AD TECH REPORT 68-7 DEC 1968

TECHNICAL REPORT

M-79 GRENADE LAUNCHER COST ANALYSIS REPORT

CHARLES F. HENDRICKS THOMAS REILLY





SYSTEMS AND COST ANALYSIS DIVISION COMPTROLLER AND DIRECTOR OF PROGRAMS U.S. ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND WASHINGTON, D.C., 20315 This document has been approved for public relicion and sole; its for public relicion and sole; its

ACCESSION	Tor
CFRTI	WHITE SECTION
DBC	BUFF SECTION
UKANNOUN	CEO 🗖
JUSTIFICAT	104
97 101 11	TION /AVAILABILITY CODES
97 DISTR:OU DIST.	TION/AVAILABILITY CODES AVAIL and/or SPECIA

DISPOSITION

DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.

DISCLAIMER

THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION

Technical Report 68-7

M79 GRENADE LAUNCHER COST ANALYSIS REPORT

Charles F. Hendricks U.S. Army Materiel Command

Thomas Reilly U.S. Army Weapons Command

December 1968

The views of the authors do not purport to reflect the position of the Department of the Army or the Department of Defense.

Systems & Cost Analysis Division Comptroller and Director of Programs U.S. Army Materiel Command Washington, D. C. 20315

Ι

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
	Abstract
	List of Figures and Tables
I	Introduction
II	System Description
III	System History 5
IV	Development Costs and Analysis 7
V	Investment Costs and Analysis 9
VI	Operating Costs and Analysis
VII	Financial Summary

Abstract

This report summarizes (1) the operating characteristics, (2) the system history, and (3) the development, investment and operating costs of the M79 Grenade Launcher. Developed by Springfield Armory in the mid-1950's, the M79 Grenade Launcher was designed to bridge the gap between the hand grenade and 60mm mortar. By the end of Fiscal Year 1968 a total of 147,297 launchers had been procured from contractors, not including the 6,855 weapons produced in-house by Springfield Armory. The annual operating cost for maintenance (repair parts, direct and general support maintenance) was \$64.94 per weapon plus \$131.54 per launcher for peacetime ammunition consumption.

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. The M79 Grenade Launcher

Table 1. M79 Grenade Launcher--Principal Characteristics

- 2. M79 Grenade Launcher--Research & Development Cost Estimate
- 3. M79 Grenade Launcher--Procurement Schedule
- L. M79 Grenade Launcher--Unit Hardware Prices
- 5. M79 Grenade Launcher--Basic Issue List Items and Costs
- 6. M79 Grenade Launcher--Operating Costs and Factors
- 7. M79 Grenade Launcher--Financial Summary

iii

BLANK PAGE

I. INTRODUCTION

J.

This report presents a description of principal characteristics, system history, and the actual and estimated costs associated with the life cycle of the M79 Grenade Launcher.

The initial concept for the M79 Grenade Launcher stemmed from the need for a superior supplement for the range between the hand grenade and 60mm mortar. The launcher was designed and the pilot line was produced in-house by Springfield Armory. Action Manufacturing Co., Kanaar Corp., and TRW Inc. are the private contractors responsible for a large part of the production of these weapons.

The M79 Grenade Launcher, a shoulder weapon, resembles a short, fat single-barrel shot gun. It fires a eight ounce high-explosive shell to a maximum effective range of 375 meters.



II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The M79 Grenade Launcher (Figure 1) is a single-shot, breakopen shoulder-fire weapon. It is breech loaded and chambered for a 40mm metallic cartridge case with internal primer.

Open type sights are provided for sighting the weapon. The front sight is a conventional military type, while the rear sight is a large folding leaf. The barrel is a high strength aluminum alloy and rifled to inpart spin to the fused projectile.

The launcher is fired from the usual firing stances. Its recoil is significantly greater than that of the service rifle. A rubber recoil pad is located at the rear end of its stock. A sling is provided to facilitate carrying.

Table 1 illustrates the principal characteristics of the M79 Grenade Launcher.

Table 1

M79 GRENADE LAUNCHER PRINCIPAL CHARACTERISTICS

Length of Launcher (overall)	28.78 in.
Length of Barrel	14.00 in.
Weight:	
Loaded	6.45 1bs.
Unloaded	5.95 1bs.
Rifling:	
Length	11.83 in.
No. of Lands	6
Depth of Grooves	0.02 in.
Twist	one turn in 48 in.
Maximum Range	410 meters
Maximum Effective Range	375 meters
Muzzle Velocity	250 fps [*]
Ammunition Used:	
Practice	M407
HE	M406
Caliber	4 Omm
Туре	Fixed
Length	3.9 in.
Weight	8.0 oz.
Operational Characteristics:	
Single Shot	
Trigger Operated	
Breech Loaded	
* Feet per second	

III. SYSTEM HISTORY

Studies on a grenade launcher started about 1950. The first tests were conducted on a rifle attachment launcher grenade. Later versions progressed from the analysis of a hand-heid pistol type to a separate shoulder-weapon. The ammunition phase of these tests was handled separately at Picatinny Arsenal and Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Ballistic Research Laboratories.

About 1954, the T148, a three-round shoulder fired launcher, was developed and tested in response to a request for a multi-round weapon. While this weapon fired the first three rounds rapidly, the parallel single shot version bearing the development identification S-5, could fire 9 rounds as fast as the T148 and also proved more reliable.

Development of the grenade launcher was assigned to the Springfield Armory in line with their traditional small arms mission. The tight security classification surrounding this program dictated that the development, as well as the planned model and pilot production, be assigned to a facility, such as Springfield, which has people cleared for classified secured work and where secure working space could be isolated.

Also it was necessary that engineers at Springfield, experienced in small arms weapons, could be immediately assigned to work closely with Picatinny and Aberdeen. Springfield Armory did not require any subcontractor assistance during development or model and pilot production stage.

After proceeding through the experimental and model stages, the weapon was type classified as Standard "A" and called the M79 by OCTM[#] 37626, dated 15 December 1960. Although there have been many engineering change orders, there have been no changes in military characteristics since the initial type classification as Standard "A".

The first production order was placed on Springfield Armory on 2 July 1959. Due to the potential value of the launcher in limited or brush warfare situations, Springfield started production on temporary tooling concurrently with production engineering and permanent tool fabrication.

The first out-of-house order was placed with Kanaar Corporation on 22 June 1961. Other prime contractors participating in the program have been Action Manufacturing Co., Exotic Metal Products Co., and TRW Inc. However, Exotic Metal Products Co. was defaulted by the government because of inability to deliver and their claim was eventually settled for \$860 thousand. Total procurements through the end of Fiscal Year 1968 have amounted to 147,297 launchers.

Negotiations are presently being conducted for an additional 22,588 launchers with deliveries tentatively scheduled to commence in August 1969 and be completed by May 1970. Although there are no positive plans for procurement after this buy, it would appear that the possibility of any future procurement will decrease as attachment-type launchers are developed.

* Ordinance Technical Committee Minutes

IV. DEVELOPMENT COSTS AND ANALYS IS

Many factors and circumstances have made the collection of firm, reliable development costs extremely difficult. Because the major portion of this program took place during the mid- and late-1950's, program documents, correspondence and technical reports have since been destroyed. Also, the tight security classification surrounding this program has precluded the availability of any previous studies or summarizations which might have provided some insight. And the closing of Springfield Armory, with the resulting loss of engineers and others cognizant of the program, has further hampered cost acquisition.

Sources participating in the development program at Springfield Armory and then transferred to Rock Island Arsenal with the remainder of the M79 mission have provided some information on research and development costs. Table 2 presents this estimate of research and development costs. It is recommended that any subsequent use of this RDTE estimate for future studies should be tempered by the consideration of its uncertain validity.

TABLE 2

1

M79 GRENADE LAUNCHER Research and Development Cost Estimate

Total 1	179 ProgramSpringfield Armory	\$2	,195,810
(Minus) Applicable to Production	1	,405,275
Total	Research & Development	\$	790,535
<u>NOTE</u> :	The production figure is based of Although no cost breakdown for t was available, it probably conta	in a ins	\$205 price estimate some costs

V. INVESTMENT COSTS AND ANALYSIS

Investment Costs -- Non-Recurring

As in the case of development costs, there are no initial investment costs available that would be attributable to Springfield Armory. In fact, the only Non-Recurring costs available, are the out-of-house costs paid to Action Manufacturing Company, Kanaar Corp. and TRW Inc., as listed in Table 7.

Of these out-of-house costs, generally, the production base support (PES) costs consists of those expenses incurred by moving Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) into the contractors' plants and subsequently clearing the plant after production was completed. Specifically, the \$80,000 in FY 61 was the estimated cost of moving Industrial Production Equipment (IPE) into Kanaar and Action, while the \$hh,000 in FY 65 covered the cost of moving IPE into TRW. Future estimates of PRS in FY 69 of \$35,000 cover the movement of seventeen pieces of IPE into Kanaar in order to expand their production capability, whereas the FY 70 estimate of \$100,000 is the cost of layaway at Kanaar.

There are no advance production engineering costs attributable to any of the contractors because the weapon was entirely developed at Springfield Armory. The tooling and test equipment costs in Fiscal Years 1961 and 1962 were for equipment purchased as line items in the first two production contracts. Any additional tooling and test equipment in later years was included in the unit price. Lastly, there is no special support equipment required for the launcher.

Investment Costs--Recurring

From Fiscal Year 1961 through the end of Fiscal Year 1968, seven contracts have been negotiated with the three contractors--Kanaar, Action, and TRW for the production of a total of 147,297 launchers at a total cost of \$23.1 million. Although basic contract prices on these seven contracts amounted to only \$10.6 million, expenditures above these basic prices amounted to approximately \$12.5 million.

The differences between basic contract prices and final contract prices were quantity increases of \$10.5 million, \$0.6 million of engineering change orders and adjustments by the Army Contract Adjustment Board (ACAB). These quantity increases stemmed from favorable acceptance and urgency of need. The engineering change orders were due primarily to production before a solid technical data package had been developed. Lastly, the ACAB adjustments were made as the result of decisions that the contractors were entitled to additional compensation.

Table 3 presents the schedule of procurments of launchers from each of these manufacturers including the quantities procured and final contract prices. Unit prices ranged from \$89.50. per weapon in FY 62 to \$172.81 per weapon (estimated) in FY 68. This price spread of bids stems from the fact that there were many unknowns to be considered in the production of the M79. Significant differences also occured in costs for inspection equipment and special tooling.

TABLE 3

M79 GRENADE LAUNCHER PROCUREMENT SCHEDULE

		C	Final
Fiscal Year	Contractor	Quantity (Fixed)	Contract Price
1961	Kanaar	7,839	\$1,531,604*
1962	Action	17,259	3,371,481*
1964	Kannaar	15,218	2,133,238
1965	TRW	24,006	2,992,082
1966	Kanaar	8,503	1,147,901
	TRW	11,617	1,473,638
1967	Kanaar	62,855	10,420,966**
TOTA	L	147,297	\$23,070,910
* These con	tract prices incl	lude \$0.5 million for H	Kanaar and \$1.7
million f	or Action for the	e cost of repair parts, al tooling, and related	, acceptance in- 1 data.

** This price includes \$48.8 thousand for the cost of primer indent test and repair of GFE stocks.

An analysis of these unit prices for each producer, as shown in Table 4, indicate a 100 percent (or higher) learning curve, thereby offering no value in projecting future unit prices.

The cost of Basic Issue Items (BILI) as well as the basis of issue is presented in Table 5. Total costs are presented in Table 7.

TABLE 4

479 GRENADE LAUNCHER Unit Hardware Prices

Fiscal Year	Contractor & Contract No.	Quantity of Launchers	Unit Price Launcher
1961	Kanaar 658	7,320	134.35
		519	130.00
1042	Action 736(W)	1,700	89.50
1902	Accion /So(a)	9,563	89.80
		5,996	115.00
1064	Kanaar 258(W)	5,600	138.50
1904	Kallaal 250(*)	1,900	127.00
		7,718	133.13
1065	TRU 644(w)	18,046	126.48
1905	188 044(*)	5,960	106.67
1066	Kanaar 646(W)	8,503	134.97
1966	TRW 706(w)	11,617	126.75
1067	Kanaar 715(W)	19.072	151.69
1907	Manage / 25 (**/	19,305	168.31
		12,239	172.81*
		11,387	172.81*
		852	172.81*
+Fatinated			

TABLE 5

M79 GRENADE LAUNCHER Basic Issue List Items and Costs

	Basis of Issue	Unit Cost	Total Cost/Rifle
Case Inbrigant	1	.09	.09
Clica	1	.60	.60
Case Small Arms Accessories	1	1.07	1.07
Screw Driver & Wrench Combination	1	22	2.12 3.88

VI. OPERATING COST AND ANALYSIS

Table 6 lists operating costs and factors for the M79 Gremade Launcher under peacetime conditions. Costs of annual service practice, training costs (service schools, training centers, etc.). operating forces, medical services, Army-wide activities and family housing activities were not available. On the other hand, POL consumption central supply activities (when sprewd over in-use density), and recurring publication costs are considered to be negligible and are therefore not reported.

Due to the uniqueness of its construction, there is no need for the launcher to be overhauled. No useful life estimates has been established for this weapon, primarily due to the ease with which components may be replaced. The fost of these repair parts is significantly higher than the cost of repair parts for the MI6Al Rifle (\$12.58). This difference is attributable to the following reasons. First, the high replacement factor and cost of the barrel of the M79 (16 per 100 per year at \$32 ea.) and the sight frame (8 per 100 per year at \$21 ea.). Second, the relatively high density of the MI6Al allows for more economic buys.

Table 6

M79 GRENADE LAUNCHER OPERATING COSTS & FACTORS

Operating Costs	Estimated Annual Unit Cost	Reference
Repair Parts	\$ 16.70	Weapons Cmd.
Ammunition Consumption		
Training	131.54	Munitions Cm
Vietnam	501.22	Munitions Cm
Crew (incl organ. maint.)	4,509.00	Weapons Cmd.
DS Maintenance	33.64	Weapons Cmd.
GS Maintenance	14.60	Weapons Cmd.
Training /1	2,200.00	Weapons Cmd.
Depot Maintenance /2		
Labor	16.00	Weapons Cmd.
Material	29.76	Weapons Cmd.
Average rounds fired/vear/wea	pon	
Peacetime	47 rds.	Weapons Cmd.
Vietnam	182 rds.	Weapons Cmd.
Meantime to Repair /3	2.5 hrs.	Weapons Cmd.
 /1 Cost of new equipment traini /2 Cost of Inspection & Repair /3 The estimated time for I&R. 	ing. (I&R) performed at	depot.

VII. FINANCIAL SUMMARY

As stated earlier, there are no actual reported costs for research and development except for the reported estimate of \$790,535. Table 7 reports actual investment expenditures to date to be \$22.7 million. However, this figure does not include \$1.4 million given to Springfield Armory for the 6,855 launchers and associated BILI produced during the period July 1960 through February 1963, as well as two payments made by the Army Contract Adjustment Board of \$1.3 million. Of the \$4.2 million investment expected to be completed by Fiscal Year 1971, \$4.1 million is for the purchases of an additional 22,588 Grenade Launchers with the remainder for the layaway of productive facilities in Fiscal Year 1971.

Operating and maintenance costs from Fiscal Year 1960 through the end of Fiscal Year 1968, as shown in Table 7, have amounted to \$84.9 million. Eighty-seven percent of this sum, or \$74.1 million, has been expended since the beginning of Fiscal Year 1966, which is largely attributable to the Vietnam conflict.

15

)

Table 7

M79 GRENADE LAUNCHER FINANCIAL SUMMARY (thousands of dollars)

DESCRIPTION OF WORK	N 60	19 M	2 20	FT 63	10 11	11 65	29 14	FT 67	89 11	69 11	2 1	2 1
Investment Non-recurring												
Production Base Support		81.0				1.74	13.5		0.44	35.0		100.0
Tooling & Test Equipment		124.4	364.8									1
TOTAL		204.4	264.8			1.74	13.5		0.44	35•0		100.0
Investment Recurring												
Launcher, Greneda M19 BILI		137.0	1,272.6	104.8 2.0	1,750.8	1,181.8 29.9	5,531.3	6,146.0 148.9	4,230.0	3,903.4		
Publications (initial) Gov't Quality Assurance		6.3			10.0	10.0	10.01	10.0	7.0	27.0	7.0	
Selected Repair Parts First Destination Trans. Other		54.3	125.7	3.4	3.0	10.7	8.3 89.1	12.1	24.4	9-3	18.8	
TODAL		524.7	1,535.0	410.2	1,795.0	1,232.4	5,809.9	6,317.2	4,461.1	4,027.3	25.8	
Operating and Maintenance												
Repair Parts		25.5	57.8	1 763.7	0-122	1.00.1	10.440.6	654.8	893.3 33.846.8			
Maintenance GS Maintenance		2.2	20.5	321-5	193.2	349.8	976.0	1,318.9	1,799.4			
Initial Training Central Supply Activity Depot Maint Material Depot Maint Material	2.2	2.3	0.6	21.4	27.3	25.0	25.4 5.6 15.8	25.1 6.5 17.7 3.0	21.8 5.2 4.6			
TOTAL	8.0	101.3	915.5	2,405.8	2,719.1	4,628.6	12,371.5	24,382.7	37,359.4			

COMPANY ALTING ACTIVITY (COMPANY AUTOR)	ract and indexing annotation must be entered wh	en the overall report is classified)
	20. REP	THAT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION
eadquarters, US Army Materiel (Command 26. GRO	
ashington, D.C. 20315		N/A
EPORT TITLE		
MO OPENADE LADICUED OF	BOORD STORES STORE	
HIJ ONENADE LAUNCHER CO	USI ANALISIS REPORT	
DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive	e deteo)	
AUTHOR(5) (First rame, middle initial, last name)		
harles P Hendricks		
homas Reilly		
EPORT DATE	78. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES	76. NO. OF REFS
ecember 1968	18	none
CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	54. ORIGINATOR'S REPOR	NUMBER(S)
PROJECT NO.	Technical Repo	rt 68-7
	SD. OTHER REPORT NO(S) this report)	(Any other numbers that may be assigne
	DDC Number to be	assigned
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT		
	Washington, D.C.	Army Materiel Command 20315
ABITRACT		
This report summarizes (1) and (3) the development, invests eveloped by Springfield Armory esigned to bridge the gap betw "iscal Year 1968 a total of 147 ncluding the 6,855 weapons proc perating cost for maintenance (as \$64.94 per weapon plus \$131.	the operating characteristic ment and operating costs of t in the mid-1950's, the M79 G een the hand grenade and 60mm ,297 launchers had been procu duced in-house by Springfield (repair parts, direct and gen .54 per launcher for peacetim	s, (2) the system histor he M79 Grenade Launcher, renade Launcher was mortar. By the end of red from contractors, no Armory. The annual eral support maintenance ammunition consumption

Security Classification

		LINI	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	KEY WORDS	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	
70 Gwanada Im	ncher			1 1				
dadaal char	actoriatics			1		1		
LIUCIDAT CHAT								
ant tweaks								
evelopment co	ats		1					
nvestment cos	ta							
perating cost	5							
Inancial summ	ary		1					
	•	1						
							1	
					1			
			1					
				1				
					1			
			1			1		
			1			1		
					1			
							1	
							1	
				1				
				10.0				
				1				
				1			-	

Security Classification