UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

ADB083264

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies only; Test and Evaluation; July 1983. Other requests shall be referred to AFWAL/MLBC, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

AUTHORITY

WL/MLBC fax, 13 Jan 1985.

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

AFWAL-TR-83-4124



PROCESSING SCIENCE OF EPOXY RESIN COMPOSITES

R. A. BRAND G. G. BROWN E. L. MC KAGUE GENERAL DYNAMICS CONVAIR DIVISION P.O. BOX 85357 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92138

JANUARY 1984

FINAL REPORT FOR PERIOD AUGUST 1980 - DECEMBER 1983

SUBJECT TO EXPORT CONTROL LAWS

This document contains information for manufacturing or using munitions of war. Export of the information contained herein, or release to foreign nationals within the United States, without first obtaining an export license, is a violation of 'the International Traffic-in-Arms Regulations. Such violation is subject to a penalty of up to 2 years imprisonment and a fine of \$100,000 under 22 USC 2778.

Include this notice with any reproduced portion of this document.

Distribution limited to US Government Agencies only; test and evaluation; July 1983. Other requests for this document must be referred to AFWAL/MLBC, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

84

06

18

MATERIALS LABORATORY AIR FORCE WRIGHT AERONAUTICAL LABORATORIES Air Force Systems Command Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

00x 1

138

FILE COPY

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

C.E. BROWNING, Technical Area Manager Structural Materials Branch Nonmetallic Materials Division

RAPSON.

Structural Materials Branch Nonmetallic Materials Division

FOR THE COMMANDER

F.D. CHERRY, Chief Nonmetallic Materials Division

"If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from our mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization please notify AFWAL/MLBC, W-P AFB, OH 45433 to help maintain a current mailing list."

Copies of this report should not be returned unless return is required by security considerations, contractual obligations, or notice on a specific document.

URITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Dete Entered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION	NO. 3 RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AFWAL-TR-83-4124	132646
TITLE (and Substitio)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERICO COVERED
PROCESSING SCIENCE OF EPOXY RESIN COMPOSITES	August 1980 - December 1983
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
AUTHOR(s)	6. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)
K. A. Brand G. G. Brown	F33615-80-C-5021
E. L. McKague	
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENY, PROJECT, TASK
General Dynamics Convair Division	
P.O. Box 85357	2419-03-19
San Diego, California 92138	
CUNTRULLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	Innuary 15 1084
AFWAL/MUBC	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
WEARD, UR 40400	318
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II dillerent from Controlling Offic	(e) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	Uncl
	154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution limited to US Government Agencies July 1983. Other requests for this document mu Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.	only; test and evaluation; st be referred to AFWAL/MLBC,
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution limited to US Government Agencies July 1983. Other requests for this document mu Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abettact entered in Block 20, 11 different	only; test and evaluation; st be referred to AFWAL/MLBC,
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution limited to US Government Agencies July 1983. Other requests for this document mu Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, 11 different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	only; test and evaluation; st be referred to AFWAL/MLBC, throm Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution limited to US Government Agencies July 1983. Other requests for this document mu Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abettact entered in Block 20, 11 different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	only; test and evaluation; st be referred to AFWAL/MLBC, from Report)
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution limited to US Government Agencies July 1983. Other requests for this document mu Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse elde if necessary and identify by block number (poxy, Processing, Mathematical Modeling, Resin 'ressure Gure, Bagless Cure, Diffusivity, Solub: 'oid Etiology	only; test and evaluation; st be referred to AFWAL/MLBC, from Report) Characterization, Internal ility, Moisture Absorption,
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution limited to US Government Agencies July 1983. Other requests for this document mu Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse alde if necessary and identify by block number ipoxy, Processing, Mathematical Modeling, Resin 'ressure Cure, Bagless Cure, Diffusivity, Solub: 'oid Etiology	only; test and evaluation; st be referred to AFWAL/MLBC, from Report) Characterization, Internal ility, Moisture Absorption,
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution limited to US Government Agencies July 1983. Other requests for this document mu Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number ipoxy, Processing, Mathematical Modeling, Resin 'ressure Cure, Bagless Cure, Diffusivity, Solub: 'oid Etiology ABSTRACY (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number he "Processing Science of Epoxy Resin Composite nterrelationships between the resin and prepreg- ies of 177C (350F) curing epoxy resin systems a ltimately affected the processing characteristi	only; test and evaluation; st be referred to AFWAL/MLBC, from Report) Characterization, Internal ility, Moisture Absorption, es" program investigated the g physical and chemical proper- and how these properties ics of the material.
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution limited to US Government Agencies July 1983. Other requests for this document mu Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb Pressure Cure, Bagless Cure, Diffusivity, Solub Void Etiology ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side If necessary and identify by block numb the "Processing Science of Epoxy Resin Composite nterrelationships between the resin and prepress ies of 177C (350F) curing epoxy resin systems a limately affected the processing characteristic he primary influence in affecting laminate qual olatiles in the resin. The major volatile was	only; test and evaluation; st be referred to AFWAL/MLBC, (from Report) Characterization, Internal ility, Moisture Absorption, (er) es" program investigated the g physical and chemical proper- and how these properties lcs of the material. lity was determined to be the determined to be water. The
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution limited to US Government Agencies July 1983. Other requests for this document mu Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, 11 different SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (EY WORCS (Continue on reverse aids if necessary and identify by block numb poxy, Processing, Mathematical Modeling, Resin ressure Cure, Bagless Cure, Diffusivity, Solub: oid Etiology (STRACY (Continue on reverse aids If necessary and identify by block numb he "Processing Science of Epoxy Resin Composite nterrelationships between the resin and prepreg- ies of 177C (350F) curing epoxy resin systems a ltimately affected the processing characteristic he primary influence in affecting laminate qual- platiles in the resin. The major volatile was FORM 1472	only; test and evaluation; st be referred to AFWAL/MLBC, (from Report) Characterization, Internal ility, Moisture Absorption, (ev) es" program investigated the g physical and chemical proper- and how these properties ics of the material. Lity was determined to he the determined to be water. The (Cont.)

5 Y Y

गुन्तुन्

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

20. Abstract Continued

Mann Oricy

A STATE

situation, however, was more complicated than just the quantity of volatiles that were in a resin or prepreg. Asther, the point of volatile release and local concentrations played a significant role in void formation. Associated also with void formation was vacuum application during processing.

Mathematical models have been developed to describe the collective effects of diffusivity, solubility, surface tension, resin flow, and pressure on the removal of volatile material and entrapped air within a luminate.

Two techniques were developed to insure pressure translation into the resin during processing, i.e., (1) bagless curing of consolidated parts and (2) internal bag pressurization. These processes have proven to be quite successful in part fabrication, if prior processing cycles were employed.

Validation articles comprised the final phase of the program. The F-16 vertical stabilizer skin was selected as the validation article along with a small 0.61 m by 0.91 m internal ply drop-off laminate employed as a material screening laminate by Fort Worth Division.

and the second sec
Unitering and the second secon
J.stiffication
By Distri'ition/ Availability Codes
Avail and/or
Dist Special

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Enter P

SUMMARY

The "Processing Science of Epoxy Resin Composites" program investigated the interrelationships between the resin and prepreg physical and chemical properties of 177C (350F) curing epoxy resin systems and how these properties ultimately affected the processing characteristics of the material.

The primary influence in affecting laminate quality was determined to be the volatiles in the resin. The major volatile was determined to be water. The situation, however, was more complicated than just the quantity of volatiles that were in a resin or prepreg. Rather, the point of volatile release and local concentrations played a significant role in void formation. Associated also with void formation was vacuum application during processing.

Mathematical models have been developed to describe the collective effects of diffusivity, solubility, surface tension, resin flow, and pressure on the removal of volatile material and entrapped air within a laminate.

Two techniques were developed to insure pressure translation into the resin during processing, i.e., (1) bagless curing of consolidated parts and (2) internal bag pressurization. These processes have prover to be quite successful in part fabrication, if proper processing cycles were employed.

Validation articles comprised the final phase of the program. The F-16 vertical stabilizer skin was selected as the validation article along with a small 0.61 m by 0.91 m internal ply drop-off laminate employed as a material screening laminate by Fort Worth Division. iii

FOREWORD

This report describes the work performed under Contract .*33615-80-C-5021 during the period from 18 August 1980 to 19 December 1983. The program was administered under the technical direction of Dr. C. E. Browning, AFWAL/MLBC, Aeroanutical Systems Division, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433.

The Convair Division of General Dynamics Corporation was the prime contractor with Dr. R. A. Brand serving as program manager. The Fort Worth Division of General Dynamics Corporation was under subcontract and was directed by E. L. McKague.

Dr. J. L. Kardos and Dr. M. P. Dudukovic of Washington University (St. Louis) were consultants for the mathematical model development portion of the program and developed the modeling computer program.

Acknowledgments are extended to M. Lehman, Principal Investigator on the program at Fort Worth Division and C. F. Ochoa, Chemist at the Convair Division for their outstanding contributions to the program.

Acknowledgments are also extuded to Dr. R. J. Hinrichs, Applied Polymer Technology, and W. A. Wilson, NARMCO Corporation, for their contributions to the program effort.

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

annual balance war

A STATE AND THE STATE AND A STATE AND A

- T.

Section			Page
1	PROGRAM	OVERVIEW	1
	1.1	OBJECTIVES	1
2	PROGRAM	RESULTS	3
	2.1	STANDARD PREPREG AND BASE RESIN CHARACTERIZATION	3
	2.1.1	Physical Properties of Standard Prepreg	3
	2.1.2	Base Resin Characterization	3
	2.2	LAMINATE FABRICATION	30
	2.2.1	Baseline Lawinate Fabrication	30
	2.2.2	Large Laminate Fabrication	36
	2.3	DIFFUSIVITY AND SOLUBILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF DIFFERENT ADVANCEMENT LEVELS OF 5208 RESIN	43
	2.3.1	Moisture Diffusion - Modeling and Analysis	61
	2.4	MODELING STUDY	65
	2.4.1	Modeling Development	65
	2.4.2	Void Growth	69
	2.4.3	Major Assumptions and Data Inputs	70
	2.4.4	Calculation Summary	75
	2.4.5	Some Pertinent Conclusions	81
	2.4.6	A Simple Quasi-Steady State Approach	83
	2.4.7	Conclusions	86
	2.4.8	Modeling Analysis	87
	2.5	MOISTURE ABSORPTION PROBLEMS IN PREPREG	88
	2.5.1	Volatile Evolution Around Catalyst Crystals	90
	2.5.2	Moisture Absorption-5208 and 3502 Prepreg	90
	2.6	PROCESSING PARAMETERS AND TECHNIQUES	10 9
	2.6.1	Thermal Gradients	109
	2.6.2	Thickness Gradient	111
	2.6.3	Pressure Gradient Study	112
	2.6.4	Additional Resin Pressure Experiments	116
	2.6.5	Lateral Pressure Gradient Study	119
	2.6.6	Resin Migration Studies	121
	2.7	COMPACTION STUDIES	124
	2.7.1	First Compaction Study	124
	2.7.2	Second Compaction Study	131
	2.7.3	Tack Tension Testing	161
	2.8	VOID ETIOLOGY	161
	2.9	NEW CURING TECHNIQUES	176
	2.9.1	Bagless Curing of a 30.5 cm (1.2 inch) Thick Composite	1//
	2.9.2	Development of Bagless Cured Tubes and Hat Sections	182
	2.9.3	Development of a Silicone Vacuum Bag Press Technique	185
	2.9.4	Internally Pressurized Bag Technique	187
	2.10	VALIDATION ARTICLES	191
	2.10.1	F-16 Vertical Tail Skin - Bagless Cure Technique	194
		v .	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section		Page
2.10.2	F-16 Vertical Tail Skins - Internal Pressurization Technique	196
2.10.3	Vacuum and Pressure Levels Within Ply Drop-Off Void Areas	199
2.10.4	Fabrication of a Qualification Laminate	305
2.10.5	Bagless Cured Internal Ply Drop-Off Lamiante	206
2.10.6	Rockwell Cure Experiments	209
2.10.7	Final Thick Laminate Screening Panel	216
2.10.8	F-16 Vertical Tail Skin Validation Artictle	220
APPENDIX A	VISCOSITY AND DIFFUSION DATA	225
APPENDIX B	OPERATING PROCEDURES	285
APPENDIX C	COMPUTER PROGRAM LISTING	303

2

vi

RADIEN

A AN A THE TAX THE THE THE TREAM AND THE PROPERTY AND A TREAM A

LIST OF FIGURES

n, il 194

. W. 3 . A. A. A. 3.

22

1.1

rigure		Page
1	Epoxy Number as a Function of Time at 121C, 5208 (B-716)	5
2	Major Epoxy (HPLC) as a Function of Time at 121C, 5208 (B-716)	6
3	Epoxy Number as a Function of Major Epoxy Content (HPLC), 5208 (B-716)	6
4	5208 Batch 716 - Normal Level Advancement and Aged 135 Minutes at 120C	8
5	5208 Batch 716 Sample B-4 - Aged 85.7 Minutes at 135C	9
6	5208, Batch 715 - Aged 50 Minutes at 120C	10
7	5208 Batch 716 - Aged 100 Minutes at 120C	11
8	5208 Batch 716 - Aged 135 Minutes at 120C	12
9	FTIR Spectrum of 5208 Resin Batch 716	13
10	Infrared Analysis of Advancing 5208 (B-716) at 120F	13
11	DSC Scan of 4208 and MY-720 at 10C/Min Heat-Up	16
12	DSC Scan of 5208 and MY-720 at 2.0C/Min Heat-Up	17
13	5208 Batch 716, Autoclave Cure Cycle Variation AC-1	18
14	Rheometric Viscosity Comparison of Different Heat-up Rates	19
15	Rheological Viscosity of Epoxy Resin Systems	22
16	Viscosity Vs Temperature, 976 Resin-AFML Data	22
17	Chemical Conversion Rate Vs Temperature, 5208 Batch 716 - Normal Advancement Material	24
18	Adiabatic Self-Heat Vs Temperature, 5208 Batch 716 - Aged 135 Minutes at 120C	26
19	Chemical Conversion Rate Vs Temperature, 5208 Batch 716 - Aged 135 Minutes at 120C	27
20	5208, Batch 716, Aged at 120C Instantaneous Viscosity Versus Advancement as a Function of Temperature	28
21	5208, Batch 716, Aged at 135C, Instantaneous Viscosity Versus Advancement as a Function of Temperature	29

I CONTRACTOR AND AN AN AN AND AN AN AN AN AN AN AN AN AN AN

Figure		Page
22	SR 5208, Batch 716 - Viscosity at Various Temperatures Versus Age Time at 120C	31
23	SR 5208, Batch 716 - Viscosity at Various Temperatures Versus Age Time at 135C	32
24	Pressure Application Points and Corresponding Viscosity 5208, Batch 716, Autoclave Cure Cycle Variation AC-3	33
25	A C-Scan Section of a Horizontally Cured Thick Laminate	37
26	A C-Scan Section of a Horizontally Cured Thick Laminate	41
27	A C-Scan of Vertically Cured Thick Laminate	42
28	Baseline Cure Cycle With Specimen Advancement Levels	45
29	Composite Panel Fabrication	47
30	Composite Panel Fabrication	48
31	Composite Panel Fabrication	49
32	Composite Panel Fabrication	50
33	Composite Panel Fabrication	51
34	Weight Loss Behavior of Moisture Saturated 5208 Prepreg at 25C	54
35	Weight Loss Behavior of Moisture Saturated 5208 Prepreg at 35C	54
36	Solubility Dependencies	57
37	Diffusivity Dependencies	60
38	Parameterization of Surface Tension	62
39	Cure Drying is Slow	66
40	Void Concept for Mathematical Model	70
41	Plot of Equation 14 for Two Relative Humidities	84
42	DADS Catalyst, Typical Size on Prepreg Surface	89
43	5208 Prepreg Surface (60X)	91

NOT PROVIDE A STRATE

1. have we ?. 14-1

Figure		Page
44	5208 Prepreg Surface (120X)	91
45	5208 Prepreg Surface (300X)	91
46	5208 Bubble/Crystal Sites (2300X)	91
47	5208 Bubble/Crystal Sites (600X)	92
48	5208 Bubble/Crystal Sites (600X)	9 2
49	5208 and 3502 Prepreg Water Pickup and Loss Behavior	94
50	DADS Resonance Structure	95
51	Melted Quenched DADS, 50X	9 7
52	Melted Quenched DADS, 1000X	9 7
53	As-Received DADS, 50X	98
54	As-Received DADS, 1000X	98
55	Water Weight Gains of DADS Catalyst Exposed to 100 Percent R.H. Via TGA	99
56	FT-IR Spectra of Quenched DADS - Moisture Exposed	101
57	FT-IR Spectra of As-Received DADS - Moisture Exposed	102
58	FT-IR Spectra of Quenched DADS - Dried	104
59	FT-IR Spectra of As-Received DADS - Dried	105
60	X-ray Diffraction of Melted DADS in a Major Epoxy	107
61	X-ray Diffraction of Dry Powder DADS in Major Epoxy	108
62	X-ray Diffraction of Melted DADS in Major Epoxy and Dry Powder DADS in Major Epoxy	108
63	Thermal Gradient Within 64 Ply (30.5 cm by 30.5 cm) T300-5208 Laminates During Cure	110
64	Thickness Gradient of Plies Within 32, 64, and 96 Ply Laminates T-300/5208	111
65	Laminate Pressure Gradient Study	114
66	Laminate Pressure Gradient Study	115

ix

A.F.

N170

11.51

1. 2. C. C.

200

1 47 1 20 1 4 24 24

801.70

NEXT PRESS TO THE WORKS WORKS

Figures		Page
67	Pressure Gradient Test Fixutre	117
68	Typical Pressure Readout for Transducer Experiment	120
69	Lateral Resin Pressure Gradient Study	121
70	Resin Migration Study	123
71	Resin Migration Study	124
72	Laminate Compaction Study - Typical Debulking Cycle	125
73	Laminate Compaction Study - Typical Vacuum Bag Compaction	126
74	Laminate Compaction Study - Typical Vacuum Bag Compaction	127
75	Laminate Compaction Study - No Vacuum and High Pressure	129
76	Laminate Compaction Study - No Vacuum and High Pressure	130
77	Data Sheet for Scan Parameters of Compaction State #3	136
78	Area Scan Map of Compaction State #3	137
79	Data Display of Compaction State #3 With Discrimination Limits Set at None	138
80	Data Display of Compaction State #3 With 80 Percent or Greater Amplitude Discrimination	139
81	Data Display of Compaction State #3 With Less Than 80 Percent Amplitude Discrimination	140
82	Data Display of Compaction State #3 With 60 Percent or Greater Amplitude Discrimination	14 1
83	Data Display of Compaction State #3 With Less Than 60 Percent Amplitude Discrimination	142
84	Data Display of Compaction State #3 With 40 Percent or Greater Amplitude Discrimination	143
85	Data Display of Compaction State #3 With Less Than 40 Percent Amplitude Discrimination	144
86	Data Display of Compaction State #3 With 20 Percent or Greater Amplitude Discrimination	145
87	Data Display of Compaction State #3 With Less Than 20	146

x

الكرف الدادين

A and all and a late.

and the manual contract that

and the second second

3 - -

17 E F

Figures		Page
88	Data Sheet for Laminate Scan Parameters of Compaction State #3	149
89	Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 - (Laminate Scan Mode - Discrimination None)	150
90	Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 Discimina- tion Depth of 14 to 23 µsec (Laminate Scan)	151
91	Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 Discrimination Depth of 24 to 33 µsec (Laminate Scan)	152
92	Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 Discrimination Depth of 34 to 43 μsec	153
93	Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 Discrimination Depth of 44 to 53 µsec (Laminate Scan)	154
94	Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3, Discrimination Depth of 1.4 to 54 μ sec	155
95	5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo C-Scan	156
96	5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo Scan Discrimination Depth of 9 to 12 µsec	157
97	5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo Scan Discrimination Depth of 13 to 24 µsec	158
98	5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo Scan Discrimination Depth of 24 to 34 µsec	159
99	5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo Scan Discrimination Depth of 35 to 52 µsec From Bottom	160
100	Prepreg Flat-Wise Tack Tension Data	161
101	52 Ply Narmeo T300/5208 Batch 1721	164
102	TMA Penetration of T300/5208 Release Paper, 4 GM, Load #1	167
103	TMA Penetration of T300/5208 Release Paper Dried 24 Hours at RT, #1	167
104	TMA Penetration of T300/5208 Release Paper Dried 5 Hours at 132C (270F)	168
105	Vacuum Jar Deaeration Cycle	171
106	Photomicrograph of Interlaminar Voids After Vacuum Bag Deaeration	172

Figure		Page
107	In Vacuo Lay-up Technique	173
108	Flash Heating and Lay-up Apparatus	175
109	C-Scan of Thick Laminate 3.0 x 1.0 at 5 MHZ	179
110	C-Scan of Thick Laminate 1.0 x 1.0 at 5 MHZ	180
111	Micropolished Section of Thick Laminate 50X	181
112	Micropolished Section of Thick Laminate 100X	181
113	Void Volume as a Function of Resin Pressure at Constant Temperature	184
114	Press Configuration for the Silicone Vacuum Bag Curing Technique	186
115	Autoclave Configuration for the Silicone Vacuum Bag Curing Technique	187
116	Internally Pressurized Bag Cure Concept for Providing High Pressure Into the Resin During Cure	188
117	Internally Fressurized Bag Resin Pressure	189
118	Effect of Temperature on Void Pressure as a Function of Initial Resin Water Content Assuming Uniform Distribution of Water Within Laminate	190
119	Comparison of the Mechanical Properties of Internally Pressure Cured Laminates to Conventional Autoclave Cured Laminates	191
120	Processing Science Program, Conventional Cure	192
121	Processing Science Program, Internally Pressurized Bag Cure	193
122	F-16 Vertical Tail Skin Showing Location of Internal Ply Drop-Offs	195
123	Ply Drop-Off, Cavity Pressure Transducer Test Setup	200
124	Ply Drop-Off, Cavity Pressure Transducer Experiment	201
125	Ply Drop-Off, Cavity Pressure Transducer Experiment	201
126	Compaction Study Internal Ply Drop-Off Laminate	205

The article Brack

ماية عد المراجع بالإيران الم

•

Figures		Page
127	C-Scan of Internal Ply Drop-Off Compacted Prepreg Laminates (23 ply, 45 cm by 45 cm)	207
128	Void Sites, 5208/T-300 Ply Drop-Off Laminates	208
129	Bagless Cured Internal Ply Drop-Off Laminate 1076E Prepreg	209
130	Polished Cross-Section of Internal Ply Drop-Off Laminate, Bagless Cured	210
131	Rockwell Cure Schedule	212
132	Lay-Up Configuration for Rockwell Cure	212
133	Transducer Read-Outs of Rockwell Cure	214
134	18X Magnification of Unidirectional E767 Laminate Cured by Rockwell Technique	215
135	100X Magnification of Unidirectional E767 Laminate Cured by Rockwell Technique	215
136	Thick Laminate Screening Panel Layup Sequence	217
137	Thick Laminate Screening Panel Drawing	218
138	Ply Drop-off #1 Micropolish 9X	221
139	Ply Drop-off #2 Micropolish 9X	221
140	Ply Drop-off #3 Micropolish 9X	222
141	Ply Drop-off #4 Micropolish 9X	222
142	Ply Drop-off #5 Micropolish 9X	223
143	Ply Drop-off #6 Micropolish 9X	223

n.

59.57

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Fiber Areal Weight and Resin Content	4
2	HPLC Analysis Results of Controlled Temperature Aging Samples	7
3	Rheometric Viscosity Specimens for Evaluating Aerospace Cycle Variations	21
4	Proc essing Science of Zpoxy Resin Composites Laminate Test Data	3 5
5	Resin Contents of Advancement Level Specimens	52
6	Solubilities, Percent by Weight	57
7	Diffusion Coefficients for T300/5208 in Various Subcured States	.58
8	Average Diffusivities, $cm/day \ge 10^{-4}$ (Average of Three Specimens at Each Condition	59
9.	Finite Difference Routine for Moisture Distribution	63
10	Finite Difference Routine for Moisture Distribution	64
11	Input Parameters Needed for the Solution of Equation 5	76
12	Bubble Diameter at End of Various Stages of Process Cycle in cm	82
13	Resin Pressure Drop-Off Results	118

TO SECURE STOR

1.0 PROGRAM OVERVIEW

1.1 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the Processing Science of Epoxy Resin Composites program were to establish and understand the fundamental interrelationships between the material, processing, and environmental parameters of graphite/epoxy material systems. This was accomplished through a multi-phase program designed to (1) develop an understanding of the behavior of the graphite/epoxy material during the various processing steps, (2) determine what material characteristics and processing parameters were critical and controllable for consistent processing, and (3) develop a methodology where critical materials and processing parameters were defined and specified before manufacturing of a given composite part configuration. The information and data generated on this program was generic in nature and was applicable to many graphite/epoxy material systems. However, for this program, the 5208/T-300 system produced by Narmco Corporation was selected for initial evaluation.

The original progfam was structured in four phases. The first phase was directed toward the development and definition of the materials behavioral profiles as functions of various processing parameters associated with different resin formulations. Variations in resin formulations included high and low base resin viscosity, variations in curing agent content of +5 and -5 percent of nominal, and upper and lower limits of the processed resin viscosity. These variations in prepreg were then to be subjected to a spectrum of assembly and fabrication steps, including various final autoclave/vacuum bag cure procedures.

Two changes in the program structure were made with the concurrence of the Air Force program monitor. The first change was the elimination of the different

resin formulations and concentration on the normal or baseline 5208/T300 prepreg as produced by Narmco Corporation. The second change in the program was the inclusion of additional types of prepreg for evaluation. These additional materials were epoxy graphite, 3502/AS4, and 976/T300.

The second phase, which ran concurrently with the first, consisted of development of a mathematical model which quantified the various transport processes which occurred during the processing of laminates. This phase was conducted by the Fort Worth Division of General Dynamics. The third phase coupled the results of the first two phases into a general methodology encompassing part configuration, fabricability and material behavior characteristics. The fourth phase encompassed validation of the incorporated results of the first three phases by fabrication of parts under appropriate reciprocal variations in both process conditions and material behavioral characteristics. One of the validation parts was identified as a vertical tail skin for the F-16 aircraft. Various innovative process and cure techniques developed during this program were utilized in the curing of the vertical tail skin at the Fort Worth Division. Included in the fourth phase was also the preparation of a set of operating procedures for the utilization of the developed materials/processing methodologies.

2.0 PROGRAM RESULTS

2.1 STANDARD PREPREG AND BASE RESIN CHARACTERIZATION

The successful implementation of the Processing Science program was based on the understanding of the resin system employed and how the resin reacts to specific temeprature, pressure, time, and environmental influences. A fundamental resin characterization program initiated this study. In particular, General Dynamics was concerned with the initial ("as recieved") composition of the resin, what the resin's rheological profile was as a function of various processing conditions, what the basic kinetics of the polymerization of the resin were, and how the kinetics related to the rheology/processability of the resin.

2.1.1 <u>PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF STANDARD PREPREG.</u> A standard batch (batch 716) of 150 pounds of 5208/T300 prepreg was received early in the program. From this initial shipment 95 pounds were retained at the Convair Division, 50 pounds were delivered to the Fort Worth Division and 5 pounds to AFWAL. In addition, 5 pounds of catalyzed resin was also received, and approximately 100 pounds of uncatalyzed resin were retained from the original resin batch and stored at -18C at Convair. This additional resin was to be used in the preparation of future prepreg requirements during the course of the program.

Physical properties were determined on the initial batch of prepreg and found to be within the specified ranges of the Purchase Order. Table 1 shows the fiber areal weight and resin content of the sampled rolls.

2.1.2 <u>BASE RESIN CHARACTERIZATION</u>. The viscosity profile of any epoxy resin at any time during a particular processing cycle is a reasonably uniform function of at least two variables: the degree of polymerization and

Spec. Value	Fiber Areal Weight (g/m ²) 157 ± 2	Resin Content (χ by Weight) 32 ± 2
Roll 4A	158.1	32.34
Roll 3B	158.2	33.08

Table 1. Fiber Areal Weight and Resin Content

temperature. Of these two, the degree of polymerization affects all of the essential material characteristics that must be accounted for in optimizing a cure process. These characteristics include resin viscosity, surface tension, shrinkage, moisture diffusion rate, and a uniform heat transfer behavior.

It was therefore necessary to develop a measurement/characterization scheme that would establish viscosity, surface tension, shrinkage, and moisture diffusion coefficients as functions of the degree of polymerization. With these relationships and the cure reaction kinetics, we would then be able to establish the "process" variables at any point during any cure cycle. Conversely, if a particular viscosity must be achieved for adequate flow and devolatization to take place, then an appropriate cure cycle could be developed.

To quantify the degree of polymerization of the resin, a number of methods of analyses were investigated.

2.1.2.1 <u>Wet Chemical Analysis</u>. This was one of the first methods to be investigated. For this analysis we employed the accepted acetone/hydrochloric acid titration technique developed by Ciba-Geigy for determining epoxy content as a function of time at 121C. Acceptable results were obtained after the resin was reacted with the reagent overnight followed by back titration with a standard base.

Figure 1 shows these results. It can be seen that the change in epoxy number is linear with advancement time, out to as long as 165 minutes. We



いったときという

Figure 1. Epoxy Number as a Function of Time at 121C, 5208 (B-716) previously established an estimate of 5.6 percent reaction of the initial epoxy group content during the resin mixing and staging procedures. Since fully cured material would ideally have a zero epoxy number, we can calcualte the degree of advancement in moving from 5.6 percent to 24.0 percent reaction during the 165 minute exposure at 121C. It should be noted that resin gellation occurs after approximately 30 to 40 percent epoxy group consumption.

2.1.2.2 <u>High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Analysis</u>. High pressure liquid chromatrography using standard techniques provided consistently good results when the major epoxy peak was calculated on a cocunts per microgram injected basis. A linear relationship through the range employed in the 165 minutes at 121C exposure is displayed in Figure 2. The translation to degree of cure (advancement) of the resin was applicable only through the range investigated. This analysis measured only the decrease in a single reacting species, the major epoxy group.

Figure 3 shows the correlation between the two techniques, providing a straight line plot of the epoxy equivalent number and the major epoxy HPLC counts per sample.



المستحد والمحاصرة والمحالية والمحالية والمحالية والمحالية والمحالية والمحالية والمحالية والمحالية والمحالية وال

1.012.200

いちちちんなかなかなないとうち

Figure 3. Epoxy Number as a Function of Major Epoxy Content (HPLC), 5208 (B-716)

6

An HPLC analysis was also performed on the resin used in a spot viscosity aging study. Samples were taken at approximately 22 minute intervals during the aging at 120 and 135C. Table 2 shows the change in the major and minor epoxy and the hardener as a function of the aging study.

Sola Cartage

and the second sec

Figures 4 and 5 show overlays of actual HPLC data showing the maximum change in peak heights between normal advancement levels and after maximum aging of 135 and 86 minutes respectively at 120 and 135C. HPLC data for intermediate levels of advancement are shown in Figures 6 through 8. 2.1.2.3 <u>Infrared Analysis</u>. An infrared analysis was performed on the 5208 resin, batch 716 after exposure to different degrees of cure (various exposure times at 121C). The analysis was done on a Nicolet Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR), spectrometer, Model 7199.

The infrared region was scanned between 4,000 and 400 cm⁻¹. Figure 9, FTIR scan of the resin compares the aromatic absorption peaks at 1589 cm⁻¹ and the epoxy absorption peak at 886 cm⁻¹. A plot of the ratios of these absorption peaks versus time of exposure at 121C, Figure 10, indicates that a considerable

Sample	Age Temp, *C	Age Time, Himutes	X Reaction* Predicted	RDR Major Epoxy	ZCheg.	RDR Minor Epoxy	I Chng.	HDR Hardener	X Chng.
Aging Blank	-	-	0	70.6	-	6.5 3		18,48	-
A-1	170	50	3.87	65.5	-7.2	5.30	-18.8	15.24	-17.5
A-2	120	100	7.74	54.3	-23.1	4.97	-23.9	30.87	-41.2
A-3	120	135	10.46	49.8	-29.5	4.92	-24.4	8.60	-53.5
A-4	120	150	11.62	47.8	-32.3	4.91	-24.8	7.19	-61.1
8-1	135	22. 1	3.87	64.3	-8.9	5.25	-19.6	15.74	-14.8
8- 2	135	44.2	7.75	57.0	-19.3	4.90	-25.0	11.31	-38.8
1-3	135	66.7	11.63	45.1	-36.1	4.79	-26.6	6.84	-63.0
8 -4	135	85.7	15.0	40.3	-42.9	4,40	-32.6	4.33	~76.6
		*Predicted b	y in (Bate) -	19 6 - 870	6/T				

Table 2. HPLC Analysis Results of ControlledTemperature Aging Samples

REVERSE-PHASE HPLC - ACETOHITRILE/WATER GRADIENT MOBILE PHASE





と言うというと言語

ķ



the star day she a soil

27 27

1.6.11

ALL DOLLARS

▋▋₩<u>₹</u>ſ₩<u>∁</u>₩<u>∁</u>₩<u>∁</u>₩<u></u><u></u>Ţ₩<u></u>Ţ₩<u></u>Ţ₩<u>₹</u>₩<u>₹</u>₩<u>₹</u>₩<u>₹</u>₩

يد هي **ده کا درک**

REVERSE PHASE HPLC - ACETONITRILE/WATER GRADIENT MOBILE PHASE







「おおお」「「「ない」」というない」」「「「ない」」」というない」

11









Figure 10. Infrared Analysis of Advancing 5208 (B-716) at 250F

13

amount of scatter in data points exists. This method does not appear to be an accurate procedure for determination of the degree of advancement for the 5208 resin.

a standar a

Figure 9 clearly illustrated the absorption bands utilized in the analysis of the FTIR spectrum taken at a zero resin time (no advancement). The epoxy peak at 886 cm⁻¹ is quite small and with staging, near resin gel, would only decrease by about 20 percent.

Therefore, small changes of peak intensity are difficult to observe and measure with accuracy. Another procedure investigated was the acquisition of absorption data in the near infrared. This method, however, was not as accurate as the HPLC or titration technique and was not used.

2.1.2.4 <u>Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DCS) Analysis</u>. A substantial amount of DSC work was done at relatively high scan rates (e.g., 5 to 20 C/min). The total quantity of heat given off during cure is one of the important measurements for our purposes since the extent of cure is an inverse function of the residual cure exotherm. A precision of about ± 8 percent (135 ± 12 mcal/g) has been experienced in determination of total heat of reaction for 5208 resins at scan rates of 5C/minute or greater.

Straight line relationships are obtained between the logarithm of the scan rate and the reciprocal temperature for such features as peak exotherm initiation, and final temperatures of the cure exotherm.

The fit of the Arrhenius plot implies a correspondence between measurements made at the various scan rates. However, there is probably no change in the mechanism of the measured reaction regardless of the temperature region where the measurements are taken.

A DSC scan was run on MY..720 (the tetraglycidylether of methylene dianiline, the major epoxy constituent of most 177C (350F) epoxy resin systems) alone.

The amount of overlap between "cure" of MY-720 alone and 5208 was compared. Figure 11 shows the CSC results at 10C/minute. The same mateials run at 2C/ minute are shown in Figure 12, where it can be seen that the cure of the formulated resin is well beyond half completed before the MY-720 begins to exotherm appreciably, around 225C. The implication is that scans run at higher rates may not reflect actual residual cure exotherm but may be skewed toward homopolymerization or decomposition of the MY-720 resin.

このないで、10日間には、10日間に、10日間には、10日間に、10日

A study of DSC response to scan rate included 1, 2, 5 and 10C/min scan rates. While the values for residual heats of reaction appeared significantly higher (~ 145 to 155 mcal/g) for the slower ecan rates, the scatter in repetitive runs is no better than normal scan rates (5 and 10C/min). A precision of only about 6 to 8 percent of the average value was not high enough to consider DSC as a sensitive measurement method for determining the extent of cure of the baseline resin.

2.1.2.5 <u>Rheometric Viscosity Studies</u>. Rheometric viscosity profiles of 5208 resin were completed for a number of different processing conditions. Viscosity measurements were taken throughout a process range which simulated different possible cure cycles for the 5208/T300 material. Heat-up rates were varied between 1C and 5C/min (1.8 and 11F/min) with hold times at temperatures which varied between 100 and 140 minutes. Changes in viscosity as a function of holding at four different temperatures, 88, 127, 135 and 143C (190, 260, 275, and 290F), were recorded.

Table 3 lists the test variables used in the Rheometric viscoelastic analysis. The analyses were performed on neat resin batch 716.

Figure 13 shows a typical plot of the viscosity change as a function of the heat-up rate, hold times, and hold temperatures. Figure 14 shows a



TEMPERATURE. 'C (CHRCN.

Figure 11. DSC Scan of 4208 and MY-720 at 10C/Min Heat-Up



and the second and the second

Figure 12. DSC Scan of 5208 and MY-720 at 2.0C/Min Heat-up



Contraction of the second

「「「「「「「「「」」」」」

1 - P

Oynamic Viscosity, poise







18




comparison of viscosity plots from different heat-up rates of 1.0, 2.0, and 4.0C/minute (1.8, 3.6, and 7.2F/minute).

One significant point was observed from all of the viscosity plots (with the exception of AC-19). There was essentially no difference between the plots in viscosity level during the ramp heat-up to 88C or to 127C, 135C, or 193C (190F or 260, 275, or 290F) regardless of the heat-up rate. With all plots, the viscosity at the 88C (190F) hold is approximately 250 cps, and 200 to 300 cps at the initial portion of the higher hold temperatures. This indicated, in conjuction with the kinetics data which are discussed in more detail later, that the viscosity of the resin at the lower hold temperatures was a function of the temperature of the material and not the heat-up rate employed to get to the hold temperature. This was valid because of the very slow rate of polymerization (advancement) of the resin at the lower hold temperature. Viscosity plots of all of the processing variables shown in Table 3 are presented in the Appendix of this report as Figures A-1 through A-13.

2.1.2.6 <u>Ambient to 52C (125F) Resin Viscosity</u>. The precompaction or consolidation studies discovered that a prepreg lay-up or stack can be consolidated to a void-free condition with the application of moderate heat and adequate pressure. These results are discussed in more detail in the processing section of this report.

It became obvious, therefore, that the resin viscosity of typical 177C (350F) curing epoxy resin systems controlled, to a large degree, the facility or ease of the consolidation of the prepreg stack at this early stage of laminate curing.

Figure 15 shows the dynamic viscosity of the three different resin systems, 5208, 3502, and 976 at 52C (125F) and an extrapolation of viscosity back to 24C (75F). The 5208 resin system demonstrates a 2 to 4 times higher dynamic viscosity at both 24C (75F) and 52C (125F) than the 3502 and 976 resin systems. Additional viscosity work performed by Frances Abrams at the AFML laboratories

f 1		Pro	Processing Conditions		
Spec. ID	Type of Specimen	Heatup Rates °C/Min	Hold Temperatures °C	Hold Times (Min)	
AC1	Neat Resin	2	88 & 127	30 & 100	
AC2	Neat Resin	2	88 & 127	30 & 140	
AC3	Neat Rasin	2	88 & 135	30 & 100	
AC4	Neat Resin	2	88 & 135	30 & 140	
AC6	Neat Resin	2	88 & 143	30 & 140	
AC7	Neat Resin	1	88 & 127	30 & 100	
AC8	Neat Resin	1	88 & 127	30 & 140	
AC9	Neat Resin	1	88 & 135	30 & 100	
AC11	Neat Resin	1	88 & 143	30 & 100	
AC13	Neat Resin	2	88 &	30 & 100	
1		1	135		
AC14	Neat Resin	2 - 1	107 & 135	8 & 105	
AC18	Neat Resin	4	79 & 135	30 & 100	
AC19	Neat Resin	6	135	No hold	

Table 3. Rheometric Viscosity Specimens for Evaluating Aerospace Cycle Variations

confirms (Figure 16) the extrapolated 976 viscosity data at 24C (75F).

In both types of testing, tack and ambient viscosity, the 5208 resin system and prepreg demonstrated between 2 to 6 times higher test values. Obviously, both viscosity of resin and degree of tack could play a significant part in the quality of an initial laminate compaction. 2.1.2.7 <u>Resin Kinetics Study of Batch 716</u>. The purpose of this study was to determine the kinetics of cure associated with the 5208 resin and to correlate the actual degree of polymerization to the rheological (viscoelastic) properties. The objectives were to predict the rate of reaction at any given temperature and time and to quantify the relationship between the degree of



र्गर, ग

1 **- 1** -

(High viscosity at lower temperatures, where initial vacuum compaction takes place, poses the question of how well ply drop-off areas are tilled with prepreg or resin)

12.1.

Significant difference in viscosity noted between epoxy systems at 25th & 50°.

Rafage Sainta and and





Figure 16. Viscosity vs Temperature, 976 Resin-AFML Data

polymerization and other parameters, such as dynamic viscosity, HPLC reaction peak data, and epoxy equivalent weight measurements.

The instrument employed for the kinetic study was the Acceleration Rate Calorimeter (ARC). The ARC is an instrument whose primary function is to maintain a resin sample in an adiabatic condition and permit it to undergo an exothermic reaction due to self heating, while recording the time/temperature relationships of the thermal cycle. The ARC consists of a sample container with an attached thermocouple suspended within a larger container which has embedded thermocouples and heating elements. The entire apparatus is controlled by a computer which constantly monitors the sample and jacket temperatures, and adds heat to the jacket whenever it lags behind the sample, thus maintaining a very close approximation to true adiabaticity. Data taken during the ARC run provides the following information:

1. adiabatic temperature rise,

1.20

A state and a state of

2. temperature of maximum reaction rate, and

3. self-heat rate at any temperature for the experimental system.

Although these data are taken from an adiabatic experiment, most are directly applicable to isothermal or ramped temperature systems because they describe instantaneous kinetic parameters.

The main thrust of the kinetic experimentation is threefold:

1. Adiabatic self-heat reactions

2. Isothermal holds

3. Viscosity correlation

Samples of 5208 resin, approximately seven grams each, were step-heated in the ARC until it detected an exothermic reaction. They were then allowed to react to completion while the ARC maintained adiabatic conditions. This test was done for 5208 Batch 716 at normal advancement (Figure 17)



Ń

and the second second

CLALLE L'AUTRATIA L'ALLAL ALLAL ALLAL ALLAL ALLAL ALLAL

Figure 17. Chemical Conversion Rate vs Temperature, 5208 Batch 716 -Normal Advancement Material

and material advanced approximately 15 percent (85 percent epoxy groups unreacted, Figure 18). The data from Figure 18 was replotted as a graph of percent reaction rate versus 1/T degree K, shown in Figure 19.

It appeared from these experiments that advancement does not affect reaction rate at this level (less than approximately 20 percent reacted), since Figures 17 and 18 were essentially identical. This greatly simplified the description of the cure kinetics since the reaction rate was only a function of the temperature at the advancement levels found throughout the processing range of 5208 prepregs. A preliminary equation for rate of reactions as a function of absolute temperature has been derived by regression analysis of the data in Figure 19. It is as follows:

in (Rate) = 19.6 - 8708/T

where rate is expressed at percent total reaction/minute and T is in degrees Kelvin.

In another experiment, 10g samples of 5208 resin were held isothermally in the ARC at various temperatures for various lengths of time. The resin was spot sampled for viscosity and epoxide content, and in some cases the remainder of the resin (approximately 7 grams) was allowed to react to completion in the ARC apparatus.

The samples from the isothermal hold described above were analyzed for viscosity after aging at 120C (248F) and 135C (275F) and plots were made of the data. Figures 20 and 21 are plots showing the viscosity of the 5208 resin as a function of the percent of resin advancement at various isothermal temperatures. Viscosities were determined over 10C increases from 71 to 138C and correlated to the degree of resin advancement. The percent of resin advancement equals a little more than 50 percent of the possible total reaction of epoxide groups.



A.



5208 Batch 716 - Normal Advancement Material

Party and a second second

いいたも

ž

していたが、たまで

人的語言者語言的語言

Association of Association





and the second se

* . .



Figure 19. Chemical Conversion Rate vs Temperature, 5208 Bctch 716 - Aged 235 Minutes at 120°C

ماكا كمارة كما تحارية المالية المالية والمالية والمناه المناه المنابية والمالية والمناكرية المنالية والمناطية ا

re le company



Advancement, & Rx *

* Calculated by in Rate = 19.6 - 8708/T

Figure 20. 5208, Batch 716, Aged at 120C Instantaneous Viscosity Versus Advancement as a Function of Temperature



* Calculated by in Rate = 19.6 - 8708/T

Figure 21. 5208, Batch 716, Aged at 135C, Instantaneous Vistósity Versus Advancement as a Function of Temperature Some changes in viscosity levels were noted between the two aged specimens, Figures 22 and 23. The rate of viscosity increase at the higher temperature (135C) shows much higher viscosities for similar aging times. Aging time at 120C was 150 minutes and the aging time at 135C was 90 minutes to achieve the same viscosity (advancement level). Examination of these two plots revealed a significant increase in resin viscosity during aging at the elevated temperature.

2.2 LAMINATE FABRICATION

1

2.2.1 <u>BASELINE LAMINATE FABRICATION</u>. During the first portion of the program a total of 23 flat laminates were fabricated from prepreg batch 716; of those 23, 13 were 32 ply, seven were 64 ply, two were 96 ply, and one was a 16 ply laminate. All were pseudoisotropic with the exception of the 16 ply and one 32 ply laminate, both of which were unidirectional laminates. The layup sequence for all of the remaining laminates was $(0, +45, 90, -45)_{\rm gN}$.

Three different cure cycles were used for final consolidation and curing of these laminates. The cure cycles differed only with the length of dwell at 135C (275F) prior to application of pressure. The basic cure cycle was: application of vacuum, heat at 2C (3.6F)/minute to 135C (275F) hold for 15 minutes for cure cycle 3, 60 minutes for cure cycle 1 and 90 minutes for cure cycle 2; apply 0.586 MPa (85 psi) and hold for 105 minutes; heat at 1C (2F)/minute to 180C (355F) and hold for two hours. The laminates were then cooled under pressure and vacuum to 52C (125F) before removal from the autoclave. Figure 24 shows the relative viscosity of the resin at the time of pressure application for laminates PP-18, PP-5 and PP-13-2 at the three different hold times at 135C (275F). All three 0.305 m by 0.305 m (1 ft by 1 ft), 32 ply laminates were of excellent quality and represented laminates where pressure application varied within a 75 minute time frame.

30



−3,•().

SR 5208, Batch 716 Viscosity at Various Temperatures

a trait a fill a first and that and the second s



FIGURE 22.

31

Viscosity, poise

State of the second

and the second se



SR 5208, Batch 716 Viscosity at Various Temperatures vs. Age Time at 135°C

A the of we the sheet of



FIGURE 23.

32



a Real and the state of the strate of the state of the st

Ņ.

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.

5.00.1



33

The desired cure cycle was fed into a programmable controller connected to the autoclave thus assuring identical heatup rates and cure cycles for all of the laminates.

Table 4 lists the 23 laminates fabricated. In addition, the laminate weights, thicknesses, densities, fiber volumes, resin contents, and void volumes are indicated. The C-scan results are also shown along with observations of the inspection of micropolished sections of the laminates.

「「「「「「「「「」」」」

The original baseline laminate geometry proposed for the program was 0.305 m by 0.305 m (1 ft by 1 ft) by 32 plies. A number of these lamiantes were cured using the three different cure cycles and all of the laminates were of high quality regardless of the length of hold at 135C (275F) prior to application of pressure. As shown in Figure 21, the resin viscosity at the three different pressure application points was quite different, ranging from 280 poise after 15 minutes at 135C (275F) to almost 18,000 poise after 75 minutes at 135C (275F).

This data indicated that for this particular laminate configuration there is a time window of approximately 75 minutes where pressure can be applied, and a high quality laminate will result if pressure was applied at any time within that 75 minute hold period.

Thanker Laminates of the same ply configuration as the 32-ply laminate were also premote in an accempt to produce an unacceptable, void containing laminate; four 64 - and two %6-ply laminates, 0.305 m by 0.305 m (1 ft by 1 ft), were fabricated

There :hicker lamiantes, however, were of a high quality yielding good Cscans and micropolished sections.

Laminates identified in Table 4 as PP-21 and PP-28 were both thicker laminates being 64 and 52 plies, respectively. The major difference between these two and the prior 64-ply laminates is that both PP-21 and PP-28 were 0.61

Table 4. Processing Science of Epoxy Resin Composites Laminate Test Data

IINATE	PLYS	WEIGHT , 8		KNESS	DENSITY	F V 7						·
			5	-N.	g/ cm 3			****	C-SCAN	MICEO POLISH	CURE	CONPRENTS
	32p	(1,099.7) 628.4	0.421 ±0.005	0.166 ±0.002	1.588	66.3	26.7	0.2	Good	Void Free		
	32p	631.5	0.427 ±0.005	0.158 ±0.002	1.538	66.2	26.8	0.2	Good	Void Free		
	32p	(1,082) 618	0.419 ±0.0025	0.165 ±0.001	1.597	68.0	25.2	0.2	Good	Void Free		
	32и	621.8	0.414 ±0.013	0.163 ±0.005	1.598	67.2	25.9	0	Good			
	16u.	542.6	0.211 ±0.0025	0.083 ±0.001	1.595	67.1	26.0	0			-	0.305 × 0.305
	64p	1,266	0.853 ±0.015	0.336 ±0.006	1.588	66.3	26.7	0.2	Good			(1 ft x 1 ft)
	96p	1,927.7	1.303 ±0.023	0.513 ±0.009	1.580	66.6	26.4	0.8	Possible Voide	Minor Voids	н	Acceptable Gradient
7	32p	633.6	0.429 ±0.005	0.169 ±0.002	1.591	66.5	26.5	0	Good		n	
	64p	1,237.4	0.838 ±0.010	0.330 ±0.004	1.593	67.7	25.5	0	Good	Void Free	'n	
	96p	1,926.2	1.300 ±0.030	0.512 ±0.012	1.585				Possible Voide	Minor Voids	m	Acceptable Sradient
*	32p	628.5	0.424 ±0.008	0.167 ±0.003	1.589	65.8	27.1	0	Good		m	Pressure 60 min. into
*	92£	611.0	0.409 ±0.005	0.161 ±0.002	1.602	69.0	24.3	0	Good		n	135C (275F) hold. Pressure 15 min. into
<u> </u>	64p	1,257	0.838 ±0.010	0.330 ±0.004	1.590	68.1	25.1	0.6	-			135C (275F) hold. No caul plate, but in
	64p	1,253	0.851 ±0.008	0.335 ±0.003	1.591	67.5	25.6	0	Good	Void Free	~	same location No caul plate,
~	32p	629.6	0.424 ±0.005	0.167 ±0.002	1.590	66.3	26.7	0	Good		2	staggered butts Late pressure
	64p	5,095	0.858	0.338	1,579	62.9	27.7	0.8	Bad	Major Voida	(j m	30 minutes late 0.61 x 0.61 m (2 ft x
	32p	624.2	0.424 ±0.013	0.167 ±0.005	1.591	67.1	26.0	0.3	Good		ñ	2 ft) Laminate 1 ply bleeder
	32p	<u></u>							pooj	Void Free		
	64b								Good	Void Free		
	dZF								Good	Void Free	m	
	47 12								Good	Void Free	m	
							·		bood	Void Free	ę	0.305 x 0.305 m (1 ft x 1 ft) Leminate
	64p						<u> </u>		bad .	Major voids	er)	rt worth reject. 0.610 x 0.610 m
-												(2 ft x 2 ft) laminate Ft Worth reject.

35

WALC.

m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) in size. Both laminates were determined to be unacceptable both from C-scan and microsection analysis.

والمراجع المحاربة والمحاربة والمحاربة والمحاربة والمحاربة والمحاربة والمحاربة والمحاربة والمحاربة والمحاربة

- han in the fatter fatter

A portion of a C-scan of PP-21, as shown in Figure 25, is representative of both halves of the laminate, and reveals relatively large void areas located generally in the center area of the 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) laminates. There was a 0.15 m (6 in) periphery around each laminate which appeared to be relatively void free.

Sections were removed from those areas identified as containing voids, and were polished for micro analysis. Examination of those sections revealed two interesting facts; (1) the voids occurred only between plies, never at a fiber resin interface or within a ply, and (2) voids occurred only between the 10th and 25th plies of the 64 ply laminate. The first ply is identified as being closest to the tool surface.

Laminate PP-27, a 0.305 m by 0.305 m (1 ft by 1 ft) 32-ply panel was cured under the same vacuum bag as PP-28 (an unacceptable laminate) and was determined to be of high quality.

It is quite evident from this laminate study that there is a very definite effect of laminate geometry, particularly laminate area rather than thickness, on the resulting laminate quality.

2.2.2 LARGE LAMINATE FABRICATION. The fabrication and evaluation of larger, 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) by 64-ply laminates was initiated to discern and evaluate incoming prepreg. The evaluation to date has included six large laminates. One laminate was made from prepreg that had been dried for six days at room temperature in an environmental chamber containing phosphorous pentoxide (a desicant); the second laminate was prepared from deaerated prepreg; the third laminate had a split bleeder/breather system for cure; the fourth laminate was cured vertically in the autoclave; and the fifth and sixth laminates were fabricated using 1076E and 3402-AS prepregs, respectively, for baseline laminate evaluation.

36

a state way that the first



Figure 25. A Section of a Horizontally Cured Thick Laminate C-Scan

2.2.2.1 <u>Dried Prepreg Laminate.</u> Prepreg from batch 716 was laid up in 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) individual plies each separated by a ply of porous Armalon and fiberglass cloth. The separated individual plies were placed in an environmental chamber and dried in the presence of phosphorous pentoxide for six days at room temperature to remove any absorbed water. Volatile content of the prepreg prior to environmental exposure was 0.36 percent by weight, and after exposure 0.05 percent by weight. Volatiles were determined both by weight loss from heating at 177C and the Karl Fischer titration. Slight variations in volatile content between the two methods indicated that perhaps some low molecular weight resin products are being flashed off at 177C (350F), since water content was the only value determined during the Karl Fischer titration. Typically, the titration technique showed slightly lower volatile contents than the 177C (350F) heating determination.

and a fair of a fair and a fair a second a fair of the fair of the

The dried prepreg retained an acceptable level of tack during the drying exposure. The prepreg was laid up into a 64-ply 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) laminate and bagged and cured identically to other thick laminates produced on this program. The cure cycle used was the General Dynamics Fort Worth Division cure cycle, cure cycle #3, where the laminate was heated at 2C (3.6F)/minute to 125C (275F) and held 15 minutes prior to application of 0.586 MPa (85 psi) pressure.

The resulting cured laminate was of excellent quality as verified by both laminate C-scan and examination of a micropolished section.

2.2.2.2 <u>Deaerated prepreg laminate</u>. The second laminate was prepared from batch 1452, a special prepreg prepared by Narmco Corporation and processed by them in such a way that the resin was deaerated before processing. Volatile contents were determined on the material and found to be 0.35 percent by weight. A 64ply 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) laminate was cured identically to other laminates on the program using cure cycle #3. Here again, an excellent

laminate was produced.

Contraction of

2.2.2.3 <u>Split Bleeder Laminate</u>. The third laminate, (from prepreg batch 1809), was also a 64-ply 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) laminate and was cured identically to the first two laminates. The only change was in the bleeder arrangement. A split bleeder was employed, three plies of 181 fiberglass on the top and bottom of the layup, rather than the typical six plies of 181 fiberglass on the top and bottom of the layup, rather than the typical six plies of 181 fiberglass on the top surface which was used throughout the remainder of the program. C-scans of this laminate indicated a good laminate with no apparent voids or delaminated areas.

The high quality of these three lamiantes produced from differently treated prepregs or bleeder systems strongly suggest that if either a large quantity of entrapped air or water was removed from the prepreg prior to layup and cure or an additional breather bleeder path was provided that essentially void free laminates would be the result.

2.2.2.4 <u>Vertically Cured Laminate</u>. As a part of the large laminate evaluation, a 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) pseudoiostropic laminate, 56 plies thick was laid up from the original batch of prepreg (716) and cured according to the Fort Worth Division's cure cycle. The only variation in the cure from the baseline 64-ply laminates was that the laminate was cured vertically in the autoclave. The decision to cure a laminate in this position was based in part on some of the preliminary resin migration studies which indicated a majority of the resin movement within a laminate is laterally along the fiber length.

Assuming this to be correct, a laminate placed vertically in an autoclave would allow entrapped air and moisture to be removed with greater ease than a laminate cured horizontally, which takes advantage of natural bouyancy effects.

Figure 26 is a reduction of a C-scan of PP-21, a 64-ply 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) laminate cured horizontally in the autoclave. Figure 27 is a

reduction of a C-scan of the 56-ply laminate cured vertically in the autoclave. Both laminates were cured from the same batch of prepreg using the same cure cycle, the only difference was the vertical or horizontal position of the laminate.

A significant difference between the two C-scans was quite obvious. Although the vertically cured laminate does have one small void area, it certainly was an improvement over the horizontally cured laminate.

A second batch of prepreg (1809) was manufactured from the same base resin and catalyst batches used to produce the first prepreg lot 716. Two psuedoisotropic laminates 9.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) by 56 plies were fabricated from this second batch of prepreg.

Both laminates were cured at the same time in the autoclave, one in a vertical position and the other was horizontal.

Both laminates had excellent C-scans with no indications of voids in either laminate. The results of these laminates and C-scans then prompted an investigation of the new batch of prepreg to determine the reason for fabricating good void-free laminates when the previous batch produced a bad, void-filled 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) laminate.

As discussed in Sections 2.5.1 and 2.8, the suspected culprit appears to be the method used by the prepreg manufacturer to add the diaminodiphenylsulfone (DADS) catalyst to the resin. Narmco apparently melted the catalyst at a temperature range of 193C (380F) to 227C (440F) prior to blending with the major and minor epoxies which were maintained at a temperature of 71C (160F) to 85C (185F). This technique may cause the partial resolidification (recrystallization) of the catalyst.

We have shown that this method produces a material/structure which causes the water to become more tightly bound to the catalyst. The total removal of

40

that the state where the data to be to be the the



Figure 26. A Section of a Horizontally Cured Thick Laminate C-Scan



19 N.

1.00

Figure 27. C-Scan of Vertically Cured Thick Laminate

CONVERSE OF

water associated with recrystallized catalyst does not occur until temperatures approach 138C (280F). The melting point of pure DADS was found to be 175-177C (347-351F).

The apparent differences between the new and old prepreg lots was the absence of large catalyst crystals on the prepreg surface which were present on the first batch of prepreg which yielded the void-filled thick laminates.

2.3 DIFFUSIVITY AND SOLUBILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF DIFFERENT ADVANCEMENT LEVELS OF 5208 RESIN

Critical to the mechanical void stabilization model was the data on the diffusion rates of moisture vapor into the prepreg at various states of cure. Also critical to the model was data on the solubility of moisture vapor in the material. These critical physical characteristics are based on the statistical evidence linking laminate voids with pre-cure exposure of prepreg to high humidity environments.

Diffusion of moisture into polymeric materials follows Fick's law of diffusion. For one-dimensional diffusion, the general equation is

$$\partial c/\partial t = D\partial^2 c/\partial x^2 \tag{1}$$

where c = concentration

t = time

and the second sec

State and the second

- D = diffusion coefficient
- x = distance

The solution to this differential equation yields the following relationship for a flat plate absorbing vapor through both faces

$$F = (4/k) (Dt/m)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2)

where F = fraction of equilibrium moisture content

g = plate thickness, cm

 $D = diffusion coefficient, cm^2/sec$

t = exposure time, sec

The form of this solution shows that moisture content change in proportion to the square root of exposure time. for a given exposure time absorption is inversely proportional to plate thickness.

Called Called

Increasing the exposure temperature while maintaining a constant value of relative humidity accelerates bulk moisture absorption. With continued exposure an equilibrium moisture content is reached that is independent of the exposure temperature. The equilibrium moisture is determined by the relative humidity.

The overall diffusion coefficient, D, can be expressed to show the temperature dependence of diffusion

$$D = D_0 \exp(-E/RT)$$
(3)

where $D_0 = permeability$ index, cm^2/S

and the second second and the second s

- E = activation energy for diffusion, J/gm
- R = universal gas constant = 8.315 J/gm-K
- T = exposure temperature, K

To obtain the diffusion coefficients and solubility data, experiments were performed which involved measurements of the weight changes of staged material at various advancement levels when exposed to different hygrothermal environments.

Six different levels of prepreg advancement were selected for study, and these represent specific points along the baseline cure cycle. This cure cycle is shown in Figure 28 with the various advancement levels identified. Advancement level 1 (AL1) represented the initial state of the laid-up prepreg prior to starting cure. The most advanced material (AL6) was exposed to all of the cure cycle up to and including the first 15 minutes exposure to 177C (350F).

44

A CARLES AND A CARLES A CARLES AND A CARLES A



τ.

Figure 28. Baseline Cure Cycle With Specimen Advancement Levels

Prepreg specimens, 7.6 cm by 7.6 cm (3 in by 3 in), were subjected to the appropriate portion of the baseline cure cycle. Specimens for AL1 and AL2 were six ply, $(0/90/0)_{\rm S}$ layups. Because diffusion rates were expected to diminish with increasing advancement, specimens for advancement levels AL3 through AL6 were thinner 3 ply (0/90/0) layups. The application of the cure cycle segments representing AL2 through AL6 was accomplished using a computer controlled cavity press that simulated an autoclave environment. Figures 29 through 33 show the cure history of specimens at these respective advancement levels. The solid line in the cure cycle graph of each figure shows the intended cure cycle; the plotted points show the conditions actually experienced by the material. In each case, conformance to the intended cycle was excellent.

The various staged specimens were sampled and tested to determine resin content. As expected, the more advanced specimens which had experienced the 0.586 MPa (85 psi) pressure had lowered resin contents. Table 5 shows the individual and averaged resin contents for the various advancement levels. The table also lists the normalization factors (the ratio of resin content for a given advancement level to that for AL6) used to normalize all weight gain data with respect to the lowest resin content specimens.

The hydrothermal environments in which specimens were exposed consisted of three humidities at each of three temperatures. Relative humidities of 45 percent, 60 percent and 75 percent were provided in jars by saturated solutions of CrO₃, NaNO₂, and NaCl, respectively. Temperatures of 35C and 45C were obtained by placing jars into ovens and a temperature of about 25C was provided by laboratory ambient conditions. Specimens in each jar were supported and maintained in the humidified air space by Plexiglas racks.





Figure 29. Composite Panel Fabrication



and the second second



XING XING MANUAL CARDENCE SARDANCE STRUCK STRUCK

Figure 30. Composite Panel Fabrication

48



Figure 31. Composite Panel Fabrication

JOB NUMBER:	Prosci
MATERIAL:	T300/5208
BATCH/ROLL:	1721/1B
PANEL NO.:	101-125
PANEL TYPE:	(0/90/0)
CURE CYCLE:	AL-5
TEST CONDUCTOR:	JHF
TIME STARTED:	11:30





JOB NUMBER:	ProSci
MATERIAL:	T300/5208
BATCH/ROLL:	1721/1B
PANEL NO.:	126-150
PANEL TYPE:	(0/90/0)
CURE CYCLE:	AL-6
TEST CONDUCTOR:	JHF
time started:	10:0



Figure 33. Composite Panel Fabrication

Table 5. Resin Contents of Advancement Level Specimens

1.00 C

والمراجعة والمعاركة المعاركة

والموالية والمحاور والمتكوم والمتكاف أنشكك مستحد ومعاصرا والمحاصر والم	فالمجرافاتي والمحاور بسيد بالشاركة ومرزر التجريب مرايد متساليه بواسيان	A COLUMN A C	والمرجوب والمتعالية ومستقاد ومعرف والمعاجب والمرجوع فالمعاد والمحاجب والمحاجب والمحاجب والمحاجب	the second se	
Material	Sample	Z R.C.	Ave. R.C.	AL-1 AL-6	1=1. +6
				1	4
5208 AR 1721/1B	AL-1A	33.16	33 03 ·	1 9109	
5208 AR 1721/1B	AL-1B	34.57	33.87	1.3106	
5208 AR 1721/1B	AL-2A	29.33			
	AL-2B	29.40	29.40	1.1378	1
	AL-2C	29.49		ł	
	AL-2D	29.37			
5208 AR 1721/18	, AL3A	30.71			
	AL-3B ·	31.72		• •	[
	AL-3C	31.30	30.99	1.1993	
	AL-3D	30.70			
	AL-3E	30.53			
5208 AR 1721/1B	AL-4A	26.30			
	AL-4B	26.01			
	AL-4C	26.59	26.08	1.0093	
	AL-4D	26.20		·	
	AL-4E	25.30			
5208 AR 1721/1B	AL-5A	26.32			
	AL-5B	26.51			
	AL-5C	26.61	26.30	1.0178	
	AL-5D	26.48			
	AL-SE	25.57			
5208 AR 1721/1B	AL-6A	26.08		L.	
	AL-6B	26.09	[
	AL-6C	25.83	25.84	1.0	
	AL-6D	25.83	1		
	AL-6E	25.37			
	J		<u>`</u> _		

52

Ail of the specimens were sequentially tested for weight change. The weight gain data for the first series of specimens was found to be erratic. This was attributed to the design of the Plexiglas racks. The racks were modified to eliminate any potential source of error before starting an additional series of exposures.

Specimens from the first modified series of exposures were placed into desiccators at each of the three temperatures, and desorption rates were measured. The water desorption studies were necessary to establish the diffusion rate constants at the different resin advancement levels. These constants were then employed in the mathematical model to determine the residual water content at any point of a proscribed cure cycle. The weight loss data, normalized with respect to the resin content of AL6, has been plotted against the square root of the measurement times as is appropriate for diffusion phenomena. Figures 34 and 35 are typical of the plots produced from the normalized weight loss behavior of the six different advancement level specimens for each of the nine hygrothermal environments used to condition the specimens. The remaining plots of this data are shown as Figure A-14 through A-19 in the Appendix. These plots show that the water solubility in the prepreg before starting cure typically is less than that in the higher advancement level materials. As expected the increase in solubility with increasing advancement is magnified with increasing relative humidity. The solubility parameter may be only important in determining the amount of moisture in a laminate at the start of a cure. This amount of solubility should correlate with the maximum amount of moisture-induced voir's that could occur due to heterogeneous and homogeneous nucleating.

1. 2. 1. 1.



いいて、「あたいです」、 いたのである

のないです。これになってい

25 965 C - 45 # HUNIDITY

Figure 34. Weight Loss Behavior of Moisture Saturated 5208 Prepreg at 25C



Figure 35. Weight Loss Behavior of Moisture Saturated 5208 Prepreg at 35C

54

The weight loss data from this first series of specimens was then plotted in two other formats to suparate and help identify the effects of the temperature and humidity components of the nine hygrothermal environments. Figures A-20 through A-26 of the Appendix show drying of advancement level specimens ALI-AL6, respectively, following saturation in each of the three humidities when exposed at 25C. The results confirm that water solubility in the prepreg increases as the relative humidity increases. Figures A-27 through A-32 and Figures A-33 through A-38 of Appendix A shows similar plots for specimens originally saturated at temperatures of 35C and 45C, respectively. The other format of presentation involves a comparison of conditioning temperature effects on the drying of each advancement level specimen for each of the three humidity conditions. Figures A-39 through A-44 of the Appendix show drying of AL1-AL6, respectively, at each of the three temperatures following saturation in 45 percent relative humidity. This plotting format shows an apparent solubility increase with increasing temperature, however, as will be discussed shortly, this is in fact not the case. Figures A-45 through A-50 and A-51 through A-56 in the Appendix show similar plots for saturation in relative humidities of 60 percent and 75 percent, respectively.

1. 2. 2. 2. 2. A. C.

Data for the second series of specimens was presented in the form of normalized (with respect to resin content of AL6) weight gain versus square root of exposure time. These data were obtained using the improved specimen rack design and improved experimental controls. Figures A-57 through A-65 of the Appendix compare the weight gain behavior of the six differently advanced specimens in each of the nine hygrothermal environments.
Although the results were not completely consistent, ALl material's water solubility tended to be as low as or lower than that of the other materials. Again, two additional presentation formats are used to facilitate other comparisons. Figures A-66 through A-83 of the Appendix compare the effects of exposure humidity for each advancement level at each exposure temperature. These data, like those of the first series, showed that higher humidities cause higher moisture solubility. The data for the room temperature exposures seem better behaved, however, again highlighting the experimental sensitivity of these tests. Figures A-84 through A-101 of the Appendix compare the effects of temperature on the weight gain behavior of each advancement level specimen in each of the relative humidities. These data, like those of the first series of experiments, showed a significant temperature dependency of moisture solubility.

の方法を行うために

Similarly, a third series of specimens demonstrated the same anomalous temperature dependency. This apparent dependency became highly suspect and thus the relative humidities of each hygrothermal condition was measured using a Beckman humidistat. The spurious behavior of the 60 and 75 percent RH environmental chambers at 35 and 45C were verified to be attributable to unsaturated salt solutions. This of course resulted in significantly higher humidities than had been intended and for this reason these four hygrothermal conditions were not included in the moisture solubility characterization. While the NaNO₂ and NaCl solutions at 25C were actually 62 and 71 percent RH respectively the CrO₃ solutions at all three temperatures were indeed 45 percent RH.

Moisture solubility showed a trend to increase with both relative humidity and resin advancement. The resin advancement levels, designated All through AL6, represented prepreg taken to various advancement points along the cure cycle. Solubility of unheated prepreg in 45 percent relative

humidity was about 0.1 percent by weight, but for higher humidity and advancement this increased to about 0.5 percent. Table 6 contains the average solubility at the three temperature exposures at each humidity level, while Figure 36 demonstrates the relative behavior of solubility dependency on advancement and is compared with data found in the literature for postcured 5208/T300 material.

Specimens	452 RH (25, 35, & 45C)	62% RH (25C)	71% RH (25C)
AL1	0.1092	0.2174	0.2864
AL2	0.1179	0.2272	0.2857
AL3	0.1201	0.2504	0.3432
AL4	0.1225	0.2283	0.2883
AL5	0.1153	0.2086	0.2701
AL6	0.1335	0.2667	0.3604
			1

and an owned and on the of Horder	Table	6.	Solubilities,	Percent	by	Weight
-----------------------------------	-------	----	---------------	---------	----	--------



Figure 36. Solubility Dependencies

CHARLES CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE STATE

Data from the first series of tests were analyzed to determine material diffusion coefficients. The diffusion coefficients (D) were determined by extrapolating a sample's saturation level (M_{co}) and measuring the initial linear slope of the weight gain (loss) versus square root time (t) plot, utilizing equation 4.

$$M_{eo} \sqrt{D} = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4} (2\delta) \left(\frac{\partial [m(t)]}{\partial \sqrt{t}} \right)_{T=0}$$
(4)

to calculate the sample diffusivity D, where 2δ was the specimen thickness for two-sided exposure, m is the mass variable as a function of time, t.

Diffusion coefficients have been computed for the first specimen set and are shown in Table 7. Diffusivity for a given advancement level increased with temperature, as expected. For a given temperature, diffusivity decreased with increasing advancement level.

The quality of the data is naturally important. The experimental aspects of removing specimens from a hot/humid environment, weighing in a cooler/less-humid environment, and then re-establishing the original environment impose an opportunity for error. The effects of such errors could compromise the development of the overall solubility/diffusivity model. Therefore, to provide a more reliable means of spot checking the accuracy

Advancement	Diffus	ion Coefficient (10	4 cm ² /day)
Condition	25C	35C	45C
AL1	2.77	3.65	6.25
AL2	2.02	3.25	5.47
AL'3	0.988	1.88	3.45
AL4	1.055	1.96	4.25
AL5	0.762	1.55	2.76
AL6	0.762	1.68	. 299

Table 7. Diffusion Coefficients for T300/5208 in Various Subcured States

of the data, an additional test set-up was completed. This new set-up was useful for determining diffusivity at higher temperatures than 45C, where experimental difficulties would be even more pronounced with the current method. The new method involved the use of a digital microbalance interfaced with a microprocessor. The balance was mounted over an insulated environmental chamber containing a saturated salt solution. Heater tapes that are regulated by a temperature controller are wrapped around the chamber under the insulation, and they provide a constant, selectable temperature inside the chamber. A single specimen is then supported in the vapor space of the chamber by a hanger wire that passes through a small hole in the chamber lid and that is then hooked to the balance.

Once inside the chamber, the specimen's weight was continually recorded at intervals specified through the microprocessor. The specimen was never handled after placing it into the environment, eliminating the steps and conditions that introduce possible error.

Moisture diffusivity values for all 54 advancement/environment conditions are contained in Table 8. Because diffusivity is independent

		25°C			35°C			45°C	4
Specimens	45%	60%	75%	45%	607	752	45%	601	75%
AL-1	2.2276	2.0138 2.0718	1.9742	2.5080	2.3218 2.4680	2.5742	3.9598	3.4571 3.7542	3.8457
AL-2	2.0336	2.0249 1.9713	1.8554	2.0005	2.07 3 6 2.2064	2.5402	3.3648	2.9797 3.1490	3.1026
AL-3	1.0848	1.0933	1.0159	1.7951	1.5703 1.7004	1.7357	2.2798	1.8905 2.2092	2.4575
AL-4	1.0501	0.9581 1.0516	1.1466	1.3499	1.5798 1.6185	1.9257	2.3301	2.7410 2.7072	3.0504
AL-5	1.0647	0,8381 0,9800	1.0371	1.7119	1.37 36 1.4976	1.4073	2.1188	2.8386 2.4397	2.3617
AL-6	1.3574	0.9894 1.1331	1.0525	1.3794	1.5923 1.6158	1.8755	2.0386	2.6817 2.3756	2.4065

Table 8. Average Diffusivities, $cm^2/day \times 10^{-4}$ (Average of Three Specimens at Each Condition

of relative humidity, the values for the three humidities at each advancement/temperature condition have been averaged, with the average shown below the 60 percent R.H. value. Diffusivity values increased with temperature and decreased with prepreg advancement as demonstrated in Figure 37. This competing effect resulted in an increase in diffusivity of only about 15 percent in going from as-received prepreg at room temperature to the most advanced condition (AL6) at 45C.





60

Surface tension measurements of 5208 resin were conducted in a heatedstage goniometer at four temperatures ranging from 85C to 155C. Surface tension decreased from an initial value of 50 dynes/cm with increases in temperature and advancement. After 50 minutes at 155C the surface tension was only half of the starting value. Figure 38 is a graph of the data and contains the governing equation that describes the data. The solid-line curves on the graph represent the description given by this equation. 2.3.1 <u>MOISTURE DIFFUSION - MODELING AND ANALYSIS</u>. During the laminate processing tests, the thermal cycles for cure of the vertical tail skins were modified to provide time at a low temperature for moisture leveling and desorption. Since absorptivity and diffusion tests of prepreg in different cure states has been completed, an analysis was conducted to determine how effective such leveling or desorption might be.

Values of the pre-exponential term, D_0 , and of the activation energy for diffusion, E, were calculated for prepreg at advancement levels AL-3 and AL-5. These represent the cure states at the start of the 132C (270F) and 177C (350F) dwells, respectively. For AL-3 cure state $D_C = 1.25 \times 10^{-4}$ cm²/sec and E = 6870 cal/gm, and for AL-5 cure state $D_0 - 2.26 \times 10^{-3}$ cm²/sec and E = 8580 cal/gm. Using these values and an assumed uniform moisture content of 0.35 percent in a 75-ply laminate, finite difference diffusion analyses were performed to determine the drying rate at 132C (270F) assuming two-sided desorption.

Tables 9 and 10 show the moisture at one hour increments through 10 hours. These analyses clearly indicate that the diffusion rate of moisture out of the material is so slow that the center of a 75-ply laminate will not dry prior to resin gellation. In fact, the diffusion rate is so slow that the centerline of even a 20-ply-thick laminate would experience no



「「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」

1. 1.

FINITE DIFFERENCE ROUTINE FOR MOISTURE DISTRIBUTION

a franciska se state a state state a state state a state state state state state state state state state state

DO = 0.000138Q.CM/SEC	E/R = 6870.0	CAL/GM	A =	0.6000	PERCENT
S V = 4.85 PER INCH	COMBO	Т 270	RH	HRS	

TABLE 9

SPECIMEN DATA: 75-PLY LAMINATE IN CURE AL-3 STATE

e 3 - 216 -

10

Ķ

ģ

ST.

MODE NO./DISTANCE FROM SURFACE

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		0.00000	0.01289	0.02578	0.05156	0.0779	4 0.10313	0.15469	0.20625
					MOISTUR	e contei	T		
HR.	0	0.350	0.350	0.350 Average	0.350 Moisture	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 0 = 0	0.350).350
HR	1	0.000	0.321	0.350 AVERAGE	0.350 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 1 = 0	0.350).337
HR	2	0.000	0.297	0.348 AVERAGE	0.350 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 [·] END OF HR.	0.350 2 = 0	0.350 0.336
HR	3	0.000	0.277	0.346 Average	0.350 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 3 = 0	0.350).304
HR	4	0.000	0.259	0.342 Average	0.350 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 4 = 0	0.350).333
HR	5	0.000	0.245	0.338 Average	0.350 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 5 = 0	0.350).331
HR	6	0.000	0.232	0.333 Average	0.349 Moisture	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 6 = 0	0.350 .330
HR	7	0.000	0.221	0.328 AVERAGE	0.349 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 7 = 0	0.350 0.329
HR	8	0.000	0.212	0.322 Average	0.349 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 8 = 0	0.350 0.328
HR	9	0.000	0.204	0.317 Average	0.348 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 9 = 0	0.350 .327
HR	10	0.000	0.196	0.312 Average	0.348 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 10 = 0	0.350

63

				TAI	<u>BLE 1</u> 0				
		FI	NITE DIFF	ERENCE ROL	JTINE FOR	MOISTU	RE DISTRIBU	TION	
		DO = 0.	0022630.0	M/SEC E/	'R = 8580	.0 CAL/	GM A = 0.	6000 PERC	CENT
		s/V = 4	.85 PER I	NCH	COMB 1	0 3	t RH 50 0	HRS 1.0	
		SPEC	IMEN DATA	: 75-PLY	LAMINATE	IN CUR	E AL-5 STA	TE	
				-	MODE NO	/DISTAN	ce from sur	FACE	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		0.00000	0.01289	0.02578	0.05156	0.0773	4 0.10313	0.15469	0.20028
				MC	ISTURE C	ONTENT			
HR.	0	0.350	0.350	0.350 AVERAGE	0.350 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 0 = 0.	0.350 350
HR.	1	0.000	0.217	0.335 AVERAGE	0.350 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 1 = 0.	0.350 329
HR.	2	0.000	0.174	0.297 Average	0.347 MOISTURE	0.350 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 2 = 0.	0.350 320
HR.	3	0.000	<i>•</i> 0.151	0.266 AVERAGE	0.340 MOISTURE	0.349 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 3 = 0.	0.350 317
HR.	4	0.000	0.134	0.241 . Average	0.332 Moisture	0.340 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 4 = 0.	0 .350 313
HR.	5	0.000	0.121	0.221 AVERAGE	0.322 Moisture	0.346 AT THE	0.350 END OF HR.	0.350 5 = 0.	0.350 309
HR.	6	0.000	0.111	0.205 AVERAGE	0.312 MOISTURE	0.343 AT THE	0.349 END OF HR.	0.350 6 = 0.	0.350 305
HR.	7	0.000	0.103	0.192 AVERAGE	0.362 MOISTURE	0.340 AT THE	0.349 END OF HR.	0.350 7 = 0.	0.350 301
HR.	8	0.000	0.096	0.181 Average	0.293 MOISTURE	0.336 AT THE	0.048 END OF HR.	0.350 8 = 0.	0.350 298
HR.	9	0.000	0.090	0.171 AVERAGE	0.284 MOISTURE	0.332 AT THE	0.347 END OF HR.	0.350 9 = 0.	0.350 295
HR.	10	0.000	0.086	0.163 AVERAGE	0.276 MOISTURE	0.328 AT THE	0.346 END OF HR.	0.050 10 = 0.	0.050 273

لأربع الأرار ا

Ę,

Server 1 in

· · · · · · ·

drying after 8 hours at 132C (270F). Figure 39 shows a reduction of this data to graphic form.

This data strongly suggests that a major portion of the water normally associated with prepreg is actually being cured into the laminate regardless of the cure cycle or degassing techniques employed.

2.4 MODELING STUDY

and the second second

and the second of the

2.4.1 <u>MODEL DEVELOPMENT</u>. Models to describe the critical aspects of material behavior during cure were developed. Eleven models that fall into five categories were considered. All but two of these models dealt with the problem of voids or porosity in laminates. The remaining two models dealt with resin flow. The five model categories were (1) void formation, (2) void growth, (3) void dissolution, (4) void transport, and (5) resin flow.

The first priority in modeling was placed on describint the void forming process. The initial model for void formation was based on the concept of homogeneous nucleation of voids. This model considered void formation at any point within a laminate, which could occur by nucleation within the resin. This formation of homogeneous nuclei or discrete regions of a new phase requires both phase transformation and the formation of an interface between the two phases. The rate of homogeneous nucleation, I, is given by Equation 5.

$$I = \frac{p^{*}}{(2 \pi MkT)^{1/2}} 4\pi r^{*2} n \exp - \frac{\Delta F^{*}}{kT}$$
 (5)

where

P* = water vapor pressure
M = molecular weight, water
k = Boltzmann constant
T = absolute temperature
r* = radius of critical nucleus
n = number of molecules/unit volume, water
ΔF* = maximum in free energy



also

E States

$$\Delta F^{\star} = 16 \pi (\gamma_{g1})^{3} / 3 (\Delta F_{v})^{2}$$
(6)

where

 γ_{e1} = surface tension between liquid and vapor

 ΔF_{i} = free energy change per unit volume for the phase transition

Although homogeneous nucleation within the resin is a mechanism to be considered, heterogeneous nucleation is a more likely mechanism. For this case the vapor bubble would form on some solid substrate. Potential solid substrates include the graphite fibers, dust permuties or particles of DADS hardener present in uncured 5208 resin. For heterogeneous nucleation, the free energy term ΔF^* would be described by

$$\Delta F^{*} = \frac{16 \pi (\gamma_{SV})^{3} (2 + \cos \theta) (1 - \cos \theta)^{2}}{3 (\Delta F_{V})^{2}}$$
(7)

where θ is the contact angle for the vapor bubble on the solid substrate and where γ_{SV} is the solid-vapor surface tension.

For either of these cases, homogeneous or heterogeneous nucleation, voids would initially be very small and would typically be formed within the body of a given ply. Photomicrographic examination of many cured laminates shows no evidence of intraply voids. All cured laminate voids are found to occur at the ply-to-ply interfaces, and these are much larger than could conceivably form within the body of a ply. Any intraply voids, therefore, would have to be vertically transported at least to the first available interface where they might coalesce, forming a larger void. In considering such vertical void transport, one would expect that intraply voids would become trapped occasionally within the body of a ply. However, no such evidence of voids has been found. Furthermore, it is common to find voids at the interface of

two identically oriented plies. This discredits the concept that vertically transported voids become trapped at an interface because the "cylindrical" spaces between fibers in a ply are reduced at the interface to small, discrete parallelogramic spaces by fibers crossing at an angle. No mechanism has been conceived by which vertically transported voids could identify and collect at the interface of two identically oriented plies.

The physically observed interfacial nature of laminate voids suggests instead that voids are formed at the ply interfaces rather than being transported to them. The physical evidence also indicates that voids occur somewhat randomly. Therefore, some randomly occurring surface condition of the prepreg might be involved. This might be contamination, either by some chemical or some particulate. Erratic or spotty transfer of backing paper release agent to the prepreg surface would be an example of such contamination. For this case the heterogeneous nucleation model would discretely apply except that the contaminant vapor surface tension would be used in Equation 6 instead of the fiber-vapor surface tension. The low surface tension and easy "wetting" of release agent contamination would produce a low value of free energy F*. This would, in turn, produce a maximum nucleation rate, as indicated by the form of Equation 5.

Another mechanism by which interfacial voids might be created involves mechanical formation. This could result from air entrapment during layup, from bridging of fibers over a thin spot in the underlying ply or over surface particulates, or from wrinkling during layup. This mechanism would require either maintenance of the mechanical condition that caused the void, e.g., bridging, or void stabilization - perhaps by diffusion of water vapor into the air pocket with subsequent pressure buildup as temperature increases.

This void stabilization mechanism seems particularly plausible in light of other information. Specifically, the occurrence of voids has been shown to have a strong statistical correlation with high relative humidity during layup. Also, photomicrographic examiination typically show that voids occur in the interior of the laminate. This would correlate with a laminate losing moisture by diffusion where critical temperature-time conditions are reached before the moisture concentration gradient has been sufficiently diminished by the desorption process. A model to describe this process was developed and involved consideration of the rate of growth of the void due to diffusion of moisture vapor.

2.4.2 VOID GROWTH. There are two physical possibilities for which growth of a critical-size void may be examined. The first is the growth of a pure water vapor void by diffusion of water from the surrounding liquid resin into the gasecus water void; the accord involves the same diffusion process, except that the water enters a wold containing both air and water. Since we have already shown that whiter is the stabilizing factor in any sort of void growth, we till firs content ate on the growth of a pure water vapor void. In the treatment below, we assume that conditions are favorable for nucleation of a critical size void. For low molecular weight materials such as water, critical size nuclei are extremely small; and we may assume that the effective void diameter is essentially zero. The physical situation is shown in Figure 40. As the void grows, the boundary between the result and void moves. C_{∞} is the equilibrium water concentration in the bulk liquid resin and C_{sat} is the concentration in the prepreg as a result of its prior exposure to water vapor. C_{set} will depend on the water content in the resin and the temperature. The designation of "sat" or saturation indicates saturation in the void, not in the resin.



a hard a fear from the fear that a fear that a fear when the fear when the fear that the fear the fear the fear

Figure 40. Void Concept for Mathematical Model

2.4.3 MAJOR ASSUMPTIONS AND DATA INPUTS

a state and and

- The void is stagnant between two plies and its center is not moving with respect to fixed coordinates in the laminate.
- The void is spherical and its effective size is calculated based on an equivalent sphere.
- 3. There is no interaction between voids (no coalescence).
- 4. The void is considered to be in an infinite isotropic fluid medium.
- 5. Void nucleation is instantaneous at the reduced pressure levels in the vacuum bag.
- At any given time, the temperature and moisture concentration in the bulk resin are uniform.
- Fresh prepreg contains 32 percent weight resin. The resin contains 20 percent by weight of DADS.
- The moisture content of DADS is 2.92 percent by weight. (See Appendix C-1).

A simple parabola fits the solubility data reasonably well (see page 57).

a the stand of the stand of the stand of the stand of the stand

$$S_0 = 5.58 \times 10^{-5} (RH)^2 = 1.337 \left(\frac{P_{H_20}}{P_{H_20}}\right)^2$$
 (8)

 $S_o(\% Wt) =$ water solubility (percentage in fresh prepreg of advancement level one (AL1).

We should note here that the revised solubility data point of 1.5 percent weight at 100 percent RH cannot be fit with a parabola and requires a higher order polynomial. The test fit of the form bnⁿ utilizing the new data is

$$S_0 = 3.74 \times 10^{-7} (RH)^{3.25} = 1.18 \left(\frac{P_{H_{20}}}{P_{H_{20}}\star}\right)$$
 (8a)

However the fit of the data to this form is poor and one would have to use a polynomial with three or four terms to match all the data points well. The data accuracy does not warrant such an exercise.

 The diffusivity of water in the prepreg can be described by the data on pages 59 and 60.

 $D_0 = 0.105 e^{-2817/T}$ (9)

$$D_6 = 0.604 e^{-3508/T}$$
(9a)

where

- $D_0 (cm^2/hr)$ diffusivity in fresh prepreg $D_6 (cm^2/hr)$ - diffusivity in cured prepreg $T(^{\circ}K)$ - absolute temperature
- 11. The curing cycle is as follows:

0.00

- a. Apply vacuum (\sim -0.1 atm) and heat from room temperature at a rate of 2C/min to 135C (408K).
- b. Hold the temperature constant at -0.1 atm for
 - (1) 15 min (0.25 hr)
 - (2) 60 min (1 hr)
 - (3) 90 min (1.5 hr)
- c. Pressurize to 5.78 atm and hold for 105 min (1.75 hr).
- d. Keep pressure at 5.78 atm and heat at 1.1C/min to 179C (452K) and hold the temperature constant for 2 hours.

12. The various solid densities at room temperature are

resin - ρ_R - 1.22 gms/cc fibers - ρ_f - 1.72 gms/cc prepreg - ρ_ρ - 1.52 gms/cc

13. If we utilize the Clausius-Clapeyron equation (7)

$$\frac{dp_{H2O}}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H_V}{T (V_g - V_L)}$$
(10)

and assume that

a. ΔH_V , the heat of vaporization is constant,

b. the vapor phase behaves as an ideal gas,

the following dependence of vapor pressure on temperature is obtained

$$P_{\rm H_2O^{\star}} = \left(P_{\rm H_2O} \quad e \; \frac{\Delta H_{\rm V}}{RT_{\rm o}}\right) \; e^{-(\Delta H_{\rm V}/RT)} \tag{11}$$

where

 T_o (K) - boiling point of H₂O at 1 atm (373K) $P_{H_{2}O*}$ (atm) - water vapor pressure $P_{H_{2}O}$ (atm) - water vapor pressure at boiling point (1 atm) ΔH_V (cal/mol) - heat of vaporization (9720 cal/mol)

$$R\left(\frac{cal}{mole-K}\right) - ideal gas constant (1.987 ca/mole-K)$$

$$\therefore P_{H_2O*} = 4.962 \times 10^5 e^{-4892/T}$$
(12)

14. The diameter of a spherical bubble which grows by diffusion is described by Scriven, Chem. Eng. Sci., 10, 1 (1959).

$$d_{\rm B} = 4\beta \sqrt{\rm Dt}$$
(13)

The change of diameter per unit time (growth rate) is

$$\frac{dd_{B}}{dt} = \frac{2\beta D}{\sqrt{Dt}} = \frac{8\beta^{2} D}{d_{B}}$$
(14)

assuming that at $t = 0 d_B \cong 0$

dg = bubble diameter (cm)

t = time (hr)

D = diffusion coefficient (cm²/hr)

 β = constant given by the following equation

$$\frac{C_{\infty} - C_{\text{sat}}}{\rho_{\text{g}}} = 2\beta^3 e^{3\beta^2} \int_{\beta}^{\infty} x^{-2} e^{\left(-x^2 - \frac{2\beta^3}{x}\right)} dx \qquad (15)$$

ひととのある 御む クレイエン えた教室 シャンプリングス 脱光 行政

where

 C_∞ (gms/cc) - water concentration in the resin

C_{sat} -- water concentration at the bubble (void) -- resin interface (the void is assumed at saturation)

 ρ_g (gms/cc) - water vapor density in the bubble

15. The pressure inside and outside the bubble (void) are effectively equal until the resin viscosity becomes so high that viscous effects become important. As the resin proceeds toward solidification, the pressure in the void can rise significantly above the resin pressure.

$$\delta_{g} = \frac{M_{H_{2}0} \cdot P}{R \cdot T} + \left(\frac{d_{B_{0}}}{d_{B}}\right)^{3} \frac{P_{0} \cdot M_{air}}{R \cdot T_{0}} = \text{void gas density}$$
(15a)

 M_{H_2O} (gms/mol) = molecular weight of H_2O

P (atm) = total pressure in the resin

d_B (cm) = initial diameter of the pure air bubble

 $P_o(atm), T_o(k)$ = initial pressure and temperature in the resin

$$P_{H_20} = \left[1 - \frac{P_o \cdot T}{P \cdot T_o} \quad \left(\frac{d_{B_o}}{d_B}\right)^3\right] P$$
(16)

 P_{H_2O} (atm) = partial pressure of water

A check of the above assumptions shows it to be correct for bubble diameters greater than 100μ (10^{-2} cm).

16. The water concentration at the bubble (void surface) can be obtained from the measured solubility data

$$C = \left(\frac{gms \ H_20}{cc \ resin}\right) = \frac{S}{100} \left(\frac{gms \ H_20}{gm \ prepreg}\right) \times \frac{1}{0.32} \left(\frac{gm \ prepreg}{gm \ resin}\right) \times 1.22 \left(\frac{gms \ H_20}{cc \ resin}\right)$$
(17)

$$C = 3.819 \ \times 10^{-2} \ S = 2.13 \ \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{PH_20}{PH_20*}\right)^2$$

$$C = 2.13 \ \times 10^{-6} \ (RH)^2$$
(18)

$$c = 3.651 \times 10^{-14} e^{9784/T} (P_{H_20})^2$$
(19)

17. The interface concentration, called C_{sat} , (even though the air-water mixture is not saturated) is

$$C_{sat} \simeq 20.74 \times 10^{-14} e^{9784/T} P_{H_20}^2$$
 (20)

18. At each temperature, a pseudo-steady state is established with respect to

concentration profile.

2.4.4 <u>CALCULATION SUMMARY</u>. We will now summarize the calculations and results for two cases, prepreg initially equilibrated at 50 percent relative humidity and prepreg initially equilibrated at 100 percent relative humidity. We will follow the void volume changes through the GD processing cycle, at least until our assumptions are no longer valid, which is well into the high temperature hold.

2.4.4.1 <u>50 Percent RH initial Exposure of Prepreg</u>. From Equation 11, $C_{\infty} = 5.325 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gms/cc.}$ During the vacuum part of the cycle, $T = T_{\text{start}}$ + 2(60)(t) = 298 + 120t. Heating to 408K requires 0.92 hours. Thus, for the time period $0 \leq t \leq 0.92$, we have

$$D = 0.105 e^{-2817/(298+120t)}$$
 (from Equation 9) (21)

for

$$P = 0.1 \text{ atm, } C_{sat} = 8.651 \times 10^{-16} e^{9784/(298+120t)}$$
(22)

Calculation of C_{sat} at t = 0 (25C) yields $C_{sat} = 0.157$ gms/cc. However, C_{∞} , the resin concentration is only 5.325 x 10⁻³ gms/cc. Therefore, diffusion of water from the resin cannot occur at room temperature and a resin pressure of 0.1 atm. From Equation 20 it is clear that as the resin pressure decreases or the temperature increases, C_{sat} decreases. For our pressure of 0.1 atm, it is of interest to determine when C_{sat} will be equal to or less than C_{∞} for it is then that the diffusion process will cause the void to grow. Another way of looking at this situation is that bubble growth via diffusion cannot occur at room temperature and a resin pressure of 0.1 atm; in fact the void would tend to collapse via diffusion in the opposite direction. Now if $C_{sat} = C_{\infty}$ at t = t_c. Equation 22 yields

$$t_{0} = \frac{9874/120}{\ln (10^{16} C_{\infty} / 8.651)} - \frac{298}{120} = 0.285 \text{ hours}$$
(23)

Therefore, as the temperature is ramped up, only 17 minutes are required before the diffusional growth mechanism is activated. This corresponds to a temperature of 332K (59C).

بعكره معموم بحيطية بخطية بخطية بالمعام

As the temperature rises above 59C, the voids start to grow via diffusion. Table 11 summaries the values for the input parameters as the temperature increases to 408K (0.92 hours into the cycle).

Equation 14 must now be solved numerically. First, it is rewritten as

$$\frac{d(d_B^2)}{dt} = 16\beta^2 D$$
 (24)

at

$$t = t_0 = 0.285, d_B^2 = 0$$
 (25)

$$D = 0.105 e^{-2817/(298+120t)}$$
(26)

$$\beta^{2} = \frac{C_{\infty} - C_{\text{sat}}}{\rho_{g}} \sum_{\omega} \left[\frac{5.325 \times 10^{-3} - 8.651 \times 10^{-16} \, \text{e}^{9784/(298+120t)}}{18 \, (0.1)/(298+120t) \, 82.1} \right] (27)$$

$$d_B^2 = 16 \int_{t=0.285}^{t=0.92} \beta^2 Ddt = 16 \ (0.2674) = 4.279 \ cm^2$$
 (28)

Table 11. Input Parameters Needed for the
Solution of Equation 5

Parameter

t	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0. 92
t-t ₀	0.015	0.115	0.215	0.315	0.415	0.515	0.635
D	2.28x10 ⁻⁵	3.06x10 ⁻⁵	4.02×10 ⁻⁵	5.18x10 ⁻⁵	6.58x10 ⁻⁵	8.24x10 ⁻⁵	1.05x10 ⁻⁴
C _{set}	4.561x10 ⁻³	1.651x10 ⁻³	6.4x10 ⁻⁴	2.64x10 ⁻⁴	1.15x10 ⁻⁴	5.31x10 ⁻⁵	2.20x10-
Pg*	6.56x10 ⁻⁵	6.34x10 ⁻⁵	6.12x10 ⁻⁵	5.92x10 ⁻⁵	5.74×10 ⁻⁵	5.56x10 ^{~5}	5.37x10-4
$\frac{C_{w}-C_{sat}}{C_{g}}$	11.64	57 - 98	76.50	85.41	<u>\$0.</u> 78	94.74	98.75
T	334°K	346°K	358°K	370°K	382°K	3 94 " K	408°K

* Pg * 18 (0.1) 821 (298 + 1201) The integral was computed numerically using Simpson's Rule (TI 59 Master Library Program ML-09, page 29).

Thus, at the end of the first temperature ramp during vacuum, when 135C is reached, the bubble could be as large as

and the second second

$$d_{B_1} = 2.07 \text{ cm}$$
 (29)

Let us now check to see whether or not this is reasonable; i.e., is there enough water in the resin to create such a bubble? The amount of water is

$$n_V = V_B \rho_g = \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{d_{B1}}{2}\right)^3 \frac{(13)(0.1)}{(82.1)(408)} = 2.49 \times 10^{-4} \text{ gm/bubble}$$
 (30)

This is still an order of magnitude less than the amount of water contained per cc of resin, which is $C_{\infty} = 5.325 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gm/cc}$.

The use of Equations 13 and 14 is <u>strictly not correct</u> when C_{sat} , D, and T all vary with time. It is a very crude approximation of unknown accuracy, but we believe it represents an upper bound. The correct solution would involve the numerical solution of the original coupled partial differential equations [see Scriven, <u>Chem. Eng. Sci.</u>, 10, 1 (1959)], which cannot be reduced to an ordinary differential equation.

During the next part of the vacuum cycle, the temperature is held i constant at 408K. Therefore, D and β are constant (D = 1.06 x 10⁻⁴ cm²/hr, β = 98.75). However, Equations 13 and 14 can no longer be used because the initial bubble size is now non-zero. One of two plausible approximate solutions can be used. The first of these is due to Duda and Vrentas [AIChEJ, 15, 351 (1969)].

 $\left(\frac{d_{B_2}}{d_{B_1}}\right)^2 = 1 - 2N_a \left[x + 0.2 \sqrt{x/\pi}\right] + N_a \left[\frac{8}{3\pi} x^{3/2} + 2x + \frac{8}{\pi^{3/2}} x^{1/2} - 2I_1(x)\right] (31).$

where $I_1(x) = -0.68 \sqrt{x}$

The second solution is due to Weinberg and Subramanian (AIChE Chicago Meeting, November 1980).

$$\frac{d_{B_2}}{d_{B_1}} = 1 - \frac{N_a}{\sqrt{\pi}} \times + \left(\frac{N_a}{3\pi} - \frac{1}{4}\right) N_a x^2 + \left(\frac{5}{12} - \frac{8}{5} + \frac{N_a}{18}\right) \frac{N_a^2}{\sqrt{\pi}} x^3$$
(32)

$$N_{a} = \frac{C_{sat} - C_{\infty}}{\rho_{g}} = -\beta$$
(33)

$$x = \frac{2\sqrt{Dt}}{R_o} = \frac{4\sqrt{Dt}}{dB_1}$$
(34)

Now, during the hold, $N_a = -98.75$, $d_{B_1} = 2.07$ cm, t - 0.25, 1.0, and 1.5 hours.

Thus, $x = 9.947 \times 10^{-3}$, 1.989 x 10^{-2} and 2.437 x 10^{-2} for the three hold times. At the end of the three possible hold times, the two equations predict the following results:

$$\frac{t = 0.25 \text{ hr}}{\left(\frac{d_{B_2}}{d_{B_1}}\right)} \quad W-S \text{ Equation} \qquad 1.649 \qquad 2.448 \qquad 2.840$$

$$\left(\frac{d_{B_2}}{d_{B_1}}\right)^2 \quad D-V \text{ Equation} \qquad 302 \qquad - \qquad -$$

The D-V equation is obviously in error, whereas the W-S equation yields reasonable results. Thus, at the end of the three various possible hold times, the maximum bubble size is

$$d_{B_2} = \begin{cases} 3.41 \text{ cm} \\ 5.07 \text{ cm} \\ 5.87 \text{ cm} \end{cases}$$
(35)

The last portion of the processing cycle consists of prossurization to 5.78 atm at T = 408K. At this pressure

$$C_{sat} = 8.651 \times 10^{-14} e^{9784/408} (5.78)^2$$
(36)
= 7.5 x 10⁻² gms/cc

ݥݲݞݔݖݲݕݕݲݕݞݙݕݬݥݓݚݙݵݘݡݚݠݵݚݥݵݚݠݵݚݪݵݤݪݚݸݤݥݵݕݠݵݚݠݵݤݠݤݸݻݵݠݻݚݥݑݱݠݤݚݠݵݻݾݶݤݠݶݚݵݤݛݠݤݸݞݤݸݤݛݞݤݛݞݤݛݞݤݛݞݤݛݞݤݛݞݤݛݞݤݛݞݤݛݞݤݛݞݤݛݞ

Now $C_{sat} > C_{\infty}$ and void dissolution can occur. First, however, the bubble volume is instantaneously reduced due to increased total resin pressure.

$$d_{B_3} = \left(\frac{0.1}{5.78}\right)^{1/3} d_{B_2}$$
(37)

$$d_{B_3} = \frac{0.88 \text{ cm}}{1.31 \text{ cm}}$$

1.52 cm
(38)

Now

States - With States - States

$$\rho_{g} = \frac{18}{82.1} \frac{(5.78)}{(408)} = 3.106 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g/cc}$$
(39)

$$N_{a} = \frac{C_{sat}^{-C_{\infty}}}{\rho_{g}} = \frac{7.507 \times 10^{-2} - 5.325 \times 10^{-3}}{3.106 \times 10^{-3}} = 22.45$$
(40)

Now for T = 408K, F = 5.78 atm, t = 1.75 hours. The three bubbles now shrink

	x	(d_{B_4}/d_{B_3})	^d B4
1	6.19×10^{-2}	0.399	0.35 cm
2	4.16×10^{-2}	0.560	0.74 cm
3	3.58×10^{-2}	0.608	0.92 cm

Thus, all the bubbles have shrunk considerably by the end of the temperature hold under pressure.

The temperature is now increased to 452K at 5.78 atm according to the relation, T = 408 + 66t, which requires 0.667 hours (40 minutes). The remainder of the cycle consists of a 2-hour hold at 452K and 5.78 atm. Under these conditions

$$C_{sat} = 8.651 \times 10^{-14} e^{9784/452} (5.78)^2$$
(41)
= 7.27 x 10⁻³ gms/cc

and a falle the the test a the test of a state the test of the test of the section of the sectio

Since $C_{sat} > C_{\infty}$, there is no bubble growth during this part of the cycle for this particular sample. Bubbl, dissolution is still possible, but not probable, because the resin viscosity has now become extremely high and void collapse would be difficult if not impossible.

2.4.4.2 <u>100 Percent RH Initial Exposure of Prepreg</u>. The growth and dissolution of voids is clearly sensitive to the initial prepreg water content. The calculations summarized above were repeated for prepreg equilibrated at 100 percent RH. The results are summarized below.

Stage 1: Vacuum and heating to 135C at P = 0.1 atm. Bubble growth starts at $t_0 = 0.161$ hours, $C_{\infty} = 2.13 \times 10^{-2}$ gm/cc. dg₁ = 8.72 cm.

Stage 2: Constant temperature hold at 135C, P = 0.1 atm

<u>a</u> B2
14.05 cm
20.59 cm
23.78 cm

Stage 3: P = 5.7 atm, T = 408K

<u>d</u>_{B3} 3.63 cm 5.33 cm 6.15 cm Stage 4: T = 408K, P = 5.78 atm, t = 1.75 hrs

d _{B4}		
3.00 cm	C _{sat} =	7.507 x 10^{-2} gm/cc > C _{∞} = 2.15 x 10^{-2}
4.56 cm		gm/cc
5.32 cm	•	dissolution occurs

Stage 5: P = 5.78 atm, T = 408 + 66t

At 452K, $C_{sat} = 7.27 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gm/cc} < C_{\infty} = 2.13 \times 10^{-2} \text{ gm/cc}$.

Therefore, growth of voids is still possible under these conditions unlike the situation for the 50 percent RH prepreg. However, we can no longer use the W-S equation because the temperature is changing and the initial bubble size (d_{B_4}) is non-zero. The temperature at which bubble growth would start (if the viscosity were not too high) is

$$T = \frac{9784}{ln\left(\frac{2.13 \times 10^{12}}{8.651 (5.78)^2}\right)} = 431K (158C)$$
(42)

Hence, 21 minutes into the second heating ramp (under pressure) bubble growth has the potential to occur again. Only if curing has proceeded sufficiently will void expansion be prevented. A summary of the void growth for the two initial prepreg water contents is shown in Table 12.

2.4.5 SOME PERTINENT CONCLUSIONS.

- The vacuum part of the cycle always has the potential of creating large voids.
- 2. The initial moisture content of the prepreg is very important. A prepreg equilibrated at 50 percent RH will have a maximum residual bubble size of 0.38 to 0.50 cm and no potential for further growth when heated under pressure, whereas a prepreg equilibrated at 100 percent RH has a maximum residual bubble size of 2.6 to 3.5 cm and a

Initial Humidity Exposure	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4			
50%	2.07	3.41 5.07 5.87	0.88 1.31 1.52	0.35 0.74 0.92			
100%	8.72	14.05 20.59 23.78	3.63 5.33 6.15	3.00 4.56 5.32			
Stage 1.	Heating to 135C	at P = 0.1 a	atm				
Stage 2.	Constant temperature hold at 135C, $P = 0.1$ atm for 0.25, 1.0, and 1.5 hours						
Stage 3.	P = 5.78 atm, 2 = 408K (135C)						

Table 12. Bubble Diameter at End of Various Stages of Process Cycle in cm

potential for further growth during the heating under pressure.

Stage 4. P = 5.78 atm, T = 408K (135C), t = 1.75 hours

- 3. The approach utilized in the above calculations is approximate, but it does account for the effect of the moving bubble-resin boundary on the concentration profile and diffusion. It does not account for coalescence or for the medium (resin or bubble) geometry.
- 4. If the prepreg is equilibrated with moisture at a relative humidity, (RH)₀, then in order to prevent the potential for void growth by diffusion at all times when the temperature during the curing cycle is T(t), the pressure at all points of the prepreg P(t) must satisfy the following inequality:

$$P \ge 4.962 \times 10^3 e^{-4892/T} (RH)_o$$
 (43)

where

 $(RH)_{2}$ = the relative humidity to which the preprez was exposed.

- t (hrs) = time
- T(K) = temperature during the curing cycle, T(t)

This equation was derived from the requirement that void growth by diffusion cannot occur if $C_{sat} \ge C_{\infty}$ and by using Equations 11 and 13. It is also based on the solubility relation

$$S_0 = 5.58 \times 10^{-5} (RH)^2$$
 (44)

If we use the new data RH = 100 percent, then

$$S_0 = 3.74 \times 10^{-7} (RH)^{3.25}$$
 (45)

and

$$P \ge 2.079 \times 10^3 e^{-4892/T} (RH)_0^{1.624}$$
 (46)

A plot of Equation 43 for the two relative humidities considered is shown in Figure 41. It is evident from Figure 41 that vacuum can be applied without encouraging void growth if such application is coordinated with the temperature of the system.

2.4.6 <u>A SIMPLE QUASI-STEADY STATE APPROACH</u>. If we relax the model requirement that the motion of the bubble surface affects the concentration profile, we have probably the simplest quasi-steady state approximation to the real problem. Physically, this amounts to saying that once the bubble starts to grow, the same concentration profile exists out in front of the bubble surface. The governing equation for this situation now becomes

$$\frac{d (d_B^2)}{dt} = \frac{4D (C_{\infty} - C_{sat})}{\rho_g}$$
(47)
 $\theta t = 0 d_B^2 = 0$



Figure 41. Plot of Equation 14 for Two Relative Humidities

84

which is a form the original diffusional growth equation expressed as Equation 14.

Let us reconsider the two initial prepreg equilibriums at 50 percent and 100 percent RH.

2.4.6.1 50 Percent Initial Prepreg Equilibration,

Intelletine de neer de service

、「日本日本町町町町」、北京市道道の大学」 きまささる

و المار المرماني محيرة ال

¥

$$C_{\infty} = 5.325 \times 10^{-3} \text{ gm/cc}$$
 (48)

Stage 1: Heating from 62C to 135C at P = 0.1 atm

$$D = 0.15 e^{-2817/(298+120t)}$$
(49)

$$C_{sat} = 8.651 \times 10^{-6} e^{9784/(298+120t)}$$
 (50)

$$\beta = \frac{C_{\infty} - C_{sat}}{\rho_g} = \frac{5.325 \times 10^{-3} - 8.651 \times 10^{-16} e^{9784/(298+120t)}}{1.8/(298+120t) 82.1}$$
(51)

$$d_{B_1}^2 = 4 \int_{0.285}^{0.92} \beta D dt = 4 \times 3.02 \times 10^{-3} = 1.208 \times 10^{-2}$$
(52)

$$D_{B_1} = 0.11 \text{ cm}$$
 (53)

Stage 2: T = 135C (constant, P = 0.1 atm, hold times are 0.25, 1.0 and

1.5 hours

$$d_{B_2}^2 = d_{B_1}^2 + 4\beta Dt$$
 (54)

$$d_{B_2}^2 = 1.208 \times 10^{-2} + 4 (98.75) (1.06 \times 10^{-4}) t$$
 (55)

$$D_{B_2} = \begin{cases} 0.15 \text{ cm} \\ 0.23 \text{ cm} \\ 0.27 \text{ cm} \end{cases}$$
(56)

Stage 3: P = 5.78 atm, T = 208K (135C)

Stage 4: P = 5.78 atm, T = 208K (135C), t = 1.75 hr

$$d_{B4}^2 = 0.0015 - 4 (22.45) (1.06 \times 10^{-4}) (1.75)$$
 (57)
0.0035
0.0048

$$\begin{array}{c} d_{B4} = 0.0 \quad (58) \\ 0.0 \quad 0.0 \end{array}$$

All the voids would have had the potential to dissolve. This of course excludes the large bubbles which might have formed by coalescence during Stage 1 and 2. Further heating under pressure would not cause bubbles to grow. 2.4.6.2 100 Percent RH Initia³ Prepreg Equilibration,

 $C_{cm} = 2.13 \times 10^{-2} \text{ gm/cc}$ (59)

Stage 1:
$$d_{B_1} = 0.23 \text{ cm}$$
 (60)

Stage 2:
$$d_{B_2} = 0.31 \text{ cm}$$
 (61)
0.47 cm
0.55 cm

Stage 3: $d_{B_3} = 0.08 \text{ cm}$ (52). 0.12 cm 0.14 cm

Stag≥ 4: d_{B4} = 0.0 cm 0.04 cm 0.08 cm

Stage 5: P = 5.78 atm, heating to 452K, bubble growth could restart at 431K, but once again this growth is not describable with the current equations, nor is it likely to occur because of the very high viscosity.

2.4.7 <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>. Bubble growth based on diffusion and a steady-state profile approximation cannot explain formation of large bubbles unless considerable coalescence is involved. The large difference between the results and the more accurate approximation is due to motion of the bubble boundary and its iteration with the concentration profile which are accounted for in the more accurate approach.

86

2.4.8 <u>MODELING ANALYSIS.</u> An Apple Computer program has been written at Washington University for use in modeling the growth of voids during cure. The program was received at Fort Worth and was checked and used to evaluate predicted consequences of changes in cure cycles or material parameters. Some modifications of the program were devised at Fort Worth to improve the ease of operation.

The program's operation begins with input of material parameters, and these include the following:

1. Resin specific gravity

- 2. Weight fraction of resin in the prepreg.
- 3. Relative humidity to which the prepreg has been exposed
- 4. Moisture solubility parameters
- 5. Moisture diffusivity parameters

Next, the program allows input of cure cycle parameters that include up to six thermal segments and up to three pressure segments. Thus, a thermal cycle might be input that involved heating at two different, sequential rates followed by a dwell at some temperature before ramping to a final dwell tempeature. During this thermal cycle, pressure could be applied in three steps with pressure application time specified for each step.

The program also allows choices of initial void size and the choice of whether the void involves an air/water mixture or water only. After making these choices and inputs, the program determines the point in the cycle at which void nucleation can begin. For selected time increments thereafter, the program calculates void sizes that would be expected for the conditions chosen. Early studies with the model have indicated that heating rate is a critical parameter.

Conditions required for void transport have also been modeled in an effort separate from the Apple Computer model. The void transport model has been used

4.5.4141.5.51

to calculate the pressure gradient required for vertical and lateral bubble migration. The principle of the model is that void mobilization will occur when the pressure gradient becomes sufficient to overcome the surface tension forces exerted by the resin when the resin is forced through the fiber network. In effect, the resin must have sufficient velocity in the flow direction to drag the bubble through the narrowest constriction or buoyant forces must be great enough to cause the bubble to rise through the constriction.

Using the model, calculations have been made which show that a pressure gradient of more than 10,000 psi is required to cause vertical void movement. This gradient is so large that buoyant forces cannot be expected to contribute to bubble movement. Thus, as previously suggested by experimental observations, vertical transport of voids in curing graphite/epoxy does not appear to occur. (See Appendix C-1 for a description and printout of the Apple program). 2.5 MOISTURE ABSORPTION PROBLEMS IN PREPREG

It became apparent during the initial laminate studies that different batches of 5208 prepreg behaved quite differently during identical curing or processing conditions. The material characterization(HPLC, FT-IR, moisture content and other properties), however proved identical between batches. A major finding of this program was therefore finding a minimum sized laminate to distinguish between good and bad batches of prepreg when physical and chemical methods could detect no differences. Closer examination of the prepreg that made poor laminates revealed a greater number of particles on the surface of reject material compared to good prepreg (Figure 42). The good prepreg had a much lower quantity of these crystals (which exhibited birefringence in crossed polaroids) on the prepreg surface. A series of investigations was initiated to define these physical differences and possibly detect them before use in the fabrication of major aircraft composite structures.



Figure 42. DADS Catalyst, Typical Size on Prepreg Surface

K

2.5.1 VOLATILE EVOLUTION AROUND CATALYST CRYSTALS. A sample of a bad lot of 5208/T300 prepreg (i.e., the lot which failed the large laminate discrimination test) was placed on a heated stage under a microscope. Two relatively large catalyst crystals were selected for observation during constant rate heating of a single ply of prepreg to 150C (300F). Initially there were no bubbles associated with the crystals, however, formation of bubbles started at approximately 130C (265F). These bubbles grew in size until gelation of the resin at around 155C (310F). The bubbled crystalline areas were subsequently submitted to SEM evaluation. The series of pictures identified as Figures 43 through 48 show a great deal of detail and information about the association of small catalyst crystals and related bubble formation.

The first two photographs at 60X and 120X, show the general area of investigation, including formed bubbles, fractured bubbles, and catalyst crystals. The two primary areas of interest that were examined at higher magnification are circled in all of the photos. The first area circled shows only a portion of the remains of a fractured bubble site containing a catalyst crystal. Figures 45 and 46 are photos of that site at 300X and 2300X, respectively.

The second area circled shows an unfractured bubble with small catalyst crystals attached to the surface. Figure 47 shows the same area at 600X magnification.

Additional scanning of the surface located a unique void nucleation site shown in Figure 48. In this photo we see (1) an unfractured small bubble within a fractured bubble crater, (2) the catalyst crystal associated with the fractured bubble, (3) a portion of the ruptured bubble shell, and (4) large catalyst crystals (5) not associated with the fractured bubble.



5208 Prepreg surface 60×





5208 Prepreg surface 120×

Figure 44. 5208 Prepreg Surface, 120X



5208 Prepreg surface $300 \times$



5208 Bubble site/crystal $2300 \times$

Figure 45. Prepreg Surface, 300X Figure 46. Bubble Site/Crystal, 2300X


.

Figure 48. 5208 Bubble/Crystal Sites, 600X

There was a minimum of at least one or more catalyst crystals associated with each bubble examined. Apparently the water that was more tightly bound to these melted surface crystals was evolved during heating at 130C (265F) producing the associated bubbles, and this presumably caused voids within thick laminates due to high local concentrations of water on the ply interfaces. 2.5.2 <u>MOISTURE ABSORPTION-5208 and 3502 PREPREG</u>. A cursory examination of prepreg moisture pickup in relation to exposure time revealed a major difference between a specific batch (716) or 5208 prepreg and the 3502 prepreg.

Figure 49 shows the weight gain in water of pre-dried 5208 prepreg and 3502 prepreg upon exposure to 100 percent relative humidity as a function of time. Not only does the 5208 prepreg pick up water at a faster rate, but after one hour it has absorbed almost twice as much water. The figure also shows that upon heating at the critical processing temperature range of 120C to 150C (250F to 300F) the 5208 prepreg still has about twice as much water as the 3502 prepreg. Preliminary work on a second batch of 5208 prepreg (1807) showed a similar weight gain-loss curve as the 3502 prepreg. Volatile contents were determined on all of the prepregs prior to drying and moisture exposure and found to be virtually the same at 0.35 percent (weight). This initial examination then led to a comprehensive effort to determine why the large differences in moisture absorption and desorption occur between the prepregs.

The large disparity of moisture absorption between 5208 and 3502 prepreg led to the systematic, comprehensive analysis of the prepreg and the resin components. Narmco's 5208 prepreg as shown in Figure 49 absorbed almost twice the equilibrium moisture as the 3502 material.

The one component of the prepreg that was processed differently between the two resin systems was the catalyst, 4.4' diaminodiphenylsulfone (DADS).



Narmco melted the DADS and blended it with the base resins, whereas Hercules mixed the DADS powder into the resin. It was hypothesized that the melted DADS catalyst added to the resin via the Narmco processing procedure may in part be quenched or rapidly recrystallized by the base resin before the normally well ordered crystalline DADC structure could occur, leaving vacant polar sites capable of additional hydrogen bonding with moisture.

One of the contributing resonance structures of DADS is the dipolar compound II, as shown in Figure 50 indicating a negative charge on oxygen and a positive charge on the nitrogen. Although not a major resonance structure, it indicates that there is probably a higher negative charge on the oxygen and a higher positive charge on the nitrogen than a non-conjugated molecule.



Figure 50. DADS Resonance Structure

This translates into a higher probability of a stronger hydrogen bond both available to absorb environmental water and involved in formation of the DADS crystal structure.

An examination of the melting points of DADS and its isomer 3,3'diaminodiphenylsulfone shows higher melting points for the conjugated 4,4'-DADS.

4,4'	DADS	176.50
3,3'	DADS	168C
2,2'	DADS	179C

This difference is probably due to the additional charge density on the nitrogen and oxygen atoms of the 2 and 4 substituted DADS. It is well known that ortho (2,2'-isomer) resonance is stronger than para (4,4'-isomer) resonance in stabilization of charge. A higher charge density on oxygen and nitrogen would imply a stronger H bonding capability.

Experiments in the rapid quenching and partial solidification of melted DADS in water or the major epoxy resin (MY-720) led to a defect laden crystal structure where the lattices are not in the most thermodynamically stable configuration. The hypothesis then was that there was incomplete hydrogen bond structure between DADS molecules in addition to numerous lattice defects which arise from rapid quenching and solidification which then were available for moisture absorption.

A sample of DADS was melted at 177C and poured slowly into water in a Waring blender. The particles of catalyst were separated from the water and dried. Scanning Electron Microscope examination, Figures 51 and 52 of the melted quenched powder showed that the normal macroscopic crystallinity associated with the as-received DADS, Figures 53 and 54, was apparently absent.

The melted and quenched DADS, when ground to a powder, dried over P_2O_5 and exposed to moisture, absorbs water at almost three times the rate of unquenched DADS, Figure 55. Heating the samples after attaining moisture equilibrium demonstrated that the unquenched DADS lost the same quantity of water it gained, (0.5 percent) and that the quenched DADS lost very little water (0.05 percent). This correlated quite well to the rate of moisture loss of 5208. The 5208 prepreg loses less water at higher temperatures than 3502. This fact implied a more tenaciously bound water in the resin, probably at a strong hydrogen bonding site. The normal volatiles test run on prepreg would not distinguish between loosely bound "surface" water and the strongly absorbed water. Typically, very dry 5208 prepreg absorbed 1.5 percent water as compared to 0.75 percent water for 3502 prepreg. Upon heating to 150C both prepregs lost about 0.05 percent weight. This left a considerable amount of tightly bound water (1 percent) in the 5208 (most likely associated with the imperfect DADS lattice). This water was hard to remove at low temperatures and could only be removed at higher temperatures. Additional hydrogen bonded sites appeared as the resin



Melted quenched DADS $50 \times$

Figure 51. Melted Quenched DADS, 50X



Melted quenched DADS 1,000×

Figure 52. Melted Quenched DADS, 1,000X



As-received DADS $50\times$

Figure 53. AS-Received DADS, 50X



As-Received DADS 1,000×

Figure 54. As-Received DADS, 1,000X



Figure 55. Water Weight Gains of DADS Catalyst Exposed to 100 Percent R.H. Via TGA

advanced (OH group formation) and the increase in the resin viscosity as the material advances would probably hinder the diffusion of the remaining water from the matrix. The potential for voids in the cured laminate was, thus, quite high. Employing typical prepreg quality control techniques, it would be almost impossible to detect this differently bound water.

Some of the DADS catalyst crystals are visible through a microscope on the surface of the 5208 and 3502 prepregs. When a polarized light was employed, the 3502 DADS crystals appear colored due to crystalline light diffraction (birefringence). The amorphous DADS catalyst on the 5208 prepreg does not diffract the light due to its amorphous (non-crystalline) nature.

Specimens of DADS melted-quenched and as-received unquenched were prepared for FT-IR analysis as KBR pellets. These pellets were each scanned twice, once after exposure to the ambient relative humidity and once after drying over P_2O_5 at almost 0 percent relative humidity for one week. The amount of DADS in the quenched pellet was a little less than the amount of DADS in the unquenched pellet, therefore the spectra had to be analyzed by relating the peak of

interest to another peak, a reference peak, in the same spectrum. This ratio could then be compared to a different spectrum.

The peak of interest in this case is the O-H stretch peak at $\sim 2.8\mu$. The appearance of this enhanced peak in an FT-IR spectra of DADS indicated the pressence of hydrogen bonding. There are two possible sources of hydrogen bonding of the DADS molecules (intramolecular) which occurs in forming the crystal structure. The second source was hydrogen bonding of water to the molecules of DADS (intermolecular) in the crystal structure. This occurred on the surface of the crystals as well as within the crystal structure of the poorly formed (defecctive) crystals. In determining the relative amounts of contribution from these two sources, one source must be experimentally eliminated while the other source must remain unchanged. This was done by drying the pellets over phosphorus pentoxide (P_{205}) for one week, which removed the absorbed water. Any remaining water was considered tightly bound within the crystalline structure by additional hydrogen bonding.

The peak chosen as a reference peak was an arometic peak (at $\sim 11.9\mu$), which would be unaffected by the presence or lack of hydrogen bonding and thus remain constant. The ratio to be compared was the OH peak to aromatic peak.

2.5.2.1 Comparison of Spectra.

ころうちょう こう いちちょう ちょうちょう

and the second second

 Exposed to ambient humidity. The peak ratios under these conditions are shown in Figures 56 and 57.

	Quenched	Unquenched	
(OH/Aromatic)	1.035/1.00	1.99/1	

The spectra indicated that there was approximately twice as much hydrogen bonding in the unquenched as in the quenched DADS. This does not necessarily mean more water, but a combination of the above mentioned sources. To determine the relative contribution





of the absorbed water, the pellets were dried to remove the water. Drying removed the H-bonding contribution of the water from the spectrum but did not affect the intermolecular H-bonding of the DADS crystal structure.

2. Dried over P_2O_5 - 0 percent relative humidity. The peak ratios under these conditions are shown in Figure 58 and 59.

	Quenched	Unquenched
(OH/Aromatic	1.0/1.48	1.0/3.33

Under these conditions, there was approximately twice as much hydrogen bonding in the quenched as in the unquenched DADS.

In ambient humidity, the unquenched DADS has twice as much hydrogen bonding resulting from (1) hydrogen bonded water on crystal surface and (2) a more cohesive crystal structure with extensive hydrogen bonding between the DADS molecules.

Drying the pellets removed more water from the unquenched DADS than the quenched DADS, leaving essentially intermolecular hydrogen bonding in the unquenched DADS.

The quenched DADS has more hydrogen bonding as a result of water than to the intermolecular hydrogen bonding of the DADS. The hydrogen bonding that remained after the drying operation was in part intermolecular hydrogen bonding of the DADS and in part a result of water tightly held within the crystal structure. This tight holding of water implied that the quenched DADS has a poorly formed (defective) crystal structure which allowed water to become bound within the structure to a stronger degree than normal DADS crystals.

The fact that the unquenched DADS has twice as much hydrogen bonding in ambient humidity is due to the regular crystal structure having more intermolecular hydrogen bonding and some water bound on the surface of the molecule.





105

č.

2.5.2.2 <u>X-ray Diffraction</u>. An investigation was initiated utilizing x-ray diffraction to determine any differences in the crystalline structure of the quenched versus the as-received DADS catalyst. The low angle x-ray patterns which are characteristic of the reflections of the heavy atoms (sulfur) and possibly nitrogen show some significant variance in some of the higher angle bands. These differences may be more representative of the nitrogen spatial arrangement and was manifested in the FTIR differences. There was also a significant and reproducible angular difference in the powder diffraction pattern of the two DADS samples, again indicating an imperfect or at least different microstructure for the melted quenched as compared to the unquenched DADS catalyst.

The possibility also existed that the DADS was not rapidly crystallized on the addition of the hardener into the epoxy resin but recrystallized during the prepregging operation and cooldowns. The DADS was known to recrystallize because of its low solubility in MY-720 at ambient temperatures under favorable conditions. Favorable conditions were nucleating sites (such as carbon fiber surfaces and mechanical shearing at lower temperature.

X-ray diffraction was also employed as a method to detect the changes in the crystalline diffraction pattern of the DADS catalyst after recrystallization in an epoxy (MY-720) matrix. X-ray diffraction patterns were measured at reflection angles of 21 through 26 degrees for melted DADS added to the major epoxy resin MY-720 at zero time after mixing and after one hour at 177C (350F). Figure 60 shows these patterns. Strong intensity of X-ray counts are noted at angles of 14, 18, 21, and 24°. The pattern produced after one hour at 177C (350F) shows the complete disappearance of these strong intensity peaks as would be expected from the dissolution of the hardener at elevated temperatures.



Figure 60. X-ray Diffraction Melted DADS in a Major Epoxy

The second experiment using X-ray diffraction was the monitoring of the addition of as-received dry powder DADS to the MY-720 epoxy. In Figure 61 the diffraction pattern at zero t me was significantly different than the pattern produced in Figure 60. Intensity peaks are found at many reflection angles (14, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 25°). This difference in the fewer number of peaks in Figure 60 was believed to be the result of the recrystallization of the DADS into a completely different structure than the as-received DADS. This new epoxy/hardener material has been shown to be more absorbent to water than just the powdered DADS and MY-720.

Figure 62 compares both of the diffraction patterns, the bottom pattern is from Figure 61, the top is from Figure 60.



Figure 61. X-ray Diffraction Dry Powder DADS in Major Epoxy

Cont.

5



Figure 62. X-ray Diffraction Melted DADS in Major Epoxy and Dry Powder DADS in Major Epoxy

- MARINE MARINE

One of the original goals of this program was to define and elucidate the critical processing parameters necessary for the fabrication of high quality, void-free parts. To accomplish this goal, various processing variables were investigated including (1) thermal gradients across thick laminates which may lead to uneven resin distribution through the thickness, (2) ply thickness gradients (which resulted from processing variation) as a function of total laminate thickness, (3) resin pressure measurements and gradients resulting from applied pressure sources, (4) resin migration studies, (5) compaction studies which show the importance of ply consolidation early in the cure cycle, and (6) new curing techniques including bagless and internally pressurized bag approaches.

人のものの言語ではないでいた。日間になって

2.6.1 <u>THERMAL GRADIENTS</u>. For the determination of thermal gradients within a laminate, a pseudoisotropic, 64 ply laminate, 0.61 m by 0.61 m (ft by 1 ft) was selected for evaluation.

A total of five thermocouples were positioned 7.62 cm (3 in) in from the laminate periphery starting with the first ply nearest the tool surface and moving clockwise up through the laminate with thermocouples at the 16th, 32nd, 48th, and the 64th ply (the latter being nearest the nylon bag surface).

The laminate was cured using the Fort Worth cure cycle where the pressure was applied 15 minutes into the 135C (275F) hold. The heat-up rate was at 2C/minute (3.6F/minute). Subsequent cure heat-up rates were at 3.3, 6.7, and 7.8C/minute (5.8, 12, and 14F/minute), respectively. Figure 63 showed the maximum spread in temperatures recorded by the thermocouples at different temperatures and heat-up rates. The maximum temperature spread was between the tool side thermocouple and the nylon bag side thermocouple (T/C), the nylon bag thermocouple reading the highest temperature and the tool side thermocouple recording the lowest.



Ĩ.

☆ 1997年1月1日日本の大学が出来るようなない。 1997年1月1日日本の大学が出来るようなない。 1997年1月1日日本の大学が出来るようなない。 1997年1月1日日本の大学校のである。

A SALE REPORT

and the second contracts the second second form

Constanting of the second

の 一時の 二日 二

The greatest opread in temperature occurred with the 7.8 and 6.7C/minute (14 and 12F) heat-up rates. Both rates showed temperature deltas greater than 16.7C (30F) at 42C (125F), and greater than 7.2C (13F) at 93C (2007). However, at the more critical temperature levels of 121C (250F) and above, the thermal gradient for all heat-up rates is relatively low, 5.5C (10F) or less. A thermal gradient of up to 5.5C (10F) within a laminate could easily be tolerated by this particular resin system.

2.6.2 <u>PLY THICKNESS GRADIENT.</u> The change in ply thickness as a function of total laminate thickness was also investigated during this program.

A REAL PROPERTY STRATT REVISE REAL AND A STRATES

A number of 32 ply laminates, four 64 ply laminates, and two 96 ply laminates were examined under the microscope to determine if a ply thikcness gradient existed through the total laminate thickness.

Figure 64 shows the number of plies per laminate and the percent variation in ply compaction for each particular laminate. For the 32 and 64 ply laminates



Figure 64. Ply Thickness Gradient Within 32, 64, and 96 Ply Laminates, T-300/5208

111

a very modest variation in ply compaction of 2 to 4 percent existed. The 96 ply laminates, however, showed a very discernable 14 percent variation in ply compaction as compared to normal cured ply thicknesses.

23000 M

Starbare Strate

In all cases the variation in ply thickness for all of the laminates examined occurred in the top one third, or nylon bag side, of the laminates.

None of the laminates were subjected to debulking or pre-bleeding prior to the final cure of the laminates. If the 96 ply laminates had been pre-bled in 16 ply units there probably would not have been any noticeable variation in ply thicknesses.

The difference in ply thickness can be accounted for by knowing that there was a greater amount of bleeder on the top of the thicker laminate and the fact that most of the resin tends to migrate laterally into the bleeder system. This allowed an over-bleed situation in the top one-third of the laminate. Lateral resin migration will be discussed in detail later in Section 2.6.4. This observation implies a strong argument for net resin prepreg when constructing thick laminate parts.

2.6.3 <u>PRESSURE GRADIENT STUDY.</u> The pressure gradient study, initially designed by Dr. R. Hinrichs of APT, Inc., was designed to measure both the total laminate (fiber and resin) and the liquid resin pressures inside a laminate during cure processing. The basic premise of these tests was that pressurization of an autoclave or press during cure provided a constant load condition, and as the material compacts it does not change the applied load. Therefore, any pressure gradients which might be generated are strictly a function of the flow stress-relaxation pathways within the laminate. Since laminates were fabricated with bleeder/ breather pathways there was always resin flow. It was this flow which allowed laminate compaction to occur. Without these pathways, a hydraulic cell effect would prevent compaction and elimination of interply voids.

Based on this premise, the question was whether or not a significant amount of restriction or blockage of these pathways could occur to hinder resin flow and thus generate a pressure gradient with different laminate thicknesses. If this were true, then the applied pressure would be translated directly to the liquid resin. A transducer was designed which measured the liquid resin environment without the fiber network in contact with the sensitive measurement membrane of the transducer. A miniature transducer was built with a guard ring raised slightly above the measurement surface. The cavity formed by this ring was then filled with the liquid resin to provide a fluid couple to the laminate and the prepreg stack was placed on top. The ring acted as a bridge to the high modulus fibers but allowed the resin to be in direct contact with both the measuring plate and prepreg. As pressure was applied, the resin was compressed against the transducer plate until flow could occur to lower the pressure. A second type of transducer was built without the guard ring to measure total area pressure. The combination of the two sensors placed side-by-side during these tests allowed measurement of the two different types of pressure simultaneously. A tool surface was machined to accommodate the transducers upon which laminates of varying thicknesses (10, 30, and 64 plies) were arranged. This allowed the transducers to measure pressure as a function of depth or plies within the composite during cure. The laminates themselves were constructed in such a way as to maintain a minimum of 4 inches of spacing between the transducers and any edge of the panel. Both autoclave and hydraulic press evaluations were performed to verify results as a function of applied external pressure. Both methods utilized the General Dynamics' cure cycle of heating from room temperature to 132C (270F), and apply 0.586 MPa (85 psi) pressure after 20 minutes of hold at 132C (270F). The transducers were coupled with an Applied

Polymer Technology CAPS cure controller-monitor system for data acquisition and thermal monitoring of the actual cure cycle. The sensors were calibrated for 0 to 0.589 MPa (0 to 100 psi) and temperature compensated to 150C (300F).

Hydraulic press cured laminates were used to confirm the transducer operations and define preliminary techniques. It was evident that the total pressure sensors responded immediately to the applied pressure. The applied pressure was translated rapidly to the entire depth of the laminate. It should be noted that during the press experiments continual adjustment of the press was necessary to maintain the desired 0.586 MPa (85 psi) pressure. This procedure was consistent with compaction and flow concepts discussed previously. Thus, a constant load was maintained while the laminate was compacting.

The test results are summarized in Figures 65 and 66. As might be expected, the thin 10 ply laminate which should flow readily, had essentially







でいたかなくとうこと

いなまで、見

Figure 66. Laminate Pressure Gradient Study

no resin pressure across the entire 0.586 MPa (85 psi) range. The 30 ply laminate showed an initial pressure rise, but then the resin pressure diminished very rapidly once total constant pressure was achieved. In fact, within a few minutes of reaching full pressurization, the liquid resin seemed to have achieved the full flow-relaxation and the pressure dropped to 0.007 to 0.014 MPa (1 to 2 psi). It was interesting to note that the liquid pressure did not increase appreciably throughout the test indicating easy access to resin flow pathways. Low resin pressure combined with dissolved moisture at high temperatures provide conditions conducive to void generation in the resin.

The autoclave test panels were layed up to achieve as close an approximation to a perfectly dammed tool as possible. Besides the Coroprene dam, bagging sealing compound was also used around the dam perimeter to prevent resin leakage. A bleed ratio of one bleeder for ten plies of prepreg was employed on all of the iaminates. In Figure 65 it was clear that the thicker the laminate, the greater the pressure translation to the resin occurred before the pressure dropped off due to bleeding.

Even though the autoclave pressure was 0.586 MPa (85 psi), all three panels only achieved 0.483 MPa (70 psi) total pressure. This suggested that resin movement was still occurring. Since the 10 ply laminate tracked directly with the autoclave, it suggested that the time lag for the thicker laminates was due to the easy flow of the resin from the top layers first, into the bleeder before compaction and translation of pressure occurred in the lower plies. Even though an obvious pressure delay appeared to exist, it readily dissipated within a few minutes after full pressurization was reached. The translation to the total 64 ply laminate layers occurred readily and therefore did not indicate a true pressure gradient effect.

Differences in the liquid resin and total laminate pressure profiles were more dramatic for the thicker laminates. It was interesting to note that the liquid resin pressure did not exceed 0.103 MPa (15 psi) in the 64 ply panel and 0.055 MPa (8 psi) in both the 30 and 10 ply panels. This again indicated that the resin can easily find a pathway of escape and flow under these pressure application conditions.

Examination of the ringed sensors at the conclusion of the experiments showed them to still contain full resin cavities and no evidence of fiber infringement in the transducer cavity. Figure 67 displays the type of test apparatus used for the pressure gradient study.

2.6.4 <u>ADDITIONAL RESIN PRESSURE EXPERIMENTS</u>. Early data in the Processing Science program generated by Dr. R. J. Hinrichs indicated that during a



Figure 67. Pressure Gradient Test Fixture

بالمراجعة والمراجع المراجع

いたので、たいので

simulated cure of 5208 the actual pressure of the resin never exceeded 0.103 MPa (15 psi) during an applied pressure of 0.586 MPa (85 psi). Apparently, the fluid resin (test temperature of 132C (270F), minimum 5208 resin viscosity) did not reach any pressure maximum until its movement into the bleeder system was halted or gelation occurred. These experiments have been repeated with a different type of transducer than employed earlier (an absolute psi transducer requiring no atmospheric compensation tube). The transducer was calibrated in the autoclave prior to the cure.

A caul plate with a cavity was filled with degassed Epon 828 resin (uncatalyzed) which provided a fluid couple to the transducer. The transducer electrical leads were routed through the autoclave to a constant voltage source and a voltage actuated strip chart recorder to obtain a continuous pressure reading as a function of time. It was critical that no air be trapped in the resin coupling system and meticulous care was taken to

devolatilize the coupling resin. A slight excess of resin at the cavity allowed good coupling to the laminate during heat-up and pressure application. Standard bleeder systems for 10, 30 and 64 ply 5208 layups were utilized. The laminates were bagged, vacuum was applied, and the laminates heated to 132C (270F). At 132C (270F), 0.586 MPa (85 psi) pressure was applied and held for 15 minutes. After 15 minutes the pressure was released and the autoclave cooled. The short test time was designed to prevent significant advancement of the couplant by the curing agent in the 5208. Table 13 summarized the results of the pressure studies during a simulated heating and pressure application.

fable 13. H	Resin 1	Pressure 3	Drop-off	Results
-------------	---------	-------------------	----------	---------

Test Conditions:	Heat Laminate to 132C (270F) Under Vacuum,	Apply	0.586	MPa
	(85 psi) at 132C (270F) and Hold for 15 Minu	utes		

	Laminate					
	[0] Plies			[0, 90] Plies		
	10	30	64	10	30	64
Vacuum Felt [Near 132C (270F)]	0.069 MPa (10 psi)	0.035 MPa (5 psi)	0.017 MPa (2.5 psi)	0.048 MPa (7 psi)	0.069 MPa (10 psi)	0.017 MPa (2.5 psi)
Maximum Pressure	0.172 MPa (25 psi)	0.228 MPa (33 psi)	0.552 MPa (80 psi)	0.234 MPa (34 psi)	0.200 MPa (29 psi)	0.159 MPa (23 psi)
Pressure Drop	Not in test	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Time to Max. Press.	12.5 min	5 min	4 min	3 min	5 min	17.5 min
Time to Min. Press.	-	10 min/ test stopped, still dropping	15 min/ test stopped, still dropping	10 min stable @28	12.5 min	-
Bleeder No. (Plies of 181 Glass)	2	6	12	2	6	12

The following generalizations were made:

- 1. The results basically confirmed R. Hinrich's earlier work.
- The maximum average pressure reached in most of the runs was
 0.207 MPa (30 psi).
- Vacuum was measured in the resin in all of the runs, ranging from
 0.084 to 0.032 MPa absolute (12.2 to 4.7 psia).

A typical pressure readout chart is shown in Figure 68. The only test that deviated from the others was the 64 ply unidirectional lay-up whose pressure peaked at 0.552 MPa (80 psi) momentarily before bleeding off. If one extrapolated the decay of pressure, as a function of time, a resin pressure of less than 0.207 MPa (30 psi) would be obtained in less than one hour. This assumption was based on minimal viscosity changes at 132C (270F).

Another pressure test was run on a 64 ply lay-up of U.S. Polymeric's E767/T-300. The 0.586 MPa (85 psi) was applied at 93C (200F) which was recorded as 0.586 MPa (85 psi) on the pressure transducer. As the temperature increased to 132C (270F), the resin viscosity apparently dropped rapidly and started to flow into the standard bleeder system. As a result, the pressure in the resin decreased over a period of 15 minutes to 0.163 MPa absolute (0 psig, 15 psia) with the pressure decreasing commencing at about 132C (270F). Again, this experiment showed the general trend that once the resin viscosity becomes low enough and space for bleeding is provided, then resin pressures drop relative to the applied pressure. If sufficient moisture was present in the resin and was vaporized, voids will form (if the water vapor pressure exceeds the resin pressure in the resin).

2.6.5 <u>LATERAL PRESSURE GRADIENT STUDY</u>. One of the objectives of the resin pressure study was to determine not only the pressure within the resin of a curing laminate at a single central location within the laminate, but to





also determine if a lateral pressure gradient existed within a curing laminate. To answer this question, a series of three cavity pressure transducers were positioned diagonally from the center of a laminate every 10.16 cm (4 in) to within 7.62 cm (3 in) of the laminate edge. An 0.46 m by 0.46 m (18 in by 18 in) by 30 ply laminate was positioned over the cavity pressure transducers and vacuum bagged in position. The laminate was heated at 3C/minute (5F/minute) to 116C (240F) at which time 0.689 MPa (100 psi) autoclave pressure was applied. Figure 69 shows the laminate schematic and transducer location as well as the pressure within the resin at the different transducer locations as a function of temperature. In reviewing the transducer pressure table, an 0.083 to 0.103 MPa (12 to 15 psi) pressure delta was evident between 1.21C (250F) to 132C (270F) from the center of the laminate to the edge.

2.6.6 <u>RESIN MIGRATION STUDIES.</u> As a part of the overall program objective, one of the subtasks was to determine the direction of resin flow during the normal curing process. To accurately determine the direction of resin

	C	0.614 MPa 89 ps1	0.600 MPa 87 psi	0.558 MPa 81 psi	0.524 MPa 76 ps1
	+4	0.614 MPa 89 psi	0.600 MPa 87 psi	0.558 MPa 81 psi	0.524 MPa 76 ps1
[[]	+8	0.586 MPa 85 pst	0.517 MPa 75 psi	0.455 MPa 66 ps1	0.427 MPa 62 psi
		240F 116C	250F 121C	260F 127C	270F 132C
Co 10 cm o 20 cm, c 18 0°, 46	VITY P ANSDUC × 18- , 90° cm X	RESSURE ERS 10 cm AF IN X 30-PLY, LAMINATE 46 cm x 30 F	PART		

TRANSDUCER

Figure 69. Lateral Resin Fressure Gradient Study

migration a scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with an x-ray analyzer was used to detect the presence and dispersion of bromine which was added as the brominated minor epoxy of a 5208 formulation. Additions of brominated minor epoxy of 1, 3, and 5 percent by weight were added to the formulation. These blends were then used to impregnate 3-inch wide single plies of dry T-300 graphite tows. The impregnated graphite samples with 1, 3, and 5 percent by weight of bromine were subjected to SEM/x-ray detection. It was determined that the 3 percent by weight brominate epoxy provided a good detectable level of bromine by the SEM, and this material was then used for inserted plugs within a 32 ply laminate for the resin migration study.

The laminate employed for the resin migration study was a 0.15 m by 0.15 m (6 in by 6 in) by 32 ply pseudoisotropic laminate with a ply sequence of $(0^{\circ}, +45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, +135^{\circ})_{g}$ typical of all laminates fabricated on the program. Three 2.5 cm (1 in) diameter, 1 ply plugs of 3 percent by weight of brominated epoxy resin prepreg were positioned in the center of the 0.15 m by 0.15 m (6 in by 6 in) laminate. Plies 15, 16, and 17 of the laminate had a 2.5 cm (1 in) diameter hole cut in the center of each ply. The brominated epoxy plugs were then positioned in the fiber direction of the plug common to the fiber direction of each respective ply.

The laminate was then cured using the Fort Worth Division's cure cycle. The bleeder / breather sequence used on this laminate was identical to those used on all 32 ply laminates fabricated for the program. The cured laminate was sectioned through the center of the 1 inch plug and scanned with the SEM. Figure 70 shows the counts of bromine and sulfur detected as a function of the specimen length. Also shown by dashed lines is the location of the 1 inch diameter brominated epoxy plug thin the length of the laminate. The presence of sulfur comes from 5208 hardener and in general is inversely



Figure 70. Resin Migration Study

proportional to the presence of the bromine. The highest concentration of bromine occurred above and below the plug, with migration of bromine moving laterally out to the extremities of the laminate.

Figure 71 displays visually where the migration of brominated resin was detected by the SEM. Of particular interest in viewing these two figures, is the evidence that resin migration moves laterally 7.62 cm (3 in) from the plug but only approximately 0.080 of an inch to the top and bottom plies of the laminate. It is quite clear then, that the resin will preferentially move laterally within a laminate rather than vertically toward the bleeder/breather



221 Martin Manuel .

1. 2. 2. C. 2. 2.

Figure 71. Resin Migration Study

system. This information also suggests that entrapped air or water bubbles will also move laterally with the primary direction of flow of the resin. 2.7 COMPACTION STUDIES

Two compaction studies were accomplished on this program. The initial study was originally done to determine the point in terms of temperature and pressure at which a prepreg stack consolidated. Consolidation means the loss of individual ply integrity which occurs when all of the mechanical voids introduced during lay-up are eliminated and the interfaces between two plies disappears.

2.7.1 <u>FIRST COMPACTION STUDY.</u> The first compaction study began as an attempt to determine where during a typical processing cycle does consolidation of the laminate stack or lay up occur. A series of 48 ply lay-ups $([0,90]_8)$ were prepared from Fiberite 976/T-300 and subjected to vacuum bag and thermal conditions. The uncured laminates were then ultrasonically C-scanned for voids. Figure 72 shows the mildest conditions tested; two minutes at room temperature in a vacuum bag, and illustrates the large amount of interlaminar voids.

After one hour at 66C (150F) under a vacuum bag, compaction was evident (Figure 73) but voids still remained. When the same laminate was heated to 121C (250F) (Figure 74) under a vacuum bag, better compaction occurred. However, new voids are apparently being generated in the center of the laminate, presumably by the vacuum bag, conditions. At this point,





12 S. 24 .

5



150F, 1 HR, VAC. 8AG



あることであるというでも



250F, 1 HR, VAC. BAG Figure 74. Laminate Compaction Study - Typical Vacuum Bag Compaction 1 C-SCAN OF UNCURED 48 PLY, 0/90° IS CM X IS CM (6 IN X 6 IN) LAMINATE 976/T-300 2.25 MHz 5.0 x 1.0 hahijinikan

After 1 Hr. under Vacuum Bag at a higher temperature of 250°F, better compaction, but still void areas are present

2

127
the vacuum bag approach was abandoned in favor of only pressure. Figure 75 shows the result of a 60 minute compaction at ambient temperature and 0.689 MPa (100 psi) of pressure. As the temperature is allowed to increase to 66C (150F) the quality of the compaction is seen to improve; i.e., the sensitivity of the C-scan increases, Figure 76.

and the second se

and the second second

1. 1. A. F.

a l'andre de la contraction de la compaction de

The conclusions reached in the first series of compaction laminates are that the best conditions for high quality consolidation of prepreg layups are primarily pressure and temperature dependent with vacuum definitely hindering void elimination. In fact, vacuum appeared to generate voids during the consolidation portion of the curing process. Woven prepreg required higher temperatures and pressure [80C (175F) and 1.03 MPa (150 psi)] to consolidate well.

The value of knowing when and at what conditions consolidation occurs is apparent when the typical cure schedule is examined. Most cure schedules put the pressure on later in the cycle. The Fort Worth Division, for example, puts the pressure on after the 132C (270F) hold is entered. At this point, voids could have actually been generated if sufficient moisture is in the prepreg. Gelation of the resin occurs fairly rapidly at this temperature, so void removal is competitive with resin advancement. The ideal situation is to consolidate the laminate early in the processing cycle and create conditions to inhibit void growth during the remainder of the cycle.

Voids are either present during cure or generate during cure. Bubbles which are present can be stabilized or grow by moisture diffusion and volume expansion caused by heating. Generated voids result when an sufficient amount of dissolved water (or solvent) in the resin at a high enough temperature and low pressure vaporizes at some nucleation site. Once

C-SCAN OF UNCURED 48 PLY 0°/90° 15 CH X 15 CH (6 IN X 6 IN) LAMINATE 976/T-300

بالمديمة من من من من من

2.25 MHz, 3.0 x 10

0.669 MPa 100 PSI, RT, 1 HR.



Figure 75. Laminate Compaction Study - No Vacuum and High Pressure

No Vacuum used for this compaction cycle of 1 Hr at RT and 100 PSI. Good clear C-SCAN of Prepreg as compared to compared to compactions using Vacuum.



Figure 76. Laminate Compaction Study - No Vacuum and High Pressure

generated, this steam bubble can only collapse by increasing the resin pressure or by lowering the temperature.

En 19 - Andrew Barris and Andrew States

Situations like over-bleeding are eliminated because the resin viscosity is moderately high at the consolidation temperature of 70-100C (160-210F). Resin advancement also proceeds at a very slow rate, so if the laminate has a large area and more time is required to accomplish the consolidation, time is available without adverse effects on the remaining cure cycle.

2.7.2 SECOND COMPACTION STUDY. The effective consolidation of the laid-up stack of prepreg during cure is the first critical step to procuring good, void-free composites. Two major sources have been identified as causing the majority of composite voids during processing. The first source is merely mechanical voids, air pockets trapped between plies during the lay-up operation. These air pockets are literally squeezed out of the laminate when the viscosity of the resin drops during heating and pressure is applied. The second source and probably the worst culprit in processing appears to be absorbed water which is evolved later in the cure cycle when the resin viscosity is too high to allow lateral transport to edge of the laminate. It is particularly troublesome because even though the overall concentration of water in the prepreg is not that high, local concentrations, however, do get high. These local concentrations can manifest themselves as generated voids. The Fort Worth Division has done preliminary work with the consolidation of prepreg which correlates well with the results generated at the Convair Division. They have shown that consolidation takes place early in the cure cycle if sufficient pressure is applied and voids are generated (presumably by water vapor evolution) at higher temperatures in the cure schedule.

These empirical observations are corroborated by work done at Rockwell, where investigators found that degassing of the prepreg prior to consolidation and cure allows the production of void-free parts. Our own studies concerning the drying of 5208/T-300 prepreg with chemical desiccants also confirm these results.

Apparantly, there are two routes to take to obtain good composites. One is to degas (remove all the volatiles prior to compaction) the prepreg and then cure without the requirement of high pressure and the second method is to impart effective pressure into the resin during the cure process such that volatiles are never allowed to vaporize and form voids.

General Dynamics has developed several methods to introduce into the resin during cure thus avoiding generated voids. The next logical investigation was to quantify the time, temperature, and pressure that must be applied to a layup of given dimensions to assure that all of the mechanically entrapped voids have been removed.

The objective of the compaction study investigation was to establish an optimum pre-cure thermal/pressure cycle for consolidating thick composites. The criteria for selecting the best compaction profile would depend on effectively debulking the laminate at the lowest possible temperature (thus precluding any significant resin advancement) as well as demonstrating the capability to yield a minimal void content.

The composite panels used in this study were prepared by hand-layup using 50 plies of Fiberite's T-300/976 graphite/epoxy prepreg; bagged in order to pull vacuum, and compacted within an air cavity press. Full vacuum, then a pressure of 85 psi were applied at room temperature followed by ramping up to a specified temperature at 3 C/min (5F/min), and holding

for the desired amount of time. At the end of the dwell, the pressure was released, vacuum vented, and the panel removed and allowed to cool in an ambient environment.

Assessment of the quality of the laminate compaction was accomplished using the In-Service Inspection System (NDI technique) developed at General Dynamics Fort Worth Division under Air Force Contract F33615-78C-5152 entitled "In-Service Inspection System Producibility." This system offers the user the choice of three software packages for NDI of honeycomb laminates, or adhesively bonded structures. During this investigation, the latter two programs were utilized. Although the two types of scan incorporate the same hardware, there are fundamental differences. The LAMINATE scan has the time gates set between the front and back surfaces and can discriminate and locate flaws having diameters greater than 0.1 inches through the thickness of the composite. On the other hand, an ADHESIVE BOND scan, having the time gate set only at the back surface, in essence measures the attenuation of the transmitted signal lost between the front and back surfaces. Thus, the LAMINATE scan can yield information from which the macroscopic void content can be determined while the ADHESIVE BOND scan is indicative of the microscopic void content or how well a panel is consolidated. Generally, for the LAMINATE scan, the higher the gain setting required to idenfity macroporosity the better the panel in that respect. Since, however, this parameter is normally kept constant for a given thickness, the primary indicator is the amount of data (voids) shown within the boundaries of the panel. For the ADHESIVE BOND scans, on the other hand, the gain setting is adjusted until the amplitude level returning from the back surface over the entire panel remains primarily

between 0 and full scale of the CRT screen displaying the wave form. If possible, the mean amplitude level should be at 50 percent of full scale. Here the gain level setting is the most sensitive indicator as a change of 1 dB equates to approximately a 25 percent change in the amplitude level. A lower gain level corresponds to better consolidation since less transmitted power is required to receive a signal from the back surface. It is important to note and understand the differences between the LAMINATE and ADHESIVE BOND scans regarding the interpretation of gain level prior to proceeding with this test. In all, six different laminate compaction profiles were examined and are listed below:

- 1. 0° undirectional, consolidated for 15 minutes at 160F.
- 2. 0/90 crossply, consolidated for 15 minutes at 160F.
- 3. 0/90 crossply, consolidated for 15 minutes at 180F.
- 4. 0/90 crossply, consolidated for 15 minutes at 200F.
- 5. 0/90 crossply, consolidated for 60 minutes at 160F.
- 6. 0/90 crossply, no consolidation.

Although a LAMINATE scan was performed on the unidirectional panel, the results were so superior to the crossply panels that the gains had to be adjusted to 90 dB before any substantial data could be recorded. At this level, however, data is more apt to represent artifacts such as ply splices, resin rich pockets, and multiple reflections. It is evident from the LAMINATE scan that the consolidated unidirectional panel contained no macroscopic voids. Furthermore, the ADHESIVE BOND scan demonstrates that its compaction state is also superior having a gain setting of only 50 which is at least 10 dB better than any crossply panel examined. These results are, of course, related to the fact that for a unidirectional composite

the fibers between plies are capable of nesting. The propitious compaction state for this panel made it apparent that further examination of unidirectional composites would be unproductive.

Another case for which recorded data is lacking involves the unconsolidated crossply (#6). This panel was so bulky that a signal from the back surface could not be received. In fact, in an attempt to employ the ADHESIVE BOND program on this laminate compaction state, the gain was adjusted up to 106 dB at 5 MHz without success. In light of what follows, this exemplifies the benefit which can be achieved by applying an appropriate compaction cycle.

The following discussion will be confined to data collected from the ADHESIVE BOND scans of the 0/90 crossplied specimens (#2-5). The manner by which this data was assessed will need some explanation. Data sheet "a" for each compaction state (previously identified by the laminate profile number) is in essence an information sheet listing all of the scan parameters, the most important being the gain level obtained by adding items 20 and 21. In some cases, after initiation of a scan, the determination would be made that the fine gain setting required readjusting. This is identifiable by checking post comments. For example, on D. S. 3a, item 31 (Figure 77) indicates that the fine gain was actually 4 dB, thus the gain level during this scan was 64 dB rather than 63 dB. Data sheet "b" (Figure 78) represents the area scan map. The white blocks are indicative of no return from the back surface. Data sheet "c" (Figure 79) (discrimination limits set at none) is a record displaying the data, denoted by the dark blocks having amplitudes above a bias setting which is internal to the program. Data sheets "d" through "k" (Figures 80 through 87) separate the amplitudes

1. LOCATION GD FT WORTH 2. INSPECTOR BELL 3. DATE/TIMER READING 5 20-83 4. ACFT TYPE/TALL NO. 0 90 DEG, 180 F 5. PART NAME/SERIAL NO. 15 MIN. VAL, 85 PSI 6. UNIT # - SKIN # 10 7. TAPE RECORD # 1 8. CELL SIZE SQ = .2 9, CALIBRATION LIST #2 ADHESIVE BOND 10. REF STD.NO. N/A 11. TRANSDUCER TYPE .5 ANAMETRIC 12. TRANSDUCER FREQ (MH2 5 13. BUFFER DELAY .75" AC YLIC 14. REP RATE (KHZ) 3 15. P/R FREQ (MHZ) BB 16. PULSE LENGTH (DAMPIN) 1 17. VIDEO REJECT 7 18. FILTER LO 19. DIFFERENTIAL ON 20. GAIN COARSE 60 21. GAIN FINE 3 22. DEC START LEFT OF CR 23. DEC SLOPE (%) 18 24. RANGE 2 25. MATL VEL 0.0 26. DELAY COARSE 0-4 27. DELAY FINE 2.7 28. FRONT SURF GATE (.1 SEC UNITS) 7 29. LOST COUPLING VÁLUE .1 USEC UNITS) 90 30. MAX STEP (PLIES) Ø COMMENTS: 31. FINE GAIN = 40B. POS LOGIC 32. REJ =3, GAIN= 84 DB OR WAVE FORMS 33. 34.

Figure 77. Data Sheet for Scan Parameters of Compaction State #3

```
LOCATION GD FT WORTH
INSPECTOR BELL
DATE/TIMER READING 5 20-83
ACFT TYPE/TAIL NO. 0 90 DEG, 180 F
PART NAME/SERIAL NO. 15 MIN. VAC, 85 PSI
UNIT # - SKIN # 10
TAPE RECORD # 1
CELL SIZE SQ = .2
```



Figure 73. Area Scan Map of Compaction State #3

DISCRIMINATION L MITS NONE



TARGET POINTS X = 17.6, Y = 07.2 X = 19.6, Y = 17.5X = 05.7, Y = 19.6

1.5

Figure 79. Data Display of Compaction State #3 With Discrimination Limits Set at None

A ()

Le Co

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 1ST GATE AMP. ABOVE 080 PER ENT



TARGET POINTS X = 17.6, Y = 07.2 X = 19.6, Y = 17.5 X = 05.7, Y = 19.6

> Figure 80. Data Display of Compaction State #3 With 80 Percent or Greater Amplitude Discrimination

> > 139

17 **6 1 6 1** 5

and the second of the second o

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 1ST GATE AMP. BELOW 080 PER ENT



TARGET POINTS X = 17.6, Y = 07.2 X = 19.6, Y = 17.5 X = 05.7, Y = 19.6



1

14. 14 Jan

مروضي مريحتي م

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 1ST GATE AMP. ABOVE 060 PER ENT



Figure 82. Data Display of Compaction State #3 With 60 Percent of Greater Amplitude Discrimination

DISCRIMINATION L NITS 1st gate amp. below 060 per ent



a feilige a frank a feilige frank a feilige a feilige

TARGET POINTS X = 17.6, Y = 07.2 X = 19.6, Y = 17.5 X = 05.7, Y = 19.6



DISCRIMINATION L MITS 1st gate amp. Above 040 per ent



THRGET POINTS X = 17.6, Y = 07.2 X = 19.6, Y = 17.5 X = 05.7, Y = 19.6



DISCRIMINATION L MITS 1ST GATE AMP. BELOW 040 PER ENT



Charles of the Party of the Party of the Party of the Lee of the Lee of the Lee of the Constraint of

TARGET POINTS X = 17.6, Y = 07.2 X = 19.6, Y = 17.5 X = 05.7, Y = 19.6

Figure 85. Data Display of Compaction State #3 With Less Than 40 Percent Amplitude Discrimination

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 1ST GATE AMP. ABOVE 020 PER ENT



TARGET POINTS X = 17.6, Y = 07.2 X = 19.6, Y = 17.5 X = 05.7, Y = 19.6

1. Carlos

Figure 86. Data Display of Compaction State #3 With 20 Percent or Greater Amplitude Discrimination

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 1ST GATE AMP. BELOW 020 PER ENT



TARGET POINTS X = 17.6, Y = 07.2 X = 19.6, Y = 17.5 X = 05.7, Y = 19.6

Figure 87. Data Display of Compaction State #3 with Less Than 20% Amplitude Discrimination

というできたというないないでしていたのであっていっていたが、「おおいやや

returning from the back surface into percentages of full scale. For instance, D. S. 3d (Figure 80) shows the amount of data whose returning amplitudes were in excess of 80 percent full scale for a gain setting of 67 dB while D. S. 3e (Figure 81) shows the data having amplitudes beneath 80 percent. In presenting the data in this form, one can assess what percentage of full scale is representative of the average amplitude. For example, in compaction state 2 we find the average amplitude lies at approximately 55 percent of full scale for a given level of 57 dB. For the sake of comparison, we can convert the parcoastile corresponding to the average amplitude to dB and adjust the gain levels as wrdingly. Recalling that a 1 dB change in gain equates approximately the 5 percent change in amplitude, the normalized gain levels for competiion states 2, 3, 4, and 5 would be 64.8, 62.0, 61.8 and 59.0 dB, respectively. Let's examine this information more closely. Corpution states z_3 , 3, and 4 all have a 15 minute dwell for their respective temperatures of 71C, 82C, and 93C (160, 180, and 200F). The normalized gain levels suggest that consolidation is enhanced as the dwell temperature in increased. However, it does so asymptotically such that there is little compaction benefit in a 93C (200F) over a 82C (180F) dwell temperature especially since a higher temperature would also lead a higher matrix advancement state. On the other hand, by extending the dwell period to 1 hour at a temperature of 71C (160F) the case for compaction profile 5, we see a significantly

S. S. S. S. S.

and the second second

augmented consolidation state.

The LAMINATE scans for compaction profile 2, 3, 4, and 5 are found in Figures 88 through 94, respectively). Data sheet Figure 88, represents the apparent void content (real and artifact) throughout the entire thickness of the laminate while the sequential sheets contain the flaw data for specified thickness modules found under the heating "discrimination limits." We have found that a majority of the data shown on data sheet "m" for each compaction state is primarily artifact. Due to surface irregularity and tackiness and their interaction with the scanning transducer, anomalies (historically) appear within module 0-13 (first 1.3 µsec or 10 plies) and module 55-63 (5.3-6.3 usec or bottom six plies). For this reason an additional data sheet ("r") has been included and contains the same flaw information as "m" with the exception of the two anomalous modules, which have been eliminated. Inspection of what is known to be the true macroscopic void state unequivocally demonstrates that the panels exposed to the lower temperature of 71C (160F) contain none or significantly fewer voids than the panels subjected to the higher dwell temperatures.

In conclusion, we have found that for a unidirectional thick laminate, compaction profile 1 [15 minutes at 71C (160F)] under vacuum plus 0.586 MPa (85 psi) not only consolidates well but will also result in a near void-free pre-cured laminate.

A cured panel of 5208/T300 (PP-21) was sectioned and inspected with ISIS to compare to the Convair through C-scan. The ISIS scan matched very well with the through C-scan and gave additional evidence of void distribution through the thickness. Figures 95 through 99 show the total C-scan and sections through the thickness. Note that the majority of the voids are in the bottom third of the panel (nearest the tooling surface). This observation

1. LOCATION GD FT WORTH 2. INSPECTOR BELL 3. DATE/TIMER READING 5 20-83 4. ACFT TYPE/TAIL NO. 0 90 DEG, 180 F 5. PART NAME/SERIAL NO. 15 MIN. VAC, 85 PSI 6. UNIT # - SKIN # 10 7. TAPE RECORD # 002 8. CELL SIZE SQ = .2 9. CALIBRATION LIST #1 LAMINATE 10. REF STD.NO. N/A 11. TRANSDUCER TYPE .5 ANAMETRIC 12. TRANSDUCER FREQ (MHZ 5 13. BUFFER DELAY .75" AC YLIC 14. REP RATE (KHZ) 3 15. P/R FREQ (MHZ) BB 16. PULSE LENGTH (DAMPIN > 1 17. VIDEO REJECT 3 18. FILTER LO 19. DIFFERENTIAL ON 20. GAIN COARSE 70 21. GAIN FINE Ø 22. DEC START LEFT OF CR 23. DEC SLOPE (%) 18 -24. RANGE 2 25. MATL VEL 0.0 26. DELAY COARSE 0-4 27. DELAY FINE 2.7 28. FRONT SURF GATE (.1 SEC UNITS) 7 29. LOST COUPLING VALUE .1 USEC UNITS) 73 30. MAX STEP (PLIES) 6 COMMENTS: 31. NONE 32. REJ =3, GAIN= 84 DB OR WAVE FORMS 32. 34.

Figure 88. Data Sheet for Laminate Scan Parameters of Compaction State #3

DISCRIMINATION L MITS NONE



TARGET POINTS X = 17.7, Y = 07.4 X = 17.9, Y = 19.2X = 05.9, Y = 19.6

Figure 89. Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 - (Laminate Scan Mode - Discrimination None)

1990 A.

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 2ND GATE DPTH (FNGE 014 TO 23



TARGET POINTS X = 17.7, Y = 07.4 X = 17.9, Y = 19.2X = 05.9, Y = 19.6

Figure 90. Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 Discrimination Depth of 14 to 23 μ sec (Laminate Scan)

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 2ND GRTE DPTH RANGE 024 TO 33



TARGET POINTS X = 17.7, Y = 07.4 X = 17.9, Y = 19.2 X = 05.9, Y = 19.6

Figure 91. Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 Discrimination Depth of 24 to 33 μ sec (Laminate Scan)

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 2ND GATE DPTH RANGE 034 TO 43

ANALYSIS ANALYSIS ANALYSIS ANALYSIS

あると言語を見



TARGET POINTS X = 17.7, Y = 07.4 X = 17.9, Y = 19.2 X = 05.9, Y = 19.6

Figure 92. Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 Discrimination Depth of 34 to 43 μ sec

153

いたからない

المؤهدة لمرتبعة المرجوع

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 2ND GATE DPTH RANGE 044 TO 53



TARGET POINTS X = 17.7, Y = 07.4 X = 17.9, Y = 19.2X = 05.9, Y = 19.6

Figure 93. Apparent Void Content of Compaction State #3 Discrimination Depth of 44 to 53 μ sec (Laminate Scan)

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 2ND GATE DPTH RANGE 014 TO 54

いいという

1. Sec. 1

Ser Brend & Low Server

.....



TARGET POINTS X = 17.7, Y = 07.4 X = 17.9, Y = 19.2 X = 05.9, Y = 19.6



a and a second a second a second a second



المراجع والمسالين

1. LOCATION GD/FT WORTH

3. DATE/TIMER READING 4 29/83

5. PART NAME/SERIAL NO. PP-21

4. ACFT TYPE/TAIL NO. 6 PLY LAMINATE

2. INSPECTOR BELL

6. UNIT # - SKIN #

Figure 95. 5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo C-Scan

156

1. LOCATION GD/FT WORTH 2. INSPECTOR BELL 3. DATE/TIMER READING 4 29/83 4. ACFT TYPE/TAIL NO. 6 PLY LAMINATE 5. PART NAME/SERIAL NO. PP-21 6. UNIT # - SKIN # 7. TAPE RECORD # 2 8. CELL SIZE SQ = .2

a water and the second

;

DISCRIMINATION L MITS .. 2ND GATE DPTH RANGE 009 TO 12



Radio and she washes the a sector of the state of the sector of the sector of the sector of the sector of the s

Figure 96. 5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo Scan Discrimination Depth of 9 to 12 µsec

ちょうかん うちん ちょうちょう

1. LOCATION GD/FT WORTH 2. INSPECTOR BELL 3. DATE/TIMER READING 4 29/83 4. ACFT TYPE/TAIL NO. 6 PLY LAMINATE 5. PART NAME/SERIAL NO. PP-21 6. UNIT # - SKIN # 7. TAPE RECORD # 2 8. CELL SIZE SQ = .2





TARGET POINTS X = 15.7, Y = 09.9 X = 07.6, Y = 09.4 X = 15.0, Y = 16.4

> Figure 97. 5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo Scan Discrimination Depth of 13 to 24 µsec

158

a second states and the second states and the second states and the second states and the second states and the







TARGET POINTS X = 15.7, Y = 09.9 X = 07.6, Y = 09.4X = 15.0, Y = 16.4

Figure 98. 5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo Scan Discrimination Depth of 24 to 34 usec LOCATIÓN GD FT WURTH
INSPECTOR BELL
DATE/TIMER READING 4 29/83
ACFT TYPE/TAIL NO. 6 PLY LAMINATE
PART NAME/SERIAL NO. PP-21
UNIT # - SKIN #
TAPE RECORD # 2
CELL SIZE SQ = .2

DISCRIMINATION L MITS 2ND GATE DPTH RANGE 035 TO 52



Figure 99. 5208 Laminate (PP-21) ISIS Pulse Echo Scan Discrimination Depth of 35 to 52 µsec From Bottom appears to be general with all the microsections of 0.61 m by 0.61 m (24 by 24 in), 64 ply T-300/5208 laminates.

2.7.3 <u>TACK TENSION TESTING.</u> It became apparent during the process development phase of this program that there appeared to be significant differences in tack between Narmco's 5208/T-300 and Fiberite's 1076E prepreg. It was hypothesized that the increased tack of the 5208 made it difficult to remove mechnically entrapped air pockets established during the lay up operations.

A method was devised whereby we could get a relative idea of the level of prepreg tack. Two 10 ply, 0° , 90° stacks of prepreg were placed between two flatwise tension blocks and loads of 0.103 and 0.689 MPa (15 and 100 psi, respectively) were applied to each set of blocks for a period of 5 minutes at room temperature. The blocks were removed from the press and immediately subjected to tension testing. A significant difference in tack tension was noted between 5208/T-300 prepreg and 3502/AS and 1076E prepreg.

Figure 100 shows the flatwise tension blocks used and data associated with loading at 0.103 MPa and 0.596 MPa (15 and 100 psi, respectively). The data shows a difference of 2 to 6 times higher tack tension for 5208/T-300 at ambient temperature than for the other two materials tested.

2.8 VOID ETIOLOGY

and the state of the second second

語言語語ない。

To accurately model cure behavior and improve laminate quality, it is crucial to understand void etiology. またいとう とうごうせん たいちょう しゅうくう しゅうくう しょう たんちょう たんしょう たんしょう しゅう しゅう

Review of photomicrographs of laminate cross-sections from this program and from the F-16 Production Program indicated that voids and porosity occurred between the ply interfaces of the laminates. This suggested that some kind of a surface condition of the prepreg was involved in the void forming



<u>Material</u> 5208/T-300 Batch 1864	0.103 MPa (15 psi) Load Applied 'MPa (psi) at Separation		0.586 MPa (100 psi) Load Applied MPa (psi) at Separation	
	3502/AS H-1957	0.050	(7.2)	0.097
1076E C2-347	0.024	(3.5)	0.303	(44)

Figure 100. Prepreg Flatwise Tack Tension Data

process. Although the initial model for void formation involved heterogeneous nucleation about fibers, the photomicrographic records support a different process. Accordingly, alternate models were considered.

One of the candidate models involved moisture diffusion into a mechanically formed void or pocket. It was hypothesized that during cure the moisture in the void created a pressure that inhibited the flow of resin into the void. This mechanism required maintenance of the mechanical condition that originally formed the void. Such conditions could be wrinkles in a ply formed during layup, uneven thickness in the preceeding ply, or ply bridging over surface particulate contamination.

Using this concept, we attempted to purposely create voids in a laminate using 5208/T-300 prepreg. A 30.5 cm by 30.5 cm (12 in by 12 in) by 52-ply panel was laid up in a 50 percent relative humidity environment. In plies 20 through 26, small irregularities in ply thickness were created by grinding the surface

of a ply with a 0.159 cm (0.626 in) wide abrasive vieel. This removed material was a "footprint" about 0.152 cm by 0.635 cm (0.06 in by 0.25 in) to a depth of about 0.002 cm (0.001 in). Several such pockets were created, and ply gaps were formed by laying tape so that the edges did not completely touch. In addition, cured neat resin was ground up, passed through a 325-mesh screen, and sprinkled on a ply surface at locations that were 2.5, 5.1, and 7.6 cm (1, 2, and 3 inches) from a panel corner. After cure, the panel was ultrasonically inspected, sectioned, and photomicrographed. Some voids were found in the panel, but not at locations where actempts had been made to create voids. All such locations apparently filled with resin without creating a void. Figure 101 shows the voids which were found and also shows some ply gaps which were filled with resin.

Another candidate model to explain interfacial void formation involved preprez surface contamination with release agent from the release paper. In the case of release agent contamination creating voids, the surface tensions should be very low. A low surface tension should cause the void nucleation rate to assume its highest value.

To evaluate this possibility, tests were conducted to attempt formation of interfacial voids in a laminate by purposeful contamination of ply faces with silicone. A 0.305 m by 0.305 m (12 in by 12 in) by 52 ply laminate of T-300/5208 was laid up and cured with various means of incorporating silicone. This included hot rolling of release paper onto prepreg, addition of release agent shavings from release paper, and spot contamination with silicone fluid. Layup was performed in a 50 percent relative humidity environment with each ply exposed to the air for about an hour. NDI of the cured laminate did not reveal any voids at the contamination sites. Two factors could contribute to this result. First, the panel size could have been too small to reliably


VOIDS AT PLIES 9,15,&17

LARGE RESIN FILLED PLY GAPS

Figure 101. 52 Ply Narmao T-300/5208 Batch 1721

result in voids. Secondly, no particulates, such as sirborne dust, were purposely added. Such particulates may be required, in combination with release agent contamination, to achieve void formation by serving as initiation sites with void growth occurring at the interface of the release agent and the particulates.

A laminate in which interfacial voids occurred for unknown reasons has been examined for evidence of silicone contamination. The laminate was cleaved open in the plane of the void and subjected to scanning electron microscopy (SEM) examination. No significant evidence of silicone was desected. The SEM method, however, is probably not sufficiently sensitive to detect very thin layers of silicone contamination.

Tests have selectively examined the possible involvement of three different factors. One factor was release agent contamination of the prepreg surfaces. Laminates have been made with purposeful release agent contamination or prepreg plies, and with propreg specially made on release-free, clean Mylat. Neither showed significant effects in voids. A second factor that was examined involved DADS curing agent concentrations. Tests of special resin mixtures with different concentrations of DADS showed no significant difference in amount or relie of ve atile evolution. Laminates cured with resin that involved no particulate type curing agent also produced interlaminar voids. These results indicate that DADS particles screened toward the surface of the prepreg are not the cause of interlaminar voids. The third factor that has been examined involves surface contamination by dust particles, which could serve as nucleation sites for voids. These investigations also have proved to be negative and do not explain the interlaminar character of voids.

Tests of the silicone treated release paper used with T-300/5208 were conducted to determine if there are conditions that favor loss of some

release agent. Thermomechanical analysis (TMA) using the penetration mode were run to look for evidence of softening. Figure 102 is a plot of probe deflection versus temperature which shows some kind of softening or thickness reduction in the as-received paper. Thinking that this might be the result of moisture loss during test, paper samples were dried at room temperature and at 132C (270F) (see Figures 103 and 104, respectively). Both show an apparent softening at about 38C (100F) showing that the effect was not a result of moisture. It has not been determined whether this was a result of behavior of the silicone or the paper, but the silicone was suspected.

All of the current physical evidence suggest that voids are only formed at ply interfaces, presumably as a result of entrapped air, nucleation of water vapor voids, or combinations of these phenomena. Attempts to purposely cause voids by contaminating prepreg ply faces with silicone release agent were unsuccessful. Attempts to purposely create voids by mechanically introducing air pockets were also unsuccessful.

Because of the nature of the dependence of water vapor pressure on temperature (an exponential relationship), it might be possible that air voids can be stabilized by the introduction of water vapor. This could account for the observations that exposure of air-free prepreg to high humidity does not necessarily result in voids, and that purposely introduced air without high humidity exposure also does not yield voids.

Earlier in this program it was observed that panel size and thickness are related to the probablility of void formation when 0.586 MPa (85 psi) cure pressure is used. An area of at least 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) and a thickness of at least 60 plies were found to be necessary for occurrence of voids. Because this size penel was expensive to make, the relationship of lower cure pressure and panel size was investigated. Vacuum bag cure was



Figure 102, TMA Penetration of T-300/5208 Release Paper, 4 GM, Load #1



Figure 103. TMA Penetration of T-300/5208 Release Paper Dried 24 Hours at RT, #1



いたい、世にい、 このであるかので、

Figure 104. TMA Penetration of T-300/5208 Release Paper Dried 5 Hours at 132C (270F)

found to produce interlaminar voids in unidirectional panels as small as 7.6 cm by 76 cm (3 in by 3 in) by 15 plies. These voids were found to be of the same character as voids occurring in much larger and thicker laminates. The economy of such a small panel, therefore, made it the preferred size and vacuum bag curing the preferred method for studying the cause of interlaminar voids. Fiberite's T-300-976 graphite/epoxy prepreg was selected for all tests because it was found to produce interlaminar voids when vacuum bag cured, even though it was one of the better materials for void-free large laminates when cured at 0.586 MPs (85 psi). The cure cycle used for the vacuum bag cure of all such panels involved heating to 132C (270F) at 1-2C/min. (2-4F/min.), dwelling at 132C (270F) for 90-100 min., neating to 79C (355F) at 1-2C/min. (2-4F/min.), and dwelling at 179C (355F) for 4 hours. Vacuum was maintained throughout the cure.

One of the objectives of these experiments was to determine whether voids form at the ply-to-ply interfaces or whether they form within plies and then migrate to an interface. To make this determination, laminates were cured in both the customary flat position and in a vertical position, i.e., turned on edge. For the vertical position, a void that might form within a ply would have to move horizontally to get to an interface, a direction for which no buoyancy forces would exist. Two 7.6 cm by 7.6 cm (3 in. by 3 in.) by 15-ply unidirectional laminates were vacuum bag cured in the vertical position. In one laminate, the fibers were perpendicular to the floor, but in the other they were parallel. Because earlier vacuum exposures of prepreg immersed in oil had shown that bubbles traveled along fibers, it was thought that perpendicularly oriented fibers in a vertical panel might favor buoyant void removal from the top edge of the panel. However, photomicrographic examinations showed no significant void differences between horizontally and vertically cured panels or between the two vertically cured panels with the different fiber orientation. In the vertically cured panels, there was no difference in void distribution from the bottom to the top edge. This indicated that there was no buoyantly induced void movement. Altogether, the interfacial voids in the vertically cured panels indicated that the voids form at the interfaces rather than forming inside a ply and then migrating to an interface.

Because all photomicrographic evidence indicated that voids form and remain at the ply-to-ply interfaces, a series of experiments were initiated to identify the factor(s) that cause interfacial voids. One of the first candidate causes examined was air entrapped between the plies during layup. It was hypothesized that such air pockete could become stabilized against collapse by the autoclave cure pressure through the process of moisture diffusion into the air pockets.

The first attempt to evaluate layup entrapped air involved a "belljar" de-aeration cycle. A laminate with a vacuum bag over it was covered with a belljar, as indicated by Figure 105, and vacuum was drawn above and below the bag. Vacuum above the bag was drawn at a slightly faster rate so that the bag "floated" and exerted no compacting force on the laminate. The laminate was heated for 45 minutes at 82C (180F) in this condition. Then the vacuum above the bag was vented, the tool was placed in a cavity press, and 0.586 MPa (85 psi) compacting pressure was applied at 82C (180F). Then the pressure was removed and the laminate was vacuum bag cured. Photomicrographs showed extensive interlaminar voids, as shown by Figure 106.

ŎŊġĊŎĔĸŎŔĨŇŦŔĨĬŎŶĔĸĬŊĬĔĬŔĬĔŔĹŶĹŎŔĹĸĬŔĸĊŔĬĬĊŔĬĹŎŔĬĿŎŔĬĿŎŔĬĿŎŔĬĸŎĸĬĸŎĊŔĬĿŎŶĹŎŔĬĊŔĬĿŎŔĬĿŎŔĬĿŎŔĬĿŎ

Post-mortem explanations suggested that tack of the prepreg had locked entrapped air between the plies and that a vacuum environment was not adequate to pull this eir out of the layup. Therefore, it was considered that layup and bagging of a laminate in a vacuum environment would be necessary to prove the role of entrapped air. This was accomplished using the belljar setup indicated in Figure 107. The 15 plies of the laminate were placed in a fanned arrangement with a layer of TX-1040 release coated fabric between each ply. A beiljar was placed over the fan of material, and a vacuum was drawn so that there could be no air between the plies. Then a remote manipulator rod was used to withdraw the TX-1040 layers and to tamp down the plies. Remote rods were then used to position a vacuum bag over the layup and to seal it in place. Then the belljar was vented, and a leak-check indicated no leaks. The laminate was vacuum bag cured then. Photomicrographs showed that thus panel also contained interlaminar voids. The experiment was repeated except that an 0.586 MFa (85 psi) compaction step was added just prior to vacuum-bag cure. The thought was that any void could be stabilized by diffused moisture, whether it was filled with air or not. The compaction



les falle hall a falle falle falle falle falle falle

المنافع والمراقبة والمراجبة والمحافية المروك والمروك والمروك والمروك والمروك والمروك والمراجبة والمروك والمراجبة والمروك والمروك

and the second of the second o

1.00

171

8AG ~0.011

TOOL

PROCESS STEPS:

- (1) VACUUM PULLED ABOVE AND BELOW BAG
- (2) LAMINATE HELD AT, 180°F FOR **45 MINUTES**
- (3) VACUUM ABOVE BAG VENTED, THEN HELD FOR 45 MINUTES
- (4) LAMINATE COMPACTED AT 85 PSI AND 180°F FOR 45 MINUTES
- (5) LAMINATE VACUUM BAG CURED AT 350°F

Figure 106. Photomicrograph of Interlaminar Voids After Vacuum Bag Deaeration



step involved 0.586 MPa (85 psi) for 30 minutes at 54C (130F). This temperature was used because it would aid compaction by softening the resin without causing a terribly high diffusion rate. However, the resulting laminate also had interlaminar voids. Thus, it was concluded that layup entrapped air or voids are not a significant factor in causing interfacial voids when doing a vacuum bag cure.

By inference, then, it appears that the voids form at the ply interfaces because of some surface chemical condition that either favors void nucleation or that causes the release of gases at the interface. The following candidate factors have been or are under consideration.

annual varant concer solution

- a. Moisture is absorbed on the surfaces of the plies, as well as absorbed within the plies, and is released at the interface as a vapor at some temperature below resin gelation.
- b. Carbon dioxide is released from the DADS curing agent as the reversible part of a CO_2 -amine reaction.
- c. Some other reaction results from having DADS screened to the ply surfaces during prepregging.
- d. Release agent from the carrier paper contaminates the prepreg with a film that is either volatilizable or that favors void nucleation by reduction of surface tension.

Tests have been completed which sought to remove any moisture that might be absorbed on the surface of the prepreg prior to layup. Plies were flash heated to 176C (350F) with a heat lamp in a dry argon atmosphere and held at 176C (350F) for 20-30 seconds. These are conditions that are normally adequate to Tash water off of most surfaces. Figure 108 shows the experimental setup. The flash heated plies were cooled and then laid up and bagged in a dry argon atmosphere to prevent re-absorption of moisture. After vacuum bag curing, photomicrographs showed that interlaminar voids still resulted.



Figure 108. Flash Heating and Lay up Apparatus

2. 1. 1. 2. S. 1. 1.

Results of a Rockwell cure (Section 2.10.6) have indicated that a degassing step of the prepreg or the lay up at 132C (270F) for 75 minutes was adequate to remove the volatiles prior to the cure step. The volatile removal step in the void etiology study apparently was not adequate to completely remove the volatiles prior to cure.

It appears from the digestion of all of the data obtained on this program that the main source of voids are either mechanical or generated in nature. Mechanical void elimination is dependent on adequate pressure/ time/temperature conditions. Generated voids result when the volatiles contained in the prepreg or layup boil at a critical temperature and pressure. The water absorption studies indicated that as water is absorbed, a concentration gradient across the thickness of the isminate exists (unless equilibration has been allowed to occur) with the highest concentration at or near the ply surfaces.

「「現在的時代」、文章的時代現代で、「文法学校」であった。

学校は認知法が考え、「学校がある」というには対応のよう

As a result of the pressure transducer studies, it became apparent that a critical processing parameter of graphite/epoxy laminates had been discovered. During processing, the resin pressure was always quite low with regard to the applied pressure. If the uncured laminate had absorbed sufficient moisture during the cooking, prepregging or layup operation, this moisture could be evolved at temperatures greater than 100C (212F) dependent directly on the resin pressure. A main criteria for the prevention of void generation from volatile evolution within the resin was the control of the resin pressure during the cure process.

and a summer of a summer of the

Two techniques were developed which have been shown to introduce and maintain pressure into the epoxy resin during cure and thereby inhibit the formation of voids due to volatile evolution. The high pressure in the liquid resin, in essence, raises the boiling point of the water sufficiently to minimize the volatile evolution at the cure temperature. The first technique was termed the "bagless" cure because it was based simply on the cure of a consolidated, voidfree prepreg stack in an autoclave without the mechanical contrivance of a bag to introduce pressure into the resin. The hydrostatic pressure introduced into the autoclave was the pressure felt by the resin. Experiments have been accomplished to confirm these statements and are presented later in this report. The bagless cure began as an academic exercise employed to demonstrate how pressure can simply be introduced into the resin during cure.

The second approach was termed the "internally pressurized bag" technique. It was a variation of the bagless cure in that the layup and cure process was essentially conventional, employing a nylon bag, however, the interior of the bag was pressurized with nitrogen to establish a minimum pressure into the resin to guarantee that moisture voids would not be allowed to occur. The pressure in the bag was obviously less than the autoclave pressure and the pressure differential was used to maintain the laminate geometry on the tooling surface.

To demonstrate these new processing approaches, several laminates were prepared and analyzed. The first technique, the bagless cure, showed that a thick-section laminate (greater than 2.60 cm thick) can be cured into a voidfree part by only employing a consolidation step and a hyperbaric cure. 2.9.1 <u>BAGLESS CURING OF A 3.05 CM (1.2 IN) THICK COMPOSITE</u>. For the 201 ply (0°, 90°) 3.05 cm (1.2 in) thick laminate, a pressure compaction for one hour at room temperature with 0.689 MPa (100 psi) was employed for consolidation of the prepreg stack (Fiberite 1076E net resin prepreg). This is a critical step necessary for the removal of entrapped air pockets incorporated into the stack during the normal layup operation.

After compaction of the 201 ply prepreg stack, the nylon bag, fiberglass bleeder and porous Teflon coated glass separator film were removed from the compacted prepreg layup. The simple removal of the nylon bag allowed complete pressure to be imparted into the resin during pressurization of the autoclave. The unbagged layup was placed in an autoclave and 0.689 MPa (100 psi) pressure applied. Since net resin prepreg was employed, low flow compaction cycle, any change to higher resin content material would necessitate a prebleeding procedure to reduce the resin content prior to a bagless cure.

Experiments were conducted where cavity pressure transducers were positioned on the bottom of prepreg stacks and embedded within the thickness of the stack. In both cases, the cavity transducers recorded the same pressure as the autoclave gauges, thus demonstrating that indeed the resin was seeing the full pressurization of the autoclave and not the normally low pressure seen in vacuum bagged/bleeder¹ system cures. These pressure experiments were run over a temperature range from room temperature to 135C (275F).

The diffusivity and solubility studies conducted on this program showed that (regardless of the advancement level of the prepreg) water was easily diffused

A REAL AND DESCRIPTION OF THE REAL AND A REAL

and solubilized in the resin in a rather short period of time at elevated temperature. The quantity of water readily solubilized was as high as 0.4 percent by weight, usually more water than that normally present in the a received prepreg.

La La da de six al sa de al

Rather than develop a cure cycle that provided sufficient time at a ; temperature for complete lateral migration of the entrapped water, which, (course, would be dependent upon laminate area, enough time was allowed at & (190F) for the entrapped water to diffuse uniformally into the resin and thus eliminate local concentrations. Depending upon the quantity of water present, usually 2 to 2.5 hours was an adequate time at 88C (190F) to completely solubilize the water into the resin.

After the dwell cycle at 88C (190F) of 2.5 hours with 0.689 MPa (100 psi) pressure applied to the unbagged laminate, the temperature was increased to 177C (350F) and cured for two hours under pressure at that temperature. The laminate was cooled under pressure to 66C (150F) and removed from the auto-clave.

The resulting laminate cured and precompacted as described, provided excellent C-scans at highly sensitive scan parameters. Figures 109 and 110 are reductions of C-scans of the thick laminate.

The laminate was sectioned and polished for examination under the microscope. Examined sections of the laminate showed no evidence of void presence, confirming the C-scans indication of a void-free laminate. Figures 111 and 112 are photographs of the laminate's polished surface at 50X and 100X magnifications.

Physical properties were determined on the laminate as follows:

Cure ply thickness0.0147 cm/ply (0.0058 in/ply)Fiber volume62 percent



Figure 109. C-Scan of Thick Laminate 3.0 x 1.0 Gain at 5 MHZ



Figure 110. C-Scan of Thick Laminate 1.0 x 1.0 Gain at 5 MHZ



というないですというというというできたとう

À

The Design of the

Figure 111. Micropolished Section of Thick Laminate, 50X



Figure 112. Micropolished Section of Thick Laminate Laminate, 100X

The second state of the second

Specific gravity	1.574 gm/cc			
Calculated void volume	0.2 percent			
Resin content	31 percent by weig			

Mechanical properties were determined on thinner unidirectional and pseudoisotropic laminates fabricated and cured identically to the thick laminate. The short beam shear strength of the unidirectional laminate ranged between 110 MPa to 113.8 MPa (16,000 to 16,500 psi). Flexural strengths determined on the pseudoisotropic 50 ply laminate were 517 to 552 MPa (75 to 80 ksi) with 25 percent of the zero plies in the test direction. Both of these test values were well within anticipated ranges for laminates with a 62 percent fiber volume.

2.9.2 <u>DEVELOPMENT OF BAGLESS CURED TUBES AND HAT SECTIONS</u>. The first, more complex geometric structure to be investigated for its application to the bagless curing technique was a 7 cm (2.75 in) diameter tube approximately 35.6 cm (14 in) in length. The tube was precompacted at 0.103 MPa (15 psi) vacuum bag type pressure for 1 hour at 54C (130F). The vacuum bag and nonporous Teflon film were removed from the compacted tube surface and the tube was cured without a bag in an autoclave.

The bagless cure cycle which provided void- and wrinkle-free tubes consisted of a direct heatup at 2-4C/minute (3-4F/minute) to 177C (350F) followed by a hold for 2 hours at 177C (350F).

A variety of tube diameters, thicknesses, and lengths were fabricated and cured with the bagless technique using Fiberite's 1076E prepreg tape. Diameters of 7, 8, and 33 cm (2.75, 3.5, and 13 in), respectively were fabricated. Number of plies varied from 4 to 20 plies, and the lengths of the tubes varied from 30.5 to 76.2 cm (12 to 30 in).

An indication of some non-uniform resin distribution was evident on some of the tube surfaces. Excess resin merely tended to flow unimpeded on the surface due to gravity. This problem was overcome by simply wrapping porous Armalon tightly on a bias around the outer tube surface. The porous Armalon allows for the excess surface resin to be wicked into the Armalon by capillary action and provides a textured Armalon surface. Experiments with graphite fibers in low viscosity resin systems such as Epon 828/Versamid 125 indicate that the graphite fibers behave somewhat as a thixotrop. Small quantities (2-5 percent) of graphite fibers in the resin will inhibit or even prevent resin flow and a large shear force is required to induce flow. It is possible that the 177C (350F) curing resin interacts with the graphite fibers when it goes through the viscosity minima in the precompacted shape. This prevents or minimizes normal resin flow and thus maintains part shape even under the influence of gravity. Surface resin does not appear to be held as tenacously and flows somewhat on cure. The Armalon wrap prevents this from occurring. The tubes were sectioned and polished for examination to determine the presence of voids. No voids were found in any of the tubes sectioned. In addition to producing void free tubes, the tubes were wrinkle free. The cured thicknesses of the tubes were also correct. Unlike conventional curing methods where vacuum bags are used and pressure sometimes distributes unevenly over the part because of the bagging configuration, bagless curing applies pressure evenly to the resin/graphite surface. With high pressure transmitted to the resin, any remaining void sites are reduced in volume to an insignificant level. At 0.689 MPa (100 psig), the void volume would decrease approximately 85 percent. Figure 113 illustrates the relationship between pressure and void volume. At atmospheric conditions (MPa = 0) the void volume is assumed to be 100 percent, and as the external resin pressure



elana hahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha

Figure 113. Void Volume as a Function of Resin Pressure at Constant Temperature

increases the void volume decreases as shown. A pressure of 0.689 MPa (100 psig) which is a typical autoclave capability and condition for laminate curing decreases void sizes by about 85 percent. A pressure of 138 MPa (200) psig) would reduce the void sizes by 92.5 percent.

An ideal resin system for the bagless curing technique might therefore consist of (1) a low viscosity resin to aid in precompaction of the prepreg layup and (2) an internal thixotrop (such as Cab-O-Sil) to prevent sagging or flow of the surface resin on complex geometries when shear is removed. Being thixotropic, the resin system would flow under the shear forces of the precompaction step. More research into this area is necessary to optimize the bagless cure approach to composite fabrication. The second complex structure investigated for the bagless curing techniques was a hat section 61 cm (2 ft) long with a 5.08 cm (2 in) high by 5.08 cm (2 in) wide hat having 3.81 cm (1.5 in) flanges. The hat section was made from 16 plies of Fiberite's 1076E and consisted of a $(\pm 45^{\circ}_{2}, 0^{\circ}_{8})_{8}$ layup. The same compaction and cure cycle used for the tubes was also used in making the hat section.

The hat section, like the tubes, were also essentially void free parts without wrinkles.

The bagless curing technique demonstrated a significant reduction in manhours and ancillary materials when compared to conventional curing methods. 2.9.3 <u>DEVELOPMENT OF A SILICONE VACUUM BAG PRESS TECHNIQUE</u>. The bagless cure technique requires an initial bagging of the composite layup for precompaction. The precompaction step may include resin bleeding into a bleeder ply if the prepreg is not net resin. The next logical developmental step in optimizing the bagless cure technique is to eliminate the bag and bleeder/breather required for the precompaction step and consolidate the previous two step bagless cure fabrication process to a single step technique. To accomplish this, a clamshell press configuration was developed that employed a high elongation silicone rubber diaphragm as the reusable "bag" for the precompaction step.

Figure 114 illustrates the press configuration with the graphite/epoxy net resin layup on the aluminum hat section. When pressure was applied to the upper chamber, the silicone diaphragm (A) expanded downward onto the tooling surface of the mold conforming precisely to the geometry of the layup and exerting a pressure equal to the pressure differential of the upper and lower halves of the clam shell press (B). The press was heated and held at 66C (150F) for one hour to afford consolidation of all the plies. After consolidation the

12.2.2.2.C

and the second sec



Figure 114. Press Configuration for the Silicone Vacuum Bag Curing Technique

the vacuum is released and the pressure in the upper chamber is bled off. The bottom chamber was then pressurized. The diaphragm is pulled away from the layup due to the pressure and was forced to conform to the geometry of the top chamber (C). The pressure was then increased to the desired level, say 0.689 MPa (100 psig), and the temperature increased to the cure temperature at 1 to 2C/min (2 to 4F/min) and held until cure is complete.

This method allowed the net resin part to be consolidated (precompacted) into the desired geometry and cured without the material requirements of bleeder/breather systems or manhour intensive bagging and debagging operations.

This operation was adaptable to press cures or autoclave configurations with only slight modifications.

Figure 114 illustrates the press configuration and Figure 115 illustrates an autoclave configuration.



Figure 115. Autoclave Configuration for the Silicone Vacuum Bag Curing Technique

An additional remote controlled electric solenoid valve (1) was introduced to equalize the pressure inside the tool fixture with the autoclave pressure after the consolidation has occurred. A slightly higher positive pressure 0.014-0.021 MPa (2-3 psi) was introduced through valve 2 to ensure the removal of the silicone blanket from the tooling surface prior to cure. The silicone diaphragm is treated with mold release and is capable of multiple cures before replacement becomes necessary.

The simple hat section illustrated in Figure 113 was cured employing the press variation of this technique. The hat section fabricated was void free. 2.9.4 <u>INTERNALLY PRESSURIZED BAG TECHNIQUE</u>. The internally pressurized bag curing process was developed to enable effective pressure translation into the resin of the conventionally cured laminate systems. Basically, the approach was to provide a back pressure into the nylon bag with concommittant autoclave pressure. The pressure differential provided the mechanical force against the laminate to enable conformation to a tooling surface. The process consisted of:

- a. A high pressure 0.586 MPa to 0.689 MPa (85 to 100 psi) hold at a low temperature (82C, 180F) to consolidate the prepreg lay-up.
- Application of a back pressure (0.345 to 0.517 MPa 75 to 76 psia)
 into the bag to prevent volatile evolution and void generation.
- c. An intermediate hold at 120C (250F) to encourage water redistribution from ply interface concentrations to uniform distribution throughout the resin.
- d. A high temperature 177C (350F) hold for curing.

A schematic of the system is illustrated in Figure 116. The diagram also indicates a pressure transducer location which was included in the early experiments to measure the actual pressure of the resin during a processing cycle.



Figure 116. Internally Pressurized Bag Cure Concept for Providing High Pressure Into the Resin During Cure

Figure 117 shows the results of a 5208/T-300 lay-up and conventional bleeder/ breather system which was heated to 121C (250F) and which had 0.517 MPa (75 psi) pressure applied. The pressure of the resin started dropping immediately to about 0.069 MPa (10 psi). At about twelve minutes into the cycle the bag was pressurized to 0.483 MPa (70 psi). The transducer responded precisely to the applied internal pressure as shown on the pressure diagram. When the internal pressure was released, the resin pressure again dropped to a low level.



- ✤ AUTOCLAVE PRESSURE APPLIED AT 121C (250F) SHOWS A DECAY IN RESIN PRESSURE DOWN TO 0.069 MPa (10 PSI) WITHIN 6 MINUTES.
- INTERNAL PRESSURE APPLIED SHOWS AN IMMEDIATE INCREASE IN RESIN PRESSURE TO 0.458 MPa (70 PSI) WITHIN 6 MINUTES.

Figure 117. Internally Pressurized Bag Resin Pressure

The pressure that the resin must maintain to prevent the generation of water voids is depicted in Figure 118 and is a function of the amount of moisture at the potential void site. If the resin pressure drops below a minimum value established by the gas laws, conditions exist for void generation. As can be seen from the chart, at 137C (275F) at a 2 percent moisture level (locally) a bubble pressure of about 0.172 MPa (25 psi) could be present. If the resin pressure is less than 0.172 MPa (25 psi), a bubble will form. Therefore, it is critical to maintain adequate resin pressure during processing.

A series of 16-ply unidirectional composites were fabricated from Fiberite's 1076E prepreg to determine whether any differences in mechanical properties existed between conventional curing techniques and the internally pressurized bag approach. Figure 119 summarized the results. The flexural and interlaminar shear strengths are equivalent within experimental error.



Figure 118. Effect of Temperature on Void Pressure as a Function of Initial Resin Water Content Assuming Uniform Distribution of Water Within Laminate

190

	and the second secon			
	FLEXURAL	STRENGTH	GTH SHORT BEA	
	ROOM TEMP.	177C (350F)	ROOM TEMP.	177C (350F)
INTERNALLY PRESSURIZED BAG CURE				
UNIDIRECTIONAL 16 PLY	1703 MPa	1317 MPa	958 MPa	64.1 MPa
FIBERITE - 1076E	(247 ksi)	(191 ksi)	(13.9 ks1)	(9.3 ks1)
CONVENTIONAL VACUUM BAG Autoclave cure				
UNIDIRECTIONAL 16 PLY	1744 MPa	1324 MPa	102.7 MPa	59.3 MPa
FIBERITE - 1976E	(253 ksi)	(192 ksi)	(14.9 ksi)	(8.6 ksi)
ALL DATA, AVERAGE OF				
FIVE TEST SPECIMENS				

MECHANICAL TEST DATA

• NO DIFFERENCE IS NOTED IN ELEVATED TEMPERATURE TEST DATA BETWEEN THE TWO DIFFERENT CURE CONCEPTS. INTERNAL PRESSURIZED CURE ALLOWS FOR THE DIFFUSION OF WATER INTO THE MATRIX.

Figure 119. Comparison of the Mechanical Properties of Internally Pressure Cured Laminates to Conventional Autoclave Cured Laminates

A 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) by 64 ply pseudoisotropic laminate was made with rejected Ft. Worth 5208/T-300 prepreg in a conventional cure process. The same material and laminate configuration was also made employing the internally pressurized bag technique. Ultrasonic C-scans of the two panels are shown in Figures 120 and 121. The conventional curing process shows voids located in the center of the laminate. Presumably, these voids were formed by water evolutiion when the resin pressure was low. The C-scan of the internally pressurized bag cure shows a void-free panel. This technique can therefore be applied to yield void-free parts from reject material.

2.10 VALIDATION ARTICLES

The validation task of the Processing Science Program was planned to be the integration of all of the processing parameters investigated to develop methodologies which would accurately predict the critical processing parameters and variables associated with material behavior to ultimately provide a procedure to reproducibly process high quality composite parts. The methodologies were validated by the fabrication of F-16 vertical stabilizer skins.





Synn, and

こう いいちょう 日本 いちょう 日本 のない ちょう しょう たいまた

2.10.1 <u>F-16 VERTICAL TAIL SKIN - BAGLESS CURE TECHNIQUE</u>. Bagless cure of 0.01 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) laminates with internal ply drop-offs, 60 to 80 plies thick, fabricated earlier on this program showed the presence of puckered areas associated with the top ply of the laminates. The probable cause for this condition was attributed to the fact that the ply or top plies were not "balanced" by a corresponding facing. The situation was resolved by placing one or two plies of 120 bleeder (woven and reasonably balanced plies) on top of the Armalon. During consolidation the bleeder wets out with resin and during cure the fiberglass cloth prevents the anisotropic graphite top ply from moving or shifting due to a strain imbalance, the glass providing an isotropic facing.

An alternate method was consolidation with a non-porous Teflon film over the top surface.

A number of flat panels, L angles, and tubes were then precompacted and bagless cured without excessive bleeding or resin loss from the top plies. All of the parts showed excellent C-scans and evidenced no voids under microscopic examination of polished sections.

Actual fabrication of the vertical tail skin was accomplished at the Fort Worth Division of General Dynamics and followed these preliminary experiments. The tail skin was laid up via a machine layup operation typically used in production. The net resin (29-32 percent/weight) prepreg unidirectional tape used in the skin layup was Fiberite's 1076E graphite/epoxy and was 15.24 cm (6 in) wide.

Bagging of the skin was accomplished as follows:

- a. One ply of thin, non-porous Teflon was smoothed over the skin surface.
- b. Two plies of 181 fiberglass breather was placed on top of the nonporous Teflon and extended to the breather ports.
- c. A silicone rubber bag, which also acts as a seal, was used for clamshell press.

The skin, tool and layup were placed in a clamshell type press and compacted and cured according to the following schedule.

- a. Evacuate fiberglass breather for 30 minutes.
- b. Initial compaction under silicone rubber bag at 54C (130F), 0.586 MPa (85 psi) for 1 hour.
- c. Remove bag, fiberglass breather, and non-porous Teflon film from part surface.
- d. Close clamshell press.

and the second sec

and the second second

- e. Apply 0.586 MPa (85 psi) to laminate surface.
- f. Heat to 88C (190F) and hold for 1 hour.
- g. Heat to 177C (350F) and hold for 2 hours.
- h. Cool to 66C (150F) under pressure.

Figure 122 shows a diagram of a vertical tail skin and the location of internal ply drop-offs. The bagless cured vertical tail skin was not void free, however, it was determined to be an acceptable skin based upon Fort Worth's void-accept/reject criteria. The location of small void areas



Figure 122. F-16 Vertical Tail Skin Showing Location of Internal Ply Drop-Offs

determined by C-scan and sectioning were in the plydrop-off areas in the thickest sections of the skin, near the 80 and 75 ply thickness as indicated in Figure 122.

The tool surface of the skin was quite good and replicated the tool finish. The bagless side of the skin showed distinct ply drop off locations and a less smooth, more textured surface than the tool side of the skin.

A considerable reduction in the amount of ancillary breather/bleeder materials was noted for the bagless cure concept; roughly 70 percent less fiberglass bleeder and breather was used in the bagless cure. Labor hours associated with this type of cure were also reduced from the normal time required for the fabrication and cure of F-16 tail skins.

The test data on flat laminates with a $0/90^{\circ}$ ply orientation cured by the bagless process in the same way as the vertical tail skin, yielded short beam shear values between 12-14 ksi.

2.10.2 <u>F-16 VERTICAL TAIL SKINS - INTERNAL PRESSURIZATION TECHNIQUE</u>. It was decided, with the concurrence of the AFML technical monitor, that additional validation articles be fabricated from 5208-1/T-300 prepreg that was determined to be of poor quality and had been previously rejected by the Fort Worth Division for producing void filled tail skins.

The method to be used in curing the validation article was the internally pressurized, (IP) cure concept. This concept has been described in detail in the "Process Techniques" section of this report. The method for the introduction of pressure into the resin was simply to allow regulated nitrogen pressure to be applied back through the vacuum/vent port in the bag layup. The rationale for employing the internally pressurized (IP) cure cycle was based on producing an acceptable vertical tail skin from reject prepreg.

Three F-16 vertical tail skins and a thick laminate screening panel were fabricated using Narmco's 5208-1/T-300 Batch 9 prepreg. This material was previously identified at Fort Worth as being prone to produce planar voids and porosity in cured tail skins. The batch of prepreg had a moisture content variation of 0.099 percent to 0.32 percent depending upon the roll of prepreg tested. Water content was determined by DuPont 902 moisture analyzer, Karl Fisher titration, and water weight loss by heating to 177C (350F) and holding for 15 minutes. Test results from all three methods of analysis showed basically the same quantity of water or weight loss.

and the second of the

The vertical tail skin, shown in Figure 122, is approximately 0.91 m (3 ft) at its widest point by 3.41 m (11-1/2 ft) in length. The numbers 20, 75, and 80 shown on the figure indicate the number of plies of prepreg in each of the respective areas. The diagonal lines indicated on the tail skin show the areas where two and three internal ply drop-offs occur. The location of voids within rejected tail skins generally occur in the thicker root section of the tail in close proximity to the internal ply drop-offs. The first tail skin that was fabricated served as the control part and was cured using Fort Worth Division's standard production process. Ultrasonic C-scan examination of the tail skin revealed definite patterns of voids and porosity around internal ply drop-offs in the thick root section of the skin.

The second vertical tail skin was also bagged for cure using the standard Fort Worth procedure, which included placement of a Teflon film, perforated on 10.2 cm (4 inch) centers, between the fiberglass bleeder and the breather materials. The second skin was cured with the internal pressure cure cycle. 2.10.2.1 <u>Internally Pressurized Cure Cycle.</u> Vacuum was applied to the bagged part for approximately 30 minutes, 0.586 MPa (85 psi) autoclate pressure was applied prior to heating and the vacuum removed. The bag was vented to the atmosphere. The skin was heated at approximately 1C/min (2F/min) to 66C (150F) and remained under those conditions for 30 minutes. The 0.586 MPa (85 psi) and 66C (150F) conditions allowed for the initial compaction of the skin. An internal pressure of 0.448 MPa (65 psi) was introduced through the vacuum port under the vacuum bag and held through the cure cycle. Cure of the skin continued by heating to 104C (220F) and dwelling one hour then to 177C (350F) for two hours. Ultrasonic examination of the skin showed it to be of poor quality, with porosity indicated even in the thin 20 ply sections. It has been theorized, (a) that early venting of the vacuum would help prevent void growth, (b) that the low temperature dwells at 66C (150F) and 104C (220F) would enable moisture concentrations to diffuse into the resin, and (c) that application of internal pressure would hydrostatically pressurize the resin within the laminate so as to inhibit void growth. The internal pressure apparently was not translated to the resin through the Teflon perforations. This led to the fabrication of the third skin with an alternate method used to introduce effective pressure.

A third vertical tail skin was laid up with a non-perforated film over the bleeder. Autoclave pressure again was applied at room temperature. The thermal cycle, however, was modified to provide two hours at 104C (220F) in lieu of a short time at 66C (150F) but internal pressure of 0.448 MPa (65 psi) was applied at 66C (150F). After the dwell at 104C (220F), heating resumed to 132C (270F) where the skin was held for four hours instead of one hour and thirty minutes. The objective was to get the resin to gel at that temperature instead of at some higher temperature that would possibly promote higher void pressures. After this dwell, heating to 177C (350F) progressed at half the rate previously used to further assure that the gel state would resist void formation. This required a ten minute dwell at each 5C (10F) increment. C-scanning of this third tail skin also indicated a poor quality, voidy skin.

In retrospect, several critical errors were made with the 5208/T-300

validation articles. The compaction studies done early in the program indicated that consolidation of Narmco's 5208/T-300 was more difficult to attain than Fiberite's 1076E system. Tack tests in tension also supported the contention that 5208, at least at room temperature, had much more tack than the 1976E material. The higher the tack, the more difficult it was to remove the mechanically trapped voids. Examination of the cure schedules showed inadequate time at a temperature and pressure necessary to produce a good consolidation of the layup. The differential pressure was too low to be capable of effective consolidation. The internally pressurized bag technique prevents voids (from moisture in the resin) from generating but only shrinks existing voids. Therefore, it is critical to have the layup consolidated prior to internally pressurizing the bag.

Up to this time on the program, internal ply drop-off areas in laminates and their influence on the formation of air pockets and areas of localized water concentration had not been considered. NY XXXXVII KAAAAA PADDUU VAN KAAAAA SHAAAAAA SHEKAAAAA SHEKAAAA

We feel, as a result of our successful fabrication of the large laminates with our internal pressurization process from reject Fort Worth material, that we could produce an acceptable F-16 vertical tail skin from reject material.

Based upon the test results of the vertical tail skins that were fabricated and the location of void areas around internal ply drop-offs within the skins, we then began an investigation of the influence of ply drop-offs on laminate quality. 2.10.3 <u>VACUUM AND PRESSURE LEVELS WITHIN PLY DROP OFF VOID AREAS</u>. It was theorized that the pressure that could be generated within a trapped void area within a laminate, such as occurs around internal ply drop-off areas could easily exceed 0.172 to 0.207 MPa (25 to 30 psi) during a curing operation if water were present at the void site. There was also some question as to what effect vacuum had on trapped void areas. To determine the affects of vacuum on, and pressure generated at a trapped void site, a relatively simple test was developed which simulated an internal ply drop-off within a laminate. As shown in Figure 123, we utilized a cavity pressure


Figure 123. Ply Drop-Off, Cavity Pressure Transducer Test Setup

transducer inside a grooved aluminum tool. Graphite/epoxy prepreg was layed up over the groove and certain plies terminated at the groove's edge. In this configuration moisture and entrapped gas can migrate laterally between plies and concentrate in the groove, which simulated an uncompacted internal ply drop-off within a laminate.

A series of experiments were performed with this test configuration using different graphite/epoxy prepregs and test conditions. The cavity pressure transducer was subjected to heating to 163C (325F) to determine if temperature had an effect on the transducer millivolt readings. No change in readings were recorded as a function of heating to elevated temperature.

Figures 124 and 125 plot test results from the cavity pressure transducer experiments, the solid circle or square indicated no vacuum being applied. The hollow circle or square indicated vacuum being applied. The semifilled symbol indicates where the pressure transducer and cavity have been sealed off to the external vacuum source.

200

Ale thread marked in the set of the



のないであるというです。

であるが

Figure 125. Ply Drop-Off, Cavity Pressure Transducer Experiment

inter i

In Figure 124 the top plot is a water blank. Two millimeters of water were added to the cavity and a thick layer of Mylar film positioned over the cavity. A typical vacuum bag was placed over the Mylar film and cavity. Vacuum was applied to the bag, and immediately the pressure transducer indicated a millivolt reading equal to 0.090 MPa (26 in) of vacuum. Subsequent heating to 110C (230F) generated steam pressure from the water present, resulting in a pressure increase or vacuum decrease.

Contraction of the second second

The pressure change started around 77C (170F) and became equal to ambient pressure (14.7 psia) near 100C (212F).

The lower left plot in Figure 124 utilized 5208/T-300, 38 to 40 percent/ weight resin prepreg for the subject test. As can be seen in this plot, immediate application of vacuum caused a sealing of the cavity at 0.055 MPa (16 in) of vacuum. The pressure transducer was vented to atmosphere and then was measured at the cavity. At this point, the cavity was sealed from the vacuum source. A CALLER AND A DAMAGE AND A CALLER AND A CAL

In the third plot, lower right of Figure 124, the same prepreg was staged for 18 days at room temperature and then subjected to identically the same vacuum-heat application. From this plot we see that the measured vacuum in the cavity remains high for a considerably longer period of time, thus enabling the removal of moisture or gas from a void pocket. It was apparent then that the level of resin tack was playing a part in the removal of moisture and air in a laminate.

Tacky fresh prepreg has a tendency to seal off void pockets, while staged, lower tack prepreg allowed for the removal of volatile products by not completely sealing off void areas in the initial part of the cure cycle.

202

Figure 125 shows additional cavity pressure experiments using different materials and test parameters. The data plotted in the upper left of this figure utilized 5208/T-300 net resin prepreg, 2.5 milliliters of water was added to the cavity and 0.586 MPa (85 psi) pressure and vacuum were applied immediately. This plot showed only pressure generated from steam and no vacuum. The cavity sealed off to external vacuum immediately with the application of 0.586 MPa (85 psi). This plot shows however, that localized concentrations of water trapped in a void area can generate in excess of 0.241 MPa (35 psi) internal pressure at 127C to 132C (260 to 270F). This data also followed predictable pressure levels from the steam tables over the temperature range investigated. and a static static static and a static s

The upper right plot in Figure 125 was data generated on 1076E graphite/ epoxy net resin prepreg. As shown by this plot, a full vacuum can be measured in the cavity for a considerable period of time. When the temperature increased up to 66C (150F), the resin softened and sealed off the cavity to the vacuum source. The vacuum was then released and reapplied. Autoclave pressure of 0.586 MPa (85 psi) was then applied to the laminate. Continued heating to 127C (260F) showed the pressure within the cavity going to the positive pressure side to about 0.034 MPa (5 psi). The pressure increase was a result of water and air migration between plies into the evacuated cavity negating the established vacuum.

The bottom plot in Figure 125 showed a comparison of vacuum profiles of 5208/T-300 and 1076E net resin prepregs. Water had been added to the cavity, and as shown before, pressure from the generation of steam started around 82C to 88C (180F to 190F). Both of these net resin systems remained open to vacuum throughout the temperature profile indicated.

Several conclusions were reached in this study:

- a. Resin rich prepreg plies can consolidate under vacuum to prevent evacuation of potential void sites.
- b. Net resin prepreg void sites remain open to the influence of vacuum for a longer period of time than resin rich prepreg.
- c. Degree of resin tack can affect the way prepreg plies consolidate under a vacuum bag.
- d. Application of additional pressure at any temperature level immediately seals off laminate void sites to external vacuum.
- e. Moisture trapped in a void site can generate internal pressure in excess of 0.241 MPa (35 psi) at 132C (270F).

2.10.4 FABRICATION OF A QUALIFICATION LAMINATE. A qualification laminate, 0.61 m by 0.91 m (2 by 3 ft) by 80 plies with internal ply drop-offs was fabricated from the same batch of material used in the fabrication of the three vertical tail skins, Batch 9, 5208/T-300. The water content of the roll of prepreg used to fabricate the qualification laminate was determined to be 0.098 percent/weight as compared to water contents of prepreg used for vertical tail fabrication of 0.25 to 0.35 percent by weight. (A considerably lower water content prepreg was used to make the qualification laminate). The laminate was bagged and cured identically with Production F-16 vertical tail skins. The resulting qualification laminate was examined both using ultrasonic G-scan and micro-sectioning to determine the laminate quality. Both examinations showed a void-free laminate, i.e., no voids around ply drop-off areas. The results from this laminate were certainly different than those attained with the vertical tail skins.

Either the general geometry of the qualification laminate was not representative of a vertical tail skin, or a much lower water content in the prepreg used

for the qualification laminate played a significant part in achieving a void-free quality laminate.

والمناجع لمعارفة لمنافع ليجارح وتشور عدائه لأعلا

A CONTRACT STATE

A relatively simple internal ply drop-off laminate was constructed using both 5208/T-300 and 1076E net resin prepreg. The laminate configuration as shown in Figure 126 was 0.46 m by 0.46 m (18 in by 18 in), 0°, 90° ply orientation and incorporated three and four ply internal drop-offs.

The 5208/T-300 laminate was precompacted for one hour with no vacuum at 82C (180F) with 0.689 MPa (100 psi) applied to the laminate surface via autoclave processing. The 1076E laminate was compacted with no vacuum for one hour under 0.689 MPa (100 psi) at room temperature.



LAMINATE COMPACTED FOR 1 HOUR, 0.689 MPa AT 82C (180F)

Figure 126. Compaction Study Internal Ply Drop-Off Laminate

A CARE AND A CONTRACT OF A

Figure 127 shows C-scans of these two compacted laminate billets. The appearance of apparent void areas are easily seen in the 5208/T-300 laminate, while void areas are not seen in the 1076E laminate compaction.

Two 2.54 cm (1 in.) diameter plugs were cored from each compacted laminate in the areas shown as dark circles on the C-scans in Figure 127. The cored sections were then inspected for the presence of voids around internal ply drop-off areas. The 1076E laminate had no void areas associated with its internal ply drop-offs, however, the 5208/T-300 laminate had distinct void areas located precisely at the internal ply drop-off area. As shown in Figure 128, the size of the voids were 0.038 to 0.508 cm by 0.33 to 0.533 cm (0.015 in. to 0.020 in. by 0.13 in. to 0.21 in.) wide. Additional inspection of selected void areas around the ply drop-off revealed voids as wide as 1.9 cm (0.75 in.) by 0.038 cm (0.015 in) to 0.635 cm (0.025 in) high. Considering the level at which compaction was attempted on the 5208 system, the quality of the compaction was quite poor. This confirmed previous compaction studies on 5208. At 82C (180F) the viscosity of the 5208 resin is nearly at its lowest level of between 2 to 5 poises. At that viscosity level, it had been previously assumed that resin flow would have easily filled any void areas.

2.10.5 <u>BAGLESS CURED INTERNAL PLY DROP-OFF LAMINATE</u>. Internal ply drop-offs were incorporated into a laminate for bagless curing. The material selected for this experiment was Fiberite's 1076E prepreg, the same material that produced a good C-scan of compacted prepreg in Figure 127. The actual laminate size for this experiment as shown in Figure 129, was a 0.61 m by 0.61 m (2 ft by 2 ft) by 80 plies maximum dropping-off to a minimum of 20 plies in the thinnest area.

Compaction of this laminate was attained after just one hour at 0.689 MPa (100 psi) at room temperature. The nylon bag, two plies of 181 bleeder and



C-Scans of Internal Ply Drop-off Compacted Prepreg Lamiantes (23 Ply, 45 cm by 45 cm) Figure 127.

DARK CIRCLES in 5208 LAMINATE INDICATE AREAS CORED FROM PREPREG LAYUP FOR INSPECTION

1 x 2.5

2.25 MHz, 1 x 2.5



Figure 128. Void Sites, 5208/T-300 Ply Drop-Off Laminates





Figure 129. Bagless Cured Internal Ply Drop-Off Laminate 1076E Prepreg

porous Armalon were removed from the laminate surface. The laminate was then cured under 0.689 MPa (100 psi) through a stepped temperature cure up to 177C (350F) for two hours.

The resulting cured laminate was subjected to C-scan and sectioning for micro-polish examination. C-scans showed a void free laminate, and Figure 130 shows a void-free 6 ply internal drop-off at 11X and at 50X. 2.10.6 <u>ROCKWELL CURE EXPERIMENTS</u>. In the previous section, ply drop-off experiments were described in which a machined groove in a caul plate functioned as a simulated ply drop-off. The groove was attached to a pressure transducer which monitored the air pressure in the groove during various cure schedules. It was shown that the groove (ply drop-off) can easily be sealed off from the vacuum source before a high vacuum is attained in the groove. This means that although the layup is in a vacuum environment, the actual pressure in the simulated ply drop-off region can be as high as atmospheric pressure depending on the history of the pre-plying in the vicinity of the ply drop-off. Resin viscosity, resin content, tack, and pre-ply history (how well the individual

209





:

1. Sec. 2.

「大学のような」という

plies are mated to the lay up stack) of the prepreg are all important factors which affect the pressure (vacuum) that a plydrop-off region experiences.

S. S. S. S. S. S.

And the second s

Len and and a state day a state of a state of a

The effect of the measurement technology of the ply drop-off region was extended to the Rockwell nonautoclave (double vacuum bag) cure process to determine the pressure in a simulated ply drop-off. The Rockwell cure schedule is shown in Figure 131. The layup configuration for the Rockwell cure is shown in Figure 132. Two vacuum bags are employed during the cure. The first is the vacuum bag normally assembled next to the composite layup on the tooling surface. The second vacuum bag covers the first but outside of a "hard cover" which prevents the collapse of the second bag onto the part when the vacuum is applied thereby eliminating any compaction pressure. The transducer was attached to the groove and the wiring led to a continuous readout strip recorder which measured the voltage output of the transducer (a linear function of the pressure). The input voltage was controlled by a stable 10 volt power supply. The gain and offset of the recorder were adjusted to maximize the pen response to a pressure change of ambient to a nominal 711 cm (28 in) of mercury vacuum. This technique gave the best pressure measurement accuracy.

ステレイ・ディット ショー

A 50 ply, 0.305 m by 0.305 m (12 in by 12 in) crossplied layup [0, 90°], of U.S. Polymeric's E767 low flow resin system on T-300 carbon fiber was positioned on the grooved caul plate so that the first ply ran orthogonal to the groove. The layup was covered with Armalon and a Teflon barrier film. Four plies of 181 glass fabric over the stack served as the breather for the system. The vacuum bag was assembled and checked for leaks. A steel and plywood frame was constructed over the first bag, complete with breather and vacuum ports. It was also tested for leaks prior to starting the Kockwell cure schedule. A second pressure transducer was inserted between the inner and outer vacuum bag. The second transducer served as an applied pressure (vacuum) check and since it tracked the pressure at the same rate as the groove transducer, would indicate



State State State

Figure 131. Rockwell Cure Schedule



Figure 132 Lay-up Configuration for Rockwell Cure

lag any times of the vacuums measured between the inner and outer bags and in the groove below the laminate.

The Rockwell cure is essentially a vacuum degassing operation followed by a consolidation step. The first vacuum bag doesn't exert any force on the layup since the pressure in the inner and outer bags are equal. This allows the layup to expand and degas on heating during the first part of the cure schedule (Figure 131). The cure schedule is a simple heat-up to 121C (250F) followed by a 75 minute hold, after which the vacuum between the inner and outer bags is vented to atmosphere. The venting allows 0.101 MPa (14.7 psia) absolute to be applied to the degassed lay up and consolidation occurs during an additional 15 minute dwell at 121C (250F). After consolidation, the cure can either proceed or the laminate can be cooled for storage and later use. RAMANA DE PARAMANA PRANARA PANANA DA DA BANA DA MANANA MENANANA MANANA MANANA

, The first step in the Rockwell schedule is the application of vacuum to both the inner and outer bags. The pressure in the simulated ply drop-off was at ambient before vacuum application. As the inner and outer bags were evacuated, the pressure in the groove followed the applied vacuum (between the inner and outer vacuum bags) to the maximum applied. Heat was started and the pressure monitored continuously through the schedule. After 75 minutes of hold at 121C (250F), the vacuum between the inner and outer bags was vented to consolidate the prepreg stack. The vacuum in the groove was maintained (see Figure 133) through the consolidation step and cooldown.

The laminate was removed from the caulplate, and it was observed that the laminate had been forced partially into the groove by the vacuum pressure. The portion of the laminate in the groove was observed to have a resin rich surface. Presumably the vacuum started to draw the resin into the vacuum void area. Figures 134 and 135 are microsection photographs which illustrate the resin rich area and small voids in the laminate. No significant



Figure 133. Trasnducer Read-Outs of Rockwell Cure

2

¢

214



Figure 134. 18X Magnification of Unidirectional E767 Laminate Cured by Rockwell Technique



Figure 135. 100X Magnification of Unidirectional E767 Laminate Cured by Rockwell Technique

voids were observed in the cross-section micropolish. The small voids are probably remnants of incomplete degassing of the very viscous resin employed in the system. A lower viscosity resin system (e.g. 976) should fill (collapse) the vacuum void with resin.

2.10.7 FINAL THICK LAMINATE SCREENING PANEL. Prior to completing the final F-16 vertical stabilizer validation article, a thick laminate screening panel was fabricated. The screening panel serves as a prepreg lot acceptance test for incoming material at the Fort Worth Division. It is a 0.61 m by 0.91 m (2 ft by 3 ft) by 72-ply panel with numerous internal ply drop-offs. The panel geometry is similar to the panel in Figure 127. The panel was laid up according to Fort Worth drawing MED 82001 in a layup sequence illustrated in Figures 136 and 137. In this particular panel, a 0.32 cm by 15.24 cm (0.125 in by 6 in) groove was carefully cut into the internal 25 plies from the middle of the longest side of the layup. A steel tube with appropriate fittings to a transducer was filled with degassed MY-720 (uncatalyzed) to act as a fluid couple to the transducer. The groove was also filled with degassed MY-720 and the tube carefully embedded in the resin to eliminate any air bubbles. The panel was laid up with Fiberite's 1076E (lot C3-442) which was a net resin system. Armalou and a Teflon barrier film covered both surfaces of the panel. Two plies of 181 fiberglass served as a vacuum port breather. When the panel was started, a sample of the prepreg was left out in the 50 percent relative humidity layup area. The sample of prepreg was later tested for volatiles and compared to the as-received prepreg volatiles. The as-received material contained 0.40 percent by weight volatiles. The prepreg left out under ambient conditions during the layup time (approximately two days) absorbed moisture from the air and had a volatile content of about 0.4 percent. The laminate would not be expected to contain this latter quantity but some figure between the two, probably nearer to 0.40 percent because of the very

And the owner of the owner own	
PLY NO.	ORIENT.
NTLON PEEL PLY	
P5362-1	
72	+45
71	-45
70	0
69	+45
68	-45
67	0
66	+45
65	-45
64	0
63	+45
62	-45
61	0
60	+45
59	-45
58	0
57	+45
56	-45
55	0
54	+45
53	-45
52	0
51	+45
50	-45
49	+45
48	-45
47	+45
46	0
45	-45
44	0
43	+45
42	0
41	<u> </u>
<u>u</u> ă l	-45
39	
38	+45
37	<u> </u>

36	0	
35	+45	
34	0	
33	-45	
32	0	
31	0	
30	+45	
29	a	
28	-45	
27	0	
26	+45	
25	-45	
24	+45	
23	-45	
22	+45	
21	1	
20	-45	
19	+45	
18		
17	-45	
16	+45	
15		
14	-45	
13	+45	
12	n	
11	-45	
10	+115	
	<u> </u>	
A	_115	
	+115	
6		
	-115	
- i - i		
	+45	
P5284-3		
NYLON PEEL PLY		
CURING TOOL		
GETTINA I VAL		





5° C 10

Figure 137. Thick Laminate Screening Panel Drawing

short actual exposure time to the atmosphere during the lay-up operation. The resin content of the prepreg was determined to be 30.3 percent. The laminate was bagged and an internally-pressurized-bag cure run according to the following cure schedule.

- a. Heat to 93C (200F) and hold under 0.689 MPa (100 psi) for two hours, vacuum port vented to atmosphere.
- b. Heat to 121C (250F) and hold for one hour.
- c. Apply 0.345 MPa (50 psi) via pressurized nitrogen tank into the bag at start of heat-up to 121C (250F).
- d. Heat at 2C/min (4F/min) to 177C (350F) and hold for two hours.
- e. Cool down under pressure.

During this cure schedule, the resin pressure inside of the laminate was continuously monitored. At the beginning of the cycle, the applied pressure, 0.689 MPa (100 psi) was translated to the same pressure inside the laminate at low temperatures. As the temperature increased to 93C (200F) the pressure bled off to 0.517 MPa (75 psi). The pressure remained stable until a further heat-up to 121C (250F) commenced. The pressure gradually dropped to 0.386 MPa (56 psi) and then began to rise. At this point the viscosity of the resin increased and more applied pressure was translated into the laminate resin. The transducer readings were terminated after this point. The net resin prepreg laminates have been shown to have higher resin pressures than the high resin content 5208 prepregs which required considerable bleeding (or pre-bleeding) during the cure cycle. The internally applied pressure was not picked up by the transducers since only 0.345 MPa (50 psi) was applied and the resin never dropped below 0.386 MPa (56 psi). The internal pressurized bag technique provides good insurance against a low resin pressure which

219

NNN State Co. (

in turn is a candidate for void generation. The resin in the laminate near the edge may very well have been less than 0.345 MPa (50 psi) as shown by the pressure gradient studies. The technique is required for high bleed systems to assure adequate resin pressure.

Ultrasonic C-scans of the panel indicated that the panel had no porosity at high sensitivity. The ply drop-offs could be seen in the C-scan but were probably due to a material discontinuity (a slightly resin rich area) in the thickness. Micropolishes indicated that no major voids existed at the ply drop-offs, Figures 138 to 143.

The specific gravity of the panel was an average of 1.61 g/cc. The resin content was determined to be 25 percent.

2.10.8 <u>F-16 VERTICAL TAIL SKIN VALIDATION ARTICLE</u>. Following the fabrication of the 0.61 m by 0.91 m (2 ft by 3 ft) Fort Worth qualification laminate, an F-16 vertical tail stabilizer skin was made at the Fort Worth facility employing the internal pressurization technique. The prepreg was machined layed up to the vertical tail dimensions. The layup was placed directly against the released tooling surface with Armalon fabric on the top extending beyond the boundary and breather chain. Bleeder (mat) was placed just over the part followed by perforated Teflon Film. One ply of 181 breather also extended beyond the breather chain. The bag was then placed into position.

The tool was placed into the clamshell tooling press and a vacuum applied internal to the bag. A pressure of 0.586 MPa (85 psi) was applied external to the bag and the vacuum vented to atmosphere. Steam pressure (about 0.041 MPa - 6 psi) was introduced into the press to heat the tool to 82C (180F). The part tended to heat from one end (steam source end) to the other. After about one hour, the part was up to temperature and was held for 60 minutes at 82C (180F). We had planned to maintain a longer hold time at 82C (180F), but because of a

220

Figure 139. Ply Drop Off No. 2 Micropolish 9X

and a second of the second

براغ المحافظ والمستعلم المحافظ المحافظ

المحالية المحالي المحالي

1. St. 1.

16. 14.26

.

۶

Figure 138. Ply Drop Off No. 1 Micropolish 9X

Figure 141. Ply Drop Off No. Micropolish 9X

4

がたたいというというないない

Figure 140. Ply Drop Off No. 3 Micropolish 9X

The states

CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

Figure 143. Ply Drop Off No. 6 Mícropolish 9X

a ser a survey of

a sector a

Figure 142. Ply Drop Off No. 5 Micropolish 9X shift change, a shorter time was accepted. A transducer had been previously calculated and was inserted into the edge of the laminate. During the hold the pressure dropped from 0.414 MPa to 0.310 MPa (60 to 45 psi). This pressure corresponded quite well with the 0.61 m by 0.91 m (2 ft by 3 ft) laminate internal pressure measurements 0.379 Mpa (55 psi). After the one hour hold, the internal pressure was raised to 0.379 MPa (60 psi) (a differential of 0.172 MPa - 25 psi) and held at this value through the cure. The transducer readings tracked the internal pressure increase. The laminate skin was then heated to 177C (350F) and held for two hours for cure completion.

The bleeder/breather exhibited uniform resin distribution which appears to be different from the conventional Fort Worth cure. The Fort Worth cure usually produced a spotty bleeding into the breather ply through the porous Teflon separator film. This observation implies that a denser composite (higher carbon fiber volume) was produced with the internal pressure cure.

The ultrasonic C-scan results conducted at the Fort Worth facility indicated that the vertical stabilizer was of acceptable quality to be employed on the F-16. The only areas which indicated small void regions were at the internal ply drop-off areas. The voids were traced with a pulse echo transducer and the void were at the internal ply drop-off areas. The voids were traced with a pulse echo transducer and the void locations generally followed the build-up location and depth. Allowing an additional hour of compaction (as initially planned) may have decreased the amount of voids found by the secondary C-scan inspection technique.

224

APPENDIX A

ъř

VISCOSITY AND DIFFUSION DATA

SR 5299 botch 718 AUTOCLAVE CURE CYCLE VARIATION AC-2 3.6°F/min mamp to 198°F - Hold 38 minutes 3.6°F/min mamp to 268°F - Hold 149 minutes 3.0°F/win roup to run terminotion 185 peter Ognamic Visconity. -..... -÷. 4 vt. 1 198 325 130 149 188 100 174 168 184 -216 20 Time winutow 1 . ŧ

のなどの

and the state of the state of

FIGURE A-1

المدنا

Rheametric Visco-Elastic Tester Norch 31, 1981

200*



ala an indination and a stand and the second and the second a second second and a factor in the factor is the factor of the second s

FIGURE A-2

SR 5289 Hotel 716 AUTOCLAVE SURE CYCLE VARIATION AC-4

 $2.8^{0}{\rm K/min}$ rough to $120^{0}{\rm F}$ - Hold 30 minutum $3.6^{0}{\rm K/min}$ rough to $275^{0}{\rm F}$ - Hold - untuit non-tormination



Rheametris Viesa-Elustic Tester April 22, 1981

できたことを見ていたというというというないないであるというです。

Figure A-3



al al al

South States

ののないののです。

કે જોદ, "હવ, જોવ - ¥ - Y

 ∇

SR 5209 botch 716 AUTOCLAVE CURE CYCLE VARIATION AC-6

Rheometric Vieco-El*c*etic Texter April 22, 1991

Carrier Carlos Carlos

ίŝ.

Figure A-4



5.051.001

1.54.1

April 8, 1981

A STARLES AND A

FIGURE A-5



SR 5200 botch 718 AUTOCLAVE CURE CYCLE VARIATION AC-8

rale terrelation la la la cara de la la la cara de la c

ALC: NO

. . . .

25'34

Figure A-6

THE REPORT OF THE REPORT OF





Rheometric Visco-Elostic Tester Hoy 1, 1981

FIGURE A-7



.

Ţ

Figure A-8

a contracted and extended and the state of t



SR 5209 botch 718

100000

Rheamstric Viess-Elestic Tester Merch 27, 1981

ي الم الما و ا

FIGURE A-9

SR 5200 batch 716 AUTOCLAVE CURE CYCLE VARIATION ACR-14 3.6°F/min ramp to 225°F - Hold 0 minutes 1.8°F/min ramp to 275°F - Hold 105 minutes

うちにもいいています

いたいというこうで



Rheometric Vieco-Elastic Tester May 11, 1991

FIGURE A-10


Rheometric Vieco-Elostic Tester May 12, 1981

FIGURE A-11



1.2. 2.5

Rheometric Visco-Elastic Tester Nay 8, 1981

FIGURE A-12



A STATES

A State of the second

1

ļ

Oynomic Visconity, poise

200 18 -28 188 78 18 9 š 3 : ٦, • : . 'n 10 28 58 78 -188 110 128 130 38 48 88 85 140 ., Time, minutes Rheometric Visco-Elostic Tester 12205

Hay 8, 1981





and the second second

and the first second of

FIGURE A-15

239



)

ሌሮ ዛሬን እናን የፈርምል ኒግራ ኒግራ

44 R. N.

A 1 41

35 DEE C'- EE X HUNIDITY

FIGURE A-16



FIGURE A-17



لمكمانها بمناهد بعاري بساعت فسيعا فسنعا فستعاف والمعاد والمناجز والمعاجد والمعادي والمعاري والمعاري والمعاري الم

- C

Ì

and the second

ALC: AL

FIGURE A-18



FIGURE A-19



FIGURE A-20



1

FIGURE A-21

242

(* 14 ST)

5454

11.11





FIGURE A-22



FIGURE A-23





FIGURE A-24



ÄLS 25 DES C

FIGURE A-25

244



100 m

and the second se

「「「「「「「「」」「「「「」」」」「「」」」」「「」」」」」」

FIGURE A-26

あるからうできたとしたというできたか



لاحسب

and the second second

or the second substant of the second of the second s

2

ዸዀ፟ዾዄቔዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀዀ



FIGURE A-27



FIGURE A-28



and the second se

言語の言語

がない、ためない





FIGURE A-31





FIGURE A-32



Contraction of the second

AL I 45 DEE C

FIGURE A-33



45 968 C . .

FIGURE A-34



A. A.



FIGURE A-35

۰.



FIGURE A-36

the states

Sec.



Contraction of the second

and the second second



FIGURE A-37



FIGURE A-38

251





ワイイイン たいき しつづい

ドアラ

i

1

1.

10.00

C

W. Fachal Balantin







FIGURE A-42



an the standard and a standard standard and a standard a standard a standard a standard a standard a standard a

254

the factor of a factor at a factor of a factor of a





語語の言語



FIGURE A-47



FIGURE A-48



25.25

an an an the the the the test of the second and the second and the second second second and the second second s

A CALL COOPERS



ALL 75 X HUNIDITY

FIGURE A-51



記録

INTE COMPANY

258

~~~~~~~~~



FIGURE A-53



259





FIGURE A-55



FIGURE A-56

C. S. VALAD /



c.(-1.)

14

32. S. S.

の見ていたの

25 DEE C - 48 3 HUNIDITY

FIGURE A-57



FIGURE A-58



CARTER SARRAR AND A CARTACCAL CALLAR AND A CARTAC AND A CA

.

HE DEE C - HE & HUNIDITY

FIGURE A-59



25 DES C - 48 8 HURIDINY

FTGURE A-60

Strate Strate



EXPOSURE TIME (SE RT HOURS)

HE DER C - HE & HUNIDITY FIGURE A-62



المسابقة المراجع الراجع

۰. <sup>۲</sup>.

28 DEE C - 75 8 HUNIDITY

FIGURE A-63



**BE DEB C - 78 S HUNIDITY** Figure A-64

.

NEED THE TRANSPORTED TO THE TAXABLE TO THE TAXABLE



مايمان مايسان ماتسان مايسان مايسان مايسان مايسان مايسان مايسان مايسان ما



FIGURE A-65







Sec.

201121102

2.4.4

ないないというないとう

a second the second of the second

アンプロションシンシンション



FIGURE A-67



FIGURE A-68

266





FIGURE A-69



FIGURE A-70

AND AN AND AN













ידי

af eine feeligen eine feele

FIGURE A-73

Û,

(\*\*\*\* \*\*

いいろ



. 3 3% DCB C FIGURE A-74





FIGURE A-75

i, i

NON 27, 14 50 VE VEDEL



. **5 35** FIGURE A-76





FIGURE A-77

.



FIGURE A-78

271


1.2







FIGURE A-82

273

Careford and the to the to the task that I the to the



C. Warder Mark Charles Street Con

No. of the Party o

「「「「」」

alatin jir

1.50

المراجز ملاطرتها

274



a server and a server a serve

37.67. 37. 6



FIGURE A-85



FIGURE A-86



2

1.1.1

وسيرين والمنافق والمنافع المسالية المسالية المسالية المسالية المسالية المسالية المسالية المسالية المسالية المس





in the second second



.



FIGURE A-90



ዲግሪ ማርቀት ዓብርን

 $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{u}^*$ 

1 1 9 2 1 9 1 1



FIGURE A-91



FIGURE A-92





•



• • •

279



2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 1. 2. 2. 



States - States

a second and the second second

ないかられたので、こことにたいというというです。

14 P. 12 11 1

ليصرك تصرك تصرك فستأجز المستكرها بالتعري تعري وروا فليتعد وسرورية



FIGURE A-97



281



ñ

A SALE PART

あんといれ

「あいをないないののののののののの かんないない

which is a reaction of which



FIGURE A-99



282



and the second states

0.51.65.02.0. v

States - States

a support of the second se



# APPENDIX B

# OPERATING PROCEDURES

S. F. S.

1.1

C.

Ş

.3



Sec. 1

#### OPERATING PROCEDURES

The development of a set of general operating procedures which define the process, material, behavioral characteristics and their interrelationships was the initial goal of the Processing Science program. As the program developed, it became apparent that the initial goals of the program, i.e., the integration of specific material and process data into a generic operating procedure designed to produce a high quality, void-free laminate structure were overshadowed by the discovery of critical material and processing parameters. By exercising judicious control over the critical material and processing parameters, obtaining a quality part is virtually guaranteed.

The critical material and process parameters are:

- (1) volatile content of the resin during cure
- (2) dynamic viscosity (rheology) of the resin during the cure cycle
- (3) initial laminate compaction early in the cure
- (4) controlling resin pressure during cure
- (5) lateral resin and volatile migration

The volatile content of the resin or prepreg is the ultimate indicator for potential void generation during cure. It has been shown that when the volatile content approaches zero, voids in laminates disappear (under identical material/processing conditions). Since the control of moisture to a near zero level is almost an impossible practical procedure, alternative methods to deal with volatiles in the resin were developed. Two approaches are feasible. The first is to layup the part and run it through a de gas cycle to remove all volatiles prior to cure. This is an approach Rockwell has taken. The alternative approach is to insure that the resin pressure is

high enough during cure so that the pressure dependent boiling point of the volatiles is never exceeded.

and the second second

La La Lavia Marta Salanda Churi da Ra Carta da Carta da Rada da Sala da Carta da Carta da Carta da Carta da Car

The rheology of the resin, and to a lesser extent its self-adhesion, determines the ease and effectiveness of consolidation of a prepreg layup. Compaction of the layup is a critical parameter to obtain a good laminate. The degree of advancement, and the temperature of the resin define the resulting rheology of a 177C (350F) curing epoxy resin system. If the resin viscosity is too high (temperature too low or the material advanced), flow does not occur easily and compaction does not occur. The knowledge of the state of advancement of the resin and the part geometry (area and complexity) will determine the time at a specific temperature necessary to consolidate the prepreg part. One of the major sources of voids in a laminate are mechanically entrapped air pockets which occur during layup operations. The consolidation step eliminates these pockets as shown by the experimental results of this program.

The consolidation step is intimately related to the basic resin rheology and is a critical step in composite fabrication. The Processing Science program has amply illustrated that voids or air pockets tend to move laterally between plies and exit at the laminate perimeter. The lateral migration is a function of applied pressure, resin viscosity and laminate area and geometry. Ply tack (as tested by a flatwise tension technique) has also been implicated as a factor in ease of lateral bubble removal.

Assuming that all of the mechanical bubbles/pockets have been removed during a consolidation step, any voids that appear during the cure necessarily arise due to volatilization of low boiling materials in the resin (usually

water). The voids occur because the resin pressure was not high enough to prevent boiling. Resin pressure can easily be controlled by back-pressure techniques discovered on this program. They consist of pressurizing the bag at a pressure high enough to prevent volatiles from boiling (bubble formation) yet below the applied autoclave pressure to yield a pressure delta or differential to adequately hold the layup to a tooling surface or perform a designed bleeding operation.

Charle has he had a faile the had a faile had a faile faile in he had a had a had a faile a faile a faile a fai

In summary, the two basic steps in fabricating a high quality laminate are:

Layup consolidation

and a stratt 135

a sala and a sale of

Guaranteeing minimum resin pressure during cure

The other critical parameters listed are primarily concerned with obtaining these results.

It should be obvious that any process for curing a laminate should be specific for the structure in question. The structure's size (area and thickness), geometry, layup design (utilization of internal and external ply dropoffs), resin rheology as a function of temperature, resin curing kinetics, volatile content and moisture absorption characteristics must all be taken into consideration to developing a cure procedure and schedule.

The first step in developing a successful cure process is to characterize the resin that is being employed. Each batch of material should be accompanied by a neat resin sample for testing purposes or the certified results of the vendor if facilities are unavailable to accomplish the characterization. The characterization should minimally include a high pressure liquid chromatogram of the resin to establish advancement, purity, and so on. The chromatograms

288

also build up a quality control data base. Next, the rheology of the resin should be investigated at various heat-up rates and holds to develop enough data to enable the engineer to know or predict the resin rheology (dynamic viscosity) at any point in the cure. If the resin kinetics are known, they too can be correlated to the rheology of the resin so that at any advancement level the viscosity is known. The knowledge of the reaction kinetics coupled with the corresponding resin rheology is a powerful tool in designing cure schedules for specific part configurations.

. . Volatile measurement of prepreg on receipt of material should be standard procedure. Additionally, a piece of material should be exposed to layup conditions as a worst case example of moisture up-take during the layup operation and the volatiles measured at the completion of the layup. The actual volatile content of the layup will be somewhere between the as-received and the exposed prepreg volatile values, probably weighted more towards the as-received value (dependent on the procedure used to layup the laminate).

At this point, a good basis of understanding of the resin and prepreg has been acquired. Consolidation studies show the amount of pressure, the time, and the temperature a given laminate must see in order to effectively compact or consolidate the material. It is highly recommended that consolidation occur at the lower temperatures to inhibit advancement and allow longer consolidation times (if dictated by part size). Typical conventional cure schedules apply the pressure after the resin attains minimum viscosity, and if a large part is involved, consolidation is unlikely to occur to the quality desired. As the resin advances, the viscosity increases and this actually slows the consolidation process. A balance must therefore be maintained

289

between consolidation time and temperature and the resin reaction kinetics.

Before investing in a large amount of material, manpower and time to produce the prototype composite parts for testing and inspection purposes, it is recommended that a large laminate of minimum dimensions (61 cm X 61 cm, 2 ft X 2 ft) be fabricated with ply drop-offs or any configurations which replicates problem areas in the actual size part. The qualification laminate is employed to fine tune the curing process and screen material lots.

のないであるという

Internal ply drop-offs are employed extensively in the design and fabrication of graphite/epoxy composites. Ply drop-offs are regions of potential void accumulation and care must be taken to avoid void concentrations in these areas. The tack of the material determines to a large extent the ease of lateral interply removal of bubbles. Prepregs exhibiting high values in tack tension tests have been correlated with large amounts of voids in ply drop-off regions. If composites are to be fabricated which employ ply drop-offs, it is recommended that prepreg with low tack tension be used.

After consolidation is completed, several possible curing options exist. The first option is to proceed directly to the curing temperature and hold until the material is cured. The second option is to proceed to an intermediate temperature for a hold to allow moisture which is localized on the prepreg surfaces to diffuse into general distribution in the resin. This step is dependent on the quantity of water in the layup and the method employed for maintaining pressure in the resin during cure. If the moisture/resin pressure data indicate that a back pressure will prevent intraply void generation, then this step is unnecessary. If there is some question

The internal pressure is typically introduced into the bag after the consolidation step. The actual point of application may vary somwhat dependent on whether a net resin system or a resin rich prepreg is employed. The magnitude of the internal pressure will depend on the volatile content of the laminate. The higher the internal pressure, the smaller the volume of any microvoids still entrained in the resin will become. Typical values of 0.586 to 0.689 MPa (85 to 100 psi) have been used as the autoclave pressure

whether adequate pressure can be introduced into the laminate, the hold may help prevent the generation of voids.

with 0.345 to 0.448 MPa (50 to 65 psi) internal bag pressure. It is also a prudent policy to maintain the pressure on the part until cooling to a temperature well below the glass transition temperature (Tg)

of the resin.

Another procedure which has been successful in the curing of graphite/ epoxy composites was the bagless cure approach. The bagless cure essentially follows the consolidation procedure to eliminate mechanical voids. The bag was removed (or vented to the internal pressure of the autoclave) and the cure was allowed to proceed. Several precautions were followed, however. To improve the surface finish, a porous Armalon barrier was used next to the laminate surface followed by one or two plies of a balanced weave glass fabric. The glass prevented the top ply from misaligning on resin cure. This misalignment was observed when no balance ply was employed. The balance ply also served as a mild bleeder ply during consolidation. A variety of structures have been fabricated on various tooling surfaces and all have been quite successful, having minimum-void laminates.

291

THE REPORT OF THE ACTION OF TH

#### CALCULATION OF Coo IN REGION OF DADS PARTICLES

From data provided by M. Lehmann on 26 April 1982.

Water concentration in resin with powdered DADS = 0.475% Water concentration in resin with quenched DADS = 0.965% Water concentration in regions of DADS =  $\omega_{\infty}$ Basis: 1 gram wet resin plus DADS (20 w%)

 $H_2^{0}$  Balance: 0.8 gm resin  $\frac{0.00475 \text{ gm s } H_2^{0}}{\text{gm resin}} + 0.2 \text{ gm s DADS } (\omega_{\omega})$ = 1 gm (0.00965)

 $\omega_{\infty} = \frac{0.00965 - 0.8 \ (0.00475)}{0.2} = 0.02925$ 

$$\omega_{cc} = 0.0292 \frac{gm}{gm} \frac{H_2O}{gm} = 2.92\%$$

<u>Check of surface tension effect on bubble growth; i.e. can we say</u> <u>that  $P_{resin} = P_{void}$ ?</u> For r = 10 microns =  $10^{-3}$  cm,  $\frac{2\gamma_{LV}}{r} = 1.45$  psi for  $\gamma = 50 \frac{dynes}{cm^2}$ r = 100 microns =  $10^{-2}$  cm,  $\frac{2\gamma_{LL}}{r} = 0.145$  psi

Thus, for voids larger than  $100\mu P_V \approx P_R$ 

AND SHITLE A

### Void Transport

Void mobilization will occur when the pressure gradient becomes sufficient to overcome the surface tension forces exerted by the resin when the bubble is forced through the fiber network.

$$\left|\frac{dp}{dx}\right| > \left|\frac{dp}{dx}\right|_{c} = \frac{4 \gamma_{LV} \cos\theta}{d_{c}L_{V}}$$
(1)

- $\frac{dp}{dx}$  = pressure gradient in the direction of flow
- $\gamma_{I,V}$  = resin-void surface tension

States and the states

- $\theta$  = apparent contact angle
- d = diameter of the narrowest constriction in the network perpendicular to flow
- $L_{v}$  = void length projected on the main flow direction

In effect, the resin must have sufficient velocity in the flow direction to drag the bubble through the narrowest constriction.

Let us now assume that the resin flow through the fiber network follows Darcy's Law

 $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{e}}}{\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{L}}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}}\right)$ (2)

where  $V_{T}$  is the resin velocity

- K = effective permeability
- $\mu_{T}$  = resin viscosity

The critical conditions for void movement may also be expressed in terms of a critical capillary number

$$C_{R} \ge C_{a} = \frac{\mu_{L} V_{L}}{\gamma_{LV}} = -\frac{dp}{dx} \frac{K_{e}}{\mu_{L}} \frac{\mu_{c}}{\gamma_{LV}} = -\frac{dp}{dx} \frac{K_{e}}{\gamma_{LV}}$$
(3)

From equation (1),

$$Ca \geq Ca_{c} = \frac{4 \gamma_{LV} \cos\theta}{d_{c}L_{V}} \frac{K_{e}}{\gamma_{LV}} = \frac{4 K_{e} \cos\theta}{d_{c}L_{V}}$$
(4)

and and a start of a factor of the start of

The physical situation is shown schematically below



It is instructive to first estimate the critical pressure gradient in the laminate thickness direction

$$\theta = 46^{\circ} \text{ for epoxy on graphite in air}$$

$$\gamma_{LV} = 50 \text{ dynes/cm}^{2}$$

$$d_{c} = 1/2 \text{ fiber diameter}$$

$$L_{v} = \text{ one fiber diameter} = 1\mu$$

$$\left(\frac{dp}{dx}\right)_{c} = \frac{4 (50) (0.69) \text{ dynes/cm}}{0.0001 \text{ cm} (0.0000.5 \text{ cm})} = 2.76 \times 10^{10} \frac{\text{ dynes}}{\text{ cm}^{3}}$$

$$= 2.76 \times 10^{10} \frac{\text{ dynes}}{\text{ cm}^{2} - \text{ cm}} \left(\frac{1.45 \times 10^{-5} \text{ psi}}{\text{ dynes/cm}^{2}}\right) = 4.0 \times 10^{5} \frac{\text{psi}}{\text{ cm}} \cdot \frac{2.54 \text{ cm}}{\text{ inch}}$$

$$= 1.02 \times 10^{6} \text{ psi/inch}$$

Actual dp/dx:

 $\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{10 \text{ psi}}{.064 \text{ inches}} = 156 \text{ psi/inch}$ 

At this point the buoyant force due to gravity has been neglected. In order to move the void through the thickness with the available pressure gradient

156 
$$\frac{\text{psi}}{\text{inch}} \cdot \frac{1 \text{ inch}}{2.54 \text{ cm}} \left( \frac{1 \text{ dynes } \text{cm}^2}{1.45 \text{ x } 10^{-5} \text{ psi}} \right) = 4.23 \text{ x } 10^6 \frac{\text{dynes/cm}^2}{\text{cm}}$$

$$d_{c}L_{v} = \frac{4(50)(0.69)}{4.23 \times 10^{6}} = 32.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{2}$$

If 
$$L_V = 10^{-4}$$
 cm  
...  $d_c = 32.6 \times 10^{-2}$  cm = 0.326 cm

Examine possibility of lateral flow

Same and a second of a

そくころの

$$\frac{dp}{dx} = \frac{27 \text{ psi}}{8 \text{ in}} = 3.38 \frac{\text{psi}}{\text{in}} \left(\frac{1 \text{ in}}{2.54 \text{ cm}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ dynes/cm}^2}{1.45 \text{ x } 10^{-5} \text{ psi}}\right) = 0.92 \text{ x } 10^5 \frac{\text{dynes/cm}^2}{\text{cm}}$$

$$d_c L_V = \frac{4 (50) (0.69)}{0.92 \text{ x } 10^5} = 315 \text{ x } 10^{-5} = 3.15 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2$$
If  $L_V = 1 \text{ cm}$ 

$$d_c = 3.15 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{ cm} \left(\frac{1 \text{ inch}}{2.54 \text{ cm}}\right) = 1.24 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{ inch} = 1 \text{ mil}$$

= 31.5 µ

It is obvious from the above calculations that any voids growing between the plies will not be able to move vertically under the pressure gradients actually found in the laminates.

On the other hand, lateral motion is indeed possible. Pore diameters on the order of one mil or less would allow void transport. Thus, the degree of ply compaction is important with regard to void transport. SANDARA SANDAR

Further advances on the void transport part of the model will depend on an estimate of the permeability,  $K_e$ , of the fiber network in the laminate. This will have to come from experimentation.



Description of General Dynamics Apple Program for Void Size

And a contract of the second sec

The program consists of an

- a) Input Subroutine,
- b) Calculational Subroutine,
- c) Output Subroutine

Input Subroutine

. . . . . . . . . . . .

の一般である

User specifies

- resin density, DENR

- resin mass fraction in fresh prepreg, WR

- describes water solubility SO in prepreg by:

a) either a power law expression (Form 1)

$$SO = AP \left(\frac{RH}{100}\right)^{1}$$

b) or by an exponential

$$SO = AE \cdot e^{B \times RH/100}$$
 (Form 2)

where

AP, N or AE & B are constants selected by the user based on his solubility data and RH is relative humidity in %.

- describes water diffusivity by

$$D1 = DO \exp^{-ED/T}$$

where ED and DO are user-selected constants

The user also specifies

- the duration and temperature and pressure settings of the cure cycle

The second s

- the relative humidity at which prepreg is prepared

- initial temperature

- resum gelation temperature

Default options are provided for all above quantities.

There are two options

1. void growth by water vapor nucleation and diffusion

2. void growth by water vapor diffusion into an existing air bubble

An initial bubble diameter <u>must</u> be specified by the user for option 2 and may be specified for option 1 (i.e. in a water only system bubble may either nucleate or be assumed to exist)

Calculational Subroutine

Option 1 (water only)

Same as in our report:

a) Calculates water concentration in saturated prepreg prepared at relative humidity HO

$$CINF = \frac{SO (HO)}{100} \times \frac{DENR}{WR}$$

b) Calculates saturation concentration at void-resin interface

$$CSAT = \frac{AP \cdot (100^{N}) \cdot (P/PV)^{N}}{100} \cdot \frac{DENR}{WR}$$
for Form 1 <sup>†</sup>

$$CSAT = \frac{AE \cdot EXP (B \cdot 100 \cdot P/PV)}{100} \times \frac{DENR}{WR}$$

where  $P\dot{V}$  is the vapor pressure of water

 $PV = 4.962 \times 10^5 e^{-4.892/T}$ T is temperature in Kelvin everywhere If zero initial bubble diameter is assumed, the program calculates the time for bubble nucleation

$$TBEGIN = \frac{TCRITICAL - T}{RT \times 60}$$

where T is the temperature at that time

A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT OF

and the second second

RT is the slope of the temperature time line (if non zero) RT ( $^{\kappa}$ /min) and TCRITICAL is the temperature at which CSAT < CINF.

Note that temperature increases in time as

 $T = TINITIAL + RT \times time$ 

when the bubble is nucleated, Scriber's equation (our eq. 5) is used to calculate growth until bubble diameter, BD

(\*) 
$$\frac{dBD}{dt} = \frac{8 \cdot (BETA)^2 \times D1}{BD}$$
 Scriven's equation

BD - bubble diameter (cm) reaches 0.01 cm. At that point Subramanian-Weinberg equation is used.

$$BETA = \frac{DINF - CSAT}{ROG}$$

where ROG is gas density

ROG = 
$$\frac{\frac{M_{H_2} 0 \cdot P}{H_2 0} + \text{ pressure}}{RT}$$

$$\frac{1}{RT}$$

ション語を見たるとないためで、「「「「「「」」

(\*\*) 
$$\frac{dBD}{dt} = \frac{2 \cdot BETA}{\sqrt{\pi}} \qquad \frac{D1}{t - t_1} + 16 \cdot \left[\frac{(BETA)^2}{3\pi} + \frac{BETA}{4}\right] \cdot \frac{D1}{1D} \qquad Weinberg-Subramanian$$

t - time,  $t_1$  - time at which bubble diameter was 0.01 cm and a switch from Scriven's to Weinberg-Subramanian formula is made.

ID = initial bubble diameter for growth by this equation
When initial bubble diameter is specified as nonzero (even for wateronly mixture), the routine starts with Scriven's eq. (\*) until 0.01 cm
is reached, then switches to (\*\*) if the bubble grows or shows bubble
collapse. If initial diameter > 0.01 cm (\*\*) is immediately used for
consistency of results.

## Option 2

For air-water mixture an initial bubble diameter BOD <u>must</u> be assigned and it is assumed to consist of air only.

Gas density is now given by

$$ROG = \frac{18.P}{82.1 \cdot T} + \left(\frac{BOD}{BD}\right)^3 \frac{P_o \cdot 28.8}{82.1 \cdot T_o}$$

Partial pressure of water is:

$$PP = \left[1 - \frac{P_o \cdot T}{PT_o} \left(\frac{BOD}{BD}\right)^3\right] P$$

P - pressure, T - temperature P<sub>o</sub>, T<sub>o</sub> = Initial values of P and T

$$CSAT = AP \cdot 100^{N-1} \left(\frac{PP}{PV}\right)^{N} \cdot \frac{DENR}{WR}$$

or

$$CSAT = \frac{AE}{100} \cdot e \frac{100}{PV}$$

Bubble growth or shrinkage is now calculated by eq. (\*\*) if initial diameter BOD > 0.01 cm. If BOD < 0.01 cm. Scriven's equation is used.

The effect of the change of pressure for both pure water and water/air mixture is accounted for by the ideal gas law i.e.

$$\frac{BD}{BD}_{old} = (\frac{old}{P})_{new}^{P}$$

unless the temperature has reached resin gelatin temperature in which case there is no effect of pressure on bubble size.

## Output Subroutine

Sec. 2

time, temperature,  $\beta$ , and bubble diameter can be printed

- a) at equal time increments selected by the user
- b) at times when either heating rate RT or pressure are changed.

## APPENDIX C

And Hade state line

A STATES

A STATE AND A

8

Section of the

-

5

L CARLER LA

# FINAL VOIDS - PROGRAM LISTING FOR APPLE II COMPUTER



### FINAL VOIDS - PROGRAM LISTING FOR APPLE II COMPUTER

 $\sim L \sim L$ 

an and a superior of a factor of a factor

| 1         | REM FILE NAME IS FINAL VOIDS: DATE OF LAST CHANGE IS 10/14/83.                  |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2         | REM VOID GROWTH MODEL PROGRAM                                                   |
| 3         | REM PROCESSING SCIENCE OF EPOXY MATRIX COMPOSITES PROGRAM, CONTRACT             |
|           | #F33615-80-C-5021                                                               |
| 4         | REM PROGRAM WRITTEN BY WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY (M. P. DUDUKUVIC MND 5             |
|           | . L. KARDOS)                                                                    |
| 5         | REM PROGRAM FORMAT AND INPUT MODIFICATIONS BY SENERAL UNMATUSEFT                |
|           | WORTH (J. T. SCHUELER AND E. L. MURAGUE, JR.)                                   |
| \$        | REM AIR FORCE PROGRAM MUNITUR, DR. C. E. BROWNING, HEWELPHEDE, FROM             |
| _         | E (513) 253-2201.                                                               |
| 7         | REM FOR INFORMATION ON PROBABILITY OR TO THE RECOUNT RECOUNT OF THE             |
|           | 99-6982 UR (2) LEE MCRAGUE, (817) 777-2126,                                     |
| з<br>Г    | RET<br>Doter too you under a booddam i isting?"                                 |
| <br>      | TAIDIT FENTED Y DO NATI E FRUGRET EISTING:                                      |
| 4         | INFUT ENTER I ON TO JUS                                                         |
| 12        |                                                                                 |
| 12        | 16 = CHP\$ (9)                                                                  |
| 14        | PR# 1: PRINT 1\$"80N"                                                           |
| 15        | LIST                                                                            |
| 15        | PR# 0                                                                           |
| 19        | REM GET INPUT FROM INPUT SUB.                                                   |
| 20        | GCTO 2010 ·                                                                     |
| 25        | REM_INITIALIZE                                                                  |
| 26        |                                                                                 |
| 27        | INTE U.                                                                         |
| 20        | DE ALA REM DELATIVE MINISTY AT PREPRES PREPARATION                              |
| 25        | RD = DSTART, PEM CHIPPENT BURGE DIAMETER                                        |
| 40        | PRES = P(1): REM_CURRENT PRESSURE IN ATMOSPHERES                                |
| 45        | TEMP = T7 + 273.15: REM CURRENT TEMPERATURE IN DEGREES K                        |
| 4é        | XITEMP = TEMP                                                                   |
| 49        | IF ((N2TI = NT) AND (N1PI = NP)) THEN GOTO 275                                  |
| 50        | TBEGIN = 0: REM START TIME OF CURRENT CYCLE STEP                                |
| 55        | N2TI = 1: REM CURRENT INDEX OF TT/RT ARRAYS                                     |
| 00        | NIPI = 1: REM CURRENT INDEX UP TP/P ARRAYS                                      |
| 94.<br>.e | GORDE 337<br>Gorde Golgethie - Conc. Rem Total Hater Conc. The Dirk Regins '    |
| 20        | GOOD SUCCINF - CUNCT REF TOTAL WHILE CURC. IN BOLK RESIN                        |
| 10        | FOR NUCLEATION                                                                  |
| 75        | IF (TCRITICAL > TEMP) THEN GOTO 20                                              |
| 80        | TBEGIN = 0: GOTO 150: REM PROCESS STARTED AT OR ABOVE TORITICAL                 |
| 90        | GOSUR 335: REM TEMC NOT REACHED IN STEP, NEXT STEP                              |
| 91        | REM :TLIMIT=TEMP+(TSTP-TBEGIN)*RT(N2TI):REM NEXT STEP MAX. TEMP.                |
| 92        | TLIMIT = $(\text{TEMP}(\text{N2TI} + 1) - 32) + 5 \times 9 + 270.15$            |
| 74        | IF ((NIPI > = NP) AND (N2TI > = NT) AND (TORITICAL > TLIMIT) THEN               |
| ~         | GOSUB 1066                                                                      |
| ¥2        | IF ((NIPI) = NP) AND (N2TI) = NT) AND (URTITICAL > TEPTIT// HER                 |
| 100       | GUID 2/3<br>) IE (TIIMIT ( TABITICAL) THEN BOTH 120, PEM - PASS TEST IE TABITIC |
| 100       | AI NOT IN STEP                                                                  |
| 105       | TTEGIN = (TCRITICAL - TEMP) / RT(N2TI) + TBEGIN: REM TIME FOR NUCLE             |
|           | ATION IN STEP                                                                   |
| 110       | TEMP - TCRITICAL                                                                |
| 112       | XITEMP · TEMP                                                                   |
| 113       | TOUT = TBEGIN                                                                   |
| 115       | GOTC 150; REM SCRIVEN APPROX, OF SUBBLE GROWTH                                  |
| :20       | GOSUB 4101 REM BUMP TO NEXT STEP.SET PRES/TEMP                                  |
| <         | 3 STO 75: REM PRES CHANGE MAY CHANGE TEMC                                       |

```
135
      REM
            INTEGRATE SCRIVEN EQUATION UNTIL WE REACH END OF CURRENT STEP
 140
      REM
150 TIME = TBEGIN: GOSUB 695: REM
      GOSUB 335: REM SET TETP
151
155
      IF (IPRT = 0) THEN TOUT = (1 + INT (TBEGIN / TRI)) * TRI: REM
      IND OUTPUT TIME PRINT OPTION 0
    IF (BD > 0.) THEN GOTO 212: REM DON'T NEED SCRIVEN APPROX.
KAR = INT ((TSTP - TBEGIN) / MPD)
INC = (TSTP - TBEGIN) / KAR
1 60
164
165
     D2 = 80 + 80
170
1 80
      FOR ICNT = 1 TO KAR
      INVERSE : VTAB 16: HTAB 15: PRINT " WORKING ": HTAB 15: PRINT "PL
182
      EASE WAIT ! NORMAL
185
      303UB 545: REM SCRIVEN APPROX. :NTEGRATED WITH 4 TH ORDER RUNGE-KU
      TTA-GILL
190
     IF (IPRT = 1) THEN GOTO 205
195
      IF NOT C(TOUT C = TIME) AND (TOUT > (TIME - INC))) THEN GOTO 205
     GOSUB 690: REM RRINT RESULTS
IF (BD > .1) THEN GOTO 212
NEXT ICNT
200
205
210
     SOTO 215
211
212 ID = BD:TD = TIME
213 TEMP = TEMP + (TIME - TBEGIN) * RT(N2TI) TBEGIN = TIME
     IF (TIME ( TSTP) THEN GOTO 218
214
215 ID - BD: REM , SUBRAMANIAN AND WEINBERG PARAMETER
216 TD = TIME
217
     GOSUB 625: REM GET NEXT CYCLE STEP
     IF (IPRT = 1) THEN GOSUS 395: REM PRINT OUTPUT FOR OPTION 1
IF (IQUIT = 1) THEN GOTO 275: REM INTEGRATED TO TMAX WE'RE
218
                                              INTEGRATED TO TMAX WE'RE FINIS
225
      HED
230 TIME = TBEGIN: REM INITIALIZE TIME FOR INTEGRATION STEP
232 KAR = INT ((TSTP - TBEGIN) / HFE)
233 KAR = 25: REM OVERIDES 232 AND FIXES NUMBER OF INTEGRATION STEPS (
      CANCELED IN 1.2 7/15/83 HFE)
235 INC = (TSTP - TBEGIN) / KAR
236
     IF (IPRT = 0) THEN NITER = (TOUT - TIME) / INC
     IF (IPRT = 1) THEN NITER = KAR
237
238 IKCUNT = 0.
    FOR IGNT = 1 TO KAR
240
     INVERSE : VTAB 16: HTAB 15: PRINT " WORKING "I HTAB 15: PRINT "PL
241
     EASE WAIT": PRINT : HTAB 30: PRINT "NEXT ": HTAB 30: PRINT "OUTPUT
": HTAB 30: PRINT "VUVVV": PRINT
242 IKOUNT = IKOUNT + 1.: IF ( ABS (NITER) ( = 1.E - 3) THEN NITER = 1.
     E - 3
243 IV = INT ((IKOUNT / NITER) # 34.): IF (IV > = 34) THEN IV = 35
244
     FOR IW = 1 TO IV: UTAB 22: HTAB IW: PRINT " ": VTAB 23: HTAB IW: PRINT
      " "I NEXT IW
245
     NORMAL
     GOSUB 890: REM SUBRAMANIAN AND WEINBERG 4 TH ORDER RUNGE-KUTTA-GIL
246
247
     IF (80 > 0.) THEN GOTO 251
     GOSUB 10161 REM
248
                           BUBBLE HAS COLLAPSED WE MUST FIND NUCLEATION TI
     ME AGAIN
249
     IF (TCRITICAL > TLIMIT) THEN PRW 1: PRINT : PRINT "NUCLEATION CANN
     OT OCCUR ANYMORE": PRINT "DURING THIS CYCLE.": PR# 0; GUTD 275
250
     GOTO 150
     IF (IPRT = 1) THEN GOTO 265
IF NOT ( ABS (TOUT - TIME) ( INC) THEN GOTO 265
251
255
     GOSUB 695: REM OUTPUT RESULTS FOR OPTION O
240
261 IKOUNT = 0.
262 NITER = (TOUT - TIME) / INC
263 NITER = ABS (NITER)
    IF (NITER ( = 1.E - 3) THEN NITER = 1.E -
264
     NEXT IONT
233
```

REM

Cale and the second of a

305

```
GOTO 217
270
275
     FOR ICNT = 1 TO 2000; NEXT ICNT
     INVERSE
275
277
     PRINT
278
     PRINT "
                            THE END
279
     PRINT .
     NORMAL
280
281
     PRINT CHR$ (20)
202
     END
285
     REM
     REM SUBROUTINE WATER CONC. IN REGIN
290
295
     REM
     IF (SOLD = 1) THEN SO = AP + ((RH / 100.) ^ N)
300
     IF (SOLO = 2) THEN SO = AE * EXP (B * (RH / 100.)); REM GET SO FO
305
      R EXPONENTIAL
     IF (OPTN = 2) THEN JUNK = ((DSTART / BD) ^ 3) + P(1) + XITEMP / (PR
306
     ES # (T7 + 273.15))
     IF ((OPTN = 2) AND (JUNK > = 1)) THEN CONC = CINF
307
310 CONC = (S0 * DENR) / (100. * WR)
     RETURN
315
320
     REM
325
     REM
           SUBROUTINE CYCLE SEQUENCE TIMER
326
     REM
             - DECIDES IF HEATING RATE OR PRESSURE CHANGE IS NEXT
     REM
330
                       FLAG SET TO 1 IF HEATING RATE CHANGE IS NEXT
335 N4TN = 0; REM
                       FLAG SET TO 1 IF PRESSURE CHANGE IS NEXT
340 N3PN = 0; REM
345 I1 = N2TI + 1
350 TI = TMAX: IF'(II ( = NT) THEN TI = TT(II): REM TIME FOR NEXT HEAT
      RATE CHANGE
355 I2 = N1PI + 1
360 T2 = TMAX: IF (12 ( = NP) THEN T2 = TP(12): REM TIME FOR NEXT PRES
     SURE CHANGE
     IF NOT ((T1 = T2) AND (T1 = TMAX)) THEN GOTO 365
361
362 NIPI = NP:N2TI = NT:TSTP = TMAX: RETURN
365 IF (T1 = T2) THEN GOTO 385: REM
                                           BOTH CHANGE AT SAME TIME
    IF (T1 ) T2) THEN GOTO 380
370
375 TSTP = T1:N4TN = 1: RETURN : REM HEAT RATE CHANGES NEXT
380 TSTP = Y2:N3PN = 1: RETURN : REM PRESSURE CHANGES NEXT
385 TSTP = T1:N4TN = 1:N3PN = 1: RETURN : REM BOTH CHANGE NEXT
390
     REM
         SUBROUTINE CYCLE STEP PARAMETER SETTER
395
    REM
400
     REM
             - SETS PRESSURE AND TEMPERATURE FOR NEXT STEP IN CURING CYCL
     Ε
405
    REM
                                  INCREMENT NIPI IF IT CHANGES (N3PN SET TO
410 NIPI = NIPI + N3PN: REM
      1 BUMPS IT)
415 PRES = P(NIPI): REM SET NEW PRESURE IF NIPI IS SUMPED
                                                           BRING TEMP UP TO D
429 TEMP = TEMP + (TSTP - TBEGIN) + RT(N2T1): REM
     ATE
                                  INCREMENT N2TI IF IT CHANGES (N4TN SET T
425 N2TI = N2TI + N4TN: REM
     0 1 BUMPS IT)
430 TBEGIN = TSTP: REM
                              SET NEW START TIME
431 CP = N3PN: REM REMEMBER IF PRESSURE WAS CHANGED HERE
435 GOSUB 335: REM CALL SUBROUTINE CYCLE SEQUENCE TIMER TO RESET NTN A
     ND NPN FOR NEXT STEP
440
    RETURN
445
     R 個M
          SUBROUTINE GET CSAT, ROG, AND BETA
- NOTE XITEMP IS TEMP AT GIVEN TIME
450
     REM
451
     REM
455
     REM
160 PV = 4.962E5 * EXP ( - 4892. / XITEMP): REM UAPOR PRESSURE OF WAT
     ER AT TEMPERATURE
461 PP - PRES
462 IF (CPTN = 2) THEN PP = (1. - ((P(1) * X1TEMP) / (PRES * (T7 + 273.
, 15)) * ((DSTART / BD) ^ 3))) * PRES
465 RH = 100. * (PP / PV): REM _ DEFINE PSEUDO RELATIVE HUMIDITY OF REGIN
```

and and the second s

470 GOSUB 300:CS = CONC: REM GET CSAT FROM SUBROUITNE WATER CONC. IN R ESIN 475 ROG = (18. \* PRES) / (82.1 \* X1TEMP): REM RHO (GREEK) SUB G I.E. GAS DENSITY IF (OPTN = 2) THEN ROG = ROG + (((DSTART / BD) ^ 3) \* P(1) \* 28.8 / 477 (82.1 \* (T7 + 273.15))) 480 BETA = (CINF - CS) / ROG: REM DEFINE THE BETA PARAMETER BY CAUTIOU S ASSUMPTIONS 485 RETURN 190 REM 495 REM SUBROUTINE SCRIVEN EQUATION EVALUATION 500 REM 505 XITEMP = TEMP + (X2TIME - TBEGIN) \* RT(N2TI): REM FIND OPERATING TE MPERATURE 510 DI = DO \* EXP ( - ED / XITEMP) / 60.: REM DIFFUSIVITY (CM^2/MIN) GOSUB 4601 REM GET BETA 515 520 FUNC = 16. \* BETA \* BETA \* DI: REM TIME DERIVATIVE OF 80 SQUARED RETURN 525 530 REM 535 REM SUBROUTINE SCRIVEN EQUATION FOURTH ORDER RUNGE-KUTTA-GILL INTE GRATION 540 REM 545 X2TIME = TIME: REM SET TEMPORARY TIME 550 GOSUB 505: REM EVALUATE RHS OF TIME DERIVATIVE OF SCRIVEN APPROX. 555 K1 = INC + FUNC 560 X2TIME = TIME + INC / 2. 565 GOSUB 505 570 K2 = INC + FUNC 572 K3 = K2 575 X2TIME = TIME + INC 578 GOSUP 505 580 K4 = INC \* FUNC 585 D2 = D2 + (K1 + 2. \* K2 + 2. \* K3 + K4) / 6.1 REM INTEGRATION STEP 590 TIME = TIME + INC 595 80 = SQR (02) RETURN 600 605 REM REM SUBROUTINE NEXT CYCLE STEP 610 - RESETS PRESSURE / TEMPERATURE AND CHANGES BUBBLE DIAMETER 615 REM IF NECESSARY REM 620 625 IQUIT = 0: REM .FLAG SET TO 1 IF TIME REACHES TMAX IF ((N3PN = 1) AND (NIPI + 1 ( = NP)) THEN TIME = TP(N1PI + 1) IF ((N4TN = 1) AND (N2TI + 1 ( = NT)) THEN TIME = TT(N2TI + 1) 635 640 645 GOSUB 410: REM SUBROUTINE CYCLE PARAMETER SETTER IF (CP = 0) THEN GOTO 665 IF (TEMP > = GEL) THEN HOME : PRINT CD\$;"PR#";IZ: PRINT : PRINT " TEMPERATURE ABOVE ";GEL;" NO FURTHER PRESSURE EFFECT (N BUBBLE SIZE 450 651 652 PRINT CD\$; "PRHO" FOR ICNT = 1 TO 5000: NEXT ICNT 653 455 IF (TEMP > = GEL) THEN GOTO 665: REM HERE WE ASSUME RESIN HAS GELLED 457 IF ( ABS ((P(N1PI - 1) / P(N1PI)) - 1) ( = 1.E - 3) THEN GOTO 665 640 BD = BD + (P(N1PI - 1) / P(N1PI)) ^ (1. / 3.): REM BUBBLE DIAMETER CHANGE VIA PRES. CHANGE 661 ID = BD: REM RESET SUBRAMANIAN AND WEINBERG INITIAL BUBBLE DIAMETER 662 TD = TIME IF ( ABS (TIME - TMAX) ( = 1.E - 3) THEN IQUIT = 1: REM 665 WE ARE FINISHED IF THIS HAPPENS IF ((IPRT = 0) AND (IQUIT = 1)) THEN TOUT = TMAX IF ((IPRT = 0) AND (IQUIT = 1)) THEN GOSUB 695 666 667

6

3

here and the second of the

```
a75
     RETURN
 680
      REM
 385
          SUBROUTINE PRINT RESULTS
      REM
 690
      REM
 395
      NORMAL
 496 CDS = CHRS (4); REM CHRS(4) IS AD (CNTRL-D)
 698
     HOME
 700 870 - 80
 701 X2TIME - TIME
 704 PRINT CD$; "PRH"; IZ: PRINT CHR$ (20): REM _ ^D PR# (PORT NMBER) THE
      N AT TO TOGGLE ECHO/NO ECHO TO ECHO
    IF (IPRT = 1) THEN GOTO 710
 705
     IF ((IPRT = 0) AND (TIME + TOUT)) THEN GOTO 710
 - O 6
 707 X2TIME = TOUT
 708 870 = 870 - (TIME - TOUT) * FUNC: IF 870 ( 0 THEN 870 = 0
 709 XITEMP = XITEMP - (TIME - TOUT) + RT(N2TI)
     GOSUB 440
710
715
      PRINT
      PRINT "TIME
 720
                               # ": LEFT$ ( STR$ (X2TIME # 1.0000001).5):*
      MINUTES"
721
     PRINT
724 JUNK = PRES + 14.7
     PRINT PRESSURE
                               = ": LEFT$ ( STR$ (JUNK * 1.0000001),5);* PS
 725
      T ·
726
     PRINT
729 JUNK = (XITEMP - 273.15) * 1.8 + 32
730 PRINT "TEMPERATURE
                              = "; LEFT$ ( STR$ (JUNK * 1.0000001),5);" DE
      6 FAHRENHEIT"
     PRINT
731
732 BIETA = BETA
734
    IF ( ABS (BIETA) ( = 1.E - 2) THEN PRINT "BETA
                                                                       = ";B1E
      TA
735
     IF ( ABS (BIETA) > 1.E - 2) THEN PRINT "BETA
                                                                   - ": LEFTS
      ( STR$ (B1ETA * 1.0000001),8)
738
    PRINT
740 C$ = STR$ (870 / 2.54):A$ = RIGHT$ (C$,4):B$ = LEFT$ (A$,1): IF 8
     * = "E" THEN GOSUD 0500, GOTO 745
                                                                   INCHES": GOTO
      780
     IF (870 / 2.54 > 1.E - 2) THEN PRINT "BUBBLE DIAMETER = "; LEFTS (
742
     PRINT "BUBBLE DIAMETER = "C#" INCHES"
745
750
     PRINT : PRINT
755
     PRINT COS: "PRHO": REM PRINT AD PRHO TOO DEENERGIIZE THE PRINTER IN
     TERFACE
740
     IF (IPRT = 0) THEN TOUT = TOUT + TRI
     RETURN
765
770
     REM
775
     REM
          SUBROUTINE SUBRAMANIAN AND WEINBERG EQUATION EVALUATION
780
     REM
785 PI = 3.141592654
     GOSUB 505: REM GO TO SCRIVEN FUNCTION TO GET DI
GOSUB 460: REM GET CSAT , ROG , AND BETA
790
795
800 P2 = 1.7724538
810 FUNC = 16. # ((BETA / (3. # PI)) + 0.25) # BETA # DI / ID
815 IF ((X2TIME - TD) ( = 1.E - 3) THEN GOTO 870
820 FINK = 2. # BETA # SQR (DI / ABS (X2TIME - TD)) / P2
821
   IF ((BETA < 0.) AND ( ABS (FUNC) > .8 * ABS (FINK))) THEN FUNC = 0
822 FUNC = FUNC + FINK
870
    RETURN
875
     REM
380
     REM
          SUBROUTINE SUBRAMANIAN AND WEINBERG FOURTH ORDER RUNGE-KUTTA-G
     ILL INTEGRATION
    PEM
385
890 X2TIME = TIME
```

```
395 GOSUB 785: REM EVALUATE TIME DIFFERENTIATED S AND W APPROXIMATION
900 K1 = INC + FUNC'
905 X2TIME = TIME + INC / 2.
910 GOSUB 795
915 X2 # INC # FUNC
                        •
917 K3 = K2
920 X2TIME = TIME + INC
925 GOSUB 785
930 K4 = INC + FUNC
935 BD = BD + (K1 + 2. * K2 + 2. * K3 + K4) / 5.
936 IF (BD < 0.) THEN BD = 0.
940 TIME = TIME + INC
945 RETURN
1000 REM
            SUBROUTINE BUBBLE COLLAPSE
1010 REM
1015 REM
1016 PRINT CHR$ (4); "PR#"; IZ: HOME
1017 INVERSE : VTAB 7: PRINT
                                                                               ": PRINT
                                                 ": PRINT "
                   BUBBLE COLLAPSES
                        * : NORMAL
1018 BD = DSTART * ((P(1) * X1TEMP / (PRES * (T7 + 273.15))) ^ (1. / 3.)
1019 ID - 80
1020 IF (OPTN = 2) THEN PRINT "BUBBLE DIAMETER IS REDUCED TO"
1021 IF (OPTN = 2) THEN CS = STRS (BD / 2.34):AS = RIGHTS (CS,4):BS =
LEFTS (AS,1): IF BS = "E" THEN GOSUB 8500: PRINT CS" INCHES"
1023 TEMP = TEMP + (TIME - TBEGIN) + RT(N2TI)
1024 TBEGIN = TIME
1025 PRINT "TIME # "; LEFTS ( STRS (TIME),5);" MINUTES"
1026 PRINT CHRS (4);"PR#0"
1027 REM :PR# 1: PRINT TORITICAL TREGIN.NOT: PT(NOT: )
      REM :PRW 1: PRINT TCRITICAL, TBEGIN, N2TI, RT(N2TI), TT(N2TI): PRW 0
1030 TCRIT,ICAL = 4892. / LOG ((4.962E3 + H0) / PRES): REM
                                                                         CRITICAL T
      EMP. FOR NUCLEATION
1040 IF (TCRITICAL > TEMP) THEN GOTO 1060
1050
       RETURN
1060
       GOSUB 335: REM
                            TEMC NOT REACHED IN STEP, NEXT STEP
       IF ((N2TI ( NT) OR (N1PI ( NP)) THEN GOTO 1070
1045
       PRINT CD$;"PR#";IZ: PRINT CHR$ (20)
1066
       PRINT : PRINT "NUCLEATION CAN NOT OCCUR ANYMORE ": PRINT "DURING T
1067
     HIS CURING CYCLE"
       PRINT CHR$ (4): "PR#0"
1068
1049
       RETURN
1070
       REM :TLIMIT=TEMP+(TSTP-TBEGIN)*RT(N2TI):REM NEXT STEP MAX. TEMP.
1075 TLIMIT = (TEMP(N2TI + 1) - 32) # 5 / 9 + 273.15
1080 IF (TLIMIT < TCRITICAL) THEN GOTO 1140: REM
                                                                PASS TEST IF TORI
      TICAL NOT IN STEP
1090 TBEGIN = (TCRITICAL - TEMP) / RT(N2TI) + TT(N2TI); PRINT TCRITICAL,
     TREGIN,N2TI, RT(N2TI), TT(N2TI); REM TIME FOR NUCLEATION IN STEP
       IF TBEGIN & TIME THEN TBEGIN = TIME
1091
1100 TEMP = TCRITICAL
1110 XITEMP = TEMP
1120 TOUT - THEGIN
       RETURN
1130
1140
       GOSUB 410: REM
                            BUMP TO NEXT STEP, SET PRES/TEMP
       00TO 1030
1120
       REM END OF SUBROUTINE
1160
1170
       REM. NO OUT HERE
2000
       REM
       CLEAR : HOME
2010
      VTAB 6: PRINT "PLEASE ENTER THE SLOT NUMBER"
2011
      PRINT "FOR THE PRINTER. ENTER 0 FOR NO"
2012
2013 PRINT "PRINTER, SLOT NUMBER (1 TO 6) FOR": PRINT "PRINTER, OR (RET
     URN> FOR THE DEFAULT"
2014 INPUT "OF 1 : "; [2$: IZ = INT (
2015 IF ( LEN (IZ$) = 0) THEN IZ = 1
                                     INT ( VAL (12$))
     IF (IZ ( 0) OR (IZ ) 6) THEN GOTO 2011
2016
```
INPUT SUPROUTINE FOR VOIDS REM 2020 2030 REM 2040 FIRST DISPLAY INTRODUCTION REM 2050 REM PRINT "THIS PROGRAM CALCULATES THE EFFECT OF" 2040 PRINT "CURING CYCLE ON VOID FORMATION AND" 2070 PRINT "ULTIMATE VOID SIZE. VOID (BUBBLE)" PRINT "GROWTH IS BASED ON THE ASSUMPTIONS: 2080 20.90 2100 PRINT PRINT \* 2110 - PSEUDOHOMOGENOUS, ISOTROPIC MEDIA\* PRINT \* - SPHERICAL VOID (BUBBLE)\* 2120 PRINT " - STAGNANT MEDIUM (RESIN)" 2130 PRINT . - NO INTERACTION (COALESCENCE)\* 2140 2150 PRINT . BETWEEN VOIDS - UNIFORM TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE" PRINT . 2160 PRINT " 2170 AT ANY TIME THROUGHOUT THE" PRINT \* MEDIUM AS GIVEN BY THE . 2190 2190 PRINT . TEMPERATURE AND PRESSURE OF" PRINT " THE CURING CYCLE AT THAT TIME" 2200 2210 PRINT 2220 PRINT "THE ASYMPTOTIC SOLUTION OF SCRIVEN," PRINT "CHEM. ENG. SCI., 10, 1, (1939) AND THE" PRINT "PETURBATION SOLUTION OF SUBRAMANIAN " 2230 2240 2250 PRINT "AND WEINBERG, AICHE J., 27 (4), 739" PRINT \*(1981) ARE USED TO CALCULATE BUBBLE\* 2260 PRINT "GROWTH." 2270 2280 PRINT 2290 INVERSE 2300 INPUT . PRESS (RETURN) TO CONTINUE"; JUNKS NORMAL 2310 2320 HOME' 2330 PRINT "THE PROGRAM HAS TWO OPTIONS." PRINT 2340 PRINT \* 2350 - OFTION 1 CALCULATES VOID GROWTH\* WHEN THE VOID CONTAINS ONLY" 2360 PRINT " WATER VAPOR PRINT " 2370 PRINT . 2380 - OPTION 2 CALCULATES VOID GROWTH" 2390 PRINT " WHEN WATER VAPOR DIFFUSES . PRINT . 2400 INTO AN EXISTING AIR BUBBLE." 2410 PRINT 2420 PRINT "THE USER MUST SUPPLY INFORMATION ON :" 2430 PRINT 2440 PRINT " - WATER SOLUBILITY DATA" PRINT " - WATER DIFFUSIVITY IN THE PREPREG" 2450 PRINT . 2460 - CURING CYCLE INFORMATION" 2461 PRINT 2470 INVERSE 2480 INPUT . PRESS (RETURN) TO CONTINUE"; JUNK\$ 2490 NORMAL 2500 HOME 2510 REM 2520 INPUT OPTION, RESIN DENSITY, RESIN MASS FRACTION REM INPUT WATER-SOLUBILITY-IN-RESIN EXPRESSION AND ITS PARAMETERS 2530 REM 2540 REM PRINT 2550 2560 PRINT PRINT "DO YOU WISH TO CALCULATE VOID GROWTH" PRINT "CONTAINING : " 2570 2390 2590 PRINT 2400 PRINT " - 1. PURE WATER VAPOR\* PRINT \* - 2. AIR AND WATER VAPOR" 2610 PRINT INPUT \* 2620 TYPE 1 OR 2 : ";OPTN 2630 2640 NORMAL

ڔ؞ڔڝۮ؈ؙڔڔۅ؞ٛڔڝۯڝۯڝۯڝۯڝۯڝۯڝۯڝٳ؞؞؞؞ڔ؞ڔ؞ڔ؞ڔ؞ڔ؞ڔ؞ڔ؞ڔ؞ڔڝۯ*ڝۯڝۯڝۯڝۯڝۯڛۯڝۯڝۯڛۯ*ڝۯ*ڞۯڝۯ*ڛۯ

). .,\*

2. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. C. S.

2.5

2017

HOME

```
2650 OPTN = INT (OPTN)
        IF (OPTN = 1) OR (OPTN = 2) THEN GOTO 2730
 2660
2670
        PRINT
 2680
        INVERSE
        PRINT "INVALID OPTION. GNLY 1 OR 2
PRINT "TRY AGAIN PLEASE
NORMAL : PRINT "": REM 5 ^G (BELL)
                                    ONLY 1 OR 2 ALLOWED."
 2690
 2700
 2710
 2720
        GOTO 2590
~ 2730
        PRINT
        PRINT "ENTER RESIN SPECIFIC GRAVITY OR"
 2740
 2750 INPUT "(RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF 1.37 ; ';DENRS
2740 DENR = VAL (DENRS)
 2770
        IF (DENR ( = 0.) THEN DENR = 1.27
 2780
        PRINT
        PRINT "ENTER RESIN MASS FRACTION (0 ( MF ( 1)"
PRINT "OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF "
 2790
 2900
        INPUT " 0.32 : " :WRS
 2810
 2820 WR = VAL (WR$)
        IF (WR'# 0.) THEN WR = 0.32
 2830
        IF (WR > 0.) AND (WR < 1.) THEN GOTO 2910
 2840
        INVERSE
 2850
 2860
        PRINT
        PRINT "MASS FRACTION MUST BE BETWEEN 0. AND 1.
 2970
        PRINT "TRY AGAIN PLEASE
NORMAL : PRINT "": REM 5 ^G (BELL)
 2880
 2890
 2900
        GOTO 2780
 2710
        HOME
 2920
        PRINT
 2930
        PRINT
        PRINT "SPECIFY EXPRESSION USED FOR WATER"
FRINT "SOLUBILITY (WT. %) OF PREPREG"
 2940
 2950
 2960
        PRINT
 2970
        PRINT "
                   - 1 FOR POWER LAW"
        PRINT .
 2980
                        S0 = AP*((RH/100.)^N)*
        PRINT .
                  - 2 FOR EXPONENTIAL"
 2990
 3000
        PRINT .
                        S0 = AE*((EXP(B*RH/100.)))*
 3010
        PRINT
 3020 INPUT "ENTER 1 OR 2 1 ";SOLO
3030 SOLO = INT (SOLO)
        IF (SOLO = 1) OR (SOLO = 2) THEN GOTO 3100
 3040
 3050
        INVERSE
        PRINT "YOU CAN ONLY ENTER 1 OR 2
PRINT " TRY AGAIN PLEASE
 30 60
 3070
 3080
        NORMAL : PRINT ""; REM 5 ^G (BELL)
        GOTO 2960
 3090
 3100
        HOME
 3110
        IF (SOLO = 2) THEN GOTO 3340
        REM
 3120
 3130
        REM SOLUBILITY OPTION 1 POWER LAW
 3140
        VTAB 6
 31 50
        PRINT "WATER SOLUBILITY IN FRESH PREPREG"
        PRINT "IS GIVEN BY 80 = AP*(RH/100)^N, WITH"
PRINT "RH REPRESENTING RELATIVE HUMIDITY"
 3160
 3179
        PRINT "DURING PREPREG PREPARATION. CONSTANTS"
PRINT "AP AND N MUST BE ENTERED"
 3180
 3190
 3200
        PRINT
 3210
        PRINT "ENTER THE AP CONSTANT OR (RETURN)"
        INPUT "FOR DEFAULT VALUE OF 0.558 : " APS
 3220
 3230 AP - VAL (APS)
       IF (AP = 0.) THEN AP = 0.558
 3240
 3250
        PRINT
        PRINT "ENTER THE N CONSTANT OR (RETURN)"
 3260
        INPUT "FOR DEFAULT VALUE OF 2. : "INS
 3270
 3280 N = VAL (N$)
3290 IF (N = 0.) THEN N = 2
        GOTO 3490
 3300
```

```
REM SOLUBILITY OPTION 2 EXP. LAW
3320
3330
       VTAB 6
3340
       PRINT "WATER SOLUBILITY IN FRESH PREPRES"
       PRINT "IS GIVEN BY SO = AE*XP(B*RH/100)), WITH"
3350
       PRINT "RH REPRESENTING RELATIVE AIR HUMIDITY"
3360
       PRINT "DURING PREPREG PREPARATION. THE"
3370
       PRINT "CONSTANTS AE AND 8 MUST BE ENTERED"
3380
       PRINT
3390
       PRINT "ENTER THE AE CONSTANT OR (RETURN)"
3400
       INPUT "FOR DEFAULT VALUE 0.011 : ";AE$
3410
3420 AE = \forall AL (AES)
3430 IF (AE ( = 0.) THEN AE = 0.011
3440
       PRINT
       PRINT "ENTER THE B CONSTANT OR (RETURN)"
3450
       INPUT "FOR DEFAULT VALUE 4.8 : ":88
3460
3470 B # VAL (8$)
       IF (8 = 0.) THEN 8 = 4.8
3480
3490
       HOME : UTAB 6
       PRINT "WATER DIFFUSIVITY, DI (IN**2/HR), IN"
PRINT "THE PREPREG IS GIVEN BY "
PRINT " DI = DO*(E**(-ED/TEMP)),"
3500
3510
3520
       PRINT "IJHERE TEMP IS THE TEMPERATURE IN"
PRINT "DEGREES RANKINE. THE CONSTANTS"
PRINT "DO (IN##2/HR) AND ED (DEG. R) MUST BE"
3530
3540
3550
       PRINT "ENTERED.
3560
3570
       PRINT
       PRINT "ENTER THE DO CONSTANT OR (RETURN)"
3580
       INPUT "FOR DEFAULT VALUE 0.01628 : ";DO$
3590
3600 D0 = INT (( VAL (D0$) / .155 * 1000) + .5) / 1000
       IF (D0 = 0.) THEN D0 = 0.105
3610
       PRINT
3620
       PRINT "ENTER THE ED CONSTANT OR (RETURN)"
3630
       INPUT "FOR DEFAULT VALUE 5070.0 : ";ED$
3640
3650 ED = INT (( 'JAL (ED$) - 491.7) * 5 / 9 + 273.2)
       IF (E0 < = 0.) THEN ED = 2817.
3655
3660
       HOME
3670
       VTAB 6
      PRINT "ENTER THE RELATIVE HUMIDITY AT WHICH"
PRINT "PREPREG WAS PREPARED (THIS NUMBER"
3680
3690
       PRINT "MUST BE BETWEEN 0. AND 100.) "
3700
       INPUT "CR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT 50. : ";HOS
3710
3720 HO = VAL (HO$)
      IF (H0 = 0.) THEN H0 = 50.
3730
       IF (H0 > 0.) AND (H0 ( = 100.) THEN GOTO 3825
3740
37'50
       INVERSE
       PRINT "RELATIVE HUMIDITY MUST BE BETWEEN"
3760
      PRINT "0. AND 100. TRY AGAIN PLEASE
NORMAL : PRINT "": REM 5 ^G (BELL)
3770
3780
3790
       8010 3660
3860
       REM
      REM INPUT CURING CYCLE INFO, TEMPERATURE/HEATING RATES/TIMES FIRS
3810
     T
3820
       REM
3825
      HOME : VTAB 6
             PRINT "ENTER THE DURATION OF THE CURING"
PRINT "CYCLE IN MIN. OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT"
3830
       REM
3831
       REM
              INPUT "VALUE OF 215 MINUTES : " ITMAXS
3832
       REM
              TMAX = VAL (TMAX$)
3833
       REM
3934
      REM
             IF (TMAX = 0.) THEN TMAX = 215.
3850
      HOME
      VTAB 6: PRINT "ENTER THE INITIAL TEMPERATURE (DEGREES"
3860
      PRINT "FAHRENHEIT) AT THE START OF THE "
3870
      PRINT "CURING DYCLE OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT"
INPUT "OF 75.0 : ":TS
3880
3890
3900 T7 = (( VAL (T$) - 32) * 5 / 9)
```

1. A. W.

N S A STATE

a state of the sta

「二、二、二、二、一、

المحرمة المراجع يعريه

3310

REM

```
IF (T7 ( = 0.) THEN T7 = 23.9
 3920
        HOME : VTAB 6
PRINT "ENTER THE NUMBER OF HEATING RATE"
 3930
 4070
        PRINT "CHANGES INCLUDING PERIODS OF CONSTANT"
 4080
        PRINT "TEMPERATURE (0 HEATING RATE) UP TO A"
 4090
       PRINT "LIMIT OF 6, OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT"
INPUT "VALUE OF 3 : "INTS
 4100
 4110
 4120 NT = INT ( VAL (NT$))
       IF (NT = 0) THEN NT = 3
 4130
        IF (NT > 0) AND (NT ( = 6) THEN GOTO 4210
 4140
 4150
       INVERSE
        PRINT "THE TOTAL NUMBER OF HEATING RATE
 41 60
       PRINT "CHANGES MUST BE AN INTEGER BETWEEN"
PRINT "1 AND &. TRY AGAIN PLEASE
NORMAL : PRINT "1: REM 5 ^G (BELL)
 4170
 41.80
4190
 4200
        GOTO 3930
 4210
       FOR ICNT = 1 TO NT
       HOME : GOSUB 7500: PRINT : PRINT : GOSUB 8000: HOME : NEXT ICNT
4250
4260 ICNT = NT:FLAG = 1: GOSUB 8000: HOME
4300
       FOR ICNT = 1 TO NT
        IF (RT(ICNT) = 0) THEN 4925
4310
       GOTO 4380
4320
4325
       VTAB 6
       IF (ICNT = 1) THEN PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"ST TEMPERATURE PERIOD"
IF (ICNT = 2) THEN PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"ND TEMPERATURE PERIOD"
4330
4340
4350
       IF (ICNT = 3) THEN PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"RD TEMPERATURE PERIOD"
4360
       IF (ICNT > "3) THEN PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"TH TEMPERATURE PERIOD"
       PRINT : PRINT "THE CURE CYCLE IS ON A CONSTANT
PLATEAU AT "TEMP(ICNT)" DEGREES. PLEASE ENT
4370
                                                                          TEMPERATURE
                                                    PLEASE ENTER THE DURATION OF
                   PLATEAU IN MINUTES OR (RETURN) FOR": INPUT "DEFAULT VALU
      THE
      E OF 120 MINUTES. * PB(ICNT).
4374 P(ICNT) = VAL (P$(ICNT))
4375 IF (P(ICNT) ( = 0) THEN PS(ICNT) = "120"
4380
       HOME : NEXT ICNT
4392'TT(1) = 0.
4385 FOR I = 2 TO NTIJUNK(I) = RT(I - 1):PS$(I) = P$(I - 1): NEXT I
       FOR ICNT = 2 TO NT
4390
4395
       IF JUNK(ICNT) = 0 THEN TT(ICNT) = TT(ICNT - 1) + VAL (PS$(ICNT)):
       30T9 4406
4400 TT(ICNT) = TT(ICNT - 1) + (TEMP(ICNT) - TEMP(ICNT - 1)) / JUNK(ICNT
4406 TT(ICNT) = INT (TT(ICNT))
4410
       NEXT I CNT
       FOR I = 1 TO NT: RT(I) = RT(I) + 5 / 9: NEXT I
4412
4415
       FOR IGNT = 1 TO (NT - 1)
       IF (TT(ICNT) ( TT(ICNT + 1)) THEN 4430
PRINT : PRINT : INVERSE : PRINT "TIMES AT WHICH HEATING RATE CHANG
IS ARE NOT SEQUENTIALLY INCREASING. PLEASE ENTER HEATING
4420
4425
      53
       RATE AND
                              TEMPERATURE DATA AGAIN.
                                                                               " I NORMAL
      : FOR I = 1 TO 5000; NEXT I: GOTO 3825
4430
       NEXT IONT
4690 THAX = VAL (P$(NT)) + TT(NT)
4700
       HOME
4720
       VTAB 5
       PRINT "CURING CYCLE PRESSURE TEMPERATURE"
PRINT "DATA MUST BE ENTERED."
4730
4740
4750
       PRINT
4820
       PRINT
       PRINT "ENTER INITIAL PRESSURE (PSI"
PRINT "APPLIED OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT"
4930
4840
4850
       INPUT "OF 14.70 PSI : ";P1$
4870 P(1) = VAL (P18) / 14.7
4880 · IF (P(1) < = 0.) THEN P(1) = 1.0
4890 TP(1) = 0.0
4900
      HOME
4910 VTAB 6
```

1.25 C 2 C 2

```
313
```

```
PRINT "THAT ARE USED DURING THE CURING CYCLE"
PRINT "UP TO A LIMIT OF 6, OR (RETURN)"
        INPUT "FOR DEFAULT VALUE OF 2 : " INPS
4950
 4960 \text{ NP} = \text{INT} ( \text{VAL} ( \text{NP$} ) )
 4970
       IF (NP ( = 0) THEN NP = 2
        IF (NP > 0) AND (NP ( = 6) THEN GOTO 5050
4980
        INVERSE
4990
        PRINT "THE TOTAL NUMBER OF SETTINGS MUST BE"
PRINT "AN INTEGER BETWEEN 1 AND 6
5000
5010
        PRINT TRY AGAIN PLEASE
5020
        NORMAL : PRINT "": REM 5 ^G (BELL)
5030
5040
        GOTO 4910
5050
        HOME
50.60
        IF (NP = 1) THEN GOTO 5540
        PRINT
5070
        FOR ICNT = 2 TO NP
5080
5090
       VTAB 3
       IF (ICNT = 2) THEN PRINT "FOR THE ";ICNT;" ND PRESSURE SETTING"
IF (ICNT = 3) THEN PRINT "FOR THE ";ICNT;" RD PRESSURE SETTING"
IF (ICNT > 3) THEN PRINT "FOR THE ";ICNT;" TH PRESSURE SETTING"
5100
5110
5120
        IF NOT (NP = 2) THEN GOTO 5270
3130
        PRINT
5140
       PRINT "ENTER THE TIME (MINUTES) FROM START OF "
5150
       PRINT "CYCLE AT WHICH THE SETTING IS MADE OR "
5160
5170
       INPUT * (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF 70 MIN. : ":T*
5180 TP(2) = VAL (T$)
       IF (TP(2) ( = 0.) THEN TP(2) = 70.
5190
5200
       PRINT
       PRINT "ENTER THE PRESSURE SETTING IN PSI OR"
5210
       INPUT " (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF 85 PSI: " ;P1$
5220
5240 P(2) = VAL (P1$) / 14.7
5250 IF (P(2) ( = 0.) THEN P(2) = 5.78
       GOTO 5540
5240
5270
       PRINT
5280
       PRINT "ENTER THE TIME (MIN.) FROM START OF"
5290
       INPUT "CYCLE AT WHICH THE SETTING IS MADE : ";T$
5300 TP(ICNT) = VAL (T$)
      IF (TP(ICNT) = - 999.) THEN GOTO 4830
5310
       IF (TP(ICNT) > = TMAX) THEN GOTO 5350
5320
5330 IK = 1
      IF (TP(ICNT) > TP(IK)) THEN GOTO 5420
5340
5350
       INVERSE
      PRINT "PRESSURE SETTING TIMES ARE NOT
5360
       PRINT "SEQUENTIALLY INCREASING. TRY AGAIN,"
PRINT "OR ENTER -999. TO START OVER
PRINT "ENTERING PRESSURE/TIME SETTING DATA "
5370
5380
5390
       NORMAL : PRINT "": REH 5 ^G (BELL)
5400
       90TO 5270
541 0
5420 IK = IK + 1
      IF (IK ( ICNT) THEN GOTO 5340
5430
5440
      PRINT
       INPUT "ENTER THE PRESSURE SETTING IN PSI : ":PIS
5450
5460 P18 = P18 / 14.7
5470 P(ICNT) = VAL (P18)
5480
      16 (P(ICNT) > 0.) THEN GOTO 5530
       INVERSE
5490
      PRINT "PRESSURE MUST BE GREATER THAN 0.
PRINT "TRY AGAIN PLEASE
5500
5510
       NORMAL : PRINT "": REM 5 AG (BELL)
5520
5530
       NEXT IONT
       HOME : VTAB 6
PRINT "ENTER THE INITIAL BUBBLE DIAMETER IN"
5540
400 C
6010 INPUT "INCHES OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF 0. : ";DSTARTS
6020 DSTART = ( VAL (DSTARTS)) * 2.54
6030 IF (DSTART ( 0.) THEN DSTART = 0.
```

PRINT "ENTER THE NUMBER OF PRESSURE SETTINGS"

4920

4930 4940

- destrict

and a state of a state last a state de last a state de last a state i

```
IF (OPTN = 2) AND (DSTART ) 9.) THEN GOTO 6230
6040
3050
        IF (OPTN = 1) AND (DSTART > = 0.) THEN GOTO 6230
5060
        REM
              ERROR IN INPUT -CAN'T HAVE OPTION 2 (AIR/WATER) WITH NO INITI
6070
        REM
       AL BUBBLES
       REM
080م
6090
        INVERSE
        PRINT "FOR OPTION 2 YOU MUST SPECIFY "
PRINT "A BUBBLE SIZE. TRY AGAIN "
NORMAL : PRINT "": REM 5 "G (BELL)
6100
                                                                                   1
6110
ai 20
6130
        GOTO 6000
6140
        REM
              ERFOR IN INPUT - CAN'T HAVE OPTION 1 (WATER ALONE) WITH NONZE
6150
       REM
       RO INITIAL SUBBLE SIZE
61 60
        REM
6170
        INVERSE
3180
        PRINT "OPTION 1 IS SELECTED AND INITIAL "
        PRINT BUBBLE SIZE MUST BE ZERO.
PRINT "PLEASE TRY AGAIN
6190
6200
6210
        NORMAL : PRINT "": REM 5 ~G (BELL)
6220 GO TO 6000
6230
        HOME
6240
        VTAB 6
        PRINT "DO YOU WANT BUBBLE DIAMETER PRINTED"
PRINT "ONLY AT TIMES WHEN PRESSURE AND "
6250
6260
        PRINT "HEATING RATE CHANGE. ENTER 1 FOR
6270
        PRINT "YES, OR (RETURN) FOR BUBBLE
PRINT "DIAMETER PRINTED AT EVEN TIME
6280
4290
       INPUT "INTERVALS : ";T$

IPRT = INT ( VAL (T$))

IF (IPRT ( 0) THEN IPRT = 0

IF (IPRT ) 1) THEN IPRT = 1

IF (IPRT = 1) THEN GOTO 6460
6300
4310
6320
6330
6340
6350
        PRINT
       PRINT "ENTER THE TIME INTERVAL (MINUTES)"
PRINT "FOR WHICH YOU WISH TO SEE BUBBLE "
INPUT "DIAMETER PRINTED : "IT$
63 50
6370
4380
6390 TRI - VAL (TS)
       GOTO 6460
6395
6400
        IF (TRI > 0.) AND (TRI ( = TMAX) THEN SOTO 4440
        INVERSE
6410
       PRINT "PRINT TIME INTERVAL MUST LIE BETWEEN"
FRINT "0. AND "ITMAXI" TRY AGAIN PLEASE
NORMAL I PRINT ""I REM 5 ^G (SELL)
6420
6430
6440
6450
        GOTO 6350
       HOME : VTAB &
PRINT "ENTER THE TEMPERATURE AT WHICH THE"
PRINT "RESIN SETS (GELATION TEMPERATURE)"
6460
6470
6480
6490 INPUT "IN DEG. F, OR (RETURN) FOR 350. : ";T5
6500 IF VAL (T5) < = 0 THEN GEL = 450; GOTO 6530
6510 T$ = STR$ ((( VAL (T$) - 32) * 5 / 9) + 278.15)
6520 GEL = VAL (T$)
6530
       HOME
6540
        INVERSE
6550
        PRINT
6540
        PRINT
6570
                 "«
        PRINT
4580
       PRINT ****
                                                                   ***
4590
        PRINT ****
                                  INPUT COMPLETED
                                                                   ****
       PRINT ****
                                                                   ***
6600
       6610
6620
       NORMAL
6630
       REM
6640
       REM NOW PRINTOUT THE INPUT INFORMATION
6650
       REM
6660 CD$ = CHR$ (4): REM
                                       ^D (CNTRL-D)
```

b

6

```
315
```

a a service a service de la service de la

6690 HOME 6700 REM 6710 REM ENERGIZE PRINTER AND PRINT THE INPUT INFORMATION 6720 REM 6730 PRINT CD\$; "PR#"; IZ: PRINT CHR\$ (20): REM AD PR# THEN AT TO TOGGL E ECHO/NO ÉCHO TÓ ECHO 3740 INVERSE : PRINT CLS 6750 PRINT : PRINT : PRINT PRINT 6760 PRINT . 6761 PROCESSING SCIENCE OF ": PRINT " EPOXY MATRIX COMPOSITES PROGRAM 6762 PRINT \* PRINT " CONTRACT AFWAL/MLBC F33615-80-C-5021 ": PRINT " 6733 PROGRAM BY WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY 6764 PRINT \* ": PRINT " WITH MODI FICATION BY GENERAL DYNAMICS 6765 PRINT \* ": PRINT " 6780 PRINT ' PRINT . 6800 " PRINT " PROGRAM VOIDS ": PRINT " NORMAL 6910 PRINT : PRINT 6820 6830 FOR IONT = 1 TO 5000; NEXT IONT 6840 HOME PRINT : PRINT "PROGRAM OPTION = ";OPTN **d850** 6860 PRINT 6870 IF (OPTN = 1) THEN PRINT " VOID CONTAINS ONLY WATER VAPOR" IF (OPTN = 2) THEN PRINT \* 6880 VOID CONTAINS BOTH AIR AND WATER\* 6890 PRINT 6900 PRINT \* PREPREG RESIN SPECIFIC GRAVITY = "IDENR 6910 PRINT 6920 PRINT "PREPREG RESIN MASS FRACTION = ";WR 6930 PRINT PRINT "RELATIVE HUMIDITY AT WHICH PREPREG WAS" PRINT "PREPARED IS ";HO;" (PERCENT)" 6935 6936 6937 PRINT 6940 FRINT "SOLUBILITY OPTION ";SOLO;" SELECTED" 6950 PRINT 6960 IF (SOLO = 1) THEN PRINT " S0 = ";AP;" # (";H0 / 100;") ^ ";N 3" (WT %)" 6970 IF (SOLO = 2) THEN PRINT \* S0 = ";AE;" \* EXP( ";B;" \* (";H0 ./ 100;") (WT %)\* 6980 FOR IGNT = 1 TO 6000: NEXT IGNT 6990 HOME PRINT "WATER DIFFUSIVITY IN PREPREG IS" PRINT "GIVEN BY DI (IN^2/HR), WHERE" PRINT " DI = "+DO+" - TO-7000 7010 7020 DI = ";D0;" \* EXP( -"; INT (((ED - 273.15) \* 9 / 5 + 7030 92>>1\* / T>\* 7040 PRINT 7080 PRINT "THE INITIAL BUBBLE DIAMETER AT ROOM" PRINT "TEMPERATU : AND 14.7 PSI PRESSURE IS" 7090 7100 CS = STRS (DSTAR: / 2.54):AS = RIGHTS (CS,4/:85 = LEFTS (AS,1): IF BS = "E" THEN GOSUB 8500 PRINT CS" INCHES" 7105 7110 DSTART = DSTART / (P(1) ^ (1. / 3.)) FOR ICNT = 1 TO 3000: NEXT ICNT 7120 7130 HOME PRINT : PRINT 7140 PRINT "DURATION OF CURING CYCLE IS "ITMAX;" MIN." 7150 7160 PRINT PRINT "TOTAL NUMBER OF PRECSURE SETTINGS = "INP 7170

AL (CNTRL-L)

1/0 PAUSE

6670 CLS = CHRS (12): REM

FOR ICNT = 1 TO 750: NEXT ICNT: REM

```
PRINT "TIME (MIN.)
7190
                                  PRESSURE (PSI)*
       PRINT
7210
       FOR IGNT = 1 TO NPI PRINT TABE 7 - LEN ( STRS (TP(IGNT))); LEFTS
        STRS (TP(ICNT)),5); TAB( 27 - LEN ( STRS (P(ICNT)))); LEFTS ( STRS
      ((P(ICNT)) # 14.7),5): NEXT IONT
       FOR IGNT = 1 TO 7000: NEXT IGNT
7220
7230
       HOME : PRINT : PRINT
7240
       PRINT "TOTAL NUMBER OF HEATING RATES = "INT
7250
       PRINT
       PRINT "TIME (MIN.)
                                  HEATING RATES (F / MIN)*
7260
7270
       PRINT
7280
       FOR ICNT = 1 TO NTIJUNK = RT(ICNT) + 1.8: PRINT TAB( 7 - LEN ( STR#
      (TT(ICNT))); LEFTS ( STRS (TT(ICNT)),5); TAB( 27 - LEN ( STRS (JU
NK))); LEFTS ( STRS (JUNK),5); NEXT ICNT
7290 FOR ICNT = 1 TO 6000; NEXT ICNT
7300 HOME : PRINT : PRINT
7310 T9 = INT (T7 # 1.8 + 32); PRINT "INITAL TEMPERATURE IS ";T9;" F": PRINT
      1 PRINT
       PRINT "RESIN GELATION TEMPERATURE IS "; INT ((GEL - 273) * 1.8 + 3
7320
      2);* F*
       FOR ICNT = 1 TO 3000: NEXT ICNT
7330
7340
       PRINT
7350
       FOR IONT = 1 TO 1000: NEXT IONT
       PRINT CHRS (4); "PRWO"
7360
       80TO 26
7370
7500
       REM SUBROUTINE HEAT RATE
7505
       VTAB 6
7510
       IF (ICNT = 1) THEN PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"ST TEMPERATURE PERIOD"
       IF (IONT + 2) THEN
                              PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"ND TEMPERATURE PERIOD"
7520
                              PRINT * FOR THE * ICNT * RD TEMPERATURE PERIOD*
7530
       IF (ICNT = 3) THEN
       IF (ICNT > 3) THEN PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"TH TEMPERATURE PERIOD"
7540
      PRINT : PRINT "ENTER THE HEATING PATE (DEG F/MIN)"
IF (ICNT = 1) AND (NT = 3) THEN INPUT "OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF
7550
7540
       3.6";RT$(1):RT(1) = VAL (RT$(1)): IF RT(1) ( = 0 THEN RT$(1) = "
      3.6"
7570
      IF (ICNT = 2) AND (NT = 3) THEN INPUT "OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF
       0.0";RT$(2)
7580
       IF (ICNT = 3) AND (NT = 3) THEN INPUT "OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF
       1.98" (RT$(3) (RT(3) = VAL (RT$(3)); IF RT(3) ( = 0 THEN RT$(3) =
      1.98"
      IF (NT < > 3) THEN PRINT : PRINT : INPUT "HEATING RATE (DEG F/MI
7590
     N): ";RT$(ICNT)
7600 RT(ICNT) = VAL (RT$(ICNT))
7610
      RETURN
8000
      REM SUBROUTINE TEMP
       IF FLAG = 1 THEN VTAB 6
2001
8005
      IF ICNT = 1 THEN ITEMPS(1) = STRS (T7 + 1.8 + 32) 1 80T0 8090
      IF (ICNT = 2) THEN PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"ND TEMPERATURE PERIOD" IF (ICNT = 3) THEN PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"RD TEMPERATURE PERIOD" IF (ICNT > 3) THEN PRINT "FOR THE "ICNT"TH TEMPERATURE PERIOD"
8010
020
8030
      IF FLAG = 1 THEN ICNT = ICNT + 11 VTAB 91 INPUT "ENTER TEMPERATURE
AT END OF PERIOD OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT VALUE OF 350
#035
      DEG F "{TEMP$(ICNT): IF VAL (TEMP$(ICNT)) < = 0 THEN TEMP$(ICNT) =
      * 350 *
8037
      IF FLAG = 1 THEN GOTO $090
      PRINT "ENTER THE TEMPERATURE (DEG. FAHRENHEIT)": PRINT "AT THE STA
8040
      RT OF TEMPERATURE PERIOD
      IF (ICNT = 2) AND (NT = 3) THEN INPUT "OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF
8050
      275 "; TEMPS(2): IF VAL (TEMPS(2)) ( \approx 0 THEN TEMPS(2) = "275"
!F (ICNT = 3) AND (NT = 3) THEN INPUT "OR (RETURN) FOR DEFAULT OF
8040
       275 ";TEMP$(3); IF VAL (TEMP$(3)) < = 0 THEN TEMP$(3) = "275"
      IF (NT < > 3) THEN INPUT "TEMPERATURE (DEG F) " TEMPS(ICNT)
8070
8090 TEMP(ICNT) = VAL (TEMP$(ICNT))
8100
      RETURN
8500
      REM
```

PRINT

7180

1.2

States States

100

٤)

S520 FCR := : TO \LEN (C\$) - 4):I\$(I) = MID\$ (C\$,:(1): NEXT I 9525 IF I\$(2) = "" THEN I\$(2) = "." 9530 F = VAL ( RIGHT\$ (A\$,1)) - I 9535 D\$ = "" 3540 FOR I = 1 TG F:D\$ = D\$ + "0": NEXT I 8550 C\$ = I\$(2) + D\$ + I\$(1) + I\$(3) + I\$(4) + [\$(5) 9560 RETURN

المانية الماني مناطقة مع مناطقة المانية المانية

3

J

1

U.S. COVENNENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1884 - 759-062/844

31**8**