SYSTEM STUDY FOR AN INDUCTIVE GENERATOR P.F Ottinger, R.J. Commisso, W.H. Lupton and J.D. Shipman, Jr.* Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375-5000

Abstract

A system study has been initiated to aide in the design of the inductive pulsed power generator prototype, CHECMATE.¹ Because of the highly interactive nature of the opening switches with the other elements of the pulsed power system, this study requires that realistic physics models of the switch and load elements be coupled to the circuit in a self-consistent manner. This has been accomplished using a transmission line code in conjunction with an empirical model for fuse resistivity and various physics models for a plasma flow switch and a load. System optimization will be discussed. This system analysis technique can be applied to higher energy inductive generators.

Introduction

Inductive energy storage techniques have advantages over conventional capacitive techniques for high energy pulsed power systems in that energy can be stored more compactly and at low voltage. The opening switches in such a system are the critical elements. The DNA ${\rm CHECMATE}^1$ facility being built at Maxwell Laboratories is designed to take advantage of inductive storage techniques. High energy density capacitors (~ 50 kJ/can) will be used to slowly (~ $20\mu s$) charge a storage inductor and then a succession of two opening switch stages will compress the pulse to ~ 100 ns. presently envisioned that six parallel It is storage inductors will be energized (~ 20 μs) through the individual fuses.³ When the fuses open (~ 1 μs), through the energy will flow into a common disc feed linked to a second vacuum opening switch, which is expected to open in - 100 ns into either a brehmsstrahlung diode source (BDS) load or a plasma radiation source (PRS) load. For this study the plasma flow switch $(\rm PFS)^4$ will be used as the second vacuum switch.

Because of the highly interactive nature of the opening switches with the other elements of the pulsed power system, a system study is required to optimize the CHECMATE system parameters. A transmission line code^5 is used to analyze the system and realistic physic models for the switches are included.

The objectives of this study are: (1) to develop the understanding for optimizing the system in terms of energy and/or current available to drive a load, (2) to identify areas requiring strong research efforts in order to ensure this optimization and (3) to predict system performance using the present models. In the next section the system is described in more detail. The sections which follow include a basic system study intended to give an intuitive feel for the problem and a detailed system study where more realistic modeling is done. A summary of the conclusions from this work are contained in the last section.

System Description

An equivalent circuit diagram for CHECMATE is shown in Fig. 1. The accompanying plot illustrates the two stages of compression. Here $\rm I_F$ is the charging current through the fuse, $\rm I_O$ is the output current through the PFS and $\rm I_l$ is the load current.



Fig. 1 Equivalent circuit and typical current waveforms.

The capacitor bank (6 modules) is represented by a capacitance, $C_{\rm b}$ = 6,158 μF , an inductance, $L_{\rm B}$ = 6 nH, and a resistance, $R_{\rm S}$ = 0.533 m Ω . Included in $R_{\rm S}$ is the internal bank resistance, safety resistors to reduce damage if a fault mode occurs and the skin resistance of the storage inductance. The capacitor is initially charged to 44 kV (6 MJ stored). The crowbar switch is closed when the PFS opens and is used to prevent voltage reversal on the bank.

The fuse package is represented by a fuse inductance, $L_{\rm F}$, and a time varying fuse resistance, $R_{\rm F}$. The present fuse model is based on experimental data for #27 copper wire.³ The resistivity, ρ , of the fuse is specified as a function of energy density, ε , which is obtained by integration of the instantaneous joule heating rate resulting from current driven through the fuse. The fuse resistance $R_F = \rho(\varepsilon)H/S$ where H is the fuse height and S is the cross-sectional area. The fuse height is chosen to be 0.5 m in order to maintain the assumed holdoff of ~ 20 kV/cm when the peak load voltage of ~ MV is reached. The fuse begins to open at $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 = 3x10^{10} \text{ J/m}^3$ where the slope of $\rho(\varepsilon)$ has a sharp increase and the fuse begins to become resistive. The closing switch following the fuse is closed when the fuse begins to open. When $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_2 = 4.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ J/m}^3$ the fuse is open. For the purposes of this system study the fuse is designed so that $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2$ is reached when the PFS is about to open. At this point $R_{\rm F}$ is set equal to 2 Ω which is the value of the grading resistor in parallel with the fuse wires. This basically assumes that the fuse remains open and does not restrike.

The output inductance consists of a constant inductance, L_0 , and an increasing inductance, L_{PFS} , associated with the motion of the PFS. The PFS is a moving plasma opening switch.⁴ The plasma is accelerated downstream by the JXB force and opens when the plasma crosses a gap. The trailing low density plasma must be controlled in such a way that the current is commutated. For this study the mass

Report Documentation Page					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the col maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing VA 22202-4302. Respondents sho does not display a currently valid 0	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	o average 1 hour per response, inclu ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Info ny other provision of law, no person	iding the time for reviewing ins regarding this burden estimate rmation Operations and Reports shall be subject to a penalty for	tructions, searching exist or any other aspect of th s, 1215 Jefferson Davis failing to comply with	sting data sources, gathering and its collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington a collection of information if it		
1. REPORT DATE		2. REPORT TYPE	3 DATES COVERED				
JUN 1985		N/A		-			
4 TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
System Study For An Inductive Generator			5b. GRANT NUMBER				
				5c PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)				54 PROJECT NUMBER			
				50 TASK NUMPED			
				JE. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER					
7. PERFORMING ORGANI Naval Research La		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER					
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
				11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S)	ONITOR'S REPORT		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release, distributi	on unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO See also ADM0023 Abstracts of the 20 16-21 June 2013. U	TTES 71. 2013 IEEE Pulse 13 IEEE Internation I.S. Government or 1	ed Power Conferen nal Conference on I Federal Purpose Ri	ce, Digest of Tech Plasma Science. H ghts License.	nical Papers leld in San Fi	1976-2013, and rancisco, CA on		
14. ABSTRACT A system study has CHECMA TE. 1 B the pulsed power sy be coupled to the c code in conjunction flow switch and a l applied to higher e	been initiated to aid ecause of the highly ystem, this study red ircuit in a self-consi- with an empirical oad. System optimiz nergy inductive gen	de in the design of t interactive nature quires that realistic stent manner. This model for fuse resis zation will be discus erators.	he inductive puls of the opening sw physics models o has been accomp tivity and various sed. This system	ed power gen itches with tl f the switch a lished using a s physics moo analysis techn	nerator prototype, the other elements of and load elements a transmission line lels for a plasma nique can be		
15. SUBJECT TERMS			I	Ι	1		
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	SAR	4	KLSI ONSIBLE FERSON		

unclassified

unclassified

unclassified

of the PFS was assumed to be 15 mg with a 5 cm travel in a 20-cm OD, 15-cm ID cylindrical vacuum inductor ($L_{\rm PFS}$ = 2.9 nH). The opening process for the PFS is difficult to model and is discussed elsewhere.⁶ Here it is assumed that the PFS opens into a constant resistance load, $R_{\rm l}$, with a load current risetime of ~ 100 ns. The load inductance, $L_{\rm l}$, is assumed to be 2 nH. Diode and imploding gas puff load models exist and will be used in the future when load coupling to the final switching stage is studied in more detail.

Basic Study

The purpose of this basic study is to develop an intuitive picture for the operation of the system and how to optimize the output current and energy available to drive the load. First the simple circuit shown in Fig. 2a is run until the fuse energy density reaches ϵ_1 . This specifies I_F at the time the fuse begins to open in terms of the free parameters S and L_{TOT} = L_S + $L_F.$ Here L_S includes the 6 nH bank inductance, $L_B.$ The fuse is now assumed to open on a very short timescale so that the sudden approximation can be applied to the circuit in Fig. 2b. Under this approximation energy is conserved,

$$E_{A} = 1/2 (L_{0} + L_{S}^{2})I_{0} = 1/2 L_{TOT}^{2}I_{F} - (\epsilon_{2} - \epsilon_{1})SH,$$
 (1)

and the flux stored in L_S is conserved,

$$L_{s}I_{F} = (L_{0} + L_{s})I_{0}.$$
 (2)

This introduces another free parameter, $\rm L_{O},$ which is assumed to be constant (i.e., before any PFS motion). Equations (1) and (2) can then be solved for the output current $\rm I_{O}(L_{TOT},S,L_{O})$ and the fuse inductance $\rm L_{F}(L_{TOT},S,L_{O}).$ In addition, the available energy, $\rm E_{A}(L_{TOT},S,L_{O}),$ defined in Eq. (2), can also be calculated from $\rm I_{O}.$



Fig. 2 Schematic of (a) charging circuit and (b) output circuit.

The results are plotted for a typical $\rm L_{0}$ = 10 nH in Figs. 3, 4 and 5. The output current shown in Fig. 3 has a peak of 9.6 MA in this case at S = 1.1 cm² and $\rm L_{TOT}$ = 22.5 nH. For larger $\rm L_{TOT}$ the current that can be driven by the capacitor bank through the fuse circuit is smaller and thus $\rm I_{0}$ is smaller. For smaller $\rm L_{TOT}$ the output inductance becomes comparable with $\rm L_{S}$ and the conservation of flux dictates a smaller $\rm I_{0}$. For smaller S the fuse opens earlier before the current rises to its full value resulting in lower $\rm I_{0}$. And for larger S the fuse requires a larger fraction of the energy to reach the required energy density for opening, thus limiting $\rm I_{0}$.

The available energy, $\rm E_A$, shown in Fig. 4 has a maximum value of ~ 2.3 MJ at S ~ 0.95 cm^2 and at $\rm L_{TOT}$ ~ 60 nH. Arguments similar to those presented for $\rm I_O$ can explain the behavior of $\rm E_A~(\rm L_{TOT},S)$. The



Fig. 3 Output current as a function of S and $\rm L_{TOT}$ for $\rm L_{O}$ = 10 nH.



Fig. 4 Available energy as a function of S and $\rm L_{TOT}$ for $\rm L_{O}$ = 10 nH.



Fig. 5 Fuse inductance as a function of S and $\rm L_{TOT}$ for $\rm L_{O}$ = 10 nH.

peak in $\rm E_A$ occurring at a larger $\rm L_{TOT}$ than the peak in $\rm I_O$ results because $\rm L_O^+L_S^=L_O^+L_{TOT}^-L_F(L_{TOT},S)$ increases more rapidly than $\rm I_O^2$ (L_{TOT},S) is decreasing until $\rm L_{TOT}$ reaches ~ 60 nH.

The fuse inductance is plotted as a function of L_{TOT} and S in Fig. 5. The points marked by "I" and "E" indicate where the peaks of Figs. 3 and 4 occur. Note that this surface is being viewed from behind compared with the other two surfaces in Figs. 3 and 4. Curves are also drawn for $L_F^{package} = 25 \text{ nH}$ and 50 nH where $L_F = L_F^{package}/6$. Physical constraints on designing the fuse package for each of the six modules limit how small L_F can be made.

These results indicate that $L_F^{package_2}$ 25 nH is required to reach the peaks in I_O and E_A. A 50 nH single fuse package is easily attainable, while a 25 nH is optimistic. For the detailed study that follows a realistic L_F of 6 nH will be assumed (i.e., $L_F^{package_3}$ 36 nH).

For a BDS load the power weighted voltage pulse (the characteristic shape of the radiation pulse) should have a full width half maximum of ≤ 100 ns, and the peak voltage should be ≤ 1.5 MV. Combining these constraints yields the condition

$$I_0 < 0.65/(L_S + L_0),$$
 (3)

where now $\rm L_S$ is the storage inductance only and does not include the bank inductance because crowbarring will occur before current to diverted to the load. This condition is easily satisfied for the CHECMATE system when operating in the region near the peaks in $\rm I_O$ and $\rm E_A.$

In summary the basic study provides an intuitive understanding of the system operation and shows that the system can be designed to optimize either $I_{\rm O}$ or $E_{\rm A}$ by operating at different points in $L_{\rm TOT}$ and S. For a BDS load, operation near peak $E_{\rm A}$ is probably desirable⁹, while for a PRS load, operation near peak $I_{\rm O}$ is probably desirable. As expected doing the same analysis for various values of $L_{\rm O}$ show that $L_{\rm O}$ should be made as small as physically possible in order to maximize $I_{\rm O}$ and $E_{\rm A}$. Increasing H reduces $I_{\rm O}$ and $E_{\rm A}$ because more energy is required to open the fuse. Decreasing H makes the fuse holdoff requirements more severe and does not gain in $I_{\rm O}$ and $E_{\rm A}$ because their peaks are less attainable as a result of more severe constraints on $L_{\rm F}^{\rm package}$ than those shown in Fig. 5. Thus H-0.5 m is about optimum. Reducing $L_{\rm F}^{\rm package}$ to ~25 nH, allows operation at the peaks in $I_{\rm O}$ and $E_{\rm A}$. Finally, the conditions on the BDS output [Eq. (3)] do not present any constraints on the CHECMATE system design although designing the low impedance ($\sim 0.3 \ \Omega$) diode itself may be difficult.

Detailed Study

In the detailed study, first the run down of the PFS and the full fuse model are included in a transmission line code in order to study their effect on the system operation. Then the PFS opening is modeled and energy delivery to a load is investigated with the intent of doing an energy inventory and studying switching efficiencies.

The PFS is assumed to have a mass of 15 mg and travel a distance of 5 cm before reaching an opening gap. For the assumed geometry L_{PFS} = 2.8 nH (r₁ = 7.5 cm, $r_0 = 10$ cm). Typical run down times of ~ 2 µs and final velocities of ~ 10 cm/µs were observed using a simple slug model with magnetic pressure. By fixing S = 1.3 cm² and varying L_{TOT} or fixing $L_{TOT} = 32$ nH and varying S, the results of this more complete analysis can be compared with the basic study results presented in Figs. 3, 4 and 5. In Fig. 6 the solid curves are from the basic study and the curves marked with "+" signs are from the detailed study. Note that graphs in Fig. 6 are plotted in terms of $L_S = L_{TOT} - L_F$. Although I_O and E_A are reduced, the nature of the curves remains the same. The added inductance of the PFS, the energy extracted to accelerate the PFS mass and the time dependent fuse dynamics all play a role in reducing





 ${\rm I}_{\mbox{\scriptsize O}}$ and ${\rm E}_{\mbox{\scriptsize A}}.$ The fuse inductance remains nearly the same.

The PFS opening is modeled here by a time varying shunt resistance in parallel with the load which allows the load current to rise in ~ 100 ns. A more realistic model is still in the developmental stages.⁶ The BDS load is modeled by an inductance of 2 nH and a constant 0.3 Ω resistance. This value of resistance was chosen so that the peak load voltage would be 1.5 MV. Crowbarring is assumed to occur at the end of the PFS run down, prior to opening. It is also assumed at this time that the fuse is open so that $R_{\rm F}$ is set to the 2 Ω value of the grading resistor in parallel with the fuse. If the fuse does not open to a large resistance, or if the fuse restrikes, a large fraction of the energy which is available to drive the load will be deposited in the fuse.

For the load results discussed here $L_{TOT} = 32$ nH, S = 1.3 cm² and $L_F = 6$ nH. The results show peak load values of $V_{l} = 1.5$ MV, $I_{l} = 5$ MA and $P_{l} = 7.5$ TW. The full width half maximum of the voltage is ~ 135 ns and of the power weighted voltage is ~ 45 ns. A total of ~ 0.69 MJ was delivered to the load. The voltage across the fuse is shown in Fig. 7. The fuse itself generates ~ 0.25 MV when it opens and ~ 0.92 MV appears when the load voltage



Fig. 7 Fuse voltage vs time.

peaks. This corresponds to 18 kV/cm, within the expected holdoff strength (20 - 30 kV/cm) for the fuse. This voltage is reduced from the full load voltage by inductive division. Results also show that before crowbarring the voltage across the insulator at the output of the bank peaks at ~ 30 kV well within the designed 100 kV holdoff.

Figure 8 shows a breakdown of the energy flow and an energy inventory. The percentages at the top of the boxes show what percentage of the original $\boldsymbol{6}$ MJ stored in the bank is still available at each stage. The percentages under each transfer stage show the efficiency of energy transfer for that stage. Note ${\rm L}_{\rm B}$ is expressed explicitly here and is not included in L_S. An energy inventory is also given at the bottom. Improvement in the fuse performance would be most beneficial to improving the energy delivery to the load. The inductor to inductor transfer performed by the fuse must dissipate some energy but ideally this is only $\sim 1/3$ of the energy absorbed by the fuse. Reducing or removing the safety resistors in the bank is the other possible improvement which will have a large impact on the energy available to drive the load.

In summary the detailed study showed that the PFS motion and the time dependent fuse model reduce I_0 by ~ 10% and E_A by ~ 20% for the cases studied but do not change the basic operation of the system. The energy delivered to the load, peak voltage and width of the power weighted voltage pulse are all within expectations for the models used. The fuse and insulator as presently envisioned should be capable of withstanding the voltage stress that will appear.



R _F - 2.98 MJ	(50%)	L ₈ -	0.14	МJ	(2%)
R _S - 1.74 MJ	(29%)	K _{PFS} ~	0.08	MJ	(1%)
Rg - 0.69 MJ	(2%)	R _{SKIN} -	0.02	МJ	(0%)
R _{PFS} - 0.31 MJ	(5%)				

Fig. 8 Energy transfer efficiency and inventory.

Conclusions

The major conclusion of this study is that the system as designed is close to optimum. Improvements in the fuse packaging to reduce its inductance to ~ 25 nH for a 0.5 m length fuse would maximize performance for the present model. However, replacement of the fuse with a more efficient opening switch or improvements in the amount of energy (= ε_1 SH) required to bring the fuse to the point of opening, could have a large impact on the system efficiency. Finally it must be stressed that, although realistic (non-ideal) assumptions were made throughout this study, the opening process of the final switch (the PFS in this study) into a BDS load is the least understood and warrants the strongest research effort.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DNA. The authors also wish to acknowledge valuable discussions with G. Cooperstein, J. Shannon, P. Turchi and D. Conte.

References

- * Sachs Freeman Associates, Bowie, MD 20716
- 1. J. Shannon, these proceedings.
- 2. S.A. Nasar and H.H. Woodson, "Storage and Transfer of Energy for Pulsed-Power Applications," in <u>Proceedings of the Sixth Symposium on</u> <u>Engineering Problems of Fusion Research</u>, San Diego, CA, 1975, p. 316.
- 3. W.H. Lupton, private communication; C. Maisonnier, J.G. Linhart, C. Gourlan, "Rapid Transfer of Magnetic Energy by Means of Exploding Foils," Rev. Sci. Instr. 37, 1380 (1966).
- Foils," Rev. Sci. Instr. <u>37</u>, 1380 (1966).
 4. S. Seiler, J.F. Davis, P.T. Turchi, G. Bird, C. Boyer, D. Conte, R. Crawford, L. DeRaad, G. Fisher, A. Latter, W. Tsai and T. Wilcox, "High Current Coaxial Plasma Gun Discharges Through Structured Foils," in <u>Proceedings of the Fourth International Pulsed Power Conference</u>, June, 1983, p. 346; P.J. Turchi, "Magnetoacoustic Model for Plasma Flow Switching," in the <u>Proceedings of the Fourth International Pulsed Power Conference</u>, June, 1983, p. 342.
- 5. D.D. Hinshelwood, "BERTHA A Versatile Transmission Line and Circuit Code," Naval Research Laboratory Memorandum Report 5185, November, 1983.
- P.F. Ottinger, J.D. Shipman, Jr., P.J. Turchi and D. Conte, "Transmission Line Code Modeling of the Plasma Flow Switch," these proceedings.