

# DEC 23 1946

ARR No. 3H13

A LIBRARY

LABORATORY

Langter Hierd, Ya

# NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

# WARTIME REPORT

ORIGINALLY ISSUED

# August 1943 as Advance Restricted Report 3H13

DE -ICING OF AN AIRCRAFT-ENGINE INDUCTION SYSTEM

By Henry A. Essex National Bureau of Standards

LANGLEY MOMORIAL AERONAUTICAL

# WASHINGTON

NACA WARTIME REPORTS are reprints of papers originally issued to provide rapid distribution of advance research results to an authorized group requiring them for the war effort. They were previously held under a security status but are now unclassified. Some of these reports were not technically edited. All have been reproduced without change in order to expedite general distribution.

# 3 1176 01354 4813

NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

#### ADVANCE RESTRICTED REPORT

DE-ICING OF AN AIROPAFT-ENGINE INDUCTION SYSTEM

By Henry A. Essex

#### SUMMARY

A program of tests on engine induction system de-icing by means of de-ioing fluids and by heated air has been conducted in a special laboratory at the National Bureau of Standards. The induction system tested consisted of a simulated air scoop, a Holley 1375- oarburetor, a carburetor adapter, and a Wright B-1820. G-200 supercharger rear section. The de-icing fluid injection devices used included the standard Holley alcohol vent ring (Holley part No. 2383), a modified Holley vent ring (Holley part No. 3089), a set of four standard Army nozzles (part Nos. AN4023 and AN4024), and a set of modified Army nozzles which were similar to the standard nozzles except for larger exit orifices. The de-icing fluids tested were Solor D-I, isopropyl alcohol, anhydrous ethyl alcohol, S.D. 30, and Shellecol. In most of the tests refrigeration icing was produced by maintaining the carburetcr-air temperature at 40° # while spraying free water into the air stream at a rate of 250 grams per minute.

It was found in the fluid de-icing tests made with the original Holley alcohol vent ring that it was necessary to inject de-icing fluid into the carburetor at a rate of at least 60 pounds per hour in order to attain rapid recovery of air flow and fuel-air ratio. This Holley ring, because of its poor fluid distribution, was found to be an unsatisfactory de-icing fluid injection device at lower fluid-flow rates. However, at fluidflow rates of 60 pounds per hour and above, rapid recovery was obtained.

In some of the tests distribution of do-icing fluid over the surfaces of the carbureter was poor, and ice formed again after recovery of initial air flow in spite of continued injection of the de-icing fluid. This phenomenon, referred to as re-icing, occurred in the de-icing tests made with the original Helloy ring at fluid-flow rates below 50 pounds per hour. In a series of ice-prevention tests using Solex D-I, a minimum fluid flow rate of 50 pounds per hour was required to provent ice from forming in the carbureter and adoptor. The modified Holley ring, the crifices of which are fewer in number and smaller in diameter than those of the original type, and also the Army alcohol nozzles, were found to have much better distribution characteristics at low flow rates than the original Holley ring and, as a result, apparently eliminated the cocurrence of re-icing.

The time necessary to restore operable fuel-air ratio (0.65) from the extremely lean ratios accompanying refrigeration icing was usually 1% to 2% times that necessary to restore cruising air flow when either of the Holley vent rings was used as a fluid injection device with Solex D-I.

When used with isopropyl alcohol, the standard Army nozzles were superior in de-icing affectiveness to other fluid combinations at de-icing fluid flow rates of 30 to 40 pounds per hour, initial air flow being restored more rapidly and operable fuelair ratio being restored in periods no longer than those attained with the other fluid systems. At higher rates of injection the Army nozzles were about equal to other systems.

Results of several of the tests indicated that, to make the most effective use of a de-icing fluid, it should be injected into the induction system just upstream of and as close as possible to the ice formation to be removed. For removal of ice from the adapter it was found that the de-icing fluid spray should cover evenly and completely all exposed surfaces of the carburetor. The results indicated that to comply with these requirements supplementary fluid injection systems should be provided for deicing of other parts of an induction system where ice might be expected to accumulate.

Manipulation of the throttle during the first few seconds of fluid de-icing was found to hasten recovery to initial air flow rate but did not appear to have a noticeable effect on recovery of operable fuel-air ratio.

It was found that the amount of fluid necessary to remove ice from the induction system was a function of the rate of air flow to be recovered and some inverse function of carburetor air temperature. The amount of fluid required was substantially independent of the rate of fluid injection at flow rates ranging from 30 to 80 pounds per hour for any given method of injection, although re-icing occured at some of the lower flow rates. Results of several tests made to investigate the removal of impact ice formed at a carburetor-air temperature of  $25^{\circ}$  F indicated that saturated carburetor air at a temperature of  $85^{\circ}$  F was slightly superior to Solox D-I fluid sprayed into the air stream through the modified Holley vent ring at 80 pounds per hour. The data also indicated that isopropyl elcohol injected just ahead of the carburetor through the standard Army nozzles at a flow rate of 42 pounds per hour was almost as effective a de-icing egent as either of the other two systems. When the original Holley vent ring was used with Solox D-I, it was not possible to attain adequate removal of impact ice at de-icing fluid-flow rates of either 60 or 80 pounds per hour.

Isopropyl alcohol proved superior to Solox D-I in displaying less tendency toward re-icing and greater effectiveness in the prevention of ice formations. It appeared from the results of this program that desirable characteristics of an effective de-icing fluid should include low vapor pressure, low latent heat of vaporization, and a large fusion temperature depression.

All of the de-icing fluids except Solox D-I had a slight corrosive effect on aluminum alloy. The S.D.30 fluid, which contained no inhibitor, also exhibited a corrosive effect on bress and copper.

It was not possible to draw quantitative conclusions from the results of the heat de-icing tests because of unforeseen difficulties experienced in controlling the temperature and humidity of the intake air, and because the laboratory apparatus failed to simulate adequately an aircraft installation. The data indicated, however, that a reasonable amount of heat added to the carburetor air stream can be as effective in the restoration of air flow and operable fuel-air ratio as any of the fluid deicing combinations that were tested. Heated air in the presence of free water was effective as a de-icing agent when sufficient heat was supplied to vaporize the water and raise the temperature of the moist air above limits of icing (80° F for this induction system).

#### INTRODUCTION

The object of the tests described in this report was to investigate the effectiveness of fluid and heat de-icing equipment currently used with engine induction systems and also to determine optimum values for de-icing fluid flow rates and heat input to

attain rapid recovery of engine power after an approciable percentage of power has been lost as a result of ice formation. A range of engine-operating conditions and various simulated weather conditions were covered in order that the results of this work would find broad application.

These tests represent a portion of a research program on induction-system icing conducted at the National Bureau of Standards under NACA sponsorship. An investigation of the icing characteristics of the engine induction system used in the present program has been made. The results of this work are described in reference 1. This research project is being financed jointly by the Army, the Navy, and the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics. Support also has been received from the Civil Aeronautics Administration.

#### EQUIPMENT

The engine induction system used for the tests described in this report consisted of a 90° pipe bend simulating an air scoop, Holley 1375-F carburetor, a carburetor adapter, and a Wright R-1820, G-200 supercharger rear section. The tests were conducted in an altitude laboratory at the National Bureau of Standards. The various parts of this laboratory induction system test installation and also the method of operating this apperatus to produce ice formations in the induction system are described in detail in reference 1. The fuel used in the tests was a white unleaded gasoline of 73 octane rating with distillation characteristics similar to those of currently used aviation fuels. The induction system test apparatus and details of the induction system pertinent to the present tests are shown in figures 1 to 3.

For the present program this apparatus is unchanged except for the provision of Army alcohol-injection nozzles, de-icing fluid pressure tank, and a slight rearrangement of the apparatus necessary for introducing heated air into the induction system.

Several alcohol-injection devices were tested in the program, including a standard Holley went ring (part No.A2383), modified Eclley ring (part No. A3089), a set of four standard Army nozzles (AN4023 and AN4024), and a set of four slightly modified Army nozzles. The standard Holley ring is an aluminum-alley casting which has the same inner dimensions as the interior walls of the carburetor and is installed just above the throttle body. De-icing fluid enters this hollow rectangular ring through one passage and is injected into the air stream through 16 orifices of 0.031-inoh diameter, four orifices being located on each side of the ring. The modified Holley vent ring is similar in general dimensions to the standard type, but has eight orifices 0.016 inch in diameter, which are drilled into the ring so that the fluid is ejected from them at an upward angle of  $40^{\circ}$ , four of the orifices being located on each of two opposite sides of the ring. The alcohol spray is directed across the carburetor normal to the axis of the throttles.

The set of four Army nozzles, which have orifices of 0.020inch diameter, was installed in the walls of the air-intake duct 2 inches above the carburetor, with two of the nozzles located on each of two opposite sides. The modified Army nozzles, which were used in several of the tests, are similar to the standard nozzles except that they have 0.0225-inch-diameter orifices. The nozzle location 2 inches above the carburetor, which was used throughout most of the tests on the Army nozzles, represents a typical service installation. During one series of tests a set of standard Army nozzles was mounted in the elbow of the air scoop, as shown in figures 1 to 3, in order to determine the relative efficiency of the nozzles for another service installation.

For forcing the de-icing fluid into the carburetor through the Holley ring, a standard electrically driven de-icing fluid pump was employed, rate of flow being controlled by a rheostat and a bypass valve. In the tests made with the Army nozzles, it was necessary to force de-icing fluid into the induction system under higher pressure than that required with the Holley ring. For this purpose a sealed tank containing the de-icing fluid was employed. The de-icing fluid was supplied under a pressure which could be varied between 5 and 100 pounds per square inch by means of air pressure supplied through an adjustable pressure rogulator valve. Rate of flow through the standard Army nozzles was controlled by fluid pressure.

The de-icing fluids tested included Solox D-I, isopropyl alcohol, anhydrous ethyl alcohol, S.D.30, and Shellacol. Solox D-I consists of a mixture of 90 percent ethyl and 10 percent methyl alcohol to which is added a corrosion inhibitor and one gallon of gasoline per 100 gallons of mixture. The isopropyl alcohol used is a liquid of 98 percent commercial purity. S.D.30 is a mixture of 90 percent ethyl and 10 percent methyl alcohol

X

which contains no corrosion inhibitor or further denaturing material. The physical properties of S.D.30 are similar to those of Solox D-I. The specific gravity of each of the above fluids is 0.789 at a temperature of  $20^{\circ}$  0. Shellacol consists of ethyl alcohol denatured with methyl alcohol, ethyl acetate, methyl isobutyl, ketone, and aviation gasoline. The specific gravity of this product is 0.86 to 0.82 at a temperature of  $15^{\circ}$  C.

Rate of flow of de-icing fluid into the induction system was measured by a rotameter. Because of the different flow characteristics of the fluids tested it was found necessary to calibrate the rotameter for each fluid in order to insure accurate measurements.

For the heat de-icing tests, the intrke air was heated by passing it through six banks of steam coils, as shown in figure 1. Rate of flow of heated air was controlled by a thermostatically operated butterfly valve which bled heated air from the alternate air source into the main air duct to be mixed with the cold air. With this equipment it was found possible to raise the temperature of the air to  $150^{\circ}$  F at an air-flow rate of 5000 pounds per hour if no free water were present. The temperature of the intake air was measured by a pressure-bulb thermometer installed in the intake duct near the mouth of the air scoop, and to this thermometer was connected a thermostat which, in turn, regulated the operation of the butterfly hot-air valve. A special window, shown in figure 1, was built into the air-scoop elbow in order to permit observation of the formation of ice and its removal.

A twelve-point recording potentiometer with a range of  $0^{\circ}$  to  $400^{\circ}$  F was employed to measure the temperature of the intake air, the air temperature at the steam heating coils, and the engine-compartment temperature during the heat de-icing tests. Eight elements of this instrument were connected to the carburetor air temperature thermocouple, and in this way the air temperature was measured every 4 seconds.

The carburetor used in this research program was not equipped with a means of complete compensation for changes in the fuel-air mixture due to changes in altitude or in air temperaturo. The latter factor was considered important in connection with this program in view of the heat de-icing tests that are included. Several tests were made therefore to determine the change in initial fuel-air ratio resulting from increases in carburetor air temperature. This calibration curve is shown in figure 4.

6

### TEST PROCEDURE

In most of the de-icing tests the carburetor-air temperature was set at  $40^{\circ}$  F, and the ice which formed in the induction system was the refrigeration or fuel-evaporation type. A typical ice formation of this type is shown in figure 5. Several tests were also made at  $25^{\circ}$  F carburetor-air temperature to investigate removal of impact ice by means of de-icing fluid and by application of heat. An impact ice formation is seen in figure 6. The effect of ice forming in the system on the airflow rate and the mixture ratio under these two conditions before the de-icing process was started is shown in figure 7. The Holley vent ring was chosen as the standard for comparing the effectiveness of the various de-icing fluid injection devices.

The method of forming refrigeration ice in the induction system was in all cases similar to that employed during the program of icing tests made on this induction system described in reference 1. The initial rate of air flow in most of the tests was set at 4000 pounds per hour, the mixture ratio at 0.070, and the carburetor-air temperature of 40° F. After these conditions had been established in each test, free water was introduced into the air stream at a rate of 250 grams per minute. When sufficient ice had formed as a result of these conditions to reduce the air flow rate to 2000 pounds per hour, which is 50 percent of the initial rate, the de-icing process, employing either a de-icing fluid or heated cir, was started. In most of these tests the fuel-air ratio fell below the minimum operable value, which was considered to be 0.065. The test was then continued until all ice was observed to be removed from the induction system, until the air flow had stabilized. or until definite evidence of re-icing was displayed.

Re-icing can be described as the formation of ice in the carburetor after initial recovery of air flow, the ice forming in spite of the continued injection of de-icing fluid. Re-icing was manifested by a drop in air flow and by enrichment of the mixture.

Visual observations of all important variables, including rate of air flow, fuel flow, and air temperature, were made at intervals of 6 seconds during the first 2 minutes of the deicing period in each test. The interval between measurements was then lengthened to 12 seconds for the next minute and, after this critical portion of the de-icing process, the data were recorded at longer intervals.

During most of the tests the water spray was continued throughout the de-icing period. This procedure represents the situation in which flight through icing conditions is continued while alcohol or heated air is being applied to remove the ice formation. Tests were also made in which the water spray was turned off at the beginning of the de-icing period in order to simulate the conditions of de-icing without further rain ingestion into the induction system.

The free water ingestion rate of 250 grams per minute was held constant during the test and is equivalent to a rain density of 10 grams per cubic meter within the air scoop at cruising yower at sea-level pressure and 40° F carburetor-air temperature. Hate of water ingestion into an induction system in flight is primarily a function of airplane speed, dimensions of the air scoop, and outside rain density. The latter may be only a fraction of the rain density within the air scoop, owing to the ramming effect. The total amount of water ingested also may be affected by the application of carburetor heat, since heat increases the capacity of air to carry water as vapor. Details of the operating conditions and also of the results obtained during each test are contained in table I.

# DISCUSSION OF TESTS AND RESULTS

The results of the de-icing tests described in this report are presented in table I and in the eccompanying charts. As shown in the table, the test program was divided for conveniece into various series of tests, A to N, according to the various specified conditions. The alcohol de-icing tests are included in series A to L. The other two series are the heat de-icing tests.

Although it is believed that the results of this investigation will be of general value, it is necessary to point out that the data contained in the report should be applied with some caution, especially in connection with the operation of induction systems other than the type tested in this program. Both icing and de-icing are influenced to a certain extent by the design and flow characteristics of different engine induction systems. Ice formations are also not always identical in nature for similar icing conditions in the same induction system. This can be seen by comparing the results given in the report of tests made atsubstantially identical conditions. Another factor to be considered in applying the results of this invostigation is that the icing which occurred in these tests was much more severe than would be normally expected in flight at the temperatures investigated. An experienced pilot would be expected to become aware of the ice formation and to take protective measures before the engine power is reduced by 50 percent, the level reached in the laboratory tests. Because of this latter frector, the results contained in this report are believed to be somewhat conservative.

... . -

No tests were made to investigate the effect of icing on mixture distribution to the individual engine cylinders; however, experience has shown that obstructions in the air passage causing flow diversions affect distribution.

In most of the fluid de-icing tests Solox D-I, injected into the carburetor through the original-type Holley elcohol vent ring, was employed. This combination was therefore chosen as a standard for comparing the effectiveness of other fluid injection devices and fluids.

## Fluid De-Icing Tests

<u>Recovery of emergency power - Holley ring.</u> It was the object of the first group of tests (Series A) to determine the time required to attain the air-flow rate corresponding to emergency engine power (7000 lb/hr) by the injection of deicing fluid at various rates of flow through a Holley vent ring after sufficient ice had formed in the induction system to reduce the air flow to 2000 pounds per hour. The initial rate of air flow was set at 4000 pounds per hour, as in almost all of the tests of the program. At the beginning of the de-icing period in each test of this series the throttle was opened wide. The mixture setting was left unchanged when the throttle was opened, although in flight the mixture ratio would have been increased by 0.015.

The results of the tests of series A are shown in figures 8 and 9. It was found that 90 percent (6300 lb/hr) of the airflow rate necessary for emergency power could be restored in 11 minutes with de-icing fluid sprayed into the carburetor at a

rate of 60 pounds per hour and in 1 minute for a de-icing fluid rate of 90 pounds per hour. The time required to recover a fuel-air ratio of 0.080 was about equivalent to that for recovery of 90 percent air-flow rate.

<u>Recovery of cruising power - Holley ring.</u> - The tests of series B were made to determine the time necessary to recover cruising rate of air flow (4000 1b/hr), by the injection of Solox D-I and isopropyl alcohol through the Holley vent ring after 50 percent of the initial air-flow rate had been lost because of the formation of ice. In this group of tests the usual procedure of continuing the water spray during the de-icing as well as the icing period of the tests was followed. The throttle was held fixed at the initial cruising setting throughout the tests.

The results of these tests are shown in figures 10 to 12. It was found that with Solox D-I 90 percent of the initial cruising air flow rate was restored in a period of 33 seconds at a de-icing fluid flow rate of 60 pounds per hour and in 15 seconds at a de-icing fluid flow rate of 80 pounds per hour. The time required to recover minimum operable fuel-air ratio (0.065) was almost twice that required for 90 percent recovery of initial air flow in these tests. The rates of air-flow recovery attained with the injection of isopropyl alcohol at rates of 40 pounds per hour and above were equivalent to those attained with Solox D-I at equal flow rates. Isopropyl alcohol was inferior to Solox D-I, however, in recovery of operable fuel-air ratio at all fluid-flow rates.

It was found in the tests of series B that ro-icing occurred during the injection of de-icing fluid at flow rates up to 50 pounds per hour. In the case of Solox D-I the re-icing was sufficiently severe to reduce the airflow rate to 3300 pounds per hour and to enrich the mixture ratio to 0.09<sup>4</sup> at a de-icing fluid flow rate of 40 pounds per hour. This re-icing occurred after the cruising air-flow rate, 4000 pounds per hour, had been restored. As shown in figure 10, only a very slight amount of reicing occurred in the isopropyl tests of this series at fluid-flow rates above 20 pounds per hour.

The enrichment of the mixture during re-icing was caused by the formation of ico on the throttles and in the venturi throat. This resulted from the throttling action of the ice in the venturi which reduced the air flow but maintained high air velocities and therefore low pressures in the vicinity of the fuel nozzles, Sinco the fuel-flow rate was controlled by the difference between a metored fuel pressure and the venturi suction, the fuel-flow rate was therefore maintained or increased by re-icing.

È.

It was determined by visual obsorvations made through the window in the air-scoop elbow that the re-icing phenomenon was caused to a large extent by the nominiform spray of de-icing fluid made by the Holley vent ring. Very little de-icing fluid was observed to flow through the orifices located at the corner of the Holley ring farthest from the de-icing fluid intake line to the vent ring, especially at low rates of de-icing fluid flow. Bolew this starved corner of the Holley ring ice formed in the carburetor vonturis and in some cases above the throttles. These ice formations were accolarated by the reduction of carburetor-air temperature caused by the evaporation of de-icing fluid.

The superiority of isopropyl alcohol in preventing the occurrence of re-icing was attributed to the differences between the physical properties of isopropyl and Solex D-I. Isopropyl alcohol has a lower vapor pressure and, as a result, a smaller percentage of this fluid evaporates during the de-icing process than occurs with Solex D-I. Because its latent heat of vaporization is also less than that of the othyl or methyl alcohol, the same amount of isopropyl in evaporating has a losser refrigerence officet.

Do-Icing without Water Ingestion - Holley Ring

During the next group of tests (series C), in contrast to most of the tests of this program, the water spray was turned off at the start of the de-icing period in order to represent the situation of changing over to an alternate air intake by means of which water ingestion could be eliminated during the de-icing. Otherwise the test conditions of this series were similar to those of the preceding series. Solex D-I was used as the de-icing fluid.

In the tests involving dewicing fluid flow rates above 40 pounds per hour, the difference in time for recovery of air-flow rate and fuel-air ratio between the dry and the wet air conditions was nogligible. It was also noted that there was no tendency toward re-icing in these tests. This would be expected since the sause of the icing was substantially eliminated when the water was turned off. The results of these tests are shown in figures 13 and 14.

Because with an alternate air-intake system a moderate increase in carburetor-air temperature can be expected, two tests were included in this series in which the air temperature was set at  $50^{\circ}$  F and at  $70^{\circ}$  F, respectively, rather than at the usual value of  $40^{\circ}$  F. It was found, however, that the amount of heat added to the intake air by these temperature rises was insufficient to cause an appreciable reduction in the time required for recovery of the initial rate of air flow.

<u>Standard Army alcohol nozzlos - oruising power</u>, - Sevoral de-icing tosts (sorios D) woro made with the standard and the modified types of Army alcohol nozzlos, using Soloz D-I, in icing conditions similar to those of series B. In each of those tosts the alcohol-injection nozzlos wore installed in their usual location in the walls of the air-intake duct 2 inches above the carburetor.

The results of these tests (figs. 15 and 16) showed that with both types of negative the time required for 90 porcont recovery of initial cruising air flow was somewhat longer than that required with the Holley ring for equal ratos of do-icing fluid flow. The time required for recovory of oporable fuel-air ratio varied from about 2 timos the period for 90-percent air-flow recovery at a do-icing fluid injection rate of 60 pounds por hour to about throo timos the air-flow-recovery period at a 30-poundsper-hour injoction rate. Vory little difference could bo dotected between the relative de-icing offectiveness of the two types of Army nozzles using Solex D-I. we tendency of ro-icing was obsorved in any of the tests of this group. This was attributed to the excellent de-icing fluid sprey pattorns produced with the Army nozzlos. The spray completely covorod the carburctor surfaces at all rates of fluid flow tostcd.

From figure 16 it can be seen that at de-icing fluid flow rates above 30 pounds per hour no appreciable decrease

in time of air-flow-rate recovery was produced. Increased fluid-injection rates required higher fluid prossures which produced greater atomization and penetration of the sprays. These factors probably improved fluid distribution, but this was more than offset by the accelerated fluid eveperation also resulting from increased atomization and penetration. When a loss volatile fluid such as isopropyl alcohol was used, this offect was not apperent.

Flow of de-icing fluid through the standard Army nozslos is controlled by fluid pressure. It was noted in general in the tests of this program made on the standard Army nozzlos that at the de-icing fluid pressures generally used in airplane installations, 10 to 20 pounds per square inch, that the rates of recovery of air flow attained were very little different. Tests with the modified Army nozzles, which are similar to the standard type except for slightly larger orifices, were included in this program in order to investigate the higher rates of alcohol flow for a comparison with the two types of Helley vent rings. It should be noted that alcohol pressures above 20 pounds per square inch are not usually available in aircraft fluid de-icing installations.

In the tests of series E the do-icing effectiveness of the standard- and the medified-type Army negates with isopropyl alcohol in restoring cruising power was investigated. The results are shown in figures 17 to 20.

It was found that the modified Army nozzlos with isopropyl alcohol were about equal in recovery of cruising air-flow rate to the Helley alcohol vent ring with Soloz D-I but were considerably inferior in fuel-air-ratio recovery. The data indicated that the standard Army nozzles were equally as offective a de-icing agent as the Helley vent ring with Solox D-I in recovery of both cruising air flow and operable fuel-air ratio at de-icing fluid injection rates of 40 pounds per hour or more. At flow rates lower than this, or in the range in which the Army nozzles are usually operated, they were somewhat superior to other fluid systems tested in air-flow recovery, 90 p.-reent of cruising air flow rate being restored in 36 seconds at a de-icing fluid flow rate of 30 pounds per hour. Several tests were made to determine the effectiveness of the standard Army alcohol nozzles when installed in the elbow of the air scoop, as shown in figures 1 to 3. It was found that ice was removed from the induction system at a much slower rate then when the Army nozzles were installed at their usual location, as shown in the contrast in the slopes of the air-flow curves in figures 16 and 19. This difference in effectiveness is somewhat more ovident in figure 20.

It was observed that the poor performance of the Army nozzles when installed in the air-scoop elbow was the result of nonuniform distribution of the de-icing fluid at the carburetor. A part of the de-icing fluid was carried by its own inertia to the rear side of the air-scoop elbow while another portion flowed along the bottom of the air scoop. Thus only a small percentage of the de-icing fluid passed through the conter of the carburetor, and that portion flowing along the scoop walls was considerably diluted. During one test made with the standard Army nozzles at the alternate location in the air-scoop elbow, the water spray was turned off at the start of the de-icing period.

Stopping ingestion of water in the air stream had little immediate offect on the air-flow recovery since the water remaining on the walls of the scoop and the duct continued to be swept through the carburetor. When most of this residual water had been ingested, the de-icing fluid became more effective. This was evidenced by the sudden rise in air flow in run 37A after a period of 25 minutes.

<u>Modified Holley ring - cruising power.</u> - Because of the poor do-icing fluid spray distributions at low fluidflow rates obtained with the standard Holley ring, resulting in ro-icing, the modified Holley alcohol vent ring was procured and tested. These tests, series F, were made under the usual conditions of series B, with Solox D-I used as the de-icing fluid.

The results, shown in figures 21 and 22, indicated very little difference in de-ioing offectiveness, as measured by rate of recovery of oruising air flow and operable fuel-air ratio, between the two types of Holley vent rings. With the modified Holley ring, however, a much more uniform spray of de-icing fluid was obtained, covering the various surfaces of the carbureter with fluid at all rates of flow. As a result of this good spray pattern, re-ioing was apparently eliminated.

<u>Effect of throttle opening on de-ioing</u>. - The object of the next group of tests (series G) was to investigate the effectiveness of fluid de-ioing at various throttle openings which produced ice-free air flow rates from 500\* to 7000 pounds per hour, representing a range from idling up to emergency engine power. The standard Holley alochel vent ring with Solex D-I was employed. In each test the mixture ratio was set at a value which would produce satisfactory engine operation at the corresponding air-flow rate. The de-icing fluid was injected into the air stream at two rates of flow, 40 and 60 pounds per hour, for each of the four throttle openings.

The results of this group of tests, (fig. 23) showed that with de-ioing fluid injected into the earbureter at 60 pounds per hour the time required to attain 90-percent recovery of the initial rate of air flow was equal (about 18 sec) at air-flow rates of 500, 2000, and 4000 pounds per hour. The recovery time was somewhat longer at each rate of air flow for the tests in which de-ioing fluid was injected at 40 pounds per hour. In the two full-throttle tests, however, the time required to restore 90 percent of the initial air flow rate was considerably longer - 1.3 and 1.1 minutes for de-ioing fluid flow rates of 40 and 60 pounds per hour, respectively. This difference resulted from the fact that more ice must be removed from the adapter at full throttle to provide the passage area necessary for the higher flow rates. In the two tests started

\*In the tosts performed at 500 and 2000 lb/hr initial air flow rates, the carburetor manufacturer's propeller-load curve was used for an air-flow calibration. This was done by setting the throttle angle and carburotor pressure drop to give the desired air flow value as determined from the curve. As the icing proceeded, the carburetor pressure drops were recorded and referred to the curve to find the corresponding air flows. The fact that the increase in throttle drop was due to ico formation rather than to throttle closing was a source of error; however, it was assumed that the readings made in this manner were within the accuracy of the test data. at 500-pounds-per-hour air-flow rate, engine-idling conditions, the mixture was vory rich at the start of the de-icing but became less rich as the do-icing proceeded. The mixture ratio remained richer than the initial value even after it could be seen that most of the ice had been removed. This condition was the result of ice forming in the venturis, affecting the flow of fuel.

Effect of throttle manipulation. - Soveral exploratory tests were included in the program (series H) to investigate the effect of various throttle manipulations on the do-icing effectiveness of Solex D-I sprayed into the earbureter through the standard Holley vent ring. These tests were made under the icing conditions of series B. The rate of de-icing fluid flow was set at 60 pounds per hour for each test.

The result of one of these tests (run 50, fig. 24) showed that the technique of repeatedly closing and opening the throttle to cruising setting at a rate of about 1 cycle per second for the first 10 seconds after the start of the de-icing poriod produced 90-percent recovery of the oruising air flow rate in a period of 6 seconds, a more rapid rocovery rato than achieved in any other fluid de-icing test in this program. The deta also indicated that the air flow can be restored more quickly by opening the throttle wido at the start of the fluid do-ioing period than by opening it in several steps. The rapid recovery of initial air-flow rate achievod by manipulation of the throttle appeared to be due to the loosening of the ice by the prossure surges of the air. It was observed that ice did not form directly on the throttle during any of these tosts. Recovery of operable fuel-air ratio did not appear to be improved by throttle manipulation.

The beneficial effoct of throttle manipulation can also be seen in the results of the tests of series A (figs. 8 and 9) in which the throttle was opened wide at the start of the de-icing period of each test. In analyzing these data it was found desirable to plot curves in figure 9 to show recovery of air flow and fuel-air ratio for cruising and also for full power. These data indicated that recovery of both cruising air flow and operable fuel-air ratio was accomplished more rapidly by opening the throttle than by leaving it fixed as in series B. It must be pointed out that the data obtained thus far on the effect of throttle manipulation were insufficient for a therough analysis. A more complete investigation of the effect of throttle manipulation on alcohol de-icing effectiveness appears desirable.

<u>Comparison of de-ioing fluids</u>. - Several tests were made to determine the relative effectiveness of various deioing fluids when injected into the carburetor by the standard Army nozzles (series I). The tests were made under the usual icing conditions of series B. De-icing fluids tested included ethyl alcohol, Shellacol, and S.D.30.

Because a tetal of only five tests were conducted in this series, including a single test with Shellacol and two with each of the other fluids, it was not possible to make definite conclusions from the results. The data indicated tentatively that air-flow-recevery rates obtained with ethyl alcohol and with S.D.30 were roughly in the same range as those obtained with Soler D-I and isopropyl alcohol injected through the Army negates. Recovery of operable fuelair ratio with those fluids was slower, however. On the basis of one test, Shellacol appeared slightly superior in de-icing effectiveness to the other fluids.

<u>De-icing with vaporized alcohol.</u> - Two tests (series J) were made to investigate the effectiveness of vaporized isopropyl alcohol as a de-icing agent. One of these tests was made under the usual icing conditions of series B, while in the second test the water spray was turned off at the start of the de-icing period. The alcohol was heated to a temperature of about 110° F and then sprayed into the air-intake duot at a point a short distance downstream from the hot air supply duct.

The results of these two tests (fig. 26) showed that veperised alcohol is a far less effective de-ising agent than liquid alcohol, a time period of 2 minutes being required to restore 90 percent of the cruising air flow rate in both cases. A quantity of 0.98 pound of vaperized isopropyl alcohol was required to produce 90-percent air-flow recovery with injection of free water continuing through the test and 1.20 pounds to produce the same recevery when the water was shut off. This can be contrasted with average values of 0.36 pound and 0.41 pound of liquid isopropyl alcohol required to produce 90-percent air-flow recovery under the same conditions. The rate of flow of vaporized alcohol was directly proportional to the rate of air flow. Thus, in figure 26 it can be seen that the rate of alcohol flow in one tost ranged from 20 pounds per hour at the start of the de-icing period to 40 pounds per hour at the end. In the other test the alcohol-flow rate was somewhat higher.

The evaporation of the do-icing fluid tonded to reduce the carburetor-air temperature, but because the alcohol was introduced into the intake duct upstream from the pressurebulb thermometer which thermostatically regulated the flow of heated air into the intake duct, heat was added to the air to maintain the set temperature. This heat input assisted somewhat in the removal of the ice formations, making the performance of the alcohol vapor a pear somewhat better than otherwise would have been possible.

<u>Anti-ioing effectiveness of de-icing fluids</u>. - The tests of series K were performed to determine the effectiveness of isopropyl alcohol and Solar D-I in preventing the formation of ice. The do-icing fluids were injected into the carburetor through the standard Holley vont ring at various rates of flow and were turned on with the water spray at the beginning of each test. The tests were made at cruising air-flow rate of 4000 pounds per hour and at the usual 40° F carburetor-air temperature.

It was found in the results of these tests (fig. 27) that, with Solex D-I, a de-icing fluid flow rate of at least 50 to 60 pounds per hour was required in order to prevent the formation of ice. Isopropyl alcohol proved superior as an anti-icing agent, an alcohol-flow rate of 30 pounds per hour holding the air flow elmost constantly to its initial rate. The greater effectiveness of isopropyl was attributed to its lower vapor pressure and latent heat of vaporization, which were pointed out as the causes for its superiority over Solex D-I in proventing the occurrence of re-icing at low rates of de-icing fluid flow in the do-icing tests. The ice formations which occurred during the anti-icing tests were similar to the formations referred to as re-icing. Enrichment of the mixture, characteristic of all runs during which ice formed, was caused by ice forming in the venturi threat.

. ..

<u>Removal of impact icing</u>. - Renoval of impact-ice formations from the induction system by the introduction of do-icing fluids into the carbureter through various injection devices was investigated in several tests under series L. The usual procedure of forming ice was followed, except that the carburotor-air temperature was held at 25° F instead of at  $40^{\circ}$  F. The impact ice which resulted formed over the throttle and bridged across the carbureter venturis.

The results of these tests, shown in figure 25, indicated that, with Solox D-I injected into the carbureter at a flow rate of 50 pounds per hour, the modified Holley ring, owing to its better distribution characteristics, was considerably superior to the standard Holley ring in removing impact ice. The initial air-flow rate and operable fuel-air ratio were not recovered under these conditions with the standard Holley ring. This was attributed to peer distribution of de-icing fluid which appeared especially critical under impact-icing conditions.

In one test of this group, heated air (temp. raised from 25° to 85° F) was substituted for alcohol as the doicing agent. The result was a slightly nore rapid renoval of the impact-ice formation than with de-icing fluid injected at a rate of 80 pounds per heur through the modified Helley vent ring, 90 percent of the initial cruising flow rate being restored in a period of 48 seconds. It was found in one test made with Army negales using isopropyl alcohol injected at a flow rate of 40 pounds per heur (20 lb/sq in. press.) that the impact-ice formation was removed almost as rapidly as with an 80-pound-per-heur flow rate of Solex D-I injected into the carbureter through the modified Helley vent ring.

It is planned to conduct additional tests on the ronoval of impact ice from induction sytems in order to invostigate de-icing at lower carburotor-air temporatures and to reproduce the formation of snow in the induction systems. In those tests it is exported that a wider range of variables will be invostigated than was possible in the present brief series.

<u>Amount of fluid required for de-icing</u>. - In analyzing the results of the de-icing tests, table II and several charts (figs. 29 to 32) were prepared to determine the amount of fluid required to restore the initial rate of air flow under various tost conditions. Those data showed that the anount of fluid required is a function of the rate of air flow that must be restored. On the basis of two impact-icing tests nade at 25° carbureter air temperature (runs 67 and 70) in which almost complete recovery of air-flow rate and fuel-air ratio were attained, it appears that the recovery time is some inverse function of the carbureter-air temperature. It was found that the amount of de-icing fluid required was substantially independent of the rate of injection for any given method at de-icing fluid flow rates of 30 to 80 pounds per hour, although re-icing may occur at the lower flows.

In order to restore 90 percent of the cruising air-flow rate under the usual test conditions with water continuing to flow through the induction system during the de-ioing process, an average of about one-helf pound of sloohol was required. It appears desirable to conduct additional tests to determine more theroughly the arount of sloohol required to restore initial power at various air temperatures and air-flow rates. The nothed and rate of de-icing fluid injection appears to be of importance in recovery of fuel-air ratio. In the majority of the tests (series B to F) recovery of operable fuel-air ratio required  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\frac{2}{2}$  times that required for air-flow recovery.

Corresive effect of do-ioing fluids. - As a result of the clogging of the snall orifices of the standard Army nessles by deposits obsorved during some of the de-icing tests, a brief laboratory study was made to determine the possible corresive offect of various do-icing fluids on certain notals. In those tests strips of polished brass, copper, and 17S-T eluninum alloy were susponded in the do-icing fluids which were contained in test tubes. The various test specimens ronained partially impersed in the fluids for a period of about 3 wooks. Nono of the fluids except S. D. 30 was found to have any corresive effects on either copper or brass. This fluid, which doos not contain a corrosion inhibitor, caused blackening of the copper specimon and iridosconce of the brass specimon aftor a period of 2 or 3 days' innersion. All of the fluids except Solox D-I had a slight corresive offect on aluminum alloy in the presence of copper.

# Hoat Do-Ioing Tests

Two groups of tosts (sories M and N) were made to investigate the use of heated air for the renoval of refrigeration ice from the induction system. The icing conditions of these tests were similar to these of nest of the alcohol de-icing tests, with the throttle hold fixed at the cruising air flow rate and the carbureter-air temperature set at  $40^{\circ}$  F. In the first group of tests the water spray was turned off at the start of the de-icing period, while in the second group of tests the water spray was left on throughout the tests. The results of the tests are shown in figures 33 and 34.

Study of the data indicated that quantitative conclusions from the results of these tests were not warranted because of unforeseen difficulties experienced in controlling the temperature and hunidity of the carbureter air. The test setup also failed to simulate adequately an actual aircraft installation.

In interpreting these data it should be noted that the heated air temperatures varied considerably from the initial values during the period when the major portion of the doicing was occurring. These temperature variations were attributed to the inherent log of the apparatus and instruments, and also to the large proportion of the heat which was used in evaporating water present in the air rather than in raising the air temperature. An indication of the temperature loss due to water evaporation may be seen in figure 35, a record taken during one of the tests of carbureter air temperature and the temperature in a dry portion of the intake duct upstream from the point of water injection.

During some of the heat do-icing tests made at the higher ranges of temperature,  $95^{\circ}$  to  $140^{\circ}$  F, the fuel-air ratio becane alightly enriched. This enrichment resulted from the fact that in being heated the density of the air was decreased and full recovery of mass air flow was prevented because the carburetor was only partially compensated for temperature changes.

The tests demonstrated the fact that heated air carrying sufficient heat as temperature rise, or temperature rise plus additional humidity, can be fully as effective in restoring fuel-air ratio and air flow as any of the de-icing fluid systems tested. When free water was allowed to flow into the induction system during the heated-air de-icing tests, the available temperature rise was much lower. It was found, however, that effective de-icing was accouplished in spite of the free water present and the limited temperature rise, provided enough heat was supplied to raise the carburetor-air temperature above the limits of icing  $(80^{\circ} \text{ F})$  for the induction system. (See curve of limiting icing conditions, reference 1.)

## CONCLUSIONS

Because of the differences in icing characteristics of various types of engine induction systems, the following conclusions are considered directly applicable only to the induction system tested and for the temperatures of these tests. Much of the data contained in this report, however, are expected to be of general value.

1. A do-icing fluid flow rate of at least 60 pounds per hour of either Solox D-I or isopropyl alcohol injected into the carburator by either the standard or the modified Holley alcohol wont ring was required to attain repid recovery of cruising air flow after the air flow was reduced by 50 percent because of the formation of ice in the induction system. The time to recover operable fuel-air ratio (0.065 at cruising air flow) was in general 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 2<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> times that nocessary for the resteration of 90-percent initial airflow rate. Recovery of fuel-air ratio was somewhat longer with isopropyl alcohol.

2. The results indicated that no improvement in deicing affectiveness was gained by stopping the ingestion of free water into the induction system at the start of the doicing process, but the possibility of re-icing was apparently eliminated.

3. It was observed that an effective de-icing fluid injection device should distribute the fluid in the induction system so that all parts of the region where ice has formed, including the throttle and other carburetor surfaces, are completely washed by the fluid in order to eliminate the ice and to prevent re-icing.

4. De-icing fluid should be injected as closely upstream as possible to the region to be de-iced in order to reduce

fluid evaporation and dilution by water on the walls of the induction system and in the air stream. To comply with this roquirement, supplementary systems may be needed for parts of the induction system other than the carburetor.

5. It was concluded from a fow exploratory tests that manipulation of the carburetor throttles during the first few seconds of fluid de-icing apparently resulted in more rapid elimination of large ice formations from the induction system then would be possible with the throttle held fixed.

6. The amount of de-icing fluid required to restore initial air flow and operablo fuel-air ratio increased with increase in the rate of air flow that must be recovered and was probably some inverse function of carburetor-air temperature. For a given method of fluid injection the amount of de-icing fluid required to attain recovery of the air flow was substantially independent of the rate of de-icing fluid flow in the flow range of 30 to 50 pounds.por hour.

7. The standard Holley alcohol vont ring, because of poor de-icing fluid distribution at injection rates of loss than 50 pounds por hour of Solox D-I, pennitted considerable re-icing. Re-icing was noticeably reduced by substituting isopropyl alcohol for Solox D-I. The use of the modified Holley vont ring or the standard Army mozzles, injection devices which have better distribution characteristics, apparently eliminated re-icing.

8. In order to provent the formation of serious refrigeration ice with free water flowing through the carbureter at a rate of 250 grams per minute, it was necessary to inject Solox D-I at a rate of 50 pounds per hour or isopropyl alcohol at a rate of 30 pounds per hour into the induction system. These data indicated the superiority of isopropyl alcohol over Solox D-I as an anti-icing egent. The elimination of ro-icing by the use of the modified Holloy ring and the standard Army negates indicated that these devices would have better anti-icing quality than the standard Holley ring.

9. When used with isopropyl alcohol, the standard Army nozzles were superior in de-icing effectiveness to other fluid combinations at do-icing fluid flow rates of 30 to 40 pounds per hour, initial air flow being restored more rapidly and operable fuel-air ratio being restored in periods no longer

than those attained with the other fluid systems. At higher fluid flow rates the standard Army nozzles with isopropyl alcohol were equal in de-icing effectiveness to other fluid systems.

10. It was found that a de-icing fluid, to be most effective, should have a low vapor pressure, a low latent heat of vaporization, and a large freezing point depression. Isopropyl alcohol proved superior to Solor D-I in reducing the occurrence of re-icing and was a better anti-icing agent because of its superiority in the first two qualities.

11. It was tentatively determined that S.D.30 and ethyl alcohol were roughly equivalent to Solox D-I and isopropyl alcohol in air flow recovery but were inferior in restoring operable fuel-air ratio. On the basis of a single test, Shellacol appeared slightly superior to the other fluids.

12. All of the de-icing fluids tested except Solor D-I showed a slight corrosive effect on aluminum alloy. The S.D.30 fluid, which does not contain a corrosion inhibitor, also had a slight corrosive effect on brass and copper.

15. Because of unforescen difficulties experienced with the equipment, it was not possible to draw quantitative conclusions from the heat de-ioing tests. The data indicated, however, that heated air properly applied was fully as effective in restoring air flow and operable fuel-air ratio as any of the fluid deioing systems tested.

14. It was found that heated air in the presence of free water provided effective restoration of air flow and operable fuel-air ratio if enough heat was imparted to the saturated air to raise the temperature above the temperature limit of icing for the induction system ( $80^{\circ}$  F).

National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C.

#### REFERENCE

1. Kimball, Leo B.: Icing Tests of Aircraft-Engine Induction Systems. NACA ARR, Jan. 1943.

Series	Alcohol injection device1	Air temp. (0°F)	De-icing fluid	Recovered air flow (1b/hr)	Water injection rate (cc/min)	Av. amount of alcohol used (1b)
A	H.R.	40	Solor D-I	6300	250	1.3
P	H.R.	<b>4</b> 0	Solor D-I	3600	250	.50
	H.R.	40	Isopropyl	3600	250	•55
σ	H.R.	<b>4</b> 0	Solox D-I	3600	0	.44
<b>D</b>	M.A.N.	40	Solom D-I	3600	250	1.2
U	A.N.	40	Solox D-I	3600	250	•53
	A.Y.B.	40	Isopropyl	3600	250	4.8
÷.	N.A.N.	40	Isopropyl	3600	250	.59
	A.N.	40	Isopropyl	3600	250	.39
7	M.H.R.	<b>4</b> 0	Solox D-I	3600	250	.52
	H.R.	<b>4</b> 0	Solox D-I	<b>4</b> 50	250	• 40
	H.R.	40	Solox D-I	1800	250	.25
<b>U</b> ,	H.R.	40	Solor D-I	3600	250	.41
	H.R.	40	Solor D-I	6300	250	. 99
т	<b>A.</b> N.	40	Ethyl	3600	250	.60
•	<b>A.B.</b>	40	S.D.30	3600	250	.49
T.	A.N.	25	Isopropyl	3600	250	1.26
4	M.H.R.	25	Solor D-I	3600	250	1.33

÷

TABLE II.- AMOUNT OF ALCOHOL REQUIRED TO RESTORE 90 PERCENT OF INITIAL AIR FLOW UNDER VARIOUS TEST CONDITIONS

1H.R., standard Holley vent ring; M.A.N., modified Army nossles; A.N., standard Army nossles; A.N.E., Army nossles at scoop elbow; M.H.R., modified Holley vent ring.

TABLE I DE-ICING OF AN AIRCRAFT	ENGINE INDUCTION SYSTEM BY MEANS OF DE-ICING FLUI	D AND BY HEATED AIR
HOLLEY CAREURETON	R 1375-F WRIGHT 1820-G-200 INTERMEDIATE REAR SECTI	ON

Icing						De-icing											ן (יי						
Run number	Carb. air temp.(deg F)	Initial air flow (lb/hr	Initial 'F/A	Free water in- jection(ml/min)	Icing time (min)	Air flow at start (lb/hr)	F/A at start (1)	Carb. air temp. (deg F)	Free water in- jection(ml/min)	De-icing fluid	Injecting apparatue (2)	Rate of fluid inject.(lb/hr)	Fluid pressure (1b/sq in.)	Throttle manip- ulation (3)	Length of de-	Recovery time 90 percent air flow (min)	Time to recover to 0.065 F/A(min	Amount of fluid for 90 percent recovery (lb)	F/A at 90% air flow recovery	Max. air flow recovery(lb/hr)	F/A at max. air flow recovery	Time for max air flow recovery (min)	Romarke
Seri 1 2	40	(5 ee f 4000 4000	.070	\$ 8,9 250	12.5	2000	.038	40 40	250 250	Solox D-I	H.R. HR	10 20		3	20 20	10.75 6.0	8 2.2	1.79 2	.068	6950 6500	.061	20 20	Slow fluctuating recovery; lean mixture, Slow recovery never reaching full
34 56 7 89 11	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	4000 4000 4050 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000	.070 .070 .069 .070 .070 .070 .070 .069 .071	250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	14.25 14.3 15.5 18.5 17.3 17.75 15.0 15	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	.047 .043 .048 .042 .041 .037 .037 .045	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	Solox D-I 80lox D-I 80lox D-I Solox D-I 80lox D-I 80lox D-I 80lox D-I 50lox D-I	H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R.	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 0		888 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	13 13 16 14 13 18 16 8	1.5 .5 1.9 .4 1.2 1.2 1.0 -	1.5 .7 1.1 - .4 .5 .6	.75 .33 1.5 .4 1.4 1.6 1.5 -	.080 .065 .075 .084 .079	7150 7150 6840 7040 7080 7140 7150	.068 -082 .082 .082 .082 .083 .085	1.5 .5 6.0 13 3 10 10 -	emergency power. Slow mixture recovery. Ice formation came out in one chunk. Woderate recovery. Very rapid recovery. Rapid recovery. Rapid recovery. Continued icing until flow dropped to earn
4-4	40	4000	.070	250	15	2000	.040	40	250	80lox D-I	H.R.	40	-	2	<b>S</b> 0	3.2	1.6	8.8	.087	7600	.078	17.45	Large chunk of ice remaining on nozzle delayed recovery.
8er1 12	e∎ B 40	(See 4050	.071	es /0 250	16.5	2000	.033	40	<b>2</b> 50	Solox D-I	H.R.	10	-	1	23	14.5	17	2.33	.039	3800	.067	17	Very slow recovery of air flow and a mixture.
13	40	3940	.072	250	15.5	1980	.038	40	250	9olox D-I	R.R.	20	-	1	21	1.6	.3	.50	.056	3940	.067	3.0	Re-icing down to 2600 lb/hr flow. Ics in venturi.
14 15 16 17	40	3980 3980 3940 3940	.070 .073 .071 .071	250 250 250 250	16.75 15.5 16.0 20.5	1960 1960 1960 1960	.049 L.	40 40 40	250 250 250 250	Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I	H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R.	40 50 80			22 20 14	1.0 .75 .58 .58	1.08 1.35 1.0 .83	.50 .50 .49 .58	.059	3980 3980 3940 3900	.068 .070 .071 .069	1.5 1.66 2.5 2.0	Re-icing to 3200 lb/hr. Air flow. Slight re-icing. No visible ice remained in induction
18	40	4020	.070	250	18.0	1960	.056	40	250	Solox D-I	HR.	80	-	1	12	.25	.83	. 33	.048	3940	.069	1.43	No visible ice remaining in induction system.
13-A 14-A 15-A 16-A 17-A	40 40 40 40	3950 4000 4000 4000 4000	.070 .067 .070 .070 .072	250 250 250 250 250	24 21.5 19.0 14.5 14.0	2000 2000 2000 2000	.041 .048 L L L	40 40 40 40 40	250 250 250 250 250	Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl	H.R. H.R. H.R. R.R. H.R.	20 30 40 50 60		1 1 1 1	22 22 13 11 7	4.2 2.0 .7 .5 .3	8.8 7 5.2 .53 1.4	1.4 1.0 .47 .42 .3	.056 .054 .045 .064 .055	3850 3950 3950 3970 3920	.062 .065 .063 .070 .071	4.5 14 2.2 1.8 4.0	Slow recovery, re-icing to 3300 lb/hr air flow. Slow recovery, slight re-icing. Slight re-icing. Rapid recovery, slight re-icing. Rapid recovery, ice remaining on nozzle bar.
Seri 19 20 21 22	es C 40 40 40 40	(5ee 4000 4000 4000 4050	.069 .069 .070 .069	250 250 250 250 250	3,14) 33 18.5 31 14.5	2000 1800 2000 2000	.038 L .037 L	40 40 40 40	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Solox D-I 90lox D-I Solox D-I	H.R. H.R. H.R.	0 20 30 40		1 1 1	4 20 14 10	- .7 .9 .8	- 14 2.2 1.6	- .47 .45 .53	.054 .052 .051	3900 3950 4000	.064 .068 .068	10 3.5 2.4	Flow dropped off to zero. Large chunk remaining in adapter. Moderate hut complete recovery. Large diminishing chunk left in adapter.
23 24 25 26 27	40 40 40 40 40	4050 4050 4000 4030 4100	.069 .070 .070 .072 .071	250 250 250 250 250 250	15.5 15.5 13.5 19 21.3	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	L .040 L L	40 40 50 70	000000	Golox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I	H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R.	60 50 70 60 60		1 1 1 1	5 5 4 7 5	.5 .4 .4 .4	1.4 1.2 .6 .7	.5 .33 .47 .4 .4	.055 .032 .062 .056 .057	4000 4000 4000 4080 4080	.069 .071 .070 .071 .071	2.6 3.5 1.4 4.0 2.2	Adapter cleared completely of ice. Adapter cleared of ice. Adapter cleared of ice. Complete recovery. Complete recovery.
Seri 28 29 30 31 32	es D 40 40 40 40 40	(See 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000	-190 .065 .070 .070 .071 .068	250 250 250 250 250 250 250	16) 21 16.5 22.7 20.2 20.2	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	.035 .038 L .040 .051	40 40 40 40 40	250 250 250 250 250 250	Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I	M.A.N. M.A.N. M.A.N. A.N. A:N.	20 40 60 29 37	- - - 10 20	1 1 1 1	23 18 15 16 16	6.0 .9 i.0 .9 1.0	4.0 2.4 1.7 7.0	2.0 .6 1.0 .43 .62	.057 .064 .056 .054 .052	3850 3980 3950 4030 3990	.064 .070 .070 .069 .067	15 12 4 14 14	Slow recovery. Moderate recovery. Moderate recovery. Moderate recovery, ice completely gone. Ice completely removed.
Ser: 33 34 35 36 37 37 4 38 39 39 40 40 84	es E 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	(56 e 4000 4000 4000 4000 4020 4020 4020 4020 4020 4020 4000	figu .070 .069 .070 .070 .070 .070 .069 .070 .069 .071 .070 .070	250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	7, 18, 19 29.5 23.3 23.3 18.3 17.6 38.5 19.5 29.5 15.0 22.5 28 16.5 11.5 28 16.5 28 16.5 28 11.5	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	L .038 L L .052 .038 L .043 .036 L L	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 4	250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl Isopropyl	K. A. N. M. A. N. A. N. E. A. N. E. A. N. E. A. N. A. N. A. N. A. N. A. N. A. N. A. N. A. N.	20 40 60 27 36 44 30 31.5 40.5 62 40.5	- - 20 20 10 10 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		20 18 16 22 20 15 10 22 10 10 20 10 20	1.7 .9 .6 18 3.8 2.8 .3 .5 1.1 .5 .4	10 2.0 11 4.5 11.0 .93 2.3 1.5 .6 .9	.57 .6 .6 6.6 2.98 2.05 .3 .16 .34 .79 .34 .41 .41	.054 .054 .059 .053 .055 .066 - .061 .057 .063 .049 .057	3880 3940 3930 3970 4000 3980 3980 3980 3950 3950 3950 3950	.061 .065 .069 .057 .071 .065 .071 .064 .071 .067 .069 .070	14 12 7 20 18 14 5 9 5 2.4 8 8.8 1	Slow incomplete recovery. Moderate recovery, ice left in adapter. Moderate recovery, ice left in adapter. Slow incomplets recovery. Slow recovery. Ice left in adapter. Rapid recovery. Ice left in adapter. Rapid recovery. Ice left in adapter. Slow recovery. Ice left in adapter. Slow recovery. Ice left in adapter. Slow recovery. Ice left in adapter. Rapid recovery. Ice left in adapter.
42 43 44	40 40 40	4000 4000 4000	.070	250 250 250	20 15 18.7	2000 2000 5 2000		40 40 40	250 250 250	9olox D-1 Solox D-1 Solox D-1	M.R.P. M.H.P. M.H.R.	30 80 60	12 8	1 1 1	10 7 10	1.4 .2 .6	1.9 .4 .8	.7 .26 .6	.058 .050 .056	3950 .3950 3950	.071	5 .8 1.0	Moderate recovery. No re-icing. Very rapid recovery. Rapid recovery. No re-icing.

<sup>1</sup>L, mixture lean beyond operating range; R, mixture rich beyond operating range. <sup>2</sup>R.R., Hollsy ring; A.N., standard Army Air Forces nozzles; M.A.N., modified Army Air Forces nozzles; A.N.E. standard Army Air Forces nozzles at scoop elhow; M.H.R., modified Holley ring; W.S.N., medium water spray nozzle.

RACA

	TABLE I (concluded)																						
÷	<b></b>		Icia	<b>F</b>			r			De-1	cing I	L C	r				. A	3		80		н Т	
Run number	Carb. air temp. (deg F)	Initial air flow (lb/hr)	Initial T/A	Free water injection (mi/min)	Icing time (min)	Air flow at start (lb/hr)	F/A at start (1)	Carb. air temp. (deg F)	Free water injection (mi/min)	De-Lcing fluid	Injecting apparatus (2)	Rate of fluid injection(lb/br	Fluid pressure (lb/eq in.)	Throttle manip- ulation (3)	Length of de- icing period(min	Recovery time 90% air flow (min)	Time to recover to 0.065 F/A(mi	Amount of fluid for 90% recover	F/A at 90% air flow recovery	Maximum air flo recovery (lb/b)	F/A at max. al: flow recovery	Time for max. 4 flow recovery (min)	Remarks
Seri 45 45-A 48 46-A 47 47-A 48 48-A	65 G 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	(5cc 510 510 2000 2000 4000 7170 7170	<i>figul</i> .104 .108 .069 .070 .069 .071 .103 .102	e 2 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	3) 11.25 8.5 26 39.5 33.0 20.2 7.5 6	200 200 1000 2000 2000 3500 3500	.240 .330 .088 .082 .037 L L .080	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	90lox D-I 90lox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox 0-I Solox D-I 90lox D-I 80lox 0-I	H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R.	40 60 40 60 40 60 40 60	1111111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	6 5 15 10 14 20 11 11	.3 .6 .3 .3 .3 .3 1.3 1.1	R R R 1.75 .5 .95 .45	.20 .60 .20 .53 .3 .88 1.1	.127 .122 .071 .068 .067 .057 .097 .093	510 450 1930 2000 3870 3850 6770 7060	.116 .122 .073 .069 .069 .069 .098 .092	1 .6 .9 3 9 11 7	Mixture went rich. Rapid recovery. Mixture went rich. Rapid recovery. Throttling ice, rich mixture, re-icing. Throttling ice, rich mixture, re-icing. Re-icing to 3850 lh/hr air flow. Rapid recovery, slight re-ioing. Ice remaining in adapter. Slight ice formation in adapter.
Ser: 49 50 51 52	40 40 40 40 40	(See 4000 4000 4000 4000	-1gu .069 .070 .069 .069	250 250 250 250 250	4) 24.75 24.75 20.0 20.0	2000 2000 2000	.038 L L L	40 40 40 40	250 250 250 250	Solox D-I Solox D-I Golox D-I Solox D-I	H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R.	60 60 60 60		3 4 5 6	10 10 10 6	1.0 .1 .3 2.2	.85 .92 9 1.5	1.0 .1 .3 2.2	.073 - .037 .063	7140 4000 4420 7060	.073 .069 .069 .079	8 1.1 1.0 5	Repid recovery of air flow and F/A. Fluctuation of air flow after 90% recovery. 9light re-icing. Throttle reopened to more open posi- tion. Slight re-icing. Gradual recovery.
6er: 53 54 55 56 57	40 40 40 40 40 40	(See 4000 4000 3950 4000	fige .070 .070 .070 .071 .070	(7e 2 250 250 250 250 250	5) 36.5 29.0 19.6 29.75 17.0	2000 2000 2000 2000 2100	.038 .038 L .039 .037	40 40 40 40	250 250 250 250 250	Ethyl Ethyl S.D.30 6.D.30 Shellscol	A.N. A.N. A.N. A.N. A.N.	46 35 29 44 42	20 10 20 20	1 1 1 1	16 20 16 16 7	.6 1.0 1.1 .6 .4	3.5 E.O 4.0 2.2 1.0	.61 .58 .53 .44 .28	.053 .054 .057 .056 .055	3970 3950 3980 3940 3980	.066 .065 .070 .071 .069	12 7 16 12 1.6	Noderate recovery. Ice left in adapter. Noderate recovery. Ice left in adapter. Noderate recovery. Ice in adapter. Complete recovery. Rapid recovery.
9er: 58 59	40	4000	.070	250 250	13.25 14.5	2000	L L	40 40	250 0	Isopropyl Isopropyl	W.S.N.		-	1	6	2.0 2.2	4.0 3.0	.98 1.20	.047 .054	3950 4000	.065 .066	3.5 5.0	Slow recovery of air flow and mixture ice in adapter. Blow recovery.
Ser: 60 61 62 63 64	LOB K	(See	figu	re	27)	3850 4000 3950 4050 4000	.052 .071 .068 .070 .068	40 40 40 40	250 250 250 250 250	Solox D-I Bolox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I Solox D-I	H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R.	10 20 30 40 50		1 1 1 1 1	30 14 30 30 30								Winisum air flow 2150 lb/hr at 30 min. Throttle frozen stuck. Winisum air flow 2000 lh/hr. Throttle stuck. Winisum air flow 3000 lb/hr at 18 min. Winisum air flow 3200 lb/hr at 28 min. Winisum air flow 3270 lb/hr at 28 min.
65 66 61-A 62-A						4000 4000 4000 4000	.070 .071 .073 .073	40 40 40 40	250 250 250 250	9010x D-I 9010x D-I Isopropyl Isopropyl	H.R. H.R. H.R. H.R.	60 80 20 30		1 1 1 1	20 20 30 32								No icing. Fluctuations, no apparent icing. Minimum air flow 3400 lh/hr at 30 min. Minimum air flow 3850 lh/hr at 20 min.
6er: 67 66 69 70 71	es L 25 25 26 25 25	(See 4200 4220 4200 4200 4200	figu .067 .065 .067 .070 .067	e 28 250 250 250 250 250	2.25 1.75 2.25 2.0 3.0	2060 2100 2060 2070 2100	.136 R .159 R .193	25 25 25 25 25 85	250 260 250 250 250	Isopropyl Solox D-I 90lox D-I Solox D-I -	▲.N. H.R. H.R. M.H.R.	42 60 80 80 -	20 - 12 -	1 1 1 1	10 10 10 7 5	1.6 - 1.0 .6	R R R R R	1.26 - 1.33 -	.070 - .075 .077	3880 2850 2740 4100 3900	.070 .102 .104 .074 .073	9 9 .8 5 1.1	Mixture went rich on icing. Very incomplete recovery. Woderate recovery. Moderate recovery. Rapid and complete recovery.
8er 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80	40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	(See 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000 4	frg 27 .070 .070 .070 .070 .070 .070 .069 .069 .071 .070	250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	3) 21.5 11.5 16.0 14.5 13 17.25 16 14.5 13	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	.042 .043 .042 .039 .041 L L L L	50 60 65 70 80 95 110 125 140	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					1 1 1 1 1 1	3 10 9 10 10 5 6	3.5 1.3 3.0 .9 .3 .4	5.0 2.7 4.0 .5 .5 .5 .7		.064 .055 .061 .057 .065 .058 .058 .058	3810 3800 3800 3860 3860 3850 3810 3800 3820	.065 .065 .065 .069 .065 .065 .072 .069	10 3 5 4 .9 .6 .8 .8	Air flow fell off to zero. Slow recovery, ice remaining in adapter. Slight re-icing. Ice in adapter. Lor remaining in adapter. Noderate recovery. Rapid recovery. Rapid recovery. Rapid recovery.
Ser: 81 62 33 64 85 85	40 40 40 40 40 40	(See 4000 4000 4000 4000 4000	fig .070 .070 .070 .070 .069	250 250 250 250 250 250 250	3 <i>4)</i> 17 15 19.75 12 19.25	2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	.042 .040 .040 .037 L	50 60 65 70 80 95	250 250 250 250 250 250	-				1 1 1 1	1.6 11.0 10 10 6	.6 1.8 .7 .3	- 1.46 2.7 1.8 .94		- .060 .059 .059 .056	- 3920 3900 3900 3880 3780	- .066 .070 .068 .068	1.5 4.0 4 1.1 1.2	Continued icing until air flow dropped to zero. Re-icing to 3160 lh/hr air flow. Slow hut complete recovery. Complete recovery. Bandd complete recovery.

<sup>3</sup>1 - Throttle left at original setting throughout ds-icing.
<sup>3</sup>1 - Throttle opened wide at start of ds-icing.
<sup>3</sup> - De-icing fluid turned on, throttle left at original setting until air flow had recovered to 3500 lh/hr; when air flow had recovered to 3500 lh/hr the throttle was opened wide.
<sup>4</sup> - De-icing fluid turned on; throttle closed and reopened to oruising several times in first 10 ssc.
<sup>5</sup> - De-icing fluid turned on; throttle cospletely closed for first 10 sec. and then reopened to cruising position.
<sup>6</sup> - De-icing fluid turned on; throttle opened in successive steps at 5 sec. intervals from 22° to 37°, 37° to 50°, and 50° to wide open.

<sup>4</sup>R - Mixture went rich.

27

NACA





Fig.

NACA



Figure 2.- Carburetor and air scoop showing observation window and Army nozzle locations.



1 Observation Window 2 Army Nozzles 2A Army Nozzles (Alternate Location) 3. Static Ring 4. Holley Alcohol Ring 5. Pressure Bulb Thermometer for Air 6. Variable Venturi 7. Fuel Nozzle Bar 8. Mixture Control 9. Observation Window 10. Adapter

T Thermocouple Stations

Figure 3 Schematic Diagram of Induction System

Fig. 3

NACA





Figure 5.- Typical refrigeration ice formation in carburetor adapter.



Figure 6.- Impact ice formation on fuel nozzle bar and in venturis resulting from low temperature operation.

NACA

**F1g.** 6

HACA

5000

.



Fig. 7





Fig. 8b







BACA





Fig. 11

.HAGA

| •

•

•

- 1

**Fig.** 12



Figure 12.- Effect of De-Icing Fluid Flow Rate on Recovery of Air Flow And Fuel Air Ratio; Standard Holley Ring.

ı



![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 14- Effect of De-Icing Fluid Flow Rate on Recovery of Air Flow and Fuel Air Ratio; Std. Holley Ring; Solox D-I; Water off During De-Icing.

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

TACA

Ō

Time, minutes

Fig. 18

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

0

1

2

4

6

**60**00 . 5000 Initial value 4000 Air flow rate, lb/hr 3000 2000 Test conditions • Run 36, 10 lb/sq in. pressure, + " 37, 20 " " " , × " 37A,20 " " " , 27 lb/hr Carburetor air temperature, 40°F 36 TÌ. Water flow continued throughout tests , \_x " , 43.5 " except as indicated 1000 Water injection discontinued at start De-icing fluid injected through standof de-icing ard Army nozzles at scoop elbow De-icing fluid, Isopropyl alcohol Figure 19.- De-icing by means of fluid at cruising throttle - Series E. 0 .120 .100 Fuel/Air ratio .080 -Initial value •0**60** .040 .020

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

8

Fig. 19

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

TACA

ľ

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_2.jpeg)

NACA

Fig. 26

![](_page_56_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

ヨーウン

.Fig. 27

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 27b

Fig. 28

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

NACA

E

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 28. - Air Flow Recovery versus Alcohol Amount; Holley Ring; De-icing Fluid, Solox DI; Water Injected Throughout Tests Initial Air Flow 4000 lp/hr; Initial Fuel Air Ratio.070 Series B F1g. 29

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 30. — Air Flow Recovery versus Alcohol Amount, Holley Ring, De-icing Fluid, Solox DI, Water Discontinued When Alcohol Flow Started; Initial Air Flow 40001b/hr; Initial Fuel Air Ratio -070 Series C

Fig. 30

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

Amount Alcohol, Ib

Figure 31. - Air Flow Recovery Versus Alcohol Amount, Water Continued When Alcohol Flow Started; Four Standard Army Nozzles, De-icing Fluid, Isopropyl Alcohol; Initial Air Flow 40001b/h; Initial Fuel Air Partio .070; 40° F. Series E

Fig. 31

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

Amount Alcohol, 1b

Figure 32. — Air Flow Recovery versus Alcohol Amount, Holley Ring; De-icing Fluid, Solox DI; Water Injected Throughout Tests, Series &

F1g. 33

1

Fig. 35a

1

![](_page_64_Figure_2.jpeg)

- - -

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

HACA

ľ

MACA

7

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 35.- History of carburetor air temperature and the air temperature in a dry portion of the intake duct during a heated air de-icing test, Run No. 77.

Fig. 35

TITLE: De-IC AUTHOR(S): 1 ORIGINATING PUBLISHED BY	АТІ- 7885 етченом лада, деянст нос. АRR-3813 ризнанно деянст но.											
BATS DOC. CLASS. COUNTRY LANGUADA PAGES ILLUSTRATIONS												
Aug'43	Unclass.	U.S.	Eng.	67	photos, tables, diagra, gr	aphs						
A program of tests on engine induction systems de-loing by means of de-icing fluids and by heated air was conducted. Simulated air scoop, Holley 1375-F carburetor, carburetor adapter, Wright R-1820, C-200 supercharger rear section, Holley alcohol vent ring, standard army nozzies, Solex D-L, isopropyl alcohol, andyrous ethyi alcohol, S. D. 30, and Shellacol were tested. Data indicate that heat added to the carburetor can be as effective in the restoration of air flow and operable fuel-air ratio as any fluid de-icing combination tested.												
DISTRIBUTION	Request co	pies of this re	eport only from	Origina	iting Agency							
SECTION: Ind	wer Plants, F uction and Su	Reciprocating percharging (	(6) SUBJI 2) Ice J Supe	ormati rcharge	DING5: Induction system - on - Prevention (50201); I ers (51886)	De-1cing (51561); iuction Systems -						
Air Decuments Air	<u>P:: R=6=2-15</u> Division, Intellig Material Comma	ence Departmont and		ICAL IN	IDEX Wright-Patterson A Dayton,	Nr Force Base Ohin						