network, it utilizes TrickBot and Emotet to gain direct access via RDP. TrickBot and Emotet spread using PsExec and/or Group Policy to drop Ryuk, steal sensitive information before the encryption process, and leave the victim network more susceptible to further Ryuk attacks. (Malwarebytes Labs 2019) (Oza 2020) This ransomware targets large organizations and government entities that the actors know will pay substantial amounts of money to decrypt their data.

**Infection Vector:** Ryuk gains access to a network via a phishing email, unsecure website, or a user clicking on a random popup. The use of TrickBot and Emotet allow the adversary direct access into the victim's network via RDP.

#### Software Engineering Institute

**Encryption:** Combination of RSA-2048 and AES-256 (Walter, How MedusaLocker Ransomware Aggressively Targets Remote Hosts 2019)

**Decryptor:** No public decryptor available

Industries Targeted: Retail, health, transportation, and government agencies (Oza 2020)

Countries Targeted: Information unavailable

See Appendix 6.6 for more IOC.

#### 2.1.7 Nemty

**Overview:** Nemty is a ransomware as a service (RaaS) discovered in August 2019. It utilizes RDP to leverage total control. It is distributed by Trik botnet and RIG exploit kit. (Ilascu, Nemty Ransomware Gets Distribution from RIG Exploit Kit 2019) Once on a system, the file extension ".nemty" is added to encrypted files and leads to instructions for data recovery. (Ilascu, Nemty Ransomware Gets Distribution from RIG Exploit Kit 2019) (GoldSparrow, Nemty Ransomware 2020) The actors behind Nemty are believed to be associated with Gand-Crab and Sodinokibi ransomware families. (Ilascu, Nemty Ransomware Gets Distribution from RIG Exploit Kit 2019)

**Infection Vector:** Nemty is spread through compromised RDP connections that allow attackers to obtain total control over the process. Recent updates of Nemty have found the ransomware being delivered through a fake PayPal website, and phishing emails (GoldSparrow, Nemty Ransomware 2020) (Ilascu, Nemty Ransomware Now Spreads via Trik Botnet 2019) (Paganini 2020)

**Encryption Used:** Combination of RSA-2048, RSA-8192, AES-128, and AES-256 (GoldSparrow, Nemty Ransomware 2020)

Decryptor Available: Yes, there is a known decryptor for some variants (The No More Ransom Project 2019)

Industries Targeted: Information unavailable

Countries Targeted: China, South Korea, and United States

See Appendix 6.7 for more IOC.

#### 2.1.8 MegaCortex

**Overview:** Discovered in May 2019, MegaCortex is a targeted ransomware which is installed via network access through trojans, stolen credentials, and/or social engineering. (Abrams, FBI Issues Alert For LockerGoga and MegaCortex Ransomware 2019) The updated variant was discovered by MalwareHunterTeam in late 2019. (Abrams, New Megacortex Ransomware Changes Windows Passwords, Threatens to Publish Data 2019) When a network is compromised, the adversary downloads Cobalt Strike which allows them to deploy beacons, open a Meterpreter reverse shell, perform privilege escalation, or create a new session to develop a listener on the system. (Abrams, FBI Issues Alert For LockerGoga and MegaCortex Ransomware 2019) (Kim 2019) It then proceeds to encrypt victims' files, change their Windows passwords, and threaten to publicize all stolen data if the ransom is not paid. (Barth 2019)

### Software Engineering Institute

**Infection Vector:** In the original version of MegaCortex, it is installed via network access through an Emotet trojan and pushes out onto machines by exploit kits or Active Directory controller. In the updated version, the installation process includes exploits, phishing attacks, Structured Query Language (SQL) injections, and/or stolen login credentials.

Encryption Used: AES-128 (Trend Micro 2019)

Decryptor Available: No public decryptor available

**Industries Targeted:** Large enterprise networks (Abrams, FBI Issues Alert For LockerGoga and MegaCortex Ransomware 2019)

**Countries Targeted:** United States, Italy, United Kingdom, Norway, Canada, the Netherlands, Ireland, and France (Abrams, FBI Issues Alert For LockerGoga and MegaCortex Ransomware 2019)

See Appendix 6.8 for more IOC.

#### 2.1.9 Maze

**Overview:** Maze Ransomware, also called ChaCha ransomware after one of its encryption methods, has become remarkably known for its public extortion campaigns. First discovered in May 2019, attacks began to gain aggression in October 2019 with a three-step approach combination of encryption, exfiltration, and extortion as a part of a multipronged cyberattack. (Abrams, Maze Ransomware Says Computer Type Determines Ransom Amount 2019) Maze's known method of infection of a victim is to pose as a legitimate government agency or security vendor through phishing emails and stolen branding or lookalike domains. (Walter, Maze Ransomware Update: Extorting and Exposing Victims 2020) Once on a system, the ransomware scans all folders, assigns the files found randomly generated extensions, and encrypts all files only excluding itself and those with .ini extensions. Shadow copies of files on the machines are deleted, the wallpaper is changed, and the ransomware then proceeds to create a ransom note named "DECRYPT-FILES.html" that includes the author email with further instructions on ransom payment for decryption. (Abrams, Maze Ransomware Says Computer Type Determines Ransom Amount 2019) (Malware Guide 2020). Maze has a reputation of publicly disclosing victim data through "name and shame" websites if the ransom is not paid in the timely manner.

**Infection Vector:** Maze utilizes the Spelevo and Fallout exploit kits, targeting CVE-2018-15982, CVE-2018-8174 and/or CVE-2018-4878, to trigger victims to execute PowerShell scripts and/or to download and deploy the ransomware. (Meskauskas 2019)

**Encryption used:** Combination of RSA-2048 and ChaCha20 encryption. (Abrams, Maze Ransomware Says Computer Type Determines Ransom Amount 2019)

Decryptor available: No public decryptor available

**Industries targeted:** Healthcare, manufacturing, businesses, and information technology (IT) services (NCFTA 2019)

Countries targeted: North America and Europe

See Appendix 6.9 for more IOC.

Software Engineering Institute

#### 2.1.10 Sodinokibi

**Overview:** Sodinokibi (also known as REvil) is a ransomware as a service (RaaS) that was discovered in April 2019. Sodinokibi works to encrypt the victim's data and delete all shadow copy backups in order to make recovery increasingly difficult. (Cadieux, et al. 2019) The actors behind the ransomware claim they are forbidden to do business in the Commonwealth of Independent States region, which includes Ukraine, Russia, Belarus, and Moldova. The authors of Sodinokibi have been previously linked as the same authors as GandCrab. Ransom payments have been known to record up to six million USD and actors are now following through with posting non-compliant victims' information on shaming websites. (Hall 2020)

**Infection Vector/Methodology:** Sodinokibi exploits vulnerabilities in servers and managed service providers (MSPs) to take control of networks via RDP and remotely launch attacks. Newer variants use phishing emails as well as a wide range of trojans and exploit kits, such as the RIG exploit kit and Ostap trojan, to infect systems. (Fakterman 2019)

Encryption: Combination of AES and Salsa20 (Tiwari and Koshelev 2019)

Decryptor: No public decryptor available

Industries Targeted: Healthcare and government agencies (Balaban 2020)

Countries Targeted: Asia and Europe

See Appendix 6.10 for more IOC.

#### 2.2 Notable Ransomware Behaviors

These latest forms of ransomware behave similarly to their predecessors but also adapt to changes in the cybersecurity landscape. The two most notable behavior shifts in recent years are ransomware exfiltrating victim's data and Ransomware as a Service (RaaS).

#### 2.2.1 Publishing Exfiltrated Data

Many organizations are using data backups to restore their systems in the event of a ransomware attack. Data backups allow organizations to avoid paying the ransom fee since they do not need to reach out to the ransomware authors for the decryptor. Since ransomware authors want to be paid, they have started exfiltrating data to threaten the organizations into paying a fee to delete the data and not publish it.

Nemty, MegaCortex, Maze, and Sodinokibi have followed through with the threat of publishing victim's data. This strategy is different from past strategies where encrypting a victim's data was sufficient to receive payment. If publishing stolen data proves to increase payment probability, it could indicate that users place a higher importance on avoiding data becoming public as opposed to losing data that stays private. The first corporate victim of publishing exfiltrated data was a secure staffing firm called Allied Universal whose data Maze ransomware published after they refused to pay 300 Bitcoin which approximately translated to \$2.3 million USD. (Abrams, Allied Universal Breached by Maze Ransomware, Stolen Data Leaked 2019)

#### 2.2.2 Ransomware as a Service

Some ransomware families, such as Sodinokibi and Nemty, have started using a Ransomware-as-a-Service (RaaS) business model. (McAfee Labs 2019) (Mundo and Lopez 2020) In RaaS, the ransomware developers sell

# Software Engineering Institute

the ransomware and an easy-to-use platform to affiliate groups who perform the attack campaigns. RaaS also lowers the risk for ransomware developers since they are only providing a platform to perform ransomware attacks and not performing the attacks themselves. This service also reduces the affiliates' cost to mount attacks since they can use the proven prebuilt ransomware. RaaS also increases the threat landscape for organizations since it is no longer necessary for affiliate groups to build custom ransomware to perform an attack. RaaS can be just as profitable for ransomware developers as direct ransom payments since, in most situations, both developers and affiliates get a percentage of the paid ransoms and the ransomware attacks are more widespread. Figure 1 portrays a workflow for an attack using a RaaS platform. The following steps occur in this workflow:

- 1. The ransomware author develops custom exploit code which is then licensed to a ransomware affiliate for a fee or share in proceeds from the attack.
- 2. The affiliate uses the custom exploit code and updates the hosting site with this code.
- 3. The ransomware affiliate identifies and targets an infection vector and delivers the exploit code to the victim (e.g., via malicious email).
- 4. The victim clicks the link/goes to the website/etc.
- 5. The ransomware is downloaded and executed on the victim's computer.
- 6. The ransomware encrypts the victim's files, identifies additional targets on the network, modifies system configurations to establish persistence, disrupts or destroys data backups, and covers its tracks.
- 7. Victim is instructed to pay ransom with untraceable funds, typically cryptocurrency.
- 8. A money launderer will move the money through multiple transformations to obscure the identities of the ransomware affiliate and author.
- 9. The ransomware affiliate may send a decryptor to victim after successful ransom payment. The affiliate may make additional demands on the victim or do nothing at all and leave the victim with encrypted files.

Software Engineering Institute



Figure 1: Ransomware as a Service Workflow<sup>1</sup>

### 2.3 Ransomware Groups Profitability and Targets

Ransomware typically falls under the category of crimeware. Ransomware campaigns remain largely opportunistic and attempt to attack the easiest targets in the hopes of getting the highest financial reward. However, some ransomware is evolving to be much more targeted. Ransomware has proven to be a highly profitable scheme for its operators. There are two main sources of revenue for ransomware: (1) direct ransom payments and (2) RaaS fees and profit sharing. In the case of direct ransom payments, the ransom amounts can vary from a few hundred dollars to several thousand.

At the RSA Conference 2020, FBI Special Agent Joel DeCapua presented his ransomware research on tracking Bitcoin wallets which found that ransomware victims have paid \$140 million in ransoms from 2013-2019. (Spadafora 2020) Currently, Ryuk is the most profitable ransomware family bringing in \$61.26 million with its ongoing attack campaigns. (Spadafora 2020)

Ransomware has been targeting healthcare, government, and education and has been successful in obtaining ransom payouts since these organizations tend to have a critical need for their systems. According to 2019 ransomware statistics from Emsisoft, these ransomware attacks affected:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CERT Division researchers and designers created this graphic.

Software Engineering Institute

- 764 healthcare providers
- 113 state and municipal governments and agencies
- 89 universities, colleges, and school districts, potentially affecting up to 1,233 individual schools (Emsisoft Malware Lab 2019)

Not only was it a high cost to remedy these attacks, but they also disrupted emergency services, locked police and medical professionals out of internal systems, caused surveillance systems to go offline, and prevented schools from accessing student data regarding medications or allergies. (Emsisoft Malware Lab 2019) These are side effects that can potentially cause physical harm or loss of life.

Mobile devices are another ransomware target to be aware of. While this market is still likely smaller, less studied, and, arguably, less profitable, several samples of ransomware demonstrated their capabilities to encrypt files on mobile devices and demanded a ransom to recover them. This problem will likely persist in the near future, especially on devices that lack full cloud storage backup.

Ransomware is a global problem. MegaCortex alone targets the United States, Italy, United Kingdom, Norway, Canada, the Netherlands, Ireland, and France. (Abrams, FBI Issues Alert For LockerGoga and MegaCortex Ransomware 2019) Nearly half a million ransomware infections were reported globally in 2019 and this number is expected to increase in 2020. (Muncaster 2020) However, ransomware is also regional to areas where ransomware families do not attack. Nemty, Sodinokibi, and Maze ransomware will avoid attacking the Commonwealth of Independent States region.

Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute

# 3 Technical Overview

#### 3.1 Ransomware: Attack Approaches and Techniques

#### 3.1.1 Ransomware Attack Overview

What to Expect. A typical ransomware attack consists of several stages. Knowing what to expect during these stages enables the organization to be better prepared when attacks occur. It is important to remember that ransomware is software code that executes on a compatible compromised computer. Ransomware code manipulates the data it can access on local data storage, over the network, or in the cloud. The code may also use available network access and the Internet to communicate to a command and control (C2) server, which is part of the attacker's infrastructure. Victims of an attack should expect that ransomware will succeed at encrypting the data using a strong encryption algorithm and that the decryption keys will not be available without contacting the ransomware group. In a situation where the ransom is paid, the delivered decryption tool and the decryption keys may not work correctly and some data may still be left encrypted and inaccessible.

Victims should expect that ransomware is able to encrypt data not only on local computer storage, but also throughout the network. Even if access to data seems to be restricted, ransomware may still be able to exploit vulnerabilities and gain access to restricted data. Ransomware may also disable access to critical enterprise data, which is necessary to run customer-facing and back-end services.

#### 3.1.2 Common Ransomware Infection Vectors

Ransomware uses multiple methods to attempt compromising a system. The next sections include a few of the most commonly used infection vectors: emails, compromised websites, and exploits of misconfigured systems on a network.

#### 3.1.2.1 Email

Email is the most frequently used ransomware delivery mechanism. Nearly all the families mentioned in Section 2 utilize email as an infection vector. Cyber criminals acquire mass numbers of email addresses in various ways and use them for phishing campaigns. Ransomware delivered by phishing emails is designed using social engineering. Its focus is on convincing the user that the email is legitimate and its attachments and links should be trusted. In most cases, the email includes a malicious attachment that leads to the ransomware.

Figure 2 is an example of a spear phishing email, which is a targeted phishing email, used by ransomware. (Klein 2015) In this type of phishing attack, the email body content and links are customized to a specific person or organization. This spear phishing email states that a consumer has filed a complaint against Backblaze. It makes sense for this type of email to be sent to the CEO of the accused company. A person could easily accept this email as legitimate and follow the directions to click on the link.

# Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute

# Federal Trade Commission Today at 8:19 AM To: Gleb Budman You have one new consumer complaint Federal Trade Commission PROTECTING AMERICA'S CONSUMERS CONSUMER COMPLAINT NOTIFICATION This notification has been automatically sent to you because we have received a consumer complaint, claiming that your company is violating the CCPA (Consumer Credit Protection Act). According to our policy, we have initiated a formal investigation before taking legal action. You can download the document containing the complaint and the plaintiff contact information, from : https://ftc.gov/documents/download.aspx?complaint\_id=45309101 Note that Adobe Reader must be installed on your computer. Please take a moment to review the bolded section in the complaint, regarding the CCPA violation. Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20580 (202) 326-2222 www.ftc.gov

Figure 2: Locker Ransomware Spear Phishing Email from 2015 (Klein 2015)

#### 3.1.2.2 Websites

Ransomware delivered via compromised websites primarily employs malicious advertising (malvertising) in combination with an exploit kit. Malvertising is not a new distribution form and was first seen in 2007. The Sodinokibi ransomware was discovered using malvertising as an infection vector in June 2019 by redirecting the victims to the RIG exploit kit via the PopCash advertising network. (Trend Micro 2019) Nemty also has malvertising campaigns using the RIG exploit kit. (Ilascu, Nemty Ransomware Gets Distribution from RIG Exploit Kit 2019) The advantage of using websites to deliver ransomware is that user interaction may not be required to successfully infect the machine. Simply browsing a webpage causes the malvertising's malicious code to automatically execute and infect.

The use of malvertising is not limited to dodgy websites; malvertising has entered mainstream sites such as those for The New York Times, NFL, MSN, and BBC. The key to malvertising appearing on websites is the legitimate participation by criminals in advertisement networks. A criminal registers to be an advertiser on a network, bids to place advertisements on popular websites, and does so for a while with malware-free ads to gain trust. After some time, they introduce malvertising into these networks, which is displayed on the websites after winning a bid for advertising space.

### Software Engineering Institute

The ad-placement process is facilitated by the automation of transactions and minimal security checks put in place by advertisement networks. Malvertisers can easily place their ads unnoticed. Once the malvertising is displayed, and in some cases clicked, several redirection approaches can occur. Most commonly a hidden inline frame (iframe) tag within the code starts a series of domain/IP redirects, eventually landing at a malicious server hosting an exploit kit.

Figure 3 shows an example of malicious redirect to the RIG exploit kit using the PopCash advertisement network which downloads and installs Sodinokibi ransomware. (Abrams, Sodinokibi Ransomware Now Pushed by Exploit Kits and Malvertising 2019) Once downloaded and executed, Sodinokibi encrypts the files as shown in Figure 4.

http://5.23.52.7/?NDE2MTMz&zjpQIbDQ&edsNH=wrapp	ed&lSQG=criticized&JXyqNZ=already&quYP=constitut - Win	fore Internet Evolution State And St	1×
COC - http://5.23.52.7/7NDE2MTMz6zjpQlbDQ6edsNH	=wrapped&I5QG=criticized&JXygNZ=already&guYP=constitution&DeZ	Process: "C:)Program Files\Internet Explorer\lexplore.exe"	
🙀 Favorites 🛛 🙀 😰 Suggested Sites 🔹 😰 Web Slice Gallery		File: "C:\Users\admin\AppData\Local\Temp\Low\System32\shell32.dll"	J
http://5.23.52.7/7NDE2MTMz8zjpQtbDQ8edst#H=wr		Child process was created for 12 of the Page Setty Tools of Image: "C-Windows/system32(cmd.exe" Parent "C-VProgram FilesUnternet Explorer/Jexplore.exe"	
		Child process was created Image: "C:\UsersladminAppData\Loca\Temp\Low\nute422a.exe" Parent "C:\Windows\system32\cmd.exe"	
	Internet Explorer Internet Explorer has stopped working Windows can check online for a solution to th	Parent "C:\Program Files\Internet Explorer\iexplore.exe"	]
	Check online for a solution and cl     Close the program	Child process was created Image: "C:Windows/system32/xscript.exe" Parent "C:Windows/system32/CMd.exe"	
	View problem details	Executable file was dropped Process, "CuProgram FilesInternet Explorer/lexplore.exe" File: "C:\Users\admin\AppData\Local\Temp\LowInute422a.exe"	
1. Error on page,		Unknown Zone   Protected Mode: On 👘 🔹 🗮 100% •	
Astart 🔗 👙 🖸 🕼 🚺 🐓		JP 💐 🛓 👘 🕩 9:14年前	

Figure 3: Malvertisement Redirect on an Unpatched Windows PC (Abrams, Sodinokibi Ransomware Now Pushed by Exploit Kits and Malvertising 2019)

Software Engineering Institute



Figure 4: Unpatched Windows PC Encrypted by Sodinokibi Ransomware (Abrams, Sodinokibi Ransomware Now Pushed by Exploit Kits and Malvertising 2019)

#### 3.1.2.3 Exploit Kits

An exploit kit is software that attempts to exploit both known and unknown vulnerabilities in operating systems, browsers, plugins, and other software to compromise a machine. These kits primarily focus on browsers and other software that can be executed automatically by visiting a webpage. Most modern web browsers restrict webpage access to system resources to avoid compromise and require substantial user interaction for the restriction to be lifted.

Once on the system, the malicious code downloads the ransomware from a remote server and executes it on the machine. The infection is usually performed in the background and, if not detected promptly, the data encryption completes and the ransom payment messages appear.

The key to an exploit kit's success is its ability to discover vulnerabilities. Some kits exploit only publicly disclosed vulnerabilities while others stockpile privately discovered vulnerabilities, which give them an edge to raise their price in underground markets. The following are the most often exploited technologies:

- popular software (e.g., Adobe Acrobat Reader, Microsoft Office, WordPress)
- browsers (e.g., Internet Explorer (IE), Firefox, Chrome, Safari)
- plugins (e.g., Adobe Flash)

There are several exploit kits used in the wild. Some ransomware operators may be decreasing their use of these kits in favor of phishing emails. Some of these exploit kits are described below.

**RIG.** This exploit kit appeared in 2016 and is known to spread at least 35 ransomware families including Nemty and Sodinokibi. (McAfee 2018) The RIG Exploit Kit is maintained and has been updated to abuse over 34

### Software Engineering Institute

vulnerabilities, including the newer vulnerabilities CVE-2018-4878 and CVE-2018-8174 which target Adobe Flash Player and Microsoft Windows. (McAfee 2018)

**Fallout.** Discovered in August 2018, this exploit kit is known to spread multiple ransomware families including Maze and Sodinokibi. (McAfee 2019) Fallout uses CVE-2018-4878, CVE-2018-8174, and CVE-2018-15982, which are vulnerabilities in Adobe Flash Player and Microsoft Windows.

**Spelevo.** Discovered in early 2019 and is known to spread Maze ransomware. (McAfee 2019) The Spelevo Exploit Kit uses CVE-2018-8174 and CVE-2018-15982 to exploit Adobe Flash Player and drop a trojan that creates a persistent scheduled task in Microsoft Windows. (McAfee 2019)

**Radio.** This exploit kit targets Microsoft Windows and is known to have spread the Nemty ransomware. (Abrams, Exploit Kits Target Windows Users with Ransomware and Trojans 2019) The Radio Exploit Kit is less advanced than other exploit kits and abuses the overused CVE-2016-0189 vulnerability in Internet Explorer which has since been patched. (nao\_sec 2019)

Exploit kits come in all flavors. They are able to compromise systems even without user interaction. The best way to avoid falling victim to these kits is to follow strict software update policies. It seems that most kits use exploits for publicly disclosed vulnerabilities with only a smaller number using privately discovered vulnerabilities. The success of exploit kits implies that software is not being regularly patched, allowing kits to continue relying solely on publicly disclosed vulnerabilities.

#### 3.1.3 Operating System (OS) Modifications

Ransomware behaves like most malware once it is executed on the victim machine and has a common set of initial execution goals:

- Establish persistence on the compromised machine.
- Avoid detection and subsequent removal on the compromised machine.
- In some instances, establish network connection to a C2 server.

#### 3.1.3.1 Establishing Persistence

Persistence allows ransomware to continue executing and have access to all needed resources on the system long enough to achieve its malicious goals. Ransomware uses some of the strategies below to establish persistence on a compromised system.

- Self-replication into new files. Ransomware makes multiple copies of its own executable file into system folders and less common locations such as temporary folders. The purpose of copying this file is to avoid full eradication if all the copies are not detected or disallowed to be deleted in the case of system files. In the Windows OS, typical locations are the system32 and AppData, Local, and temp folders.
- Self-replication into existing files. Ransomware writes malicious code, that is either a copy of itself or some other nefarious code, into existing binaries. Ransomware tends to copy into system folders because anti-malware software does not delete these system files or stop them from executing since they are critical to proper OS functionality. Further, files in system folders tend to have administrative privileges on the system.

### Software Engineering Institute

- **Creation of new binaries.** The ransomware dropped on a system often creates new binaries that are later executed to perform malicious tasks. The purpose of doing this is to separate the two files. If the created file is eradicated, the originally dropped file can recreate the file and reattempt its malicious goals. The binaries are either downloaded from a remote host or copied from embedded code in its own executable file (but not an exact copy); this last case is a form of self-replication.
- Windows Registry. Ransomware sometimes uses the Windows Registry to establish persistence. The Windows Registry is a hierarchical database that contains settings for the operating system and applications that opt in. Ransomware attempts to create and alter registry keys for its own use as well as reset or delete keys related to security and anti-malware. Additionally, ransomware attempts to set up auto start of malicious binaries by setting paths to several known start-on-boot registry keys, primarily the "CurrentVersion\Run" key.

#### 3.1.3.2 Avoiding Detection

Ransomware needs to avoid detection and subsequent removal long enough to encrypt the victim's data, which can sometimes take hours. It uses some of the strategies below to remain undetected on a compromised system.

- **Deletion of ancestor files.** Ransomware executes the binary files it downloads or creates. These processes often spawn malicious child processes which help avoid removal from the compromised system. These processes are typically self-replications running a new instance, meaning the child processes perform the same action as the parent process. These processes may be trojan horses performing various malicious tasks or other binaries providing some supporting functionality that is not inherently malicious. To avoid detection, a spawned child process may delete the binary image of an ancestor process from the file system to minimize artifacts and the overall footprint left on the compromised system.
- **Termination of ancestor processes.** As mentioned above, ransomware typically creates and spawns malicious child processes after the initial download or creation of a binary file. After creating the child processes, the parent process may self-terminate. In some cases, a child process terminates a parent process; this is an example of ancestor process deletion. Its purpose is to separate the dropped ransomware process from descendants performing malicious tasks to avoid detection and removal from the compromised system.
- **Disabling of anti-malware software.** Some ransomware variants are aware of processes and configuration options known to belong to anti-malware programs. To avoid detection, the ransomware attempts to disable anti-malware software by terminating processes, causing the anti-malware program to run improperly or stop altogether.
- **Injection of code.** To delegate malicious tasks to non-malicious processes, ransomware often injects code into other currently running processes to avoid detection. Code injection is also useful because the non-malicious processes may have higher access to system resources and can be used by ransomware for data collection or to observe user interactions. Often targeted are system-level OS processes, file managers, and web browsers. In the Windows OS, some of these processes might include winlogon, svchost, iexplore, and explorer.

#### 3.1.3.3 Establishing a Network Connection

**Remote C2 server communication.** Ransomware variants often attempt to communicate with remote C2 servers. This communication is a critical step in ransomware's core functionality and is used primarily to store encryption keys, to store unique identifiers of victim machines, and, more recently, to exfiltrate data to extort

### Software Engineering Institute

ransomware victims. Upon initial connection, ransomware issues DNS lookups and reverse DNS lookups, and attempts connections to several IPs until successful. The failed attempts should stand out and be flagged in real-time traffic analysis. To make detection difficult, communication with C2 servers may also be routed through network anonymizers (e.g., Tor).

#### 3.1.4 Finding the Target

Ransomware may target specific data, all files on a system, or even entire disks; most variants of the ransomware families discussed in this report scan the full local filesystem system of a device. Additionally, some ransomware families like Ryuk and MedusaLocker target not only the local hard drive but also any attached or accessible network storage as shown in Table 2.

Ransomware	Encryption Used	Locations Encrypted	File Extensions Encrypted	Published Data
FuxSocy (Abrams, New FuxSocy Ransomware Impersonates the Notorious Cerber 2019)	Combination of RSA and AES-256	Most variants attempt full system, except whitelist	Wide range including archive, office (e.g., documents, spread- sheets, presentations), image, media, script/code, database. Does not encrypt entire file, only enough to corrupt the data (NCFTA 2020)	No
GlobeImposter (Kline 2017)	Combination of RSA-4096 and AES-256	Most variants attempt full system, except whitelist; Looks for other hosts on network to encrypt (Cyware Social 2019)Attempts all files; except white- list (Cyware Social 2019)		No
LockerGoga (Trend Micro 2019)	Combination of RSA-4096 and AES-256	Predefined list or full system	Wide range including archive, office (e.g., documents, spread- sheets, presentations), image, media, script/code	No
SamSam (Coveware 2019)	RSA-2048	Full system	Attempts all files; except white- list	No
MedusaLocker (Abrams, MedusaLocker Ransomware Wants Its Share of Your Money 2019)	Combination of RSA-2048 and AES-256	Full system, except whitelist; Also targets mapped network drives (Walter, How MedusaLocker Ransomware Aggressively Targets Remote Hosts 2019)	Wide range including executa- bles, office (e.g., documents, spreadsheets, presentations), im- age, media, script/code	No
Ryuk (Hanel 2019)	Combination of RSA-2048 and AES-256	Most variants attempt full system, except whitelist; Looks for other network-accessi- ble shares	Attempts all files; except white- list	No
Nemty (Mundo and Lopez 2020)	Combination of RSA-2048, RSA-8192, AES-128, and	Full system, except whitelist	Attempts all files; except white- list	Yes

#### Table 2: Overview for Ransomware Families<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> CERT Division researchers created this table.

Software Engineering Institute

Ransomware	Encryption Used	Locations Encrypted	File Extensions Encrypted	Published Data
	AES-256 (van den Hurk 2019)			
MegaCortex (Abrams, Elusive MegaCortex Ransomware Found - Here is What We Know 2019)	AES-128	Attempts full system, except whitelist	Attempts all files; except white- list	Yes
Maze (NCFTA 2019)	Combination of RSA-2048 and ChaCha20	Attempts full system, except whitelist	Attempts all files; except white- list	Yes
Sodinokibi (NCFTA 2020)	Combination of AES and Salsa20	Most variants attempt full system, except whitelist; looks for other network-accessi- ble shares (Tiwari and Koshelev 2019)	Wide range including archive, office (e.g., documents, spread- sheets, presentations), image, media, script/code	Yes

Figure 5 is a diagram of the files typically encrypted by ransomware, ranging from those most likely to be encrypted by ransomware, on the outer circles, to the least commonly targeted files, in the smallest circle of the diagram. Typically, the most commonly targeted files are various office-type documents (e.g., .doc, .sxw, .xlsx, and .sxc), image formats (e.g., .tiff, .png, and .bmp), archive files (e.g., .zip and .tar), audio files (e.g., .mp3 and .wav), and video files (e.g., .mp4 and .avi). Some ransomware variants may also include database (e.g., .sqlite and .mdb) and website-related files (e.g., .html and .aspx). Less sophisticated encrypting ransomware may limit its targets to specific directories on a system and highly targeted ransomware may hone in on a specific application (e.g., MongoDB).

Software Engineering Institute



Figure 5: A Diagram of the Files Most Likely to Be Encrypted by Ransomware on the Outer Circles, to the Least Commonly Targeted Files in the Smallest Circle of the Diagram<sup>3</sup>

As shown in Figure 5, many ransomware families avoid encrypting executable or system files because doing so can render the system unstable and may prevent even the ransomware from working. However, this avoidance is changing and many ransomware families are now whitelisting the minimal files needed to boot the computer and encrypting the others. Ransomware also targets data residing on organizations' servers (e.g., databases, websites, and file shares) to leverage the negative impacts of a data breach in order to pressure victims into paying ransoms.

### 3.2 Encryption

Ransomware uses some form of encryption to restrict access to the data that it holds for ransom. Encryption is a method to protect data by scrambling it with an encryption algorithm that uses a unique encryption key. When used properly, encryption protects the data from being accessed without authorization (i.e., the unique decryption key) and ransomware is designed to not reveal the decryption key unless the ransom is paid. This section will review encryption algorithms used by ransomware.

#### 3.2.1 Algorithms

Most ransomware variants use both symmetric and asymmetric encryption algorithms in their attacks. Symmetric encryption is the simpler and faster of the two and is typically used to encrypt large sizes of data. Symmetric encryption only uses one secret cryptographic key to encrypt and decrypt the data, as shown in Figure 6. Asymmetric encryption is more complex and requires two different cryptographic keys, denoted as a public key and a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> CERT Division researchers and designers created this graphic.

### Software Engineering Institute

private key, as shown in Figure 7. The public key encrypts the data and the private key is needed to decrypt the data encrypted by the public key. Since it is more complex, it is much slower and typically used to encrypt small amounts of data.



Figure 6: Symmetric Encryption Algorithm

Software Engineering Institute



Figure 7: Asymmetric Encryption Algorithm

Consider MedusaLocker as an example. The ransomware encrypts files using an AES-256 symmetric encryption algorithm. Since this is a symmetric encryption algorithm, the same key must be used to encrypt and decrypt the data, as shown in Figure 6. MedusaLocker protects the symmetric key by encrypting it using an RSA-2048 asymmetric encryption algorithm where encryption is done with a public key and decryption is completed with the corresponding private key, as shown in Figure 7. In most cases, the public key is provided to the ransomware from the C2 server, which also holds the associated private key. This limits the encryption methodologies since access to the symmetric encryption key used to encrypt the data was not possible without access to the C2 server. However, MedusaLocker works around this by embedding the public key in the executable, meaning it does not need to connect to a C2 server to utilize both symmetric and asymmetric encryption.

The MedusaLocker example demonstrates the typical complexity of using a combination of encryption algorithms in ransomware. In fact, many new ransomware families try to follow similar best practices for encryption, key generation, and key management making it more difficult to recover data without paying ransom.

A best practice example for data encryption recommends that large amounts of data should be encrypted using a symmetric encryption because symmetric encryption is much faster than asymmetric. As a result, a properly implemented encryption scheme typically involves both a symmetric encryption component (e.g., AES) and an asymmetric encryption component (e.g., RSA), as shown in Figure 8. Vulnerabilities are common in custom encryption algorithms since they are not subjected to the extensive rigor of code review. Ransomware developers limit the potential vulnerability surface by using established encryption algorithms. By limiting the vulnerabilities in the encryption process ransomware uses, developers decrease the chance of a public decryptor being developed to recover victims' data. MedusaLocker follows these best practices by using the AES-256 symmetric

### Software Engineering Institute

encryption algorithm and the RSA-2048 encryption algorithm, as mentioned above. Currently, there are no publicly available decryptors available for MedusaLocker.



Figure 8: Combining Symmetric and Asymmetric Encryption to Protect a Secret Key

This combination of symmetrical and asymmetrical encryption methods is commonly used among many other ransomware families, such as FuxSocy, GlobeImposter, LockerGoga, Ryuk, and Nemty. Unlike the MedusaLocker example, some of these ransomware families communicate with a C2 server in order to generate the RSA public key that is used to encrypt the AES symmetric key, which makes a connection to a C2 server a requirement. If the ransomware is unable to connect to the C2 server, it will be unable to obtain the public key to encrypt the AES symmetric key, and without a secure symmetric key, the ransomware group will be unable to encrypt and ransom the data.

Several strains of ransomware attempted to solve this problem by encrypting data with a locally generated AES-256 symmetric key. If the key is left in plaintext, it may allow for the victims to decrypt their data without paying a ransom. The ransomware stores the plaintext symmetric key locally unless it is unable to communicate with the C2 server. In that case, the ransomware creates a recovery key from the symmetric key using a hard-coded RSA public key. Once the recovery key is created, the original plaintext AES symmetric key is deleted from the system.

The recovery key can later be submitted to a website controlled by the threat actor, along with the ransom payment, to retrieve the original master AES symmetric key. This symmetric key can then be used by the decryption tool to recover the data.

Software Engineering Institute

#### 3.2.2 Data Integrity

Data encryption involves data modification, which may lead to data corruption. If the data is corrupted during the encryption process, it may not be recoverable, even with a properly working decryption tool. Depending on the ransomware implementation, the original plaintext data may either be modified in place or copied to another file container for encryption. Once encrypted, the original plaintext data file is deleted from the system. However, a flaw in the implementation of the ransomware code may lead to an incomplete state of the encrypted data. As with many other applications that involve writing large volumes of data, an abrupt interruption of the data-writing process may lead to data corruption.

Several recent ransomware families modify original data files to include encryption keys and unique identifiers that must be removed before the original file can be recovered by a decryption tool. Finally, the decryption tool may also have implementation flaws, which can cause data corruption.

If the original data is deleted but not overwritten in storage, it may be possible to recover deleted files using standard data-recovery tools. If the decryption tool corrupts the data, it may be possible to modify the tool to avoid data corruption. In all cases, it is recommend having a backup copy of the encrypted data before attempting any data recovery.

As with any malicious data modification, it is impossible to guarantee that the data integrity has not been violated without comparing the data to a previously stored copy or data hash values. For this reason, the recovered data should be treated as compromised.

#### 3.3 Payment

#### 3.3.1 Informing the User

Once encryption is complete, ransomware alerts the user of the situation and next steps. Messages are sent in various forms including desktop wallpapers, browser windows, pop-up windows produced by the ransomware executable, and reminders. The ransomware also typically locks or limits the use of the computer and displays messages continuously.

The message content typically consists of four sections:

- 1. A statement that the files have been encrypted
- 2. The reasons for the encryption
- 3. The steps the victim must take to recover their files
- 4. A final deadline to pay the ransom and the consequences of a victim delaying payment of the ransom; the ransom amounts typically increase over the period of time before the final deadline

These messages often use social engineering to convince the user this is a serious situation and the only answer is to pay. Some messages offer to decrypt a handful of files to convince the victim that paying leads to decrypting the rest.

Software Engineering Institute

#### 3.3.2 Currency

The widespread availability of cryptocurrency has enabled the rise of ransomware in recent years. The unregulated nature of cryptocurrency transactions makes it the preferred payment mechanism for ransomware payments. Bitcoin accounted for about 98% of ransom payments made in the first quarter of 2019. (Coveware 2019)

In addition to cryptocurrencies, some ransomware families also accept payments in various forms of prepaid debit cards, gift cards, and more traditional means of wire transfer and money orders. Prepaid cards provide a convenient payment option for a victim who may not be comfortable with the complexity of a cryptocurrency transaction. However, prepaid card payment options may vary based on the location of the user. Different prepaid cards and payment terminals exist in Europe, Asia, North America, and other markets.

#### 3.3.3 Customer Service

Some ransomware operators provide customer service to help victims obtain the required payment currency, make payments, and process decryption. The logic behind this service is that the more help provided to the victims, the more likely the ransom will be paid. Ransomware customer service is usually a common support request form filled out by the user or a chat window. Further communication typically occurs by email. Aside from helping the victim make a payment and decrypt the data, the communication channel may also be used to negotiate the ransom amount or to extend the payment deadline. (Shackelford and Wade 2020)

#### 3.3.4 Payment Deadline Expiration

Ransomware typically sets a deadline for the ransom to be paid before the decryption key is destroyed. In the traditional sense of cryptographic security properties, if the decryption key is gone, the encrypted data is considered destroyed. Ransomware relies on this property to force the victim to make the payment early. The decryption key is typically stored on the C2 server that is under the attacker's control. Therefore, it is feasible that the attacker can implement a key destruction procedure based on the amount of time passed since the original infection.

Prior analysis of ransomware C2 servers revealed several cases where the decryption key was not destroyed past the expiration date. While the key expiration warning was likely used to entice victims to make the payment as early as possible and discourage extending time looking for an alternative solution, it is often impossible to tell without analysis if the key expiration threat is real or not. Therefore, without additional knowledge, it should be taken seriously.

Ransomware may also use the deadline expiration to raise the ransom amount instead of threatening to destroy the decryption key. In such cases, the ransom is typically doubled every 7-10 days until paid. It is not unusual for ransomware customer service to extend the payment deadline or negotiate the price.

#### 3.4 Decryption

#### 3.4.1 What the Actors Provide

After the ransom payment is made, ransomware operators provide decryption keys, software, and support. Sometimes, access to the decryption software is embedded in the ransom payment screen. In these cases, the user only needs to provide the encrypted files. In other cases, the software must be downloaded.

### Software Engineering Institute

The operators further assure the decryption is correct and all files are returned to their original form. This service is critical to the continued success of the campaign. Knowing that the victim's files will be restored correctly builds a trustworthy path out of the ransom situation. Figure 9 shows example from Alma Locker ransomware.

ecrypter			Σ
5204b14b0c297ca9de	xnebw	Your files are encrypted!	English
Bitcoin address:			
1LZrXziRez3YUGwLki9jFCJ9R0	DgqRNvB5	Price for key:	1 BTC
Decryption key: Ux20Zltmn3jnJJKBov3RhMZ Check payment	Checking	It means you are no longer able to access them v stored on our server. You have 120 hours to mak within the specified time to the specified Bicoin p completely erased from our server and your files w	te a decision. Send the specified BTC amount bayment address. Otherwise, the key will be
Hours remain	_	Buy bitcoins:	
120		https://www.buybitcoinworldwide.com/	https://www.coinhouse.io/
121		https://www.coinbase.com/	https://www.bestchange.ru/
		https://www.coinmama.com/	https://www.bestchange.ru/
		https://www.circle.com/	https://www.bestchange.com/
Decrypt single file	Decrypt all files	Payment confirmation can take some time.	

Figure 9: Alma Locker Built-in Decryption Tool (Cimpanu 2016)

#### 3.4.2 Trustworthiness of Decryption

Some reports suggest that the data held for ransom could not be recovered even after the ransom was paid. Encrypted data can only be successfully decrypted if it has not been corrupted. In Q4 2019, victims who paid for a decryptor still lost 3% of their encrypted data on average. (Coveware 2019) Additionally, a typical decryption tool is responsible for not only decrypting the data, but also for locating the data and identifying the proper decryption keys to use, which can be more complex when a unique key per file is being used.

A more complex identification and decryption process leaves more room for coding and functional errors, which may lead to incomplete or corrupted data decryption. Also, decryption tools are often shipped with a decryption key that is unique to the machine where the encrypted data is stored. The victim assumes that the threat actor provided the correct decryption key from the database of all keys held for ransom. An example of an invalid decryption key involves a poorly generated unique identifier for the compromised system that leads to multiple collisions in the key database. An invalid decryption key leads to either a failed decryption attempt or data corruption.

The integrity of decrypted data can no longer be guaranteed and verified without comparing it to the original data or verifying hash values. Regardless of the outcome of the decryption, or the source of the decryptor tool, the decrypted data should be treated as compromised.

#### 3.4.3 Reliability Concerns

Ransomware is designed to manipulate data on a disk at rest. When encryption occurs, the data goes from a plaintext format to an encrypted format. Properly implemented software that does this kind of data manipulation typically encrypts the data, stores it in a separate new file, and then securely deletes the original plaintext data as shown in Figure 10. This workflow requires careful considerations of file system operations to preserve data integrity.

Software Engineering Institute



#### Figure 10: Ransomware File Encryption Workflow

If the data manipulation process is interrupted or fails, the data may be left in a corrupted state. Ransomware code typically does not go through a rigorous testing phase compared to commercial software products performing similar data manipulations. This untested code may create a larger error surface that leads to data corruption.

On the decryption side, ransomware decrypts the data, stores it in a separate new plaintext file, and then deletes the original encrypted file, as shown in Figure 11. While the decryption process is typically less prone to errors related to the file system and operating system, the tool used for decryption may be less stable than the ransomware encryption component.



Figure 11: Ransomware File Decryption Workflow

Ransomware typically modifies the compromised system or network and may lead to a less stable and secure system. These modifications may involve exploiting various code vulnerabilities to obtain necessary access to perform the attack. For example, ransomware may install a kernel-level driver under elevated privileges. At this level of access, the compromised system may experience instability, performance issues, and system crashes regularly until the ransomware is removed.

These concerns should be considered when making the decision on how to handle the ransomware incident. Backups of the encrypted data should be created after the incident, but before the decryption attempt. Similarly, when the ransomware is removed and the data is recovered, the compromised systems should be completely erased, reinstalled, and reconfigured after the incident. This renewal of the system helps avoid overall system stability and security issues and reduces the potential for future compromise.

#### 3.5 Data Exfiltration

Earlier versions of ransomware were not usually designed to exfiltrate large amounts of data from an infected network. However, it is expected that some potentially sensitive information may be intentionally transferred from the victim to the threat actor. Previous analysis of ransomware families demonstrated that most of them collect some information about the compromised system to create a unique identifier. This information is used by the C2 server to track ransomware attacks and the encryption keys needed to recover the data. The compromised system's computer name, storage device serial number, and operating system version are often used to generate unique identifiers and may be transferred by ransomware to a C2 server controlled by the threat actor.

### Software Engineering Institute

Some ransomware may also choose to exfiltrate information about the individual files being encrypted, including the filename and path. Obviously, this process poses some risk of data leakage, especially if sensitive information is contained in the filename or path.

Furthermore, the Nemty, MegaCortex, Maze, and Sodinokibi ransomware families have started exfiltrating the full content of the data for the purpose of publicly exposing it unless the ransom is paid. Data exfiltration typically occurs before the data encryption happens. These four ransomware families have followed through on the threat and subjected the victim organizations to a data breach in addition to the ransomware attack. In most cases, the content of documents, databases, and other sensitive digital materials end up in the hands of the attacker. However, there is no guarantee that the data will be deleted securely by the attacker after the ransom is paid, and other threat actors may also gain access to the data.

Software Engineering Institute

## 4 Stopping Ransomware

#### 4.1 Monitoring

#### 4.1.1 System Level

Several indicators of compromise are typically used to detect ransomware at the system level. Antivirus software offers some protection against ransomware, but that protection is incomplete. An antivirus product should be able to detect and block known strains of ransomware at the file and process level before the data is damaged. Additionally, it should be able to scan online downloads and email attachments, the two most common attack vectors used to deliver ransomware. However, antivirus typically relies on updated hash lists, and ransomware can adapt fast enough to avoid detection by an antivirus software.

Removing local administrative rights helps prevent ransomware from running on a local system. The local administrator has the power to modify system files and directories as well as system registry and storage. Those are critical components to any ransomware operation. Removing local administrative privileges reduces the chance that ransomware will persist on the system and propagate throughout the enterprise network. It also reduces the chance that ransomware will have access to critical system resources that may be necessary for destructive file encryption.

Network firewalls can detect ransomware that requires communications with a remote C2 server. Configuring the local system firewall to monitor and block outbound network communications from applications not on the approved list can also help to stop ransomware. Without access to the C2 server, some ransomware variants may not be able to use strong data encryption, may fail to encrypt the data, or may downgrade the encryption mechanism to one with a higher chance of data recovery without paying the ransom.

System vulnerabilities are also leveraged by ransomware. It is important to have a sound strategy of patching the operating system against known security issues. However, third-party applications pose a security risk as well, especially those with higher privileges. Proactively identifying applications that require higher system privileges helps in detecting and preventing ransomware before it can gain access to sensitive data. Maintaining and patching third-party applications regularly, restricting the installation of new applications that are not on the whitelist, monitoring for new process execution, and blocking unapproved applications from running are recommended.

Monitoring the execution of code within the Windows temporary folder and AppData folder also helps reduce the risk of ransomware execution at the point of infection. Many variants of ransomware are delivered through downloads and attachments and must be deployed before the data encryption can begin. Malicious code deployment often requires easy access to a readily available directory to unpack and execute ransomware files. The Temp and AppData folders often meet these requirements. If the system is configured to detect and block code execution within these folders, ransomware may not be able to execute the encryption code after deployment. Assuming the indicators are carried out by a currently running process, monitoring can be achieved using Microsoft-supported mini-filters and callbacks in a Windows system. The runtime events mentioned below should be monitored to increase the likelihood of detecting ransomware execution.

Ransomware typically performs the following actions in a file system:
Software Engineering Institute

- modifies autostart files to execute and display ransom messages to the victim
- searches the file system for all files with specific file extensions
- requests high-frequency access to multiple files
- creates new files, possibly with non-standard file type extensions

Ransomware frequently alters the following processes:

- spawns a new process from binaries it created or downloaded
- deletes anti-malware related processes to avoid removal during encryption and ransom demands
- injects part of its own binary image or memory space into the memory space of a previously running process

Ransomware usually makes changes to areas of the Windows Registry:

- sets up the autostart of malicious binaries to control machine access and display ransom messages
- resets or deletes security and anti-malware related keys to avoid removal before the ransom has been paid

### 4.1.2 Network Level

Some ransomware variants use remote servers to store exfiltrated victim data, encryption information, and other items. When the ransomware executable attempts the initial connection to a C2 server from a compromised system, it must determine which IP address is active. The process of acquiring and connecting to multiple IP addresses until success can produce failed connection attempts and other anomalies. Monitoring for these failed connections and other anomalies can alert the victim to ransomware on their systems.

The following behaviors should be logged and flagged when they occur because they indicate failed connection attempts and other IP address harvesting anomalies:

- DNS queries with no results
- reverse DNS queries with no results
- successful DNS queries and failed connection attempts to the returned IP address
- high frequency of repeated DNS queries to the same or a small number of top-level domains

Proactively logging and searching for these behaviors can be accomplished with a network traffic analyzer. To avoid detection and blocking by ransomware, log and monitor network traffic from within the kernel. Microsoft provides support for doing this using the Windows Filtering Platform, which provides the application programming interface (API) and commands to build kernel-level network monitors in various layers of the network stack.

Additionally, content filtering can be utilized to detect ransomware with data exfiltrating behavior by monitoring known exfiltration vectors such as HTTP, FTP, and email. (Jareth 2020) Some content filters can be customized to identify data patterns that match sensitive organizational data. However, if ransomware obfuscates the exfiltrated data during transfer, the content filters can be circumvented. Digitally watermarking files can also be effective in detecting data exfiltration. (Jareth 2020) A watermark is embedded into a file and can be detected by a deep packet inspection product in real-time when the watermarked file is exfiltrated. By detecting these behaviors, along with others at the host level, it is possible to stop ransomware before encryption begins.

Software Engineering Institute

### 4.2 Policies and Procedures

The most effective prevention mechanism against ransomware attacks is keeping regular, verified data backups. Recent strains of ransomware not only encrypt document files, but they also encrypt Windows OS system restore points and shadow copies that are often used to recover the data after a ransomware attack.

A good backup strategy involves keeping backups on a separate system, not accessible from the network, and helps recover encrypted data without paying the attackers. Also, frequent inspections of data backups help ensure that the data backup strategy is consistent and reliable.

### 4.2.1 Mitigation

The following are effective mitigation strategies against ransomware:

- **Regularly make and maintain offline backups.** If ransomware can't reach the data over the network, that data has a better chance of staying secure. Existing backup procedures should be checked regularly to verify that data is being backed up properly. Periodic checks of backup data integrity ensure that backup data is valid and available.
- Educate employees in security best practices through user training. Ransomware most often attacks the system through email attachments, online downloads, web browsing, and USB drives. Regular employee security training addressing these issues and other best practices helps avoid ransomware infections.
- **Restrict code execution in temporary and data folders.** If ransomware was designed to extract and execute from these folders, it may not be able to proceed with data encryption if it does not have privileges.
- **Maintain regular patching.** Both the operation system and third-party components can be vulnerable to ransomware, updates ensure ransomware can't exploit known vulnerabilities to gain access to the system and data.
- **Restrict administrative and system access.** Ransomware may rely on a system administrator account to perform its operations. Restricting user accounts and eliminating default system administrator accounts creates an extra barrier for ransomware.
- **Maintain and update antivirus software.** Regularly updating antivirus downloads the most recent malware signatures and other identifying data available to maximize the potential for early detection of known ransomware.

### 4.2.2 Response

The following are effective response strategies to ransomware attacks:

- **Snapshot system memory.** If possible, take a snapshot of the entire system memory while it is still running before shutting down the compromised system. The memory snapshot may assist in finding the attack vector used by the ransomware and help locate cryptographic material that can be used to decrypt the data.
- **Move compromised system offline.** Taking the compromised system offline helps prevent the further spread of the ransomware to prevent further damage to the organization's data.
- **Backup compromised system.** Make backups of all data storage associated with the compromised system to prevent further damage to the data in case data recovery attempts fail.

## Software Engineering Institute

- Block network access to any identified C2 servers used by ransomware. Without access, ransomware would typically not be able to mount a secure encryption scheme. This response makes a data recovery attempt more plausible.
- **Identify the attack vector.** Recall emails suspected of carrying the ransomware attack throughout the enterprise network to prevent further spreading of the ransomware.
- **Restrict write access to network storage.** Restricting write access to network-accessible data storage until the network is clear of ransomware helps to protect the data and prevent the further spread of the ransomware.
- Notify authorities. Consider notifying appropriate authorities to help with the investigation.

### 4.3 System Configuration

While it is unlikely that systems can be completely ransomware-proofed, good system management can reduce the likelihood of a successful attack. The two biggest infection vectors for ransomware are email and websites. The likelihood of infection through either of these vectors can be reduced but not completely eliminated.

For email, the most important system configuration to use for reducing attacks is implementing robust filtering. The fewer spam and malicious email messages that are delivered, the less likely that users will open a malicious attachment or click a malicious link.

Other methods of decreasing the email attack surface include blocking executable attachments and using textonly email. A lot of ransomware is distributed as an executable. Stripping these from emails means that even if a malicious email passes a filter, infection is prevented since the payload was not delivered. Unfortunately, this approach does not help when ransomware is delivered as a Microsoft Office-type file containing macros. Textonly email prevents users from clicking on malicious links or downloading external content that might deliver a ransomware executable, though this does not prevent users from copying the URL into their browsers.

It is more difficult to prevent infection from malicious or compromised but otherwise legitimate websites. At a system level, keeping your web browser updated and using an ad blocker are the best options. Disabling Flash and Java also reduces the attack surface, but many organizations need these for day-to-day business.

More general methods for preventing malware are related to system maintenance and permissions. It is crucial to apply available operating system and software patches. Even if ransomware does not exploit software or operating system vulnerabilities, it may be delivered with an exploit kit that does.

There are many permission-related practices that help prevent or limit the impact of a ransomware attack. First, and most important, is limiting administrative rights on devices. Many exploit kits and some ransomware require permissions to install components or make system changes. As the ransomware starts with the permission of the current user, limiting those privileges can halt infection. Users should not be logging in with administrative rights for day-to-day activities and should revert to standard user permissions as soon as any administrative function is complete.

Other permission-related practices include restricting user write capabilities, preventing execution from user directories, whitelisting applications, and limiting access to network storage or shares. Some ransomware requires write access to specific file paths to install or execute. Limiting the write permission to a small number of directories (e.g., User/Documents and User/Downloads) means those ransomware variants can't successfully carry out their actions. Removing execution permission within those directories can also prevent ransomware

## Software Engineering Institute

executables from actually running. Many organizations have a limited set of applications that are used to conduct business. Having a whitelist-only policy for applications applied to systems should prevent any non-whitelisted application, including ransomware, from executing. Overall, permission-related practices are intended mostly for the containment of impact and to help limit spreading. Additionally, with the new data exfiltration behavior ransomware has implemented, organizations should encrypt data at rest to help mitigate the potential data breach threat now associated with some ransomware families.

Many ransomware variants no longer scan only the local drive to find files. They also are not limited to mapped drives but have the capability to find any connected network storage or share. To prevent encryption, these devices should require an explicit login at each access. Furthermore, the number of devices directly accessible through something like File Explorer should be limited. While it may add some burden to users, using an alternate method (e.g., SSH) to access these devices can decrease the likelihood that their contents are encrypted if any user is infected.

Using anti-malware programs is a more active way to protect against ransomware. These programs can be either file-based or behavior-based. File-based anti-malware or antivirus programs can quarantine or delete known ransomware variants delivered via email or website. Behavior-based anti-malware programs monitor application behavior and either (1) halts it if it starts acting as malware or (2) executes the file in a sandbox to check for malicious behavior before making the file fully available to end users. Unfortunately, the first option is usually too late because the ransomware usually encrypts several files before it is identified as ransomware. The second option also has drawbacks; the application may not run long enough in the sandbox to be flagged as malicious or the ransomware may use sandbox-evading techniques so that it does not seem malicious if it detects that it is executing in a sandboxed environment.

A more novel prevention method can help protect files against variants of ransomware that only encrypt files with certain file extensions—file extension mapping. This method involves creating a list of file extensions that are not currently used (check www.file-extensions.org), naming files using only those extensions, and using operating system file extension management to assign those extensions to the appropriate associated program. For example, an organization could use .ourworddocs instead of .docx for all Microsoft Word documents. This approach can help protect important files from encryption when other prevention methods have failed. Unfortunately, there are now several ransomware variants that encrypt all files unless the extension is on a whitelist.

Prevention Method	What It Helps With	Limitations
Use a spam filter	Reduces the likelihood of suc- cessful email-based attacks	Is ineffective against ransomware spread unwittingly by legitimate us- ers; filters miss some portion of spam, especially spear phishing attempts
Block executable email attach- ments	Prevents ransomware executables from being delivered directly in email	Does not prevent infection from mali- cious URLs embedded in the message

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> CERT Division researchers created this table.

Prevention Method	What It Helps With	Limitations
Use text-only email	Prevents accidental clicks of ma- licious URLs	Does not prevent users from copy- ing/pasting malicious URLs
Use an ad-blocker	Prevents infection delivery via web exploits	Only stops infections from blocked ads, not regular webpages or white- listed ad services
Update the OS and other soft- ware	Prevents ransomware from using remedied vulnerabilities	Is ineffective against unpatched vul- nerabilities
Limit administrator rights	Limits installation and the ability to make system changes	Only works if the user is not logged in with admin privileges and running services/applications can't be ex- ploited to elevate privilege
Restrict user write permissions	Prevents infections that write to restricted directories and limits encryption	Only works if user is not logged in with administrative privileges and running services/applications can't be exploited to elevate privilege; unre- stricted directories still vulnerable
Disallow execution within user directories	Prevents ransomware/exploit kits from running when downloaded as an executable	Only works if the executable file ends up in a protected user directory
Restrict applications to a white- list	Limits execution	Is ineffective if the ransomware exploited is an allowed application
Map file extensions	Hides files from ransomware that looks for specific file extensions	Is ineffective against ransomware that encrypts hard drives or all files but those in a whitelist
Limit connected storage and shares	Contains infection and limits its encryption	Only helps if the user remains discon- nected while the machine is infected; is often impractical
Use file-based anti-malware	Quarantines/removes known ran- somware variants	Is ineffective against new and rare variants
Use behavior-based anti-mal- ware	Stops known ransomware behav- ior	If using endpoint anti-malware, the ransomware is already executing when the behavior is identified as ma- licious; if using sandbox anti-mal- ware, the behavior may be different than when it is run on the endpoint and consequently the ransomware is not caught
Encrypt data at rest	Protects organization's infor- mation from ransomware's data exfiltration behavior	Does not protect against a ransom- ware attack; ransomware can still en- crypt your encrypted data.

## Software Engineering Institute

### 4.4 Network Configuration

While much can be done at the system level to stop and prevent ransomware, there is not much that can be done at the network level. For preventing initial infection, good general security practices can help. Firewalls that implement whitelisting or robust blacklisting can lessen the likelihood of successful web-based malware downloads and may prevent ransomware from connecting to C2 servers.

Firewalls should limit or completely block RDP and other remote management services. Vigorous spam lists and other spam-detection techniques can prevent most attack-laden emails from being delivered to users' inboxes. Limiting the file extensions that can be delivered by email can mitigate potential infections that could occur in phishing emails that pass the filtering.

Preventing the spread of ransomware from an infected internal host is more difficult. There are tools to detect malware behaving as a worm, which is behavior exhibited by several ransomware families. However, these tools are not likely to completely stop the spread, since it takes time to identify the behavior. Limiting the networked devices accessible from end-user devices can limit the spread of the infection.

Simply "hiding" network devices is not sufficient to prevent their access by ransomware. (G. 2016) If the device can be seen with a scan or something like File Explorer, the ransomware can see it too. If the active user of the compromised system has permission on the device, it is likely that the device will be compromised. If something like Active Directory is set up to not require users to directly log into every device, the ransomware operates under the active user without hindrance. This access means that if the user has write permissions, the ransomware can encrypt, replicate, and exfiltrate data. Even if there is no single sign-on type service, the ransomware or the range of encryption spreads to any device the user is currently logged into, anywhere there is a "Remember me" setting, and any system where the user logs in before realizing the infection.

The most effective way to prevent spreading is to disconnect infected devices (and those suspected of being infected) from the network as soon as possible. These devices include not only wired connections, but Wi-Fi and Bluetooth connections.

## Carnegie Mellon University Software Engineering Institute

## 5 Conclusion

Ransomware is a major threat. As the attack landscape rapidly expands toward healthcare, government, education, and larger enterprises, the complexity of ransomware technologies also evolves. The new behavior of data exfiltration and public disclosure of victim data demonstrates the adapting nature of ransomware developers' ability to pivot to increase ransom payments. Additionally, the advent of RaaS is an advancement that provides a platform for non-technical threat actors to utilize for their own ransomware campaigns and another avenue for ransomware developers to profit.

Organizations need to minimize their attack surface to the threat of ransomware. The best way to mitigate against ransomware is to sustain frequent offline backups of all data, which minimizes data loss and increases the likelihood of not paying ransomware operators. Additionally, regular active monitoring, patching, and security awareness training helps protect against ransomware infections.

From the perspective of an enterprise-level attack, losing data due to a ransomware attack is not the only reason that this malware seems to be so effective in collecting a ransom. When operations are disrupted, large organizations are pressured to find a solution as quickly as possible. Additionally, even though some organizations can avoid paying the ransom by utilizing data backups to restore their systems, they are now victims of data breaches when the ransomware publishes exfiltrated data due to ransom non-payment.

Despite all of these preventative measures, successful ransomware attacks should be expected. Organizations should consider the steps in how to prepare for an attack and what to do when triaging a ransomware incident. Every reactive step taken has consequences. Isolating the incident, preserving the compromised state, and rapidly restoring normal operations should be the highest priority.

Ransomware is using industry standard encryption algorithms that makes data recovery difficult without the decryption key. While the decision to pay or not pay ransoms is a controversial topic, the victim of compromise has other recovery options. Negotiations with attackers regarding ransom amounts and deadline extensions appear to be a common occurrence. Ultimately, it is up to the individual organization to determine whether or not to pay, but the FBI recommendation is not to pay the ransom to the attackers. (Halpern 2019)

Regulatory authorities and law enforcement are trying to stay on top of the ransomware problem and various guidelines were recently released to help organizations tackle the problem. This report attempted to expand threat awareness from the technical perspective and set the stage for a robust, thorough, and comprehensive response to ransomware.

Software Engineering Institute

## 6 Appendix

### 6.1 FuxSocy

YARA Rule(s): (Malpedia 2020)

```
rule win_fuxsocy_w0 {
        meta:
                author = "Stephan Simon <stephan.simon@binarydefense.com>"
                date = "2019-10-24"
                description = "A ransomware tweeted about by @malwrhunterteam"
                modified = "2019-10-24"
                reference = "https://twitter.com/malwrhunterteam/status/1187360440734625798"
                tlp = "WHITE"
                malpedia_reference = "https://malpedia.caad.fkie.fraunhofer.de/details/win.fuxsocy"
                malpedia version = "20191031"
                malpedia_license = "CC BY-SA 4.0"
                malpedia_sharing = "TLP:WHITE"
        strings:
                $n1 = "FuxSocy_Evaluated" wide
                $n2 = "FuxSocy InstallPlace" wide
                $n3 = "FuxSocy_Instance" wide
                s1 = "{RAND}" wide
                s2 = " x*x.exe" wide
                s3 = \%.4d-\%.2d-\%.2dT\%.2d:\%.2d:\%.2d'' wide
                s4 = "PT1M" wide
                s5 = "PTOS" wide
                s6 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} -
                s7 = ''/d /c \text{ start }'' '' s''' \text{ wide}
                $s8 = "Win32_ShadowCopy.ID='%s" wide
                $s9 = "SuperHidden" wide
                $s10 = "ShowSuperHidden" wide
                s11 = "Shell.IPC.\%s" wide
                s12 = \ wide
        condition:
                filesize <= 100KB and
                (1 of ($n*) or 4 of ($s*))
```

### Tox

contact:

AD049F565435C774D2A7D0A96FC2CC2E4AB5D6B860AEB52F2B1F6A01BB2682104F1361981FDE

Software Engineering Institute

### Changes file extensions using pattern:

LDLddD

Associated Files: (Woods 2019)

Trojan.Win32.Magniber.4!c

Generic.Ransom.Magniber.8486CAD0

Trojan.Ransom.Filecoder

Trojan-Ransom.Win32.Encoder.fsc

FuxSocy ENCRYPTOR.dll

\_readme.txt

readme.txt

Associated Registry Keys: (Carballo 2019)

Run\RunOnce

### Hashes [5,6]:

c6866a33a75b9c6c1d90e76729d6879206c7786f323fbbf9d0552c7b037fa87c

### 6.2 Globelmposter/Globelmposter 2.0

YARA Rule(s): (Malpedia 2020)

```
rule win_globeimposter_auto {
    meta:
        author = "Felix Bilstein - yara-signator at cocacoding dot com"
        date = "2019-11-26"
        version = "1"
        description = "autogenerated rule brought to you by yara-signator"
        tool = "yara-signator 0.1a"
        malpedia_reference = "https://malpedia.caad.fkie.fraunhofer.de/details/win.globeimposter"
        malpedia_version = "20190204"
        malpedia_license = "CC BY-NC-SA 4.0"
        malpedia_sharing = "TLP:WHITE"

/* DISCLAIMER
 * The strings used in this rule have been automatically selected from the
 * disassembly of memory dumps and unpacked files, using yara-signator.
```

\* The code and documentation / approach will be published in the near future here:

\* https://github.com/fxb-cocacoding/yara-signator

```
Software Engineering Institute
    * As Malpedia is used as data source, please note that for a given
    * number of families, only single samples are documented.
    * This likely impacts the degree of generalization these rules will offer.
    * Take the described generation method also into consideration when you
    * apply the rules in your use cases and assign them confidence levels.
    */
  strings:
      $sequence_0 = { 48 89442418 8b84245c060000 8b4008 8b0488 8b4c2418 }
        // n = 6, score = 400
        // 48
                         dec
                                        eax
        // 89442418
                                            dword ptr [esp + 0x18], eax
                             mov
        // 8b84245c060000
                                mov
                                               eax, dword ptr [esp + 0x65c]
        // 8b4008
                                           eax, dword ptr [eax + 8]
                           mov
        // 8b0488
                           mov
                                           eax, dword ptr [eax + ecx^{*}4]
        // 8b4c2418
                                            ecx, dword ptr [esp + 0x18]
                            mov
      $sequence 1 = { 0ff4e0 0fd4cb 0f6e7618 0ff4f0 }
        // n = 4, score = 400
        // 0ff4e0
                          | pmuludq
                                            mm4. mm0
        // 0fd4cb
                                           mm1, mm3
                           paddq
        // 0f6e7618
                            movd
                                            mm6, dword ptr [esi + 0x18]
        // 0ff4f0
                          | pmuludq
                                            mm6, mm0
      $sequence_2 = { 8bc2 c1e808 23c5 c1e108 }
        // n = 4, score = 400
        // 8bc2
                          mov
                                         eax, edx
        // c1e808
                           shr
                                         eax, 8
        // 23c5
                          and
                                         eax, ebp
        // c1e108
                           shl
                                         ecx, 8
      $sequence_3 = { 85ff 7452 8bef 8bf0 8b06 8d7604 }
        // n = 6, score = 400
        // 85ff
                         | test
                                       edi, edi
        // 7452
                                        0x54
                          | je
        // 8bef
                          | mov
                                         ebp, edi
        // 8bf0
                          mov
                                         esi, eax
        // 8b06
                                         eax, dword ptr [esi]
                          mov
        // 8d7604
                           lea
                                         esi, [esi + 4]
      $sequence_4 = { 7424 53 8d45fc 50 56 }
        // n = 5, score = 400
        // 7424
                                        0x26
                          | je
        // 53
                         push
                                        ebx
        // 8d45fc
                           lea
                                         eax, [ebp - 4]
```

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

Software Engineering Institute // 50 | push eax // 56 push esi \$sequence\_5 = { 3bfb 7504 33c0 eb5f 8b4308 } // n = 5, score = 400 // 3bfb | cmp edi, ebx // 7504 | jne 6 // 33c0 eax, eax | xor // eb5f | jmp 0x61 // 8b4308 eax, dword ptr [ebx + 8]mov \$sequence\_6 = { 8928 41 8d4014 3b4e6c 7cf5 } // n = 5, score = 400 // 8928 mov dword ptr [eax], ebp // 41 | inc ecx // 8d4014 lea eax, [eax + 0x14]ecx, dword ptr [esi + 0x6c]// 3b4e6c | cmp // 7cf5 0xfffffff7 | j1 \$sequence\_7 = { ff9638010000 85c0 7404 6acc } // n = 4, score = 400 // ff9638010000 dword ptr [esi + 0x138]call // 85c0 test eax, eax // 7404 je 6 // 6acc -0x34 push \$sequence\_8 = { e8???????? ff7608 e8??????? 59 83660400 83660800 c70601000000 } // n = 7, score = 400 // e8??????? dword ptr [esi + 8]// ff7608 push // e8??????? | pop // 59 ecx // 83660400 dword ptr [esi + 4], 0and // 83660800 dword ptr [esi + 8], 0and // c70601000000 dword ptr [esi], 1 mov \$sequence\_9 = { ff5014 33c0 eb05 b800afffff } // n = 4, score = 400 // ff5014 call dword ptr [eax + 0x14]// 33c0 eax, eax | xor // eb05 7 | jmp // b800afffff eax, 0xffffaf00 mov condition: 7 of them

}

Software Engineering Institute

```
rule win_globeimposter_g0 {
  meta:
    author = "Slavo Greminger, SWITCH-CERT"
    contributions = "Daniel Plohmann"
    malpedia_reference = "https://malpedia.caad.fkie.fraunhofer.de/details/win.globeimposter"
    malpedia_version = "20171130"
    malpedia_license = "CC BY-NC-SA 4.0"
    malpedia_sharing = "TLP:GREEN"
  strings:
    $str_cert = "CertificatesCheck" wide
    $str_read = "Read___ME.html" wide
    str_ID = "{ {IDENTIFIER } }"
    $str_rsa = "rsa_encrypt"
    $str_cmd1 = "vssadmin.exe Delete Shadows /All /Quiet"
    $str cmd2 = "reg delete \"HKEY CURRENT USER\\Software\\Microsoft\\Terminal Server "
    str_cmd3 = "for /F \"tokens="\" %1 in ('wevtutil.exe el') DO wevtutil.exe cl \"%1"
  condition:
    5 of them
```

**Extensions Appended to Encrypted File Names:** (New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell 2016)

.crypt, .pizdec, .FIX, .keepcalm, .vdul, .2cXpCihgsVxB3, .medal, .paycyka, .wallet, .3ncrypt3d, .skunk, .BRT92, .HAPP, .707, s1crypt, .au1crypt, .p1crypt, .GOTHAM, .crypt, .rose, .ocean, .Mixi, .725, .726, .help, .sea, .mtk118, .492, .astra, .coded, .txt, .ACTUM, .GRAFF, .JEEP, .BONUM, .GRANNY, .LEGO, .D2550A49BF52DFC23F2C013C5, .rumblegoodboy, .zuzya, .UNLIS, .0402, .Trump, .ReaGAN, .C8B089F, .needdecrypt, .write\_on\_email, .clinTON, .BUSH, .911, .apk .arena, .crypted!, .DREAM, .suddentax, .Nutella, .emilysupp

### Associated IP addresses:

185.98.7.180

103.198.0.2

### Associated URL:

www[.]zhaksylyk[.]kz

psoeiras[.]net

hxxp://n224ezvhg4sgyamb[.]onion/sup[.]php

Software Engineering Institute topyzscsu5poprxy[.]onion[.]link promultis[.]it•185.81.1[.]156 HTTP 288 GET/hg65fyJHG??JnqxSiUgE=JnqxSiUgE trombositting[.]org•91.214.114[.]209 HTTP 295 GET /af/hg65fyJHG?JnqxSiUgE=JnqxSiUgE hxxps://n224ezvhg4sgyamb.onion[.]link/efwdaq.php huhighwfn4jihtlz[.]onion/ap **Bitcoin Address:** 1MVMkqWS66JySLtkCdkmq9Hg4Y2TibR19N5 Associated Registry Keys: (Zhang 2017) RunOnce\CertificatesCheck Delete Shadows /All /Quiet Associated Emails: (New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell 2016) server5[@]mailfence[.]com keepcalmpls[@]india[.]com support24[@]india[.]com support24\_02[@]india[.]com happydaayz[@]aol[.]com strongman[@]india[.]com file\_free[@]protonmail[.]com koreajoin69[@]tutanota[.]com Decoder\_master[@]aol[.]com Decoder\_master[@]india[.]com legosfilos[@]aol[.]com crazyfoot\_granny[@]aol[.]com Ronald\_Reagan[@]derpymail[.]org Bill\_Clinton[@]derpymail[.]org George\_Bush[@]derpymail[.]org paradisecity[@]cock[.]li

Software Engineering Institute

dream\_dealer[@]aol[.]com

Hashes: (Zhang 2017) (VirusTotal 2020) (Abrams, New .DOC GlobeImposter Ransomware Variant Malspam Campaign Underway 2017)

e9378336 cf 81 b 38 b f 456 a 7 f 1 f 7 4580781 d 6 c f 423 c b a d 43 e b 516 a 8 b 6707 f f 2 e 4 c

3a9d5976fbf41daf80f0eb9e6b7aadcece52a82fe9609984ef7f8ea166048547

15e8c986c4602c61a474b51d250e03d5bb178eabc8c5a82a242c1a0fa2227704

 $10aa 60 f 4757637 b 6 b 934 c 8 a 4 d {\it ff16c52a6d1d24297a5f {\it ffdf846d32f 55155be0}$ 

a1cde05bb37cecfecc2ccfb57807d2db66393d73c6e88e129507ffcb70f0ba2e

72ddceebe717992c1486a2d5a5e9e20ad331a98a146d2976c943c983e088f66b

34c57b265c5f3a143ee334b3a651dc76207729b3889ec9959e0224ee640332bc

7bc1c0b67e76b761128ffc478554858a09aa6e5fbb7e57f1f58b3066f6c228fc

### 6.3 LockerGoga

YARA Rule(s): (Malpedia 2020)

```
rule win lockergoga w0 {
  meta:
    description = "Detects LockerGoga ransomware binaries"
    author = "Florian Roth"
    reference = "https://www.nrk.no/norge/skreddersydd-dobbeltangrep-mot-hydro-1.14480202"
    license = "https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/"
    date = "2019-03-19"
    hash1 = "c97d9bbc80b573bdeeda3812f4d00e5183493dd0d5805e2508728f65977dda15"
    hash2 = "7bcd69b3085126f7e97406889f78ab74e87230c11812b79406d723a80c08dd26"
    hash3 = "bdf36127817413f625d2625d3133760af724d6ad2410bea7297ddc116abc268f"
    malpedia_reference = "https://malpedia.caad.fkie.fraunhofer.de/details/win.lockergoga"
    malpedia version = "20190320"
    malpedia_license = "CC BY-NC-SA 4.0"
    malpedia_sharing = "TLP:WHITE"
  strings:
    x1 = \.(doc|dot|wbk|docx|dotx|docb|xlm|xlsx|xltx|xlsb|xlw|ppt|pot|pps|pptx|potx|ppsx|sldx|pdf) wide
    x^2 = ||[A-Za-z]:||cl.log|| wide
    x4 = "\crypto-locker\" ascii
    $xc1 = { 00 43 00 6F 00 6D 00 70 00 61 00 6E 00 79 00 4E
     00 61 00 6D 00 65 00 00 00 00 00 4D 00 6C 00 63
     00 72 00 6F 00 73 00 6F 00 66 00 74 }
    $xc2 = { 00 2E 00 6C 00 6F 00 63 00 6B 00 65 00 64 00 00
     00 20 46 41 49 4C 45 44 20 00 00 00 00 20 00 00
     00 20 75 6E 6B 6E 6F 77 6E 20 65 78 63 65 70 74
```

```
69 6F 6E }
     $rn1 = "This may lead to the impossibility of recovery of the certain files." wide
  condition:
     1 of ($x*) or $rn1
}
rule win_lockergoga_auto {
  meta:
     author = "Felix Bilstein - yara-signator at cocacoding dot com"
     date = "2019-11-26"
     version = "1"
     description = "autogenerated rule brought to you by yara-signator"
     tool = "yara-signator 0.1a"
     malpedia reference = "https://malpedia.caad.fkie.fraunhofer.de/details/win.lockergoga"
     malpedia version = "20190204"
     malpedia_license = "CC BY-NC-SA 4.0"
     malpedia sharing = "TLP:WHITE"
  /* DISCLAIMER
   * The strings used in this rule have been automatically selected from the
   * disassembly of memory dumps and unpacked files, using yara-signator.
   * The code and documentation / approach will be published in the near future here:
   * https://github.com/fxb-cocacoding/yara-signator
   * As Malpedia is used as data source, please note that for a given
   * number of families, only single samples are documented.
   * This likely impacts the degree of generalization these rules will offer.
   * Take the described generation method also into consideration when you
   * apply the rules in your use cases and assign them confidence levels.
   */
  strings:
     sequence 0 = \{ eb05 e8??????? 83c404 8b95f4feffff 32c0 8bfa c745fc10000000 \}
       // n = 7, score = 400
       // eb05
                                        7
                         | jmp
       // e8???????
                           // 83c404
                          add
                                         esp, 4
       // 8b95f4feffff
                                           edx, dword ptr [ebp - 0x10c]
                           mov
       // 32c0
                         xor
                                        al. al
       // 8bfa
                                        edi, edx
                         mov
       // c745fc1000000
                              mov
                                              dword ptr [ebp - 4], 0x10
     $sequence_1 = { e8??????? 8bf0 eb02 33f6 8b3d??????? 85ff 7431 }
       // n = 7, score = 400
       // e8???????
```

Software Engineering Institute

```
// 8bf0
                   | mov
                                   esi, eax
  // eb02
                                  4
                    | jmp
  // 33f6
                                  esi, esi
                   | xor
  // 8b3d???????
  // 85ff
                   test
                                 edi, edi
  // 7431
                    | je
                                 0x33
$sequence_2 = { 50 e8??????? 8d4da4 c645fc18 e8??????? 8d4dd4 c645fc19 }
  // n = 7, score = 400
  // 50
                   | push
                                  eax
  // e8???????
                      // 8d4da4
                     lea
                                   ecx, [ebp - 0x5c]
  // c645fc18
                     mov
                                     byte ptr [ebp - 4], 0x18
  // e8???????
                      // 8d4dd4
                     | lea
                                   ecx, [ebp - 0x2c]
  // c645fc19
                     mov
                                     byte ptr [ebp - 4], 0x19
$sequence 3 = { ff7518 8b08 ff7514 ff7510 8b9184000000 8bc8 ff750c }
  // n = 7, score = 400
  // ff7518
                    push
                                   dword ptr [ebp + 0x18]
  // 8b08
                                   ecx, dword ptr [eax]
                    mov
                                   dword ptr [ebp + 0x14]
  // ff7514
                    push
  // ff7510
                    | push
                                   dword ptr [ebp + 0x10]
  // 8b9184000000
                        mov
                                        edx, dword ptr [ecx + 0x84]
  // 8bc8
                    mov
                                   ecx, eax
  // ff750c
                    | push
                                   dword ptr [ebp + 0xc]
$sequence_4 = { d1e9 0bce 8bf2 c1e61f 894804 83ef01 75e8 }
  // n = 7, score = 400
  // d1e9
                    shr
                                  ecx, 1
  // 0bce
                   or
                                 ecx, esi
  // 8bf2
                   mov
                                   esi, edx
  // cle61f
                                  esi, 0x1f
                    shl
  // 894804
                                    dword ptr [eax + 4], ecx
                    mov
  // 83ef01
                                   edi, 1
                    sub
  // 75e8
                                  0xffffffea
                    | ine
$sequence_5 = { 89758c eb09 56 8d4d88 e8???????? 8d856cffffff 50 }
  // n = 7, score = 400
  // 89758c
                                    dword ptr [ebp - 0x74], esi
                     mov
  // eb09
                                  0xb
                    | jmp
  // 56
                   push
                                  esi
  // 8d4d88
                                   ecx, [ebp - 0x78]
                     lea
  // e8???????
                      //
     8d856cffffff
                      lea
                                    eax, [ebp - 0x94]
```

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

```
// 50
                    | push
                                   eax
  $sequence_6 = { e8??????? 8b0e 8d45a0 50 8b7114 8bce ff15??????? }
    // n = 7, score = 400
    // e8???????
                        // 8b0e
                      mov
                                     ecx, dword ptr [esi]
    // 8d45a0
                                    eax, [ebp - 0x60]
                      lea
    // 50
                     | push
                                   eax
    // 8b7114
                       mov
                                      esi, dword ptr [ecx + 0x14]
    // 8bce
                     | mov
                                     ecx, esi
    // ff15??????
                        $sequence_7 = { 5b e8??????? c9 c23400 55 8bec 83ec30 }
    // n = 7, score = 400
    // 5b
                     | pop
                                   ebx
    // e8???????
                        // c9
                    leave
    // c23400
                                    0x34
                       | ret
    // 55
                     | push
                                   ebp
    // 8bec
                      mov
                                     ebp, esp
    // 83ec30
                       sub
                                     esp, 0x30
  $sequence_8 = { ffb57cffffff 8d851cffffff 56 52 50 e8??????? 83c414 }
    // n = 7, score = 400
    // ffb57cffffff
                                      dword ptr [ebp - 0x84]
                       push
    // 8d851cffffff
                        lea
                                      eax, [ebp - 0xe4]
    // 56
                     | push
                                   esi
    // 52
                     | push
                                   edx
    // 50
                     | push
                                   eax
    // e8???????
                        // 83c414
                       add
                                     esp, 0x14
  $sequence_9 = { c745ec0f000000 c645d800 897598 897594 e8??????? 8b45a0 0245a4 }
    // n = 7, score = 400
    // c745ec0f000000
                                          dword ptr [ebp - 0x14], 0xf
                           mov
    // c645d800
                                       byte ptr [ebp - 0x28], 0
                        mov
    // 897598
                                      dword ptr [ebp - 0x68], esi
                       | mov
    // 897594
                       mov
                                      dword ptr [ebp - 0x6c], esi
    // e8???????
                        // 8b45a0
                                      eax, dword ptr [ebp - 0x60]
                       mov
                                     al, byte ptr [ebp - 0x5c]
    // 0245a4
                       add
condition:
  7 of them
```

Software Engineering Institute
Associated Files: (Trend Micro 2019) (Neumann and Natvig 2019)
README_LOCKED.txt
README-NOW.txt
%Program Files%
%ProgramData%
%System Root%\Recycle Bin
%System Root%\Boot
Associated Registry Keys: (Trend Micro 2019)
$(HKEY\_CURRENT\_USER\SOFTWARE\Microsoft\RestartManager\Session00\{01-20\}]$
Associated Emails: (Neumann and Natvig 2019)
AbbsChevis@protonmail.com
IjuqodiSunovib98@o2.pl
CottleAkela@protonmail.com
QyavauZehyco1994@o2.pl
DutyuEnugev89@o2.pl
SchreiberEleonora@protonmail.com
PhanthavongsaNeveyah@protonmail.com
AperywsQaroci@o2.pl
RomanchukEyla@protonmail.com
CouwetIzotofo@o2.pl
SuzuMcpherson@protonmail.com
AsuxidOruraep1999@o2.pl
MayarChenot@protonmail.com
QicifomuEjijika@o2.pl
SayanWalsworth96@protonmail.com
RezawyreEdipi1998@o2.pl
DharmaParrack@protonmail.com

Software Engineering Institute

wyattpettigrew8922555@mail.com

Hashes: (Trend Micro 2019) (VirusTotal 2020) (Neumann and Natvig 2019)

88d149f3e47dc337695d76da52b25660e3a454768af0d7e59c913995af496a0f

ba15c27f26265f4b063b65654e9d7c248d0d651919fafb68cb4765d1e057f93f

7bcd69b3085126f7e97406889f78ab74e87230c11812b79406d723a80c08dd26

C3d334cb7f6007c9ebee1a68c4f3f72eac9b3c102461d39f2a0a4b32a053843a

### 6.4 SamSam

YARA Rule(s): (GitHub 2020)

rule SAmSAmRansom2016 { meta: author = "Christiaan Beek" date = "2018-01-25" strings: \$x1 = "Could not list processes locking resource. Failed to get size of result." fullword wide \$s2 = "Could not list processes locking resource." fullword wide \$s3 = "samsam.del.exe" fullword ascii \$s4 = "samsam.exe" fullword wide \$s5 = "RM UNIQUE PROCESS" fullword ascii \$s6 = "KillProcessWithWait" fullword ascii \$s7 = "killOpenedProcessTree" fullword ascii \$s8 = "RM\_PROCESS\_INFO" fullword ascii  $s9 = "Exception caught in process: {0}" fullword wide$ \$s10 = "Could not begin restart session. Unable to determine file locker." fullword wide \$s11 = "samsam.Properties.Resources.resources" fullword ascii \$s12 = "EncryptStringToBytes" fullword ascii \$s13 = "recursivegetfiles" fullword ascii \$s14 = "RSAEncryptBytes" fullword ascii \$s15 = "encryptFile" fullword ascii \$s16 = "samsam.Properties.Resources" fullword wide \$s17 = "TSSessionId" fullword ascii \$s18 = "Could not register resource." fullword wide \$s19 = "<recursivegetfiles>b\_\_0" fullword ascii \$s20 = "create\_from\_resource" fullword ascii  $p = \{ 96\ 00\ e0\ 00\ 29\ 00\ 0b\ 00\ 34\ 23 \}$  $p= \{ 96\ 00\ 12\ 04\ f9\ 00\ 34\ 00\ 6c\ 2c \}$  $p2 = \{72 a5 0a 00 70 a2 06 20 94\}$ 

```
condition:
     (uint16(0) == 0x5a4d and
      filesize < 700KB and
      pe.imphash() == "f34d5f2d4577ed6d9ceec516c1f5a744" and (1 of (<math>x^*) and 4 of them) and all of
($op*)
     ) or (all of them)
  }
 rule SamSam_Ransomware_Latest
  {
   meta:
     description = "Latest SamSA ransomware samples"
     author = "Christiaan Beek"
     reference = "http://blog.talosintelligence.com/2018/01/samsam-evolution-continues-netting-
over.html"
     date = "2018-01-23"
    strings:
     $s1 = "bedf08175d319a2f879fe720032d11e5" fullword wide
     $s2 = "ksdghksdghkddgdfgdfgfd" fullword ascii
     $s3 = "osieyrgvbsgnhkflkstesadfakdhaksjfgyjqqwgjrwgehjgfdjgdffg" fullword ascii
     $s4 = "5c2d376c976669efaf9cb107f5a83d0c" fullword wide
     $s5 = "B917754BCFE717EB4F7CE04A5B11A6351EEC5015" fullword ascii
     $s6 = "f99e47c1d4ccb2b103f5f730f8eb598a" fullword wide
     $s7 = "d2db284217a6e5596913e2e1a5b2672f" fullword wide
     $s8 = "0bddb8acd38f6da118f47243af48d8af" fullword wide
     $s9 = "f73623dcb4f62b0e5b9b4d83e1ee4323" fullword wide
     $s10 = "916ab48e32e904b8e1b87b7e3ced6d55" fullword wide
     $s11 = "c6e61622dc51e17195e4df6e359218a2" fullword wide
     $s12 = "2a9e8d549af13031f6bf7807242ce27f" fullword wide
     $s13 = "e3208957ad76d2f2e249276410744b29" fullword wide
     $s14 = "b4d28bbd65da97431f494dd7741bee70" fullword wide
     \$s15 = "81ee346489c272f456f2b17d96365c34" fullword wide
     $s16 = "94682debc6f156b7e90e0d6dc772734d" fullword wide
     $s17 = "6943e17a989f11af750ea0441a713b89" fullword wide
     $s18 = "b1c7e24b315ff9c73a9a89afac5286be" fullword wide
     $s19 = "90928fd1250435589cc0150849bc0cff" fullword wide
     $s20 = "67da807268764a7badc4904df351932e" fullword wide
     $op0 = { 30 01 00 2b 68 79 33 38 68 34 77 65 36 34 74 72 }
     p1 = \{ 01 00 b2 04 00 00 01 00 84 \}
     p2 = \{ 68 09 00 00 38 66 00 00 23 55 53 00 a0 6f 00 00 \}
    condition:
     (\text{uint}16(0) == 0x5a4d \text{ and}
```

Software Engineering Institute

 $filesize < 100KB and \\ pe.imphash() == "f34d5f2d4577ed6d9ceec516c1f5a744" and ( 8 of them ) and all of ($op*) ) or ( all of them )$ 

Hashes: (Malwarebytes Labs 2018) (VirusTotal 2020)

036071786d7db553e2415ec2e71f3967baf51bdc31d0a640aa4afb87d3ce3050

88e344977bf6451e15fe202d65471a5f75d22370050fe6ba4dfa2c2d0fae7828

ffef 0f1c2df157e9c2ee65a12d5b7b0f1301c4da22e7e7f3eac6b03c6487a626

0f2c5c39494f15b7ee637ad5b6b5d00a3e2f407b4f27d140cd5a821ff08acfac

a763ed678a52f77a7b75d55010124a8fccf1628eb4f7a815c6d635034227177e

### 6.5 MedusaLocker

YARA Rule(s): (ditekshen 2019)

rule MedusaLocker {		
meta:		
author = "ditekshen"		
description = "MedusaLocker Ransomware Payload"		
cape_type = "MedusaLocker Payload"		
strings:		
$s1 = \MedusaLockerInfo\MedusaLockerProject\MedusaLocker\MedusaLocker\MedusaLocker$		
saLocker.pdb" ascii		
\$s2 = "SOFTWARE\\Medusa" wide		
\$s3 = "{8761ABBD-7F85-42EE-B272-A76179687C63}" fullword wide		
\$s4 = "{3E5FC7F9-9A51-4367-9063-A120244FBEC7}" fullword wide		
\$s5 = "{6EDD6D74-C007-4E75-B76A-E5740995E24C}" fullword wide		
\$s6 = "vssadmin.exe delete" wide nocase		
<pre>\$s7 = "bcdedit.exe /set {default}" wide</pre>		
\$s8 = "wbadmin delete systemstatebackup" wide nocase		
\$s9 = ".exe,.dll,.sys,.ini,.lnk,.rdp,.encrypted" fullword ascii		
s10 = [LOCKER] wide		
condition:		
uint16(0) == 0x5a4d and 6 of them		

### Associated URLs: (NCFTA 2019)

zjoxyw5mkacojk5ptn2iprkivg5clow72mjkyk5ttubzxprjjnwapkad[.]onion/pay

hxxps://pbs[.]twimg[.]com/media/Dn4vwaRW0AY-tUu[.]jpg:large

Software Engineering Institute

Associated IP Address: (NCFTA 2019)

40.81.94[.]65:123

Associated Files: (Abrams, MedusaLocker Ransomware Wants Its Share of Your Money 2019)

File Path: "C:\Windows\SysWOW64\cmd.exe" /c vssadmin.exe delete shadows /all /quiet & bcdedit /set {default} bootstatuspolicy ignoreallfailures & bcdedit /set {default} recovery enabled no & wbadmin delete catalog -quiet & wmic shadowcopy delete

File Path: cmd.exe /c schtasks.exe /create /sc onstart /tn "NEMTY\_<FIELD>\_"/tr "C:\Users\user\AdobeUpdate.exe"

Associated Registry Keys: (Walter, How MedusaLocker Ransomware Aggressively Targets Remote Hosts 2019)

HKCU\SOFTWARE\Medusa

 $HKLM \ SOFTWARE \ Microsoft \ Windows \ Current \ Version \ Policies \ System \ "Enable \ Linked \ Connections" = 1$ 

Associated Emails: (Abrams, MedusaLocker Ransomware Wants Its Share of Your Money 2019) (NCFTA 2019)

elzmflqxj[@]tutanota[.]de

helpdesk\_nemty[@]aol[.]com

sambolero[@]tutanoa[.]com

rightcheck[@]cock[.]li

folieloi[@]protonmail[.]com

ctorsenoria[@]tutnota[.]com

mrromber[@]tutnota[.]com

decoder83540[@]protonmail[.]com

decoder83540[@]cock[.]li

Hash: (Walter, How MedusaLocker Ransomware Aggressively Targets Remote Hosts 2019)

dde 3 c 98 b 6 a 370 f b 8 d 1785 f 3134 a 76 c b 465 c d 663 d b 20 d f f e 011 d a 57 a 4 d e 37 a a 95

72f9d83c7852f2247e24113cb379fff71c06f095910726ea79479f16aac6070f

### 6.6 Ryuk

YARA Rule(s): (Malpedia 2020)

Software Engineering Institute

```
rule win_ryuk_auto {
  meta:
    author = "Felix Bilstein - yara-signator at cocacoding dot com"
    date = "2019-11-26"
    version = "1"
    description = "autogenerated rule brought to you by yara-signator"
    tool = "yara-signator 0.1a"
    malpedia_reference = "https://malpedia.caad.fkie.fraunhofer.de/details/win.ryuk"
    malpedia_version = "20190204"
    malpedia_license = "CC BY-NC-SA 4.0"
    malpedia_sharing = "TLP:WHITE"
  /* DISCLAIMER
   * The strings used in this rule have been automatically selected from the
   * disassembly of memory dumps and unpacked files, using yara-signator.
   * The code and documentation / approach will be published in the near future here:
   * https://github.com/fxb-cocacoding/yara-signator
   * As Malpedia is used as data source, please note that for a given
   * number of families, only single samples are documented.
   * This likely impacts the degree of generalization these rules will offer.
   * Take the described generation method also into consideration when you
   * apply the rules in your use cases and assign them confidence levels.
   */
  strings:
    sequence 0 = \{ c3 4053 4883ec20 8bc1 498bd8 33c9 4c8bda \}
       // n = 7, score = 600
       // c3
                        dec
                                       eax
       // 4053
                                         eax, ebx
                         mov
       // 4883ec20
                           dec
                                          eax
       // 8bc1
                         add
                                        esp, 0x30
       // 498bd8
                                         ebx
                          | pop
       // 33c9
                         | ret
       // 4c8bda
                          dec
                                         eax
    $sequence_1 = { 415e c3 4053 4883ec20 488bd9 33c9 48890b }
       // n = 7, score = 600
       // 415e
                         | mov
                                         dword ptr [esp + 8], ebx
       // c3
                        dec
                                       eax
       // 4053
                                         dword ptr [esp + 0x10], ebp
                         mov
       // 4883ec20
                           | ret
```

| inc

| push

| dec

eax

ebx

eax

// 488bd9

// 48890b

// 33c9

```
$sequence_2 = { ff15??????? b803000000 eb05 b805000000 }
  // n = 4, score = 600
  // ff15???????
                     // b803000000
                      sub
                                   esp, 0x20
  // eb05
                  mov
                                 eax, ecx
  // b805000000
                      dec
                                   ecx
$sequence_3 = { 4983c8ff e8??????? 488bc3 4883c430 5b c3 48895c2408 }
  // n = 7, score = 600
  // 4983c8ff
                    dec
                                 ecx
  // e8??????
                    // 488bc3
                   or
                                eax, 0xffffffff
  // 4883c430
                    dec
                                  eax
  // 5b
                  mov
                                eax, ebx
  // c3
                 dec
                               eax
  // 48895c2408
                     add
                                   esp, 0x30
$sequence_4 = { e8??????? e8??????? b9e8030000 ff15???????? }
  // n = 4, score = 600
  // e8???????
  // e8???????
  // b9e8030000
                      jmp
                                    0xc
  // ff15???????
$sequence_5 = { b80900000 e9??????? 4533c9 4533c0 }
  // n = 4, score = 600
  // b80900000
                      | pop
                                    ebx
  // e9???????
                     // 4533c9
                   ret
  // 4533c0
                   dec
                                 eax
$sequence_6 = { 4c8d05??????? 488d15??????? 488d0d???????? ff15??????? 85c0 }
  // n = 5, score = 600
  // 4c8d05??????
  // 488d15??????
  // 488d0d???????
  // ff15???????
  // 85c0
                  | dec
                                eax
$sequence_7 = { eb04 4883c020 4883c428 c3 48895c2408 57 4883ec30 }
  // n = 7, score = 600
  // eb04
                  | inc
                                eax
  // 4883c020
                     push
                                   ebx
  // 4883c428
                     | dec
                                  eax
```

Software Engineering Institute

```
// c3
                 sub
                               esp, 0x20
  // 48895c2408
                     mov
                                    eax, ecx
  // 57
                 dec
                               ecx
  // 4883ec30
                    mov
                                   ebx, eax
$sequence_8 = { 50 e8??????? 50 57 6800000030 e8??????? }
  // n = 6, score = 400
  // 50
                 | push
                               eax
  // e8???????
                     // 50
                 push
                               eax
  // 57
                 push
                               edi
  // 680000030
                      push
                                    0x30000000
  // e8???????
                     $sequence_9 = { 6800000030 e8??????? 83c408 85c0 }
  // n = 4, score = 400
  // 680000030
                                    0x30000000
                      push
  // e8???????
                     // 83c408
                   add
                                 esp, 8
  // 85c0
                  test
                               eax, eax
$sequence_10 = { b900000030 2bc1 50 51 e8??????? 59 }
  // n = 6, score = 400
  // b90000030
                      mov
                                    ecx, 0x30000000
  // 2bc1
                  sub
                                eax, ecx
                 | push
  // 50
                               eax
  // 51
                  push
                               ecx
  // e8???????
                     // 59
                 | pop
                               ecx
$sequence_11 = { 8b4508 2d00000030 50 6800000030 e8???????? }
  // n = 5, score = 400
  // 8b4508
                                  eax, dword ptr [ebp + 8]
                   mov
  // 2d0000030
                                   eax, 0x30000000
                     sub
  //
     50
                 push
                               eax
  // 680000030
                                    0x30000000
                      push
  // e8???????
                     $sequence_12 = { 8d45f0 64a30000000 8965e8 c745fc00000000 680000030 }
  // n = 5, score = 400
                                eax, [ebp - 0x10]
  // 8d45f0
                   lea
                                     dword ptr fs:[0], eax
  // 64a30000000
                       mov
                                  dword ptr [ebp - 0x18], esp
  // 8965e8
                   | mov
                                      dword ptr [ebp - 4], 0
  // c745fc0000000
                       mov
                                    0x30000000
  // 680000030
                      | push
```

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

```
$sequence_13 = { c745fc00000000 6800000030 e8??????? 83c404 85c0 7454 8b4508 }
  // n = 7, score = 400
  // c745fc0000000
                                       dword ptr [ebp - 4], 0
                        mov
                                     0x30000000
  // 680000030
                      push
  // e8???????
                     // 83c404
                   add
                                  esp, 4
  // 85c0
                   test
                                eax, eax
  // 7454
                   | ie
                                0x56
  // 8b4508
                                   eax, dword ptr [ebp + 8]
                    mov
$sequence_14 = { 6823110030 51 e8??????? 8bf0 }
  // n = 4, score = 300
  // 6823110030
                      | push
                                     0x30001123
  // 51
                  push
                                ecx
  // e8???????
                     // 8bf0
                  mov
                                 esi, eax
$sequence_15 = { 754f b90b010000 66398818000030 7541 8b4508 b900000030 2bc1 }
  // n = 7, score = 300
  // 754f
                  jne
                                0x51
  // b90b010000
                                     ecx, 0x10b
                      mov
  // 66398818000030
                        | cmp
                                       word ptr [eax + 0x3000018], cx
  // 7541
                   | ine
                                0x43
  // 8b4508
                                   eax, dword ptr [ebp + 8]
                    mov
  // b90000030
                      mov
                                     ecx, 0x30000000
  // 2bc1
                   sub
                                 eax, ecx
sequence_{16} = \{ 8d0c49 c1e103 51 6a00 \}
  // n = 4, score = 200
  // 8d0c49
                                 ecx, [ecx + ecx*2]
                    lea
  // c1e103
                                 ecx, 3
                    shl
  // 51
                  push
                                ecx
  // 6a00
                                 0
                  | push
$sequence_17 = { 6a00 ffd6 6800400000 6a40 }
  // n = 4, score = 200
                                 0
  // 6a00
                   push
  // ffd6
                  call
                                esi
                                     0x4000
  // 6800400000
                      push
  // 6a40
                   push
                                 0x40
$sequence_18 = { 57 ff15??????? b80c000000 5f }
  // n = 4, score = 200
  // 57
                  | push
                                edi
```

Software Engineering Institute

```
// ff15???????
                      T
  // b80c000000
                       mov
                                      eax, 0xc
  // 5f
                  | pop
                                 edi
$sequence 19 = { 2bf0 33c0 66890473 83ffff }
  // n = 4, score = 200
  // 2bf0
                   sub
                                 esi, eax
  // 33c0
                   | xor
                                  eax, eax
  // 66890473
                      mov
                                     word ptr [ebx + esi^2], ax
  // 83ffff
                   | cmp
                                  edi, -1
$sequence_20 = { 6a00 ff15??????? 6a00 6a02 6a03 6a00 }
  // n = 6, score = 200
  // 6a00
                   sub
                                  eax, ecx
  // ff15???????
  // 6a00
                   push
                                  eax
  // 6a02
                   push
                                  ecx
  // 6a03
                   add
                                  esp, 4
  // 6a00
                   test
                                 eax, eax
$sequence_21 = { c20400 8bff 55 8bec b8ffff0000 83ec18 }
  // n = 6, score = 200
  // c20400
                    | push
                                   eax
  // 8bff
                   | push
                                  ecx
  // 55
                  | mov
                                  eax, dword ptr [ebp + 8]
  // 8bec
                   mov
                                  ecx, 0x3000000
  // b8ffff0000
                     sub
                                    eax, ecx
  // 83ec18
                     | push
                                   eax
$sequence_22 = { 6a0a c1e818 51 50 }
  // n = 4, score = 200
  // 6a0a
                   | push
                                  0xa
  // c1e818
                                  eax, 0x18
                    shr
  // 51
                  | push
                                 ecx
  // 50
                  push
                                 eax
$sequence_23 = { ff15??????? b808000000 5f 5e }
  // n = 4, score = 200
  // ff15???????
                      // b80800000
                                      eax, 8
                       mov
  // 5f
                                 edi
                  pop
  // 5e
                  | pop
                                 esi
$sequence_24 = { 7e19 ff734c 4e 0fbe0406 }
  // n = 4, score = 100
```

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

Software Engineering Institute

```
// 7e19
                       | jle
                                     0x1b
      // ff734c
                        push
                                       dword ptr [ebx + 0x4c]
       // 4e
                       dec
                                     esi
      // 0fbe0406
                          movsx
                                          eax, byte ptr [esi + eax]
    $sequence_25 = { 0f849e000000 833e00 0f8595000000 6a44 e8???????? }
      // n = 5, score = 100
      // 0f849e000000
                                         0xa4
                            je
      // 833e00
                         cmp
                                        dword ptr [esi], 0
                                          0x9b
      // 0f8595000000
                            jne
                                       0x44
      // 6a44
                       push
      // e8??????
                          S
  condition:
    7 of them
}
import "pe"
rule MAL_Ryuk_Ransomware {
 meta:
   description = "Detects strings known from Ryuk Ransomware"
   author = "Florian Roth"
   reference = "https://research.checkpoint.com/ryuk-ransomware-targeted-campaign-break/"
   date = "2018-12-31"
   hash1 = "965884f19026913b2c57b8cd4a86455a61383de01dabb69c557f45bb848f6c26"
   hash2 = "b8fcd4a3902064907fb19e0da3ca7aed72a7e6d1f94d971d1ee7a4d3af6a800d"
 strings:
   x_1 = "/v \ svchos'' / f" fullword wide
   $x2 = "\\Documents and Settings\\Default User\\finish" fullword wide
   x_3 = "\vert users \\Public \\finish" fullword wide
   $x4 = "lsaas.exe" fullword wide
   $x5 = "RyukReadMe.txt" fullword wide
 condition:
   uint16(0) == 0x5a4d and filesize < 400KB and (
     pe.imphash() == "4a069c1abe5aca148d5a8fdabc26751e" or
     pe.imphash() == "dc5733c013378fa418d13773f5bfe6f1" or
     1 of them
   )
```

Hashes: (VirusTotal 2020) (Cohen and Herzog 2018)

8d3f68b16f0710f858d8c1d2c699260e6f43161a5510abb0e7ba567bd72c965b

3012 f472969327 d5 f8 c9 da c63 b8 ea 9 c5 cb0 de 002 d16 c120 a6 bba4685120 f58 b4 ba4685120 f58 b58 b4 ba4685120 f58 b4 ba4685100 f58 b4 ba4685100 f58 b4 ba46851000 f58 b4 ba468500 f58 b4 ba4685000 f58 b4 ba468500 f58 b4 ba468500 f58 b4 ba4685000 f58 b4 ba46850

### Software Engineering Institute

b8e463789a076b16a90d1aae73cea9d3880ac0ead1fd16587b8cd79e37a1a3d8 9b86a50b36aea5cc4cb60573a3660cf799a9ec1f69a3d4572d3dc277361a0ad2 113af75f13547be184822f1268f984b79f35965a1b1f963d23b50a09741b0aec 1455091954ecf9ccd6fe60cb8e982d9cfb4b3dc8414443ccfdfc444079829d56 c51024bb119211c335f95e731cfa9a744fcdb645a57d35fb379d01b7dbdd098e 23f8aa94ffb3c08a62735fe7fee5799880a8f322ce1d55ec49a13a3f85312db2 0300a6367a69ac95bd0dd2e8572a0aa44540898f9d12ba7bfbd25a0ed51cfe2c 113af75f13547be184822f1268f984b79f35965a1b1f963d23b50a09741b0aec 1455091954ecf9ccd6fe60cb8e982d9cfb4b3dc8414443ccfdfc444079829d56 23f8aa94ffb3c08a62735fe7fee5799880a8f322ce1d55ec49a13a3f85312db2 3012f472969327d5f8c9dac63b8ea9c5cb0de002d16c120a6bba4685120f58b4 8b0a5fb13309623c3518473551cb1f55d38d8450129d4a3c16b476f7b2867d7d 8d3f68b16f0710f858d8c1d2c699260e6f43161a5510abb0e7ba567bd72c965b 9b86a50b36aea5cc4cb60573a3660cf799a9ec1f69a3d4572d3dc277361a0ad2 b8e463789a076b16a90d1aae73cea9d3880ac0ead1fd16587b8cd79e37a1a3d8 c51024bb119211c335f95e731cfa9a744fcdb645a57d35fb379d01b7dbdd098e d083ecc1195602c45d9cb75a08c395ad7d2b0bf73d7e70e2fc76101c780dd38f dd0691992d947366f1b9caf2acc1fec951f761a39ca3863e81bc2c3fb5efd415 de708f2807f96938e5eb0295d5ebfee870b34dd0cb70708607d4e1adf767ce7b df3d947eb72a7b10f90222ae5a0aab0aade66f0bc1d3812c1b0366e6e8456591 e75622957decf1594c2cbe726ff0aaba4a509dab7b77721d3db16977f224ae4a fe55650d8b1b78d5cdb4ad94c0d7ba7052351630be9e8c273cc135ad3fa81a75 fe909d18cf0fde089594689f9a69fbc6d57b69291a09f3b9df1e9b1fb724222b

### 6.7 Nemty

YARA Rule(s): (Malpedia 2020)

rule win\_nemty\_auto { meta: author = "Felix Bilstein - yara-signator at cocacoding dot com"

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

Software Engineering Institute

```
date = "2019-11-26"
  version = "1"
  description = "autogenerated rule brought to you by yara-signator"
  tool = "yara-signator 0.1a"
  malpedia reference = "https://malpedia.caad.fkie.fraunhofer.de/details/win.nemty"
  malpedia_version = "20190204"
  malpedia_license = "CC BY-NC-SA 4.0"
  malpedia_sharing = "TLP:WHITE"
/* DISCLAIMER
* The strings used in this rule have been automatically selected from the
* disassembly of memory dumps and unpacked files, using yara-signator.
* The code and documentation / approach will be published in the near future here:
* https://github.com/fxb-cocacoding/yara-signator
* As Malpedia is used as data source, please note that for a given
* number of families, only single samples are documented.
* This likely impacts the degree of generalization these rules will offer.
* Take the described generation method also into consideration when you
* apply the rules in your use cases and assign them confidence levels.
*/
strings:
  $sequence_0 = { 0302 8945c8 8b4dfc 8b511c }
    // n = 4, score = 100
    // 0302
                      add
                                     eax, dword ptr [edx]
    // 8945c8
                       mov
                                       dword ptr [ebp - 0x38], eax
    // 8b4dfc
                                      ecx, dword ptr [ebp - 4]
                       mov
    // 8b511c
                       mov
                                       edx, dword ptr [ecx + 0x1c]
  $sequence_1 = { 8b11 6a30 8b4dc8 8b4220 ffd0 }
    // n = 5, score = 100
    // 8b11
                      mov
                                      edx, dword ptr [ecx]
    // 6a30
                      push
                                     0x30
                                       ecx, dword ptr [ebp - 0x38]
    // 8b4dc8
                       mov
    // 8b4220
                                       eax, dword ptr [edx + 0x20]
                       mov
    // ffd0
                     call
                                   eax
  $sequence_2 = { 8b4df0 c741040000000 8b55f0 c70228234200 8b45f0 c70038234200 }
    // n = 6, score = 100
                                      ecx, dword ptr [ebp - 0x10]
    // 8b4df0
                       mov
                                           dword ptr [ecx + 4], 0
    // c741040000000
                            mov
    // 8b55f0
                                      edx, dword ptr [ebp - 0x10]
                       mov
    // c70228234200
                           mov
                                          dword ptr [edx], 0x422328
    // 8b45f0
                                      eax, dword ptr [ebp - 0x10]
                       mov
    // c70038234200
                                          dword ptr [eax], 0x422338
                           mov
```

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

```
$sequence_3 = { 8908 8b55fc 8b421c c7000000000 }
  // n = 4, score = 100
  // 8908
                                  dword ptr [eax], ecx
                   mov
  // 8b55fc
                    mov
                                   edx, dword ptr [ebp - 4]
  // 8b421c
                    mov
                                   eax, dword ptr [edx + 0x1c]
                                       dword ptr [eax], 0
  // c7000000000
                        mov
$sequence_4 = { c645fc01 8d8d3cffffff e8??????? 8d8dc0feffff 51 8b4dd4 e8???????? }
  // n = 7, score = 100
  // c645fc01
                                    byte ptr [ebp - 4], 1
                     mov
  // 8d8d3cffffff
                                   ecx, [ebp - 0xc4]
                     lea
  // e8???????
                      // 8d8dc0feffff
                     lea
                                   ecx, [ebp - 0x140]
  // 51
                  push
                                 ecx
  // 8b4dd4
                    mov
                                   ecx, dword ptr [ebp - 0x2c]
  // e8???????
                     $sequence_5 = { 52 8b451c 50 8b4df8 51 6834264200 8d55f0 }
  // n = 7, score = 100
  // 52
                                 edx
                  | push
  // 8b451c
                                   eax, dword ptr [ebp + 0x1c]
                    mov
  // 50
                  | push
                                 eax
  // 8b4df8
                    mov
                                   ecx, dword ptr [ebp - 8]
  // 51
                  push
                                 ecx
  // 6834264200
                       | push
                                     0x422634
  // 8d55f0
                                  edx, [ebp - 0x10]
                    lea
$sequence_6 = { 7516 83c8ff e9??????? c745e4d80e8302 a1??????? eb5e ff775c }
  // n = 7, score = 100
  // 7516
                                 0x18
                   | ine
  // 83c8ff
                                 eax, 0xffffffff
                   or
  // e9???????
  // c745e4d80e8302
                                        dword ptr [ebp - 0x1c], 0x2830ed8
                         mov
  // a1???????
                     // eb5e
                                  0x60
                   | jmp
  // ff775c
                                  dword ptr [edi + 0x5c]
                   push
$sequence_7 = { eb92 c745e80000000 eb09 8b55e8 83c201 8955e8 817de88fd44500 }
  // n = 7, score = 100
                                  0xffffff94
  // eb92
                   | jmp
                                        dword ptr [ebp - 0x18], 0
  // c745e80000000
                         mov
  // eb09
                   | jmp
                                  0xb
  // 8b55e8
                                   edx, dword ptr [ebp - 0x18]
                    mov
  // 83c201
                     add
                                   edx, 1
```

Software Engineering Institute

```
// 8955e8 | mov dword ptr [ebp - 0x18], edx
// 817de88fd44500 | cmp dword ptr [ebp - 0x18], 0x45d48f
condition:
    1 of them
}
```

### **Registry Keys:** (Trend Micro 2019)

[HKLM\SOFTWARE\WOW6432Node\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\RunOnce\wextract\_cleanup0]

Email Addresses: (GoldSparrow, Nemty Ransomware 2020)

elzmflqxi[@]tutanota[.]de

helpdesk.nemty[@]aol[.]com

Associated URLs: (GoldSparrow, Nemty Ransomware 2020) (Acronis 2019)

hxxp://api-db-ip[.]com/v2/free/{IP address}/countryName

zjoxyw5mkacojk5ptn2iprkivg5clow72mjkyk5ttubzxprjjnwapkad.onion/pay

hxxp://www.reg.com

hxxp://autohotkey.com

hxxps://dist.torproject.org/torbrowser/8.5.4/tor-win32-0.4.0.5.zip

Hashes: (Ilascu, Nemty Ransomware Now Spreads via Trik Botnet 2019) (VirusTotal 2020) (Acronis 2019)

a 127323192 a b e d 93 a e d 53648 d 03 c a 84 d e 3 b 5 b 006 b 6 41033 e b 46 a 520 b 7 a 3 c 16 f c

2c41b93add9ac5080a12bf93966470f8ab3bde003001492a10f63758867f2a88

f3e743c919c1deaf5108d361c4ff610187606f450fabda0bea3786d4063511b1

3d852ca618763ced2e280f0c0079e804935b70dcd4adc3912c2e2b3965e196c4

### 6.8 MegaCortex

YARA Rule(s): (Ilascu, Nemty Ransomware Gets Distribution from RIG Exploit Kit 2019)

```
rule win_megacortex_auto {
    meta:
        author = "Felix Bilstein - yara-signator at cocacoding dot com"
        date = "2019-11-26"
        version = "1"
        description = "autogenerated rule brought to you by yara-signator"
        tool = "yara-signator 0.1a"
        malpedia_reference = "https://malpedia.caad.fkie.fraunhofer.de/details/win.megacortex"
```

Software Engineering Institute

```
malpedia_version = "20190204"
  malpedia license = "CC BY-NC-SA 4.0"
  malpedia_sharing = "TLP:WHITE"
/* DISCLAIMER
* The strings used in this rule have been automatically selected from the
* disassembly of memory dumps and unpacked files, using yara-signator.
* The code and documentation / approach will be published in the near future here:
* https://github.com/fxb-cocacoding/yara-signator
* As Malpedia is used as data source, please note that for a given
* number of families, only single samples are documented.
* This likely impacts the degree of generalization these rules will offer.
* Take the described generation method also into consideration when you
* apply the rules in your use cases and assign them confidence levels.
*/
strings:
  $sequence 0 = { 8b10 2bc1 8d4aff f7d9 1bc9 23c1 8b4da8 }
    // n = 7, score = 200
    // 8b10
                      mov
                                      edx, dword ptr [eax]
    // 2bc1
                      sub
                                     eax, ecx
    // 8d4aff
                                     ecx, [edx - 1]
                      lea
    // f7d9
                      neg
                                     ecx
    // 1bc9
                      sbb
                                     ecx, ecx
    // 23c1
                      and
                                     eax, ecx
    // 8b4da8
                       mov
                                       ecx, dword ptr [ebp - 0x58]
  $sequence_1 = { 56 50 e8?????? 83c418 8bf0 8d855cfeffff 50 }
    // n = 7, score = 200
    // 56
                                    esi
                     | push
    // 50
                     push
                                    eax
    // e8???????
    // 83c418
                       add
                                      esp, 0x18
    // 8bf0
                      | mov
                                     esi, eax
    // 8d855cfeffff
                         lea
                                       eax, [ebp - 0x1a4]
    // 50
                     push
                                    eax
  $sequence_2 = { 8d4dcc 85c0 b801000000 0f44d0 8955cc eb19 8b5708 }
    // n = 7, score = 200
    // 8d4dcc
                       lea
                                     ecx, [ebp - 0x34]
    // 85c0
                      test
                                    eax, eax
    // b801000000
                          mov
                                         eax. 1
    // 0f44d0
                       | cmove
                                       edx, eax
    // 8955cc
                                       dword ptr [ebp - 0x34], edx
                       mov
```

jmp

0x1b

// eb19

```
// 8b5708
                       | mov
                                     edx, dword ptr [edi + 8]
    $sequence_3 = { c743080000000 c745fc00000000 8db520ffffff c7851cfffff01000000 8bfe 8bce
898d14ffffff }
      // n = 7, score = 200
      // c743080000000
                           mov
                                          dword ptr [ebx + 8], 0
      // c745fc0000000
                                         dword ptr [ebp - 4], 0
                           mov
      // 8db520ffffff
                                     esi, [ebp - 0xe0]
                        lea
                               | mov dword ptr [ebp - 0xe4], 1
      // c7851cffffff01000000
      // 8bfe
                                    edi, esi
                      mov
      // 8bce
                                    ecx, esi
                      mov
      // 898d14ffffff
                                       dword ptr [ebp - 0xec], ecx
                        mov
    $sequence_4 = { d1c1 894df4 8bc8 8bc6 c1c105 034df4 33c7 }
      // n = 7, score = 200
      // d1c1
                      | rol
                                   ecx, 1
      // 894df4
                                     dword ptr [ebp - 0xc], ecx
                       mov
      // 8bc8
                      mov
                                    ecx, eax
      // 8bc6
                      mov
                                    eax, esi
      // c1c105
                       | rol
                                    ecx, 5
      // 034df4
                                    ecx, dword ptr [ebp - 0xc]
                       add
      // 33c7
                                   eax, edi
                      xor
    $sequence_5 = { c745fc01000000 e8??????? 59 e8???????? c3 55 8bec }
      // n = 7, score = 200
      // c745fc01000000
                           | mov
                                         dword ptr [ebp - 4], 1
      // e8???????
                        // 59
                     | pop
                                   ecx
      // e8???????
                        // c3
                     | ret
      // 55
                     push
                                   ebp
      // 8bec
                      mov
                                    ebp, esp
    $sequence_6 = { 8b4308 8d0488 83c0fc 0f1f800000000 833800 7508 83e804 }
      // n = 7, score = 200
      // 8b4308
                                     eax, dword ptr [ebx + 8]
                       mov
                                    eax, [eax + ecx*4]
      // 8d0488
                       lea
      // 83c0fc
                       add
                                    eax, -4
      // 0f1f800000000
                           | nop
                                        dword ptr [eax]
                                     dword ptr [eax], 0
      // 833800
                       | cmp
      // 7508
                      | jne
                                   0xa
      // 83e804
                       sub
                                    eax. 4
    // n = 7, score = 200
```

Software Engineering Institute

```
// 0bf0
                     or
                                   esi, eax
    // c783c400000000000000
                                 | mov dword ptr [ebx + 0xc4], 0
                                       eax, byte ptr [ebp - 0xf]
    // 0fb645f1
                       movzx
    // 0bc8
                      or
                                   ecx, eax
    // 89b304010000
                                          dword ptr [ebx + 0x104], esi
                          mov
    // 0fb645f2
                       | movzx
                                       eax, byte ptr [ebp - 0xe]
    // c1e108
                       shl
                                    ecx, 8
  $sequence_8 = { d1e8 f6410401 8945fc 740a b909000000 8d3409 eb13 }
    // n = 7, score = 200
    // d1e8
                      | shr
                                   eax, 1
    // f6410401
                                     byte ptr [ecx + 4], 1
                        test
    // 8945fc
                      mov
                                      dword ptr [ebp - 4], eax
    // 740a
                      | je
                                   0xc
    // b90900000
                         mov
                                        ecx, 9
    // 8d3409
                       lea
                                     esi, [ecx + ecx]
    // eb13
                      jmp
                                    0x15
  $sequence_9 = { 1bd2 2bf8 23ca b8abaaaa2a f7ef 03ce 890b }
    // n = 7, score = 200
    // 1bd2
                      sbb
                                    edx, edx
    // 2bf8
                     sub
                                    edi, eax
    // 23ca
                     and
                                    ecx, edx
    // b8abaaaa2a
                                        eax, 0x2aaaaaab
                        mov
    // f7ef
                     | imul
                                    edi
    // 03ce
                     add
                                    ecx, esi
    // 890b
                                     dword ptr [ebx], ecx
                      mov
condition:
  7 of them
```

**Contact emails:** (Abrams, New Megacortex Ransomware Changes Windows Passwords, Threatens to Publish Data 2019)

redacted@redacted.com

Registry Keys: (GoldSparrow, MegaCortex Ransomware 2019)

 $HKEY\_LOCAL\_MACHINE \ SOFTWARE \ Microsoft \ Windows \ Current \ Version \ Policies \ System$ 

### **IP Addresses:**

89.105.198.28

Email Addresses: (Trend Micro 2019) (GoldSparrow, MegaCortex Ransomware 2019)

shawhart154295[@]mail.com

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited

## Software Engineering Institute

anderssperry6654818[@]mail.com

borismcfallen[@]mail.com

kirkmansion[@]mail.com

Hashes: (MalwareHunterTeam 2019) (VirusTotal 2020)

77ee63e36a52b5810d3a31e619ec2b8f5794450b563e95e4b446d5d3db4453b2

cafe2f5999d945d907eacd5b9d79d5a3bdfa1777d6f3a32f4564daeeaa1f8477

873aa376573288fcf56711b5689f9d2cf457b76bbc93d4e40ef9d7a27b7be466

### 6.9 Maze

Yara Rule(s): (bartblaze 2019)

```
rule Maze
{
meta:
description = "Identifies Maze ransomware in memory or unpacked."
        author = "@bartblaze"
        date = "2019-11"
        tlp = "White"
strings:
        = "Enc: %s" ascii wide
        $ = "Encrypting whole system" ascii wide
        $ = "Encrypting specified folder in --path parameter..." ascii wide
        $ = "!Finished in %d ms!" ascii wide
        $ = "--logging" ascii wide
        \$ = "-nomutex" ascii wide
        \$ = "-noshares" ascii wide
        = "--path" ascii wide
        $ = "Logging enabled | Maze" ascii wide
        $ = "NO SHARES | " ascii wide
        $ = "NO MUTEX | " ascii wide
        $ = "Encrypting:" ascii wide
        $ = "You need to buy decryptor in order to restore the files." ascii wide
 = "Dear %s, your files have been encrypted by RSA-2048 and ChaCha algorithms" ascii wide
$ = "%s! Alert! %s! Alert! Dear %s Your files have been encrypted by %s! Attention! %s" ascii wide
        $ = "DECRYPT-FILES.txt" ascii wide fullword
condition:
        5 of them
```
Software Engineering Institute

#### Associated URLs: (NCFTA 2019)

hxxp://92.63.8[.]47/content/news/snjw.jsp?a=c6&l=qf8g8tqly

hxxp://92.63.32[.]2/bf.aspx?kwh=j4438k31h

hxxp://92.63.37[.]100/qn.do?juw=aj

hxxp://92.63.194[.]20/jcwgydcca.jspx?mwhi=0v3v3h&ojr=i03rqp4h7&dm=7ghn2a8a1

hxxp://92.63.17[.]245/check/she.do

hxxp://92.63.32[.]55/content/view/ov.jsp?pb=p8&afvb=6cfp5w&i=7f76t2v&au=54p5

hxxp://92.63.11[.]151/webaccess/webauth/pqcadpkov.asp?cf=p4&h=ej&kchk=416

hxxp://92.63.194[.]3/hlbrhcrogk.php?t=k3&i=snti74x&qbfw=wu043276&vjy=0

hxxp://92.63.15[.]8/tracker/xgmuy.jspx?v=s76snl&j=4su31f2i&e=26f2110r&yh=w1a21f3p

hxxp://92.63.29[.]137/doqlgpv.php?rgbf=23tkkklxs

hxxp://92.63.32[.]57/view/b.phtml?tlqv=r088&agf=uj

hxxp://92.63.15[.]56/yrawf.asp?i=0ry&kml=8usd357b&dhj=m7x&lyps=uew8h86

hxxp://92.63.11[.]151/checkout/create/jvjmed.phtml?a=4j

hxxp://92.63.32[.]52/ticket/ciqwisje.jspx?cdgn=005205lg1

hxxp://92.63.15[.]6/withdrawal/ccuc.jsp?l=1pe41u76p7

hxxp://104.168.198[.]208/wordupd.tmp

hxxp://104.168.215[.]54/wordupd.tmp

hxxp://104.168.174[.]32/wordupd\_3.0.1.tmp

hxxp://192.119.68[.]225/wordupd1.tmp

hxxp://91.218.114[.]38/ticket/qrerqapv.jsp

hxxp://91.218.114[.]25/check/evjgdec.jspx

hxxp://91.218.114[.]79/archive/eqsuxsjii.aspx

hxxp://91.218.114[.]32/yelwkdt.shtml

hxxp://91.218.114[.]4/webaccess/sepa/aos.action

hxxp://91.218.114[.]79/archive/eqsuxsjii.aspx

hxxp://91.218.114[.]77/withdrawal/wywbiubddg.php

Software Engineering Institute hxxp://91.218.114[.]11/kgtlakrun.phtml hxxp://91.218.114[.]37/messages/sepa/udopsfgpy.action hxxp://91.218.114[.]37/messages/sepa/udopsfgpy.action hxxp://91.218.114[.]31/edit/irtfdlapkb.jsp hxxp://91.218.114[.]26/post/update/u.asp hxxps://mazedecrypt[.]top/1dcb0b851e857d00 hxxp://aoacugmutagkwctu[.]onion/1dcb0b851e857d00 hxxp://www.mazenews[.]top

Associated IP Addresses: (Abrams, Maze Ransomware Says Computer Type Determines Ransom Amount 2019)

92.63.32[.]2

92.63.37[.]100

92.63.194[.]20

92.63.17[.]245

92.63.32[.]55

92.63.11[.]151

92.63.194[.]3

92.63.15[.]8

92.63.29[.]137

92.63.32[.]57

92.63.15[.]56

92.63.32[.]52

92.63.8[.]47

92.63.15[.]6

49.51.171[.]58

8.208.76[.]132

146.0.72[.]85

Software Engineering Institute

Associated Files: (Abrams, Maze Ransomware Says Computer Type Determines Ransom Amount 2019) (Meskauskas 2019)

File Path: %ProgramData%\foo.dat

File Path: C:\f\ojo\..\..\system32\som\vnt\...\..\wbem\q\wdun\jnw\...\..\wmic.exe" shadowcopy delete

DECRYPT-FILES[.]html

%ProgramData%\foo.dat

Associated Emails: (Abrams, Maze Ransomware Says Computer Type Determines Ransom Amount 2019)

filedecryptor[@]nuke[.]Africa

koradec[@]tutanota[.]com

yourrealdecrypt[@]airmail[.]cc

gladkoff1991[@]yandex[.]ru

**Hashes**: (Abrams, Maze Ransomware Says Computer Type Determines Ransom Amount 2019) (Walter, Maze Ransomware Update: Extorting and Exposing Victims 2020) (VirusTotal 2020)

91514e6be3f581a77daa79e2a4905dcbdf6bdcc32ee0f713599a94d453a26fc1

e8a091a84dd2ea7ee429135ff48e9f48f7787637ccb79f6c3eb42f34588bc684

34d05620f964945a59d1da2c76e45c1d214affd42094b2de31d38739a9241970

5badaf28bde6dcf77448b919e2290f95cd8d4e709ef2d699aae21f7bae68a76c

7 c 03 b 49 d 24 c 948 f 838 b 737 f b 476 d 57849 a 1 f d 6 b 205 f 94214 b f 2a 5 a 3 b 7 a 3 6 f 17 a

8d995102e28d96bb93016e3abbb38b4597b9e497668fa7a0e10cf28db105516b

ecd04ebbb3df053ce4efa2b73912fd4d086d1720f9b410235ee9c1e529ea52a2

#### 6.10 Sodinokibi

YARA Rule(s): (VirusTotal 2020)

rule ransomware_sodinokibi {
meta:
description = "Using a recently disclosed vulnerability in Oracle WebLogic, criminals use it to install
a new variant of ransomware called "Sodinokibi"
author = "Christiaan Beek   McAfee ATR team"
date = "2019-05-13"
hash1 = "95ac3903127b74f8e4d73d987f5e3736f5bdd909ba756260e187b6bf53fb1a05"
hash2 = "34dffdb04ca07b014cdaee857690f86e490050335291ccc84c94994fa91e0160"

Software Engineering Institute

```
hash3 = "0fa207940ea53e2b54a2b769d8ab033a6b2c5e08c78bf4d7dade79849960b54d"
     hash4 = "9b62f917afa1c1a61e3be0978c8692dac797dd67ce0e5fd2305cc7c6b5fef392"
    strings:
      $x1 = "sodinokibi.exe" fullword wide
      v_0 = \{ 8d 85 6c \text{ ff ff } 50 53 50 e 8 62 82 00 00 83 c 4 \}
      $y1 = { e8 24 ea ff ff ff 75 08 8b ce e8 61 fc ff ff 8b }
      y_2 = \{ e_{801} 6_{4} f_{f} f_{f} f_{b} 6_{b} b_{0} \}
    condition:
      (\text{uint}16(0) == 0x5a4d \text{ and filesize} < 900KB \text{ and pe.imphash}() ==
"672b84df309666b9d7d2bc8cc058e4c2" and ( 8 of them ) and all of (y*)
      ) or (all of them)
  }
  rule Sodinokobi
  {
     This rule detects Sodinokobi Ransomware in memory in old samples and perhaps future.
    */
    meta:
                = "McAfee ATR team"
       author
       version = "1.0"
       description = "This rule detect Sodinokobi Ransomware in memory in old samples and perhaps fu-
ture."
    strings:
       $a = { 40 0F B6 C8 89 4D FC 8A 94 0D FC FE FF FF 0F B6 C2 03 C6 0F B6 F0 8A 84 35 FC FE
FF FF 88 84 0D FC FE FF FF 88 94 35 FC FE FF FF 0F B6 8C 0D FC FE FF FF }
       $b = { 0F B6 C2 03 C8 8B 45 14 0F B6 C9 8A 8C 0D FC FE FF FF 32 0C 07 88 08 40 89 45 14 8B
45 FC 83 EB 01 75 AA }
    condition:
       all of them
```

#### **Registry Key:**

IP Addresses: (Cadieux, et al. 2019)

188.166.74[.]218

45.55.211[.]79

130.61.54[.]136

URLs: (Cadieux, et al. 2019)

Software Engineering Institute

arg0s-co[.]uk projectstore[.]guru **Hashes:** (Cadieux, et al. 2019) (VirusTotal 2020) (Malwarebytes Labs 2019) 963e31fef7c8db9e002c56ee30fd3cd4b240db466bc23687979e2f161ba5606e 0fa207940ea53e2b54a2b769d8ab033a6b2c5e08c78bf4d7dade79849960b54d 95ac3903127b74f8e4d73d987f5e3736f5bdd909ba756260e187b6bf53fb1a05 34dffdb04ca07b014cdaee857690f86e490050335291ccc84c94994fa91e0160 9b62f917afa1c1a61e3be0978c8692dac797dd67ce0e5fd2305cc7c6b5fef392

Software Engineering Institute

### **References/Bibliography**

- URLs are valid as of the publication date of this document. The No More Ransom Project. 2019. The No More Ransom Project; DECRYPTION TOOLS. https://www.nomoreransom.org/en/decryption-tools.html.
- Abrams, Lawrence. 2019. *Allied Universal Breached by Maze Ransomware, Stolen Data Leaked*. BleepingComputer. November 21. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/allied-universal-breached-by-maze-ransomware-stolen-data-leaked/.
- —. 2019. Elusive MegaCortex Ransomware Found Here is What We Know. BleepingComputer. July 19. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/elusive-megacortex-ransomware-found-here-iswhat-we-know/.
- —. 2019. Exploit Kits Target Windows Users with Ransomware and Trojans. BleepingComputer. September 9. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/exploit-kits-target-windows-users-withransomware-and-trojans/.
- —. 2019. FBI Issues Alert For LockerGoga and MegaCortex Ransomware. BleepingComputer. December 23. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/fbi-issues-alert-for-lockergoga-and-megacortex-ransomware/.
- —. 2019. Maze Ransomware Says Computer Type Determines Ransom Amount. BleepingComputer. May 31. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/maze-ransomware-says-computer-type-determinesransom-amount/.
- —. 2019. MedusaLocker Ransomware Wants Its Share of Your Money. BleepingComputer. October 22. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/medusalocker-ransomware-wants-its-share-ofyour-money/.
- —. 2017. New .DOC GlobeImposter Ransomware Variant Malspam Campaign Underway. BleepingComputer. December 22. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/new-doc-globeimposter-ransomware-variant-malspam-campaign-underway/.
- —. 2019. New FuxSocy Ransomware Impersonates the Notorious Cerber. BleepingComputer. October 25. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/new-fuxsocy-ransomware-impersonates-thenotorious-cerber/.
- —. 2019. New Megacortex Ransomware Changes Windows Passwords, Threatens to Publish Data. BleepingComputer. November 5. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/new-megacortexransomware-changes-windows-passwords-threatens-to-publish-data/.
- —. 2019. Sodinokibi Ransomware Now Pushed by Exploit Kits and Malvertising. BleepingComputer. June 24. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/sodinokibi-ransomware-now-pushed-by-exploit-kits-and-malvertising/.
- Acronis. 2019. *Threat Analysis: Nemty Ransomware and the Fake PayPal Site*. Acronis. October 7. https://www.acronis.com/en-us/blog/posts/threat-analysis-nemty-ransomware-and-fake-paypal-site.
- Balaban, David. 2020. *Why CISOs Should Worry About Sodinokibi Ransomware*. CISO MAG. February 6. https://www.cisomag.com/sodinokibi-ransomware/.
- bartblaze. 2019. bartblaze. GitHub. November 24. https://github.com/Yara-Rules/rules/pull/360/files.

- Barth, Bradley. 2019. *MegaCortex ransomware variant threatens data breach, alters credentials*. SC Media. November 8. https://www.scmagazine.com/home/security-news/ransomware/megacortex-ransomware-variant-threatens-data-breach-alters-credentials/.
- Bauer, Roderick. 2019. *More People Than Ever Backing Up According to Our Survey*. July 9. https://www.backblaze.com/blog/more-people-than-ever-backing-up-according-to-our-survey/.
- Boyd, Christopher. 2019. SamSam ransomware: what you need to know. Malwarebytes Labs. November 17. https://blog.malwarebytes.com/cybercrime/2018/05/samsam-ransomware-need-know/.
- Cadieux, Pierre, Colin Grady, Jaeson Schultz, and Matt Valites. 2019. *Sodinokibi ransomware exploits WebLogic Server vulnerability*. Cisco Talos. April 30. https://blog.talosintelligence.com/2019/04/sodinokibi-ransomware-exploits-weblogic.html.
- Carballo, Anthony. 2019. *Threat Report FuxSocy Ransomware*. knogin. October 29. https://blog.knogin.com/threat-report-fuxsocy-ransomware.
- Cimpanu, Catalin. 2016. Security Firm Releases Decrypter for Alma Locker Ransomware. Softpedia. August 25. http://news.softpedia.com/news/security-firm-releases-free-decrypter-for-alma-locker-ransomware-507613.shtml.
- Cohen, Itay, and Ben Herzog. 2018. *Ryuk Ransomware: A Targeted Campaign Break-Down*. Check Point Research. August 20. https://research.checkpoint.com/2018/ryuk-ransomware-targeted-campaign-break/.
- Coveware. 2019. *Ransom amounts rise 90% in Q1 as Ryuk increases*. Coveware. https://www.coveware.com/blog/2019/4/15/ransom-amounts-rise-90-in-q1-as-ryuk-ransomware-increases?utm source=emsisoft.
- —. 2019. Ransomware Costs Double in Q4 as Ryuk, Sodinokibi Proliferate. Coveware. https://www.coveware.com/blog/2020/1/22/ransomware-costs-double-in-q4-as-ryuk-sodinokibiproliferate.
- Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA). 2020. *Ransomware*. Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA). https://www.us-cert.gov/Ransomware.
- —. 2018. SamSam Ransomware. Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA). December 3. https://www.us-cert.gov/ncas/alerts/AA18-337A.
- Cyware Social. 2019. A Glance At The Ever-evolving Globeimposter Ransomware. Cyware . October 29. https://cyware.com/news/a-glance-at-the-ever-evolving-globeimposter-ransomware-1ef3c773.
- ditekshen. 2019. *CAPE/MedusaLocker.yar at master · ctxis/CAPE · GitHub*. GitHub. October 26. https://github.com/ctxis/CAPE/blob/master/data/yara/CAPE/MedusaLocker.yar.
- Emsisoft Malware Lab. 2019. *The State of Ransomware in the US: Report and Statistics 2019*. Emsisoft. December 12. https://blog.emsisoft.com/en/34822/the-state-of-ransomware-in-the-us-report-and-statistics-2019/.
- Fakterman, Tom. 2019. *Sodinokibi: The Crown Prince of Ransomware*. Cybereason. August 5. https://www.cybereason.com/blog/the-sodinokibi-ransomware-attack.
- G., Steven. 2016. *How does ransomware find unmapped network shares*? Spiceworks. May 4. https://community.spiceworks.com/topic/1594288-how-does-ransomware-find-unmapped-network-shares.
- GitHub. 2020. The world's leading software development platform · GitHub. GitHub. https://github.com.

- GoldSparrow. 2019. *MegaCortex Ransomware*. EnigmaSoft. May 2. https://www.enigmasoftware.com/megacortexransomware-removal/.
- ---. 2020. *Nemty Ransomware*. EnigmaSoft. February 23. https://www.enigmasoftware.com/nemtyransomware-removal/.
- Hall, Gabriel E. 2020. *Sodinokibi creators leak and sell data stolen from organizations*. 2SPYWARE. 3 21. https://www.2-spyware.com/sodinokibi-creators-leak-and-sell-data-stolen-from-organizations.
- Halpern, Mollie. 2019. FBI, This Week: Advocating Against Ransomware Payment Demands. FBI. August 22. https://www.fbi.gov/audio-repository/ftw-podcast-ransomware-082219.mp3/view.
- Hanel, Alexander. 2019. Big Game Hunting with Ryuk: Another Lucrative Targeted Ransomware. CrowdStrike. January 10. https://www.crowdstrike.com/blog/big-game-hunting-with-ryuk-anotherlucrative-targeted-ransomware/.
- Hope, Alicia. 2020. *Ransomware Costs in 2019*. CPO Magazine. January 15. https://www.cpomagazine.com/cyber-security/ransomware-costs-in-2019/.
- Ilascu, Ionut. 2019. *Nemty Ransomware Gets Distribution from RIG Exploit Kit.* BleepingComputer. September 3. https://www.bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/nemty-ransomware-gets-distribution-from-rig-exploit-kit/.
- Infradata. 2019. *What is SamSam Ransomware?* Infradata. https://www.infradata.com/resources/what-is-samsam-ransomware/.
- Jareth. 2020. Ransomware data exfiltration detection and mitigation strategies. Emsisoft. January 23. https://blog.emsisoft.com/en/35235/ransomware-data-exfiltration-detection-and-mitigation-strategies/.
- Kim, Christopher. 2019. *MegaCortex Ransomware*. Infoblox. https://www.infoblox.com/wpcontent/uploads/threat-intelligence-report-megacortex-ransomware.pdf.
- Klein, Andy. 2015. Locker: Cryptolocker Progeny Awakens. Backblaze. June 12. https://www.backblaze.com/blog/locker-cryptolocker-progeny-awakens/.
- Kline, Amanda. 2017. *Globe Imposter Ransomware Makes a New Run*. PhishLabs. August 17. https://info.phishlabs.com/blog/globe-imposter-ransomware-makes-a-new-run.
- Lopez, Marc Rivero. 2019. LockerGoga Ransomware Family Used in Targeted Attacks. McAfee. April 29. https://www.mcafee.com/blogs/other-blogs/mcafee-labs/lockergoga-ransomware-family-used-intargeted-attacks/.
- Malpedia. 2020. Malpedia. Fraunhofer FKIE. https://malpedia.caad.fkie.fraunhofer.de.
- Malware Guide. 2020. *How To Remove Maze Ransomware And Restore Infected Data*. Malware Guide. https://malware-guide.com/blog/how-to-remove-maze-ransomware-and-restore-infected-data.
- Malwarebytes Labs. 2017. *Ransom.GlobeImposter*. Malwarebytes Labs. August 9. https://blog.malwarebytes.com/detections/ransom-globeimposter/.
- -. 2019. Ransom.Ryuk. January 3. https://blog.malwarebytes.com/detections/ransom-ryuk/.
- 2019. Ransom.Sodinokibi. Malwarebytes. July 18. https://blog.malwarebytes.com/detections/ransomsodinokibi/.
- —. 2018. SamSam ransomware: controlled distribution for an elusive malware. Malware Labs. June 19. https://blog.malwarebytes.com/threat-analysis/2018/06/samsam-ransomware-controlled-distribution/.

- MalwareHunterTeam. 2019. *MalwareHunterTeam on Twitter*. Twitter. October 16. https://twitter.com/malwrhunterteam/status/1184459976506576896.
- Manuel, Jasper, and Joie Salvio. 2019. *LockerGoga: Ransomware Targeting Critical Infrastructure*. Fortinet. April 11. https://www.fortinet.com/blog/threat-research/lockergoga-ransomeware-targeting-critical-infrastructure.html.
- McAfee Labs. 2019. *McAfee ATR Analyzes Sodinokibi aka REvil Ransomware-as-a-Service What The Code Tells Us.* McAfee. October 2. https://www.mcafee.com/blogs/other-blogs/mcafee-labs/mcafee-atr-analyzes-sodinokibi-aka-revil-ransomware-as-a-service-what-the-code-tells-us/.
- McAfee. 2019. *Threat Landscape Dashboard Fallout Exploit Kit*. McAfee. https://www.mcafee.com/enterprise/en-us/threat-center/threat-landscape-dashboard/exploit-kits-details.fallout-exploit-kit.html.

- Meskauskas, Tomas. 2019. *Maze Ransomware Exploiting Exploit Kits*. Security Boulevard. November 8. https://securityboulevard.com/2019/11/maze-ransomware-exploiting-exploit-kits/.
- Muncaster, Phil. 2020. *Ransomware Costs May Have Hit \$170bn in 2019*. Infosecurity. February 13. https://www.infosecurity-magazine.com/news/ransomware-costs-may-have-hit-170/.
- Mundo, Alexandre, and Marc Rivero Lopez . 2020. *Nemty Ransomware Learning by Doing*. McAfee. April 2. https://www.mcafee.com/blogs/other-blogs/mcafee-labs/nemty-ransomware-learning-by-doing/.
- nao\_sec. 2019. Weak Drive-by Download attack with "Radio Exploit Kit". nao\_sec. July 15. https://naosec.org/2019/07/weak-dbd-attack-with-radioek.html.
- NCFTA. 2020. "FuxSocy."
- NCFTA. 2019. "Maze Ransomware."
- NCFTA. 2019. "MedusaLocker."
- NCFTA. 2020. "Sodinokibi."
- NCFTA. 2020. "Top Ten Ransomware Variants."
- Neumann, Robert, and Kurt Natvig. 2019. *LockerGoga ransomware how it works*. Forcepoint. March 22. https://www.forcepoint.com/blog/x-labs/lockergoga-ransomware-how-it-works.
- New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell. 2019. *FuxSocy*. New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell. https://www.cyber.nj.gov/threat-profiles/ransomware-variants/fuxsocy.
- —. 2019. GlobeImposter. New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell. https://www.cyber.nj.gov/threat-profiles/ransomware-variants/globeimposter.
- —. 2016. NJCCIC Threat Profile GlobeImposter. New Jersey Cybersecurity & Communications Integration Cell. December 28. https://www.cyber.nj.gov/threat-profiles/ransomware-variants/globeimposter.
- Norton. 2016. *What is Ransomware*? Norton. July 15. https://www.nortonsecurityonline.com/faq/art/what-is-ransomware/.
- Novinson, Michael. 2019. *The 10 Biggest Ransomware Attacks of 2019; 8. Lake City, Fla.* CRN. December 27. https://www.crn.com/slide-shows/security/the-10-biggest-ransomware-attacks-of-2019/4.

- —. 2019. *The 10 Biggest Ransomware Attacks of 2019; 9. Jackson County, Ga.* CRN. December 27. https://www.crn.com/slide-shows/security/the-10-biggest-ransomware-attacks-of-2019/3.
- Oza, Shyam. 2020. *Ryuk Ransomware Malware of the Month, January 2020*. Security Boulevard. January 24. https://securityboulevard.com/2020/01/ryuk-ransomware-malware-of-the-month-january-2020/.
- Paganini, Pierluigi. 2020. Security experts uncovered an ongoing campaign delivering Nemty Ransomware via emails disguised as messages from secret lovers. SecurityAffairs. March 2. https://securityaffairs.co/wordpress/98755/malware/nemty-ransomware-malspam.html.
- Shackelford, Scott, and Megan Wade. 2020. *Deal with ransomware the way police deal with hostage situations*. GCN. March 20. https://gcn.com/articles/2020/03/30/ransomware-negotiation.aspx.
- Sheridan, Kelly. 2019. LockerGoga, MegaCortex Ransomware Share Unlikely Traits. Dark Reading. May 13. https://www.darkreading.com/perimeter/lockergoga-megacortex-ransomware-share-unlikelytraits/d/d-id/1334696.
- Spadafora, Anthony. 2020. *FBI: Over \$140 million handed over to ransomware attackers*. TechRadar. February 28. https://www.techradar.com/news/fbi-over-dollar140-million-handed-over-to-ransomware-attackers.
- The No More Ransom Project. 2019. The No More Ransom Project. https://www.nomoreransom.org.
- Tiwari, Ravikant, and Alexander Koshelev. 2019. *Taking Deep Dive into Sodinokibi Ransomware*. Acronis. https://www.acronis.com/en-us/articles/sodinokibi-ransomware/.
- Trend Micro. 2019. *Ransom.Win32.MEGACORTEX.AD*. Trend Micro. November 9. https://www.trendmicro.com/vinfo/my/threat-encyclopedia/malware/ransom.win32.megacortex.ad.
- —. 2019. Sodinokibi Ransomware Group Adds Malvertising as Delivery Technique. Trend Micro. June 25. https://www.trendmicro.com/vinfo/us/security/news/cybercrime-and-digital-threats/-sodinokibiransomware-group-adds-malvertising-as-delivery-technique.
- —. 2019. What You Need to Know About the LockerGoga Ransomware. Trend Micro. March 20. https://www.trendmicro.com/vinfo/us/security/news/cyber-attacks/what-you-need-to-know-about-the-lockergoga-ransomware.
- van den Hurk, Frank. 2019. Nemty 2.2 and 2.3: analysis of their cryptography, and a decryptor for some file types. Tesorion. 12 20. https://www.tesorion.nl/nemty-2-2-and-2-3-analysis-of-their-cryptography-and-a-decryptor-for-some-file-types/.
- Verizon. 2019. 2019 Data Breach Investigations Report. https://enterprise.verizon.com/resources/reports/dbir/.
- VirusTotal. 2020. VirusTotal. VirusTotal. https://www.virustotal.com.
- Walter, Jim. 2019. *How MedusaLocker Ransomware Aggressively Targets Remote Hosts*. SentinelOne. November 28. https://www.sentinelone.com/blog/how-medusalocker-ransomware-aggressively-targets-remote-hosts/.
- —. 2020. Maze Ransomware Update: Extorting and Exposing Victims. SentinelOne. April 17. https://labs.sentinelone.com/maze-ransomware-update-extorting-and-exposing-victims/.
- Woods, Alice. 2019. *Remove FuxSocy ransomware (Free Guide) Decryption Methods Included.* 2SPYWARE. October 29. https://www.2-spyware.com/remove-fuxsocy-ransomware.html.
- Zhang, Xiaopeng. 2017. *Analysis of New GlobeImposter Ransomware Variant*. Fortinet. August 5. https://www.fortinet.com/blog/threat-research/analysis-of-new-globeimposter-ransomware-variant.html.

Software Engineering Institute

#### **Contact Us**

Software Engineering Institute 4500 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-2612

 Phone:
 412/268.5800 | 888.201.4479

 Web:
 www.sei.cmu.edu

 Email:
 info@sei.cmu.edu

Copyright 2020 Carnegie Mellon University.

This material is based upon work funded and supported by the Department of Defense under Contract No. FA8702-15-D-0002 with Carnegie Mellon University for the operation of the Software Engineering Institute, a federally funded research and development center.

The view, opinions, and/or findings contained in this material are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Government position, policy, or decision, unless designated by other documentation.

NO WARRANTY. THIS CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE MATERIAL IS FURNISHED ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EITHER EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, AS TO ANY MATTER INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PURPOSE OR MERCHANTABILITY, EXCLUSIVITY, OR RESULTS OBTAINED FROM USE OF THE MATERIAL. CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY DOES NOT MAKE ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO FREEDOM FROM PATENT, TRADEMARK, OR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT.

[DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A] This material has been approved for public release and unlimited distribution. Please see Copyright notice for non-US Government use and distribution.

Internal use:\* Permission to reproduce this material and to prepare derivative works from this material for internal use is granted, provided the copyright and "No Warranty" statements are included with all reproductions and derivative works.

External use:\* This material may be reproduced in its entirety, without modification, and freely distributed in written or electronic form without requesting formal permission. Permission is required for any other external and/or commercial use. Requests for permission should be directed to the Software Engineering Institute at permission@sei.cmu.edu.

\* These restrictions do not apply to U.S. government entities.

#### DM20-0436

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | CARNEGIE MELLON UNIVERSITY Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release; Distribution Is Unlimited