

# Nested Vector-Sensor Array Processing via Tensor Modeling<sup>1</sup>

Keyong Han & Arye Nehorai

April 24, 2014

<sup>1</sup>This work was suppoted by the ONR Grant N000141310050.

CSSIP Lab

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 24 APR 2014		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2014 to 00-00-2014	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
Nested Vector-Sensor Array Processing via Tensor Modeling (Briefing				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
Charts)				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Washington University in St. Louis,Preston M. Green Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering,St. Louis,MO,63130				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITA				18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES <b>19</b>	RESPONSIBLE PERSON

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

## Background

- A vector sensor employs multiple  $(N_c)$  sensor components. For acoustic:  $N_c = 4$ ; for electromagnetic:  $N_c = 6$ .
- Two strategies: matrix-based scheme (left) and tensor-based scheme (right).



- Tensor-based scheme conserves the multidimensional structures of the data, and provides better performance than the matrix-based scheme.
- Our goal: Apply the nested-array strategy to vector-sensor arrays via tensor modeling.
- Challenge: Multidimensional operation.



## Signal Model

- Consider a two-level nested linear array with N vector sensors. The output of each vector sensor is an  $N_c$ -dimensional vector.
- Assume K far-field sources from directions  $\{(\phi_k, \theta_k), k = 1, \dots, K\}$ , where  $\phi_k$  and  $\theta_k$  represent the azimuth and elevation angles
- Then, we obtain the tensor measurement model:

$$N \prod_{N_c} = \mathbf{X}_3 + \mathbf{X}_3 + \mathbf{X}_{N_c} \times \mathbf{X}_{K}$$
$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = \mathbf{A} \times_3 \mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{E}(t),$$

- $\boldsymbol{Y}(t)$ :  $N \times N_c$  measurement matrix at time t
- $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}: N \times N_c \times K$  array manifold tensor
- $\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ :  $K \times 1$  source signals
- $\boldsymbol{E}(t)$ :  $N \times N_c$  measurement noise at time t

 $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \times_{3} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}: \text{ Mode-3 product of } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times I_{3}} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathbb{C}^{J_{1} \times J_{2} \times I_{3}}, \text{ defined as } (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \times_{3} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})_{i_{1}i_{2}j_{1}j_{2}} = \sum_{i_{3}} a_{i_{1}i_{2}i_{3}} b_{j_{1}j_{2}i_{3}}$ 

(1)

- $a_{i,j,k} = (\boldsymbol{A}_k)_{i,j}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{A}_k = \mathrm{d}_k \boldsymbol{p}_k^T$
- $\boldsymbol{d}_k = [e^{j2\pi \boldsymbol{u}_k^T \boldsymbol{r}_1 / \lambda}, \dots, e^{j2\pi \boldsymbol{u}_k^T \boldsymbol{r}_N / \lambda}]^T$  is the phase delay vector.
- $\boldsymbol{u}_k = [\cos\phi_k \cos\theta_k, \sin\phi_k \cos\theta_k, \sin\theta_k]^T$  is the unit vector at the sensor pointing towards the *k*th signal.
- $p_k$  is the steering vector of a single vector sensor located at the origin.
- Based on (1), we get the  $N \times N_c \times N \times N_c$  interspectral tensor:

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathbf{Y} \circ \mathbf{Y}^*] = \mathcal{A} \times_3 \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} \dot{\times}_3 \mathcal{A}^* + E[\mathbf{E} \circ \mathbf{E}^*], \qquad (2)$$

which is a tensor version of the covariance matrix in the scalar case.

• We apply mode-2 matricization to  ${\cal R}$ , and obtain

$$\boldsymbol{Z} \triangleq \boldsymbol{R}_{(2)}^T = (\boldsymbol{A}_{(3)}^{*T} \odot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}) \times_3 \boldsymbol{s} + \sigma_e^2 \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{I}}, \qquad (3)$$

- 
$$\mathbf{Z}$$
 is an  $N_c N^2 \times N_c$  matrix  
-  $\mathbf{s} = [\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2, \dots, \sigma_K^2]^T$   
-  $\mathbf{I} = \text{blkdiag}(\mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{I})$ , where  $\mathbf{I} = [\mathbf{e}_1^T, \mathbf{e}_2^T, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N^T]^T$ 



#### **Generalized Kahtri-Rao product (a)**



 $\mathbf{A} \dot{\times}_{3} \mathbf{\mathcal{B}} : \text{ Mode-3 inner product of } \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times I_{3}} \text{ and } \mathbf{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathbb{C}^{J_{1} \times J_{2} \times I_{3}}, \text{ defined as } (\mathbf{A} \dot{\times}_{3} \mathbf{\mathcal{B}})_{i_{1} i_{2} j_{1} j_{2}} = \sum_{i_{3}} a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} b_{j_{1} j_{2} i_{3}} \\ \mathbf{A} \odot \mathbf{\mathcal{B}} : \text{ Generalized Khatri-Rao product of } \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{J \times I_{3}} \text{ and } \mathbf{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times I_{2} \times I_{3}}, \text{ with dimension } I_{1} J \times I_{2} \times I_{3}, \text{ defined as } I_{1} J \times I_{2} \times I_{3}$ 

 $(\boldsymbol{A} \odot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})_{(i_1 + (j-1)I_1), i_2, i_3} = a_{j,i_3}b_{i_1,i_2,i_3}$  $\boldsymbol{A} \circ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}: \text{ Outer product of } \boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times I_2} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathbb{C}^{J_1 \times J_2}, \text{ defined as } (\boldsymbol{A} \circ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{B}})_{i_1i_2j_1j_2} = a_{i_1i_2}b_{j_1j_2}$  $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{(2)}: \text{ mode-2 matrix unfolding of tensor } \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3}, \text{ defined as } (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}_{(2)})_{i_2,(i_1-1)I_3+i_3} = (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}})_{i_1i_2i_3}$ 



- Comparing (3) with the original signal model (1), we observe that Z in (3) behaves like a received measurement with a longer vector-sensor array whose manifold is given by  $A_{(3)}^{*T} \odot \mathcal{A}$ . In (3) the equivalent source signal vector is represented by s and the noise becomes a deterministic matrix given by  $\sigma_{e}^{2} \vec{I}$ .
- Looking at the structure of the tensor  $A_{(3)}^{*T} \odot A$ , we observe that there are  $N_c$  sets of horizontal slices, and they have same horizontal slices except for different amplitudes. Without loss of generality we will consider to use only the first set.
- Note that, although we choose only one set, we use the information from all the  $N_c$  sensor components.



Dimension change of the manifold tensor using the nested-array strategy.



Manifold tensor  $\mathcal{A}$  of the original signal model.



Dimension change of the manifold tensor using the nested-array strategy.



- N<sub>c</sub> parallel sets of horizontal slices
- Different amplitudes but same information



Dimension change of the manifold tensor using the nested-array strategy.





Dimension change of the manifold tensor using the nested-array strategy.





#### **Spatial Smoothing**

- Spatial smoothing is used to exploit the increased DOFs by building up the rank of the observation tensor.
- Similar to the scalar sensor case, we remove repeated slices and sort them according to virtual sensor positions:

$$ar{m{Z}} = ar{m{\mathcal{A}}} imes_3 m{s} + \sigma_e^2 ar{m{E}}.$$

- Divide these  $2\bar{N} 1$  ( $\bar{N} = N^2/4 + N/2$ ) virtual sensors into  $\bar{N}$  partially overlapping subarrays, and the *l*th subarray is  $\bar{Z}_l = \bar{A}_l \times_3 s + \sigma_e^2 \bar{E}_l$ .
- Define  $\mathcal{R}_l \triangleq \bar{Z}_l \circ \bar{Z}_l^*$ , and take the average of  $\mathcal{R}_l$  over all l:  $\mathcal{T} \triangleq \frac{1}{\bar{N}} \sum_{l=1}^{\bar{N}} \mathcal{R}_l$ .
- $\mathcal{T}$  is the  $\bar{N} \times N_{\rm c} \times \bar{N} \times N_{\rm c}$  spatially smoothed interspectral tensor.
- Based on  $\mathcal{T}$ , the nested array with N vector sensors provides  $\overline{N} 1$  DOFs, whereas a ULA with the same number of vector sensors can provide only N-1 DOFs.

## Higher-Order Singular Value Decomposition (HOSVD)

- HOSVD is a higher-order generalization of the matrix singular value decomposition (SVD) [3].
- The HOSVD of tensor  ${\mathcal T}$  can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}} \times_1 \boldsymbol{U}_1 \times_2 \boldsymbol{U}_2 \times_3 \boldsymbol{U}_3 \times_4 \boldsymbol{U}_4, \qquad (4)$$

where  $U_1, U_3 \in \mathbb{C}^{\bar{N} \times \bar{N}}$ , and  $U_2, U_4 \in \mathbb{C}^{N_c \times N_c}$  are orthonormal matrices, provided by the SVD of the *i*-mode matricization of the tensor  $\mathcal{T}$ :  $\mathcal{T}_{(i)} = U_i \Lambda_i V_i^H$ .  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathbb{C}^{\bar{N} \times N_c \times \bar{N} \times N_c}$  is the core tensor.

Since *T* is an Hermitian tensor, i.e., t<sub>i1,i2,i3,i4</sub> = t<sup>\*</sup><sub>i3,i4,i1,i2</sub>, ∀i1,i2,i3,i4, the HOSVD of *T* can be written as
 *T* = *K* ×<sub>1</sub> *U*<sub>1</sub> ×<sub>2</sub> *U*<sub>2</sub> ×<sub>3</sub> *U*<sup>\*</sup><sub>1</sub> ×<sub>4</sub> *U*<sup>\*</sup><sub>2</sub>. (5)

[3]



#### **Applications: Acoustic Vector Sensors**

- For acoustic vector sensors,  $N_{\rm c} = 4$ .
- The array steering matrix can be written as  $A_k = d_k p_k^T$ , with

$$\boldsymbol{p}_k = [1, \boldsymbol{u}_k^T]^T,$$

which is the steering vector of a single vector sensor located at the origin.

#### **Applications: Electromagnetic Vector Sensors**

- For EM vector sensors,  $N_{\rm c} = 6$ . Here, we consider polarized signals.
- The array steering matrix can be written as  $m{A}_k = m{d}_k m{p}_k^T$ , with  $m{p}_k = m{V}_k m{
  ho}_k$ , where

$$\boldsymbol{V}_{k} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\phi_{k} & -\cos\phi_{k}\sin\theta_{k} \\ \cos\phi_{k} & -\sin\phi_{k}\sin\theta_{k} \\ 0 & \cos\theta_{k} \\ -\cos\phi_{k}\sin\theta_{k} & \sin\phi_{k} \\ -\sin\phi_{k}\sin\theta_{k} & -\cos\phi_{k} \\ \cos\theta_{k} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{k} = [\cos\gamma_{k}\sin\gamma_{k}e^{j\eta_{k}}]^{T}.$$

A<sub>k</sub> is the N × N<sub>c</sub> steering matrix of the array associated with a polarized signal coming from the direction (φ<sub>k</sub>, θ<sub>k</sub>) with polarization (γ<sub>k</sub>, η<sub>k</sub>), where γ<sub>k</sub> ∈ [0, 2π] and η<sub>k</sub> ∈ (-π, π] are polarization parameters. V<sub>k</sub> is the steering matrix of one EM vector sensor associated with the kth signal. ρ<sub>k</sub> is the polarization vector for the kth signal.

#### Acoustic Case I: MUSIC Spectrum



Fig. 1: MUSIC spectrum using a nested acoustic vector-sensor array with 6 sensors, as a function of elevation angle  $\theta$ , T = 1000, SNR = 0dB, K = 6 sources with the same azimuth angles.

Observation: The 2-level nested array resolves all the 6 sources.

### **EM Case II: DOA Estimation**



Fig. 2: MUSIC spectrum using a ULA (left: tensor-based) and a nested array (middle: matrixbased; right: tensor-based) with 6 EM vector sensors, as a function of azimuth  $\phi$  and elevation angles  $\theta$ , T = 1000, SNR = 21.97dB, K = 2.

Observation: The proposed nested vector-sensor array strategy outperforms the ULA with the same number of sensors. In addition, the tensor-based method outperforms the matrix-based method.

#### **EM Case III: Source Number Detection**



Fig. 3: Probability of detection versus SNR using a nested array with 6 EM vector sensors and ULAs with 6 and 12 EM vector sensors, T = 1000, K = 2.

Observation: We can see that the detection performance of all the three arrays improves with increasing SNRs. In addition, the nested array outperforms the corresponding ULA with same number of sensors and performs closer to the ULA with double number of sensors.



#### **Summary**

- Nested vector-sensor array processing via tensor modeling
  - We established the analytical foundation of the nested vector-sensor array by exploiting multilinear algebra
  - We constructed corresponding signal processing strategies, and verified their effectiveness through numerical examples.

### Reference

#### • Journal Papers

1. K. Han and A. Nehorai, "Nested vector-sensor array processing via tensor modeling," to appear in *IEEE Trans. on Signal Processing*.

#### • Conference Papers

 K. Han and A. Nehorai, "Direction of arrival estimation using nested vector-sensor arrays via tensor modeling," *Proc. 8th IEEE Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing (SAM) Workshop*, A Coruña, Spain, Jun. 22-25, 2014 (invited).