Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

ARL	-TN-225

August 2004

Feasibility of Anodic Coatings on Al₂O₃ Fiber-Reinforced Aluminum Metal Matrix Composites for Wear and Corrosion Resistance

John V. Kelley Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

ADM: repeting funds the the collection of information accounce of a conserver, mentating, automatication, and accounce of a marking the bind conserver, mentating, automatication, and accounce of a conserver, mentating, automatication, and accounce of a conserver, mentating, automatication, and accounce of a conserver, mentating, and accounce, and accounce of a conserver, mentation, and aconserver, mentation, and accounc	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
August 2004 Final 2003 4 TTLE AND SUBTILE Sa. CONTRACT NUMBER Feasibility of Anodic Coatings on AL-O, Fiber-Reinforced Aluminum Metal Matrix Sa. CONTRACT NUMBER Composites for Wear and Corrosion Resistance Sc. PROCRAM ELEMENT NUMBER Sa. GRANT NUMBER Sc. PROCRAM ELEMENT NUMBER Sa. GRANT NUMBER Sc. PROCRAM ELEMENT NUMBER Sa. WORK UNIT NUMBER Sc. PROCRAM ELEMENT NUMBER Sa. WORK UNIT NUMBER Sc. WORK UNIT NUMBER Sa. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) II. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) Sa. SPONSORINGMONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) III. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) Sa. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES IIII. SPONSOR/	and maintaining the dat including suggestions for Davis Highway, Suite 1 comply with a collection	a needed, and completing or reducing the burden, to 204, Arlington, VA 22202-4 1 of information if it does no	and reviewing the collectic Department of Defense, V 4302. Respondents should ot display a currently valid	n information. Send con Vashington Headquarter: be aware that notwithst. OMB control number.	nments regarding this bu s Services. Directorate fo	rden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, r Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188) 1215 Information
August 2004 Final 2003 4. TITLE AND SUBTILE 56. CONTRACT NUMBER Feasibility of Anodic Coatings on Al ₂ O ₂ Fiber-Reinforced Aluminum Metal Matrix 56. GRANT NUMBER Composites for Wear and Corrosion Resistance 56. GRANT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(5) 56. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 1. John V. Kelley 56. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(5) 56. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 58. PROJECT NUMBER 0. S. Army Research Laboratory 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(E5) 0. S. Army Research Laboratory ATLL: TN-225 ADDRESD RALE-WM-MC ARL-TN-225 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACCONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACCONYM(S) 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACCONYM(S) 14. ABSTRACT ALUMINUM and alloys, little can be found about the coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of another corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber matrix and does not provide adequate corrosion re	1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
4. THE AND SUBTITLE Sa. CONTRACT NUMBER Feasibility of Anodic Coatings on ALO, Fiber-Reinforced Aluminum Metal Matrix So. GRANT NUMBER Feasibility of Anodic Coatings on ALO, Fiber-Reinforced Aluminum Metal Matrix So. GRANT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(5) Sd. PROJECT NUMBER John V. Kelley Sd. PROJECT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) St. WORK UNIT NUMBER U.S. Army Research Laboratory ARL-TN-225 ADTHOR, AMSD-ARL-WM-MC ARL-TN-225 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) 9. SPONSOR/MONITOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosions suel as wear protection on autoinhum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of andoized coatings on aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide ademinum alloys. While there is a wealth or available about the effectiveness of andoized coatings on aluminum anodicites acoating on aluminum matrix composites. Meta	August 2004		Final			
Feasibility of Anodic Coatings on Al-O1 Fiber-Reinforced Aluminum Metal Matrix International Control Content on Decemposities for Wear and Corrosion Resistance So. GRANT NUMBER So. AUTHOR(6) John V. Kelley So. FROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER So. TASK NUMBER So. FACE TUMBER So. TASK NUMBER So. TASK NUMBER So. Task Number Number ARL-TN-225 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 Ito. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) So. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Ito. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) So. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Ito. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) So. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND	-					
Composites for Wear and Corrosion Resistance So. GRANT NUMBER So. AUTHOR(5) So. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER John V. Kelley So. TASK NUMBER So. TASK NUMBER So. TASK NUMBER ACT TO VIDER ARL-TN-225 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 In SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) So. SPONSOR/MONITORIG AGENCY NAME(5) AND ADDRESS(ES) In SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) So. SUPLEMENTARY NOTES In SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(5) So. SUPLEMENTARY NOTES In SUPLEMENTARY NOTES IA ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth or information available about the effectiveness of anodized coa				C 1.4.1 .		Sa. CONTRACT NUMBER
AUTHOR(S) John V. Kelley Supervised and a set of the				forced Aluminui	n Metal Matrix	5b. GRANT NUMBER
John V. Kelley 622618.H80 5. TASK NUMBER 5. WORK UNIT NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER MSRD-ARL-WM-MC ARL-TN-225 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 10. SPONSORING/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum malloys, ittle can be found about the coatings' effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anotized coating coatings' matter corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosisen protectiod aluminum matrix composite. Th						5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
John V. Kelley 622618.H80 5. TASK NUMBER 5. TASK NUMBER 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-MC Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 ARL-TN-225 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum malloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of and/ized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. It can be out about the coatings' effectiveness of anotyzed so andized coating so an aluminum and aluminum alloys. There we challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion protects. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion protects. Fiber "pull-out" was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₂) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are pre	6. AUTHOR(S)		_			5d. PROJECT NUMBER
5c. TASK NUMBER 57. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 5. WORK UNIT NUMBER 12. S. Army Research Laboratory 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-MC ARL-TN-225 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 13. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on andurized coating on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coating' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodizat	John V. Kellev					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER U.S. Army Research Laboratory 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ATTN: AMSRD-ARLWM-MC ARL-TN-225 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 3. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion process. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al-Q.) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 16	John V. Reney					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-MC Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 ARL-TN-225 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(\$) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S AGENCY NAME(\$) AND ADDRESS(E\$) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(\$) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites. Metal matrix composites. Metal matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 18. SECURI						Je. TASK NUMBER
U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-MC ARL-TN-225 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. Utile can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al-Q.) fiber-reinfored aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly						5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-MC ARL-TN-225 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 9. SPONSOR/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. Utile can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al-Q.) fiber-reinfored aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly	7. PERFORMING OF	RGANIZATION NAME	S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		
ATTN: AMSRD-ARL-WM-MC Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 ARL-TN-225 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fibe "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion process. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS Interference 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF ABSTRACT 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly 19b. RELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)				,		
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069 10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. The biper 'pull-out'' can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion protect of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE						ADI TNI 225
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion protects. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS 17. LIMITATION OF: 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly			1005 50(0			ARL-1N-225
11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly	Aberdeen Provin	ng Ground, MD 2	1005-5069			
NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion protects. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER (Include area code) 15. SUBJECT TERMS 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)	9. SPONSORING/M	DNITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRI	ESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anolized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anolized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. Ittle can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE	12. DISTRIBUTION/	AVAILABILITY STATE	MENT			
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE	Approved for pu	blic release; distri	ibution is unlimite	d.		
14. ABSTRACT Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE						
Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are protected by a native oxide film that is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance. For this reason, anodized coatings are often used for corrosion as well as wear protection on aluminum alloys. While there is a wealth of information available about the effectiveness of anodized coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the coatings' effectiveness on aluminum matrix composites. Metal matrix composites, in general, offer new challenges in corrosion protection. Depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the fiber/matrix interface that could accelerate the corrosion process. Fiber "pull-out" can also affect the surface by leaving behind crevices that can also lead to preferential corrosion sites. The object of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃) fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite. The corrosion and wear properties are presented and compared with an anodized monolithic baseline. 15. SUBJECT TERMS MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 18. NUMBER OF PAGES	13. OUT FEEMENTA	KT NOTES				
MMC, anodic coatings, NEXTEL, anodization 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)	Aluminum and i adequate corrosi aluminum alloys and aluminum a composites, in g occur at the fibe leaving behind c effectiveness of	on resistance. For s. While there is a lloys, little can be eneral, offer new r/matrix interface revices that can al a type III anodic h	r this reason, anod wealth of informa- found about the c challenges in corre- that could acceler- lso lead to preferen- nardcoat on a 3M 1	lized coatings are ation available al oatings' effective osion protection. ate the corrosion ntial corrosion si NEXTEL (Al ₂ O ₃	e often used for c pout the effective eness on aluminu Depending on t process. Fiber " tes. The object c) fiber-reinforced	corrosion as well as wear protection on eness of anodized coatings on aluminum um matrix composites. Metal matrix he fiber material, galvanic reactions can 'pull-out'' can also affect the surface by of this study was to investigate the d aluminum matrix composite. The
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT 18. NUMBER OF PAGES 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON John V. Kelly a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: OF ABSTRACT OF PAGES John V. Kelly a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE OF PAGES	MMC, anodic co	patings, NEXTEL	, anodization			
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT c. THIS PAGE 19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)						
	a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			
	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UL	22	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

Contents

Li	List of Figures					
Lis	List of Tables					
Ac	knowledgments	v				
1.	Introduction	1				
2.	Experimental Procedure	2				
	2.1 Specimen Preparation	2				
	2.2 Electrochemical Evaluation	3				
	2.3 Abrasion Resistance	4				
	2.4 Accelerated Corrosion Testing	4				
3.	Results and Discussion	5				
4.	Summary and Conclusions	10				
5.	References	11				
Dis	stribution List	12				

List of Figures

Figure 1. An accepted schematic of an anodic film structure derived from metallographic investigation (5).	2
Figure 2. Specimen geometry of both monolithic and NEXTEL MMC coupons	2
Figure 3. Electrochemical corrosion cell configuration used for alternating current impedance measurements.	4
Figure 4. Ball-on-disc tribometer test apparatus which also includes a PC for data acquisition.	5
Figure 5. Low-frequency impedance vs. exposure time in 0.5-N NaCl.	6
Figure 6. A comparison of three treatment scenarios for each substrate material after 336 hr of B117 salt fog exposure.	6
Figure 7. B117 exposure results of the IVD aluminum-coated, anodized, and sealed MMC samples	7
Figure 8. Comparison of Al 6061 vs. MMC over a range of salt fog exposure. The 336-hr duration represents the minimum requirement of MIL-A-8625F	7
Figure 9. Cross section of type III anodized and HWS: (a) monolithic 6061 aluminum alloy and (b) aluminum matrix composite substrate	8
Figure 10. Aluminum matrix composite substrate with a precoating of IVD aluminum then type III anodized and hot water sealed.	8
Figure 11. Depth of groove measurements from 1000-m distance of ball-on-disc wear testing. Refer to table 1 for sample preparation and identification	9

List of Tables

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank several people for their technical contribution: Dr. Constantine Fountzoulas, who painstakingly performed the ball-on-disc tests; Mr. Paul Huang, who performed profilometry measurements; and Mr. Cliff Hubbard, who helped with the scanning electron microscopy. Consultations with Dr. Ernest Chin aided in the overall understanding of metal matrix composite structures. Finally, the author would like to thank Dr. Christopher Hoppel for the opportunity to contribute in this way.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. Introduction

The U.S. Army is continually seeking to lighten its force in an effort to increase mobility, deployability, and lethality. For this reason, the potential use of metal matrix composites (MMCs), specifically aluminum matrix fiber-reinforced composites, is coming more to the forefront. Fiber reinforcement of aluminum alloys offers a higher strength-to-weight ratio, stiffness, and modulus (1), making them ideal candidates for many U.S. Army applications. One such application is for use in advanced artillery projectiles. MMCs are being looked at for shell bodies that are 50% lighter than steel shells, allowing more payload to be carried for a given weight and volume (2). But MMCs can introduce new challenges in field applications. Corrosion protection is a prominent issue with many of the U.S. Army's systems, and replacing monolithic materials with MMCs can complicate matters further. For example, depending on the fiber material, galvanic reactions can occur at the interface of the two materials which would accelerate the corrosion process. Also, fiber "pull-out" at the surface will leave behind a void or (crevice) that also creates a region of preferential corrosion. Therefore, a coating is usually necessary for corrosion protection. Currently, the U.S. Army requires a type III hardcoat anodizing per MIL-A-8625F (3) on all aluminum ordnance shells.

The two primary objects of this study are as follows: (1) To investigate the effectiveness of a type III anodic hardcoat on a 3M NEXTEL fiber-reinforced aluminum matrix composite relative to the baseline type III anodic hardcoat on monolithic aluminum 6061 and (2) to determine if an aluminum-rich outer layer is necessary to form an effective anodic coating. Aluminum and its alloys exposed to air are already protected by a native oxide film. However, this layer is heterogeneous in nature and does not provide adequate corrosion resistance (4). Anodizing can be viewed as a deliberate controlled corrosion of an aluminum surface to create (grow) a uniform, continuous protective oxide film (5). This can be achieved using several types of electrolyte baths including chromic and sulfuric acid. Type III coatings are generally thought of as wear-resistant coatings. However, the corrosion resistance can be enhanced by using various coatings and sealers. While there is an abundance of available information about the effectiveness of anodic coatings on aluminum and aluminum alloys, little can be found about the process and effectiveness of anodic coatings on aluminum matrix composites.

What is known is that, on monolithic aluminum, an anodic coating consists of a thin continuous barrier layer beneath a thicker porous columnar-structured layer. This porous layer has been characterized elsewhere (5, 6) as a closed packed array of columnar hexagonal cells that contain a central pore. The columns are oriented normal to the substrate surface (figure 1). The concern is whether an anodic coating as described can adequately be formed on the surface of an aluminum matrix composite. And if not, will the anodization of the exposed matrix material be enough to provide adequate corrosion and wear protection to satisfy the specification?



Figure 1. An accepted schematic of an anodic film structure derived from metallographic investigation (5).

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1 Specimen Preparation

All substrate material was supplied by 3M Corporation. MMC coupons were manufactured using 3M proprietary NEXTEL fibers. Because of the cost of the MMC material, it was purchased in limited supply. Thus, to maximize the amount of samples available for testing, they were machined by 3M to the geometry shown in figure 2. Five samples of each were prepared as listed in table 1. Monolithic aluminum 6061 matrix material samples were also supplied by 3M and included in the test matrix as the anodized baseline comparison.



Figure 2. Specimen geometry of both monolithic and NEXTEL MMC coupons.

Description of Data Set Identifications					
Data Set ID	Substrate Material	Anodization Treatment			
A1 DT3	Monolithic aluminum 6061	Deoxidized/type III/HWS			
A1 NT	Monolithic aluminum 6061	No treatment			
A1 DT3	Monolithic aluminum 6061	Deoxidized/type III/no seal			
MMC DT3s	MMC	Deoxidized/type III/HWS			
MMC IDT3	MMC	IVD coated/deoxidized/type III/no seal			
MMC IDT3s	MMC	IVD coated/deoxidized/type III/HWS			
MMC T3s	MMC	Type III/HWS			
MMC IVD	MMC	IVD only			
MMC DT3	MMC	Deoxidized/type III/no seal			
MMC T3	MMC	Type III/no seal			
MMC NT	MMC	No treatment			

Table 1. List of data set identification along with the treatment conditions tested.

Notes: HWS = hot water seal, and IVD = ion vapor deposition.

Some MMC coupons were coated with a thin layer of pure aluminum using an IVD process. The IVD was a class I, deposited at 1/4 A and 600–700 V. Four passes were made for a final thickness of ~0.0005 in. Anodized samples were prepared according to MIL-A-8625F using a sulfuric acid anodizing process and type III hardcoat anodized to an average thickness of

~0.002 in. The anodizing is a four-step process that includes (1) degreasing, (2) deoxidizing, (3) anodizing, and (4) sealing. First, all coupons were degreased using acetone. Then, to remove the native oxide layer on the coupons, deoxidizing was performed at room temperature using an ISO McDermot solution (7). The anodizing was done as a type III in sulfuric acid, starting at 0 V, and ramping up to 24–26 V over 3 min. The deinonized HWS was performed at 95 °F for 15 min.

2.2 Electrochemical Evaluation

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was employed to measure coating integrity over time. The apparatus used consisted of a Princeton Applied Research (PAR) Model 283 potentiostat, a Schlumberger Model 1255 frequency response analyzer (FRA), and a Panasonic Model CF-71 laptop computer. Periodic measurements were taken at 0, 24, 48, 72, 144, 168, 336, 504, 720, and 1008 hr of continuous exposure using the cell configuration illustrated in figure 3. The cell was filled with 25 mL of 0.5-N NaCl solution and allowed to equilibrate for at least 3 hr prior to taking measurements. The software package Zplot was used for data acquisition, and Zview for data analysis. Measurements were taken at the corrosion potential of the sample over the frequency range of 100 kHz–0.01Hz. The single sine technique was utilized, with an applied amplitude of 5 mV.

The EIS data were plotted and evaluated in Bode and Nyquist formats. The Bode formats display the magnitude (log |Z|) and phase angle (θ) of the impedance as a function of applied frequency



Figure 3. Electrochemical corrosion cell configuration used for alternating current impedance measurements.

(log f). The total impedance of the specimen, defined as the log |Z| value at 10 mHz in the Bode magnitude plot, was also plotted as a function of exposure time for comparison.

2.3 Abrasion Resistance

The relative wear resistance of each specimen was measured using the ball-on-disc technique. The system consisted of an Implant Sciences Corp ISC-200 tribometer and a computer interface data acquisition unit, PC-Stripchart, which is a computer-based chart recorder used to display and store data in real time.

The samples were mounted on the top of a rotating platform of the tribometer (figure 4). A 0.5-in stainless steel ball is attached to a precision balanced lever arm and is used to both apply vertical loads to the ball and to read the friction force on the pin. The ball is put in contact with the surface of the sample, and a load is applied. To measure the friction coefficient of the sample, the load was set to 100 g (a load of ~ 1 N). The sample is then rotated, and the total distance the steel ball travels on the sample is set. In this case, the total distance traveled was 1000 m. All the friction measurements were conducted dry (without lubrication). Finally, the groove depth was measured using a Taylor-Hobson laser profilometer.

2.4 Accelerated Corrosion Testing

Salt fog testing in accordance with ASTM B 117 (8) was used to evaluate the corrosion resistance of the anodized coatings. The samples were placed into the salt fog chamber for a



Figure 4. Ball-on-disc tribometer test apparatus which also includes a PC for data acquisition.

total duration of 1100 hr. The samples were periodically removed for observation and were photographed at 24-, 48-, 144-, 216-, 336-, 504-, 811-, 1100-hr intervals.

3. Results and Discussion

EIS was used to measure the dielectric response of the coating as it degraded over the 30 days of immersion in 0.5-N sodium chloride (NaCl) solution. A total of eight low-frequency impedance measurements were recorded for each sample over the 30 days and plotted as a function of exposure time in figure 5. As expected, both the sealed and unsealed anodized monolithic 6061 maintained the highest impedance values throughout the 30 days exposure. In fact, all samples with the exception of bare aluminum (Al NT) remained relatively flat with no significant change in impedance. However, figure 5 shows little evidence that a sealed anodized coating provides better wet electrical resistance than unsealed on MMC. This is likely because of the surface roughness and protruding fibers present at the surface of the samples that cause pathways for the electrolyte to penetrate the coating.

Evidence of the corrosion performance can be seen in the results of the ASTM B117 salt fog testing (figures 6–8). The minimum required exposure to ASTM B117 salt fog chamber, according to MIL-A-8625, is 336 hr. It is evident in figure 8 that the baseline, Al 6061 type III HWS, reacted as expected, outperforming all others beyond the 336-hr minimum. However, the MMC type III HWS was adequately corrosion resistant up to 336 hr of B117 exposure. Beyond that, significant degradation is observed. Figure 7 shows the results at 1000 hr. Figure 7 illustrates the effects of three different scenarios on each of the substrate materials at 336 hr of B117 salt fog exposure. Here, it can be seen that the anodic coating alone provided some corrosion protection to the MMC. By including an HWS, the corrosion resistance of the anodic coating is enhanced further.



Figure 5. Low-frequency impedance vs. exposure time in 0.5-N NaCl.



Figure 6. A comparison of three treatment scenarios for each substrate material after 336 hr of B117 salt fog exposure.



Figure 7. B117 exposure results of the IVD aluminum-coated, anodized, and sealed MMC samples.



Figure 8. Comparison of Al 6061 vs. MMC over a range of salt fog exposure. The 336-hr duration represents the minimum requirement of MIL-A-8625F.

As mentioned earlier, a thin coating of aluminum, though porous, provided some corrosion protection for the MMC samples. Figure 7 illustrates the level of corrosion protection provided by the IVD aluminum, anodized, and sealed coating. When compared to the anodized and sealed MMC sample in figure 8, the IVD coated, anodized, and sealed performed comparably at 336 hr and considerably better at 1000 hr. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used in order to ascertain the quality of the coatings as well as measure the coating thicknesses. A comparison of the coated monolithic samples and the MMC samples is made in figures 9 and 10. As can be



Figure 9. Cross section of type III anodized and HWS: (a) monolithic 6061 aluminum alloy and (b) aluminum matrix composite substrate.



Figure 10. Aluminum matrix composite substrate with a precoating of IVD aluminum then type III anodized and hot water sealed.

seen in figure 9a, a relatively dense coating is achieved on the anodized monolithic sample. No gaps or voids were observed at the coating/substrate interface indicating that good adhesion was also probable on this sample. Although the thickness of the coating achieved, 0.0014 in (35 μ m), was somewhat less than the target thickness of 0.002 in (50.8 μ m), it is significantly thicker than what was realized on the MMC sample (figure 9b). It's likely that the NEXTEL fibers at or near the surface of the sample hindered the growth of the anodic coating on the MMC. The thickness of the anodic coating on bare MMC was on ~0.0002–0.0003 in (5–8 μ m) thick, nearly an order of magnitude less than the target thickness of 0.002 in. When the MMC is coated with a thin layer

of aluminum, it becomes a slightly better candidate for anodization. The process of IVD leaves behind a rather porous coating. This can clearly be seen in figure 10 where the porosity appears to be continuous in some places even after anodizing. The anodizing of the porous coating appears to penetrate beyond the aluminum coating and into parts of the aluminum matrix. When sealed, the anodized IVD becomes an adequately corrosion-resistant coating.

The relative abrasion resistance was measured using a ball-on-disc tribometer. The depth of groove for each coating scenario was recorded and presented in figure 11. Using the monolithic samples as a baseline, it can be seen that the bare sample suffered the most wear in this set. When anodized, a significant increase in wear resistance is achieved. However, sealing the anodic coating reduced the wear properties slightly. This was expected, as type III coatings typically are left unsealed when the main function of application is to obtain the maximum degree of abrasion or wear resistance (*3*). Sealing to improve the corrosion resistance will diminish the wear resistance slightly.



Figure 11. Depth of groove measurements from 1000-m distance of ball-on-disc wear testing. Refer to table 1 for sample preparation and identification.

No clear trend was observed for wear resistance of anodized MMC. Figure 11 shows that all MMC subjected to the ball-on-disc test performed poorly regardless of whether they were deoxidized prior to anodizing and/or sealed.

The IVD aluminum-coated MMC samples showed incremental improvements in wear resistance when anodized and sealed. Contrary to the monolithic samples, the IVD-coated, anodized, and

sealed samples displayed the best wear resistance of the group. This is likely because the ion vapor deposited aluminum is porous. When anodized, the coating is hardened, but the porosity still exists. By eliminating some of the porosity when the coating is sealed, the wear properties are slightly improved.

4. Summary and Conclusions

The anodic coating formed more uniformly on the monolithic 6061 substrate than on MMC.

- 1. A sealed anodic coating on MMC provided little corrosion protection beyond the minimum 336 hr of ASTM B 117 salt fog exposure.
- 2. The anodic coating did not provide improved abrasion resistance to the MMC. However, an aluminum-rich layer applied to the MMC prior to anodizing allowed a more continuous anodic coating to form, thus providing better abrasion protection.
- 3. Although porous, the anodized IVD aluminum interlayer provided improved corrosion resistance for the MMC samples which demonstrates that an aluminum-rich outer layer is recommended for MMC to achieve performance comparable to an anodic coating on monolithic material.

5. References

- Chunlin, H. E.; Changsheng, L. I. U.; Fengqin, L. I.; Qingkui, C. A. I. Corrosion Behavior and Protection Efficiency of 2024Al and SiC/2024Al Metal Matrix Composite; School of Materials Engineering, Northeastern University: Shenyang, China.
- Hoppel, C. P. R.; Beatty, J. H.; Montgomery, J. S.; Bender, J. M.; Bogetti, T. A. *Metal Matrix Composites for Ordnance Applications*; ARL-RP-22; U.S. Army Research Laboratory: Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 2001.
- 3. MIL-A-8625F. Anodic Coatings for Aluminum Alloys 1993.
- Snogan, F.; Blanc, C.; Mankowski, G.; Pebere, N. *Characterization of Sealed Anodic Layers* on 7050 T74 and 2214 T6 Aluminum Alloys; UMR CNRS 5085-ENSIACET; Centere Interuniversitaire De Recherche et d'Intgenierie des Materiaux, France. http://www.arofe.army.mil/Conferences/Recent_Abstract/200th_Meeting/symposia/d1b/ 0565.pdf (accessed November 2003).
- 5. Rudge, J. M.; Pomis, A. J. Anodic Oxide Film Formation Relating Mechanism to Composition and Structure; The C. J. Plating Company: Cicero, IL.
- Lin, S.; Greene, H.; Shih, H.; Mansfield, F. Corrosion Protection of Al/SiC Metal Matrix Composites by Anodizing. http://www.bodycote-nussbaum.de/pdfs/AnodicOxideFilm.pdf (accessed November 2003).
- 7. Garman, J. Naval Air Warfare Center, Cherry Point, SC. Teleconference and correspondence, April 2003.
- 8. ASTM B 117. Standard Method of Salt Spray (Fog) Testing. 1990.

NO. OF CO<u>PIES</u> ORGANIZATION

- 1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL
- (PDF INFORMATION CTR
- Only) DTIC OCA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218
 - 1 COMMANDING GENERAL US ARMY MATERIEL CMD AMCRDA TF 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
 - 1 INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN 3925 W BRAKER LN STE 400 AUSTIN TX 78759-5316
 - 1 US MILITARY ACADEMY MATH SCI CTR EXCELLENCE MADN MATH THAYER HALL WEST POINT NY 10996-1786
 - 1 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRD ARL CS IS R 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
 - 3 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRD ARL CI OK TL 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
 - 3 DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRD ARL CS IS T 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

1 DIR USARL AMSRD ARL CI OK TP (BLDG 4600) NO. OF COPIES ORGANIZATION

.

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

5 DIR USARL AMSRD ARL WM MC J KELLEY (3 CPS) AMSRD ARL WM MD C HOPPEL E CHIN INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.