JAN TIN DAT

1146.31 ARR No. 4421 Pt. 15

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

WARTIME REPORT

ORIGINALLY ISSUED

January 1944 as Advance Restricted Report 4A21

AN INVESTIGATION OF AIRCRAFT HEATERS

XV - THE EMISSIVITY OF SEVERAL MATERIALS

By L. M. K. Boelter, R. Bromberg, and J. T. Gier University of California

NACA LIBRARY

TTO AT

LANGLEY MELL

L · La

WASHINGTON

NACA WARTIME REPORTS are reprints of papers originally issued to provide rapid distribution of advance research results to an authorized group requiring them for the war effort. They were previously held under a security status but are now unclassified. Some of these reports were not technically edited. All have been reproduced without change in order to expedite general distribution.

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

ADVANCE RESTRICTED REPORT:

AN INVESTIGATION OF AIRCRAFT HEATERS

XY - THE EMISSIVITY OF SEVERAL MATERIALS

By L. M. K. Boelter, R. Bromberg, and J. T. Gier

SUMMARY

13

The mean effective emissivity as a function of temperature for the surfaces of several metals and insulating materials has been determined. The surfaces are typical samples of the materials which are used in aircraft construction. A description and discussion of the mensuration technique is presented. The data are evaluated over a range of surface temperatures from approximately 110° F to approximately 350° F.

Over the range of temperatures investigated, it was found that the mean effective emissivities of the surfaces tested were approximately constant with temperature when viewed normal to the surface; the several emissivities ranged from approximately 0.05 to approximately 0.85. The color of a surface is not a criterion for estimating the emissivity at the wavelengths and temperatures under consideration; texture and chemical composition of the surface are probably more reliable criterions.

, The result obtained has been termed the "mean effective emissivity," since it is a factor to be used in a particular equation involving temperatures determined by means of thermocouples mounted in a particular manner. This definition must be kept in mind in using the values of the emissivities given.

INTRODUCTION

... A knowledge of the emissivities of the surfaces of materials used in various places on the airplane is needed when a complete heat balance on an airplane or any of its parts is undertaken. In many cases, as may be concluded if the complete thermal circuit is studied (reference 1), radiation provides the controlling element in the circuit. Large errors in the design of cabin insulation and of aircraft heaters may be made if the emissivities of the surfaces are not estimated closely.

It is the purpose of this report to present data on the mean effective emissivity as a function of temperature for the surfaces of some materials used in the airplane. The values were obtained by viewing the specimens normal to the surface. Further measurements on these and other materials over a greater range of temperatures, to include the determination of the variation of emissivity with angle, are anticipated.

This program of research in the Spectro-Radiometric Laboratory of the Department of Mechanical Engineering of the University of California was conducted under the sponsorship and with the financial assistance of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Messrs. L. M. Grossman and H. F. Poppendiek for their assistance in obtaining the data, and to Messrs. H. Poeland and D. F. Sewell for their aid in the construction of the apparatus.

The materials used in the investigations were obtained from the Douglas Aircraft Company, Santa Monica, California.

PROCEDURE AND APPARATUS

Emissivity measurements were made on samples of Inconel, 18-8 stainless steel, 24S-T alchad aluminum alloy, and a cloth covering of kapok insulation in the following manner. The test specimens were heated by contact with an electrically heated copper plate. The net exchange of energy by radiation between the heated specimon surface and a thermopile radiometer (reference 2) was measured. The temperature of the surface of the test specimen was measured by a thermocouple. From these measurements of the surface temperature and the net radiant energy exchange, a mean effective emissivity normal to the surface was calculated. (See appendix A.) The following sketch illustrates the experimental setup.



... Surroundings at temperature of radiometer housing

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

The results of the teste are plotted in figures 1 to 4.

The data shown in figure 1 for 24S-T alclad aluminum alloy indicate that the mean effective emissivity for the painted surface is many times that of the unpainted surface. The camouflage-green paint possessee a higher mean offective emissivity than the zine chromate paint, probably because of the rougher surface of the former. The dotted curve for the unpainted surface indicates that the experimental data were somewhat uncertain, although the magnitudes presented are probably accurate within 10 percent.

Enference to figure 2 reveals that oxidation of the surface of Inconel had little effect on the mean effective emissivity owing to ite high corrosion-resistance characteristice.

Although the emissivity of untreated 15-8 stainloss steel was not measured, it is believed to be a low value. Oxidation of the surface by heating in air to 1500° and to 1000° F and also by a solution of chromic and sulfuric acids probably increased the mean effective emissivity. A roughening of the surface (sand-blaeting) also increased the emissivity, but not as much as the high temperature (1500° F) oxidation. (See fig. 3.) The approximate thickness of the paint on the surfaces is listed in the following table:

Material	Approx. thickness rango (microns)
Aluminum painted cloth	12 - 18
Green painted cloth	5 - 18
Paintod metal	2 - 5

The emissivity of the cloth sample is lower when painted with the aluminum than when painted with the green paint, probably because of the reflecting characteristic of the motel in the paint. (See fig. 4.)

The mean effective emissivity of all of the methl surfaces measured are epproximately independent of temperature between 100° and $3^{\circ}C^{\circ}$ F. The same is true for the cloth specimens between 100° and 250° F.

In using the emissivities reported here, the temporatures must be measured as follows:

Cloth surfaces: Small cuts are made in the cloth surface and thermocouples of No. 40 wire inserted in these cuts in such a manner that the thermocouples are within a few thousandths of an inch of the surface. The wires are held in place by means of collulose acoust coment.

Metal surfaces: The thermocouple should be soldered to the surface with as small a soldered joint as possible.

University of California, Berkeley, Calif., October 1943.

APPENDIX A

SYMBOLS

Aa	area	of	surface	a,	ft
----	------	----	---------	----	----

- A_b area of surface b, ft²
- $A_{\rm s}$ area of surroundings, ft²
- C₁ proportionality constant between voltage generated by thermopile and absorbed power, <u>millivelts</u> Btu/hr

4

emissive power of an ideal radiator at wavelength

E_I, Tb

 $E_{I_{\lambda,T_a}}$

emissivo power of an ideal radiator at wavelength λ and temperature T_b , $\frac{Btu}{hr ft^8 \text{ micron}}$

Boston a perfectly diffusing surface a of uniform 'temperature which reaches a surface b bofore any roflections have taken place
 Description
 Description

$$= \frac{1}{A_{e}\pi} \int_{A_{a}} \int_{A_{b}} \frac{\cos \phi_{a} \cos \phi_{b} dA_{b} dA_{a}}{r^{2}}$$

(See references 3, pp. 11-12, 6, 7, and 8.)

- $F_{s \leftarrow a}$ shapo modulus, (samo as $F_{b \leftarrow a}$, but refers to enorgy leaving a incident on s)
 - $F_{s \leftarrow b}$ shape modulus, (same as $F_{b \leftarrow a}$, but refers to energy leaving b incident on s)
 - $F_{a \leftarrow b}$ shape modulus, (same as $F_{b \leftarrow -n}$, but refers to energy leaving b incident on a)
 - $F_{a \leftarrow s}$ shape modulus, (same as $F_{b \leftarrow a}$, but refers to energy leaving s incident on a)
 - $\mathbf{F}_{b \leftarrow -B}$ shape modulus, (some as $\mathbf{F}_{b \leftarrow -B}$, but refers to energy leaving s incident on b)
 - K calibration factor of radiometer used, Btu/hr ft^B mv
 - mv electrometive force generated by thermopile element of radiometer, millivelts

q_{net} net exchange of radiant powor at one body, Btu/hr

r distance between a point on surface a and a point on surface b, ft

Ia absoluto temperature of surface a, R

	•
тъ	ebsolute tomperature of surface b, CR
T _s	absolute temperature of surface s, ^O R
[€] [₽] λ, ײַ _פ	monochromatic emissivity of surface a at wavelength λ and tomperature ${\tt T}_a$
^{є ъ} λ, т _р	nonochromatic emissivity of surface b at wavelength λ and temperature' ${\tt T}_b$
⁶ ^я λ, Т _я	monochromatic emissivity of surface s at wavelength λ and temperature $T_{\rm g}$
€ _{amora}	mean effective emissivity of surface a at tem- perature T _a
^с ъ _{тетъ}	mean effective emissivity of surface b at tom- perature T _b
ቀ _ឧ	angle between a ray to a point on surface a, and the normal to that point
<mark>ቀ</mark> ъ	angle between a ray to a point on surface b, and the normal to that point
λ	wavelongth, microns

 $d\lambda$ differential wavelength, microns

In order to calculate the heat transfer from a surface by radiation, the complete system must be considered in the analysis. This statement is best illustrated by the following example:

A surface at a temperature T_a and having a monochromatic emissivity $\epsilon_{P\lambda,T_B}$ (emissivity at wavelength λ and temperature T_B) is in a large onclosure and is being irrediated by a hot surface at a temperature T_b , and having a monochromatic emissivity $\epsilon_{b\lambda,T_b}$. The surroundings are at a uniform temperature equal to T_s . The surroundings being A_s : by A_a and A_b , the area of the surroundings being A_s :

6

points on A_e may be considered equidistant from all points on A_b and that no interroflections take place. All surfaces are opeque and perfectly diffuse. The sketch illustrates the system:



Monochromatic

emissive power $\epsilon_{\alpha\lambda, T_{\alpha}} \stackrel{\times}{=} \mathbb{I}_{\lambda, T_{\alpha}} \stackrel{\epsilon_{\lambda, T_{b}} \times \mathbb{E}_{\lambda, T_{b}}}{=} \mathbb{I}_{\lambda, T_{b}} \stackrel{\mathbb{E}_{\lambda, T_{b}}}{=} \mathbb{I}_{\lambda, T_{b}}$

Due to the fact that the surroundings are large compared to the radiating surfaces a and b, the surroundings radiate to those surfaces as if the surroundings had an emissivity of unity (reference 3).

The net amount of power absorbed by surface a is desired. A radiation heat balance on surface a is accomplished that is, the difference between all absorbed and radiated power is obtained. The absorbed power is equal to the incident power times the absorptivity. The nonochromatic absorptivity is equal to the monochromatic emissivity (reference 4). The power absorbed at a is equal to the sum of the following terms:



power radiated to a from b, and ab- (1) sorbed at a.

- power radiated to a from s and ab- (2) sorbed at a
- power radiated to b from s and re- (3) dλ flected to a and absorbed at a

The power actually leaving a is equal to the power absorbed by surface b from a plus the power absorbed by surface s from a. If there were any other absorbing bodies in the system, the power absorbed by them from a would be added.

The power leaving a is equal to the sum of the following terms:

 $\mathbb{E}_{1} \overset{A}{\underset{\lambda, T_{-}}{\overset{A}{\overset{B}{\overset{B}{}}}}} \mathbb{F}_{b < -a} \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 - \epsilon_{b} \\ 0 \\ \lambda, T_{-} \end{array} \right)$

EIAE A FSCA SAT

٠.

power radiated to b from a and ab- (4) sorbed at b

- power radiated to b from a and re- (5) dλ flected to s and absorbed by s
 - power radiated directly to s (6) from a and absorbed at s

Further terms can be written which will account for interreflections, but the effect of this whenomenon will be postulated as negligibly small.

đλ

The net power absorbed at a is equal to
$$\left[(1) + (2) + (3) \right] - \left[(4) + (5) + (6) \right]$$
(7)

^ε ³λ, Τ₈

Combining the various terms and utilizing the reciprocity relation (reference 3, p. 12), $A_{b} \mathbf{F}_{a \leftarrow b} = A_{a} \mathbf{F}_{b \leftarrow a},$ $A_{s} \mathbf{F}_{a \leftarrow s} = A_{a} \mathbf{F}_{s \leftarrow a},$ $A_{b} \mathbf{F}_{b \leftarrow s} \mathbf{F}_{a \leftarrow b} = A_{a} \mathbf{F}_{s \leftarrow b} \mathbf{F}_{b \leftarrow a};$ (8)

the expression

q_{net} (not heat transfer rate)

$$= A_{n} \mathbb{F}_{b \leftarrow -e} \int_{0}^{\infty} \epsilon_{e_{\lambda}, T_{e}} \epsilon_{b_{\lambda}, T_{b}} \left[\mathbb{E}_{I_{\lambda}, T_{e}} - \mathbb{E}_{I_{\lambda}, T_{b}} \right] d\lambda$$

$$+ A_{n} \mathbb{F}_{e \leftarrow -a} \int_{0}^{\infty} \epsilon_{e_{\lambda}, T_{n}} \left[\mathbb{E}_{I_{\lambda}, T_{a}} - \mathbb{E}_{I_{\lambda}, T_{b}} \right] d\lambda \qquad (9)$$

$$+ A_{\lambda} \mathbb{F}_{e \leftarrow -b} \int_{0}^{\infty} \epsilon_{e_{\lambda}, T_{e}} \left(1 - \epsilon_{b_{\lambda}, T_{b}} \right) \left[\mathbb{E}_{I_{\lambda}, T_{c}} - \mathbb{E}_{I_{\lambda}, T_{b}} \right] d\lambda \qquad (9)$$

is obtained.

In general, all the vericoles in this equation would have to be known in order to obtain an accurate result. A close approximation to the correct result may be obtained by replacing the monochromatic emissivities, $\epsilon_{N,T_{\rm R}}$ and cbA.Th used in equations (1) to (9) by constants (mean effective emissivities) which are obtained by evernging EDA Th and "NTa with respect to EIN, Ta, EIN, Tb, and EIN, Te OVOT the wavelengths involved. These mean effective emissivities and e busy د هصصته are defined in such a manner as to yield the same result (q_{not}) for the temperatures T_e , T_b , and T_s . These values are given in this report. Since the values of (near offective emissivity of any body at a temperature € nom T) are averages, it must be remembered that they are averaged with respect to certain veriables, and consequently are to be used only with those variables over the range that the averages were taken.

For the case in which $T_a = T_B$ equation (9) becomes

$$q_{not} = A_a \mathbb{F}_{b \leftarrow -a} \int_{0}^{\infty} \epsilon_{a\lambda, T_a} \epsilon_{b\lambda, T_b} \left[\mathbb{E}_{I\lambda, T_a} \sim \mathbb{E}_{I\lambda, T_b} \right] d\lambda \quad (10)$$

and replacing $\epsilon_{a\lambda, T_a}^{o}$ and $\epsilon_{b\lambda, T_b}$ by $\epsilon_{a_{meT_a}}$ and $\epsilon_{b_{meT_b}}$
equation (10) becomes

$$q_{net} = A_n F_{b \leftarrow a} \epsilon_{e_{moT_b}} \epsilon_{b_{moT_b}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(E_{I_{\lambda, T_n}} - E_{I_{\lambda, T_b}} \right) d\lambda \quad (11)$$

and, since (reference 3, p. 12)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{I_{\lambda_{p}T}} d\lambda = \sigma T^{4}$$

$$q_{net} = \mathbf{A}_{0} \mathbf{F}_{b \leftarrow 0} \epsilon_{0} \epsilon_{0} \epsilon_{0} \mathbf{e}_{T_{0}} \sigma \left[\mathbf{T}_{a}^{4} - \mathbf{T}_{b}^{4} \right]$$
(12)

The emissivity measurements were made under conditions satisfying equations (10) and (12). The measurements were made as follows:

The thermopile radiometer (reference 2) was used to measure the net interchange by radiation (q_{net}) between the thermopile receiver element and the test surface. It has been shown (reference 2) that the pewer exchange by radiation is directly propertional to the electro-motive force generated by the thermopile as determined by a potentioneter. Consequently, since the housing and surroundings are at the temperature of the receiver element,

$$q_{\text{not}} = C_1(mv) = A_{c} \mathbb{F}_{b < -a} \epsilon_{c_{\text{not}}} \epsilon_{b_{\text{not}}} \sigma \left(\mathbb{T}_{c}^4 - \mathbb{T}_{b}^4 \right)$$
(13)

In equation (13), C_1 is a propertionality factor botween (q_{net}) and the electromotive force generated in millivolts. T_n and $\epsilon_{a_{neT_c}}$ now refer to the radiometer receiver. element, and T_b and $\epsilon_{b_{10T_b}}$ to the test specimen. Although data have not been obtained for the complete spectrum, sufficient experiments have been performed to indicate that $\epsilon_{a_{moT_A}}$

(the mean effective emissivity of the radiemetor receiver element) is constant for the temperature ranges used. Solving equation (13) for ϵ_{bmerb} (the mean effective emissivity of

the test specimen) results in the equation .

$$\epsilon_{b_{mer_b}} = \left(\frac{c_1}{\epsilon_{c_{mer_a}}A_{\alpha}}\right) \frac{(mv)}{\mathbf{F}_{b \leftarrow -\alpha} \sigma (\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}^{4} - \mathbf{T}_{b}^{4})}$$
(14)

and, setting

ķ

$$\frac{C_{1}}{\epsilon_{c_{\text{DOT}_{a}}}^{E} = K_{s}} = K_{s}$$

$$\epsilon_{b_{\text{DOT}_{b}}} = \frac{K(mv)}{K_{b \leq -a} \sigma (T_{a}^{4} - T_{b}^{*})}$$
(15)

K is obtained by collibration with a radiation standard.

Comparison of equations (10), (13), and (14) shows that (taking $\epsilon_{e_{\lambda},T_{\gamma}}$ of the radiometer receiver element as constant with wavelength and equal to $\epsilon_{e_{nom}}$)

$$\epsilon_{b_{\mathrm{IIO}T_{b}}} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \epsilon_{b\lambda_{s}T_{b}} \left[\mathbb{E}_{I\lambda_{s}T_{a}} - \mathbb{E}_{I\lambda_{s}T_{b}} \right] d\lambda}{\sigma (T_{a}^{4} - T_{b}^{4})}$$
(16)

Thus, equation (16) shows that the mean effective emissivity of a material $(\epsilon_{b_{more}})$ is a function of $\epsilon_{b\lambda, T_b}$. Ta, and Tb. In the measurements described, T_c was held at room tomporature, while T_b was varied. Thus, the values obtained are for varying specimen temperatures (T_b) , but must be used with the same value of T_a as used in the experiments. That is, in computing radiant heat transfer from a surface, the values of the mean effective emissivities (ϵ_{mem}) as obtained from the

curves given in this report may be used to a high degree of accuracy only if the radiation computed is to surfaces at ordinary room temperature. Actually, if the mean effective emissivity of the surface does not vary much with temperature, radiation to surfaces at other temperatures can be estimated to a good degree of approximation by using the same mean effective emissivity. The allowable variation in $T_{\rm R}$ may be estimated by inspection of the curves (figs. 1 to 4). If the slope of the $\epsilon_{\rm mem}$ against T curve is small, or

zero, it is probablo that the values of mean effective emissivity given by these curves are applicable over 2 wide range of values of the temperature of the other radiating surfaces in the system.

For example, the curve for sand-blastod 18-8 stainloss steel reveals that the values of mean offectivo emissivity given are probably applicable in a system in which tho temperature of the other radiating surfaces differ considerably from room temperature; the curve for 24S-T alclad painted with camouflage-green paint cannot be used with accuracy in a system in which the temperatures of the other radiating surfaces differ from the usual room temperature by a large amount.

It should be explasized that in any application of the thermopile radiometer a complete analysis of the system would be necessary, and that the conditions which obtain in the application described previously may not hold in another system.

PEFERENCES

- Martinelli, R. C., Tribus M., and Boolter, L. M. K.: An Investigation of Aircraft Heaters. I --Elementary Heat Transfer Considerations in an Airplane. NACA A.R.R., Oct. 1942.
- Gier, J. T., and Boelter, L. K. K.: The Silver-Constantan Plated Thermopile. Temperature, Its Measurement and Control in Science and Industry. Am. Inst. of Phys. Reinhold Pub. Co., New York, N.Y., 1941, pp. 1284-1292.
- 3. Boelter, L. M. K., Cherry, V. H., and Johnson, H. A.: Heat Transfer, Supplementary Notes. Univ. of Calif. Press, Borkeloy, Calif., 3d cd., ch. XVIII, p. 20.
- 4. Jakob, M., and Hawkins, G. A.: Elements of Hoat Transfor and Insulation. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1942, p. 120.
- Moon, P.: The Scientific Basis of Illuminating Engineering. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, M. Y., 1936, p. 116.
- Hottol, H. C.: Endiant Hoat Transmission. Moch. Eng., vol. 52, soc. 1, July 1930, p. 595.
- Eckort, E.: Bestimung dos Winkelverhältnisses bein Strahlungsausteuch durch das Lichtbild. VDI, Bd. 79, 1935, p. 1495.
- Cherry, V. H., Davis, D. D., and Boeltor, L. M. K: A Mechanical Integrator for the Detormination of the Illumination from Diffuse Surface Sources. Trans. Illuminating Mng. Soc., vol. 34, Nov. 1939, p. 1085.



٦

•



Fig.

يبر

NACA



Figure 2.- Emissivity of Inconel as a function of temperature. (Measured in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the surface).

Fig. 2

NACA

NACA



Figure 3.- Emissivity of 18-8 stainless steel as a function of temperature. (Measured in a direction perpendicular to the plane of the surface).

Fig.





NACA

Fig. 4

TITLE: An Ir	nvestigation o	f Aircraft He	aters -XV - 1	he Emissi	vity of S	everai N	faterial	s	ATI	795 Non	0
AUTHOR(S): ORIGINATING	Boelter, L. M BAGENCY: N	i.: Bromberg	g, R. sory Committe	e for Aer	onautics,	Washin	gton, D.	. c.	ORIG. A	ENCY NO	
PUBLISHED B	f: (Same)								PUBLISHI	IG AGEN	CY NO.
Jan '44	DOC. CLASS.	LUS.	Eng	PAGES	diagr.	d Traphs					
ABSTRACT:		- (KL	A(1)\$4								
	insulating n were invest were approx surface is n	naterials was igated, and it timately cons ot a criterio	determined. was found the stant with ter n for estimati	Temperat It mean ef: Iperature Ig emissiv	ures of a lective en when vie rity of wa	pproxim missivit wed nor ve lengt	nately 1 ies of s mal to s hs and	10°F urfa surfa tem	to : ces te ce. C peratu	sted olor o res	of
	insulating n were invest were appro surface is n that were co reliable cri	naterials was igated, and it kimately cons ot a criterion onsidered. T terion.	determined. t was found the stant with ter n for estimati exture and ch	Temperat it mean ef: iperature ig emissiv emical con	ures of a lective ex when vie vity of wa nposition	pproxim missivit wed nor ve lengti of surf:	hately 1 les of si mal to s his and ace are	10°F urfa surfa temp prol	' to : ces te ice. C peratu bably :	Sted olor o res more	of
DISTRIBUTION	insulating n were invest were appro: surface is n that were co reliable cri Request cop	naterials was igated, and it kimately cons ot a criterion onsidered. T terion.	determined. was found this stant with the for estimati exture and ch eport only fro	Temperat it mean eff sperature ig emissiv emical con	ures of a lective ex when vie 'ity of wa nposition	epproxim missivit wed nor: ve lengtl of surf:	hately 1 les of so mal to so hs and ace are	10°F urfa surfa temj prol	to to the set of the s	sted color of res more	of
DISTRIBUTION DIVISION: M	insulating o were invest were appro: surface is n that were co reliable cri Request co laterials (8)	naterials was igated, and it kimately cons ons idered. T terion.	determined. was found this stant with ter in for estimati exture and ch eport only fro SU	Temperat ti mean ef operature og emissiv emical con <u>n Originat</u> UECT HEA	ures of a lective ex- when vie- tity of wa nposition <u>ing Agen</u> DINGS: 1	missivit wed nor ve lengti of surf: cy Material	nately 1 lies of s mal to s hs and ace are s - The	10°F urfa surfa temp proi	to to the set of the s	sted olor of res more	of s
distribution division: M Section: G	insulating n were invest surface is n that were c reliable cri Request coj faterials (8) eneral date (1	naterials was igated, and it simately cons ot a criterion onsidered. T terion.	e determined. t was found this stant with ter n for estimati exture and ch eport only fro SU (6C (48	Temperat the mean efficiency of the main of the missive mical communication <u>n Original</u> JECT HEA 500); Then 800)	ures of a ective ex when vie vity of wa nposition <u>ing Agen</u> DINGS: 7 mal mea	pproxim missivit wed nor ve lengti of surfa	nately 1 lies of s mal to s hs and ace are s - The ht (9350	10°F urfa surfa temp prol	i. to i ces te ice. C peratu bably : I Prog leat tr	sted olor of res more	of a r
DISTRIBUTION DIVISION: M SECTION: G ATI SHEET NO	insulating n were invest were appro- surface is r that were co reliable cri l: Request co faterials (8) eneral date (1 O.: R-8-15 -	aterials was igated, and it simately cons ot a criterion onsidered. T terion. bies of this re 5)	etermined. t was found this stant with ter n for estimatification exture and ch eport only fro SU (6C (48)	Temperat temean efficiency of the semical con- morature emical con- morature emical con- morature emical con- morature emical con- semical con- morature emical con- semical con- morature emical con- semical con- se	ures of a lective ex when vie rity of wa nposition <u>ing Agen</u> DINGS: I mal mea	pproxim missivit wed nor ve lengti of surfa of surfa Material suremen	nately 1 les of s mal to s hs and ace are s - The ht (9350	10°F urfa surfa temp prol	i. to : ces te ce. C peratu bably : l Prog leat tr	sted olor of res more erties ansfe	of 3 r

-11