APD 1 5 1007	
NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE I	OR AERONAUTICS
WARTIME R	EPORT
ORIGINALLY ISSUED September 1941 as Advance Restricted Repo	rt
WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF CONTROL-SUR	FACE CHARACTERISTICS
IV - A MEDIUM AERODYNAMIC BALANCE OF VA	RIOUS NOSE SHAPES
USED WITH A 30-PERCENT-CHORD FLAP ON AN	NACA 0009 AIRFOIL
By Milton B. Ames, Jr., and Donald	R. Eastman, Jr.
Langley Memorial Aeronautical Langley Field, Va.	Laboratory
	For Reference
	C
	OF TO ES TAKEN FROM THIS ROOM
NACA	· ·
	n an
WASHINGTON	
NACA WARTIME REPORTS are reprints of papers originally is advance research results to an authorized group requiring them viously held under a security status but are now unclassified. So	sued to provide rapid distribution for the war effort. They were pr ome of these reports were not tec

l - 355

1 F 4 2 M.

- , -

.

WIND-TUNNEL INVESTIGATION OF CONTROL-SURFACE CHARACTERISTICS

IV - A MEDIUM AERODYNAMIC BALANGE OF VARIOUS NOSE SHAPES USED WITH A 30-PERCENT-CHORD FLAP ON AN NACA 0009 AIRFOIL

By Milton B. Ames, Jr., and Donald R. Eastman, Jr.

SUMMARY

Tests have been made in the NACA 4- by 6-foot vertical wind tunnel of an NACA 3009 airfoil with a 30-percent-chord flap having a medium amount of aerodynamic overhanging balance. In the investigation the effects of the shape of the flap-nose overhang and the gap at the nose of the flap have been determined. A few tests were made to determine the effectiveness of a tab on the balanced surface. The aerodynamic section characteristics of the various arrangements tested are given. A partial analysis of the data has been made, and the results discussed.

The results indicate that, in general, the lift effectiveness of the aerodynamically balanced flap was increased slightly over that of a plain flap when a blunt or medium flap nose was used on the balanced flap. The balance effectiveness of the flap having the medium amount of aerodynamic balance showed an appreciable increase over that of a flap having a small aerodynamic balance. The flap with the blunt nose shape proved to be the most effective in reducing flap hinge moments. The adverse effect of an unsealed gap on the balance effectiveness of the flap with a medium amount of aerodynamic overhang appeared to be of smaller magnitude than for a plain flap or a flap having a small aerodynamic overhang. The medium nose on the flap gave the highest values of lift at positive angles of attack and flap deflection with the largest gap tested. The effectiveness of a tab as a balancing device for a flap having a medium amount of aerodynamic overhang was slightly less than for a plain flap. The minimum profile-drag coefficient of the airfoil with the most tapered nose shape was 0.0024 greater than for the airfoil with the blunt nose flap, while the medium nose flap on the airfoil resulted in an increase of 0.0014 in profile-drag coefficient over that for the airfoil with the blunt nose flap.

INTRODUCTION

The problem of reducing the hinge moments on the controls of an airplane is becoming more acute with the increases of speed and size of modern airplanes. To cope with this problem the NACA has in progress an extensive investigation of the aerodynamic characteristics of control surfaces. The investigation has as its purpose the presentation of design data for the determination of the types of flap arrangement suitable for use as control surfaces. Because a conventional control surface is merely a flap on an airfoil, these two terms are used synonymously in this paper.

As part of this investigation, the effects of flapnose shape, flap-nose gap, and balance on a typical horizontal tail of finite span were determined in the fullscale wind tunnel. (See reference 1.) The more detailed part of the investigation is, however, being made in twodimensional flow.

The first part of the two-dimensional flow investigation was the determination of the section characteristics of airfoil-flap combinations with plain flaps of various sizes and with sealed gaps. (See references 2, 3, and 4.) The data presented in references 2, 3, and 4 have been analyzed, and parameters for determining the characteristics of a thin symmetrical airfoil with a plain flap of any chord and a sealed gap at the flap nose are given in reference 5. The results of force tests of a plain flap with various gaps at the flap nose are reported in reference 6. Tests to determine the effect of flapnose shape on a 30-percent-chord flap having a 20-percentflap-chord overhanging balance with various gaps at the flap nose were conducted in the NACA 4- by 6-foot wind tunnel, and the results are presented in reference 7.

The present investigation consisted of tests of an airfoil having a 30-percent-chord flap with a 35-percentflap-chord overhanging balance of several nose shapes and with various amounts of gap at the flap nose. To expedite *** publication of the data, only a very limited analysis : the results has been made.

APPARATUS AND MODEL

The tests were made in the NACA 4- by 6-foot vertical wind tunnel (reference 8), modified as described in reference 2 for force tests in two-dimensional flow. A three-component balance system has been installed in the tunnel. On this balance, the aerodynamic forces of lift, drag, and the pitching moments are measured independently and simultaneously. The hinge moments of the flap and the tab are measured with special torque rod balances built into the model.

The 2-foot-chord by 4-foot-span model was the same model used for the investigations reported in references 6 and 7, but with modifications so that tests could be made with a medium overhanging balance on the flap. (See fig. 1.) The model was made of laminated mahogany to the NACA 0009 profile, the stations and ordinates of which are given in table I. The flap chord, measured from the flap hinge axis to the trailing edge, is 50 percent of the airfoil chord. The overhanging balance ahead of the flap hinge axis is 35 percent of the flap chord. The flap-nose shave and the gap between the airfoil and the flap were varied by detachable flap nose blocks and airfoil tail blocks shead of the flap nose. In accordance with the results of the flap-nose-shape investigation in reference 7, three flap: nose shapes similar to those previously in+ vestigated were tested. The nose shapes are shown in figure 1, and are designated blunt, medium, and sharp. The tab was made of brass, and the nose radius is approximately one-half the airfoil thickness at the tab hinge axis. The gap between the flap and the tab was fixed at 0.1 of 1 percent of the airfoil chord.

The model, when mounted in the tunnel, completely spanned the test section. With this type of installation two-dimensional flow is approximated, and the section characteristics of the airfoil, flap, and tab can be determined. The model was attached to the balance frame by torque tubes, which extended through the sides of the tunnel. (See reference 2.) The angle of attack was set from butside the tunnel by rotating the torque tubes with an electric drive. Flap and tab deflections were set inside the tunnel and were held by friction clamps on the torque rods which were used in measuring the hinge moments.

: • •

TESTS

The tests were made at a dynamic pressure of 15 pounds per square foot, which corresponds to an air velocity of about 76 miles per hour at standard sea-level conditions. The effective Reynolds number of the tests was approximately 2,760,000. (Effective Reynolds number = test Reynolds number × turbulence factor. The turbulence factor for the 4- by 6-ft vertical tunnel is 1.93.)

Tests were made on the airfoil with the blunt, medium, and sharp nose flaps to determine the effects of sealed gap and 0.001c, 0.005c, and 0.010c size gaps at the flap nose. Flap deflections were set from 0° to 18° or 20° for the tests with sealed gap and from 0° to 25° in 5° increments for the tests of the various unsealed gaps. The flaps with the sharp and medium noses were tested at a flap deflection of 18° instead of at 20° because 18° was the maximum deflection at which these flap-nose shapes could be tested with the gap grease-sealed.

Tab tests were made using the medium flap nose only. Deflections of the tab of 0° and $\pm 15^{\circ}$ were tested at flap deflections of 0° and 10° . The gap at the flap nose was sealed for all tab tests.

Throughout all the tests, lift, drag, and pitching moments of the airfoil and the hinge moments of the flap and the tab were measured. For each flap or tab setting, force tests were made throughout the entire angle-ofattack range from negative stall to positive stall at 2° increments of angle of attack. Near the airfoil stall, however, the results at increments of 1° were recorded.

RESULTS

Symbols

The coefficients and the symbols used in this paper are defined as follows:

 c_1 airfoil section lift coefficient $\left(\frac{1}{qc}\right)$ c_d_o airfoil section profile-drag coefficient $\left(\frac{d_o}{qc}\right)$

c_m airfoil section pitching-moment coefficient about

the quarter-chord point of the airfoil $\left(\frac{m}{\alpha c^2}\right)$

 $\left(\frac{n_f}{qc_o^2}\right)$ °h_f flap section hinge-moment coefficient $\left(\frac{h_{\frac{1}{2}}}{qc_{1}^{2}}\right)$ tab section hinge-moment coefficient ch+ where

- i airfoil section lift
- do airfoil profile drag
 - m airfoil section pitching moment about the quarterchord point of the airfoil
- he flap section hinge moment
- h, tab section hinge moment

c. chord of airfoil with flap and tab neutral

cf flap chord (measured from flap hinge axis to trailing edge, tab neutral)

- ct tab chord
 - q dynamic pressure $(1/2_0 V^2)$

× . .

and

. 4

		• •
	٥	angle of attack for airfoil of infinite aspect ratio
•	δf	flap deflection with respect to airfoil
,	δţ	tab deflection with respect to flap

Precision

The accuracy of the data is indicated by the deviation from zero of the lift and moment coefficients at zero angle of attack and flap deflection. The maximum error in effective angle of attack at zero lift appears to be about $\pm 0.2^{\circ}$.

Tunnel corrections, experimentally determined in the 4- by 6-foot vertical tunnel, were applied to the lift coefficients only. The hinge-moment coefficients, there-

5

fore, are probably higher than would be obtained in free flight; hence the values presented are considered to be conservative. The increments of airfoil profile-drag coefficient should be reasonably independent of tunnel effect although the absolute values of the drag coefficient are subject to an undetermined correction. ÷...

Inaccuracies in the airfoil, flap, and tab-section data are thought to be negligible relative to the inaccuracies that will be incurred in the application of the data to practical installations.

Aerodynamic Section Characteristics

The results of the tests to determine the section characteristics of the airfoil and the flap having a $0.35c_f$ overhang and blunt nose are given in figure 2(a) for the sealed-gap condition, in figure 2(b) for a 0.001c gap, in figure 2(c) for a 0.005c gap, and in figure 2(d) for a 0.010c gap. In figures 3(à), 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d) and 4(a), 4(b), 4(c), and 4(d) the results of tests of the various gap conditions for the airfoil with the flap having the medium and sharp nose, respectively, are presented.

DISCUSSION

Lift

The slope of the lift-coefficient curve $\left(\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial \alpha_0}\right)_{\delta}$.

in agreement with the results of references 6 and 7, was approximately 0.097 for the condition of sealed gaps, regardless of the flap-nose shape. In general, increases in the size of the gap at the flap nose caused the value

- of (
 - $\left(\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial \alpha_0}\right)_{\delta_1}$ to decrease. The lift-coefficient curves

for the conditions of unsealed gaps became increasingly nonlinear as the angle of attack, flap deflection, or the taper of the flap nose increased. The flap lift effective-

ness. $\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \delta_f}\right)_c$, was also greatly affected by the presence

7 of a gap at the flap hose. In general, increases in the gap size gave decreases in the value of and -355 the magnitude of these decreases were the greatest at the high values of c1. Exceptions to the foregoing statement were noted in the case of the flap with the medium nose and with gap of 0.005c and 0.010c. (See figs. 3(c) and 3(d).) At positive values of c_1 and flap deflections $\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_{0}}{\partial \delta_{f}}\right)_{c}$ between 10° and 15° an increase in was observeú, and the magnitude of the increases was greater with the larger gap. The condition is probably caused by a radical flow phenomenon and was also observed in the results of reference 7, but to a lesser degree. Pitching Moments With the blunt nose flap neutral and the gap sealed, the rate of change of pitching-moment coefficient with $\left(\frac{\partial c_{m}}{\partial c_{l}}\right)_{\delta_{f}}$ was about 0.010, which is in lift coefficient agreement with the values given in references 6 and 7. The greatest effect of increasing the gap on c_m was the $\left(\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial \delta_f}\right)_{c_1}$, which was observed at high valreduction in This result is indicated by the steepening ues of C1. of the c_m curves for the various values of δ_f . With $\left(\frac{\partial c_m}{\partial \delta_f}\right)_{c_1}$ the gap unsealed, the value of also decreased with increase in taper of the flap-nose shape. (Se 2(c) and 2(d), 3(c) and 3(d), and 4(c) and 4(d).) (See figs. Hinge Moments of the Flap The effect of the presence of a gap, gap size, and flap-nose shape on the variation of the flap hinge-moment $\left(\frac{\partial c_{h_{f}}}{\partial c_{l}}\right)_{s}$, as shown coefficient with lift coefficient

by the data in figures 2, 3, and 4, was negligible. For the flap with the medium and sharp nose shapes, at a given value of c_1 , however, the value of $\left(\frac{\partial c_{h_f}}{\partial \delta_f}\right)_{c_1}$ increased very slightly as the gap was increased. The lowest value of $\left(\frac{\partial c_{h_f}}{\partial \delta_f}\right)_{c_1}$ was obtained with the blunt nose flap; and the value of the parameter increased with increase in taper

8

the value of the parameter increased with increase in taper of the flap nose.

Criterion of Balance Effectiveness

A criterion of balance effectiveness is the increment in flap hinge-moment coefficient $\Delta c_{h_{\mathcal{P}}}$ for a given incre-

ment in lift coefficient Δc_1 . Figure 5 shows this characteristic of the flap with the blunt nose at angles of attack of -8°, 0°, 8° and the various gap arrangements tested. Similar plots are presented in figure 6 for the flap with the medium nose, and in figure 7 for the flap with the sharp nose.

Effect of gaps.- In general, the results indicate that for the medium and sharp nose flaps, as the gap size increased, the $\Delta c_{h_{f}}$ for a given Δc_{l} increased slightly at angles of attack of -8° and 0° . The maximum value of Δc_{l} at an angle of attack of 0° was, however, obtained

with the medium nose flap and the largest gap. For the high positive angle-of-attack condition the sealed gap was best for the sharp nose flap. The medium nose flap was best at the high angle-of-attack condition with the gap sealed for flap deflections up to 10° , while for flap deflections greater than 10° the largest gap gave the highest values of Δc_1 . In contrast to the results obtained in reference.7, the blunt nose flap appeared to have the most balance effectiveness with the largest gap for all angles of attack investigated. For the flaps with the 0.35c_f overhang, however, the effect of the presence of gap or gap size was slight, except at the high positive angle of attack.

Effect of flap nose shape .- In agreement with the

. . .

results of reference 7, the blunt nose flap gave the smallest values of $\Delta c_{\rm h}$ for a given $\Delta c_{\rm l}$. The medium nose flap, however, mriftained lift and balance effectiveness at higher flap deflections for all angles of attack than did the blunt nose flap, and, hence, gave the larger values of $\Delta c_{\rm l}$. The balance effectiveness of the sharp nose flap was less than for either the blunt or medium nose flap. The values of $\Delta c_{\rm hf}$ for a given value of $\Delta c_{\rm l}$ are much less for the flap having the 0.35cf overhang than for the flap having the 0.20cf overhang reported in reference 7.

Tab Characteristics

In accordance with the conclusion of reference 7 that tab characteristics were generally independent of flap-nose shape, only a very limited investigation of tab characteristics on the flap having a 0.35c, overhang was conducted. The aerodynamic section characteristics of the airfoil with the medium nose flap neutral and deflected 10° for tab deflections of 0° and $\pm 15°$ are presented in figure 8 and exhibit no unusual characteristics, The values of Δc_1 and Δc_{h_r} caused by tab deflections for

the flap neutral and deflected 10° are plotted for angles of attack of -8°, 0°, and 8° in figure 9. The results indicate that when the flap was neutral or deflected 10° the values of Δc_1 caused by tab deflection were generally about the same as those for the plain flap reported in reference 6 and the flap having a 0.20c_f overhang reported in reference 7. The values of Δc_{h_f} caused by tab de-

flections were generally slightly less than those obtained with the tab on a plain flap. This result would indicate the balance effectiveness of a tab on a flap having a medium amount of overhanging balance is slightly less than for a plain flap and tab combination.

Profile Drag

Because generally the drag coefficient of a tail surface is considered only for a high-speed or cruising-speed condition, the profile-drag coefficients for all test conditions have not been presented. The profile-drag coefficients are plotted in figure 10 against the airfoil section lift coefficients for the airfoil with the flap neutral and

L-355

for each flap-nose shape and gap arrangement tested. With each flap-nose shape the drag increased with increasing gap and the increments caused by gap became greater as the lift coefficient varied from zero. The minimum profiledrag coefficient was obtained with the blunt nose flap havthe gap sealed, and was 0.0098. With the blunt nose ilap and sealed-gap condition as a basis, the increment of -profile-drag coefficient with the medium flap nose shape and gap sealed was 0.0014, while with the sharp nose shape and gap sealed the increment in profile-drag coefficient was 0.0024. Because of a relatively large unknown tunnel correction, the drag coefficients cannot be considered absolute; however, the relative values should be independent of tunnel effects.

<u>Parameters</u>.- The use of aerodynamic parameters is a direct means by which the characteristics of the different flap-nose shapes and the various amounts of aerodynamic overhang may be compared. (See reference 5.) It is not within the scope of this paper to make a complete analysis by this method, but it is important that, in general, the effect on the parameters of the aerodynamic overhang, flap-nose shape, and gap be treated.

In agreement with the results-of references 6 and 7, the value of $\left(\frac{\partial c_1}{\partial \alpha_0}\right)_{\delta_{f}}$ for the blunt nose-flap neutral and the gap sealed was 0.097. As already-discussed, the value of this parameter decreased as the gap size increased, the magnitude of these decreases being largest for the sharp nose flap. The flap lift effectiveness $-\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \delta_f}\right)_{c_1}$

for the blunt and medium nose flaps with the gaps scaled was about -0,60. The value of the flap lift effectiveness for the blunt nose and medium nose 0.30c flaps having a 0,35cf overhang was therefore slightly higher than the flap effectiveness of -0.57 for the 0.30c plain flap and 0.30c flap having a 0.20cf overhang-as-reported in refer-

ences 6 and 7. The reductions in $\left(\frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial \delta_2}\right)_{e_1}$ saused by

the presence of a gap at the flap nose were greatest at the high values of c1. The lift effectiveness of the sharp nose flap was generally less than for the medium and blunt nose flaps for all test conditions.

The second s

Two parameters of major concern to the designer of a control surface are the flap hinge-moment parameters,

-355

 $\left(\frac{\partial c_{hf}}{\partial \alpha_{0}}\right)_{\delta_{f}}$ and $\left(\frac{\partial c_{hf}}{\partial \delta_{f}}\right)_{\alpha_{0}}$. The flap with the 0.35c_f hang had a value for $\left(\frac{\partial c_{hf}}{\partial \alpha_{0}}\right)_{\delta_{f}}$ of about -0.0035 f overof about ~0.0035 for all nose shapes with gaps sealed, and this value was reduced slightly with gap. The value of $\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}_{h_{\mathbf{f}}}}{\partial \alpha_0}\right)_{\delta_0}$ tained with the flap having a small overhang in reference 7 was about -0.0060, which indicates that the 0.35c_{φ} overhang on the flap resulted in an appreciable reduction in . the value of this parameter. The value of $\left(\frac{\partial c_{hf}}{\partial \delta_{f}}\right)_{\alpha}$ varied with nose shape. With the blunt nose shape and sealed gap the value was -0.0033, which was the lowest value obtained with the 0.35cr overhang on the flap. The values of $\left(\frac{\partial c_{h_{f}}}{\partial \epsilon_{s}}\right)$ for the medium and sharp nose flaps were about -0.0055 and -0.0076, respectively. The smallest value of $\left(\frac{\partial c_{h_{f}}}{\partial \delta_{f}}\right)_{\alpha}$ for the flap with the 0.20 c_{f} overhang (reference 7) was obtained with the blunt nose flap and was -0.0088. The values of $\left(\frac{\partial c_{hf}}{\partial \delta_{f}}\right)$ were generally reduced by the presence of a gap. From this discussion, it would follow that the parameter for free- $\left(\frac{\partial \delta_f}{\partial x_0}\right)_{c_{h,e}} = 0$ will be the highest control effectiveness for the blunt nose flap,

Because the effect of gap on certain parameters is quite marked, it is essential that some additional consideration be given to this phenomenon. The changes in the parameters caused by gap increase in magnitude as the angle of attack, flap deflection, or lift coefficient increases positively from zero. These changes in the values

of the parameters indicate a trend toward a nonlinear variation of the aerodynamic coefficients; and when the nonlinear variation is large, the parameters cannot be used accurately to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of a control surface.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the tests of a 0.30c flap having a 0.35c, aerodynamic overhang indicate that the largest reduction in the flap section hinge-moment coefficient was obtained with the blunt nose flap. The lift effectiveness of the flap with either a blunt or medium nose shape and a $0.35c_{\tau}$ overhang was slightly greater than that obtained with a plain flap or a flap having a small aerodynamic overhang. The adverse effect of a gap at the flap nose on the balance effectiveness of a flap having a $0.35c_{f}$ overhang generally was less than for a plain flap or a flap having a small aerodynamic balance. When the angle of attack and the flap deflection were both positive, the test data indicate that with a blunt or medium nose flap, the largest gap gave the highest values of airfoil section lift coefficient and the most balance effectiveness at large flap deflections.

The effect of tab deflection on the hinge-moment coefficient of a flap with $0.35c_{\rm f}$ aerodynamic overhang was less than for the same size tab on a plain flap, but this reduction in balance effectiveness of the tab was very slight.

The minimum profile-drag coefficient was obtained with the blunt nose flap neutral and with the gap sealed. The medium and sharp nose flaps gave increments in minimum profile-drag coefficients of 0.0014 and 0.0024, respectively, over that obtained with the blunt nose flap.

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Langley Field, Va.

REFERENCES

- Goett, Harry J., and Reeder, J. P.: Effects of Elevator Nose Shape, Gap, Balance, and Tabs on the Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Horizontal Tail Surface. Rep. No. 675, NACA, 1939.
- 2. Ames, Milton B., Jr., and Sears, Richard I.: Pressure-Distribution Investigation of an N.A.C.A. 0009 Airfoil with a 30-Percent-Chord Plain Flap and Three Tabs. T.N. No. 759, NACA, 1940.
- 3. Street, William G., and Ames, Milton B., Jr.: Pressure-Distribution Investigation of an N.A.C.A. 0009 Airfoil with a 50-Percent-Chord Plain Flap and Three Tabs. T.N. No. 734, NACA, 1939.
- 4. Ames, Milton B., Jr., and Sears, Richard I.: Pressure-Distribution Investigation of an N.A.C.A. 0009 Airfoil with 80-Percent-Chord Plain Flap and Three Tabs. T.N. No. 761, NACA, 1940.
- 5. Ames, Milton B., Jr., and Sears, Richard I.: Datermination of Control-Surface Characteristics from NACA Plain-Flap and Tab Data, T.N. No. 796, NACA, 1941.
- 6. Sears, Richard I.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Control-Surface Characteristics. I - Effect of Gap on the Aerodynamic Characteristics of an NACA 0009 Airfoil with a 30-Percent-Chord Plain Flap, NACA ARR, June 1941.
- 7. Ames, Milton B., Jr.: Wind-Tunnel Investigation of Control-Surface Characteristics. III - A Small Aerodynamic Balance of Various Nose Shapes Used with a 30-Percent-Chord Flap on an NACA 0009 Airfoil. NACA ARR, Aug. 1941.
- 8. Wenzinger, Carl., and Harris, Thomas A.: The Vertical Wind Tunnel of the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics. Rep. No. 387, NACA, 1931.

L-355

TABLÉ I

NACA 0009 Airfoil

Ordinates Station Upper Lower 0 0 0 1.25 1.42 -1.42 2.5 1.96 -1.96 5.0 2.67 -2.67 7.5 3.15 -3,15 10 -3,51 3.51 -4.01 15 4.01 20 4.30 -4.30 -4,46 25 4.46 30 4.50 -4.50 -4.35 40 4.35 50 3.97 -3.97 -3,42 60 3.42 70 2.75 -2.75 80 1.97 -1.97 90 1.09 -1.09 -.60 ,60 95 (.10) 100 (-.10)100 0 0 Leading edge radius: 0,89

[All dimensions in percent chord]

Medium nose profile

Station

0 .15

.50

1.00

2.00 3.00 4.00

5.00

7.00

9.00

11.00

13.00

14.90

[Stations measured from flap nose,] and ordinates from chord line.



Figure I. Flap section of NACA 0009 airfoil showing variations of flap-nose shapes and gaps. All dimensions given in percent of airfoil chord. All flaps are symmetrical about chord line.All sealed gaps use minimum-gap tail block and grease seal.



L - 355

(a) Gap sealed.

Figure 2a to d.- Aerodynamic section characteristics of an NACA 0009 airfoil with 0.30c flap and $0.35c_f$ overhang. Blunt-nose flap.



- 355

н



l - 355



<u> ссс</u> – т



l - 355

٦,

٦.



L - 355

`_

.



- 355 н

`.



L-355



L-355

Sharp-nose flap.



·

•

L-355

٩



L-355



¢.

•





L-355

.



.

L - 355

ang kan a sang T



Figure 9.- Variation of Δc_1 and Δc_{hf} with δ_t . Medium-flap nose on 0.35c_f flap overhang; gap sealed.



, ,

355 1 н

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ITLE:Wind-T	unnel Investig	ation of Contr	oi-Surface Cha	racteris	stics - IV - A	Medium Aero-	REVISION
iynamic Bala	nce of Variou	s Nose Shapes B • Eastman	Used with a 30	-Percent	-Chord Flag	on an NACA	(None)
ORIGINATING	AGENCY: N	attonal Advtso	ory Committee	for Aero	onautics, Wa	ashington, D. C.	ARR-L-355
PUBLISHED BY	: (Same)		-			-	PUBLISHING AGENCY NO.
Sept '41	DOC. CLASS. Unctass.	U.S.	Eng.	PAGES 31	table, dta	gr, graphs	
ABSTRACT:							
A -	n investigati	m mag carrie	d out to determ	ine effe	ate of chone	of fan-nore of	orbong and
Ai ga stu te ef pl DISTRIBUTION: DIVISION: Aer FCTION: Acr	n investigation p at nose of urface. Aero sted. Partia fectiveness aln flap when <u>Request co</u> odynamics (m was carrie flap. A few t dynamic sect i analysts of i the aerodyn a blunt or m <u>ples of thts re</u> 2)	d out to detern ests were mad ion charactert data has been i namically balar eedtum flap nos eport only from SUB,	nine effer e to dete stics are made. R iced flap ie was us <u>n Ortgtna</u> JECT HEA	cts of shape ermine effect given for v lesults indic was increased on the bar ating Agency DDINGS: Comi	of flap-nose ov tivecess of tab artous atrioil a ate, in general, sed slightly ove: alanced flap.	Aerodynamics (25600);
Ai gr stu ef pl DISTRIBUTION: DIVISION: Aer ECTION: Con	n investigation un anose of urface. Aero fectiveness of aln flap when <u>Request cop</u> odynamics (itrol Surface)	on was carrie flap. A few t dynamic sect 1 analysts of of the aerodyn 1 a blunt or m <u>bles of thts re</u> 2) s (3)	d out to detern ests were mad ion charactert data has been amically balar edtum flap nos eport only from SUB, Air cha	nine effe e to dete stics are made. R uced flap e was us <u>a Ortgtna</u> JECT HEA foils - A racterist	cts of shape rmine effec given for v tesults indic was increa: sed on the b: <u>ating Agency</u> DDINGS: Com erodynamte tics (37550)	of flap-nose ov titvecess of tab a artous atrioil a ate, in general, sed slightly over alanced flap.	Aerodynamics (25600); a, Wing - Lift and drag
Ai gr te ef pl DISTRIBUTION: DISTRIBUTION: Ar iscriton: Con Att SHEET NG	n investigation op at nose of trface. Aero sted. Partia fectiveness of aln flap when <u>Request co</u> codynamics (itrol Surface: <u>A: R-2-3-19</u>	on was carrie flap. A few t dynamic sect 1 analysts of 1 i analysts of the acrodyn a blunt or m bles of thts re bles of thts re bles of thts re	d out to detern ests were mad ion charactert data has been amically balar edtum flap nos eport only from SUB, Air cha	nine effe e to dete stics are made. R uced flap e was us <u>a Ortgtna</u> JECT HEA foils - A racterist	cts of shape rmine effec given for v lesults indic was increased on the bi- ating Agency DDNGS: Cont erodynamic tics (37550)	of flap-nose ov ttvecess of tab artous atrioil a: ate, in general, sed slightly ove: alanced flap.	erhang and on balanced rrangements that lift r that of the Aerodynamics (25600); s, Wing - Lift and drag