

ARL-TR-7462 • SEP 2015



# **Optimization of a Circularly Polarized Patch Antenna for Two Frequency Bands**

by Jahin S Habib

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

#### NOTICES

#### Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

## ARL-TR-7462 • SEP 2015



# **Optimization of a Circularly Polarized Patch Antenna for Two Frequency Bands**

by Jahin S Habib Sensors and Electron Devices Directorate, ARL

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

	REPORT D	OCUMENTATIO	N PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden fu data needed, and complet burden, to Department of Respondents should be a valid OMB control number <b>PLEASE DO NOT F</b>	or this collection of informat ing and reviewing the collect Defense, Washington Head ware that notwithstanding a er <b>RETURN YOUR FORM</b>	tion is estimated to average 1 ht etion information Send commer quarters Services, Directorate fo ny other provision of law, no p M TO THE ABOVE ADD	our per response, including the ats regarding this burden estim or Information Operations and erson shall be subject to any p <b>RESS.</b>	e time for reviewing i nate or any other aspe I Reports (0704-0188 penalty for failing to	instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the bet of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the ), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302 comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently
1. REPORT DATE (L	DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
Sep 2015		Final			
4. TITLE AND SUBT	ITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
Optimization of	f a Circularly Pol	arized Patch Anten	na for Two Freque	ency Bands	
					5b. GRANT NUMBER
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PROJECT NUMBER
Janin S Hadib					5e. TASK NUMBER
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING O	RGANIZATION NAME	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
US Army Research	arch Laboratory				ADI TD 7460
2800 Powder M	GER-INI				AKL-1K-7402
Adelphi MD 2	0783-1138				
9. SPONSORING/N	IONITORING AGENCY	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRE	SS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
12. DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY STATE	MENT			
Approved for p	ublic release; dis	tribution is unlimite	ed.		
13. SUPPLEMENTA	RY NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT					
The objective of performance of different position	of this project is to a circularly polations on the surface	b gain a better unde rized microstrip pa e of the patch, analy	erstanding of the va tch antenna. These yzing assorted diel	arious techni e adjustments lectric materi	ques that can be used to improve the s include tuning the feed point along als with differing dielectric constants, and
optimizing the a the patch allow	axial ratio based s the designer to : rmance Using a l	on the geometry of improve the S11 ar	a circularly polari ad radiation pattern electric lowers the	ized patch. T n—a peak br	esting the feed point in various locations on oadside polar radiation plot is anticipated for
to shrink the size of the patch. Altering the patch geometry and optimizing the axial ratio by using a "notching" technique					
allows the desig	gner to choose fro	om multiple polariz	ation types. With	empirical sin	nulations via the High Frequency Structural
Simulator (HFS	SS), expanding th	is idea for future re	search will result	in producing	a scalable multiband microstrip antenna.
15. SUBJECT TERM	S				
Patch Antenna,	Circular Polariza	ation			
16. SECURITY CLAS	SIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER OF	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Gregory A Mitchell
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	ABSTRACT	PAGES	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	UU	36	301-394-2322

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

## Contents

List	ist of Figures		iv
List	of Ta	ables	v
Ack	nowl	ledgments	vii
Stu	dent	Bio	іх
1.	Intr	oduction/Background	1
	1.1	Frequency Ranges	1
	1.2	Basic Patch Antenna Design	1
	1.3	Dielectric Materials	2
	1.4	Circular Polarization	2
2.	Ехр	eriment and Calculations	5
	2.1	Patch on Rogers 5870	8
	2.2	Patch Rogers 6010	14
3.	Res	ults and Discussion	20
4.	Fut	ure Work	20
5.	References		
Dist	tribut	tion List	26

# List of Figures

Fig. 1	Linear polarization vs. CP
Fig. 2	SF = 0
Fig. 3	SF = 0.254
Fig. 4	SF = 0.754
Fig. 5	SF = 1.255
Fig. 6	Transverse plane (XY plane) of patch
Fig. 7	Normal (YZ) plane of patch antenna7
Fig. 8	HFSS patch antenna model, 3-dimensional view7
Fig. 9	Return loss for varying feed points in Rogers 5870. Best S11 curve corresponds to $Cy = 2 \text{ mm}$
Fig. 10	Probe 2 mm away from center position, which corresponds to a 9.26-GHz frequency
Fig. 11	Return loss for varying SF in Rogers 587011
Fig. 12	SF = 0.46 on Rogers 5870 substrate with feed point 2 mm from center position
Fig. 13	Axial ratio for the best SF—corresponds to 9.35 GHz with an optimal axial ratio of 1.11 dB
Fig. 14	Radiation plot at 9.35 GHz for optimal axial ratio. Boresight pattern with a 6.19-dB gain14
Fig. 15	Return loss for varying feed points in Rogers 6010. Best S11 curve corresponds to Cy=2.4 mm
Fig. 16	Probe 2.4 mm away from center position, which corresponds to a 2.83-GHz frequency
Fig. 17	Return loss for varying SF in Rogers 601017
Fig. 18	SF = 0.21 on Rogers 6010 substrate with feed point 2.4 mm from center position
Fig. 19	Rogers 6010 axial ratio for the best SF—corresponds to 2.83 GHz with an optimal axial ratio of 1.61 dB19
Fig. 20	Rogers 6010 radiation plot at 2.83 GHz for optimal axial ratio. Boresight pattern with a 4.62-dB gain20
Fig. 21	Transverse (XY) plane of the proposed dual-band antenna design21
Fig. 22	HFSS model of the dual-band antenna, 3-dimensional view22

# List of Tables

Table 1	Variable list for Rogers 5870	3
Table 2	Variable list for Rogers 6010	3

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my mentor, Gregory Mitchell, for his continuous support, motivation, and knowledge for my project this summer. I would also like to thank Theodore Anthony and Dr Amir Zaghloul for their countless hours of teaching and advice.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

### **Student Bio**

Jahin Habib is a rising senior at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (Virginia Tech), majoring in electrical engineering. She was able to research autonomous vehicles at Virginia Tech during the summer of 2013. Recently, she gained valuable hands-on experience at United Technologies Corporation as an electronics engineering intern during the summer of 2014. Working there greatly influenced her toward a path in electromagnetic fields.

Throughout her time at Virginia Tech, Habib has remained involved in a variety of organizations. She is currently a student ambassador for the Bradley Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering and will be serving as president for Virginia Tech's IEEE Student Branch this upcoming school year.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

## 1. Introduction/Background

Patch antennas gained popularity in the 1970s as advancements in size and performance became useful in communication systems. Compared to its predecessors, such as traditional parabolic reflectors and large-scale antennas, the flat shape and reduced weight of the patch antenna allowed for great flexibility in various space and airborne applications. The use of dielectric materials to further reduce the size of the antenna has allowed technological advancements in global positioning system (GPS) and other wireless devices.<sup>1</sup> Recently, studies on dualband antennas have emerged to eliminate the restriction on using just 1 frequency band. Empirical work via the High Frequency Structural Simulator (HFSS) has allowed engineers to create scalable multiband microstrip antennas. Several factors were taken into consideration when creating a dual-band antenna to ensure optimal performance in this study. These factors include optimizing the patch length, adjusting the feed point along the patch, varying the height of the dielectric substrates, and using a "notching" technique to incorporate circular polarization (CP).

### 1.1 Frequency Ranges

When comparing X- and S-band ranges, many differences can be noted as the 2 operate at special frequencies and have variations in their respective parameters. While the X-band operates at 8–12 GHz, the S-band operates at 2–4 GHz in radar engineering. Due to the shorter wavelengths, which, in turn, allow for high resolution imagery, the antennas operating at X-band are small and very portable. On the other hand, antennas operating at the S-band are larger in size. The motivation behind creating a dual-band antenna came from the idea of eliminating the restriction of picking just 1 frequency band, and instead, combining the 2 to form a single element.

### 1.2 Basic Patch Antenna Design

The patch antenna, also known as the microstrip antenna, is commonly used at frequencies greater than 1 GHz (microwave frequencies). The most common configurations of patch antennas are found in square, rectangular, or circular shapes made out of conductive material. They are approximately  $\lambda/2$  in size and placed a small fraction above the ground plane with dielectric material in between. The electrical half-wavelength length of the patch also includes the shortening effect of the material's dielectric constant ( $\varepsilon_r$ ), as follows

$$L = \frac{\lambda_0}{2\sqrt{\epsilon_r}}$$
(1)

These low volume and lightweight devices are highly advantageous as the flat structure permits a large aperture with a corresponding high gain value. Their 2-dimensional structure allows for manipulation to fit different applications.<sup>3</sup> Once it is tuned to the operating frequency, the patch will achieve maximum radiation efficiency, which is normal to the plane of the patch. A coaxial probe will be used in this study to feed the microstrip antenna; the inner conductor of the coaxial cable is shorted to the patch while the outer conductor is attached to the ground plane.

#### **1.3 Dielectric Materials**

As  $\varepsilon_r$  increases, the frequency at which the patch resonates at will decrease, and vice versa. Thinner substrates demonstrate benefits as they minimize excessive radiation and coupling due to the tightly bound fields.<sup>2</sup> In this study of the CP patch antenna, the X-band element will include a patch and ground plane separated by Rogers 5870 dielectric with  $\varepsilon_r$ =2.33. The S-band will include a patch and ground plane separated by Rogers 6010 dielectric with  $\varepsilon_r$ =10.2.

#### **1.4 Circular Polarization**

Antennas that are linearly polarized can transmit and receive linearly polarized signals. Consider a telephone pole that sends out and receives messages. In order for the receiving pole to obtain a vertically polarized signal, the transmitting pole must send out a signal that is vertically polarized. If the receiving pole is horizontally polarized and the transmitting pole is vertically polarized, the message will not convey. CP enables the use of both horizontal and vertical antennas for receiving, as the polarization continuously rotates during transmission. The CP wave does not experience any changes other than a change in direction in a rotational manner. In Fig. 1, we see that the electric-field vector produces a circle in the XY plane.



Fig. 1 Linear polarization vs. CP

The square and circular shaped patch antennas discussed thus far radiate linearly polarized waves. Modifications can be made on these elements to obtain CP. One of the methods include trimming the opposite corners of the patch, also known as the notching technique, to create diagonal resonances, which then leads to degeneration. As a result, this allows the antenna to radiate a CP wave. In this study, we used the following formula to solve for the notching value by applying a scaling factor (SF) to the patch.

Notching Value = 
$$\frac{L}{2}(1 - SF)$$
 (2)

In Figs. 2 through 5, it can be seen that as SF increases, the size of the notch at each corner increases as well.



Fig. 2 SF = 0



Fig. 3 SF = 0.25



**Fig. 4 SF** = 0.75



Fig. 5 SF = 1.25

# 2. Experiment and Calculations

For simulation purposes, the 2 patches with varying dielectric materials were tested separately for initial comparison. Figures 6 through 8 exhibit the variables used in this study to calculate the various antenna parameters. The coaxial probe, which acts as a feed point, is designed to have a 50- $\Omega$  impedance. The variable list is shown in Tables 1 and 2 for the S-band patch and the X-band patch, respectively.



Fig. 6 Transverse plane (XY plane) of patch







Fig. 8 HFSS patch antenna model, 3-dimensional view

Name	Description	Value/Unit
L	Length of Patch Antenna	10.5 mm
Cx	X-direction of coax	0
Су	Y-direction of coax	0
Cz	Z-direction of coax	0
Н	Height of dielectric	1.5 mm
NV	Notching Value	0

Table 1Variable list for Rogers 5870

Name	Description	Value/Unit
L	Length of Patch Antenna	16.5 mm
Cx	X-direction of coax	0
Су	Y-direction of coax	0
Cz	Z-direction of coax	0
h	Height of dielectric	2 mm
NV	Notching Value	0

Table 2Variable list for Rogers 6010

#### 2.1 Patch on Rogers 5870

\_

We wanted the X-band element to radiate at 9.35 GHz on the Rogers 5870 material. As a result, the patch length was calculated as follows

$$\lambda = \frac{c \text{ (Speed of Light)}}{f * (\sqrt{\epsilon_r \mu_r})} = \frac{3 * 10^8}{(9.35 * 10^9) * (\sqrt{2.33})} \approx 0.021 \text{ m};$$
$$L = \frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{0.021}{2} \approx 10.5 \text{ mm}$$

Table 1 displays the variables used to design the antenna to verify the HFSS simulation setup.

The feed point was swept from the center of the patch (0,0,0) to the outer edge (0,3.2,0) to locate the position at which the patch resonated best. As shown in Fig. 9, the S11 plot shows that the best curve corresponds to a 9.26-GHz frequency with a -25.71-dB null. This occurs when the probe is 2 mm away from the center position of the patch, as shown in Fig. 10.



Fig. 9 Return loss for varying feed points in Rogers 5870. Best S11 curve corresponds to Cy = 2 mm.



Fig. 10 Probe 2 mm away from center position, which corresponds to a 9.26-GHz frequency

Next, the notching technique was implemented by trimming opposite corners of the patch. A parameter sweep was performed on HFSS from a 0.36 to 0.51 SF. As shown in Fig. 11, the best S11 curve is found when the scaling factor was equal to 0.46 resulting in a notching value of 2.83 mm shown in Fig. 12.



Fig. 11 Return loss for varying SF in Rogers 5870



Fig. 12 SF = 0.46 on Rogers 5870 substrate with feed point 2 mm from center position

After finding the best SF to notch the patch, an axial ratio calculation was performed, as shown in Fig. 13. With the coax probe 2 mm away from the center of the patch, the best SF value of 0.46 corresponds to 9.35 GHz with an optimal axial ratio at 1.11 dB.



Fig. 13 Axial ratio for the best SF—corresponds to 9.35 GHz with an optimal axial ratio of 1.11 dB

Lastly, the realized gain was calculated to confirm the S11 curves and axial ratio results as shown in Fig. 14. The patch experienced a 6.19 dB peak realized gain to boresight at 9.35 GHz for optimal axial ratio.



Fig. 14 Radiation plot at 9.35 GHz for optimal axial ratio. Boresight pattern with a 6.19-dB gain.

#### 2.2 Patch Rogers 6010

We wanted the S-band element to radiate at 2.83 GHz. The calculations below show the length of the patch if the S-band patch was placed on the Rogers 5870:

$$\lambda = \frac{c \text{ (Speed of Light)}}{f * (\sqrt{\epsilon_r \mu_r})} = \frac{3 * 10^8}{(2.83 * 10^9) * (\sqrt{2.33})} \approx 0.069 \text{ m};$$
$$L = \frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{0.069}{2} \approx 34.7 \text{ mm}$$

We can see that the S-band patch shrinks by approximately 18.2 mm or 52.4% by placing it on the Rogers 6010 substrate instead per the calculations below:

$$\lambda = \frac{c \text{ (Speed of Light)}}{f * (\sqrt{\epsilon_r \mu_r})} = \frac{3 * 10^8}{(2.83 * 10^9) * (\sqrt{10.2})} \approx 0.033 \text{ m};$$
$$L = \frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{0.033}{2} \approx 16.5 \text{ mm}$$

The feed point was swept from the center of the patch (0,0,0) to the outer edge (0,7.2,0) to locate the position at which the patch resonated best. As shown in Fig. 15, the S11 plot shows that the best curve corresponds to a 2.83-GHz frequency with a -12.76-dB null. This occurs when the probe is 2.4 mm away from the center position of the patch, as shown in Fig. 16.



Fig. 15 Return loss for varying feed points in Rogers 6010. Best S11 curve corresponds to Cy=2.4 mm.



Fig. 16 Probe 2.4 mm away from center position, which corresponds to a 2.83-GHz frequency

As performed on the Rogers 5870, the notching technique was implemented by trimming the opposite corners of the patch. A parameter sweep was performed on HFSS of a 0.01 to a 1.11 SF. As shown in Fig. 17, the best S11 curve is found when SF was equal to 0.21 resulting in a notching value of 6.52 mm as shown in Fig. 18.



Fig. 17 Return loss for varying SF in Rogers 6010



Fig. 18 SF = 0.21 on Rogers 6010 substrate with feed point 2.4 mm from center position

After executing the axial ratio test as shown in Fig. 19, it was found that the SF=0.21 corresponds to 2.83 GHz with an optimal axial ratio of 1.61 dB.



Fig. 19 Rogers 6010 axial ratio for the best SF—corresponds to 2.83 GHz with an optimal axial ratio of 1.61 dB

Figure 20 exhibits that the patch containing the Rogers 6010 substrate experiences a 4.62-dB peak realized gain to boresight at 2.83 GHz for optimal axial ratio.



Fig. 20 Rogers 6010 radiation plot at 2.83 GHz for optimal axial ratio. Boresight pattern with a 4.62-dB gain.

## 3. Results and Discussion

We were able to effectively obtain an optimal impedance match, axial ratio, and realized gain for 2 antennas designed at 2 frequency bands on 2 different substrates. Testing the feed point in various locations on the patch allows the designer to improve the S11 and radiation pattern. The best return loss does not necessarily correspond to the best axial ratio, therefore, the engineer must account for the tradeoffs in S11, axial ratio, and the radiation pattern.

A dielectric with high permittivity lowers the resonant frequency of the patch, allowing the designer to shrink the size of the patch. We were able to shrink the size of the S-band patch by 52.4% due to placing it on a Rogers 6010 substrate instead of a Rogers 5870 substrate. Optimizing the axial ratio by using a "notching" technique allows the antenna to achieve CP with a single feed point.

### 4. Future Work

We wish to demonstrate that we can combine a high frequency and low frequency elements in the same antenna for dual-band performance. We believe that combining a high frequency and low frequency element in the same antenna for dual-band performance will be an agile, adaptable, and cost-effective solution for future US Army Research Laboratory (ARL) projects. Figures 21 and 22 show the envisioned geometry of such an antenna. This antenna would achieve both right-hand CP (RHCP) and left-hand CP (LHCP) at 2 frequency bands.



Fig. 21 Transverse (XY) plane of the proposed dual-band antenna design



Fig. 22 HFSS model of the dual-band antenna, 3-dimensional view

# 5. References

- 1. Breed G. The fundamentals of patch antenna design and performance. High Frequency Electronics and Patch Antennas, Summit Technical Media, LLC, 2009.
- 2. Balanis C. Microstrip antenna. Antenna Theory, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 2005.
- 3. Frenzel L. What's the difference between a dipole and a ground plane antenna? Electronic Design, July 2013. http://electronicdesign.com/wireless/ what-s-difference-between-dipole-and-ground-plane-antenna.

- 1 DEFENSE TECH INFO CTR
- (PDF) DTIC OCA
- 2 US ARMY RSRCH LAB
- (PDF) IMAL HRA MAIL & RECORDS MGMT RDRL CIO LL TECHL LIB
- 1 GOVT PRNTG OFC
- (PDF) A MALHOTRA
  - 5 US ARMY RSRCH LAB

(PDF) RDRL SER M G MITCHELL A ZAGHLOUL T ANTHONY S WEISS E ADLER