AD703691

2

EVALUATION OF SHELLDYNE-H FUEL FOR GAS TURBINE ENGINE USE

Robert S. McCarty and Edward L. Brandys AiResearch Manufacturing Company of Arizona, A Division of The Garrett Corporation

TECHNICAL REPORT ASD-TR-70-2

March 1970

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

Aeronautical Systems Division Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

> Reproduced by the CLEARINGHOUSE for Federal Scientific & Technical Information Springfield Va. 22151

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

Shelldyne-H is a trademark of the Shell Oil Company.



Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document. EVALUATION OF SHELLDYNE-H FUEL FOR GAS TURBINE ENGINE USE

Robert S. McCarty and Edward L. Brandys AiResearch Manufacturing Company of Arizona A Division of The Garrett Corporation

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

P.

FOREWORD

This final technical report describes work performed under Contract F33615-69-C-1864, Project 139A-63217F, from June to November of 1969. Contract performance was accomplished by the AiResearch Manufacturing Company of Arizona, a division of The Garrett Corporation, located at 402 South 36th Street, Phcanix, Arizona 85034. The contract was issued by the Air Force Systems Command, Aeronautical Systems Division, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433. The USAF program monitoring organization was AGM-86A SPO, and the project engineer was W. Woeste, ASZV. This report was submitted in December 1969.

AiResearch project activity covered by this report was conducted by Theodore E. Sarphie on the fuel control, and by Charles G. Mackay on combustion, and was supervised and directed by P. Engel, SCAD Project Engineer; F. L. Roberts, Senior Project Engineer, Fan and Jet Engines; and R. Bodemuller SCAD Program Manager.

This report is identified by the contractor as AiResearch Technical Report PE-8067.

Publication of this report does not constitute Air Force approval of the report's findings or conclusions. It is published only for the exchange and stimulation of ideas.

ARCHIE L. WOOD, Colonel, USAF Director, AGM-86 SPO Deputy for Development Planning

ii

ABSTRACT

Shelldyne-H* fuel was evaluated with an AiResearch Model GTP30 Gas Turbine Engine, and some of its components. Fuel properties data supplied by Shell Oil Company were verified. Elevated-temperature aging tests of various elastomers indicated that Viton A, LS-53, and Buna N are compatible with Shelldyne-H fuel, and that materials containing straight silicone compounds are incompatible with Shelldyne-H fuel. Fuel control tests, including fuel-pump tests were performed at temperatures down to minus 65°F. Low temperature pump cavitation was experienced. Ignition was a problem, but combustion tests revealed good efficiency and temperature distribution after combustion was achieved. Engine starts with Shelldyne-H fuel could be made above plus 10°F with the use of a cartridge starter gas system. Engine starts could be made above minus 40°F, if ignition was initiated with MIL-T-5624 Grade JP-4 fuel. A system problem, identified at low temperatures, was a means to get all usable fuel from the fuel tank to the engine because fuel adhered to the tank walls.

*Shelldyne-H is a trademark of the Shell Oil Company.

L

Distribution of this abstract is unlimited.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
SECTION I	INTRODUCTION	
1.	OBJECTIVE	1
2.	SCOPE	1
3.	SUMMARY	2
SECTION I	I SHELLDYNE-H FUEL VERIFICATION	
1.	FUEL VERIFICATION	6
1.1	Distillation Temperatures	6
1.2	Viscosity	6
1.3	Density	6
1.4	Pour Point	7
1.5	Heat of Combustion	7
1.6	Sulfur Content	7
2.	CONCLUSIONS	7
2.1	Fuel Verification Tests	7
SECTION I	II MATERIALS	
1.	ORGANIC MATERIALS COMPATIBILITY	9
2.	ORGANIC MATERIALS COMPATIBILITY TEST RESULTS	10
3.	METALS COMPATIBILITY TEST	15
4.	MISCIBILITY TEST	16
SECTION I	V FUEL CONTROL COMPONENT TESTING	
1.	TEST OBJECTIVES	17
2.	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	17
3.	FUEL CONTROL DESCRIPTION	17
3.1	Fuel Pump	17
3.2	Governor	20
3.3	Acceleration Limiter	20
4.	TEST EQUIPMENT	21
4.1	Effects of Viscosity	23
4.2	First Test Rig	23
4.3	Second Test Rig	26
4.4	Third Test Rig	28

v

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTD.)

		Page
5.	TEST RESULTS	33
5.1	Fuel Pump Test	33
5.2	Fuel-Control Unit Tests	40
5.2.1	Preliminary Testing	41
5.2.2	Shelldyne-H Fuel Tests	46
6.	CONCLUSIONS	58
SECTION V	COMBUSTION	
1.	TEST OBJECTIVES	59
2.	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	59
3.	PRELIMINARY TESTS	60
3.1	Glow-Plug Ignition Test	60
3.2	Flame Speed-Determination Tests	60
3.3	Tests with Existing Combustors	61
4.	SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR DESIGN	61
5.	COMBUSTION TESTS	66
5.1	Initial Tests	73
5.2	Verification Tests	74
5.3	Performance Testing	80
5.3.1	Steady-State Performance	85
5.3.2	Stability Limits	97
5.4	Ignition Tests	97
SECTION V	I ENGINE ENDURANCE TESTING	
1.	OBJECTIVES	108
2.	SUMMARY AND RESULTS	108
3.	ENGINE DESCRIPTION AND BUILDUP DOCUMENTATION	108
3.1	Conduct of the Endurance Test	110
3.2	Endurance Test Performance Results	119
3.3	Post-Endurance Teardown and Inspection	134
SECTION V	II LOW-TEMPERATURE START TESTS	
1.	OBJECTIVES	162
2.	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	162
3.	ENGINE BUILDUP	162

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTD.)

		Page
4. TE	ST SETUP	162
4.1 Co	onduct of the Test	166
5. SH	ELLDYNE-H FUEL TEST RESULTS	166
6. AI	TERNATE START-METHOD TEST RESULTS	168
SECTION VIII	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	171
APPENDIX I	COMBUSTION EFFICIENCY AND TEMPERATURE RISE	173
APPENDIX II	MONITORING OF MIL-T-5624, GRADE JP-4, FUEL	176
REFERENCES		178
DD Form 147	3	179

.

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		Page
1	12	
2	Representative O-Ring Test Spec imens After Exposure to Shelldyne-H Fuel for 70 Hours at 350°F	13
3	Representative O-Ring Test Sp ecimens After Exposure to Shelldyne-H Fuel for 70 Hours at 250°F	14
4	Fuel Control Unit 394206-3	18
5	Model GTP30 Engine Fuel Control Schematic	19
6	Schematic of Acceleration Limiter Relationship	22
7	Characteristics of Shelldyne-H Fuel and Several Other Fluids	24
8	First Test Setup Schematic	25
9	Second Test Setup Schematic	27
10	Third Test Setup Schematic	29
11	Third Test Setup for Shelldyne-H Cooling	30
12	Methanol Tank for Third Test Setup	31
13	Fuel Control Unit Pump Test Setup Schematic	34
14	Pump Performance with Shelldyne-H Fuel and MIL-F-7024A Fluid Supply at 75°F and 15 PSIG	36
15	Pump Performance with Shelldyne-H Fuel	37

ķ

Figure		Page
16	Cross Section of Pump and Inlet Connections Used for Evaluating Shelldyne-H Fuel Pumping Characteristics	38
17	Acceleration Schedule with MIL-F-7024A Fluid at 75°F	42
18	Fuel Control Unit Governor Gain with MIL-F-7024A Fluid at 75°F and 30-Pound-Per-Inch Governor Spring	44
19	Acceleration Schedule with Shelldyne-H Fuel at 75°F	47
20	Acceleration Schedule with Shelldyne-H Fuel at -45°F	49
21	Acceleration Schedule with Shelldyne-H Fuel at -65°F	50
22	Fuel Control Unit Governor Gain with Shelldyne-H Fuel at 90°F and 30-Pound- per-Inch Governor Spring	51
23	Fuel Control Unit Governor Gain with Shelldyne-H Fuel at 80°F and 35-Pound- per-Inch Governor Spring	52
24	Fuel Control Unit Governor Gain with Shelldyne-H Fuel at 90°F and 45-Pound- per-Inch Governor Spring	53
25	Fuel Control Unit Governor Gain with Shelldyne-H Fuel at 90°F and 45-Pound- per-Inch Governor Spring	54
26	Fuel Control Unit Governor Gain with Shelldyne-H Fuel at -35°F to -40°F and 35-Pound-per-Inch Governor Spring	56

7

.

Figure		Page
27	Fuel Control Unit Governor Gain with Shelldyne-H Fuel at -65°F and 35-Pound-Per-Inch Governor Spring	57
28	Atomizing Fuel-Injection Combustor and Airblast-Injector Combustor	62
29	Combustor Vacuum Test Rig	63
30	Fuel Fractionation Characteristics	65
31	Shelldyne-H Fuel Flame Temperature	67
32	Shelldyne-H Combustor Air Distribution and Local Fuel-to-Air Ratios	68
33	Comparison of GTP30 Combustor and Shelldyne-H Combustor	69
34	Shelldyne-H Combustor Igniter Locations	70
35	Inside View, Shelldyne-H Combustor	71
36	Overall View, Shelldyne-H Combustor	72
37	Model GTP30 Combustor Test Rig Schematic	75
38	Assembled Model GTP30 Combustor Test Rig	76
39	Turbine Inlet Temperature Sensing Thermocouples	77
40	Shelldyne-H Combustor Isotherms	78
41	Shelldyne-H Combustor Isotherms	79
42	Shelldyne-H Combustor Isotherms	81
43	Shelldyne-H Combustor Temperature Distribution Factor	82
44	Shelldyne-H Modified Combustor Isotherms	83
45	Combustion Test - Fuel Delivery System	84
46	Computer Presentation Data	86

x

Figure		Page
46 a	Temperature Distribution Factor vs Axial Position	87
46b	Temperature Distribution Factor vs Circumferential Position	88
47	Shelldyne-H Combustor Performance with MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4 Fuel	89
48	Shelldyne-H Combustor Performance	90
49	Shelldyne-H Combustor Performance with Shelldyne-H Fuel	91
50	Shelldyne-H Combustor Performance with Shelldyne-H Fuel	92
51	Shelldyne-H Combustor Performance Comparison	93
52	Combustor Distribution Factor Shelldyne-H Combustor Using MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4 Fuel	94
53	Combustor Distribution Factor Shelldyne-H Combustor Using Shelldyne-H Fuel	95
54	Temperature Distribution Factor Comparison	96
55	Combustion Stability Characteristics of MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4 Fuel and Shelldyne-H Fuel	98
56	Water Injection Manifold in Combustor Exhaust	99
57	Ignition Test Fuel Conditioning and Delivery System	100
58	Cartridge Starter Ignition System	102
59	Cartridge Gas Injector Tube	103
60	Ignition Characteristics of MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4 Fuel with Shelldyne-H Combustor	105
61	Shelldyne-H Fuel Ignition Characteristics with Shelldyne-H Combustor	106

.

Figure		Page
riguie	Wedel CMD20 Engine Schematic	109
62	Model GTP30 Engine Schematic	
63	Model GTP30 Engine Rotating Assembly Component Parts	111.
64	Model GTP30 Engine Assembled Rotating Group	112
65	Model GTP30 Shelldyne-H Endurance Engine	113
66	Model GTP30 Shelldyne-H Endurance Engine	114
67	Shelldyne-H Endurance Engine Test Setup	115
68	Fuel System Schematic Shelldyn e-H Enduranc e Engine Test	117
69	Endurance Engine Test Data	120
Through 77		128
78	Endurance Engine Performance	130
79	Endurance Engine Performance	131
80	Endurance Engine Start Data	133
81	Removal of Fuel Control Unit	135
82	Removal of Combustor Cap and Liner	136
83	Removal of Plenum Chamber	137
84	View of Torus and Compressor Diffuser	138
85	Removal of Torus	139
86	Removal of Turbine Nozzle	140
87	Removal of Power Section from Gea r Case Lower Half	141
88	Removal of Rotating Group from Gear Case Upper Half	142
89	Removal of Compressor Diffuser from Rotating Group	143

Figure		Page
90	View of Turbine Side of Gearbox Showing Failed Pinion Bearing	144
91	Failed Pinion Bearing	145
92	Combustor	146
93	Inside View of Combustor Showing Carbon Deposit	147
94	Torus After Endurance Test Showing Carbon Flakes	149
95	Torus Prior to Endurance Test	150
96	Turbine Nozzle After Endurance Test	151
97	Turbine Nozzle Prior to Test	152
98	Turbine Wheel After Endurance Test	153
99	Turbine Wheel Prior to Endurance Test	154
100	Compressor/Turbine Seal After Endurance Test	156
101	Compressor/Turbine Seal Prior to Test	157
102	Fuel Control Metering Valve	158
103	Acceleration-Limiter Diaphragm and Ball Valve	159
104	Fuel Pump Housing Showing O-Ring, Carbon Bushing, and Pump Gear	160
105	Governor Flyweight Assembly with Spool Valve Removed	161
106	Shelldyne-H Fuel Start Test Engine	163
107	Shelldyne-H Start Test Engine Installed in Cold Tank	164
108	Close-up of Shelldyne-H Start Test Engine	165

xiii

2

.

	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONTD.)	
Figure		Page
109	Turbine Inlet Temperature Measurement Thermocouple Installation	174
110	Computational Flow Diagram	175

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I	Shelldyne-H Fuel Chemical and Physical Properties. Verification Test Results	8
II	Organic Materials Compatibility with Shelldyne-H Fuel Test Results	11
III	Weight Change Effects of Shelldyne-H Fuel	15
IV	Low Temperature Start Performance, Shelldyne-H Fuel with Solid-Propellant Gas Injection	167
v	Alternate Start Method (MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4 Fuel Lead)	170

BLANK PAGE

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

1. <u>OBJECTIVE</u>. Aircraft generally tend to be gross-weightlimited. Aircraft range is usually determined as a compromise between takeoff or landing fuel weight and payload weight.

In direct contrast to aircraft subject to the foregoing gross-weight limitation, a class of vehicles including airbreathing missiles have been conceived that are volumetrically limited rather than gross-weight-limited. The fuel carried aboard these missiles is limited by the fuel tank available volume. Consequently, increases in energy content per unit volume of the fuel (Btu per gallon) will provide an increased range for such a vehicle.

Shelldyne-H*, a hydrocarbon fuel, has a volumetric heating value of approximately 161,200 Btu per gallon in comparison to MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4, fuel, which has a volumetric heating value of approximately 120,000 Btu per gallon. Direct substitution of Shelldyne-H fuel for Grade JP-4 fuel in a volumetrically limited vehicle would provide an ideal or theoretical range increase on the order of 15 to 20 percent, depending upon the vehicle mass fraction and external aerodynamic configuration.

The program reported herein was conducted to:

- (a) Determine the applicability of Shelldyne-H fuel to the current technology for gas turbine engines.
- (b) Define current gas turbine engine technology or conceptual deficiencies that could preclude the use of Shelldyne-H fuel for turbofan or turbojet engines.

2. <u>SCOPE</u>. This report describes fuel tests conducted on an AiResearch Model GTP30 Gas Turbine Engine and some of its fuel components during the period from 15 June 1969 to 15 November 1969. The tests were conducted by the AiResearch Manufacturing Company of Arizona, a division of The Garrett Corporation, located at 402 South 36th Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85034.

*Shelldyne-H is a trademark of the Shell Oil Company.

3. <u>SUMMARY</u>. This program consisted of three major segments: fuel handling and control component testing, combustion rig testing, and engine testing. An AiResearch Model GTP30-67 Auxiliary Power Unit (APU) with a nominal rating of 50 horsepower was used. Components of this engine model were used in conducting subsystem and component tests.

The program segment for fuel handling and control component testing consisted of

- (a) Fuel physical properties evaluation
- (b) Materials compatibility tests
- (c) Fuel pumping characteristics evaluation
- (d) Determination of fuel control low-temperature operating limits.

The physical properties of Shelldyne-H fuel were found to be in close agreement with preliminary data supplied by the Shell Oil Company.

Materials compatibility tests indicated that all metallic and elastomeric materials tested, except elastomers containing silicone compounds, were compatible with Shelldyne-H fuel. Materials containing silicone compounds exhibited excessive swelling characteristics and were therefore considered to be unusable with Shelldyne-H fuel. All elastomeric materials used in the Model GTP30 APU were found to be compatible with Shelldyne-H fuel and thereby negated any requirement for material substitution prior to either fuel control or engine testing.

Fuel-pump tests indicated that the high viscosity of Shelldyne-H fuel improved pump volumetric efficiency but increased pump inlet losses. Increased pump supply pressures were required to eliminate pump cavitation and to offset increased viscosity-induced inlet losses at the lower inlet temperatures. Fuel-control tests revealed that the "existing parts list" control would function satisfactorily with Shelldyne-H fuel for engine endurance testing at prevailing ambient temperatures.

Reduced-temperature testing indicated that the control would not function adequately over the entire governor range of temperatures below minus 35°F. However, the control was adequate for cold-start and no-load operation at temperatures down to minus 65°F.

The combustion-rig testing portion of the program consisted of:

- (a) The design and fabrication of a combustor suitable for use with either Grade JP-4 fuel or Shelldyne-H fuel.
- (b) Evaluation and comparison of combustion performance characteristics for Grade JP-4 fuel and Shelldyne-H fuel.
- (c) Evaluation of the ignition characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel.

A "Shelldyne-H combustor" based on preliminary combustion test data obtained from two existing combustors was designed and fabricated. The combustor was placed in test 4 weeks after receipt of contract. Test results revealed that combustion efficiency with Shelldyne-H fuel was somewhat less than with Grade JP-4 fuel; however, the combustor pattern factor at temperature-distribution factor (TDF) for both fuels is practically identical. The combustion stability limits for significantly larger than for Grade Shelldyne-H fuel are JP-4 fuel. This is exemplified by the fact that the Shelldyne-H fuel minimum limiting combustion pressure was on the order of 4 mm Hg absolute, in comparison to 8 mm Hg absolute for Grade JP-4 fuel. With a conventional spark igniter, Shelldyne-H fuel is markedly more difficult to ignite than Grade JP-4 fuel, and requires a combustor inlet air temperature of 265°F as compared to the 8°F required for Grade JP-4 fuel. Significant reductions in minimum required combustor inlet air temperature were obtained by using a small "lead" of Grade JP-4 fuel or injecting cartridge starter gases into the combustor, or both. Use of these techniques allowed ignition of Shelldyne-H fuel at temperatures down to minus 5°F, which is only 15°F above the steady-state combustion limiting temperature, at start-air and fuel-flow conditions, of minus 20°F.

The engine testing segment of the program consisted of endurance and cold-start engine testing, during which 60 hours of endurance running were accumulated, together with 54 startcycles. Post-test teardown inspection indicated that all engine components were in excellent condition except for a failed gearbox pinion bearing. The bearing failure is not connected in any way with the fuel used to run the engine, and therefore cannot be attributed to the use of Shelldyne-H fuel. There were no observable signs of distress, wear, or corrosion on any of the hot rotating or stationary parts.

Carbon deposits that were not observed inside the combustor during combustion-rig testing were observed during the endurance test. These carbon deposits did not affect the engine or the conduct of the test. The deposit was clearly undercut or eroded in areas of air impingement. Although Shelldyne-H fuel did produce carbonaceous deposits, they can be eliminated by judicious metering of air into sensitive areas within the combustor.

For purposes of conducting the endurance test, the engine was started with a 2.5-cubic-centimeter lead of Grade JP-4 fuel in the fuel line directly ahead of the combustor. Therefore, although ignition was initiated with Grade JP-4 fuel, the engine accelerated and attained governed speed on Shelldyne-H fuel.

Cold-start testing of the engine in a cold tank revealed that the engine could be started on pure Shelldyne-H fuel at 10°F with the use of the cartridge starter gas system. By filling the fuel line between the fuel control and combustor with Grade JP-4 fuel, successful starts were obtained at minus 20°F. Under these conditions, the combustor was burning Grade JP-4 fuel at light-off and during the first part of the acceleration schedule and was burning Shelldyne-H fuel during the latter part of the start. In addition, a successful start with a long "lead" of Grade JP-4 fuel was made at minus 40°F; however, an electrical failure shut the engine down 5 seconds after it had reached governed speed. It was not possible to ascertain whether Shelldyne-H fuel was actually burned during this start. It should be noted that during both the minus 20°F and minus 40°F starts Shelldyne-H fuel was being pumped and metered by the unit fuel control.

There were no fundamental gas turbine technology or state-of-the-art deficiencies uncovered by this program that would preclude the application of Shelldyne-H fuel as a gas turbine fuel. However, two potential propulsion system problems were observed that could seriously compromise the advantages offered by Shelldyne-H fuel. These problems were not pursued or evaluated, as they were considered to be beyond the scope of the contract task. At low temperatures, Shelldyne-H fuel was observed to adhere to metallic surfaces much in the way that honey clings to the inside of a jar. Consequently, as a fuel tank is depleted, fuel will cling to the tank walls and slosh baffles. The amount of this unavailable fuel or "carry-over" could conceivably be a significant portion of the total fuel tank volume.

The second problem relates to the fuel-pickup and boostpump system. Although it has been shown that Shelldyne-H fuel can be used in a gas turbine engine at temperatures down to minus 65°F, the fuel must still be removed from the fuel tank and transferred to the engine. Fuel boost-pump inlet cavitation, or the system compromises required to suppress it, could also negate much of the advantage offered by Shelldyne-H fuel. Several approaches can be propounded for solving these problems, among which are the use of fuel additives or blending agents, fuel tank heating, and the use of positive expulsion or bladder tankage. However, these system problems are not encountered in applications to longrange supersonic (Mach 2 to Mach 4) air-breathing vehicles because of the high skin temperatures associated with these flight conditions. In addition, the high volumetric heat release, low vapor pressure, better lubricity, and increased combustion stability characteristics of this fuel would make it an excellent fuel for such applications.

SECTION II

SHELLDYNE-H FUEL VERIFICATION

1. FUEL VERIFICATION. Investigation of the chemical and physical properties of Shelldyne-H fuel was conducted for purposes of verification, receiving inspection, and batchproperty identification. Tests were performed to establish fuel properties that would be of concern during engine tests of the fuel. Three tests for each property were performed to assure accuracy. The test results were compared with Shell Oil Company physical property data for Shelldyne-H fuel.

1.1 <u>Distillation Temperatures</u>. Temperatures at the following distillation points were determined:

- (a) Initial boiling point
- (b) 5 percent evaporated
- (c) 10 through 90 percent evaporated, in 10 percent increments
- (d) 95 percent evaporated
- (e) End point

The amount of residue, the heavy distillate left in the flask, and the amount of distillation loss following each test were also determined in accordance with ASTM Test Method D-86.

1.2 <u>Viscosity</u>. The kinematic viscosity of Shelldyne-H fuel was determined by use of ASTM Test Method D-445. The viscosity was determined at 100° and 210°F. Values at zero °F and minus 30°F were established by extrapolation. Three different viscometers with slightly different orifice calibrations were used to determine the set of data for each of the test temperatures--100°F and z10°F.

1.3 <u>Density</u>. The specific gravity of the test batch of Shelldyne-H fuel was established by means of a glass hydrometer in accordance with ASTM Test Method D-287. The gravity was determined in triplicate at 60°F.

1.4 <u>Pour Point</u>. Since Shelldyne-H fuel was reported to not possess a freezing point (it becomes increasingly more viscous at lower temperatures but does not freeze), the pour point was determined. The pour point, defined as 5°F above the temperature at which the fuel fails to flow, was determined by means of ASTM Test Method D-97. A cloud and pour point test apparatus was used for this determination. A lowtemperature bath utilized with this apparatus consisted of a mixture of methanol and dry ice capable of attaining temperatures down to minus 95°F.

1.5 <u>Heat of Combustion</u>. The heat of combustion of the test batch of Shelldyne-H fuel was determined by use of ASTM Test Method D-240. An oxygen-bomb calorimeter was used. Both Btu per pound and Btu per gallon were calculated from the test results.

1.6 <u>Sulfur Content</u>. The sulfur content of Shelldyne-H fuel was determined by analyses conducted on the residues from the heat of combustion tests. The test procedures followed were those specified in ASTM Test Method D-1266. The sulfur content was determined by a Gravimetric precipitation method with use of barium chloride (BaCl₂).

2. CONCLUSIONS

2.1 Fuel Verification Tests. The results of all tests conducted for purposes of verification, receiving inspection, and fuel batch-property identification are presented in Table I. The test results show excellent reproducibility and agreement with the preliminary data supplied by Shell Oil Company. Consequently, it is concluded that the fuel received was Shelldyne-H fuel.

Determination of the actual pour point could not be carried to completion because of limitations of the test apparatus. Tests were conducted to the minimum temperature capability of the test apparatus which was minus 95°F. The fuel still showed signs of flow at this temperature. Therefore, the pour point is reported to be less than minus 90°F (the pour point being 5°F above the minimum observed temperature).

		Te	est Results		Sholl
	Test	Set 1	Set 2	Set 3	Data
1.	Distillation (corrected to 760 mm)				
T	Initial BP, emperature °F	507	508	508	5 09
	5% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 95% End point	512 513 513 514 514 515 515 515 516 517 519 527	512 513 513 514 515 515 515 515 516 517 519 528	512 513 513 514 514 514 515 515 515 516 517 519 529	513 513 - 515 - 515 - 517 525
	Distillation Loss, % Residue, %	1.3 0.7	1.2 0.8	1.2 0.8	1.4 0.6
2.	Viscosity, cs at				
	210°F 100°F 0°F (extrapolated) -30°F (extrapolated)	3.25 13.7 260 1,250	3.23 13.6 260 1,250	3.25 13.7 260 1,250	13 215 1,200
3.	Specific grav- ity, gm/cc 60°F/60°F	1.080	1.080	1.080	1.081
4.	Pour point, °F	<-90	<-90	<-90	_
5.	Heat of combustion Btu/lb	17,900	17.870	17 870	17 800
	Btu/gal	161,300	161,100	161,100	161,400
6.	Sulfur con- tent, %	0.01	0.01	0.01	0

SHELLDYNE-H FUEL CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL PROPERTIES VERIFICATION TEST RESULTS

TABLE I

BP = boiling point

SECTION III

MATERIALS

1. ORGANIC MATERIALS COMPATIBILITY. Selected elastomers, used in gas turbine fuel pump and fuel-control apparatus, were exposed to Snelldyne-H fuel at elevated temperatures. The effect of the fuel on the organic materials was determined by examination of the change in weight, volume, tensile strength and elongation, hardness, and compression set after exposure. The test methods used were as follows:

(a)	Change	in	weight	Federal	Test	Standard	No.	601.
				Method 6	5251			

- (b) Change in volume Federal Test Standard No. 601, Method 6211
- (c) Change in tensile ASTM D-1414 strength and elongation
- (d) Change in hardness ASTM D-676
- (e) Change in compres- ASTM D-395 sion set

The elastomers tested in the forms of O-rings and buttons (used for hardness test only) were as follows:

Elastomer	Type
	- /

- (a) Viton A Fluorocarbon
- (b) LS-53 Fluorosilicone
- (c) EMS53084* Silicone
- (d) Buna N Butadiene-acrylonitrile
- (e) EMS53015* Silicone

The test specimens were immersed in Shelldyne-H fuel in large, sealed test tubes that were positioned in a Comet** heat-aging block. The test specimens were exposed to the fuel

*AiResearch specification. **Comet is a trade name. for 70 hours at 350°F, except that Buna N was tested at 250°F and that all compression-set tests were conducted on specimens exposed for 22 hours. Permanent set of the test specimens was evaluated after the specimens had been allowed to stabilize for 1 hour after having been compressed.

Triplicate tests were conducted with a total of 150 specimens tested. All elastomer test specimens representing one test group were immersed together. Each set of like specimens, however, was kept separate during the compression set tests.

2. ORGANIC MATERIALS COMPATIBILITY TEST RESULTS. Table II presents the results of the compatibility tests between Shelldyne-H fuel and the selected elastomers. Because of excessive weight gain, volume change (swell), and loss of hardness during compression set testing, two elastomers were found to be unacceptable when exposed to Shelldyne-H fuel. These elastomers contain silicone materials and are identified as EMS53084 and EMS53015. The other three elastomers (Viton A, LS-53, and Buna N) were found to be compatible with Shelldyne-H fuel and are recommended for use.

Figures 1, 2, and 3 illustrate the condition of representative O-ring test specimens before and after compression set testing. It should be noted that compression set test results of the three acceptable elastomers showed positive values indicating a certain amount of permanent set. These results were expected and are considered normal. The two unacceptable elastomers, EMS53084 and EMS53015, exhibited negative compression set test values indicating undesirable and abnormal swelling of sufficient magnitudes to preclude their use as O-rings or seals in machined parts. The asterisked values of "compression set" for EMS53084 and EMS53015 in Table II are noticeably larger than the rest of the data. Although post-test investigation did not reveal an obvious explanation for these discrepancies, the data is considered to be questionable. Technician error is postulated as the reason for these anomalies.

All elastomeric materials used in the fuel-handling components of the GTP30 Gas Turbine Engine were found to be compatible with Shelldyne-H fuel.

TABLE II

	Weight Change %	Volume ¹ Change %		Fensil	e	Elongation			Hardness,	
<u>Material</u>			Before psi	After psi	Change %	Before %	After %	Change %	Before pts	Afte
Viton A	2.7	5.3	1420	1400	-1.4	225	176	-21.8	72	68
	2.4	5.5	1420	1450	+2.1	225	230	+2.2	75	71
	2.7	5.9	1420	1310	-7.8	225	184	-18.2	73	70
LS-53	6.4	9.6	912	478	-47.6	207	185	-10.6	59	48
	6.5	10.0	912	667	-26.8	207	212	+2.4	57	46
	6.4	9.9	912	691	-24.2	207	203	-1.9	60	47
EMS53084	33.3	49.8	884	599	-32.2	176	180	+2.3	73	51
	33.5	50.1	884	599	-32.3	176	172	-2.3	73	50
	34.5	51.5	884	667	-13.2	176	176	0	73	48
Buna N ³	7.3	9.3	1750	1600	-8.6	257	198	-22.9	72	69
	7.6	10.0	1750	1980	+13.1	257	194	-23.5	71	66
	7.7	10.2	1750	1620	-7.4	257	189	-26.4	73	69
EMS53015	38.9	51.3	848	437	-48.5	150	119	-20.7	72	50
	41.5	58.7	848	463	-45.4	150	123	-18.0	74	52
	39.8	56.8	848	402	-52.6	150	110	-26.7	70	46

ORGANIC MATERIALS COMPATIBILITY WITH SHELLDYNE-H FUEL TEST RESULTS

NOTES: 'Volume change = swell

²Negative compression set indicates swell; positive indicates loss i resilience; 22 hours exposure.

³Buna N tested at 250°F for 70 hours; all others tested at 350°F.

*Questionable data

11

ß

TABLE II

		Tensile			Elongation			Hardness, Shore A			Compression
eight hange %	Volume ¹ Change %	Before psi	After psi	Change %	Before %	After %	Change %	Before pts	After pts	Change pts	Set ² %
2.7	5.3	1420	1400	-1.4	225	176	-21.8	72	68	-4	21.4
2.4	5.5	1420	1450	+2.1	225	230	+2.2	75	71	-4	23.5
2.7	5.9	1420	1310	-7.8	225	184	-18.2	73	70	-3	28.4
6.4	9.6	912	478	-47.6	207	185	-10.6	59	48	-11	14.3
6.5	10.0	912	667	-26.8	207	212	+2.4	57	46	-11	10.6
6.4	9.9	912	691	-24.2	207	203	-1.9	60	47	-13	11.6
33.3	49.8	884	599	-32.2	176	180	+2.3	73	51	-22	-163*
33.5	50.1	884	599	-32.3	176	172	-2.3	73	50	-23	-25.1
34.5	51.5	884	667	-13.2	176	176	0	73	48	-25	-24.2
7.3	9.3	1750	1600	-8.6	257	198	-22.9	72	69	-3	24.4
7.6	10.0	1750	1980	+13.1	257	194	-23.5	71	66	-5	26.1
7.7	10.2	1750	1620	-7.4	257	189	-26.4	73	69	-4	25.0
38.9	51.3	848	437	-48.5	150	119	-20.7	72	50	-22	-189*
41.5	58.7	848	463	-45.4	150	123	-18.0	74	52	-22	-32.3
39.8	56.8	848	402	-52.6	150	110	-26.7	7 70	46	-24	-37.7

ORGANIC MATERIALS COMPATIBILITY WITH SHELLDYNE-H FUEL TEST RESULTS

lume change = swell

gative compression set indicates swell; positive indicates loss in silience; 22 hours exposure.

ana N tested at 250°F for 70 hours; all others tested at 350°F.

uestionable data

ß



REPRESENTATIVE O-RING TEST SPECIMENS AFTER EXPOSURE TO SHELLDYNE-H FUEL FOR 70 HOURS AT 350°F

FIGURE 1

MP-24164

BLANK PAGE



AFTER EXPOSURE TO SHELLDYNE-H FUEL FOR 70 HOURS AT 350°F

FIGURE 2

13

•



REPRESENTATIVE O-RING TEST SPECIMENS AFTER EXPOSURE TO SHELLDYNE-H FUEL FOR 70 HOURS AT 250°F

FIGURE 3

3. METALS COMPATIBILITY TEST. The corrosion and oxidation effects of Shelldyne-H fuel on various metals, including several commonly employed in high-speed turbomachinery, were tentatively investigated in accordance with Federal Test Method 5308.

Small, highly polished metal samples were weighed and were immersed in a bath of Shelldyne-H fuel at 350°F for 72 hours. The samples were then reweighed and visually inspected for changes in surface luster.

Following this tentative investigation, an additional long-term test was conducted by reimmersing the unaltered samples in Shelldyne-H fuel at room temperature until the conclusion of the program (a period of approximately 3 months) and repeating the measurements. The results of these tests are presented in Table III. The weight change is given as a fraction of the exposed surface area of the sample.

	Weight Change Milligrams per Square Centimeter After a:						
Material	72-Hour Immersion in Shelldyne-H Fuel at 350°F	3-Month Exposure at Room Temperature					
Copper	0.00	+0.02					
Silver	0.00	+0.08					
Iron	0.00	+0.02					
Aluminum	0.00	+0.03					
Magnesium	0.00	0.00					
*Inconel 718	0.00	+0.03					
*INCO 713	-0.01	+0.02					
*IN-100	0.00	+0.03					
**Waspaloy	0.00	+0.04					

WEIGHT CHANGE EFFECTS OF SHELLDYNE-H FUEL

TABLE III

*Trademark of International Nickel Company **Trademark of United Aircraft Company The 72-hour portion of this test is normally applied to oils and is generally more severe than similar tests for fuels. The maximum acceptable weight change allowed by this Federal test is plus or minus 0.4 milligrams per square centimeter for the 72-hour period.

The largest weight change experienced after 3 months of exposure was plus 0.08 milligrams per square centimeter for the silver sample, and a slight reduction in surface luster accompanied this weight gain. All other samples retained their original high luster.

In conclusion, all materials tested were found to be completely compatible with Shelldyne-H fuel and are recommended for use.

4. MISCIBILITY TEST. The Shelldyne-H fuel distillation data reported in Paragraph 1.1 of Section II of this report indicated the lack of "front ends" or compounds that evaporate at lower temperatures. A means of providing these properties and improving the ignition characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel without severely reducing its high-density characteristic would be through the addition of a small amount of some high-volatility fuel. In order to determine the miscibility of Shelldyne-H fuel with such fuels, 2.5 milligrams for each of nine candidate fuels were added in separate vials to 22.5 milligrams of Shelldyne-H fuel. These mixtures were vigorously agitated for several minutes and then allowed to remain undisturbed for 66 hours. The following observations were then made:

- (a) 10% MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4, Aviation Turbine Fuel complete mixing.
- (b) 10% MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-5, Aviation Turbine Fuel complete mixing.
- (c) 10% MIL-G-5572, Aviation gasoline complete mixing.
- (d) 10% Aviation Kerosene complete mixing.
- (e) 10% DF-2 complete mixing, slight discoloration.
- (f) 10% Petroleum ether complete mixing.
- (g) 10% Acetone complete mixing.
- (h) 10% Benzene complete mixing.
- (i) 10% Methanol total separation, no mixing during agitation.

With the exception of methanol, all organic fluids tested exhibited complete miscibility with Shelldyne-H fuel.

SECTION IV

FUEL CONTROL COMPONENT TESTING

The fuel control for the AiResearch Model GTP30 Gas Turbine Engine was tested with Shelldyne-H fuel on a fuelcontrol test stand. These tests were conducted at fuel control and fuel temperatures down to and including minus 65°F.

1. TEST OBJECTIVES. Three series of tests were conducted to determine (a) the pumping characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel at reduced temperatures, (b) the minimum temperature at which an existing control would retain structural and functional integrity, and (c) whether modifications would be required to provide a control that could support the engine endurance testing and cold-start evaluation segments of the program.

2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS. The pumping characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel were found to be better than the more conventional JP class of fuels. These characteristics are attributed to the higher viscosity of Shelldyne-H fuel and what appears to be a better lubricity characteristic of this fuel. The net positive suction head (NPSH) requirements, at the pump inlet, increased as the fuel temperature was reduced, as would be expected. The fuel control was found to have both structural and functional integrity at fuel and prevailing ambient temperature down to and including minus 65°F.

3. FUEL CONTROL DESCRIPTION. A photograph of the Model GTP30 Gas Turbine Engine Fuel Control Unit Part 394206-3 is shown in Figure 4. The major components of this fuel control are a fuel pump, a filter (removed for tests), an acceleration limiter, and a governor. Figure 5 is a schematic diagram of this control, which depicts the components and their related functions.

3.1 <u>Fuel Pump</u>. The fuel pump is integral with the fuelcontrol assembly and is a two-gear positive-displacement pump. The pump consists of a drive gear, which is attached to the fuel-control input drive shaft, and a driven gear, which is attached to the governor shaft. The two gears are sandwiched between spring-loaded bushings that compensate for normal gear and bushing wear.

Fuel passes from the pump through the high-pressure filter. The fuel is directed from the filter to the speed governor, the acceleration limiter, and the fuel nozzle.


FUEL CONTROL UNIT 394206-3



MODEL GTP30 ENGINE FUEL CONTROL SCHEMATIC

The fuel filter cartridge was removed from the fuel control for purposes of this program because of the excessive pressure drop across the filter that would have been incurred with Shelldyne-H fuel at reduced temperatures.

3.2 <u>Governor</u>. The governor consists of a pair of springcounterpoised ball-bearing-pivoted flyweights, which directly modulate the position of a spool valve. The flyweights and spool valve are so arranged that increases in governor speed cause the flyweights to open the valve against the force of the governor spring. Consequently, as the governor speed is increased, more fuel from the pump discharge is bypassed by the valve back to the pump inlet.

The action of the governor in conjunction with the fuel pump and fuel nozzle can best be described by the following example. Assume that the engine is operating at governed speed under no-load conditions. Under these conditions a certain amount of fuel from the pump discharge is being bypassed by the governor, back to the pump inlet. The amount of bypass flow is such that the remainder of the pump discharge flow delivered to the combustor is the appropriate amount to maintain governed speed. Addition of load to the engine will tend to reduce the engine speed, which simultaneously causes the governor flyweights to react by closing the spool valve and consequently reduces the amount of bypass flow. The net result is that more fuel is delivered to the .ombustor, until the fuel flow, engine speed, and engine load again reach equilibrium. Therefore, as the engine load is increased, the speed will tend to decrease or "droop." Conversely, as the engine load is decreased, the speed will tend to increase. The amount of "droop" or reduction in speed for a given increase in engine load is a measure of the control system "gain."

3.3 Acceleration Limiter. The acceleration limiter is an adjustable diaphragm-actuated bypass ball valve, which is used to maintain a fixed relationship between engine compressor discharge pressure and fuel delivery pressure. The valve consists of a ball and seat. The diaphragm is spring-loaded and loads the ball toward its seat. The valve action is such that the spring-loaded diaphragm force exerted on the ball is continually balanced by the fuel pressure acting on the ball. Consequently, the ball is continually repositioned to maintain a desired system fue! pressure, the resultant fuel pressure being a function of the combined forces of the spring and control air pressure acting on the diaphragm.

The acceleration-limiter relationship to the governor and fuel pump in conjunction with the affected engine-control

system components (fuel nozzle, compressor discharge pressure, and exhaust temperature thermostat) is shown schematically in Figure 6. Engine compressor discharge air pressure is supplied to the acceleration-limiter control-air port through an orifice. During an engine start, the compressor dischargeair transient pressure, which is a function of engine speed, is applied to the acceleration-limiter diaphragm. The result is to control fuel pressure as a function of engine speed. The fuel flow delivered to the engine combustor during a start is "scheduled" to regulate the acceleration and, consequently, the turbine inlet temperature during the start transient. The acceleration-limiter function is to provide fuel-flow regulation until the engine accelerates to governed speed, at which time the governor controls the engine.

A protective override feature is built into the control system in the form of an exhaust temperature thermostat that is located in the engine exhaust. This device is a normally closed thermally actuated pneumatic valve. The valve port of the thermostat is connected in parallel with the accelerationlimiter control-air port. Therefore, when the valve in the thermostat opens, the control-air pressure supplied to the acceleration limiter is reduced. The reduction in pressure causes the acceleration-limiter ball valve to open, thereby reducing fuel flow to the combustor. This system provides engine overtemperature protection both during engine accelerations and during inadvertent overload conditions at governed speed.

4. TEST EQUIPMENT. Three test setups were assembled to attain a satisfactory test system. The initial test setup was fabricated from available laboratory equipment.

Although the initial test setup was deficient in some capabilities, it provided rapid exposure to the conditioning and handling problems associated with Shelldyne-H fuel. Consequently, the overall lead time for development of a fully workable test system was reduced significantly.

The second test system, although improved in overall capabilities, was fuel-temperature-limited at approximately minus 40°F. Therefore, in order to satisfy the requirements to test at fuel temperatures of minus 65°F, a third system was fabricated. The lower temperature limit of this system was not established; however, it appears to be significantly lower than minus 65°F.



FIGURE 6

4.1 Effects of Viscosity. The viscosity characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel are similar to those of diesel fuel No. 4 (DF-4), and together with viscosity data for several other fuels, are shown in Figure 7. The viscosity limit for fuels normally used in AiResearch gas turbines is on the order of 15 centistokes -- a value that is well above the viscosity of JP-4 fuel at minus 65°F. However, the temperature of Shelldyne-H fuel corresponding to a viscosity of 15 centistokes is on the order of 90°F. The 15-centistoke limit is established primarily because of fuel measurement requirements. The effects of viscosity on fuel-flow measurement (rotameters, turbine flowmeters) accuracies are significant ments. at viscosities greater than 12 to 15 centistokes. For this reason, a test setup utilizing direct-flow-measurement equipment must be so designed that flow measurements are made at a point in the system where the fuel viscosity is in the calibration range of the flowmeter being utilized. Alternately, an indirect flow measurement system may be employed wherein a less viscous fluid is used to displace Shelldyne-H fuel on an equal-volume basis. The first and third test setups incorporated the direct flow measurement concept. The second setup incorporated the positive displacement concept.

4.2 First Test Rig. The first Shelldyne-H fuel test rig was assembled from available laboratory equipment that had been used for similar fuel tests. This rig was used primarily to determine the characteristics of the Model GTP30 Engine fuel control with Shelldyne-H fuel. A schematic diagram of this system is shown in Figure 8. Fuel was routed from the reservoir, through a boost pump, a 40-micron filter, a flowmeter, a heat exchanger, and into the fuel control unit. A dryice methanol mixture was used to chill the fuel as it passed through the heat exchanger. The fuel-control unit was enclosed in an insulated box that was maintained at the same temperature as the fuel entering the control. Fuel leaving the fuel-control unit was discharged into a nozzle tank which drained into an alternate reservoir.

The flowmeter was placed in the circuit upstream of the dry-ice methanol heat exchanger so that the viscosity of the Shelldyne-H fuel could be held within the calibrated range of the flowmeter.



CHARACTERISTICS OF SHELLDYNE-H AND SEVERAL OTHER FLUIDS

FIGURE 7





FIGURE 8

The 10-micron filter normally used in the fuel-control unit was removed because of the excessive pressure drop that would have been experienced across the filter at reduced Shelldyne-H fuel temperatures. However, the 40-micron facility filter was located upstream of the flowmeter at a point where the fuel temperature was maintained in excess of 70°F.

The low-temperature capability of this setup was limited by the heat-exchanger size and heat-transfer characteristics. Because of the high viscosity at reduced temperatures, the Reynolds number of the Shelldyne-H fuel was extremely low. Consequently, the heat-transfer coefficients were reduced and flow pressure drops were large (50 psi).

For minimum control fuel-flow rates, the minimum temperature of the Shelldyne-H fuel leaving the heat exchanger was zero °F, with the methanol/dry-ice mixture at minus 100°F. Attempts to increase the fuel-flow rates resulted in higher fuel temperatures. Calculations derived from this data indicated that the size of a heat exchanger and the associated pressure drop required to achieve minus 65°F operation were prohibitive.

Results of fuel-control-unit testing with the first setup system revealed that the control was capable of operation at temperatures down to zero °F. The originally predicted lower temperature capability of the existing fuel-control unit operating with Shelldyne-H fuel was on the order of 40° F to 50° F.

4.3 <u>Second Test Rig</u>. The second test rig is shown schematically in Figure 9.

The system includes an accumulator with a separating diaphragm. The accumulator is filled completely with Shelldyne-H fuel and MIL-F-7024A fluid (a nonflammable JP-4 simulation fuel) is then applied to the other side of the accumulator to expel the Shelldyne-H fuel. The diaphragm functions simply as a positive seal between the two fluids and carries no load. The positive seal system was utilized to preclude contamination of Shelldyne-H, which is expensive and in limited supply.

The volume of Shelldyne-H fuel expelled is measured directly by the volume of MIL-F-7024A fluid pumped into the accumulator. MIL-F-7024A fluid was selected as the secondary fluid because of the availability of flowmeters that are accurately calibrated for this fluid. Most of the basic





FIGURE 9

system was derived from existing equipment previously developed for an AiResearch-sponsored fuel-evaluation test on tetrahydromethylcyclopentadiene dimer (TH-MCPD) fuel that was documented in AiResearch Test Report PE-5130-R.

The fuel-control unit and the accumulator were enclosed in separate insulated boxes and were chilled with CO₂ gas. MIL-F-7024A fluid was pumped by a gear pump through a check valve and flowmeter into one side of the accumulator. An equivalent volume of Shelldyne-H fuel was discharged from the other side of the accumulator, through the fuel control, through a nozzle simulator, and into a Shelldyne-H fuel receiving tank.

To recharge the accumulator, Shelldyne-H fuel was withdrawn from the Shelldyne-H tank and pumped through a check valve and into the accumulator. The MIL-F-7024A fluid was expelled from the other side of the accumulator to the test stand drain.

The accumulator diaphragm was made of Buna N rubber which remains flexible at temperatures down to minus 45°F. At temperatures below minus 45°F Buna N becomes brittle, and flexing of the diaphragm causes it to rupture. Alternate materials were investigated in an attempt to find a diaphragm material capable of operation at minus 65°F. Materials possessing the proper mechanical properties at these temperatures were found to contain Silicone compounds similar to those in EMS53084 and EMS53015. Use of diaphragms made from these materials was precluded by the results from the materials compatibility testing, which showed these materials to be incompatible with Shelldyne-H fuel (see Section III).

Test results obtained with this test setup indicated functional and structural integrity of the fuel control at temperatures down to minus 40°F. An additional problem was identified during this segment of the testing. Gaseous carbon dioxide used to thermally condition the fuel accumulator would solidify on expansion into the insulated box. Consequently, the temperature within the box surrounding the accumulator was the sublimation temperature of almost minus 100°F. Under these conditions, it was impossible to maintain a closely controlled Shelldyne-H temperature.

4.4 <u>Third Test Rig</u>. The third test rig was assembled from laboratory equipment and apparatus fabricated especially for these tests. A schematic diagram of this system is shown in Figure 10. The test setup is shown in Figures 11 and 12.





FIGURE 10



THIRD TEST SETUP FOR SHELLDYNE-H COOLING

FIGURE 11

MP-24821



METHANOL TANK FOR THIRD TEST SETUP

FIGURE 12

MP-24822

The system includes a pressure vessel that contains Shelldyne-H fuel. The vessel is immersed in a tank containing methanol. The methanol, pressure vessel, and Shelldyne-H fuel were initially chilled with dry ice. Carbon dioxide was then bubbled through the methanol and used to maintain the desired bath and Shelldyne-H fuel temperature. Carbon dioxide flow was automatically regulated by a thermocouple temperatureregulator solenoid valve system. Gaseous nitrogen was used to pressurize the chilled Shelldyne-H fuel tank and thereby force the Shelldyne-H fuel through an insulated line to the fuelcontrol-unit inlet.

After discharge from the fuel-control unit and a backpressure valve, the Shelldyne-H fuel was heated in a hotwater to fuel heat exchanger. The heat exchanger raised the fuel temperature so that the fuel viscosity entering the downstream flowmeter was maintained within the flowmeter calibration limits. The fuel leaving the flowmeter was then passed to the nozzle tank.

To refill the system, Shelldyne-H fuel was transferred from the nozzle tank by a facility pump, through a check valve, and into the Shelldyne-H pressure vessel. The Shelldyne-H pressure vessel volume was 5 gallons.

Use of this system indicated that the fuel-control unit would function at Shelldyne-H fuel temperatues down to minus 65°F. In addition, the operating characteristics of the test setup indicated capability for handling viscous fuels and oils at temperatures down to minus 100°F with carbon dioxide and methanol utilized as the coolant bath. Further reductions in temperature are possible by utilizing lower temperature cryogenic liquids such as nitrogen bubbled through ethyl alcohol. This combination would allow temperatures down to minus 170°F. 5. TEST RESULTS. Tests of Shelldyne-H fuel with the control components of the GTP30 Gas Turbine Engine revealed that current engine-fluid handling technology and the state of the art would not preclude the application of Shelldyne-H fuel as a gas turbine engine fuel.

In some respects the Shelldyne-H fuel handling characteristics are superior to those of the more conventional class of fuels such as MIL-T-5624, Grades JP-4 and JP-5. The lubrication qualities of Shelldyne-H fuel seem to be significantly improved over that of JP-4 or JP-5 class fuels. Although direct lubricity measurements, such as with a Hohman wear tester, were not made, the general appearance of the fuelcontrol elements indicated that Shelldyne-H fuel lubricity characteristics are superior. The very low vapor pressure characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel also reduce the cavitation tendency of high-speed pumping elements at elevated fuel temperatures.

Conversely, the high-viscous properties of Shelldyne-H fuel create a tendency toward cavitation at low fuel temperatures. In general, the higher fuel viscosity characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel will tend to have some detrimental effects on the fuel handling system.

5.1 <u>Fuel Pump Test</u>. The pumping characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel were determined by use of the basic test system shown in Figure 10. However, this system was modified to include an X-Y plotter, which was connected to fuel-pump discharge pressure and fuel flow measurement instrumentation as shown in Figure 13.

Use of this plotter provided direct presentation of pump flow versus discharge pressure data and also permitted obtainment of transient data. The system shown in Figure 13 utilized a fixed-volume, or batch, fuel chilling concept. Therefore, a given test run was limited in duration both by the volume of fuel contained in the Shelldyne-H fuel vessel and by the fuel flow rate. Consequently, by establishing the fuel temperature conditions and setting a pump speed, the entire range of fuel flow versus pump discharge pressures could be obtained by simply adjusting the needle valve located between the fuel-control unit and the heat exchanger. The more conventional quasi-steady-state method of obtaining these data would have yielded only a single datum point per run.

The GTP30 Gas Turbine Engine fuel pump is integral with the fuel-control unit as discussed and described previously in Section IV. To obtain fuel-pump performance characteristics, a fuel filter adapter was installed on the fuel-control



FUEL CONTROL UNIT PUMP TEST SETUP SCHEMATIC

FIGURE 13

unit in place of the fuel filter. The adapter capped off an internal fuel delivery port and provided an external port for connecting directly to the pump discharge flow.

A series of pump calibration runs were made with Shelldyne-H fuel at temperatures of 75°F, zero °F, and minus 65°F and with MIL-F-7024A fluid at 75°F. The results of these tests are shown in Figures14 and 15.

Figure 14 presents comparative data for the pump operating with MIL-F-7024A fluid and Shelldyne-H fuel. Data was obtained with a fuel temperature of 75°F and at a pump inlet pressure of 15 psig. The pump body ambient temperature was maintained at the fuel supply temperature for all tests. A marked improvement in pump volumetric efficiency was noted with the use of Shelldyne-H fuel and is attributed to the higher viscosity of this fuel. Gear pump volumetric efficiency is controlled for the most part by tip and side leakage. The higher viscosity fuel displayed less leakage around the pump elements; consequently, the volumetric efficiency characteristic of the pump was improved.

The data presented in Figure 15 relates the performance characteristics of the pump operating with Shelldyne-H fuel at temperatures of 75°F, zero °F, and minus 65°F. The performance characteristics of the pump were relatively insensitive to temperature except that at minus 65°F the pump exhibited flow-capacity limits that were dependent upon the fuel supply pressure.

The fuel delivery rate of the pump became limited at 38 percent pump speed at a fuel supply pressure of 15 psig. By increasing the fuel supply pressure to 30 psig, the pump delivery rate continued to increase with increasing pump speed up to a speed of 49 percent.

A pump capacity limitation that is dependent on the inlet supply pressure is a phenomenon that is a characteristic of pump cavitation. Cavitation tendency normally is associated with increasing pump inlet fluid temperatures or conditions under which the fluid vapor pressure increases at constant supply pressure. The mechanism of low-temperature cavitation is analogous. Consider the fluid at point A in Figure 16 being at a supply pressure of P_1 . In order to flow from A to B, a certain head drop, Δh , must be available. If, for the prevailing flow rate, this head drop becomes the total head available, then the pressure at B becomes the vapor pressure of the fluid (or some higher pressure, if air leakage is present in the system). Under these conditions, fluid cannot be delivered to the pump gears at a faster rate, independent





PUMP PERFORMANCE WITH SHELLDYNE-H FUEL AND MIL-F-7024A FLUID SUPPLY AT 75°F AND 15 PSIG



PUMP DISCHARGE PRESSURE 200 PSIG

> PUMP PERFORMANCE WITH SHELLDYNE-H FUEL

> > FIGURE 15

37



CROSS SECTION OF PUMP AND INLET CONNECTIONS USED FOR EVALUATING SHELLDYNE-H FUEL PUMPING CHARACTERISTICS

of gear speed, unless the supply pressure, P_1 , is increased. Consequently, the pump gear teeth do not fill with fluid, and the pump cavitates. For fluids that exhibit highly viscous characteristics, low-temperature cavitation will result simply because of the increased flow losses incurred in the pump inlet with decreasing temperature.

This phenomenon can be verified analytically by relating the limiting flows of Figure 15 to supply pressure.

Fluid head drop in flow is:

 $\Delta h = f \frac{L}{D} \frac{V^2}{2g} \quad (\text{Reference}^{-1}) \tag{1}$ f = Moody friction factor

L = length of flow path

- D = flow-path characteristic dimension, usually diameter
- V = velocity flow
- g = gravitational acceleration
- o = fluid density
- u = fluid viscosity (absolute)

From the Blasius correlation for friction factor at Reynolds numbers up to 100,000:

$$f = \frac{0.316}{R^{1/4}} \quad (\text{Reference}^2) \qquad (2)$$

R, Reynolds number = $\frac{VD}{\mu}$

Combining

$$\Delta h = 0.316 \left(\frac{\mu}{VD_0}\right)^{1/4} \frac{L}{D} \frac{V^2}{2g}$$
(3)

$$\Delta h = 0.316 \left(\frac{\mu}{D_0}\right)^{1/4} \frac{L}{D} \frac{V^{1.76}}{2g}$$
(3a)

where

For the case under consideration, all fluid properties and the flow paths are identical. Consequently, the fluid head drops can be related to fluid velocities in the following manner:

$$\frac{\Delta h_1}{\Delta h_2} = \left(\frac{V_1}{V_2}\right)^{1.75} \tag{4}$$

Letting Condition 1 be at a supply pressure of 30 psig and Condition 2 at a supply pressure of 15 psig, the ratio of velocities is the ratio of flows and

$$\left(\frac{V_1}{V_2}\right)^{1.75} = \left[\frac{(0.914)(0.49)}{(0.903)(0.38)}\right]^{1.75} = 1.592$$
(5)

The ratio of head drops for these two conditions is

$$\frac{\Delta h_1}{\Delta h_2} = \frac{30.0 + 14.0}{15.0 + 14.0} = 1.518 \tag{6}$$

The ratios in (5) and (6) above are in close agreement indicating that the pump inlet, in fact, limits the flow supplied to the pump.

The results of these tests indicate that the pumping characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel are somewhat different than those of the more conventional JP class of fuels. Shelldyne-H fuel is a more viscous fluid, thereby providing for higher positive-displacement-pump volumetric efficiencies. The higher viscosity, however, also has the deleterious effect of requiring higher pump-inlet pressures at reduced temperatures for suppression of low-temperature cavitation. The effects of this characteristic can be minimized by careful design of the pump inlet and fuel supply line.

5.2 <u>Fuel-Control-Unit Tests.</u> Fuel-control-unit testing was accomplished by using the test system previously described, and shown in Figure 10. The test-stand fuel-control-unit installation is shown in Figures 11 and 12. Preliminary testing was accomplished with use of MIL-E-7024A fluid to verify the functional and mechanical integrity of the fuelcontrol unit. The control was then subjected to detailed testing and verification of the control function with Shelldyne-H fuel at reduced temperatures.

*Data taken from Figure 15, Page 37.

5.2.1 <u>Preliminary Testing</u>. The control was calibrated in accordance with standard production test procedures. These test procedures, which are conducted on the standard fuel-test bench with use of MIL-F-7024A calibration fluid, include various internal and external leakage checks, pump discharge pressure fluctuation checks, acceleration limiter checks, and governor checks.

The results of the preliminary calibration indicated that the fuel-control unit was functionally sound and that the acceleration-limiter valve had been adjusted to the crack pressure specified by the production test instructions. The resulting acceleration or start-flow schedule obtained from these tests is presented in Figure 17 as a curve of fluid flow versus fuel-pump speed. It should be observed that the characteristic slope change of this curve at approximately 1200 rpm pump speed is due to opening of the flow divider in the fuel atomizer assembly.

The Model GTP30 Engine standard combustor employs a duplex fuel nozzle. This nozzle consists of a primary and secondary set of spray plates and a pressure relief valve, which is referred to as the "flow divider."

During engine operating conditions that require low fuel-flow rates, the flow-divider or relief valve is closed, which forces all of the fuel to enter the combustor through the primary spray plates. The primary spray plates are designed to provide the high fuel velocities and atomization characteristics required at the low flow rates that occur during an engine start transient. As engine speed increases, the amount of fuel delivered by the fuel control increases. This results in an increasing pressure drop across the primary nozzle. At a preset pressure drop the flow divider opens, allowing the fuel to flow through the secondary spray plates. The secondary plates are designed to provide proper atomization characteristics at the higher fuel-flow rates required at governed speed. The resulting fuel-flow scheduling characteristics incorporated in the atomizer are shown in representative curve form on Page 43.



ACCELERATION SCHEDULE WITH MIL-F-7024A FLUID AT 75°F



ATOMIZER FUEL PRESSURE DIFFERENTIAL

The resulting acceleration schedule shown in Figure 17 is normal and would provide satisfactory fuel flows to accelerate the Model GTP30 Engine with MIL-T-5624 Grade JP-4 fuel.

Governor tests indicated that the governor spring rate (30 pounds per inch) was too low for use with MIL-T-5624 Grade JP-4 fuel. Figure 18 shows the resulting fuel flow rates delivered by the control over the range of pump speeds for which the governor is normally operative. Also shown are the upper and lower operating limits as defined by the production test instructions. The governor curve is linear; however, the slope or gain is considerably higher than normal.

The governor used in this fuel-control unit is a proportional control device. Consequently, a speed error is required to change the fuel flow and the change in fuel flow is "proportional" to the engine speed deviation. The speed deviation, in percent, from no load to full load is called governor "droop."

43



FUEL CONTROL UNIT GOVERNOR GAIN WITH MIL-F-7024A FLUID AT 75°F AND 30-POUND-PER-INCH GOVERNOR SPRING

FUEL FLOW, POUNDS PER HOUR

A curve of engine fuel flow versus engine speed as shown below reflects the important steady-state characteristics of the control.



ENGINE SPEED, PERCENT

The slope or rate of fuel flow change for a given speed change is termed governor gain. Consequently, the higher the gain the lower the droop. Also, it is desirable to maintain a constant governor gain, which requires that the fuel flow versus engine speed characteristic be a straight line.

For a single speed operating point such as is used for the Model GTP30 Engine it is desirable to have as little speed deviation or "droop" as possible. Consequently, the control gain must be high. However, a general tendency of proportional controls is that as the control gain is increased the propensity toward instability or speed cycling increases. The control gain characteristics shown in Figure 18 reflect the upper and lower allowable governor set-point limits.

A governor gain line that falls within these limits will provide an acceptable engine speed and a stable control. On the Model GTP30 Engine fuel control, the governor set point is adjusted by increasing or decreasing the load on the governor spring with an adjusting screw. The gain of the control is adjusted by changing the spring rate of the governor spring. This is accomplished by physical substitution of the governor spring with one possessing the proper spring rate. The governor characteristics shown in Figure 18 indicate that the governor gain is too high and that the engine would probably be unstable if operated on MIL-T-5624 Grade JP-4 fuel. Preliminary estimates had, however, indicated that the governor gain would be considerably different with Shelldyne-H fuel, necessitating a governor spring change. Consequently, the performance shown in Figure 18 was not altered prior to testing with Shelldyne-H fuel.

5.2.2 Shelldyne-H Fuel Tests. The acceleration or start-flow tests with Shelldyne-H fuel were conducted with use of the test setup shown in Figures 10, 11, and 12. The test was accomplished by increasing the fuel-pump speed in 500-rpm increments from 500 rpm to 4000 rpm. For these tests, the acceleration-limiter pneumatic input signal was simulated by a regulated air supply. The pneumatic simulation was accomplished by scheduling air pressure as a function of the governor speed that was representative of compressor discharge pressure-speed characteristics experienced during an engine start. Fuel flow, compressor air pressure, fuel-control discharge pressure, pump speed, and fuel temperature were recorded for each speed. The crack pressure setting of the acceleration-limiter valve obtained during initial tests with MIL-F-7024A fluid was retained for the Shelldyne-H fuel tests. Data obtained from this test at 75°F is presented in Figure 19.

Comparison of the data for MIL-F-7024A fluid shown in Figure 17 with the data for Shelldyne-H fuel shown on Figure 19 is made on Figure 19. This comparison shows that Shelldyne-H fuel flows between zero and approximately 1500 rpm pump speed are somewhat higher than MIL-F-7024A fluid flows, and Shelldyne-H fuel flows between approximately 1500 and 4000 rpm pump speed are lower.

The difference in acceleration schedule characteristics results from the fuel-injection system and not the acceleration limiter itself. The fuel-injection system used with the Model GTP30 Engine combustor incorporates a duplex nozzle as described in Paragraph 5.2.1. The combustor incorporated for this program utilizes a fixed downstream restriction which causes the acceleration flow-schedule to have different characteristics. The flows obtained with Shelldyne-H fuel were, however, considered to be adequate for acceleration of the Model GTP30 endurance engine.

As the fuel and ambient temperatures were lowered, the output of the fuel-control unit increased because of increased pump volumetric efficiency and reduced acceleration-

____ REPRESENTS MIL-F-7024A FLUID AT 75°F (FROM FIGURE 17)





FIGURE 19

.

limiter-valve flow capacity. These effects were caused by increased fuel viscosity as the temperature was reduced. This condition was corrected by closing the downstream orifice and thereby increasing the back pressure on the control. The acceleration schedules obtained at temperatures of minus 45°F and minus 65°F are shown in Figures 20 and 21 respectively. The fuel-flow acceleration schedules obtained were equal to or less than those obtained at 75°F. The settings of the downstream orifice were recorded for each fuel temperature so that adjustments can be made during reduced-temperature start tests on the engine.

These acceleration tests clearly revealed that a more sophisticated control is required in the application of Shelldyne-H fuel as a gas turbine engine fuel. Automatic fuel viscosity or density compensation is considered a mandatory feature for such a control. Compensation techniques have been developed and are employed on many fuel controls presently in production.

Initial governor tests with Shelldyne-H fuel at 90°F with use of a 30-pound-per-inch governor spring produced the results shown in Figure 22. This data indicated that the governor characteristic was nonlinear at pump speeds down to 4250 rpm (52,200 rpm engine speed). At pump speeds lower than 4250 rpm the governor gain was excessively high.

Two additional tests were run with 35- and 45-pound-perinch governor springs to reduce the governor gain. Test results with the 35-pound-per-inch spring are shown in Figure 23. This data indicates a reduction in the governor nonlinearity characteristic compared to the data shown in the previous figure as well as a significant reduction in governor gain. Governor characteristics utilizing a 45pound-per-inch spring are given in Figure 24 and indicate even further reductions in nonlinearity and gain.

The governor set point adjustment has the effect of moving the governor characteristic line vertically upward, for increased governed speed, or downward for decreased governed speed. Even though the gain characteristics obtained with the 45-pound-per-inch spring are the most linear and provide an almost ideal governor gain, this spring rate is unusable. Figure 25 shows the effect of varying the governor set point with a 45-pound-per-inch spring. By raising the governor set point, to achieve maximum no-load fuel flow (shown as line A), the engine will experience excessive droop as the load is increased. At full power the droop would be twice that allowable.



ACCELERATION SCHEDULE WITH SHELLDYNE-H FUEL AT -45°F

FIGURE 20

49 -

٠





ACCELERATION SCHEDULE WITH SHELLDYNE-H FUEL AT -65 °F

FIGURE 21



FUEL CONTROL UNIT GOVERNOR GAIN WITH SHELLDYNE-H FUEL AT 90°F AND 30-POUND-PER-INCH GOVERNOR SPRING

FIGURE 22

٠



FUEL CONTROL UNIT GOVERNOR GAIN WITH SHELLDYNE-H FUEL AT 80°F AND 35-POUND-PER-INCH GOVERNOR SPRING



SHELLDYNE-H FUEL AT 90°F AND 45-POUND-PER-INCH GOVERNOR SPRING




By increasing the governor set point to achieve the allowable droop characteristics as shown by line B would mean that the no-load speed would increase past the physical speed limitations of the engine. Consequently, the 45-pound-inch spring rate would not provide adequate control.

The data presented in Figure 23 .35-pound-per-inch spring) indicates that the no-load fuel flow requirements are satisfactory, as are the high-load fuel flow requirements. Even though the governor gain at high flow rates is somewhat high it is not excessive. The nonlinearity of this governor, however, has the effect of causing excessive "droop" as shown by the shaded area between pump speeds of 4268 and 4300 rpm.

The nonlinear characteristics observed at low output flows (high bypass flows) were attributed to the limiting size of the bypass port in the governor valve. The port size could not readily be increased without structurally weakening the governor shaft which also drives the governor flyweight mechanism. The mechanical loads carried by the governor shaft are already higher than are normally experienced because of the increased resistance to rotation of the flyweights in the more viscous Shelldyne-H fuel.

The nonlinear characteristic observed, although not desirable, was considered to be acceptable, since the output flow continued to decrease with increasing speed and the noload flow rates were acceptable. Consequently, the control utilizing the 35-pound-per-inch spring was considered satisfactory for the endurance testing of a complete Model GTP30 Gas Turbine Engine with Shelldyne-H fuel.

The performance characteristics of this control at reduced temperatures of minus 35°F to minus 40°F are shown in Figure 26 and at a reduced temperature of minus 65°F are shown in Figure 27. Both Figure 26 and Figure 27 show high governor gains at the high output flows and the same nonlinear behavior experienced previously at the low output flow rates. The data indicates that as the temperature of the fuel is decreased, the gain and nonlinearity of the control increases significantly. Examination of the data reveals that these control characteristics would not be adequate to allow engine overall operation at reduced temperatures. However, it should also be noted that the governor characteristics at high pump speeds (no-load conditions) would provide adequate control.



FUEL CONTROL UNIT GOVERNOR GAIN WITH SHELLDYNE-H FUEL AT -35°F TC -40°F AND 35-POUND-PER-INCH GOVERNOR SPRING





Since engine cold-start testing requires only adequate acceleration-schedule and speed-governing functions under noload conditions, the control used for the endurance testing will also be used for cold-start testing.

Examination of the control during and at the conclusion of these tests revealed no deleterious effects of Shelldyne-H fuel on the control. All O-rings and diaphragms retained their integrity after several weeks of continuous exposure to the fuel.

6. CONCLUSIONS. The overall results of this effort have indicated that the viscous characteristic of Shelldyne-H fuel will have a significant effect on the design of a fuel control. However, control techniques for the viscosity and density compensation have been developed and are incorporated on many production engines. Based on the results of this reported effort, there are no observed fundamental engine fuel handling and control component problems originated by the characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel that would preclude its use as a gas turbine engine fuel.

SECTION V

COMBUSTION

An airblast-injection combustor (referred to as the Shelldyne-H combustor) capable of burning either MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4, fuel or Shelldyne-H fuel was designed, fabricated, tested, and incorporated in the GTP30 Auxiliary Power Unit (APU) test engine. This combustor was used to replace the standard GTP30 atomizing combustor for purposes of this test program.

1. TEST OBJECTIVES. Tests were conducted on the Shelldyne-H combustor to (a) verify the mechanical and functional integrity of the combustor prior to its incorporation in the GTP30 Test Engine, (b) evaluate the comparative steady-state combustion characteristics of Grade JP-4 and Shelldyne-H fuels, (c) determine the ignition characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel, and (d) evaluate the lean- and rich-blowout characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel. These objectives were oriented to evaluation of the fuel and to determining the feasibility of defining a single combustion system for use of either Grade JP-4 or Shelldyne-H fuel.

2. SUMMARY OF RESULTS. The combustor designed for test purposes satisfied both the structural and functional integrity requirements of a GTP30 Auxiliary Power Unit combustor.

NOTE: The program intent was to evaluate Shelldyne-H fuel characteristics rather than to develop an engine or production combustion system for Shelldyne-H fuel. Consequently, little detailed combustion system development was undertaken. Therefore, care should be exercised in interpretation of the data presented in the subsequent combustion subsections because the data obtained on the partially developed combustor does not indicate the absolute or limiting obtainable performance characteristics.

Combustion efficiency with the use of Grade JP-4 fuel was somewhat better than with the use of Shelldyne-H fuel, and combustor exhaust temperature profiles for both fuels were practically identical. The direct ignition of Shelldyne-H fuel was more difficult than ignition of Grade JP-4 fuel. The combustion stability characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel were better than those of Grade JP-4 fuel.

*Combustion efficiency η_c , is defined as the actual combustor temperature rise divided by the ideal temperature rise. See Appendix I.

3. PRELIMINARY TESTS. A series of preliminary tests were performed at the start of the program to determine the general combustion characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel. These tests consisted of a glow-plug ignition test, a flame speeddetermination test, and combustion tests in existing combustor configurations.

3.1 <u>Glow-Plug Ignition Test.</u> A conventional glow plug was coated with a small amount of Shelldyne-H fuel. The fuel ignited slowly and burned with a sooty flame, which indicated that Shelldyne-H fuel would be difficult to ignite.

3.2 <u>Flame Speed-Determination Tests.</u> A flame speed test with use of a Bunsen burner apparatus revealed that the flame speed for Shelldyne-H fuel-to-air mixtures is approximately 7 percent higher than for MIL-T-5624, Grades JP-4 and JP-5, fuelto-air mixture. A summary of the data obtained from this test is tabulated below.

	MIL-T-5624 Grade JP-5	Shelldyne-H Fuel
Pressure, atmospheres	1	1
Fuel temperature, °F	560	540
*Equivalence ratio	1.72	1.65
**Flame speed, feet per second	4.1	4.4

*Equivalence ratio is the ratio of observed fuelto-air ratio to the stoichiometric fuel-to-air ratio.

**The indicated flame speeds are considered to be high as compared to other published data for MIL-T-5624, Grades JP-4 and JP-5, fuels. The reason given for this disparity is that flame height measurements were made directly. Data used from the literature was obtained by shadowgraph flame-height measurements. The relationship between Shelldyne-H fuel and Grade JP-5 fuel flame speeds is considered valid.

Flame characteristics observed during execution of the test indicated that the Shelldyne-H fuel flame was clear and blue, with a bright yellow core. Smoke emanated from the core stream tube. In comparison, the Grade JP-5 fuel flame was clear and blue throughout and produced no smoke. 3.3 <u>Tests with Existing Combustors</u>. Two existing combustors, one utilizing an atomizing fuel-injection system and the other utilizing an airblast-injector system, were tested with Shelldyne-H fuel. Both of these combustors are designed to be used interchangeably, for development purposes, in another AiResearch engine--the Model TSCP700-1, which is an APU for the Douglas DC-10 Airplane. Both of these combustors are shown in Figure 28. Testing was accomplished by mounting the combustors on the vacuum test rig as shown in Figure 29. The advantage of using this test configuration is that the combustor is exposed, and direct visual examination of the combustion process can be made by viewing the flame through the holes in the combustion liner.

Shelldyne-H fuel could not be ignited directly. Therefore, the test was conducted by starting and operating the combustor with Grade JP-4 fuel and blending in Shelldyne-H fuel in a continuously increasing quantity while simultaneously reducing the Grade JP-4 fuel quantity. The atomizing combustor sustained combustion on pure Shelldyne-H fuel but the airblast-injector combustor would not. The flame in both combustors became increasingly white and luminescent as the percentage of Shelldyne-H fuel increased. The airblastinjector combustor continued to sustain combustion until the mixture became approximately 95 percent Shelldyne-H fuel, at which time the combustor became unstable and the flame extinquished. The atomizing combustor continued to function when the fuel became pure Shelldyne-H fuel but required a 130-psig fuel pressure as compared to a 30-psig fuel pressure required for Grade JP-4 fuel.

SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR DESIGN. Although the preliminary 4. combustion tests described above were qualitative in nature, the results provided significant discernment of Shelldyne-H fuel combustion characteristics. Initial results with the use of an atomizing combustor were more favorable than those with the use of an airblast-injector combustor. However, the injector supply pressures required with an atomizing combustor are considered excessive, since the preliminary tests were accomplished at prevailing ambient temperatures of approximately 95°F. The fuel viscosity at this temperature is on the order of 15 centistokes. The viscosity of Shelldyne-H fuel at minus 65°F is on the order of 10,000 centistokes. Fuel droplet size generated by an atomizer is related by an empirical relationship to the following Sauter Mean Diameter (SMD):

61



ATOMIZING FUEL - INJECTION COMBUSTOR (LEFT) AIRBLAST-INJECTOR COMBUSTOR (RIGHT)

FIGURE 28

62



COMBUSTOR VACUUM TEST RIG FIGURE 29

$$SMD = 220 \frac{W^{\circ \cdot 209} \mu^{\circ \cdot 215}}{\Delta P^{\circ \cdot 458}} \quad (Reference^3)$$

where:

W = fuel flow u = fuel viscosity $\angle P = atomizer pressure drop$

Therefore, in order to retain a given droplet diameter at constant fuel flow, the pressure drop required is a function of the fuel viscosity, which is:

 $\frac{\Delta P_{1}}{\Delta P_{2}} = \left(\frac{u_{1}}{u_{2}}\right)^{0.469}$

The atomizer pressure drop required for Shelldyne-H fuel at minus 65°F is therefore approximately 21 times the pressure drop required at 95°F. Based on the fact that Shelldyne-H fuel would not burn at a 30-psig atomizer supply pressure but would burn at a 130-psig atomizer supply pressure, the fuel supply pressure at minus 65°F would have to be greater than 630 psig and less than 2730 psig. Although not impractical, pressures of such a magnitude are considered excessive and not consistent with conventional fuel practice. Consequently, atomizing combustor designs were considered impractical for purposes of this program.

The results obtained with the airblast-injector combustor indicated that only a small amount of Grade JP-4 fuel in the Shelldyne-H fuel would allow the combustor to work. Shelldyne-H fuel is essentially a pure compound and thus evaporates within a narrow temperature band at any given pressure, whereas Grade JP-4 fuel is a mixture of many compounds and evaporates or fractionates over a large temperature band. This difference between the fuels was postulated as the reason that Shelldyne-H fuel would not burn in the TSCP700 airblast-injector combustor whereas a mixture of 5 percent Grade JP-4 fuel and 95 percent Shelldyne-H fuel would. The fractionation characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel and Grade JP-4 fuel are compared on Figure 30, together with the estimated fractionation characteristics of a mixture of 5 percent Grade JP-4 fuel and 95 percent Shelldyne-H fuel

The mechanisms of combustion with Grade JP-4 fuel are such that the light fractions (front ends) of the fuel evaporate at relatively low temperatures and burn. The subsequent heat release causes the remaining fuel to be heated, thereby evaporating heavier fractions, and so on, until the



FIGURE 30

65

.

fuel has been completely vaporized. The process with Shelldyne-H fuel is the same except that there is very little, if any, fractionation. Consequently, vaporization of the fuel is delayed in the combustor (lack of light fractions); and once vaporization starts, it occurs rapidly (lack of heavy fractions). Therefore, if the evaporation zone is located downstream of the primary recirculation zone, combustion products are not carried back into the primary zone to evaporate the fuel, and combustion ceases.

The fact that a mixture of approximately 5 percent of Grade JP-4 fuel provided enough light fractions to allow sustained combustion indicated that the recirculating zone for a Shelldyne-H fuel combustor should be located slightly downstream as compared to a combustor designed for Grade JP-4 fuel.

Flame temperature-rise parameters for Shelldyne-H fuel were calculated (see Appendix I) as shown in Figure 31. This data, together with fuel evaporation criteria derived from NACA Report 1087, aided in establishing the combustor design shown in Figure 32. Also shown in this figure are the percentages of airflow entering the process, together with equivalent overall fuel-to-air ratios at various locations along the combustor. A comparison between the Shelldyne-H fuel combustor (Part PAP214161) and the conventional GTP30 combustor (Part 892493), which it replaced, is shown in Figure 33. Provision for two igniter locations was included in the design. One was located at the same axial distance and rotated 120 degrees from the fuel inlet and primary pipe. The igniter was physically placed in an ignition chamber, which was attached to the side of the combustor as shown in Figure The second, or alternate, igniter location was in the 34. combustor dome facing the primary pipe discharge, also shown in the above figure. The resulting Shelldyne-H fuel combustor components are shown in Figures 35 and 36.

Figure 35 is an end view of the Shelldyne-H fuel combustor and shows the location of the primary fuel pipe, booster strip, cooling bands, corrugated strips, and dilution ports. Most of these features and the ignition chamber and mounting tabs are shown in the overall view in Figure 36.

5. COMBUSTION TESTS. Testing of the Shelldyne-H fuel combustor was accomplished in four segments: (a) initial testing, (b) verification testing, (c) performance testing, and (d) ignition testing.



NOTES:

1. SHELLDYNE-H FUEL

2. LOWER HEATING VALUE = 17,890 Btu PER POUND

3. CARBON-TO-HYDROGEN RATIO, 9.05:1

4. STOICHIOMETRIC FUEL-TO-AIR RATIO, 0.072576:1

5. COMBUSTION PRESSURE = 1.0 ATMOSPHERE

6. DISSOCIATION EFFECTS INCLUDED

SHELLDYNE-H FUEL FLAME TEMPERATURE



NOTES: Airflow in % f/a = fuel-to-air ratio

REF. PAP 21461

SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR AIR DISTRIBUTION AND LOCAL FUEL-TO-AIR RATIOS



COMPARISON OF GTP30 COMBUSTOR (SHOWN ABOVE Q) AND SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR (SHOWN BELOW Q)



.



SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR IGNITER LOCATIONS







TURBINE INLET TEMPERATURE SENSING THERMOCOUPLES

FIGURE 39

77

MP-25255





5.1 <u>Initial Tests</u>. Initial tests were conducted on one vacuum test rig for purposes of initial combustor development. This testing was performed to evaluate the gross c_aracteristics of the Shelldyne-H fuel combustor, such as flame stability, flame length, and general visual appearance of the combustion process. Airflow measurements on this test rig are inherently crude because there cannot be an airflow-measurement section upstream of the combustor under test. This limitation, dependent on airflow combustion parameters such as efficiency and lean-limit blowout, cannot be evaluated accurately. Therefore, this testing is primarily qualitative in nature.

Results obtained from these tests indicated that the Shelldyne-H fuel combustor would sustain combustion equally well on Grade JP-4 or Shelldyne-H fuel. The temperature distribution factors (TDF's) observed during these tests were approximately the same, with the Shelldyne-H fuel TDF being somewhat less than that of the Grade JP-4 fuel. The TDF is defined as

$$TDF = \frac{T_{MAX} - T_{AV}}{T_{AV} - T_{IN}}$$

where: T_{MAX} = the maximum observed temperature

 T_{AV} = the averaged discharge temperature

T_{IN} = combustor inlet air temperature

During this series of tests, it was found that the elimination of twelve 0.050-inch-diameter holes surrounding the primary pipe reduced the combustor TDF, and the addition of six 0.375-inch-diameter holes at the end of the primary zone reduced the TDF and improved combustor stability. The introduction of secondary pipes in the combustor dome resulted in reduced Shelldyne-H fuel combustion capabilities.

Ignition testing of the combustor on the vacuum test rig revealed that Grade JP-4 fuel could be ignited readily with a conventional spark igniter. Ignition attempts with Shelldyne-H fuel, however, were unsuccessful. It was observed during ignition testing with Shelldyne-H fuel that the igniter would ignite the Shelldyne-H fuel mixture in the combustion chamber (Figure 34). A short-duration flame resulted, which extended into the combustor primary zone. Minute particles of fuel could be observed throughout the primary zone; nevertheless, the flame did not propagate, and ignition did not occur. Based on these observations, modifications were made to the ignition chamber in an attempt to extend the duration of the flame emanating from the chamber. Although ignition delay, flame size, and flame duration could be varied with the geometry and configuration of the ignition chamber, none of the tests resulted in successful direct ignition of Shelldyne-H fuel.

Ignition attempts with the igniter alternately located in the dome produced similar results, with the exception that no flame whatsoever was observed.

It was observed during this series of tests that even small amounts of Grade JP-4 fuel mixed with Shelldyne-H fuel would provide successful ignition at the prevailing ambient temperature of 90°F. During several ignition attempts with Shelldyne-H fuel, which followed tests with Grade JP-4 fuel, ignition was accomplished. However, these results were not repeatable on subsequent Shelldyne-H fuel ignition attempts. Though the combustor and fuel lines had been thoroughly drained between the Grade JP-4 fuel and Shelldyne-H fuel tests, enough residual Grade JP-4 fuel remained in the fuel system to effect ignition on the initial attempt. During subsequent attempts the Grade JP-4 fuel residue had been purged from the system, and ignition was not effected.

5.2 <u>Verification Tests</u>. Prior to the incorporation of this combustor in the GTP30 APU, a series of tests were conducted to verify the structural and functional integrity of the combustor under simulated engine operating conditions. These tests ./ere conducted on the GTP30 combustor test rig shown schematically in Figure 37. The assembled test rig is shown in Figure 38. This test rig was fully instrumented, including the capability for measuring turbine inlet (combustor discharge) temperatures with the rotatable thermocouple rake shown in Figure 39.

Combustor metal temperatures were determined with use of Thermindex paint. For purposes of this test, a painted combustor was operated and cooled down under closely controlled conditions to eliminate thermal soak-back effects. The color changes of the Thermindex paint were then analyzed, and isotherms were plotted directly on the combustor, as shown in Figures 40 and 41. The temperature profiles shown in these figures were obtained on the Shelldyne-H combustor by operating the combustor with Grade JP-4 fuel and then with Shelldyne-H fuel. After the JP-4 fuel run, the combustor was examined and the location of any hot spots noted. The combustor was then reinstalled and operated on Shelldyne-H fuel. There were no differences either in the number, location, or size of the observed hot spots. This indicates that the combustor metal temperatures experienced with Shelldyne-H fuel were not hotter than those experienced with Grade JP-4 fuel.





FIGURE 37



ASSEMBLED MODEL GTP30 COMBUSTOR TEST RIG

Three hot spots were observed on the combustor--one on the dome (1700°F), one just below the primary pipe (1500°F) as shown in Figure 41, and a third one (1500°F) just below the igniter chamber, as shown in Figure 42. The temperatures at these hot spots were not excessive and were considered to be acceptable for purposes of planned engine tests.

During the Thermindex paint test, the turbine inlet (combustor discharge) temperatures were surveyed to ensure that the combustor was not streaking or producing local hot spots that would have a detrimental effect on the turbine. The data, as shown in Figure 43, indicated that the combustor discharge temperature profiles were well within acceptable limits for operation with the G.P30 APU. This combustor was therefore removed from the combustion rig and incorporated in the endurance test engine.

5.3 <u>Performance Testing</u>. Performance testing of the Shelldyne-H combustor consisted of steady-state combustion performance evaluation, lean-limit blowout tests, and richlimit blowout tests. The combustor used for performanceevaluation testing was identical with the combustor that had been used during verification testing. Another combustor was modified in an attempt to reduce the dome hot spot. The modification consisted of angling the holes 2 degrees toward the dome. The effect of this modification was to reduce the dome hot-spot temperature by approximately 250°F, as shown in Figure 44. Therefore, it was concluded that the hot spots noted could be eliminated with very minor developmental changes.

The fuel delivery system used for combustion testing is shown in Figure 45. The tests were conducted by setting and establishing the fuel-flow conditions with Grade JP-4 fuel and taking data. The system was then switched, by reversing the normally open and normally closed solenoid valves, to Shelldyne-H fuel. By judicious initial adjustment of these two metering valves, the combustor temperatures would remain the same, and very little time was spent operating with Shelldyne-H fuel to stabilize the test conditions. This system and test sequencing provided minimum Shelldyne-H fuel consumption and rapid test execution. After completion of a given test point with Shelldyne-H fuel, the two solenoid valves were again reversed and the next test point established on Grade JP-4 fuel by adjusting the main control valve. This procedure was then repeated for each test condition.

All data from these tests was recorded with a digital data-acquisition system. Data cards were then entered directly into the computer for reduction. A typical computer presentation of the output data is shown in Figure 46. The circumferential TDF profile for the data of Figure 46 is shown in Figure 46a for the axial positions of 10, 30, 50, 70, and



81

MP-25225



SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION FACTOR

FIGURE 43

32



FIGURE 44

83



N/C = NORMALLY CLOSED N/O = NORMALLY OPEN

COMBUSTION TEST FUEL DELIVERY SYSTEM

90 percent of the turbine inlet nozzle span. The minimum, average, and maximum observed TDF's for these data are shown in Figure 46b.

5.3.1 <u>Steady-State Performance</u>. Combustion efficiency of the Shelldyne-H combustor operating with Grade JP-4 fuel is presented in Figure 47. The dashed line in this figure reflects the typical performance characteristics shown for comparative purposes of fully developed JP-4 fuel combustors. This data reflects that the combustor as tested was, in fact, not fully developed, and also the degree of improvement that could potentially result with further development. Combustor performance with Shelldyne-H fuel is presented in Figure 48. During the test program it was discovered that under some operating conditions Grade JP-4 fuel leakage into the Shelldyne-H fuel supply occurred. This was the result of a faulty valve and occurred spasmodically. Several test points were rerun to check the data considered questionable.

The data represented by plain circles in Figure 48 may or may not represent a run with contaminated Shelldyne-H fuel. The flagged circles represent data obtained from reruns with pure Shelldyne-H fuel. Correlation of the data revealed an undesirable amount of scatter, but the reasons for the data scatter were not apparent. Consequently, attempts to correlate the data, based on other combustor performance parameter groups, were undertaken, but the results were negative, as shown in Figures 49 and 50.

A direct comparison of the combustor performance with Grade JP-4* and Shelldyne-H fuels is presented in Figure 51. This data indicates that the combustor efficiency with Shelldyne-H fuel is less than with JP-4 fuel. However, it is impossible to quantify the relationship between the two fuels that would exist for a fully developed combustor.

The pattern factor or temperature distribution factor (TDF) obtained with the combustor operating on Grade JP-4 and Shelldyne-H fuels is shown in Figures 52 and 53, respectively. A direct comparison between the TDF's obtained with the two fuels is given in Figure 54. This data indicates that the TDF's are practically identical. The significance of this result is that the calculated turbine inlet temperature allowable with either fuel is the same. If, for instance, the TDF for Shelldyne-H fuel had been significantly higher, then the maximum allowable calculated turbine inlet temperature would have to be lower to satisfy the same turbine inlet temperature limits.

*MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4, fuel characteristics vary between batches. A continuous check of the characteristics of the fuel used was made to affirm that the test results with Grade JP-4 fuel could be normalized. See Appendix II.

CCHBUSTOR PAP 214161 SN 2

SCAN 1 PAGE

1164	UNIT	••••••	item	UN1T	*********	11EM	UNIT	•••••
BAROPETER DATFICE 1D ORIFICE DIAPETER DUCT DIAPETER DUCT DIAPETER ONIFICE IALET TLMP. ORIFICE IALET PRESS. ORIFICE DELTA P AIR FLOW FUEL 1	IN HG A IN IN DEG F PSIG IN H2C L8/SEC L8/SEC	24,87 6 1,750 3,044 330,0 170,6 13,6 9,46 1645,2 94	ORIFICE FUEL TEMP. FIEL 1 RU FIEL 2 RG FIEL 1 FURM RATE FIEL 1 FURM RATE FIEL 2 FURM RATE FIEL 2 FURM RATE FIEL AIM RATEO CCMBUSTON INLET TEMP CCMBUSTON INLET PHER	DEG F LA/HR LA/HR LR/HR DEG F DEG R IN MG G	0,0 0,760 39,0 40,2 40,2 0,024 264,C 725,7 3,40	COMBUSTON AREA EFFECTIVE FLON AREA TURBINE INLET PRESS. MEASINED TEMP. RISE IDFAL TEMP. RISE COMMISSON FFFICIENCY VELOCITY- WA/(P3)2 WA/(P3)2(13)1/2	1 N2 [N2 PSIA CEG F CEG F FT/SEC 	12.6 4.144 15.5 1509.6 1612.2 0.94 90.6 0.3855 4.7018 0.0143
ROTOPETER Fuel 2 Fuel 1 tepperaturf Fuel 2 tepperature	JEG F DEG F	00000 500 0,00 0,00	CCMHUSIOR INLET PHES CCMAUSION INLET PHES CCMBUSION INLET PRES COMBUSION VOLUME	P\$14 ATS ATS7 FT3	14.0 1.1 1.2 0.0#20	WA/(VOL)(P3)2(T3)1/2 V/(P3)(T3) T4/T3 T.C. CORRECT. FACTOR	••	0.1745 0.1146 3.000 1.0000

CIRC POS.	9.11	4A[7,40	LAL POSITI	5.00	1.00	РАТ[МОН 74	74 141464	AVERAGE D	ELTA P. IN H20 Pos. 5.00
15 31 45 40	1422,27 1734,19 1620,10 1544,49	1540.00 1643.44 1541.24 1571.04 1418.47	1493,14 1499,33 1443,64 1407,27 1548,22	1/5/,33 1/41,63 1671,33 1733,33 1647,27	1650,45 1740,89 1667,73 1627,27 1625,45	1757.33 1781.43 1691.33 1733.33 1647.27	1540.00 1643.64 1541.25 1571.04 1418.67	1657.65 1714.30 1632.79 1615.61 1530.86	9,40 9,00 8,80 8,30 10,20
75 90 105 120 135	1 A 31 , 47 1 7 45 , 43 1 9 4 , 86 1 7 46 , 47 1 7 4 , 44 1 9 5 4 , 94	1597,27 1731,11 1843,64 1725,33 1842,73 1810,45	1455.03 1742.22 1841.93 1740.03 1757.11 1783.02	1774,89 1750,67 1019,55 1636,89 1776,27 1775,75	1630.01 1697.06 1820.00 1928.10 1941.82 1768.50	1724.89 1750.67 1843.64 1928.10 1841.62 1810.45	1597.27 1647.5h 1819.55 1725.33 1759.11	1647,77 1733,38 1837,59 1799,38 1798,86 1788,37	9.80 10.80 12.00 40.10 16.10 23.50
145 195 215 225	1621,38 1847,73 1867,50 1455,71 1449,79	1875,91 1777,11 1846,36 1895,34 1893,90	1413,64 1749,50 1850,48 1897,80 1887,27	1/95,00 1/76,00 1845,'1 1891,95 1846,64	1422,27 1825,45 1844,05 1941,52 1920,00	1872,27 1817,73 1846.36 1941.82 1928.60	1745.00 1745.50 1845.71 1855.71 1468.64	1811.64 1797.56 1854.32 1896.73 1891.78	22.30 19.10 17.90 14.10 13.40
245 255 270 245 360 315	1773.98 1857.75 1749.53 1937.27 1713.00 1774.44	1755,11 1870,45 1846,36 1877,73 1725,78 1852,86	1762,50 1869,76 1904,42 1870,98 1741,33 1832,27	101,10 1901,16 1859,52 1866,82 1937,27 1890,00	1754,42 1930,45 1912.44 1890.00 1754.67 1863.64	1015.00 1930.45 1012.86 1898.94 1037.27 1890.00	1755,11 1867,73 1784,53 1887,27 1713,00 1774,44	1778.12 1893.91 1870.54 1866.56 1754.41 1842.64	11.50 10.70 10.30 10.00 10.00 9.50
330 345 340 Max	1712.1) 1759.11 1622.27 1849.19	1813.14 1792.27 1540.00 1896.34	1820,91 1799.09 1653.18	1798,64 1851,90 1757,33	1856.67 1825.00 1650.45 1941.82	1056.67 1051.90 1757.33	1712.00 1759.11 1540.00	1800.28 1805.48 1652.65	9,45 9,20 9,40 40,10
H[1; AVG PAX[HL P[N]HL AVERAG	1454.48 1749.90 # 74 1941 # 74 1418 # 74 1418	1418.67 1752.02 .87 .67 .69	1508.22 1771.79	1647,27 1803,94	1025,45 1000.59	•••••	•••••••		8.30 13.07

COMBUSTOR PAP 214161 NV 4

SCAN 1 PAGE 2

STREWATINGS.	DISTRIBUTION	EACTOR

1 P C		RA	IAL POSITI	101		HTATH	HENEHUH	AVERAGI
cs.	9.00	7.00	5,00	3.00	1.00	121	TOF	TDF
	+0.1016	-1.1296	-0.0411	-0.0121	+D.0829	.0.3121	-0.1296	-0.071
15	+0.0461	-0.9874	-0.0504	0.0040	-0.0230	0.0140	-0.0874	-0.040
3.	-3.1731	-1.1553	-D.CA74	-0.0554	-0.0715	-0.3558	-0.1555	-0.094
45	-0.1234	-3.1656	-0.1115	-0.0280	-1.09A3	-0.0286	-0.1684	-0.100
61	.0.2126	-3.2345	-0.1771	-0.0850	-0.0995	-1.0851	-0.2505	-0.142
75	.0.0954	-1.1182	-6.0799	-0.033A	-9.0965	-0.0334	-0.1107	-0.044
9.	-0.0201	-3.0295	·0.07e1	.0.0165	-0.0517	.0.0165	-0.0517	-0.028
115	3.0445	0.0583	0.0439	0.0241	0.0294	0.0563	0.0291	0.041
123	-0.0192	-0.0333	-0.0104	0.2405	0.1010	0.1010	-0.0335	0.015
1 15	-1.010F	0.01 9	-0.0110	0.0064	0.0703	9.0703	-0.0110	0.015
15*	0.0188	0.0231	0.0049	0.0001	-9.0047	0.0231	-0.0147	0.004
165	0.0501	0.0200	0.0252	0.0124	0.0109	0.0509	0.012*	0.023
14:	0.0411	0.9010	-0.0041	0.0014	0.0530	0.0411	-0.0041	0.014
95	0,0459	2.2601	0.3496	0.0464	0.0484	0.0001	0.0464	0.092
211	0.0530	3.0799	0.0409	0.0770	0.1101	0.1101	0.0930	0.040
125	0.0751	0.0743	0.0/34	0.0616	0.0954	0.095A	0.0010	0.074
74°	-0.0014	+0.013A	-0.3047	0.0261	0.005#	0.0241	-0.0136	0.001
235	0.0410	0,0628	0.0922	0.0631	0.1025	0,1025	0.0010	0,078
51.	0.0192	0.0733	0.0853	0.0556	0.0909	0, 1704	0.0092	0.062
245	U.8209	3.0676	0.0764	0.0604	0.0757	0.0764	0.0209	0.060
365	-0.0415	-0,0330	-0.0727	0.0404	-0.0139	6.0408	+0.0415	-0,014
315	.0.00M	0.0511	0.0375	0.0757	0.0583	0.0757	-0.9004	0.044
33"	-0.0422	0.0249	0.6300	0.0152	0.0937	0.0937	-0.0422	0.014
545	+0,0110	0.0110	0.0155	0.0505	0.0327	0.0909	-0.0110	0,019
360	-0,1014	-0.1246	-0.0811	-0.0121	-0.0029	-0.0121	-0.1294	-0,001
•••• 4χ	0.0751	0.0799	6.0453	0.0431	0.1101		*****	
IN	.0.2124	-0.2365	-0.1771	-0.0450	-0.0999			
VG	-0.0171	+0.0156	.0.0026	0.0187	0.0165			

COMPUTER PRESENTATION DATA



FIGURE 46a

87



FIGURE 46b



SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR PERFORMANCE WITH MIL-T-5624, GRADE JP-4 FUEL

FIGURE 47


SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR PERFORMANCE

FIGURE 48





FIGURE 49





SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR PERFORMANCE COMPARISON

FIGURE 51



COMBUSTOR DISTRIBUTION FACTOR SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR USING MIL-T-5624, GRADE JP-4 FUEL

FIGURE 52



COMBUSTOR DISTRIBUTION FACTOR SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR USING SHELLDYNE-H FUEL

FIGURE 53

.



TEMPERATURE DISTRIBUTION FACTOR COMPARISON

FIGURE 54

5.3.2 <u>Stability Limits</u>. The combustion stability limits for both Grade JP-4 fuel and Shelldyne-H fuel were determined with the Shelldyne-H combustor. The stability limits for Shelldyne-H fuel are broader than for JP-4 fuel as shown in Figure 55. This data indicates that Shelldyne-H continues to burn at half the minimum combustor absolute pressure required by Grade JP-4 fuel.

The test rig was modified to provide a rich-blowoutlimit testing capability. These modifications consisted of installing a water-injection manifold in the combustor discharge, as shown in Figure 56. During rich-blowout testing, water was sprayed into the combustor exhaust to prevent overtemperature of the combustor test rig. The water spray was directed downstream and was arranged in such a manner as to preclude the possibility of water being carried back into the combustor by recirculation. The measured exhaust temperature was then corrected for temperature depression, from water and airflow rate data. The broader combustion stability of Shelldyne-H fuel, as shown in the above figure, indicates that an engine operating with Shelldyne-H fuel would be more tolerant to operating perturbations than one operating with Grade JP-4 fuel.

5.4 Ignition Tests. Ignition testing was performed to determine the comparative ignition characteristics of Shelldyne-H and JP-4 fuels at reduced temperatures and pressures. The tests were conducted by supplying the combustor with air and fuel thermally conditioned to simulate the conditions experienced in the GTP30 APU during a start. The air was conditioned by blow-down turbines capable of providing air at temperatures down to minus 60°F. The fuel system shown schematically in Figure 57, was used to thermally con-dition both the fuel and the fuel delivery lines. The Shelldyne-H fuel storage tank was filled and immersed in a trichloroethylene bath. The bath, tank, and Shelldyne-H fuel were then chilled with dry ice, and the desired test temperature was maintained by bubbling CO2 through the bath. The line connecting the Shelldyne-H fuel tank through the normally closed solenoid valve to the combustor could then be prechilled up to the valve by recirculating cold Shelldyne-H fuel from the tank through the line and back to the tank.

The Shelldyne-H fuel tank served two purposes--first, to score a relatively large quantity of cold Shelldyne-H fuel, and second, to act as a heat exchanger. Controlled quantities of fuel were delivered to the combustor by pressurizing the storage tank with a metered quantity of warm Shelldyne-H fuel and opening the solenoid valve. Warm Shelldyne-H fuel stratified in the upper portion of the tank, thereby expelling cold fuel from the bottom of the tank. Because the tank volume was







FIGURE 56



IGNITION TEST FUEL CONDITIONING AND DELIVERY SYSTEM

FIGURE 57

large compared to the amount of fuel required for an ignition test, the tank was never emptied of cold fuel. Warm fuel remained in the tank long enough to become chilled to the average bulk temperature.

An alternate ignition system, consisting of a cartridge starter, breech, and manifolding as shown in Figure 58, was also fabricated and tested. The purpose of this system was to simulate an engine configuration in which a cartridge starter grain is used to motor the engine for starting. A small amount of the starter gases was introduced directly into the combustor. These gases are both hot (1500°F to 2000°F) and hydrogen-rich. Consequently, it was anticipated that the effects of both direct heating and secondary combustion would aid in ignition. The amount of cartridge gas injected into the combustor was controlled by the two metering orifices. A series of orifice plates were fabricated, which, when used in paired combinations, would provide various quantities of gas to the combustor.

Several injector-tube configurations were preliminarily screened. A single configuration, shown in Figure 59, was used for ignition tests in the GTP30 combustion test rig. The injector tube consisted of a length of stainless steel tubing looped so that the discharge flow would impinge on the tube. A palladium coating was applied to the outside of the tubing to act as a catalytic ignition source between the hydrogen in the main decomposition gases and the air flowing through the combustor.

Ignition testing was initiated with a preliminary test, which was performed by operating the combustor under prevailing ambient conditions with Shelldyne-H fuel at normal startair and fuel-flow rates. The combustor inlet air and fuel temperatures were then gradually reduced to minus 20°, at which point the flame extinguished. This test indicated that the minimum ignition temperature for Shelldyne-H fuel under GTP30 start conditions would be higher than minus 20°F. The viscosity of Shelldyne-H fuel at minus 20°F is 550 centistokes. It is interesting to note that the maximum fuel viscosity normally considered for use by the aviation industry is 12 centistokes. Central power generating stations generally do not attempt to burn fuel at viscosities greater than 500 centistokes.

Several tests were run with fuel delivery temperatures that were both above and below the combustor inlet air temperature. These tests indicated that even though the fuel may be preheated, the fuel temperature entering the combustor



CARTRIDGE STARTER IGNITION SYSTEM

FIGURE 58



CARTRIDGE GAS INJECTOR TUBE

FIGURE 59

is essentially the same as the combustor component temperature. The reason for this is that the thermal mass of the combustor, associated lines and fittings, and combustor airflow is large compared to the thermal mass of the fuel used during an ignition cycle. Consequently, the combustor inlet air temperature will control the fuel temperature and related ignition characteristics.

The ignition characteristics obtained with the Shelldyne-H combustor operating on Grade JP-4 fuel are presented in Figure 60. This data is for two ignition configurations: first, using only the spark igniter, and second, using cartridge gas injection plus the spark igniter. The ignition temperature depression with cartridge gas injection is significant (70°F). Therefore, the direct introduction of heat and hydrogen in the combustor does aid low-temperature ignition, as would be expected. Attempts to obtain autoignition, by using only cartridge gases as the ignition source, were unsuccessful. However, it is believed that autoignition could be accomplished with proper design of the gas-injector tube. The design, as shown previously in Figure 58, forced the gases to impinge on the palladiumcoated section only, one or two diameters from the tube end. Consequently, the local velocities were only slightly subsonic, as the tube itself was choked. Therefore, very little, if any, air (oxygen) could mix with the cartridge gases prior to impingement on the palladium. If any reaction was catalyzed, the local velocities were higher than the ensuing flame speed, and ignition was not effected.

The minimum ignition temperature with this combustor, with the use of a conventional spark igniter, was 8°F. This indicated that significant improvements in ignition characteristics of the combustor are attainable with additional development. Practically all combustors in production today can be started at minus 65°F, with use of Grade JP-4 fuel.

The ignition characteristics obtained with Shelldyne-H fuel are presented in Figure 61. Direct ignition of Shelldyne-H fuel with a conventional spark igniter, required a combustor inlet air temperature of 265°F, as shown. With cartridge gas injection, plus the spark igniter, the minimum ignition temperature was reduced to 30°F.

A third ignition configuration with Shelldyne-H fuel was attempted. In this configuration a small amount of Grade JP-4 fuel (2.5 cubic centimeters) was put in the fuel line to act as a "lead" of Grade JP-4 fuel. The results, as shown in Figure 61, indicated that the ignition temperature could





LIGHT

IGNITION CHARACTERISTICS OF MIL-T-5624, GRADE JP-4 FUEL WITH SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR

FIGURE 60



NOTES:

SHELLDYNE-H FUEL IGNITION CHARACTERISTICS WITH SHELLDYNE-H COMBUSTOR

FIGURE 61

be further reduced to minus 5°F, which is only 15°F above the minimum steady-state combustion temperature determined with Shelldyne-H fuel initially.

NOTE : This data is for simulated-start airflow and fuel-flow conditions. Consequently, the results in no way reflect on the steadystate design-point combustion characteristics with use of either Grade JP-4 or Shelldyne-H fuel at reduced temperatures. Furthermore, it should be remembered that the pressure and temperature parameters reflected in Figures 60 and 61 are measured at the combustor inlet. Therefore, the effects of engine compressor pressure ratio and engine inlet ram must be taken into account to relate the data to prevailing flight conditions.

A direct comparison of the results in Figures 60 and 61 reveals that Shelldyne-H fuel is more difficult to ignite than Grade JP-4 fuel. However, techniques have been demonstrated for circumventing this deficiency until such time as direct ignition techniques can be developed.

SECTION VI

ENGINE ENDURANCE TESTING

1. <u>OBJECTIVES</u>. The overall effects of prolonged operation of current turbomachinery with Shelldyne-H fuel were to be evaluated. An endurance test program consisting of a cyclic loading sequence with multiple engine starts was to be accomplished. The engine chosen for this test was the AiResearch Model GTP30-67 Auxiliary Power Unit (APU).

2. <u>SUMMARY AND RESULTS</u>. Over 60 hours of operation and 54 successful starts were accomplished during this test without encountering serious technological problems. Post-endurance teardown inspection of all engine parts revealed no detrimental effects as a result of operation with Shelldyne-H fuel that could preclude its selection as a jet engine or gas turbine fuel.

3. ENGINE DESCRIPTION AND BUILDUP DOCUMENTATION. The Model GTP30-67 APU utilized as the test engine in the evaluation of Shelldyne-H fuel is a small, single-shaft turbine engine designed primarily for use as an on-board aircraft APU. The engine, although not a current production model, was selected for its inherent low-fuel-consumption characteristics and the immediate availability of component parts.

Figure 62 schematically depicts the basic components of the Model GTP30 Engine. The high-speed rotating group consists of a single-stage centrifugal compressor and a single-stage radial-inflow turbine, both mounted on a single shaft that is supported at one end by a pair of positively lubricated ball bearings. The nominal operating speed for this rotating assembly is 52,800 rpm. A single reduction gearbox is used to reduce this speed to 8000 rpm at the output drive pad. Auxiliary drive pads are included to drive accessories such as the fuel-control unit.

The airflow discharged from the 2.5:1-pressure-ratio compressor is expanded through a diffuser into a plenum that also houses the single-can combustor. High-temperature gases leaving the combustor are distributed uniformly to the turbine inlet nozzles by a scroll-shaped torus. After passing through the turbine, the exhaust gases are discharged through a thermostat-equipped tail pipe to atmosphere.



- A. IMPELLER B. TURBINE
- C. COMBUSTOR D. TORUS
- D. TORUS
- E. PLENUM

MODEL GTP30 ENGINE, SCHEMATIC

FIGURE 62

Figure 63 shows the various component parts of the rotating group, including turbine wheel and shaft, compressor impeller, compressor/turbine seal plate bearings, bearing carrier, seals, and spacers. Figure 64 shows the assembled rotating group prior to installation in the endurance test engine.

The buildup of the endurance engine was accomplished according to standard production procedures, with nominal clearances and tolerances used throughout the assembly. All power section and fuel-handling components employed in the buildup were new parts. The gearbox, however, had been previously used in-house in a small, portable power cart. Figures 65 and 66 show the assembled Shelldyne-H endurance engine, including accessory equipment such as the fuel control, fuel solenoid, and ignition system.

Additional pretest photographs of the turbine hot-end components are presented in a later section of this report for comparison with photographs taken at the conclusion of the test.

3.1 <u>Conduct of the Endurance Test</u>. Figure 67 shows the Shelldyne-H endurance engine installed in the test cell prior to commencement of the 50-hour test. The engine was equipped with a water brake dynamometer and calibrated force ring for accurate load determination. In addition, the engine was fully instrumented for all temperature and pressure measurements pertinent to the evaluation of its performance with Shelldyne-H fuel. Fuel was supplied to the unit by pumping it from the as-received barrels into the test-cell fuelhandling system and thence to the engine. Fuel flow rates were measured with a turbine flowmeter, calibrated for Shelldyne-H fuel. The flowmeter was installed in the fuel supply line between the supply pump and the test cell fuel system.

As discussed in the combustion section of this report, ignition of Shelldyne-H fuel could not be accomplished by use of conventional methods. Therefore, all starts during the course of the endurance tests were made by injecting a small quantity of MIL-T-52624, Grade JP-4, fuel into the fuel line between the fuel solenoid and the GTP30 combustor. prior to start initiation. The amount of Grade JP-4 used was minimized to ensure that the engine was accelerating to governed speed on Shelldyne-H fuel.

During the initial check-out runs some difficulty was encountered in achieving a fuel governor setting that would provide stable control at all load conditions. Several governor spring rates from 30 to 60 pounds per inch were



MODEL GTP30 ENGINE ROTATING ASSEMBLY COMPONENT PARTS

FIGURE 63

111

MODEL GTP30 ENGINE ASSEMBLED ROTATING GROUP FIGURE 64



MODEL GTP30 SHELLDYNE-H ENDURANCE ENGINE

FIGURE 65

113





SHELLDYNE-H ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST SETUP FIGURE 67

115

installed without success. It was determined from these preliminary tests that the problem arose from the use of a single downstream restriction between the fuel control and the combustor, as described in Section V of this report. If this orifice were adjusted for good engine starting, then too much fuel pressure would be required at full load conditions and the engine speed would decrease or "droop" exces-The final solution involved the installation of two sively. orifices and a relief valve in the fuel line. The first, or start, orifice allowed for proper adjustment of the fuel rates during a start. The second, or run, orifice permitted the maximum fuel rates to be obtained with reasonable control The relief valve was used to admit fuel to the pressures. second orifice during the latter portion of the start schedule. This system, which is shown schematically in Figure 68, was utilized during the entire endurance test in conjunction with a 54-pound-per-inch governor spring.

The endurance test was conducted around the clock from September 9 through September 12, 1969, with brief shut-downs for all shift changes and lunch breaks. Additional shutdowns were made for various test-cell equipment adjustments and fuel barrel changes.

The loading schedule for the test consisted of ten of the following time/load cycles:

Segment

Description

- (a) 5 minutes at maximum output plus 5 minutes at no load (idle) plus 20 minutes at normal output.
- (b) 5 minutes at maximum output plus 5 minutes at no load plus 20 minutes at 75% normal output.
- (c) 5 minutes at maximum output plus 5 minutes at no load plus 20 minutes at normal output.
- (d) 5 minutes at maximum output plus 5 minutes at no load plus 20 minutes at 50% normal output.
- (e) 5 minutes at maximum output plus 5 minutes at no load plus 20 minutes at normal output.
- (f) 5 minutes at maximum output plus 5 minutes at no load plus 20 minutes at 25% normal output.
- (g) 2 hours consisting of 8 cycles at 10 minutes at no load (idle) and 5 minutes at maximum output.



-.

FUEL SYSTEM SCHEMATIC SHELLDYNE-H ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST

FIGURE 68

- · · . .

One hundred percent normal output was established for the Model GTP30-67 Engine as 48 horsepower at 52,800 rpm turbine speed for the average ambient temperatures encountered. Maximum output was established as that power available at 1325°F turbine discharge temperature. If for some reason, such as extremely high ambient temperature, a condition of compressor surge was encountered, maximum output was considered to be the highest surge-free discharge temperature that could be obtained up to 1325°F. 3.2 Endurance Test Performance Results. Figures 69 through 77 contain a tabulation of all data recorded during the endurance test, as well as those calculated and corrected data pertinent to performance evaluation. (Figures 69 through 77 are a reduced reproduction of the computer printout.) The following is a definition of the column headings used for the endurance test data:

TEST NO. (Example 01A1) 01-the first of 10 cycles, A-the first segment of A through G segments described in the preceding section of this report, 1-the first subsegment of segment A.

SPEED Output shaft speed in rpm. 8000 = 52,800 rpm turbine speed.

TURB. DISCH TEMP Average of eight turbine exhaust-gas temperature measurements in degrees F.

TORQ. Measured output torque in inch-pounds.

FUEL FLOW Fuel flow rate in pounds per hour.

FUEL SUP. PRESS Fuel supply pressure at the fuel-control PSIG inlet, psig.

FUEL NOZ. PRESS Fuel nozzle pressure at the fuel control outlet, psig.

AMB. TEMP Average of eight inlet air temperature measurements in degrees F.

COMP PRESS Compressor discharge pressure, psig.

FUEL TEMP Fuel temperature at fuel-control inlet in degrees F.

BAR. PRESS Test-cell barometric pressure in inches of mercury absolute.

VIBR. Engine vibration peak-to-peak displacement in mils.

Calculated output horsepower.

HP CORR Output horsepower corrected to sea-level standard day conditions.

DISCH TEMP CORR Turbine exhaust-gas temperature corrected to standard-day conditions, in degrees F.

FUEL FLOW CORR Fuel flow rate corrected to sea-level standard-day conditions, pounds per hour.

SFC

HP

Specific fuel consumption in pounds per horsepower-hour and Btu per horsepowerhour.

ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST DATA

FUEL USED SHELLDYNE-H LOWER HEATING VALUE 17800. BTU/LB

COMBUSTOR TYPE --- SHELLDYNE VAPORIZER ---

						SHELLD	YNE-H								-		
TEST	SPEED	TURBA	TCRO.	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL	AMB .	COMP	FUEL	BAR.	VIBR.	HP	HP	DISCH	FUEL	SPC	SPL
	4. 200	DISCH		FLOW	SUP.	NOZ.	TEMP	PRESS	TEMP	PRESS		•	CORK	TEMP	FLOW		
10.8		TEND			PRESS	PRESS								CORR	CORR	LB/	BIUT
	OPH		INHI B	DPH	PSIG	PSIG	F	PSIG	F	IN-LB	MILS	HP	HP	F	LB-HR	HP-MR	HPHK
	4-4		14-012														
																1.64	14364.3
2141	7960.C	1925.0	397.5	80.00	18.00	148.0	97.0	19.0	90.0	28.65	0.100	2005	30.0	1434+3		1.37	
0142	8090.0	588.0	0.0	36.90	23.00	103.0	99.0	17.0	90.0	28.65	0.130	0.0	0.0	34317	3847		34705
0143	7950+0	1290.0	380.0	77.30	18.00	143.0	101.0	16.0	94.0	28.65	0.110	47.9	48+1	1143+3	17+0		2010302
2151	7940.0	1327.0	392.5	80.00	17.00	146.0	102.0	19.0	97.0	28.65	0.110	49.4	49.6	1243.4	80.2	1.0.1	2019010
182	0.000.0	992.0	0.0	36.50	23.00	104.0	102.0	17.0	97.0	28.65	0.130	0.0	0.0	344.8	36.0	N/A	070
0185	7980.0	1047.0	287.5	66.30	18.00	132.0	105.0	18.0	98.0	28.65	0.120	36.4	36.4	998.4		1.84	3641944
3101	7940.0	1125.0	185.0		14.00	143.0	104.0	18.0	100.0	28+65	0.110	48.5	48.5	1514-3	80.0	1.84	24334+0
0100	0000.0	690.0	2.2	36.60	23.00	102.0	103.0	16+5	101.0	28.65	0.120	0.0	0.0	543.4	36+6	N/A	NZA
11.2	7040.0	1327.0	180.0	10.00	18.00	143.0	105.0	18.0	101.0	28.65	0.110	47+8	47.9	1212+4	80.0	1.67	29745+3
0101	7040.0	1375.0	382.5	0.00	18.00	143.0	105.0	18.0	102.0	28.65	0.100	48.1	48.1	1217+0	80+0	1.00	53288+7
0101		691.5	C . 0	36.60	23.00	101.0	104.0	17.0	102.0	28.65	0.120	0.0	0.0	545+6	36+6	N/A	N/A
	E.EC.0	99900	100.0	88.00	20.00	110.0	104.0	18.0	101.0	28.65	0.100	24.1	24.1	828+1	\$5.0	2+27	40542+4
	ECICES O	1936.0	177.8	00.00	18.00	142.0	104.0	18.0	104.0	28.65	0.110	47.4	47+4	1214.9	80+0	1.68	29980.0
0151	193060	134780	31142	14.40	21.00	100.0	105.0	17.5	104+0	28.65	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$44+6	36+6	N/A	N/A
C152	104040	373+0		78.80	17.60	140.0	108.0	18.0	105.0	28.65	0.110	46.7	46.8	1192.3	79.6	1.70	30268.3
2153	7940.0	1309+0	31203	40 00	10.00	148.0	104-0	10.0		28.48	0.130	48.5	48.5	1217.3	80.0	1.64	29322+1
1111	4420.0	1323.0	38240	80.00	23.00	104.0	103.0	17.0		28.48	0.130	0.0	0.0	550.3	36+3	N/A	N/A
01=2	8080+9	597+2		39430	23.00	110 0	105.0	17.0	100.0	28.48	C.120	12.1	12.1	677.8	44.4	3.67	65360.1
0183	P040+C	738.0	95+0	44+70	21.00	104.0	104.0	17.0	104.0	28.48	0.120	0.0	0.0	556.7	36.4	N/A	N/A
2141	6080+0	609.0	CoC	30.40	43100	144.0	148.0	18.0	102.0	28.48	0.120	49.1	44.7	1100.1	76.0	1.64	48946+1
0162	7950+0	1325+0	390+0	80.00	10.00	10300	10310	17.0	102.0	28.48	0.120	0.0	0.0	546.5	36.3	N/A	N/A
1153	#100+0	595.0		38.40	23.00	103.0	103.0	1	108.0	28.48	0.120	47.3	67.0	1192.7	79.6	1.09	30104.0
1154	7950+0	1310+0	375+3	60.00	21.00	140+0	110.0	1900	104 0	24.48	C. 140	0.0	0.0	451.1	34.4	N/A	N/A
0115	#100+1	. \$C C	Ce'	36.50	25.00	103.0	109.0	1110	104.0	24.64	0.130		67.6	1208.1	81+2	1.71	30465+3
0155	7950.0	1323.0	377+5	81.50	21.00	140.0	108+0	10.0	103+0	20.44	0-120	0.0	0.0	5444.3	36.4	N/A	N/A
0157	5090+0	5971+0	0.0	36+50	25.00	102.0	107+0	17.0	103+0	20.40	0 120	47.4		1200-0		1.74	30764+4
0154	7950.0	1318.C	377+	62.30	21.00	141.0	110+0	10.0	109.0	40.00	0.110		0.0	648.2	34.3	N/A	N/A
~13e	8090.1	600+0	2.0	36.50	25.00	103+0	100.0	17.0	100+0		0.130	47.4	47.4	1200-0	n m1.#	1.74	30727.0
21610	7950+0	1318.0	377 .!	82.20	21.00	140.0	110.0	18+0	106+0	20.00	0.140		0.0	647-3	34.3	N/A	N/A
01011	1090.1	600+C	2.0	36.50	25+00	103.0	109+0	17+0	107.0	20.00	0.130	0.0		1147.4	41.0	1.74	31102.8
21612	7940.5	1123.0	372.	82.00	21.00	140.0	118.0	18+0	107.0	28.60	0.110			447.0	34.2	N/A	N/A
01613		503.0	0.	36.50	25.00	104.0	112.0	17.0	108.0	28+64	0.130	0.0	0.0	1100		1.79	31214.6
01614	7960.0	1323.0	372.5	8 82.30	21.00	140.0	117.0	18.0	108.0	28.40	0.110	48.7	40.4	11074		A	N/A
01616	9090-0	605.0	0.	36.30	25.00	102.0	113+0	17.0	109.0	28+61	0.130	0.0	0+0	39/01		1.77	*1.568
11:14	7940-0	1110.0	365.	0 81.50	20.00	140.0	115.0	18.0	109.0	28+61	0.110	42.1	43 43	12424		1.47	19724-0
A 2 2 1	7940-0	1125-0	385.	0	11.00	140.0	112.0	19.0	106+0	28.54	6 0.120	48.3	48.4	1404+		N/A	N/A
0343		610-0	0	35.0	19.00	95.0	112.0	18.0	107.0	28.54	6 0.140	0.0	0.0	233.		1.69	30104-0
0.24.9	7050.	1920.0	175.	0.00.0	14.00	135.0	120.0	19.0	109.0	28.54	4 0.110	47+3	40.4	11010	. /	4.87	20344.0
02-3	7040-1	1928-0	100-	0	11.00	140.0	110.0	19.0	108.0	28.54	4 0.110	49.1	49+1	1404+	3 81.00	4484	6734407
12-1	8080	600.0	0.	0 42.0	19.00	105.0	108.0	10.0	103.0	28.5	4 0.170	0.0	0.0	348+	2 42.0	N/A	104 2 A
	30.304	1045.0	288.	0 65.0	14.00	110.0	110.0	18.0	106.0	28.5	4 0-120	36+0	36+6	9 V87+	8 65+0	1+80	34084+7
. 2 . 3	19808	A TABSEC		A #340													

FIGURE 69

ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST DATA LOWER HEATING VALUE 17800. BTU/LB FUEL USED SHELLDYNE-H

COMBUSTOR TYPE --- SHELLDYNE VAPORIZER ----

						SHELLD	YNE-H							-			
TEST	SPEED	TURB.	TORG.	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL	AMB .	COMP	FUEL	BAR.	VIBR.	HP	HP	DISCH	FUEL	SEC	SFC
NO.		DISCH		FLOW	SUP.	NOZ.	TEMP	PRESS	TEMP	PRESS			CORK	CORR	CONN		471.1
		TEMP			PRESS	PRESS								CORR	LUNA		
	0PM	F	IN-LB	PPH	PSIG	PSIG	F	PSIG	F	IN-L8	MILS	HP	MP		FB-uk	HL-HV	0F=08
					1			18.0	107.0	28.84	0.100	47.8	67.7	1202.1	79.8	1.6/	24782.8
0201	7930.0	1325.0	380.0	0.00	13.00	100.0	100 0	17-0	108-0	28.44	0.170	0.0	0.0	\$\$1.8		N/A	N/A
3202	R090.0	605.0	0.0	44.00	10.50	100.0	109.0	17.0	108.0	28.84	0.110	47.8	47.4	1197.2	80.0	1.5/	29782.8
C 2C 3	7930.0	1319.0	380.0	0.00	13.90	1.500	110.0	10.0	108.0	38.64	0.110	48.4	48.43	1202.1	80.3	1.66	29579.1
0201	7930.0	1325.0	385.0	80.50	13.30	14310	112.0	10.0	103.0	20.54	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$\$1.8		N/A	N/A
0207	609C+C	605.0	C+0	46.00	18.90	100.0	109.0	10.0	104 0	28.64	0.140	14.4	14.8	#17.7	\$5.1	1.47	26595.4
0203	8000.0	895.0	29	55.00	19.30	130.0	100.0	10.7	104-0	20.54	0.120	48.0	48.1	1214.0	80.8	1.84	29237.4
OZEL	7920+0	1329+0	390+'	80+50	12+90	149+0	100+0	14.0	108.0	24.54	0.150	0.0	0.0	551-1	44.42	h/A	N/A
07F2	8070+0	600.0	0.0	44.00	18.70	102.0	103-0	1	10100	24.84	0.110		47.8	1187.7		1.47	49894.3
0253	7930.0	1900.0	380.0	80.30	13.00	140.0	108.0	14.0	10800	24.84	0.110		48.7	1210.4		1.62	24428.0
02#1	7920.0	1325.0	387.5	80.50	12.50	145.0	100.0	14+2	108.0	20.24	0 170		0.0	654.7	44.1	N/A	N/A
^2F2	8090.0	605.0	0+0	44.00	18.00	100.0	106+0	18+2	109+0	60.74	0110	12.1	12.1	647.1	61.4	4.21	75000.4
0253		725.0	95.0	51.00	14.00	110.0	104+0	18+2	100.0	28.29	0 170		0.0		38.6	N/A	NZA
0251	e110+0	590.0	C.0	38.00	18.00	100.0	98.0	17+2	100.0	20174	0 11/		48.4	1210.5	80.5	1.82	29433.1
2262	7920.0	1323.0	385.0	80.00	13.50	145.0	103.0	19.2	102.0	28.74	0.140	4843	0.0		18.9	N/A	6/A
253	#115+C	E00+0	C . O	38.50	18.50	100.0	98.0	10.9	102.0	28+74	0.110		48.7	1221.1	80.5	1.01	2883643
\$264	7930.0	1325 . C	392.5	80.00	14.00	148.0	103.0	19.0	102.0	20.74	0.140		0.0	462.4	18.4	N/A	N/A
255	#090.C	595.0	C.0	38.50	18.50	105.0	99.0	10.0	102.0	20.79	0.140			1210.0	80.5	1.6.6	49494.3
0235	79:0.C	1320+0	390+0	80.00	14.00	140.0	102.0	19.9	101+0	28.34	0.110				38.8	N/A	N/A
0267	100°C	595+0	C+0	38.50	19.00	105.0	101.0	18.5	101+0	20.34	0.190	0.0	40.7	1224.7	80.4	1.43	44670.3
0258	7910.0	1325.0	365+0	: 20.00	14.00	140.0	101.0	19.5	101.0	28.24	0.110		48 + 7	481.4	38.8	N/A	N/A
259	e070.0	595+0	C.0	38.50	19.50	105.0	100.0	18+3	100.0	28.74	0.190		48.7	1218.6	80.4	1.83	2963341
02510	7920.00	1320+0	385+3) SC+00	14+00	145+0	102+0	19+5	100+0	28+24	0+110	4843		844.4	35.7	N/A	N/A
02511	8050+0	590+0	0.0	35.50	19.50	105.0	102.0	19.0	100+0	20.34	0.130		48.0	1227.4	78.4	1.64	disting.
22612	7920+0	1315.0	385+0	78.50	14+00	145+0	96+0	19+9	99.0	20.24	0.110		4714		11.0	N/A	N/A
02013	5072+C	590+0	C + C	35+50	19.50	100.0	96.0	19.0	77.0	20124	0110			1220.0	78.4	1.04	28513.3
02514	7920.0	1320.0	385+0	77.50	14.00	145+0	97.0	19.0	99.0	20.94	0.110	4813			14.4	N/A	N/A'
02615	8240.0	590.C	C+C	35.50	19.50	100+0	97+0	19+0	98.0	28.54	C+190	0.0	0.0	1227.4	78.3	1.54	48167.B
02916	7923+0	1320.0	390.0	77.50	14+00	145.0	98+0	19+5	98.0	28+94	0.110	4749	47.17	1227-0	74.1	1.59	28329.4
03/1	7920+0	1320+0	387+5	3 77+50	14.00	145+0	98+0	19+5	98.0	20.00	0.110		4711		14.1	N/A	N/A
2342	#050+0	590.0	0.0	35.00	19.50	105+0	97.0	19+3	98.0	40.00	0+130	0.0	0.0	1100.1	77.4	1.44	24930.7
\$313	7930.0	1290.0	377.5	5 77.20	14.50	143.0	98.0	20.0	98.0	28.80	0.110	4144		1222.	78-0	1.64	28167-0
0181	7910.0	1115.0	390.0	2 77.40	14.50	145.0	98.0	20.0	98+0	20.60	0.110	4847			34.4	N/A	N/A
0392	P050+0	595.C	0.0	35.20	19.50	100.0	96.0	19.5	98.0	28+60	0.140	0.0	0.0	33344	3242	1.77	31939.0
0383	7950.0	1075.0	295 .:	63.70	15.50	135.0	95+0	20.0	98.0	28.60	C.110	33.7	30+3	100344		1.84	48167.0
0303	7910.0	1315.0	390.0	0 77.40	14.00	142.0	96.0	20.0	98.0	28.60	0.110	48.9	4714	14414		1.00	AU
2362	2060-0	592.0	0.0	35.20	18.50	100.0	96.0	19.0	98.0	28.60	0.190	0.0	0.0	3304	3747	1.61	28702-1
0103	7920-0	1290.0	380.0	C 77.00	10.50	145.0	96.0	20.0	95.0	20.60	0.110	4747		12041		1.67	27948-6
0101	7920-0	1325.0	392.5	5 77.50	10.50	150.0	96.0	20.0	96.0	28.60	0.120	47.3	47.1	1430.	1 1003	4021	N/A
0102	8060+0	595.0	C . (0 35.00	18.00	100.0	96+0	19.0	96+0	28.60	0.140	0.0	0.0			2.14	38163-6
0333	7790-1	280.0	185.	0 49.00	16.00	120.0	96.0	19.5	96.0	28.40	0.110	22.1	43+1		4 4443	2014	

FIGURE 70

ł

ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST DATA FUEL USED SHELLDYNE-H LOWER HEATING VALUE 17800. &TU/LB

COMBUSTOR TYPE --- SHELLDYNE VAPORIZER ---

						SHELLD	TNE-H										
TEST	SPEED	TURB.	TORG.	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL	AMB.	COMP	FUEL	BAR.	VIBR.	HP	HP	DISCH	FUEL	SFC	aFC
NO.		DISCH		FLOW	SUP.	NOZ.	TEMP	PRESS	TEMP	PRESS			CORR	TEMP	FLOW		
	D Pw		75.ml 8	PPM	PRESS	PRESS		PETG		the B	-		-	CORR	CORR	LB/	BTU/
			11-60		- 310				r	14-20	-129	H.F.	n-	r	F0-14	nr-na	HE-UN
OSF1	7910-0	1322.0	387.5	77.50	12.50	150.0		20.0	94.0	28.40	0-110			1228.6	78-1	1.54	18365.1
03E2	A070-0	590.0	0.0	35.00	18.50	110.0	93.0	19.0	94.0	28.60	0.160	0.0	2.0	\$53.7	35.4	N/A	N/A
1353	7920.0	1295.C	380.0	77.00	12.50	145.0	96.0	20.0	96.0	28.60	0.110	47.7	48+2	1208.7	77.8	1.61	48704++
03*1	7920+0	1200+0	372+5	77.30	13.00	148.0	100.0	18-0	94+0	28+60	0.120	44.8	47+1	1204+7	77.8	1.65	29394+1
33=2	8040+7	585.C	0.0	37.00	14.50	100.0	94+0	19+0	92.0	28+60	C.140	0.0	0.0	548+0	37+4	N/A	N/A
0353	E010.0	719+0	95.0	44.00	13.50	110.0	99.0	19.0	90.0	28.60	0.130	12.0	12.2		44.5	3.64	
0361	PC50+C	590+0	2.0	39.50	23.00	103.0	98+0	18.0	95.0	28+60	0+140	0.0	0.0	548+7	39.8	N/A	N/A
192	-030.C	1215.0	337+5	71.30	14.00	140.0	105.0	10.0	99.0	20.60	0.110	42.4	42+7	1125+9	71+8	1.67	49888+2
2033	7020.0	39360	383 8	39.30	15.00	101.0	100.0	1110	100.0	20.00	0.130	0.0	0.0	347.3	34.1	1 1 A	NA
0108	192040	676.0	332.03	38.00	20.00	100.0	100-0	17.4	102.0	28.47	0 140	99+2	44+1		14.2	4471	3100440
0332	7050.0	1926.0	357.6	78.00	18.00	143.0	110.0	18.6	102.0	28.47	0.120		44.7	1204.3	74.4	1.75	11224-0
0167	070-0	616.0	0.0	35.00	20.00	100.0	108-0	17.4	104-0	28.47	0.140	0.0	0.0	540.8	14-8	N/A	A/A
0368	7920+0	1925.0	350.0	78.80	15.00	143.0	113.0	18.0	105-0	28.47	0.120		43.4	1200.0	74.2	1.7.	
2369	8080+0	617.0	0.0	35.00	20.00	100.0	110.0	17.5	105.0	28.47	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$41.7	34.4	3/8	3/8
09610	7910.0	1925.0	350.0	78.50	15.50	142.0	111.0	18.5	105.0	28.67	0.120	43.9	43.7	1204.2	78+1	1.70	31009.2
23611	8070.0	622.0	0.0	35.00	20.00	100.0	111.0	17.5	106.0	28.67	0.150	0.0	0.0	\$62.5	34+8	N/A	N/6
03612	7920.0	1325.0	350.0	78.50	15.50	141.0	111.0	18.5	105.0	28.67	0.130	43.9	43.7	1204+2	78.1	1.78	31769.4
03113	#07C+C	618.0	0.0	35.00	20.00	100.0	109.0	18.0	109.0	28+67	0.150	0.0	0.0	563.6	34+8	N/A	N/A
03614	7910+0	1325+0	352+5	78+50	15+50	144+C	111.0	18+5	104.0	28+67	0.130	44+2	44.00	120++2	78+1	1.77	31583.9
03615	eces.c	616+1	C • C	39.00	20.00	100.J	110.0	17.5	104.C	28.61	3.190	0.0	0.0	560.8	34.9	N/A	1/A
03016	1920.0	1325.0	350+0	78+50	19.50	146.0	112.0	19+0	106.0	20+61	0.120	43.9	43.8	1404+1	78+1	1+74	31769++
CAAl	7920+0	1325.0	347.5	77.50	15.50	142.0	112+0	18+7	106+0	20.61	C+120	43.6	63+6	1202-1	77.42	1.7/	31240+3
2442		614+7	2.0	37.30	20.00	100.0	110+0	10.0	196.9	20.01	0.190	0.0	0.0	30313	33.4	N/A	N/A
2613	1001-0	1076 0	34 42	77 70	13.30	143.0	111.0	10.7	107.0	20.01	0.120	39.0	38.9	1107.0	7744	1.0-	373/4.0
	0.92.0	410.0	34/42	16.00	20.00	100-0	111.0	18.0	107.0	28.47	0.140	0.0	0.0	570-5	84.4	A 7 A	3247002 N/A*
0488	7040-0	1066.0	286.0	48.80	14.10	192.0	110-0	18.4	107-0	28.61	0.110	16.0	35.8	940.4	48-3	1.60	12454.4
0401	7010.0	1826.0	3=00	77.50	15.20	142.0	111.0	18.5	107.0	28.41	0.130	63.9	43.7	1204.2	77.2	1.76	41-03
2652		620.0	2.0	35.50	20.00	100.0	100.0	18.0	107.0	28.41	0.150	0.0	0.0	266.6	35.4	N/A	N/A
2403	7920.0	1325.0	352.5	77.50	15.50	144.0	110.0	1845	107.0	28.61	0.120	44.2	44+2	1206+3	77.3	1.74	31142.2
2471	7920.0	1125.0	355+0	77.50	15.00	145.0	110.0	18.5	107.0	20.61	0.120	44.5	44.5	1206-3	77.3	1.75	30724.47
1472	8070+0	619+7	2+0	35.50	19.00	100.0	106+0	18+0	106.0	28.61	0.150	0.0	0.0	366+6	35.5	h/A	N/A
0673	7970+0	935.0	190+0	55.00	16.00	120.0	107.0	18.5	106+0	28+51	0.150	24+0	24+0	855+8	\$5.0	2+44	40745+8
C4#1	7010.0	1325+0	352.5	77.50	13.00	143.0	107+0	18.7	104.0	28.61	C+130	44.2	44.2	1212+7	77.5	1.72	31101.0
C4F2	6040+D	£15+C	0.0	35.50	16.50	103.0	105+0	17+5	106+0	20+61	0.140	0.0	0.0	364+9	35-5	NZA	N/A
2453	1010.0	1910+0	320.0	76.00	13.00	142.0	108+0	10.5	109.0	Z0.01	0.130	43.7	43.9	1190+9	73.9	1.73	30 190.3
2451	7920+0	1325+0	357+5	77.50	12.50	148+0	106+0	18.5	103+0	20.61	0.130	44.7	4419	141449	77+6	4.14	
04-7	1+7603	617+C	2.0	35.00	13+30	110 0	104+0	1.0	103+0	20.01	0.100	0.0	12.1	384+3	3701	374	A6624.3
04-3	ECZC+0	743+0	92.00	44.30	43.00	110+0	103.0	10+0	103.0	44441	04130	* # * U	*	03710		3144	0226314

FIGURE 71 122

ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST DATA

FUEL USED SHELLDYNE-H LOWER HEATING VALUE 17800. STU/LS

COMBUSTOR TYPE ---- SHELLDYNE VAPORIZER ----

						SHELLS	YNE-H										
*C+	SPEED	DISCH	TORQ.	FUEL	FUEL SUP+	FUEL NOZ.	AMB. TEMP	COMP	FUEL	BAR. PRESS	VIBR.	HP	CORR	DISCH	FUEL	SFC	SFC
	DDW		14-01-0		PRESS	PRESS	5							CORR	CORN	LH/	MT4/
	4.64		INTLO	PBH	PSIG	PSIG		PSIG	r.	IN-LB	MILS	HP	HP	F	Lb-nk	nPena	HP-NK
0451	8050.C	607.0	0.0	33.50	18.50	109.0	100.0	18.0		28.41	0.140	0.0					
0462	7920.0	1325.0	360.0	77.50	14.50	144.0	102.0	10.0		28.41	0.180		0.0	204+2	33.7	N/A	NZA
3463	P050+0	612.0	0.0	33.50	19.50	109.0	102.0	18-0	100.0	20.44	0.140	47.4		122303	77+8	1+74	30493.4
C454	7910.0	1325.0	363.7	80.00	15.00	145.0	101.0	18.4	102.0	20.64	0.100	0.0	0.0	203+1	33+6	N/A	N/A
0465	8040+0	610.0	0.0	33.50	19.50	107.0	101.0	18-0	102.0	28.44	0.140	42+0	43+7	1441+3	80+1	1.75	31194.0
346A	7900.0	1325.0	365.0	00.00	15.00	144.0	101.0	14.5	102.0	20.44	0.140	0.0	0.0	204+3	33.6	N/A	NIA
~467	8040+0	610.0	2.0	33.50	20.00	110.0	102.0	18.0	102.0	24.44	0.120	43.7	4317	1225+7	80+3	1+74	31124.5
0418	7091.0	1325.C	360.0	70.00	18.00	140.0	104-0	14.4	108.0		0.130	0.0	0.0	263+3	33.4	N/A	N/A
2499	*C8C+O	610.0	0.1	33.50	20.00	100.0	103-0	14.1	103.0		0+120	40+3	40+4	1214+9	78+9	1.43	34717.6
04110	7520.0	1325.0	362.5	78.00	15.00	142.0	106.0	18.6	102.0	20.00	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$62+3	33.5	N/A	NZA
04611	9050.0	610.0	2.0	33.50	20.00	106.0	104.0	10.7	10340	40.00	C.120	43.3	45.5	1217.0	79+0	1.75	30869.3
24512	7912.0	1125.3	\$67.5	78.00	18.00	149.0	104.0		103+0	20.00	0+130	0.0	0.0	\$61+3	33.5	N/A	N/A
34513	8075.0	612.0	0.0	33.40	20.00	100-6	109.0	10.7	104+0	20.00	0+120	45.4	45+5	1217.0	79.0	1.75	30408.3
04714	7910-0	1925.0	840.0	77.40	15.00	144.0	1010		103-0	28.00	0.140	0.0	0.0	>64+1	33.5	N/A	N/A
14515		410.0	2.0	12.60	20.00	100.0	100.0	14.03	104.0	20.00	0.120	49.1	45+2	1419+2	77+6	1.71	36334.0
24-16	7910.0	1725.0	142.5	77.80	18.00	144.0	10110	10.0	102.0	21.66	0.140	0.0	0.0	364+3	32.6	5/A	N/A
2541	7910.0	1325.0	14.2.0	77.80	18.20	147.0	10210	48+2	104.0	20.66	0+120	43+4	43.6	1223.5	77+7	1.74	30321.4
2542		614.0	2.0	11.40	20.00	100 0	102+0	10.2	103.0	28+69	0.120	45+1	45.2	1223.5	77+8	1.74	33534.6
0543	7910.0	1324.0	94 2 . 8	77.40	18 30	100-0	102.0	10.2	102.0	28.69	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$66.9	33.5	N/A	N/A
0591	7910-0	1925-0	345.0	27.60	12.60	147 0	100.0	10.2	103.0	28.69	0.120	45.4	45.5	1414+5	77.5	1.74	3032:
25=2	8030.0	614.0	0.0	11.50	18.80	110 0	104.0	10.3	103.0	20.69	0.150	45.8	45.8	1219.2	77.5	2.84	30113./
0543	7950.0	1045.0	285.0	44.80	18.00	110.0	103.0	10.0	102.0	28.69	0.140	0.0	0.0	565+9	33.5	1/A	NIA
0551	7910.0	1922.0	170.0	77.20	11 80	1000	102.0	10.2	102+0	20.69	C+120	35.9	36.0	965+0	65.6	1.84	32+31+1
2562	0.0020	410.0	0.0	38.00	14.00	100 0	43.0	10.0	91+0	20.71	0.150	48.4	46.7	1436+2	77.7	1.64	49391.0
2563	7910-0	1900-0	144.0	77.90	11.00	148.0	72.0	18+2	91.0	20+71	C.130	0.0	0.0	>70.4	35+2	N/A	N/A
2551	7000-0	1118.0	\$75.0	77. 50	11 00		VIEU	14.0	94.0	28+71	0+120	43.8	46.0	1211+2	77.0	1.64	24447+2
2522	#030.C	690.0	0.0	11.80	18.00		9740	19.0	99.0	20.71	0.130	47+0	47.2	1-23-2	77+6	2.64	64634.6
0404	7970.0	810.0	100.0	34430	14.00		42+0	10.3	43.0	28.71	0+140	0.0	0.0	\$\$1.7	31.7	11/M	1/A
0451	7910.0	1116.0	170.0	33.00	10.20	20.0		19.0	93.0	28.71	0.160	24.0	24+1	849.4	\$5.3	2.20	40743.5
1652		410 0	31010	11420	13+30	143+0	97.0	19.0	95.0	28+71	0.150	46.4	46+7	1429+2	77.6	1	29591.0
3463	7030.0	1976 0		33.90	10.00	100+0	98.0	18.5	95.0	20.71	0.150	0.0	0.0	\$67.3	33.6	N/A	N/A
A	7010.0	124240	3/240	11.30	10.30	143.0	98.0	19=0	99.0	28+71	C+150	47+1	47.3	1223.0	77.6	1	49198.1
0.883		191040	3/2+0	11.20	14.50	45.0	92.0	19+9	90.0	28.79	0.140	47.0	47.4	1222+2	77.7	1.44	29197-2
	8090 0	29760	0.0	33.90	19.00	132.0	94.0	18.5		28.71	0.130	0.0	0.0	557.3	33.7	1./A	N/A
08.01			42.0	41.60	18.00	112+0	93.0	19.0	92.0	28.71	0.160	12.1	12.2	675.7	41.9	3.40	
0.011	707000	19349	0.0	33.50	19.00	100.0	92.0	18.8	90.0	28.79	0.130	0.0	0.0	\$59.4	33.7	N/A	N/A
0463	120.0	130000	30 45	17.20	14.00	45.0	94.0	19.5	92.0	20.79	0.120	46.1	48.4	1217.8	77.6	1.07	29755.5
0.003	TOPCO'	290 a C	0.0	35.00	19.00	100.0	92.0	18.8	93.0	28.79	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$54.7	35.2	N/A	N/a
	410.0	1305+0	370.00	77.20	14.00	45.0	93.0	19.5	92.0	28.79	0.120	46.4	46.7	1224.7	77.7	1.64	29591.0
0500	2010-0	200645	0.0	35.00	19.00	100.0	93.0	18.8	93.0	28.79	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$53.7	35.2	5/4	N/A
0206	(A1C+C	1320.0	367.5	77.20	12.50	45.C	95.0	19.5	92.0	28.79	0.120	46.1	46.3	1434+3	77.5	1+07	49793.1

FIGURE 72

COMBUSTOR TYPE --- SHELLDYNE VAPORIZER ---

						SHELLD	YNE-H										
TEST	SPEED	1.28.	1080.	FUFL	FUEL	FUEL	AVE.	COMP	FUEL	BAR.	VIBRA	HP	HP	DISCH	FUEL	SEC	446
50.	9.119	DISCH	0.00	FLOW	SUP.	NCZ.	TEMP	PRESS	TEND	Parce			CORR	TEMP	FLOW		
		TEUD			DRFCC	Derce	1.6.1						Count	CONR	COM	1 11/	mT ./
			-	30-	Dete	Bete		0416			-	-0	~0	CONN.	1 denut		
		· ·			-310	-210		-310	r	tu-ra				· ·	CD-MK	HH	11
0567	8070.0	592.00	0.0	35.00	19.00	100.0	93.6	19.0	93.0	28.79	0.130	0.0	0.0	\$53.7	35.2	N/A	N/A
1554	7900.0	1322.0	380.0	77.50	14.50	148.0	95.0	19:0	93.0	28.79	0.140	47.6	47.8	1430+2	77.0	1.64	48761.0
-9-6	9262.0	600.0	C.C	35.00	19.00	100.0	94+0	18.5	94.0	28.79	0.130	0.0	0.0	562+0	35.2	NA	N/A
01510	7912.0	1325.C	377.5	82.00	13.50	148.0	94.0	19.0	94.0	28.79	0.120	47.3	47.5	1230.7	80.3	1.68	3565568
0+011	1040.1	594.0	0.0	34.20	19.50	108.0	95.0	18.0	94.0	28.79	0.130	C.C	2	559.:	34+5	V/A	1/A
04612	7910.0	1125.0	372.5	78.20	13.50	148.0	97.0	18.5	95.0	28.79	0.130	-4.7	46.8	1230.5	78.44	1.0/	29773.2
05613	8747.0	601.0	0.0	34.20	19.50	108.0	95.0	18.0	94.0	24.79	0.110	3.4	0.0	261.9	34.3	1/4	D/A
18014	7610.0	1125.0	372.4	10.20	13.50	148.0	97.0	10.0	94.0	24.79	0.110	44.7	44.8	1236.5	78.44	1.0.1	44773.4
04618	874C.C	41 3.1		34.20	18.50	100.0	96.0	18.40	94.0	28.79	0.130	0.0	0.0	562.8	34.3	3/8	5/4
	7010.0	1278.0	177.8	78.40	13.50	148.0	97.0	10.0	95.0	28.70	0.110	44.7	-	1236.5	78.6	1.61	4985Jal
24.51	7010.0	1925.0	372.6	82.50	1	148.0	98.0	19.0		28.73	0.130	44.7	44.9	1412.3	82.8	1.74	31611.1
3413	2083.0	4 3.0	2.2.0	34.30	28.00	102.0	87.0	10.0	84.0	28.75	0.120	0.0	0.0	541.6	34.4		5/4
2483	2030 1	1923.0	948.0	74.80	18.00	147.0	102.0	16.0		26.71	0.110	44.8	45.9	1221.7	79.5	1.7.	10861.4
Den:	7075	13234	30740	7476	18.60	147.0	108.0	1	100.0	24.72	0.130	4240	4247	1,21.1	78.7	1.71	30374.4
1.000			30.47	2, 30	33.00	100 0	103.3	14.5	100.0	34.73	0.140	0.0	0.0	666.0	34 . 3		3031406
2.2			345 0	36.30	23600	100-0	1020.	10.3	101 0	20473	0.140	36.0	35.0	1044.3	44.0	1.85	1314411
	THECHL	113241	20741	6.900	10.00	13360	10400	10.7	1	40073	0.1-0	3364	3747	1 3 1 4 9	14.4	1 7.	
	791000	+323+	362+3	/E.EO	10+30	14340	10840	1900	102+0	484 43	0.180	-7.4	4343	464997		40 (3	3083000
	PERSONAL AND	613+.		34+30	43+01	100+0	104+0	10.2	10200	20173	0.1.0	0.0	0.00	28440	11.1	1.7.	107.8.
		132340	360.00	10.00	20.00	1 Oot	107.0	1007	10340	40013	0.140	4241		1			3012700
	-020+0	1242+0	362+2	78.00	20+90	Tenser	107+0		TON O	20013	6.130	4242	-2-2				3041013
1.6.7		e 13+ C		34+30	23000	100.00	109.0	10.3	104.0	20+73	C.150	0.0	0.0	30300	3446	5	
		943.5	.94.5	56+90	23.00	12340	12310		10410	69473	0.140	49.3	6413		20.13	4+34	******
- 1.E -	1010°.	1323+2	360+0	18+80	20.000	14490	10703	10+5	109+0	45.13	0.140	42+1	42+6		16.3		31.444.5
CETZ	9090+0	619+C	0+0	35.00	23+0C	10000	106.0	10.0	109.0	28+73	0.190	0+0	0.0	203.9	3414	A/A	N/M
06E3	7920.0	1929.0	370.0	01.00	19.50	140.0	106.0	10.9	100+0	20.73	0.120	48.4	48.3	1414+9	0C+7	1.74	31034.4
06F1	7920 • C	1323.0	362+5	81.00	19.50	146.0	104.0	10.9	100.0	60.73	C+130	43+3	4314	1413+0	00+7	1.77	31023.8
CEF2	5080+"	617.0	0.0	35.00	24+90	100.00	107.0	18.0	106+0	28+73	C+140	0.0	0.0	364+7	34+8	17A	N/A
0653	8030+0	763.0	95.0	45+30	82.00	109+0	109.0	18+0	104+0	20.73	3.140	12+1	12+0	695.9	45.0	3.74	0001003
C 6 5 1	4030+0	517+0	0+3	35.70	23.00	104+0	107.0	17+8	109+0	28.73	C+140	0.0	0.0	284+7	32+2	NZA	1/A
2692	7910.0	1325.0	367.5	80.30	17.50	143.0	+07+0	18.5	102.0	28.73	0.120	48+1	45.9	1212+7	80.0	1.74	3278913
0 5 3 3	9050.0	615.0	2.0	34.60	23.00	106.0	107.0	17+8	103.0	28.75	0.130	0.0	0.0	562.9	36.4	N/A	NZA
2654	7900.0	1320+0	365.0	01.60	20.00	146+0	108.0	18.5	104.0	28.75	0.130	45.7	45.5	1206+0	81+1	1.78	3174700
1575	0050+0	513.0	0+0	36.70	23.00	105.0	108.0	17+8	105.0	28.75	0.140	0.0	0.0	560+0	36.5	N/A	N/A
0575	7010+0	1323.0	365+0) #1.20	40.00	144+0	106+0	18+5	105.0	28.75	0+130	45.8	45.5	1508+8	80+7	1.77	31351+4
1537		610+7	2.2	36.50	23.00	105.0	106+0	17+7	106.0	28.75	2.150	0.0	0.0	\$59.3	36.3	N/A	57A
0558	7400.0	1321.0	365.0	01.10	20.00	143.0	109+0	18+2	106+0	28+75	C.140	45.7	45.4	1404+8	80+6	1.17	31554+2
2650	4267+0	512+2	9.2	36.50	23.00	105.0	107.0	17.5	196.0	28.75	0.150	0.0	0.0	560+1	36.3	N/A	N/A
25610	7917+0	1327+2	367+5	81.30	20.00	144+0	108+0	18+0	105.7	28.75	0+140	44+1	45.8	1406+0	80.8	1.70	31375+4
25511		674.0	2.0	36.00	23.00	105.0	108.0	17.5	136+0	28.75	0.1+0	0.0	0.0	555.5	35+8	N/A	N/A
25312	1922.00	1320.0	367.5	81.00	18.00	143.0	109.0	18+0	106.0	28.75	C.140	46+1	45+8	1203.9	60+5	1+72	\$1420.1

FIGURE 73

ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST DATA FUEL USED SHELLDYNE-H LOHER HEATING VALUE 17800. BTU/LB

COMBUSTOR TYPE --- SHELLDYNE VAPORIZER ----

						SHELLD	YNE-H										
TEST NO.	SPEED	TURB. DISCH	TCRQ.	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL NOZ.	AHB. TEMP	COMP	FUEL	BAR. PRESS	VIDR.	HP	CORR	DISCH	FUEL	SFC	arc
	1.00	TENP			PRESS	PRESS								CORR	CORR	68/	BTU/
	Bob	F	IN-LB	PPH	PSIG	PSIG	F	PSIG	F	IN-LB	MILS	HP	HP	F	LB-HR	HP-HA	HP-HA
-1013	203040	810.0	0.0	36.00	22.00	109.0	107.0	17+5	106.0	20.75	0.140	0.0	0.0	558+3	35.8	N/A	N/A
0.0014	796000	1923+0	357+3	0.00	17.30	144.0	108-0	10+0	106+0	28.75	0+130	46+1	45.9	1208+8	79+5	1+73	30834+7
0.011.0	2010.0	910+0	0.00	33.00	22.00	109.5	100.0	17+3	106.0	20.75	0+140	0.0	0.0	357+3	34+8	N/A	N/A
1741	7020.0	1930-0	37443	81.70	19 60	144.0	107+0	14+2	100.0	46+79	0.130	46.7	48+3	1417+3	81+3	1.74	31106.5
0747	92000	4323+0	Brueg	77.50	17+30	148+0	108.0	17+1	104+0	20+71	0.130	48.4	48+3	1410+6	77+4	1.00	49669.3
074.2	7030.0	1976.0		30.30	10.00	110.0	103+0	10.2	104+0	20. 71	0.140	0+0	0.0	200+3	30+4	N/A	N/A
0781	792080	1978.0	383.0	78.00	17.00	143.0	108-0	17+2	106+0	20.71	0.130	43+0	43+6	1210.6	75.7	1.63	49493.6
	8080 0	419 0	313.0	10.50	33 00	14410	110+9	11+3	109+0	40.71	0+130	4814	48+2	1200+3	75+3	1.03	14042+0
0 70 3	7050 0	1120 0	246 0	10.50	22.00	110.0	107.0	10.3	100.0	20.71	0.130	0.0	0.0	560+1	30.4	h/A	N/A
0.761	7030 0	112080	20203	24.30	20.30	100.0	100.0	10.7	109+0	40.11	0.130	3347	32.0	1053+3	62+2	1+73	33949.7
0.963	92360	1327+3	36/43	13.50	10.00	143.0	107+0	1/+1	109+0	40+71	0+130	46+1	45+0	1212.7	75+2	1+63	14100+4
0762	7030 0	101000	949	27.30	10.00	110+0	109+0	10.0	104+0	20.71	0.130	0.0	0.0	360+3	29+4	N/A	N/A
0703	1920.0	1343+0	30/+3	74.50	17.00	148.0	107.0	17.0	109.0	20.71	0.130	46+1	46.0	1212.7	74+2	1.61	20714+8
0 7 7 4	791040	1323+0	30/+3	14+50	10.90	148.0	107.0	17.0	103.0	20+71	0+130	40.1	43.7	1212.7	74+d	1+01	48751+1
0 200	201040	000+0	CeQ	30.00	23+30	110.0	109+0	10.0	103.0	20.71	0+140	0.0	0.0	338.4	29.9	N/A	N/A
0 70 1	7910.00	932+0	190+0	49.00	20.00	129.0	109.0	17+0	104+0	20+71	0.140	24+0	24+0	858+8	48.9	2.03	36255+3
	9.0.0	.345.	3.5+2	11.00	11+30	141.0	106+0	17+9	109.0	20+71	0.100	46+7	46.6	1214.9	76+0	1.04	26317.0
0.754	10100	80340	0.0	30.50	22.30	109+0	194+0	10+3	109+0	20+71	0+1+0	9.0	0+0	226+7	30.4	N/A	N/A
0.751	1910-0	-347.2	30/+2	78.90	11.30	143.0	107.0	11+5	109.0	40.71	0.140	48+1	43.7	1412+7	76+2	1.63	142523+0
	7900.0	-323-0	370+5	10.00	17.30	193.0	107.0	17+3	109+0	20.71	0.130	40.3	46+2	1212+7	79+7	1.03	49198+7
		740.0		30.00	42.50	110.0	104+0	10.7	104+0	40.71	0.130	0.0	0.0	27714	44.4	NZA	N/A
0.701	8340 0		77+-	40.30	22.00	108 0	103.0		104+0	40.71	0.190	14.0	12.0		40+2	3.33	34101+4
0763		800.0		30.00	22.30	103.0	102.0	10.7	104+0	40.73	0.1.0	0.0	0.0	334+0	30+0	NZA	N/A
	17408-	1343+-	37	78.00	27.90	107.0	109.0	17+2	104.0	20.73	0.130	40.4	48.3	1217+0	75+8	1.03	49131+9
23	101040	0.000		10.00	12.00	109.0	104.0	10.0	104+0	40.73	0.140	0.0	0.0	334+1	24.4	N/A	NZA
3764	900.0	132700	370+0	/0.00	17.00	14740	134+0	11+4	104+0	40.73	0+130	48.3	49+3	1414+5	73.9	1.03	49108+7
0764	7010 0	1996 0		24.00	14 40	110.0	70.0	17+0	100.5	40.73	0.140	0.0	0.0	340.0	47.1	N/A	NZA
0765	1410+0	1929+0	343+0	10.20	10.30	190.0	42.0	10.7	78+0	20.01	0.140	47.3	49.9	1245.7	77.0	4.24	27467.0
0707	8040.0	5/3+0	0.03	28.00	42.30	110.0	90.0	17+0	98.0	40.01	0+1+0	0+0	0.0	34213	28.2	N/A	N/A
0768	7900.0	1325.0	400.0	78.90	10.30	190.0	43.0	10+0	97.0	20.01	C+110	90+1	90+4	1243.4	76.9	1.94	47158.5
0764	8090.0	500.0	0.0	20.00	22.90	110.0	92.0	17+2	43.0	20.01	0.130	0.0	0.0	343+3	28+1	N/A	N/A
07610	/910.0	1323.0	341+3	10.20	10.30	190.0	94+0	10.0	98+0	20.01	0+120	47.8	90+1	1241.2	76+8	1.23	47494+8
07011	F090.0	3/3.0	0.0	20.90	22.90	1:7.0	41.0	17.0	V0+ 0	28.81	0+130	0.0	0.0	241+5	28.7	N/A	N/A
07012	7900.0	1323+0	402+5	78.30	10.20	190.0	74.0	10+0	42.0	20.01	0.120	20.4	50+7	1241+2	76+8	1.51	2049.4
07013	60+0+0	3/3+0	0.0	20.30	42.30	110.0	42+0	11+0		20.01	0.130	0.0	0.0	340.4	28+6	h/A	N/A
07614	7903+0	+329+0	+00+0	77.00	10.30	190.0	91+0	10.0	46+0	20.01	0+120	30.1	30.5	1248.0	77.6	1.23	47336+1
07615	904C+C	910.0	0.0	27.90	42.00	110.0		17+0	94+0	20.01	0.150	0.0	0.0	337.8	27.7	N/A	N/A
07010	7900.0	1323.0	402.9	17.50	10.30	190.0	92.0	10.0		20.01	0.120	30.4	30.8	1245.7	78.0	1.53	27342.7
0.044	7910-0	1323+0	400.0	17.50	48.30	190.0	¥Z+0	10.0	42.0	28.84	0+120	20.05	30.5	1249.7	77+9	1.34	67478.8
LEAZ		- / - · /)	1.0	10.000	47.00	110.0		1740		78.4	0.110	0.0	0.0		24.7	N/A	N/A

FIGURE 74

1
ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST DATA

FUEL USED SHELLDYNE-H LOWER HEATING VALUE 17800. BTU/LB

COMBUSTOR TYPE --- SHELLDYNE VAPORIZER ----

						SHELLD	TNEPH						-	DISCH	FLIEL	SEC	SFC
			1000.	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL	AMB.	COMP	FUEL	BAR.	AT BK*	HP.		TEND	#1.0ml	-	
TEST	SPEED	TURDE	10440	EL AU	6.19	NOZ.	TEMP	PRESS	TEMP	PRESS			CORR	1 Emp	CORN		MTU/
NO.		DISCH		- FOH	3051	ODECC								CORR	CONN	60/	
		TENP			PRESA	PALJO		0110		IN-LA	MILS	HP	mP .	F .	PB-HK	HNeHK	HL-HU
	OPW	F	IN-LB	PPH	PSIG	P210		-310	r								
																	34877.6
								17.8		28.86	0.120	47.7	48+1	1212+5	71+8	7944	403114
2443	7920.0	1285.C	380.0	71.30	17.90	70300	40.0			28.84	0.180	50.8	\$1.1	1248.0	77.0	1.50	20 10943
0.081	7010.0	1125.2	405.0	76.50	15.00	449.0	41.0	10.4	76.00		0.180	0.0	0.0	540.4	26+6	N/A	N/A
0.000		575.0	0.0	26.50	22.00	110.0	92.0	17.0	4310	20.04	0 140	60.2	50.5	1245.7	76.9	1.54	27124+4
Jan 2		1925.0		74.50	16.50	150.0	92.0	18+0	93.0	20.04	0.140		0.0	542.5	24.7	N/A	N/A
2801	191000		0.0	24.50	23.00	110.0	90.0	17.0	91+0	28.84	0.1.0	0.0		1202.7	70.4	1.40	26034.4
CHCZ	#06C+0	3/300		70.00	17.00	152.0		18.5	87.0	28.84	0.120	4748		1 10 1 1	20.4	1.46	45990.3
0.863	7930.0	1275.0	380.00	70.00	17.00	162.0		18.5	\$7.0	28.84	0.120	4749	48+3	140001	79.00		N/A
0801	7920+0	1278+0	36742	10.00	29.80	104.0		18.0		28.84	0.130	0.0	0.0	334+8	40.03	7	34101.4
2232	8080.0	5"3.0	0.C	20.30	22030	10410		14-0		28.84	0.140	24.0	24+3	833+0	48.0		3340400
0879	7990.0	978+0	190.0	47.50	10.90	16340		10.7		28.84	C.120	49.5	\$0.0	1241+2	72+0	1.444	4383814
	7010-0	1919.0	395.0	71.40	17.00	193.0		1007		28.84	0.130	0.0	0.0	543.6	26+6	N/A	N/A
	ener. 0	\$73.0	0.0	26.40	22.50	105+0	87.0	18+0	4040		0.130	47.7	48.1	1214.7	72.0	1.49	49974+1
- 57 4	7030.5	1285.0	180.0	71.40	17.00	190.0	89+0	18+5	90+0	20.04			49.0	1411.4	71.9	1.44	46099.6
0813	92000	1906.0	187.5	71.40	17.00	190.0	90.0	18.6	90.0	28.84	0.130		0.0	84824	24.7	N/A	N/A
0851	1920+0	193940		24.60	22.50	104.0		18.0	90+0	28.84	C+190	0.0			10.4	3.10	55975.3
0.052	+0+0+0	311+2			21.00	115.0		17.8	91.0	28.84	. C.150	1241	14.4		30.0	N/A	5/4
0+53	+0+0+0	1140	9700	30.34	29.80	101.0		17.2	93.0	28.84	0.140	0.0	0.0	841.0	2007	1.44	
0851	#0#0+7	679+0	C • 3	23+30	22.30	148.0		18-0		28.84	0.140	47.9	48.2	1222+2	144		
0952	7900+1	1300+0	392+5	71+5	10.50	10003		17.0		28.84	0.140	0.0	0.0	548+3	20+3	N/A	110
		579,0	2.0	28.30	22.50	103.0	40.0	1100		28.84	0.140	48.2	48.5	1220+0	73.0	1.90	20013++
3001	7800.1	1100.0	385+0	2 72.70	17.00	190.0	43.0	10.0	7480		0.140	0.0	0.0	551.0	28.4	N/A	N/A
			C .!	28.30	22.50	102.0	91.0	17.8	44.64	20.0	0.190	47.4	47.4	1420.6	72.9	1.24	47133+2
		1000.0	180.4	72.7	17.00	150.0	94+0	18.0	94.00	28.04			0.1	568.43	48.4	A ist	IN/A
	9134			24.3	22.50	102.0	92.5	17.8	94+0	28+84	C . 190			1 21 7 . 4	71.2	1.54	27388.4
0.467	+C+L+	2630			17.00	150.0	94+5	18.0	94.00	28+8	6 0+149	47.8			24.4	N/A	N/A
0958	7910+1	0 1300+0	3/ •	3 14.7	17.4	122.0		17.8	94.6	28.8	4 0.190	0+0	0.0	34/01		1.44	27405.4
0.059	.0.C.	C 593+0	2 U e	0 40.00				17.5	94.4	28.8	4 0.140	47+0	47+1	1217+4	1 1304		N/A
	7900.	2 1300+3	375+	3 72.9	0 1/00	1		17.7		28.8	6 0.140	0.0	9.0	349+0	2844	N/A	
08011		. 585+3	D C •	0 28.8	0 23.00	10440				28.8	7 0-140	0.0	0.1	551.	7 28+6	N/A	11/1
0.0513	01004	· 490.	2.	0 28.8	0 21.50	103.0	92.	11.0		38.8	7 0.130	67.1	47.	9 1232+0	5 74+2	1 1.54	27541+6
0.0014	7800.	0 1723.	382.	5 74.2	0 16.50	149+1	3 97+1	0 10+0	740		1 0.140	0.0	0.		5 28.1	h h/A	N/A
			1 0.	0 28.8	0 22.5	108.0	0 96+	0 17+5	94+					. 1195.	6 72.4	1.60	28518+6
08-19	1070		362.	6 72.8	0 16-5	150.0	97.	0 18+1	94.	20.0	7 0.130			7 1107.	2 72.0	1.59	28362.2
08516	7900+	0 12030	3020	6 72.0	0 14.5	0 148al	97.	0 18+1	94.	0 28.8	7 0.140	43.				N/A	N/A
C941	7900.	0 1582*	0 3020		0 22.0	100.		0 17.5	5 94.	0 28.8	7 0.150	0.0		3330		1.89	24601.4
0942		0 593+	0 0.	0 2-+3		148.1		0 18.		0 28.8	7 0.14	45.	7 49+	7 1202.	2 120	1.64	18107.9
1943	7900.	0 1293.	365.	2 73.0	0 10.7			0 18.1	96.	0 28.6	7 0.13	46+	45.	9 1400+	9 740		N/A
neel	7900.	0 1300.	0 367.	5 73.0	0 10+2	0 1	0 990	0 17.		0 28.4	7 6.14	0.1	0 0.	0 554.	4 28+	3 17	
1002	BCPD.	0 594.	0 0.	0 28.1	0 22.5	0 100.				0 28.4	7 0.15	354	9 35.	8 1015.	4 62+	4 1+74	3098447
0.003	7940.	0 1092.	0 285	0 62+5	0 18+0	0 135.	0	0 100			7 0.14	44.	46.	7 1206.	9 72.	8 1.52	87799.6
1.061	7020-	0 1100-	0 372.	5 73.0	0 16.5	0 148.	0 99.	0 18.			7 0.14	0 0.	0 0-	0 \$59.	0 28.	2 N/A	N/A
DACT	14201	0 400.	0 0	0 28.1	0 25.0	0 102.	0 97.	0 17.	Z 99.	0 20.0	1. 0.13			7 1216.	2 72.	8 1.55	27759.6
0465	PUBDe	. 1.1.	0 979	4 78-1	0 16-5	0 147.	0 99.	0 18.	1 95.	0 28.1	T Delle			4 1212-	1 72.	4 1.50	27794+2
0903	79204	0 1310.	0 9121		14	0 178-	0 100.	0 18.	1 95.	0 28.1	7 0.19		1 -01				
0951	79104	0 1308.	0 314	02 1301													

FIGURE 75

ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST DATA

LOWER HEATING VALUE 17800. STU/LS FUEL USED SHELLDYNE-H

COMBUSTOR TYPE --- SHELLDYNE VAPORIZER ----

						SHELLO	TNE-H										
TEST	SPEEC	TURR.	TORO.	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL NOZ.	AMB. TEMP	PRESS	FUEL	BAR. PRESS	VIDR.	HP	CORR	DISCH	FUEL	SFC	SFC
		TEMP			PRESS	PRESS								CORR	CORR	68/	BTu/
	RPM		IN-LE	PPH	P\$10	PSIG	Ŧ	PSIG		IN-LB	MILS	HP	HP	F	LBenk	HP-IAK	nr-nk
			A.0	34. 10	28.00	102.0		17.1		28.87	0.130	0.0	0.0	\$41.0	28.2	N/A	N/A
0992	2080-0	800.0	102.4	40.40	20.00	126.0		17.6		28.87	0.110	24.3	24.3	842.9	50.4	2.07	36879.9
0903	7980.0	1280-0	174.07	79.00	17.50	148.0		18.0	.7.0	28.87	0.130	47.0	46.9	1197.4	72.2	1.55	27608.0
0911	1910-0	400-0	3/300	28.37	21.00	101.0		17.5		28.87	0.130	0.0	0.0	\$58.0	28.2	N/A	N/A
2452	7000.0	1128.0	300.0	74.00	17.60	148.0		18.0		28.87	0.130	47.6	47.5	1230.1	73.8	1.55	27653.7
CAEL	7000.0	1926.0	380.0	73.80	17.10	148.0	101.0	18.0		28.87	0.130	47.4	47.4	1225.7	73.2	1.54	27466.8
0053	0000.0	595.0	0.0	28.50	22.50	100.0		17.2		28.72	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$53.3	28.6	N/A	N/A
COFS	8010.0	725.0	95.0	10.10	21.50	120.0		17.8	98.0	28.72	0.140	12.0	12.1	674.3	38.4	3.17	36464.3
COGI	8070.0	693.7	0.0	29.50	22.50	102.0	94.0	17.5	98.0	28.72	0.000	0.0	0.0	553.5	29.6	N/A	N/A
1967	7000.0	1325.0	382.5	77.50	17.00	149.0	100.0	18.3	98.0	28.72	0.000	43.0	43.1	1227.9	77.7	1.80	32659.5
1951	PC70.0	494.0	0.0	29.00	22.00	100.0	97.0	17.5	99.0	28.72	0.130	0.0	0.0	553.4	29.1	N/A	N/A
0854	7000.0	1325.0	105.0	77.50	17.20	148.0	100.0	18.2	99.0	28.72	0.130	48.2	48.3	1227.9	77.7	1.60	28583.3
1055		590.0	0.0	29.30	22.50	105.0		17.5	99.0	28.72	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$48.7	29.4	N/A	N/A
nege	7900.0	1315.0	382.5	77.20	17.00	148.0	100.0	18.5	99.0	28.72	0.130	47.9	48+0	1218.6	77.4	1+61	28461.0
0957	8080.0	593.0	0.0	29.00	22.50	105.0	97.0	17.4	99.0	28.72	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$92.5	29+1	N/A	N/A
195.4	7900.2	1925.0	385.0	77.70	17.00	148.0	98.0	18.8	98.0	28.72	0.130	48.2	48.4	1232.3	78+0	1.01	28459.3
1050		SACAO	2.0	20.50	22.90	110.0	95.0	17.5	92.0	28.72	0.140	0.0	0.0	342.3	29.7	N/A	N/A
09510	7920.0	1310.0	382.5	77.50	17.00	149.0	96.0	18.0	94.0	28.72	0.130	48.0	48+3	1222.7	78.0	1.61	26699.7
09611	8050.0	590.0	0.0	29.50	22.50	110.0	95.0	17.5	96.0	28.72	0.140	0.0	0.0	551+7	29.7	N/A	N/A
29612	7910.0	1300.0	377.5	77.00	17.00	144.0	95.0	18.0	96.0	28.72	0.190	47+3	47+7	1219-6	77+5	1.64	22928.7
09613	P050.0	585.0	0.0	30.00	22.00	110.0	94+0	17.5		28.72	0.140	0.0	0.0	548+0	30+Z		N/A
29614	7900.0	1910.0	385.0	77.50	16.50	148.0	95+0	18.5	97.0	28.72	0.140	48.2	48+6	1224+9	78+0	1.60	28383+3-
29615		580.0	C.0	29.50	22.50	110.0	95.0	17.5	96.0	20.72	2,140	0.0	C.0	942+3	29+7	N/A	NZA
29G16	7900.0	1305.0	385.0	76.80	16.20	149.0	96.0	18.5	97.0	20.72	0.140	48.2	48.5	1218+1	77+6	1.59	20327+3
1041	7410.0	1305.0	380.0	74.00	16.20	149.0	96.0	18.5	97.0	28.72	0.140	47+8	48.0	1218+1	74+4	1.33	41010.1
1012	#050+D	590.0	0.0	28.50	22.00	110.0	96.0	17+5	97.0	28.72	0.140	0.0	0.0	550+7	20+6	h/A	N/A
1043	7920.0	1300.0	380.0	74.50	16+20	147.0	96.0	18.5	96.0	28.72	0.140	47+7	48.0	1213+4	74+9	1.20	27170+6
10=1	7910.0	.300.0	380.0	74.00	16.00	147.0	96.0	18.5	96.0	28.72	0.140	47.6	48.0	1213+4	74+4	1.33	41038+1
1092	+050.0	589.0	2.0	28.00	22.00	110.0	94.0	17.5	96.0	28.72	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$51.7	59.52	N/A	N/A
1093	7950+0	1000.0	285.0	62.50	18.50	135.0	95.0	10.0	96.0	28.72	0.140	35.9	36.2	1019+2	62.9	1.73	30945.7
:001	7900.0	1310.0	385.0	76.00	16.50	190.0	96.0	18.3	96.0	28.72	0.140	48.2	48.5	1222.7	76+4	1.37	20032+3
1002	P050.0	575.0	0.0	28.00	21.50	110.0	92.0	17.5	90.0	28.72	0.140	0.0	0.0	540.0	28+2	NZA	NZA
1053	7910+0	1292+0	380.0	75+50	15+50	149+0	93.0	18+5	92+0	28.72	0+130	47+6	48+1	1210+6	76+1	1.54	48118.0
1001	7910.0	1305.0	380.0	74.50	15.00	150.C	96.0	18.5	94.0	26.72	0.190	47+4	48.0	1210+1	74+9	1+50	21835+4
12-2	+247.0	587.C	0.0	28.00	19.00	110.0	94.0	17.5	94.0	28.70	0.140	0.0	0.0	349.8	28+4	N/A	N/A
1003	7970.0	900.0	190.0	49.50	16.50	125.0	94.0	18.0	94.0	28.70	0.160	24.0	24.2	843+1	49.9	2.06	30071-2
1051	7900-3	1295.0	387.5	77.50	14.00	150.0	92.0	18.5		28.70	0.150	48.5	49.0	1217+5	78+3	1.59	48401+1
1052	PC60-2	590.0	0.0	28.00	22.50	110.0	92.0	17.5	91.0	28.70	0.140	0.0	0.0	\$54.7	28+3	N/A	N/A
1053	7920-0	1900.0	380.0	74.50	18.50	150.0	95.0	18.5	93.0	28.72	0.130	47+7	48.1	1215.6	75.0	1.50	27176.2
1051	7890.0	1910-0	190.0	74.00	18.00	152.0	94.0	18.5	94.0	28.72	2 0+130	48.8	49+1	1222+7	76+4	1+35	47707.9

FIGURE 76

ENDURANCE ENGINE TEST DATA Fuel used shelldyne-H Lower Heating Value 17800. Btu/i.B

COMBUSTOR TYPE --- SHELLDYNE VAPORIZER ----

						SHELLD	INE-H										
TEST	SPEFD	TUR9. DISCH	TORQ.	FUEL	FUEL	FUEL NOZ.	AMB. TEMP	PRESS	FUEL	BAR. PRESS	VIBR.	нР	HP	DISCH	FUEL	SFC	SFC
		TEVD			PRESS	PRESS								CORR	CONK	LB/	STu/
		r	IN-L9	OPH	PSIG	PSIG	F	PSIG	F	IN-LB	MILS	HP	HP	F	LB-nk	нРеня	HF-DK
10#2	8040.0	592.0	0.0	29.50	22.00	110.0	93.0	17.0	94.0	28.72	0.130	0.0	0.0	546.1	29.7	N/A	N/A
1043	#010+C	690.0	95.0	40.00	21.00	118.0	92.0	17.8	94.0	20.72	0.140	12.0	12+1	448.7	40.4	3.31	58970.7
1051	4030.0	56C.C	0.2	10.00	8.00	110.0	79.0	18.0	78.0	28.80	0.130	0.0	0.0	\$39.2	30.5	N/A	N/A
1032	7910.0	1290.C	395.0	77.60	2.00	190.0	82.0	19.5	78.0	28.80	0.140	49.5	50.3	1235.2	78+8	1.50	27862.5
1053	R090+0	560.0	0.0	30.00	17.50	110.0	80.0	18+8	78.0	28.80	0.130	0.0	0.0	\$38.2	30.5	N/A	0/A
1004	7910.0	1315+0	410.0	77.80	11.50	195.0	82.0	10.2	78.0	28.80	0.130	51.4	52.3	1259.1	79.0	2.51	6641600
1065	000000	560+7	0.0	31.00	17.50	110.0	80.0	19.0	78.0	28.80	0.130	0.0	0.0	538.2	31.5	N/A	N/A
1056	7920.0	1300.0	405.0	78.00	11.00	195.0	82.0	19.5	78.0	28.80	0.120	50.8	51.7	1444+8	79+2	2.53	4768601
1 167	#090+0	570+0	0+0	31.00	17.50	110.0	80+0	19.0	78+0	28.80	C-130	C+0	0.0	547+8	31+5	N/A	5/#
1258	7910.0	1912.0	405.0	78.00	11.00	195.0	\$3.0	19.5	79.0	28.80	0.120	50.8	\$1.6	1452+0	79.4	1.23	£7314+0
1069	P090+0	565.0	0.0	31.50	17.50	110.0		19.0	80.0	28.80	0.130	0.0	0.0	343+0	32.0	h/m	h/m
10510	7900.0	1900.0	405.0	78.00	11.00	195.0	\$2.0	19.5	80.0	28.80	C.130	50.7	\$1.6	1444+8	79.2	1.53	£7349+6
10611	#150.0	\$70.0	0.0	31.50	17.50	108.0	82.0	18.8	80.0	28.80	0.120	0.0	0.0	\$45.7	32.0	N/A	10/m
10012	7970.0	1315.0	414.00	78.00	11.00	155.C	63.0	19.5	80.0	29+80	0.130	\$1.5	\$3.3	1304.9	80.7	1.51	46747.3
10613	+000+C	565+C	3.0	31.50	17+5C	105.0	81+0	19+0		28+80	0.130	0.0	0.0	542+0	32+4	11m	1/m
10314	7910.0	1310+0	405.0	74.00	11.00	155.0	83.0	19.5	10.0	28+80	3.130	\$0.8	51.6	1495.0	79.2	1.33	2722405
10614	* 700 * 0	565+0	2.0	31.50	17.50	105.0	80.0	19+0	80.0	25.80	0.130	0.0	0.0	\$43.0	35.0	1/A	14/A
10416	7400.0	1310.0	405.0	78.00	11.00	155.C	83.0	19.5	80.0	28.80	0.130	50.7	51.5	1452.0	79.2	1+53	47347+6

FIGURE 77

The duration of each test point may be found by referring to the segment descriptions discussed in Section VI, . Paragraph 3.1.

A study of the data reveals that, within the accuracy of the instrumentation employed, no degradation in performance of the gas turbine engine was experienced as a result of operation with Shelldyne-H fuel. This conclusion is illustrated in Figure 78 by plotting corrected horsepower versus corrected exhaust temperature for the first and tenth cycles of the endurance test. In like manner, no significant change in specific fuel consumption was observed through cycle six (35 hours) of the test shown in Figure 79. At this point the technician's test log indicates difficulty with the recording equipment on the fuel flow channel, which necessitated amplifier changes. The subsequent data obtained during the seventh through tenth cycles, although in disagreement with the previous data, shows no performance degradation during the final 15 hours. No attempt was made to determine which of the two amplifiers was in error.

Turbine inlet (combustor discharge) temperature measurements were not included during complete engine testing. Such measurement would provide data for correlation with combustion rig testing results. Combustor temperature profiles obtained during rig tests indicated that the profiles varied with power setting and that a singular thermocouple location that would provide continuously correlatable data did not exist. Adequate correlation would have required the installation of an averaging thermocouple rake. However, introduction of so much blockage in the combustor discharge section would have, in itself, altered the combustor temperature profile.

Consequently, this parameter was deleted because a singular thermocouple would not have provided usable data. Alternately, incorporation of a multiple measurement would have required retesting the combustor with a thermocouple rake installed. Retesting the combustor would have involved program slippage and costs which were inordinate to the limited realizable benefits.

The only operational difficulty experienced during the course of the endurance engine test with Shelldyne-H fuel was the tendency of the engine to overshoot governed speed during the start-cycle. Normal operation of the Model GTP30 Engine with Grade JP-4 fuel results in an overshoot of approximately 3 percent, while with Shelldyne-H fuel an overshoot of 6 to 8 percent was observed.



 δ = RATIO OF OBSERVED PRESSURE TO 14.7 PSIA θ = RATIO OF OBSERVED TEMPERATURE TO 518.7°R

130

ENDURANCE ENGINE PERFORMANCE

FIGURE 78











This observation was attributed to increased fuel system time-constants resulting from operation with a much more viscous fuel than that for which the control system was designed.

During the course of the preliminary setup period and the formal engine endurance testing, a total of 71 engine starts were attempted. Of these, one was aborted with no light-off, 8 achieved momentary light-off but an insufficient Grade JP-4 fuel lead was used to sustain combustion on Shelldyne-H, 8 achieved lightoff and acceleration but were automatically terminated due to governor overshoot, and 54 were normal. A summary of the data obtained during 25 of the successful starts, taken at random, is shown in Figure 80, which is a reproduction of the computer printout. These starts were made over an inlet air temperature range from 80°F to 115°F, with engine initial conditions from "dead cold" to "just run." This data revealed very consistent starting performance for the conditions described when

ENDURANCE ENGINE START DATA

FUEL USED SHELLDYNE-H

START	SEC. TO	SEC. TO	SEC. TO	RPM AT	RPM AT	MAXIMUM
NO.	IGNITION	STARTER	GROUND	IGNITION	STARTER	EXHAUST
		CUT OUT	IDLE		CUT OUT	TEMP. F
,	0.00	5 . 0.0				
1.	0.90	5.80	11.60	900.	3950.	960.
2.	1.40	5.30	10.60	1150.	4150.	1110.
3.	0.70	6.25	13.40	600.	4000.	840.
4.	0.75	5.90	12.Ců	700.	4100.	1000.
5.	0.90	6.10	12.75	800.	4000.	980.
6.	1.10	5.70	11.40	1200.	4050.	1020.
7.	1.40	6.05	11.90	1450.	4000.	1010.
8.	2.70	5.85	12.05	2400.	3900.	1130.
9.	3.25	6.25	15.95	2550.	3950.	965.
10.	1.85	6.75	14.30	1100.	3900.	1140.
11.	2.10	4.80	10.50	2200.	4050.	930.
12.	0.70	6.00	12.80	900.	3800.	1110.
13.	0.70	4.90	9.70	900.	4200.	1.90.
14.	1.00	5.40	9.30	1100.	4050.	1200.
15.	0.60	5.50	9.60	700.	4200.	1220.
16.	0.80	5.10	9.00	800.	4300.	1356.
17.	0.90	5.60	13.10	1200.	4000	1110.
18.	2.90	5.60	9.65	2500 .	4000.	1105.
19.	1.10	5.60	12.00	1000.	4000.	1080.
20.	0.90	6.00	12.40	750.	4100.	900-
21.	1.70	6.40	13.10	1400 .	4000	1.20.
22.	1.30	4.60	10.20	1700 .	42:0.	790.
23.	0.07	5.10	11.10	900	4000	910-
24.	0.08	5.90	11.10	900	4000	1050-
25.	2.00	6.10	14.20	1900.	4000.	1050.

FIGURE 80

considering that minimal time was available for the development of the combustor ignition characteristics and that the fuel control was in no way modified to compensate for fuel viscosity variations.

3.3 <u>Post-Endurance Teardown and Inspection</u>. At the conclusion of the endurance test the engine was removed from the test cell to the test model shop, where it was disassembled for inspection and evaluation. A photographic record of the major steps of the engine teardown is given in Figures 81 through 89.

Upon disassembly of the gearbox it was found that the ball separator in one of the two high-speed pinion bearings had failed, causing excessive race and ball wear. The bearing, shown in Figures 90 and 91, is not a current production item and had accumulated, along with the entire gearbox, many hours of service in an earlier installation. This failure was, of course, not attributable to operation with Shelldyne-H fuel, and close examination of the bearings and engine oil temperature data indicated no appreciable power loss that would affect the accuracy of the performance data presented in the previous section.

Inspection of the Shelldyne-H combustor revealed that no external deformation or material damage was present. The combustor was coated with Thermindex paint prior to initiation of the endurance testing and, although the normal duration of testing by use of this method is much shorter, several hot spots can be detected. As discussed in Section of this report, one such spot is evident in the area directly adjacent to the ignition chamber and another on the combustor dome, as shown in Figure 92. These areas, which were operating at a temperature of approximately 1500°F, could be cooled through further development of the combustor design.

In addition, two new hot spots became apparent in the primary zone, one on either side of the ignition chamber. These were caused by the change in airflow patterns within the combustor associated with the buildup or deposit of carbon in the primary zone. This deposit, as shown in Figure 93, was firmly attached to the underneath and downstream side of the primary fuel pipe. The principal reasons for this deposit were the cooling effect of the fuel-air mixture passing through the inside of the primary pipe and the lack of an adequate supply of compressor air to complete the combustion process in this area.



REMOVAL OF FUEL CONTROL UNIT

FIGURE 81



REMOVAL OF COMBUSTOR CAP AND LINER

FIGURE 82

136



REMOVAL OF PLENUM CHAMBER FIGURE 83

137



VIEW OF TORUS AND COMPRESSOR DIFFUSER

FIGURE 84

138



REMOVAL OF TORUS FIGURE 85

139



REMOVAL OF TURBINE NOZZLE

FIGURE 86



REMOVAL OF POWER SECTION FROM GEAR CASE LOWER HALF FIGURE 87

141

MF-25245



REMOVAL OF ROTATING GROUP FROM GEAR CASE UPPER HALF

FIGURE 88



REMOVAL OF COMPRESSOR DIFFUSER FROM ROTATING GROUP

FIGURE 89

143



VIEW OF TURBINE SIDE OF GEARBOX SHOWING FAILED PINION BEARING

FIGURE 90

144





INSIDE VIEW OF COMBUSTOR SHOWING CARBON DEPOSIT 2053

FIGURE 93

147

It should be noted in Figure 93 that no carbon was formed where air leakage occurred around the tack-welded connection joint between the primary pipe and the combustor liner. It is believed that this carbon or soot formation could be eliminated with minimal combustor development through proper distribution of air along the outer surface of the primary pipe.

Inspection of the deposit after 10 hours of operation and again at the conclusion of the endurance testing indicated that the formation had reached a limiting size and was burning and sloughir τ off.

Post-endurance inspection of the torus scroll confirmed the latter occurrence by revealing a small number of loosely attached carbon particles near the combustor discharge port, as shown in Figure 94. No other unusual effects were observed. The torus as it appeared prior to the test is shown in Figure 95.

Figure 96 shows the turbine nozzle and shroud housing at the conclusion of the endurance test. Careful inspection of the nozzle, with primary emphasis on the nozzle vanes, as this is the area within the engine of highest metal temperature and gas velocity, revealed no erosion, corrosion, or other abnormality. Figure 97 shows the turbine nozzle housing prior to endurance testing.

In equally excellent condition following the endurance test was the radial-inflow turbine wheel, as shown in Figure 98. No adverse effects to the turbine wheel as a result of operation with Shelldyne-H fuel could be detected. A pretest photograph of the turbine wheel is shown in Figure 99 for comparison.

A phenomenon that was observed in connection with the inspection of all hot-end parts, for which discussion has been deferred to this portion of the report, was the reddish-brown appearance of all surfaces that came in contact with the combustor exhaust products. This discoloration was caused by the depositing on the torus, nozzle, turbine wheel, and exhaust diffuser of a thin, uniform layer of a relatively soft powder, which chemical analysis proved to be iron oxide.

Various tests were undertaken to determine the source of the iron, with the result that four parts per million of iron were found in the Shelldyne-H fuel itself. This data was obtained by use of spectrographic-analysis techniques with samples from two "as received" epoxy-lined fuel barrels which showed no signs of rusting.

TORUS AFTER ENDURANCE TEST SHOWING CARBON FLAKES

FIGURE 94

149



TORUS PRIOR TO ENDURANCE TEST

FIGURE 95

TURBINE NOZZLE AFTER ENDURANCE TEST

FIGURE 96

151



TURBINE NOZZLE PRIOR TO TEST

FIGURE 97



TURBINE WHEEL AFTER ENDURANCE TEST FIGURE 98

MP = 25 25 2



TURBINE WHEEL PRIOR TO ENDURANCE TEST

FIGURE 99

154

An additional confirming test was conducted in the laboratory, wherein a sample of Shelldyne-H fuel was evaporated in a crucible and the residue oven-heated to 1400°F. This residue was then ignited to burn off the remaining organic compounds. The resulting deposit revealed traces of a reddish substance, which was identified as iron oxide.

The presence of iron oxide is, from a chemical standpoint, in no way detrimental to the materials normally employed in the combustion and turbine sections of jet engines. However, if this deposition were of such magnitude as to affect the aerodynamic configuration or surface roughness of such items as nozzle vanes and turbine blades, a long-term reduction in power output could be observed. In view of the soft, nonhydroscopic nature of iron oxide, further buildup beyond the level experienced during this endurance test is unlikely, due to the scrubbing action of the high-velocity gases in these areas.

Figure 100 shows the compressor/turbine seal, sometimes referred to as the "back shroud," at the conclusion of the endurance test. The heat discoloration evidenced at the outer periphery, which operates at combustor discharge temperatures, is considered normal for the amount of fullload operation experienced during this test. The reddishbrown areas again reflect the iron oxide deposits: Figure 101 shows this seal prior to endurance testing.

Disassembly and inspection of the fuel-control unit, which had accumulated an additional 50 to 60 hours of operation during component testing, exhibited excellent fuelcontrol component compatibility with Shelldyne-H fuel. All metering and bearing surfaces revealed the absence of measurable wear or surface-finish reduction, and all elastomeric components such as 0-rings and diaphragms showed no tendency to swell or distort. Figures 102 through 105 illustrate these findings for several selected areas of the fuel control for which a high degree of reliability is required.



FIGURE 100

156



FIGURE 100

156



COMPRESSOR/TURBINE SEAL PRIOR TO TEST

FIGURE 101

157



FUEL CONTROL METERING VALVE FIGURE 102 158

ACCELERATION-LIMITER DIAPHRAGM AND BALL VALVE

FIGURE 103

159



FUEL PUMP HOUSING SHOWING O-RING, CARBON BUSHING, AND PUMP GEAR

FIGURE 104

160


FIGURE 105

161

MP-25211

SECTION VII

LOW-TEMPERATURE START TESTS

1. <u>OBJECTIVES</u>. The low-temperature ignition and engine starting characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel were investigated through a series of cold-chamber tests with use of a Model GTP30 APU. In addition, one optional starting technique with use of an alternate starting fuel was evaluated.

2. <u>SUMMARY OF RESULTS</u>. Successful starts with Shelldyne-H fuel were made at a soak temperature of 10°F through the use of a solid-propellant hot-gas injector and conventional igniter system. The addition of a small amount of MIL-T-5624. Grade JP-4 fuel, as a "lead" in the fuel line allowed starts to be accomplished at minus 20°F. A larger quantity of Grade JP-4 fuel "lead" permitted a start to be made at minus 40°F.

3. <u>ENGINE BUILDUP</u>. At the conclusion of the endurance engine inspection period, the gas turbine was rebuilt, with new component parts utilized throughout the hot-end section. These parts included the torus, turbine nozzle, turbine wheel, bearings, seals, and O-rings. All other engine parts, including the Shelldyne-H combustor, were thoroughly cleaned and reused. All hot-end components could have been reused; however, their retention for further photographic documentation and iron deposition evaluation tasks was required.

After assembly, the engine was fitted with a special mounting bracket to support the solid-propellant breech and manifold system described in Section V of this report. This installation is shown schematically in Figure 106.

4. <u>TEST SETUP</u>. The Shelldyne-H start-test engine was mounted in a standard engine cradle and installed in a small altitude tank as shown in Figure 107. As can be seen in the figure, a large cylinder of Shelldyne-H fuel was located near the engine and connected to the fuel-control inlet by means of a 2-inch line to minimize inlet pressure losses during low-temperature, high-viscosity operation. The Shelldyne-H cylinder was pressurized from a regulated dry-nitrogen supply located outside the test cell. A laboratory slave electrical starter motor was provided in lieu of the normal starter/ generator employed on the engine. This slave starter and its accompanying water brake dynamometer, which was not utilized during these tests, are shown in Figure 108 in the center. foreground.



SHELLDYNE-H FUEL START TEST ENGINE

HOT GAS SYSTEM INSTALLATION SCHEMATIC

FIGURE 106



MP-25274



FIGURE 108

Instrumentation was provided to measure the following prestart system parameters: fuel supply pressure, fuel supply temperature, oil sump temperature, and test-cell ambient temperature. Parameters that were recorded with Sanborn equipment during each start included: solid-propellant breech pressure, turbine exhaust temperature, combustor inlet temperature, engine speed, fuel inlet pressure and temperature, compressor inlet air temperature, and starter voltage. After governed speed had been attained after each successful start, the additional steady-state parameters of compressor discharge pressure, engine oil pressure, and engine vibration were also measured.

4.1 <u>Conduct of the Test</u>. Through the extensive combustor testing described in Section V of this report, the minimum combustor inlet air temperature required for ignition of Shelldyne-H fuel with conventional spark igniters was found to be 265°F. This lower limit was, of course, partially dependent upon the combustor design itself, for which little development time was available. Due to the limitations imposed by lubricants, elastomers, and other components of the Model GTP30 Engine, which is not designed for operation at these very high ambient temperatures, full-scale engine start-tests at 265°F were considered impractical. Therefore, for purposes of low-temperature engine testing, the standard starting technique with Shelldyne-H fuel included the use of the solid-propellant hot-gas injector system.

All test runs were made by cold-soaking the engine until all instrumented temperature parameters stabilized within a 3°F temperature spread. After each successful start, the soak temperature was lowered 10°F and the procedure was repeated until a condition of no light-off was attained. This test point was then attempted several times to confirm the lower ignition limit.

5. <u>SHELLDYNE-H FUEL TEST RESULTS</u>. Testing was initiated at the Shelldyne-H ignition limit (with hot gas injection) of 30°F as determined during the combustor component tests. At this temperature, a very normal start was achieved with almost immediate (0.3-second) ignition and rapid (9.2 seconds) time to governed speed. Comparable results were also obtained at soak temperatures of 20°F and 10°F with slightly longer ignition delays--3.0 seconds and 2.8 seconds, respectively. Repeated attempts at a soak temperature of zero °F resulted in no light-off or measurable exhaust temperature rise. A summary of pertinent data obtained during these starts is presented in Table IV. The reduction in minimum ignition

TABLE IV

Soak Temp. °F	Time To Ignition Seconds	Time To Starter Cutout Seconds	Time To Governed Speed Seconds	RPM* At Ignition	RPM* At Starter Cutout	Maximum Exhaust Temp. °F	Cart- ridge Burn Time Sec
30	0.3	5.3	9.2	400	4100	1350	5.3
20	3.0	6.2	8.2	2000	4300	1350	5.3
10	2.8	5.8	10.2	1900	4000	720	5.0
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.0

LOW-TEMPERATURE START PERFORMANCE SHELLDYNE-H FUEL WITH SOLID-PROPELLANT GAS INJECTION

*Output speed (8000 rpm = 52,800 rpm turbine speed)

temperature from 30°F observed during combustor component tests, to approximately 10°F with the entire engine, can be attributed in part to the compressor temperature rise in the latter case. In addition, slight variations in geometry between the combustor simulator test rig and actual engine components may have affected the airflow patterns within the combustor.

6. ALTERNATE START-METHOD TEST RESULTS. An alternate method of starting the gas turbine engine was tested wherein ignition was achieved with use of a small amount of Grade JP-4 fuel in the fuel line between the fuel control and the combustor. This placement of the Grade JP-4 fuel in the fuel line downstream from the fuel control assured that all fuel scheduling and governing was being accomplished with Shelldyne-H fuel.

As discussed in an earlier section, the combustor had been developed only as far as successfully maintaining combustion with Shelldyne-H fuel, with no attempt being made to obtain optimum ignition characteristics. As a consequence, the minimum ignition temperature with Grade JP-4 fuel and a conventional igniter was found to be 8°F during combustionrig testing. Therefore, all low-temperature testing with a Grade JP-4 fuel "lead" required the use of the solidpropellant gas-injection system.

Due to the progressively increasing viscosity of Shelldyne-H fuel at the reduced temperatures, it was found that start-flow adjustments to the fuel-control downstream restriction were required through a trial and error procedure to achieve satisfactory ignition. This was expected, however, as the control used on the Model GTP30 Engine is designed for far less viscous fluids, with no provision for compensation.

Despite these limitations, a completely successful start was made at a soak temperature of minus 20°F. Ignition delay for this start was moderate (4.3 seconds) while the acceleration was normal, with a time to governed speed of 10.0 seconds. Several additional start attempts were made at lower soak temperatures, one of which, at minus 40 degrees, was partially successful. The ignition delay experienced during this start was quite long (13.8 seconds) and engine acceleration was very slow--28.7 seconds elapsed before governed speed was reached. These results were attributed to an insufficient opening of the fuel-control downstream restriction, as evidenced by the very low exhaust-gas temperature observed during this start. The engine was operated at governed speed for approximately 5 seconds, when a test-cell electrical short shutdown the engine. It was not possible during this brief run to determine whether the Grade JP-4 fuel "lead" had been consumed and Shelldyne-H was actually being burned. However, the fuel scheduling and governing for both this start and the previous minus 20°F start were being accomplished on Shelldyne-H fuel. A summary of data obtained during these starts is presented in Table V.

Testing was terminated at this point due to the failure of the electronic "black box" which controls the sequencing of starter and ignition events during acceleration of the GTP30 Engine. It was believed that the principle of alternate fuel starting was adequately demonstrated and that any further additional delay brought about by the replacement of this long-lead-time item would not be consistent with the overall program objectives.

TABLE V

Soak Temp. °F	Time to Ignition Seconds	Time to Starter Cutout Seconds	Time to Governed Speed Seconds	RPM* at Ignition	RPM* at Starter Cutout	Maximum Exhaust Temp. °F	Cart- ridge Burn Time Sec
-20 [†]	4.3	6.9	10.0	2000	4000	640	5.7
-40 [†]	13.8	17.4	28.7	2500	3800	340	5.0

ALTERNATE START-METHOD (MIL-T-5624, GRADE JP-4 FUEL LEAD)

*Output speed (8000 rpm = 52,800 rpm turbine speed) †15 cubic centimeters of MIL-T-5624, Grade JP4, Fuel lead

SECTION VIII

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The principal conclusion reached through this Shelldyne-H evaluation program is that state-of-the art gas turbine technology is more than sufficient to meet the combustion, fuel-handling, and material requirements associated with the use of Shelldyne-H as a primary fuel. Certain areas of present-day engine design were found to be wholly acceptable, while others will require a degree of refinement and development to achieve optimum performance.

With the exception of those organic compounds containing silicone, all elastomeric and metallic materials tested were judged acceptable for use with Shelldyne-H fuel. It is believed that these samples are typical of the materials utilized in a majority of current turbine engines and that, apart from the usual confirmation tests of specific elastomers, the compatibility of fuel-handling or hot-end components with Shelldyne-H fuel is of little concern. In fact, the excellent lubricating characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel were apparent through inspection of bearing and metering surfaces subjected to the fuel during control component tests. Further research of these properties and their effects upon overall engine lubrication is recommended.

The fuel-control component tests, although highly successful when the simplicity of the control used is considered, revealed several areas for which additional study is recommended. Fuel tanks, slosh baffles, fuel-pickup tubes, boost pumps, and fuel lines and fittings must be designed so as to minimize the amount of fuel "carryover" (which would reduce the inherent range advantages of Shelldyne-H fuel) and to minimize the fuel-tank pressures required to prevent lowtemperature pump cavitation.

Depending upon the local Reynolds number, the flow characteristics within the fuel-control passages and metering sections may be laminar. Therefore, sizing calculations must be made at the highest viscosity levels anticipated by mission ambient-temperature requirements. In addition, provision must be made for compensation of the control system as a function of fuel temperature and, therefore, viscosity.

By contrast, the high-viscosity and adhesive properties of Shelldyne-H fuel substantially improved the volumetric efficiency of the fuel gear pump. This increased performance

will allow reductions in fuel-pump size and weight for systems using only Shelldyne-H fuel.

Observations made during vacuum combustor rig tests showed that Shelldyne-H fuel could be ignited with conventional igniters; however, flame propagation could not be achieved. It is believed that through additional development effort in this area, a combustor capable of direct ignition with Shelldyne-H fuel could be designed. The considerable reduction in ignition temperatures achieved through solidpropellant gas injection indicated that further development of this technique would lead to direct ignition of Shelldyne-H fuel at the lowest ambient temperatures. Using alternate fuels for ignition with a transition to Shelldyne-H fuel was found to be a very satisfactory method of engine starting.

Some applications require that engine exhaust gases be smoke-free or at least that they be visually undetectable. Tests with Shelldyne-H fuel indicated that the GTP30 did not produce visually detectable smoke. The smoke-production tendency increases with increased combustor absolute pressures--i.e., increased cycle pressure ratios. Because the GTP30 operates at relatively low pressure ratios, smokefree operation of this engine is not necessarily conclusive evidence that Shelldyne-H fuel would not tend to produce exhaust smoke at the higher pressure ratios used in propulsion engines. Additional effort should therefore be directed toward evaluating the smoke-production characteristics of Shelldyne-H fuel at high combustor pressures.

APPENDIX I

COMBUSTION EFFICIENCY AND TEMPERATURE RISE

Combustion efficiency η is defined as the actual temperature rise divided by the ideal temperature rise, or:

$$\eta_{c} = \frac{\Delta T \text{ actual}}{\Delta T \text{ ideal}}$$
(1)

(2)

The actual combustor temperature rise, AT actual, was determined from the area-averaged temperature readings obtained from 120 thermocouple data points.

$$\Delta T = \frac{0}{A} = \frac{\frac{\Sigma}{T_{meas}} \times \Delta A}{A} - T_3$$

Where: T_3 is measured inlet air temperature, R; T_{meas} is discharge temperature for each of 120 thermocouple measurements, 'R; and A is area.

The turbine inlet temperature was measured with an array of 10 thermocouples. Temperature readings were made on each thermocouple at 12 circumferential positions, yielding 120 individual temperature measurements. A cross section of the thermocouple installation is shown on Figure 109.

When measuring gas temperature, the normal practice is to correct the indicated thermocouple temperature for conduction and radiation errors. Radiation errors would be incurred primarily because of outer and inner duct effects. The outer duct blocks 113 degrees of the thermocouple field and the inner duct blocks 167 degrees of the thermocouple field. A test was conducted to determine the relationship between measured gas temperature, the outer and inner duct temperature, and the exposed portion of the torus. Test results indicated that all of these component temperatures were close to the measured gas temperature and that the incurred radiation error was negligible. Consequently, the measured temperatures were judged as true gas temperatures.

The ideal temperature rise, ΔT for Shelldyne-H fuelair mixtures was computed and was based on the method indicated in Reference. A computational flow diagram of the program used is shown in Figure 110. This computer program includes capability for input values of fuel hydrogen-carbon ratio and net calorific value so that fuels other than MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4, can be used.

(



TURBINE INLET TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENT THERMOCOUPLE INSTALLATION





COMPUTATIONAL FLOW DIAGRAM

175

FIGURE 110

APPENDIX II

MONITORING OF MIL-T-5624, GRADE JP-4, FUEL

MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4, fuel was sampled and evaluated for comparative performance as shown below:

Date	Specific Gravity	Temperature °F	Specific Gravity Corrected to 60°F		
8 Sept	0.760	86	0.771		
1 Oct	0.763	81	0.773		
2 Oct	0.765	78	0.772		
22 Oct 0.774		79	0.782		
23 Oct	0.770	77	0.776		
24 Oct	0.774	75	0.780		
27 Oct	0,770	76	0.776		
27 000			Average 0.7757 -0.80%		

Based on Reference⁷, the heat of combustion of MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4, fuel is relatable to its specific gravity in the

$$q_n = 22,130 + \frac{2560}{p_{60} - 1.53}$$
 (1)

where: $q_{e^{\circ}}$ = net heat of combustion, Btu per pound $\rho_{e^{\circ}}^{n}$ = specific gravity at 60°F

Differentiating (1)

form:

$$dq_n = -\frac{2560}{(\rho_{e0} - 1.53)^2} d\rho_{e0}$$
(2)

Dividing through by q_n

$$\frac{dq_n}{q_n} = \frac{\frac{25600}{(0_{a0} - 1.53)^2}}{22,130 + \frac{2560}{0_{60} - 1.53}} \frac{dp_{e0}}{0_{60}}$$
(3)

or for small changes in ρ_{60} , the percentage change in q_n for the change in ρ_{60} is:

$$\frac{\Delta q_n}{q_n} (100) = -\frac{\frac{(\rho_{e0} - 1.53)^2}{(\rho_{e0} - 1.53)^2}}{22,130 + \frac{2560}{\rho_{e0} - 1.53}} (100) \frac{\Delta \rho_{e0}}{\rho_{e0}} (4)$$

Evaluating (4) at the average specific gravity observed, 0.7557:

$$\frac{\Delta q}{q_n} (100) = -0.1865 (100) \frac{\Delta p}{p_{60}}$$
(5)

The total range of observed specific gravities was 1.4 percent, which would result in a corresponding range of heat release of:

$$\frac{\Delta q}{q_n} (100) = 0.1865 (1.4) = 0.261 \text{ percent}$$
(6)

The results obtained during the course of this program were not normalized for the change in MIL-T-5624, Grade JP-4, fuel heat release because the maximum error so incurred is on the order of one-quarter of one percent. This inaccuracy is well within the accuracy to which the remainder of the data were taken.

REFERENCES

- ¹Streeter, Victor L., <u>Fluid Mechanics</u>, McGraw Hill Book Company Incorporated, 1962, p 216.
- ²Streeter, Victor L., <u>Fluid Mechanics</u>, McGraw Hill Book Company Incorporated, 1962, p 217.

³Fraser, R. P., <u>Liquid Fuel Atomization</u>, Sixth Combustion Symposium, Reinhold Publishing Company, p 687.

- ⁴Huntley, S. C., <u>Ideal Temperature Rise Due to Constant-</u> <u>Pressure Combustion of JP-4 Fuel</u>, NACA RME55G27A.
- ⁵Mascitti, V. R., <u>A Simplified Equilibrium Hydrocarbon-Air</u> <u>Combustion Gas Model for Use in Airbreathing Engine Cycle</u> <u>Computer Programs</u>, NACA TN D-4747.
- ⁶Bride, B. J., <u>Thermodynamic Properties to 6000°K for 210</u> <u>Substances Involving the First 18 Elements</u>, NACA/SP3001.
- ⁷Barnett, H. C., and R. R. Hibbard, <u>Properties of Aircraft</u> <u>Fuels</u>, NACA TN3276.

UNCLASSIFIED					
Security Classification		D			
DOCUMENT CONTR	nnotation must be ent	ered when the	overail report is classified)		
(Security classification of fille, body of abstract and indexing a 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)	2	28. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			
hipercarch Manufacturing Company of A	Arizona,	Unclassified			
a Division of the Garrett Corporation	1, 2	25. GROUP			
Phoenix Arizona 85034		N/A			
3. REPORT TITLE					
Evaluation of Shelldyne-H Fuel for Ga	as Turbine	Engine W	Use		
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)	000				
Final Report, June 1969 to November	1969				
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name)					
Robert S. McCarty					
Edward L. Brandys					
	78. TOTAL NO. OF	PAGES	7b. NO. OF REFS		
March 1970	180		7		
BB. CONTRACT OF GRANT NO.	98. ORIGINATOR'S	REPORT NUM	BER(S)		
F33615-69-C-1864	ASD-TR-70	-2			
b, PROJECT NO.					
139A 63217F					
с.	9b. OTHER REPORT this report)	T NO(S) (Any of	ther numbers that may be assigned		
	AiResearch Technical Report				
<i>d</i> .	PE-8067				
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT					
Distribution of this document is unly	imitea.				
	12. SPONSORING MI	LITARY ACTI	VITY		
TI. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	AGM 86 SPO (ASZV), Aeronautical				
N/A	Systems Division, Wright-				
	Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433				
13. ABSTPACT					
	a A	iBogoar	ah Model CTR30 Cas		
Shelldyne-H* fuel was evaluated	a with an A	IReseard	ch Model GIPSO Gas		
Turbine Engine, and some of its com	ified Fle	vated_t	emperature aging		
plied by Shell Oll Company were ver	ad that Wit	on A L	S-53, and Buna N		
tests of various elascomers indicate	1 and that	materi	als containing		
are compatible with Shelldyne-h inc.	ompatible w	ith She	lldvne-H fuel.		
Fuel control tests including fuel-	pump tests	were pe	rformed at tem-		
peratures down to minus 65°F. LOW	temperature	pump c	avitation was		
experienced. Ignition was a problem	m, but comb	oustion	tests revealed		
good efficiency and temperature dis	tribution a	fter co	mbustion was		
achieved. Engine starts with Shelldyne-H fuel could be made above					
plus 10°F with the use of a cartrid	ge starter	gas sys	tem. Engine		
starts could be made above minus 40°F, if ignition was initiated with					
MIL-T-5624 Grade JP-4 fuel. A syst	em problem,	, identi	fied at low		
temperatures, was a means to get all usable fuel from the fuel tank					
to the engine because fuel adhered	to the tank	walls.	0- 1		
			X Electrees		
			hach-		
*Shelldyne-H is a trademark of the	Shell Oil (Company.	2		

٠

(

4

*

5

.