	REPORT	DOCUME		Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188					
gathering and maintai of information, includir 1215 Jefferson Davis Paperwork Reduction	ining the data needed, ng suggestions for rec Highway, Suite 1204, Project (0704-0188)	l, and completing and reducing this burden to Wa Arlington, VA 22202-43 Washington, DC 20503.	eviewing the collection of info ashington Headquarters Se 302, and to the Office of Ma	formation. Send comments ervice, Directorate for Inforr anagement and Budget,	regarding this				
1. REPORT DAT 04-2012	FE (DD-MM-YY)		PORT TYPE er of Military Stud	dies Research F	aper	3. DATES COVERED (From - To) September 2011 - April 2012			
4. TITLE AND S US ARMY E INFLATED R	VALUATIONS	S, A STUDY C	OF INACCURATE	E AND	5a. CON N/A	ITRACT NUMBER			
					<b>5b. GRA</b> N/A	ANT NUMBER			
					5c. PRO N/A	OGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S) Johnson, Sco	ott R. MAJ				5d. PRO N/A	DJECT NUMBER			
					5e. TAS N/A	KNUMBER			
					5f. WOR N/A	RK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMIN USMC Comn Marine Corps 2076 South S Quantico, VA	nand and Sta s University Street	aff College	ID ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER N/A			
9. SPONSORING N/A	g/monitoring	AGENCY NAME	E(S) AND ADDRESS	S(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) N/A			
						11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER N/A			
12. DISTRIBUTI Unlimited	ON AVAILABIL	ITY STATEMENT							
13. SUPPLEME N/A	NTARY NOTES								
15. SUBJECT T	ly of the inflat	tion and inaccu ER, Evaluatior		y evaluations an	id how th	hey affect Army promotions.			
16. SECURITY		N OF:	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER 1 OF PAGES 27		OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Corps University / Command and Staff College			
a. REPORT Unclass	b. ABSTRACT Unclass	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b> Unclass		1		P <b>ONE NUMBER (<i>Include area code</i>)</b> 84-3330 (Admin Office)			

**1. REPORT DATE.** Full publication date, including day, month, if available. Must cite at lest the year and be Year 2000 compliant, e.g., 30-06-1998; xx-08-1998; xx-xx-1998.

**2. REPORT TYPE**. State the type of report, such as final, technical, interim, memorandum, master's thesis, progress, quarterly, research, special, group study, etc.

**3. DATES COVERED**. Indicate the time during which the work was performed and the report was written, e.g., Jun 1997 - Jun 1998; 1-10 Jun 1996; May - Nov 1998; Nov 1998.

**4. TITLE.** Enter title and subtitle with volume number and part number, if applicable. On classified documents, enter the title classification in parentheses.

**5a. CONTRACT NUMBER**. Enter all contract numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. F33615-86-C-5169.

**5b. GRANT NUMBER.** Enter all grant numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 1F665702D1257.

**5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER.** Enter all program element numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. AFOSR-82-1234.

**5d. PROJECT NUMBER.** Enter al project numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 1F665702D1257; ILIR.

**5e. TASK NUMBER.** Enter all task numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 05; RF0330201; T4112.

**5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER.** Enter all work unit numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 001; AFAPL30480105.

**6. AUTHOR(S).** Enter name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. The form of entry is the last name, first name, middle initial, and additional qualifiers separated by commas, e.g. Smith, Richard, Jr.

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Self-explanatory. 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER. Enter all unique alphanumeric report numbers assigned by the performing organization, e.g. BRL-1234; AFWL-TR-85-4017-Vol-21-PT-2.

# 9. SPONSORING/MONITORS AGENCY

**NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES).** Enter the name and address of the organization(s) financially responsible for and monitoring the work.

# 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S).

Enter, if available, e.g. BRL, ARDEC, NADC.

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S). Enter report number as assigned

by the sponsoring/ monitoring agency, if available, e.g. BRL-TR-829; -215.

# 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY

**STATEMENT.** Use agency-mandated availability statements to indicate the public availability or distribution limitations of the report. If additional limitations/restrictions or special markings are indicated, follow agency authorization procedures, e.g. RD/FRD, PROPIN, ITAR, etc. Include copyright information.

# 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES. Enter

information not included elsewhere such as: prepared in cooperation with; translation of; report supersedes; old edition number, etc.

**14. ABSTRACT.** A brief (approximately 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information.

**15. SUBJECT TERMS.** Key words or phrases identifying major concepts in the report.

**16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION.** Enter security classification in accordance with security classification regulations, e.g. U, C, S, etc. If this form contains classified information, stamp classification level on the top and bottom of this page.

**17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT.** This block must be completed to assign a distribution limitation to the abstract. Enter UU (Unclassified Unlimited) or SAR (Same as Report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited.

United States Marine Corps Command and Staff College Marine Corps University 2076 South Street Marine Corps Combat Development Command Quantico, Virginia 22134-5068

# MASTER OF MILITARY STUDIES

# TITLE: US ARMY EVALUATIONS, A STUDY OF INACCURATE AND INFLATED REPORTING

# SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF MILITARY STUDIES

# AUTHOR: SCOTT R. JOHNSON MAJOR, UNITED STATES ARMY

# AY 11-12

Mentor and Oral Defense Committee Member:	<son, phd<="" th=""></son,>
Date:	
Oral Defense Committee Member: <u>Guis, Pauletta, M.D.</u> Approved: <u>Dauletta Cen</u>	
Date: 26 April 2012	

# DISCLAIMER

# THE OPINIONS AND CONCLUSIONS EXPRESSED HEREIN ARE THOSE OF THE INDIVIDUAL STUDENT AUTHOR AND DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT THE VIEWS OF EITHER THE MARINE CORPS COMMAND AND STAFF COLLEGE OR ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY. REFERENCES TO THIS STUDY SHOULD INCLUDE THE FOREGOING STATEMENT.

QUOTATION FROM, ABSTRACTION FROM, OR REPRODUCTION OF ALL OR ANY PART OF THIS DOCUMENT IS PERMITTED PROVIDED PROPER ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IS MADE.

ii

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Title: A Study of United States Army evaluations.

Author: Major Scott R. Johnson, USA, CG #8

**Thesis:** Army evaluations have become inflated and have become an ineffective measure of performance and potential for continued service.

**Discussion:** I conducted a review of army evaluations and how they relate to the changes the army has experienced over the last decade in response to the War on Terror. These changes have created tremendous growth to counter the need for more "boots on the ground" for longer durations. In response to this growth, and the growing demands on the individual leader, army evaluations have become over inflated in response to increasing demands for promotions. This inflation of evaluations has made them ineffective measures for potential for continued promotion and increased responsibility in the army.

**Conclusion:** Based on the findings, the current army evaluations leave too much room for inflated comments that do not inform centralized promotion boards with reliable and accurate information in determining potential for increased responsibility. The current evaluations should be revised to remove the abundance of inflated reports.

# Table of Contents

	Page
MMS COVER SHEET.	0
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	i
DISCLAIMER	ii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
PREFACE	1
1. ARMY EVALUATION FORMS Noncommissioned Evaluation Report Officer Evaluation Report	
2. THE CENTRALIZED PROMOTION SYSTEM NCOs Officers.	7
3. HISTORY OF INFLATION	11
4. ANALYSIS	. 15 . 17
5. CONCLUSIONS	20
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	23
BIBLIOGRAPHY	27

iv

# Preface

Today, given the United States' current conflict in Afghanistan and recent conflict in Iraq, the Army responded to demands to grow in size and structure in order to meet the demands for an enduring presence on the ground or "boots on the ground" in both theaters.

Throughout my research, I show that this sudden growth in the Army caused the current evaluation system to become overinflated, providing inaccurate evaluations of the individual leaders. I performed several interviews with Army Leaders, both Officer and Noncommissioned Officer in order to show from the leadership level, the demands placed on evaluations and their direct link to promotions. My research will show that guidelines for promotions have caused Leaders to inflated evaluations to meet these stringent promotion guidelines.

I would like to express my gratitude and acknowledge COL Andrew Poppas, COL Randy Harris, CSM Kevin Benson, and MSG Jonathan Martinez for their time and effort provided through interviews. I would like to acknowledge the leadership and mentorship that LtCol Shawn P. Callahan, US Marine Corps, provided me throughout the academic year. I also would like to acknowledge Dr. E.J. Erickson, LTC, US Army Retired, for his mentorship throughout the MMS process.

#### ARMY EVALUATION FORMS

Army Chief of Staff Gen. Ray Odierno said he believes "multidimensional feedback is an important component to holistic leader development."<sup>1</sup>

-- GEN. Raymond Odierno, Chief of Staff of the Army

Army evaluations have been used to evaluate both officer and noncommissioned officers for many years. These evaluations have gone through many revisions over the years in order to more accurately record the performance and potential for Army leaders. The pursuit for accurate reporting has been forefront of the Army in both the past and present. Listed below is a brief background of the two evaluation forms to provide a general understanding of the forms and how they differ between officers and noncommissioned officers.

The Noncommissioned Officer Evaluation Report (NCOER) has been used since the 1970s. Since its inception, the NCOER has undergone numerous changes to accurately report the performance and potential for Noncommissioned Officers (NCO). The most current version of the NCOER, October 2011, has gone virtually unchanged since the post Vietnam era.<sup>2</sup> The most notable changes were to make the form digital for ease of writing and submitting evaluations to the Department of the Army (DA).

In the current configuration, the NCOER has sections for a rater, senior rater, and a reviewer. The front of the form lists the administrative data, then goes on to list the principal duty title and military occupation skill (MOS) and the duty description of the rated NCO. Below the duty description, the Rater lists any areas of special emphasis and appointed duties such as key control, master gunner, etc. At the bottom of the front page, a list of army values are listed that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Michelle Tan, "Evals Latest Move to Root Out Toxic Leaders", Army Times, 09 October, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Headquarters Department of the Army. *Noncommissioned Officer Evaluation Reporting System*. AR 623-205. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. May 15, 2002.

are checked by the rater with a "yes" or "no". Below that, the rater can provide up to four bullet comments to support his view of the rated NCO as it pertains to army values. On the back, the rater provides bullet comments in five different sections; competence, physical fitness and military bearing, leadership, training, and responsibility and accountability. Within these sections, the rater provides a block check of "excellence", "success", or "needs improvement". The Rater then provides a final block check "among the best", "fully capable", or "marginal" before delivering the report to the Senior Rater.

The senior rater is the second line rating official. The senior rater must be in the direct line of supervision of the rated NCO and senior to the rater by either pay grade or date of rank. The Senior Raters primary role is that of evaluation, focusing on potential, responsible for overwatching the performance evaluation, and mentoring. The senior rater also obtains the rated NCO's signature once the evaluation has been completed. The Senior Rater provides bulletized comments with relation to performance, potential, promotion, and continued schooling (both civilian and military). "The Senior Rater uses his or her position and experience to evaluate the rated NCO from a broad organizational perspective. His or her evaluation is the link between the day-to-day observation of the rated NCO's performance by the rater and the longer-term evaluation of the rated NCO's potential by DA selection boards."<sup>3</sup>

The final portion of the NCOER is the Reviewing Officials examination of the evaluation. The reviewer is the third line rating official, and must be a commissioned officer, warrant officer, command sergeant major, or sergeant major in the direct line of supervision and senior in pay grade, grade of rank, or date of rank to the senior rater.<sup>2</sup> The reviewer examines the evaluation rendered by the rater and senior rater to ensure their comments (bullets) are clear, consistent,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Headquarters Department of the Army. *Noncommissioned Officer Evaluation Reporting System*. AR 623-205. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. May 15, 2002.

just, and in accordance with known facts. The reviewer takes special care to ensure the specific bullet comments support the appropriate excellence, success, or needs improvement ratings.<sup>2</sup> Once the reviewer has reviewed the evaluation in its entirety, and approves of the ratings, the reviewer will check the "concur" or "nonconcur" block, then place his (or her) signature on the front of the form after the form has been signed first by the rater, then the senior rater.

The current Officer Evaluation Report (OER) has been used since the 1980s with only a few minor modifications having to do with Senior Rater profiles. The OER is used by the chain of command (rating chain) to provide Department of the Army with performance and potential assessments of each rated officer (both commissioned and warrant).<sup>4</sup> The OER also provides evaluation information for use by successive members of the rating chain and emphasizes and reinforces professionalism, and supports the specialty focus of the Officer Personnel Management System (OPMS).

On the front of the OER, similar to the NCOER, is the administrative data. The rating chain is listed in sequence, rater, intermediate rater, and senior rater. The principle duty title and significant duties and responsibilities outline the rated officer's primary job description. Listed next are the army values with "yes" and "no" block checks. Just below is the leader attributes/skills/actions section where the rater is required to select "yes" or "no" and select six of these attributes that best define the rated officer.

The Rater completes section four on the back of the form. This section provides for the rater's evaluation of the rated officer's performance and potential. The rater compares the rated officer's performance and potential for promotion with that of his or her contemporaries or peers. The raters focus is on results achieved and the manner by which they were achieved.<sup>3</sup> The rater

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Headquarters Department of the Army. *Officer Evaluation Reporting System*. AR 623-105. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. April 1, 1998.

selects from "outstanding performance, must promote", "satisfactory performance, promote", "unsatisfactory performance, do no promote", or "other". The other block is typically used in the case of senior officers that work well at their current level and should be retained but do not necessarily show the potential for promotion to the next grade, or for officers in the grade of CW5. Also in section four, the rater comments on specific aspects of performance and potential. These comments are mandatory. As a minimum, the comments should address the key items mentioned in the duty description on the front of the form and objectives and contributions portions of the OER support form. Evaluation of potential consists of an assessment of the rated officer's ability to perform in positions of greater responsibility. Comments should be specific and address, as appropriate, the officer's potential for promotion, military and civilian schooling, specific assignment, and potential for command.<sup>5</sup> The rater will provide narrative comments indicating any unique skills/expertise which the rated officer possesses in section five. The rater should focus on identifying any ability of special value to the army which may not be evident in other areas of an officer's personnel file. This may include a detailed understanding of a particular technological application or expertise the rated officer possesses or an in depth understanding of a foreign culture. Finally, the rater will enter a recommended career field for all army competitive category captains (this allows senior raters to recommend job fields for captains that have not already been selected for promotion).

Section seven of the OER provides for the senior rater's evaluation of the rated officer's performance and potential and is intended to capitalize on the senior rater's additional experience, broad organizational perspective, and tendency to focus on the organizational requirements and actual performance results. To assist the senior rater, an OER support form is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Headquarters Department of the Army. *Officer Evaluation Reporting System*. AR 623-105. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. April 1, 1998.

used and is intended to supplement more traditional means such as personal observation, reports and records, etc.<sup>4</sup> In evaluating the whole officer, the senior rater may consider the fact that an officer is in a zone of consideration for promotion, command, or school selection. The senior rater's evaluation is made by comparing the rated officer's performance and potential with all other officers of the same grade the senior rater has rated or will rate. Based on the rated officer's duty performance, the senior rater assesses the rated officer's potential to perform duties and responsibilities at the next higher grade, compared with all other officers of the same grade and then selects "best qualified", "fully qualified", "do not promote", or "other". The "Other" box is for cases that do not fit the promotion recommendations that are given, for CW5s. The senior rater enters the total number of officers he currently senior rates in that grade. This information helps DA selection boards identify senior raters with small rating populations and help to weigh the report accordingly. Finally, the senior rater makes an assessment of the rated officer's overall potential in comparison with all other officers of the same grade the senior rater has senior rated or has currently in his or he senior rater population.<sup>6</sup> This potential is evaluated in terms of the majority of officers in the population. The senior rater may select "above center of mass", "center of mass", "below center of mass retain", or "below center of mass do not retain". In an effort to maintain a credible profile, the senior rater must have less than 50% of the ratings of a grade in the "above center of mass". The senior rater enters narrative comments that focus on the rated officer's potential and future assignments but may also address performance, or the evaluations of the rater and intermediate rater.<sup>5</sup> Based on the rated officer's duty performance and demonstrated potential, the Senior Rater will list three future assignments, focusing on the next three to five years for which the rated officer is best suited. The senior rater is overall

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Headquarters Department of the Army. *Officer Evaluation Reporting System*. AR 623-105. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. April 1, 1998.

responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the OER, and is responsible for obtaining the rated officers signature.

#### THE CENTRALIZED PROMOTION SYSTEM

"Plenty of men can do good work for a spurt and with immediate promotion in mind, but for promotion you want a man in whom good work has become a habit."

--Henry L. Doherty

The purpose of this section is to provide an understanding of the Army promotion system. The Army promotion system is very evaluation driven. Evaluations play a crucial role not only as a tool for promotion board members in the selection process, but can also create disparity across the spectrum for service members that are being reviewed for promotion. This section provides clarity on how the Army promotion system works and the effect of accurate evaluations on the promotion process.

Army promotions can be separated into three categories, centralized (DA), semi-centralized (unit level, E-5 and E-6), and decentralized (E-2 – E-4). For the purposes of this research, only centralized promotions will be discussed. The centralized promotion system applies to senior NCOs (E-7 – E-9), commissioned officers (O-3 – O-8), and warrant officers (CW3 – CW5). The process for each is virtually the same. The purpose of the centralized promotion system is to

evaluate each NCO or officer based on past performance and potential for continued service in the next higher grade. Each NCO or officer is compared with the rest of his peer group (year group) based on his or her own merit. The convening board members are briefed on the number of available promotions and the threshold for scoring each individual. The information available to the board members for scoring purposes is the individual NCO or officer's official military personnel file (OMPF). There is no face to face contact with board members and the individual being considered for promotion. This is generally (very simplified) how the process works.

The centralized promotion system has been in effect for promotion of enlisted soldiers since 1 January 1969 for sergeants major, 1 March 1969 for master sergeant, and 1 June 1970 for sergeant first class.<sup>7</sup> Each NCO must meet certain eligibility requirements in order to be considered for promotion. Soldiers must meet the announced date of rank (DOR) and basic active service date (BASD) requirements and other eligibility criteria prescribed by the Human Resource Command (HRC). The NCO must have at least years of service for sergeant first class (E-7), eight years of service for master sergeant (E-8), and ten years of total active federal service (AFS) to be considered for promotion.<sup>6</sup> The enlisted centralized promotion board is composed of at least five members. The board may be divided into two or more panels. Each panel will be composed of at least three voting members, including commissioned officers and senior NCOs. The president of each board will be a general officer. An officer will be appointed to each board to serve as a recorder without a vote. Female and minority members will be represented, if available. The selection board will recommend a specified number of soldiers by MOS from the zones of consideration who are the best qualified to meet the needs of the army.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Headquarters Department of the Army. *Enlisted Promotions and Reductions*. AR 600-8-19. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. April 30, 2010.

The total number selected for each MOS is the projected number the army needs to maintain its authorized-by-grade strength. Soldiers who are not selected for promotion will not be provided specific reasons for non-selection. Soldiers may consult the statistical analysis portion of the promotion list or they may write to the career professional development NCO of their respective branch for an analysis on how to enhance their careers and make themselves more competitive for promotion. No soldier may appear in person before a DA selection board on his or her own behalf, or in the interest of anyone being considered. Soldiers eligible for promotion consideration may write to the president of the promotion board to provide documents and information drawing attention to any matter concerning themselves that they feel is important to their consideration. Although written communication is authorized, it is only encouraged when there is something that is not provided in the soldier's records (OMPF) that the soldier feels will have an impact on the board's decision.<sup>8</sup>

The Secretary of the Army (SA) has the authority to convene selection boards to recommend officers for promotion to the next higher grade. These boards will select commissioned officers for promotion to captain, major, lieutenant colonel, colonel, brigadier general, and major general, and warrant officers (WOs) for promotion to chief warrant officer 3, chief warrant officer 4, and chief warrant officer 5. A separate selection board will convene for each competitive category and grade for commissioned officers, however, each board may be convened concurrently. The SA may establish competitive categories for promotion. Officers in the same competitive category compete among themselves for promotion. The Deputy Chief of Staff (DCS), G-1, with the approval of the SA, will publish a standing operating procedure

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Headquarters Department of the Army. *Enlisted Promotions and Reductions*. AR 600-8-19. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. April 30, 2010.

(SOP) to govern the administrative support for selection boards. "The SA, or the Secretary's designee, will conduct, at random, yearly interviews of board presidents, members, recorders, or administrative support staff to ensure that boards are being conducted according to applicable law. regulations. and guidelines."<sup>9</sup> Interviews are conducted for those boards considering officers for promotion to grades major through major general. The SA will review annually the content of administrative briefings provided to the selection board members to ensure that they do not alter the substantive guidance provided. Promotion selection boards will consist of at least five officers on the army active duty list (ADL). The SA will appoint one member of the selection board as president and will prescribe administrative duties for that officer to perform. A board president has no authority to constrain the board from recommending an officer for promotion who is fully qualified that the majority of the board members find best qualified to meet the needs of the army.<sup>10</sup> Each board member must be a major or above. Each board will include at least one officer from the competitive category under consideration. If no eligible officer is available from that category, the SA can appoint a retired officer from that competitive category to the board. No officer can serve on two successive selection boards for the same grade and competitive category. Selection boards considering commissioned officers who are serving in, or have served in, joint duty assignments require at least one officer, designated by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, who is currently serving in a joint duty assignment. For warrant officer selection boards, the SA may appoint warrant officers senior in grade to those under consideration as members of the board as long as one member is from the category being considered. "The DCS, G-1 will designate officers to serve as recorders for selection boards."9

x

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Headquarters Department of the Army. *Officer Promotions*. AR 600-8-29. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. February 35, 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Headquarters Department of the Army. *Officer Promotions*. AR 600-8-29. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. February 35, 2005.

At least one board recorder must be present during all board deliberations. Officers designated as board recorders must have completed in the previous 12 months a program of instruction approved by the SA including the duties and responsibilities of recorders. No officer can serve as a board member and a board recorder for the same selection board.

The Army centralized promotion system is a very detailed system. This section serves as a basic overview of the detailed process for the promotion selection of senior officers and noncommissioned officers. The Army has put a great deal of effort in creating an environment for board members to review potential candidates for promotion in an impartial setting. Evaluations are the most important factor in this process. Inflated evaluations do not provide board members with accurate information and can skew selection criteria for other potential candidates.

# **HISTORY OF INFLATION**

The U.S. Army evaluation system is the product of decades of research and development with multiple revisions. The importance of the report in managing critical selection decisions such as promotions directly impacting the careers of tens of thousands of the Army's leaders, both officer and NCO, has few equals in the private sector based upon its size, complexity, and application. It is not the intent of this study to review the entire history of the Army evaluation system, rather to review the history of inflation in Army evaluations and the Army's attempt to curb inflation.

It is important to understand the current report format in relation to the formats utilized in the past. Each report a leader receives is intended to provide useful information to a Department of Army centralized promotion board. The evaluation is in fact used as a message to communicate to the board members a recommendation of the performance and potential of the evaluated officer or NCO. Furthermore, the information placed in the report becomes the basis for making personnel management decisions on every aspect of a leader's career to include promotion, assignments, selection for advanced schooling, and retention on active duty.<sup>11</sup> The current OER and NCOER has received over fifteen revisions since World War I.<sup>12</sup> These revisions have been made to decrease the inflation and inaccurate information being provided to promotion board members. The purpose of these revisions has been to provide more useful, accurate, and equitable performance ratings throughout the Army.<sup>13</sup> Many of the revisions were caused by the inability of selection boards to discern a quantifiable difference in reports due to rating inflation.<sup>14</sup> Rating inflation occurs when an inordinately large population of officers are placed at the high end of a rating scale.<sup>15</sup> The control of inflation has been the major goal of revisions to Army evaluations in the past twenty-five years.<sup>16</sup> As an additional measure against inflation of OERs and NCOERs, the US Army Human Resources Command "HRC" keeps senior raters accountable by routinely informing them about their rating history and by keeping a profile of that history that is seen by selection boards.<sup>17</sup> The Army has also implemented a new box-check policy change that will apply to all OERs directed by George Piccirilli, chief of the evaluation,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Straffon, Nick. "Promotion Boards." Army Reserve Magazine (Winter, 1997): 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Army Times, October 9, 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> US Army, All Army Activity Message 26\_91

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> United States Total Army Personnel Command. *The New OER Briefing*.1 October 1997, n.p. On-line. Internet, 2 January 1998. Available from http://wwwperscom.army.mil/tagd/oers/brief/brief.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Hardy, Allan C. and Keith B. Harker. U.S. Army Officer Perceptions of the New OER.

Monterey, CA: Naval Postgraduate School, December, 1982.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> US Army, Military Personnel Message 07-072

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Army Times, October 1, 2011

selection and promotion division of HRC's Adjutant General Directorate.<sup>18</sup> The box-check also will provide additional information to selection boards at a time when the Army will be getting smaller and captain and major promotion selection rates are expected to drop from the high levels of the past 10 years.<sup>18</sup> Lieutenant General Bostick, the Army G1, said the new system is more realistic and lessens the occurrence of inflated comments.<sup>18</sup> Further efforts to rid the Army evaluation system of inflation have been made to replace the current Army evaluations with forms that "incorporate current doctrine, increase rater accountability, further stratify the senior rater profile technique, and include an interactive leader development tool in an effort to decrease evaluation inflation," Secretary of Army McHugh directed in his Sept. 15 implementing instructions.<sup>18</sup>

Previous versions of the Army's evaluations have attempted to decrease inflation such as in 1979 the Army released a new OER designed to provide information from the officer's rating chain that could be used to execute Department of the Army centralized personnel decisions. The notable difference in the release of this form as opposed to the numerous previous forms was the advertised emphasis on the report providing accurate and useful performance evaluation data and to decrease the occurrence of inflated evaluations to a centralized personnel promotion board.<sup>19</sup> This emphasis was different from previous editions that had placed the greatest weight on providing feedback to the rated officer. This feedback function of previous editions conflicted greatly with the needs of the Army's centralized promotion boards for objective evaluation data.<sup>19</sup> Although the evaluation report's most important function in terms of impact on the rated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Army Times, October 9, 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> United States Total Army Personnel Command. *The New OER Briefing*.1 October 1997, n.p. On-line. Internet, 2 January 1998. Available from http://wwwperscom.army.mil/tagd/oers/brief/brief.htm.

officer has always been the selection process this was the first time the Army overtly designed the form with centralized personnel management as the primary purpose.

One of the early evaluation forms 67-8, lasted over eighteen years, twelve years longer than the previous version, because it was designed to provide the proper information to its primary user, centralized selection boards.<sup>20</sup> Additionally, its successor, Form 67-9, contains only minor changes. The only significant change on Form 67-9 was not to the rating method, but only in how the senior rater manages his profile. The senior rater's ranking block was only modified in how it is used. The new Form 67-9 holds the senior rater accountable for his/her ratings. The success of the forced distribution rating system is clearly demonstrated by its long-term use. However, the Army continues to include a narrative evaluation in the OER that covers seventyfive percent of the form. Evidence from the analysis of selection board results indicates that the narrative evaluation sections of the OER are of little use to the boards and encourage inflated comments on performance and potential.<sup>20</sup> Additionally, the report contains only one objective measure of the rated individual that can be used by a selection board as a discriminator, the senior rater block check. As the single discriminator on the OER, it may carry more weight than intended.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> United States Total Army Personnel Command. PERSCOM Update, Fiscal Year 1997, 11.

# ANALYSIS

"Accuracy is the twin brother of honesty; inaccuracy, of dishonesty."

--Nathaniel Hawthorne

The NCOER form itself is not to blame for the inflation of NCO evaluations. The current evaluations have evolved to make it very difficult for board members to separate those records appearing before a centralized board. It is not the fault of the NCOER itself but the raters and senior raters writing the reports that are not ensuring that high ratings are quantified with quantitative comments as required by army regulation. A potential downfall of the form itself is that there is only one area for the senior rater to portray future potential. There is nowhere for the rater to express his or her feelings with reference to the rated NCOs future potential. In fact, by army regulation, only one bullet is required on the entire form to mention potential. Since the army selects NCOs based on their performance and potential to serve at positions of increased responsibility, it would make sense that both rater and senior rater would have the opportunity to expand on this area of emphasis.

There has been much debate over the functionality of the form itself and the bullet style comments. Some would argue the NCOER should be similar to the OER with paragraph style comments by both rater and senior rater. This has shown mixed feelings throughout the Army. In some situations, NCOs whose rater and senior rater posses better writing skills would have an advantage over their peers that have less experienced writers.<sup>21</sup> In this situation, an NCO with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Kevin R. Benson, Command Sergeant Major, Command Sergeant Major Training Command Fort Jackson.

less future potential could be selected for promotion at a centralized army promotion board over an NCO that has and will perform a lot better, but happened to have less literate rating chain.<sup>22</sup>

Another potential flaw with the NCOER is that no one is held accountable for numerical ratings. Unlike the OER, the NCOER does not require the senior rater to hold a senior rater profile in which the senior rater must track his or her ratings as it applies to his or her rated community. This way the rated NCO can be compared with his or her peers within that command. There is also no accountability to rank ordering, so every NCO within a certain rank, in the same command can potentially be rated in the top ten percent for example.

Another potential flaw with the NCOER is unclear definitions of the levels of performance. Currently, the form allows the rater and senior rater to select from either excellence, success, needs improvement (some), or needs improvement (much). These should be redefined or redesigned to read; superior, excellence, capable, needs improvement.<sup>21</sup>

Another possible cause for the inflation of NCO evaluations is due in part to "exasperated loyalty, especially in our less mature raters and senior raters."<sup>21</sup> "This becomes especially evident in units deployed to or just returning from a combat rotation. I call this Combat Loyalty."<sup>23</sup> "The army has taken away gates of performance that create automatic "excellence" or in my concept "superior" ratings. Really the only current automatic is the APFT (army physical fitness test) which I agree should remain as it is a measurable event."<sup>24</sup> The army no longer has system technical tests "STT" or skills qualification testing "SQT" that helped to clearly measure performance for NCOs at every level. Without this testing, there is no real measure or scalability outlined by the army for raters and senior raters to follow. Clearly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Jonathan R. Martinez, Master Sergeant, NCOIC Human Resources DIA.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Andrew P. Poppas, Colonel, US Army, Harvard Fellow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Kevin R. Benson, Command Sergeant Major, Command Sergeant Major Training Command Fort Jackson.

defining measures of performance would take away the guessing game for raters and senior raters and would decrease the disparity between commands.

Another area that can potentially cause inaccuracies is that there is no requirement by regulation for a senior NCO to be in the rating chain. Senior NCOs have a vast amount of experience with NCOERs and can ensure quality assurance/quality control is conducted. This can be tremendously helpful when deciphering additional duties and ensuring those additional duties are addressed and subsequently rolled up in the report, both good and bad.<sup>25</sup>

Officer evaluations have become inflated for various reasons. The form itself generally lends itself to accurate evaluation of the rated officer. One potential shortfall to the OER is that it is susceptible to a subtle nuance of key words that promotion board members can key in on. This is a major drawback to the paragraph style of the OER.<sup>26</sup> With the paragraph style, some say it is just filling space versus short concise bullet statements that do not lend themselves to subtleties. With this format, raters and senior raters have the ability to use subtle writing styles to clew promotion board members in on potential poor performers without having to directly address poor performance.

The wording in OERs is expected (by army regulation) to be justified with quantitative comments coupled with an enumeration. Some raters or senior raters will "side step" using enumeration and just rate performance with terms such as "phenomenal" or "outstanding" without ranking the rated officer against his or her peers.<sup>27</sup> Evaluations should clearly signify the worst performers along with the mediocre as well as the best. The paragraph format provides ample room for the rater and senior rater to expand in detail the performance and potential of the rated officer.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Kevin R. Benson, Command Sergeant Major, Command Sergeant Major Training Command Fort Jackson.
 <sup>26</sup> Andrew P. Poppas, Colonel, US Army, Harvard Fellow.
 <sup>27</sup> Randall L. Harris, Colonel, US Army, Deputy Commander Ops Group JRTC.

Another somewhat contentious topic within the OER is the senior rater's profile. The current OER requires all senior raters of CW3, CW4, MAJ, LTC, COL, and BG to maintain a senior rater profile. This profile tracks how many rated officers within each rank have been rated as above center of mass, center of mass, below center of mass retain, and below center of mass do not retain. The senior rater is limited to giving forty-nine percent of his officers in each grade an above center of mass. This keeps the rating honest, however, the written rating does not always justify the above center of mass. In the past, captains were included in the senior rater profile. It was thought that by ratings captains this way, it could limit future assignments or selections such as battalion command or brigade command.<sup>28</sup> With the drawdown of forces, the army needs to be able to distinguish who the best performers are.<sup>29</sup> The senior rater profile is one such way of identifying the top performers. Arguably this is tough to do, and can have consequences with loyalties within the command.

Within the OER, there is currently no system to track rank ordering. This is important in identifying the top performing officers within the command. Currently, there is nothing stopping a senior rater from potentially rating every officer he rates in the top ten percent. It is impossible for everyone to be in the top ten percent of officers that particular senior rater rates. There is a perception that if you are rated below the top fifteen percent, that this could potentially keep an officer from being selected for promotion. The potential short fall to keeping accountability of rank ordering is a small rating pool. This doesn't provide proper perspective as compared to a larger rating pool.<sup>27</sup> The worst in one rating pool might be much better than a sister units best.<sup>27</sup> For this reason, rank ordering should be clearly defined in the regulation to eliminate such disparity between commands. "If an officer is in the top 50 percent, you are competing against a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Andrew P. Poppas, Colonel, US Army, Harvard Fellow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Randall L. Harris, Colonel, US Army, Deputy Commander Ops Group JRTC.

pool of talent and this is a success threshold. Excellence should be held for the top ten percent."<sup>30</sup>

The inflation of OERs effects promotions and can be seen when leaders who want to take care of their own and want to ensure those working hard for them and doing well continue on in the military by inflating or embellishing evaluations.<sup>29</sup> With the reduction of formations (both soldiers and units), increasing the competitiveness of promotions, this can cause inflation to occur more often.<sup>31</sup> "It is the nature of the beast; leaders wanting to ensure their subordinates, who are competent, committed, and performed well, are promoted and continue on."<sup>29</sup> "Poor performers are easy and should be removed but that pool is the exception."<sup>32</sup> Inflation can be attributed to loyalty, first to the institution to ensure policing of our ranks to maintain the best, but also to your subordinates who have worked hard, met the requirements, and usually did more than was asked. Most raters and senior raters feel a responsibility to ensure top performers are recognized and can progress to meet their full potential.<sup>29</sup> This can potentially have a negative effect on army promotions by creating inaccuracies between different commands. This can skew selection criteria for the promotion board members and cause inequality in the promotion of both NCOs and officers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Andrew P. Poppas, Colonel, US Army, Harvard Fellow.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Randall L. Harris, Colonel, US Army, Deputy Commander Ops Group JRTC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Kevin R. Benson, Command Sergeant Major, Command Sergeant Major Training Command Fort Jackson.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Inflation of army evaluations is not a mono-causal effect and cannot be blamed on a singular problem. The current forms being used for NCOERs and OERs have a good foundation; however lend themselves to simple manipulation through wording by both raters and senior raters.<sup>33</sup> This can be minimized by clarifying and defining the criteria in the current army regulations. As it stands, the current regulations leave room for the use of ambiguous terms and comments. One way to solve this problem is to combine the current NCOER and OER formats and utilize short concise bullet type comments. This will minimize the use of ambiguous wording and will force raters and senior raters to justify ratings. Using the same form for both NCOs and officers will evaluate them along the same standards that are already required of professional soldiers and leaders in the army.

Another change that would be effective in minimizing inflation is to add a section that allows the rater to comment on the rated NCO or officers additional duties. Many times NCOs and officers work extensively along the lines of additional duties and do not receive an evaluation of their performance of those duties. This would also better portray the rated NCO or officer's performance of duty to promotion board members and aid in the fairness in the promotion selection process.

By changing and redefining success and creating new gates of performance, a more accurate picture of the rated NCO or officer can be portrayed. Redefining the term success and assigning percentages that correlate with certain gates of performance will create a standard across the army and alleviate discrepancies from one command to another. The block checks for both the rater and senior rater on the back of the current NCOER and OER should be changed to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Jonathan R. Martinez, Master Sergeant, NCOIC Human Resources DIA.

"superior", "excellence", "success", and "needs improvement".<sup>34</sup> Each of these should be assigned a numerical percentage; superior equals top ten percent, excellence equals top twentyfive percent, success equals top fifty percent, and needs improvement equals below fifty percent. The "superior" block should cause an automatic "above center of mass" block check for the senior rater profile (for those evaluations that require a senior rater profile). The additional three blocks do not need to be linked, as they do not need to be tracked. By making these changes and tracking "superior" and "above center of mass" selections, this will force raters and senior raters to more accurately rate their NCOs and officers and will hold them accountable for the ratings they give. This will also lessen disparity between separate commands throughout the Army and aid promotion board members in promotion selections.

The current senior rater profile should be changed to include not only captains, but master sergeants, sergeants major, and command sergeants major. By creating senior rater profiles for these senior NCOs and captains, this creates a standard to compare soldiers with peers and creates equality across the army by comparing these NCOs and officers with others in the same grade. This also acts as a forcing function in holding raters and senior raters accountable for the ratings they provide. This creates more work for senior raters in tracking these ratings, but will greatly increase and standardize ratings throughout the army. This change would decrease disparity for promotion board members as they compare peer groups for promotion selection.

Standardizing the NCOER and OER by evaluating both NCOs and officers along the same evaluation criteria using bulletized comments similar to the existing NCOER will greatly reduce inflation of army evaluations. By doing so, this reduces the ability to provide long written, ambiguous evaluations and forces short, concise, critical evaluations. This will dramatically increase the accuracy of army evaluations. Holding raters and senior raters accountable for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Kevin R. Benson, Command Sergeant Major, Command Sergeant Major Training Command Fort Jackson.

ratings they provide NCOs and officers, will also significantly decrease disparity in evaluations across the Army and aid in truly selecting qualified professionals for promotion.

22

6

p

	For use		VALUATIO e AR 623-3			agency is DCS, C	3 <b>-</b> 1.			SEE PI IN AR 6	RIVACY A	SE ONLY ( CT STATEN	1ENT <sup>°</sup>	
				PAR	Г I - АГ	MINISTRATIVE	DATA			.L				
a. NAME (Last, Fi	irst, Middle Initial)				b. S	SN	C.	RANK	······	d. DATE	OF RANK	e. PMO	SC	
.1. UNIT	ORG.	STATION	ZIP CO	DE OR A	PO	MAJOR COMMA	ND f2	STATUS	) CÓDE	a. REAS	ON FOR S	UBMISSIO	N	
	0110.	o minori	2. 00	02 010	. O,			) )	JODE	<b>9</b>			.,	•
h. PERIOI	D COVERED	i. RATED	j. NON-	k. NC		I. RATED NCO	'S EMAILA	DDRESS		m. UIC	L	n. CMD		PSB
FROM	THRU	MONTHS	RATED CODES	ENCI	-		(.gov or .n	nil)			1979	CODE	CO	DE
YEAR MONTH DAY	YEAR MONTH DAY													
			<u> </u>		DT 1	AUTHENTICAT	101	<u>, .</u>						
	ER (Last, First, Middle	Initial)		PA	SSN		SIGNATUI	₹ <u>Ε</u>			DATE (	YYYYMMD	D)	
		•						4 	-				•	
RANK	PMOSC/BRANCH		ORGAN	IZATION		DUT	YASSIGN	AENT :	RATER	'S AKO E	MAIL ADDI	RESS (.go	v. or .n	nii)
	OR RATER (Last, Fin	st Middle Initia	/)		SSN		SIGNATU	₹ <u></u>		di sina i		YYYYMMD	<u>bi</u>	
NAME OF GER		, maaio mina	<i>'</i>		0011			1	····	at stard	Diric (		0,	
RANK	PMOSC/BRANCH		ORGAN	ZATION		TUG	YASSIGN	MENT	SENIOR	RATER S	KO EMAIL	DDRESS	(.gov.	or .mll)
NAME OF DEV	EWED (Loot First M	ddio (nilial )			SSN		CIONATU	: 	ļ		DATE	AAA	<b>D</b> )	
INAME OF REVI	EWER (Last, First, Mi	uure mittel )					SIGNATUR	ν <b>Ξ</b>			UAPE (	ŶŶŶŶſſĬĬĬ	ט)	
RANK	PMOSC/BRANCH		ORGAN	NIZATION	1	 TUG	YASSIGN	IENT	REVIE	VER'S AK	O EMAIL A	DDRESS	(.gov.	or .mil)
								{						
CONCUR	WITH RATER AND S	ENIOR RATER	REVALUATIO	ONS		NONCONCUR	WITH RATE	R AND/OF	SENIOR	RATER E	VAL (Se	attached	comme	nts)
RATED NCO: 1 uni	derstand my signature do	es not constitute a	agreement or d	lisagreem	ent with t	he evaluations of	SIGNAT	URE		· •. • •		DATE (YY)	YMME	)D)
flicials in Part II, the ntries in Part IVc are	derstand my signature do ater. I further understand duty description to include correct. I have seen the	the counseling of completed report	dates in Part III. I am aware of	, and the /	APFT an als proc	d height/weight ess of AR 623-3.				·. · · · · ·			- -	
						Y DESCRIPTIO	N (Rater)					<u> </u>		
. PRINCIPAL DU	TY TITLE						b. DUTY	MOSC	. :				······································	
								• •						
AREAS OF SPE	ECIAL EMPHASIS					ч			•	· · ·	· · · ·			
								:						
	ITIES							<u>.</u>						
, ALLOINTED DC														
								-						
COUNSELING D	DATES			INITIA	L	ĽA	TER		LATEF	8		LATER		
		PAR	TIV - ARM	Y VALL	ES/AT	TRIBUTES/SKI	I I S/ACTI	ONS (F	Rater)					
ARMY VALUES	S. Check either "YES					ndatory. Substant		4		for "NO"	entries.)		YES	NO
			DYALTY: Bea	ars true f	aith and	allegiance to the	U. S. Cons	titution, the	Army, the	unit, and d	other Soldi	ers.		. [
`\ <i>\</i>	Loyalty	2. DI	UTY: Fulfilis	their obli	gations	······································					·. ··	······································	1	
V	Duty	3. RI	ESPECT/EO	/EEO: T	reats pe	eople as they sho	Id be treate	ed.		· ********	· · · ·			
۸	Respect Seifless-Serv					e welfare of the n			bordinates	s before th	eir own.	. 1		
A	oemess-oerv		ONOR: Lives			······································					- · · · · ·	,		
	1					it - legally and mo		V		1			+	
	L					es fear, danger, or		(physical	and more			1	┽┽╌┤	┽┼╌┼┥
		· · ·	comments	OUIVIGI	. rau	es lear, danger, or	adversity	(pitysical	anumora	<i>i</i> ).			<u>    </u>	
Honor Integrity - Personal Coura	E age	S			×		۰.				·.			
						<b>BEDITIONS ARE</b>		-						1 of 2

чн

ART V (Parlar) - VALUESINGO RESPONSIBILITES  Reversion as instances  COMPETENCE  COMPETENC	RATED NCO'S NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial)		SSN	THRU DATE
Dup and the manufacture of the second s	ART IV (Rater) - VALUES/NCO RESPONSIBILITI	ES Bullet comments are mandatory. Substantive bullet comments are required to	"EXCELLENCE" or "NEEDS IN	IPROVEMENT."
Society additional standing     Society additional standity additional     Society additional standing     Society additi	COMPETENCE o Duty proficiency; MOS competency o Technical & tactical; knowledge, skills, and			
CCELLENCE       SUCCESS       NEEDS IMPROVEMENT         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Previsious, FITNISS & MUTRAY BEARNS       APFT       HEIGHTWEIGHT         O Idealing and physical trughtess       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add       Consider add         Consider add       Consider add <td< td=""><td>o Sound judgment o Seeking self-improvement; always learning o Accomptishing tasks to the fullest capacity;</td><td></td><td><ul> <li>Alto Strage</li> <li>Alto Str</li></ul></td><td><ul> <li>A second contraction of the second secon second second sec</li></ul></td></td<>	o Sound judgment o Seeking self-improvement; always learning o Accomptishing tasks to the fullest capacity;		<ul> <li>Alto Strage</li> <li>Alto Str</li></ul>	<ul> <li>A second contraction of the second secon second second sec</li></ul>
A Media and Physical BuyDress     Enclanding and Physical BuyDress     Construct and Physical BuyDres     Construct and Physical BuyD	XCELLENCE SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEN			
Advalance Provesel textures as distances     Displaying configurations and semiplicity of the solutions and semiplicity of the	PHYSICAL FITNESS & MILITARY BEARING	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	HEIGHT/WEI	GHT J
CELLENCE SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEMENT Genes and Multiset and Some (Mucc) LEDARSHIP D Mission frait D enabling the spirit to achieve and win 0 S enabling the spirit to achieve and the spirit of the s	<ul> <li>Endurance and stamina to go the distance</li> <li>Displaying confidence and enthusiasm;</li> </ul>			
Netsion fred       Openuite concern for Soldiers         Desting the spirit to achieve and win 3       Setting the spirit to achieve and win 3         Setting the spirit to achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit to achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit to achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit to achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit to achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit to achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit of achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit to achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit of achieve and spirit and the spirit achieve and spirit achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit achieve and spirit achieve and spirit achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit achieve and spirit achieve and spirit achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit achieve and spirit achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit achieve and win 5       Setting the spirit achieve achieve and win 5         Setting the spirit achieve achieve achieve and the spirit achieve achieve achieve achieve achieve achieve achieve achieve achieve achie	CELLENCE SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEN cceeds std) (Meets std) (Some) (Muc	<b>AENT</b>		n an
D Institute the example; Be, Krow, Do D Exiting the example; Be, Krow, Do D Exiting the example; Be, Krow, Do D Exit ENCE SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEMENT Consider add (Control (Co	Mission first			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ceeds std)       (Meets std)       (Some)       (Much)         FRAINING       FRAINING       Formation of performance of entered         Individual and team       Individual and team       Individual and team         Mission focusely performance of entered       Formation and/or service       Formation and/or service         SetURE ROUGES SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEMENT       ESPONSIBILITY & ACCOUNTABILITY       Formation and/or service         Care and maintenance of equipment/scillities       Socieler and equipment setify       Conservation of tables and much wrong         EVELENCE SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEMENT       Estify formation and/or service       Formation and/or service       Formation and/or service         VIETER. Overall potential for promotion and/or service in product sets in serve the Ammy at his/her urrent or next higher grade. <ul> <li>SENIOR RATER. Overall potential for grade.</li> <li>SENIOR RATER. Overall potential for potential for grade.</li> <li>SENIOR RATER. Overall potential for potential for</li></ul>	Instilling the spirit to achieve and win	te de la companya de	<ul> <li>A second sec second second sec</li></ul>	
In Budding and team In Budding Soldiers how common tasks, Uty-related Skills Sharing Knowledge and experience to fight, Survive and Win ELLENCE SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEMENT ESPONSIBILITY & ACCOUNTABILITY Care and maintenance of equipment/facilities Soldier and equipment safety Conservation of supplies and funds Exprovement EXPRONENT Soldier and equipment for the facilities Soldier and equipment safety Conservation of soldies to beam and grow Responsible for good, bad, fight 8 wrong Exprovement EXPRONENT Soldier and equipment safety Conservation of greater responsibility.  Desting the full the facilities Soldier and equipment safety Conservation of greater responsibility.  Desting the full the facilities Soldier and equipment safety Soldier and equipment sa	CELLENCE SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEN ceeds std) (Meets std) (Some) (Much			
In Budkulai and team Mission focuses( performance oriented Teaching Soliders how common tasks, duty-related Skills Sharing knowledge and experience to fight, survive and win SELLENCE SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEMENT Sector Skills ESPONSIBILITY & ACCOUNTABILITY Care and maintenance of equipment/facilities Sociler and equipment safety Conservation of supples and funds Esponsible for good, bad, fight 8 wrong Responsible for good, bad, fight 8 wrong Responsible for good, bad, fight 8 wrong Responsible for good, bad, fight 8 wrong ELLENCE SUCCESS NEEDS IMPROVEMENT Sector Skill (Meets skill)  PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL  ATER. Overall potential for.promotion and/or.  PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL  ATER. Overall potential for.promotion and/or.  SENIOR RATER, Overall potential for.promotion and/or serve the Anny at his/her urrent or next higher grade.  SENIOR RATER. Overall potential.  SENIOR RATE				
Description       Subcession       NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (Some)       (Much)         ESPONSIBILITY & ACCOUNTABILITY       Care and maintenance of equipment/astilles       Solder and equipment astry         Solder and equipment astry       Conservation of supplies and funds       Encouraging Solders to learn and grow         Responsible for good, bad, right & wrong       PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL       PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         Vate in positions of greater responsibility.       PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL       PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL       PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL       PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         Vate in positions of greater responsibility.       PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         DNG THE       FULLY         DEST       CAMABLE         MARGINAL       PONOTION and dotter positions in which the rated         COC outd base is serve the Army at higher       Ponotion and/cor envice in         SENIOR RATER. Overall poformance       Ponotion and/cor envice in         1       2       3       4	Individual and team Mission focused; performance oriented Teaching Soldiers how; common tasks, duty-related skills Sharing knowledge and experience to fight,			
Care and maintenance of equipment/facilities Soldier and equipment safety Conservation of supplies and funds Encouraging Soldiers to leam and grow Responsible for good, bad, right & wrong PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL Conservation of greater responsibility. DNG THE EST CAPABLE MARGINAL CO cold best serve the Army at his/her urrent or next higher grade. SENIOR RATER. Overall performance 1 2 3 4 5 Successful Eatr Parcel				
Soldier and equipment safety         Conservation of supples and funds         Encouraging Soldiers to learn and grow         Responsible for good, bad, right & wrong         PELLENCE       SUCCESS         Second start       (Some)         PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         ATER. Diversitions of greater responsibility.         DNG THE       FULLY         BEST       CAPABLE         MARGINAL       - SENIOR RATER BULLET COMMENTS         ICO could best serve the Army at his/her	ESPONSIBILITY & ACCOUNTABILITY	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Deeds std)       (Meets std)       (Some)       (Much)         PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POTENTIAL         CATER. Overall potential for promotion and/or explosibility.       e. SENIOR RATER BULLET COMMENTS         DNG THE       FULLY.         BEST       CAPABLE         MARGINAL         Image: Construct of greater responsibility.         DNG THE       FULLY.         BEST       CAPABLE         MARGINAL         Image: Construct of greater responsibility.         ATER. List 3 positions in which the rated         ICO could best serve the Army at his/her         urrent or next higher grade.         Image: Construct of the serve of the army at his/her         Image: Construct of the serve of the army at his/her         Image: Construct of the serve of the army at his/her         Image: Construct of the serve of the army at his/her         Image: Construct of the serve of the army at his/her         Image: Construct of the serve of the army at his/her         Image: Construct of the serve of the army at his/her         Image: Construct of the army at his/her         Image: Conset the army at his/her <td< td=""><td>Soldier and equipment safety Conservation of supplies and funds Encouraging Soldiers to learn and grow</td><td></td><td>e constant 1 - Constant de la constant 1 - Constant de la</td><td></td></td<>	Soldier and equipment safety Conservation of supplies and funds Encouraging Soldiers to learn and grow		e constant 1 - Constant de la	
RATER. Overall potential for-promotion and/or.       e. SENIOR RATER BULLET COMMENTS         arvice in positions of greater responsibility.         ONG THE       FULLY.         BEST       CAPABLE         MARGINAL         ATER. List 3 positions in which the rated         VCO could best serve the Army at his/her         purrent or next higher grade.         SENIOR RATER. Overall performance         1       2         1       2         2       4         5         Strice serve in positions of greater responsibility.	Ceeds std) (Meets std) (Some) (Much			e de la companya de l
ATER. Overall potential for promotion and/or       e. SENIOR RATER BULLET COMMENTS         avrice in positions of greater responsibility.       Provide a serve the fully serve the		PART V - OVERALL PERFORMANCE AND POT	ENTIAL	
DNG THE       FULLY.         BEST       CAPABLE       MARGINAL         IATER: List 3 positions in which the rated       Image: Comparison of the	RATEROverall.potential.for-promotion.and/or	e. SENIOR RATER BULLET COMMENTS	an a	
XATER. List 3 positions in which the rated         NCO could best serve the Army at his/her         surrent or next higher grade.         SENIOR RATER. Overall performance         1       2         3       4         5         Strongestiti       Fair         Poort	ONG THE FULLY	(2) The second s second second se		
VCO could best serve the Army at his/her         purrent or next higher grade.         SENIOR RATER. Overall performance         1       2         3       4         5         Storcessful       Fair         Poort         d. SENIOR RATER. Overall performance         1       2         3       4         5         positions of greater responsibility.         1       2         3       4         5				
1     2     3     4     5       1     2     3     4     5       positions of greater responsibility.     1     2     3     4	ICO could best serve the Army at his/her		n ng sha san san sa sa garing. Na sa sa sa sa sa sa sa Sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa	
1     2     3     4     5       Successful     Fair     Poor     positions of greater responsibility.     1     2     3     4     5			• •	
1     2     3     4     5       1     2     3     4     5       positions of greater responsibility.     1     2     3     4			·	
	SENIOR RATER. Overall performance	1 2 3 4 5 for promotion and/	or service in	

.

ų, .

.

. ...

..

,	OFFIC or use of this form, s	CK CVAL	3-3 the pro	nonent a	gency ie D	CS G-1			SEE	PRIVACYAC		NLY (FOU ENT IN AR		
						TRATIVE DATA				TIT MOTAU	- Official		. 020-0.	
a, NAME (Last, First, Middle Initial,				b. SS		c. RANK		OF RANK (	YYYYMME	D) e. BRAN	CH I. S	ESIGNATED PECIALTIES	/ PMOS	(WO)
1.1. UNIT. ORG., STATION, ZIP O	ODE OR APO MAIO	R COMMAND	- ·. ·		e di a Viti di A	02 81	ATUS CODE	: h 124	ASON FO	R SUBMISS			<del></del>	
process services and example for profile services points and the for	,,,,,,,,,		· ·	·										
I. PERIOD COVE	RED U (YYYYMMDD)	I. RATED	k. NONRA CODES		D. OF m.	RATED OFFICER'S		ADDRESS	n.	UIĆ		CMD DE	P. PSI	
FROM (YYYYMMDD) THR			е. -					V NACIONI CONTRACTORIO	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		UE .	CODE	
	֥				0 · [ · ]	7.7 								
	IENTICATION (R	يستبية المرجع المحجم والم	s signature				ed OER Pa			imin data is	s correct,		÷.,.	-
NAME OF RATER (Last, First,	MI)	SSN		RANK	POSIT	ION		SIGNATUR	E:			1 1	ŶŶŶŶMM	
NAME OF INTERMEDIATE RA	TER (Last, First, MI)	SSN		RANK	POSIT	ION		SIGNATUR	E				YYYYMM	
		ie ·		1										
NAME OF SENIOR RATER (L	ast, First, MI)	SSN		RANK	POSIT	ION ,		SIGNATUR	€°.,	1 20 m 0 1 1	•	DATE (	YYYYMM	(סס
			1	DDANCI	1			T MAU ADI	DEGO (		· .			-
ENIOR RATER'S ORGANIZATIO	N	5 		BRANCH	I SENIOR	RATER TELEPHONE N	JMBER	E-MAIL AU	RESS (	gov or .mil)				
	an a	· · · · ·	i Veringen (	d. This is a n	aterred report,	do you wish lo make com	ments?,	e. SIGNATU	RE OF R	ATED OFFIC	ER	DATE ()	YYYYMM	DD) .
	· · · ·				Yes, o	comments are attached	No				· · ·			,
			. P.	ART III	DUTY D	DESCRIPTION								
PRINCIPAL DUTY TITLE	,* , <b>*</b> ,*			• • •			• • •	b. POSITION	AQC/BR					
SIGNIFICANT DUTIES AND RE	SPONSIBILITIES. RE	FER TO PART	IVa, DA FOR	RM 67-9-1.				· · · · · ·				•		
		in an an					. '	• .			• *		ĸ	•.
	· · · · · ·	ster dite												•
	· · · ·		· • ;•			· . · ·			÷.,					••
2														
	•	• • •	· · ·						•		• •			
		• •	· · .		۰.	• •	:		•		• •			
	· .				• •		:		•.		• •			
	· .				· .		:		•		• •			
									۰. ۱					
						ON - PROFESS					• • •			
	CHARAC	TER Disposi	ilion of the les	ader: comb	ination of va	ON - PROFESS								
ARMY VALUES (Comme	CHARAC	CTER Disposi	ilion of the lea PART Vb.)	ader: comb		lues, attributes, and	skills affecting	leader actior	xs	foimage 2			Yes	No
1. HONOR: Adherence to the	CHARAC	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use F ed code of valu	ilion of the les PART Vb.) Ies	ader. comb Ye	ination of va	lues, attributes, and a	skills affecting	leader action	es sideration,				Yes	No
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses h	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicity declar igh personal moral star	CTER Disposi D" entries. Use F ed code of valu ndards; honest	ilion of the les PART Vb.) Ies	ader. comb Ye	ination of va	lues, attributes, and	skilis affecting Promotes S-SERVIC	leader action dignity, cons CE: Places	es sideration, Army prior	ities before s			Yes	No
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses h 3. COURAGE: Manifests pr 4. LOYALTY: Bears true fa	CHARAC Its mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star lysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the	CTER Disposi D" entries. Use F ed code of valu ndards; honest IV e U.S. Constitu	ilion of the les PART Vb.) ies in word and d	der. comb	ination of va	lues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fu Idier	skills affecting Promotes S-SERVIC	dignity, cons CE: Places onal, legal, a	sideration, Army prior nd moral c	ities before s	elf		Yes	No
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses h 3. COURAGE: Manifests pr 4. LOYALTY: Bears true fa 1. EADER ATTRIBUTES	CHARAC Its mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star vsical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO	CTER Disposi D" entries. Use H ed code of valu ndards; honest ry e U.S. Constitu NS: First; m	ilion of the les PART Vb.) ies in word and d tion, the Arm nark "YES" or	der. comb	ination of va	lues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fu Idier Second, choose a l	Skills affecting Promotes S-SERVIC Ifills professi	dignity, cons E: Places onal, legal, a	es sideration, Army prior nd moral o	nities before s obligations ad officer. Sel	elf		Yes	No
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses h 3. COURAGE: Manifests ph	CHARAC Its mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star vysical and moral brave ith and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three	CTER Disposi or entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest ry e U.S. Constitut NS: First, m from ACTIONS	ilion of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" of 5 (LEADERS)	der. comb	ination of va	lues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fu Idier Second, choose a l	Skills affecting Promotes S-SERVIC Ifills professi	dignity, cons E: Places onal, legal, a	es sideration, Army prior nd moral o	nities before s obligations ad officer. Sel	elf			
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses h 3. COURAGE: Manifests pr 4. LOYALTY: Bears true fa LEADER ATTRIBUTES TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (C	CHARAC Its mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star vysical and moral brave ith and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest ry e U.S. Constitu NS: First, m from ACTIONS ''No'' entrif	ilion of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" of 5 (LEADERS)	deed deed deed deed deed deed deed dee	ination of va	lues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fu Idier Second, choose a l	Skills affecting Promotes S-SERVIC Ifills professi	dignity, cons E: Places onal, legal, a	sideration, Army prior nd moral d be the rate mments in	nities before s obligations ad officer. Sel	elf ect one fro	m YES		
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses h 3. COURAGE: Manifests pr 4. LOYALTY: Bears true fa LEADER ATTRIBUTES TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory 1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1) indamental qualities and	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star sysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO competence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest ry e U.S. Constitu NS: First, m from ACTIONS ''No'' entrif	ilion of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" or b (LEADERSH es. YES N	deed	ination of va ss No and the so each block. e an "X" in the Maintaintaintaintaintaintaintaintaintaint	Jues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fu Idier Second, choose a U he appropriate num PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve	Promotes S-SERVIC Units professional of six the pered box with YES I of physical	dignity, cons CE: Places onal, legal, a t best descri h optional cc	sideration, Army prior nd moral o be the rate mments ir	ities before s obligations ed officer, Sei PART Vb.	elf ect one fro	YES		
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses has 3. COURAGE: Manifests pr 4. LOYALTY: Bears true fa LEADER ATTRIBUTES TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory 1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1) indamental qualifies and aracteristics	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star sysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three in Part Vb for all Dissesses des	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use H ed code of valu ndards; honest IV e U.S. Constitut NS: First, m from ACTIONS "No" entrio	ilion of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" on & (LEADERSH es. YES N ve, and disci	eder: comb	ination of va s No and the so each block. a n "X" in the Maintai fitness	Ives, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fuldier Second, choose a l he appropriate num PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing	Promotes S-SERVIO Initis professi otal of six that bered box with YES I of physical	dignity, cons E: Places onal, legal, a t best descri h optional cc	sideration, Army prior nd moral d be the rate mments in 3. E ;Displa	nties before s obligations ad officer. Sel PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr	ect one fro	YES Ider press	NO	
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses h 3. COURAGE: Manifests pt 4. LOYALTY: Bears true fa LEADER ATTRIBUTES TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory 1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1) indamental qualifies and aracteristics 2. SKILLS (Competence)	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star nysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three in Part Vb for all D. MENTAL Possesses des 1. CONCEF	CTER Disposi D'entries. Use H ed code of valu dards; honest IV e U.S. Constitut NS: First, m from ACTIONS "No" entrio "No" entrio	ition of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" on & (LEADERSH es. YES N yee, and discip	eder: comb Ye deed y, the unit, "NO" for HIP). Place IO pline	ination of va ss No and the so each block. a an "X" in the Maintai fithess 2. IN	Jues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fu Idier Second, choose a U he appropriate num PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve	skills affecting Promotes S-SERVIC Initis profession otal of six the obered box wite YES I of physical L YES	I leader action dignity, cons E: Places onal, legal, a at best descrit h optional co	ideration, Army prior nd moral o be the rate mments in 3. E Displa	ities before s obligations ed officer, Sei PART VD MOTIONA	elf ect one fro L of; calm ur L	YES Ider pressu		
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses h     COURAGE: Manifests pr     LOYALTY: Bears true fa     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory     ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)     indamental qualifies and     aracleristics     SKILLS (Competence)         (Select 2)     ill development is pert of self-	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star sysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO competence), and three in Part Vb for all Decsesses des 1. CONCEF Demonstrates, thinking, moral	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest IT U.S. Constitut NS: First, m from ACTIONS "No" entrie "No" entrie "No" entrie "Return initiation PTUAL sound judgmen	ition of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" on & (LEADERSH es. YES N yee, and discip	eder: comb Ye deed y, the unit, "NO" for HIP). Place IO pline	ination of va ss No and the so each block. a n'X" in the Maintai fitriess 2. IN Shows	tues, attributes, and a 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fuldier Second, choose a l he appropriate numb PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing TERPERSONA	Promotes S-SERVIC Initis professi otal of six that pered box with YES I of physical L YES aching, teaching, teaching	i leader action dignity, cons CE: Places onal, legal, a at best descri h optional cc NO	sideration, Army prior nd moral o be the rate mments in 3. E Displa 3. Ti  Posse	ities before s obligations of officer. Sel PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA	elf ect one fro L essary exp	YES der pressi YES pertise to	NO	
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses h 3. COURAGE: Manifests pr 4. LOYALTY: Bears true fa LEADER ATTRIBUTES TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory 1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1) indamental qualifies and aracteristics 2. SKILLS (Competence) (Select 2) ill development is part of self- velopment; prerequisite to action	CHARAC Its mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star ysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three in Part Vb for all 	CTER Disposi "entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest ry e U.S. Constitu NS: First, m from ACTIONS "No" entriv sire, will, initiatii PTUAL sound judgmen reasoning AL Demonst	ilion of the less PART Vb.) les in word and of tion, the Arm hark "YES" or S (LEADERS) es. YES N ve, and disci YES N t, critical/creaters	eder: comb	ination of va as No and the so each block. a and the so each block. a an "X" in the 2. IN Maintai fitness 2. IN Shows course guired profes	Jues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fuldier Second, choose a line he appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc skill with people: cc skill with people; cc skill with people; cc skill with neople; cc skill	Skills effecting S-SERVIC Inits professi otal of six that pered box with YES I of physical L YES aching, teach empowering udgment, an	I leader action dignity, cons E: Places onal, legal, a at best descrit h optional co NO	ideration, Army prior nd moral o be the rate mments in 3. E Displa 3. T Posse accon	ities before s obligations ad officer. Set PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA	elf ect one fro L essary exp	YES der pressi YES pertise to	NO	
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses h     COURAGE: Manifests pi     LOYALTY: Bears true fa     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory     ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)     ndamental qualities and     aracteristics     SKILLS (Competence)     (Select 2)     Il devicpment is part of self-velopment; prerequisite to action	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star ysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses des 1. CONCEF Demonstrates innking, moral 4. TACTIC P) (Select 3) Major a	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest IV B U.S. Constitu NS: First, m from ACTIONS I"No" entrie No" entrie Vertual Sound judgmen reasoning AL Demonst	ilion of the less PART Vb.) les in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" on s (LEADERSI es. YES N ve, and disci YES N trates proficie lers perform	eder: comb	ination of va se No and the so each block. e an "X" in the Maintai fitriess 2. IN Shows course ulired profest	Jues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fu Idier Second, choose at he appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc eling, motivating and ssional knowledge, j rating, and improvi-	Promotes S-SERVIC Initis professi otal of six that pered box with YES I of physical L YES aching, teach empowering udgment, an	leader action dignity, cons E: Places onal, legal, a t best descrit h optional cc NO NO NO ning, d warfightling	sideration, Army prior nd moral d be the rate mments ir 3. E Displa 3. T Posse accon	tities before s biligations ad officer. Sei PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA psses the nec nplish all task	elf ect one fro of; calm ur L essary exp is and func	YES der press YES pertise to stions YES	NO NO	
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses h     COURAGE: Manifests pi     LOYALTY: Bears true fa     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (C     Domments are mandatory     A. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)     indamental qualities and     aracteristics     SKILLS (Competence)         (Select 2)     Il development is pair of self- velopment; prerequisite to action     A. ACTIONS (LEADERSHII NFLUENCING	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star sysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses des 1. CONCEF Demonstrates thinking, moral 4. TACTIC. P) (Select 3) Major all 1. COMMUN	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest IV U.S. Constitu NS: First; m from ACTIONS "No" entrie "No" entrie "No" entrie "No" entrie "RUAL sound judgmen reasoning AL Demonst inctivities lead NICATING	ilion of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" or 6 (LEADERSH es. YES N ve, and disci- YES N trates proficie lers perform YES N	eder: comb	ination of va s No and the so each block. a and the so each block. a	Jues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fuldier Second, choose a line he appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc sling, motivating and ssional knowledge, ating, and improv	Promotes S-SERVIO Initis professi otal of six that pered box with YES I of physical C physical C Pressi aching, teach empowering udgment, an <i>ing</i> G YES	NO	ideration, Army prior nd moral d bethe rate mments in 3. E Displa 3. Ti Posse accom	ities before s obligations ad officer. Set PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA pisses the nec oplish all task	elf ect one fro ot; calm ur L essary exp s and func	YES .YES .YES .YES .YES		
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses in     COURAGE: Manifests pr     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory     ATTRIBUTES (Select 1))     ndamental qualities and     aracteristics     SKILLS (Competence)         (Select 2)     ill development is part of self- velopment; prerequisite to action     ACTIONS (LEADERSHII     NFLUENCING     hod of reaching goels while	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star ysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses des 1. CONCEF Demonstrates innking, moral 4. TACTIC P) (Select 3) Major a	CTER Disposi D'entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest IV EU.S. Constitut NS: First, m from ACTIONS I''NO'' entrifi- rive, will; initiation reasoning AL Demonst inctivities lead NICATING oral, written, an	ilion of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" or 6 (LEADERSH es. YES N ve, and disci YES N trates proficie lers perform YES N	eder: comb	ination of va se No and the so each block. a m'X" in the Maintai fitriess 2. IN Shows course uired profest 2. DE Employ	Jues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fu Idier Second, choose at he appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc eling, motivating and ssional knowledge, j rating, and improvi-	Promotes S-SERVIO Initis professi otal of six that pered box with YES I of physical C physical C Pressi aching, teach empowering udgment, an <i>ing</i> G YES	NO	ss sideration, Army prior nd moral d be the rate mments ir 3. E 3. T Posse accon 3. M Inspir	tities before s biligations ad officer. Sei PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA psses the nec nplish all task	elf ect one fro of; calm ur L essary exp is and func IG , and guide	YES .YES .YES .YES .YES		
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses h     COURAGE: Manifests pr     LOYALTY: Bears true fa     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory     ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)     ndamental qualities and     aracteristics     SKILLS (Competence)         (Select 2)     ill development is part of self- velopment; prerequisite to action     ACTIONS (LEADERSHII     NFLUENCING     hod of reaching goels while     rating / improving	CHARAC Its mandatory for all "WC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star ysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses des 1. CONCEF Demonstrates thinking, moral 4. TACTIC. P) (Select 3) Major a 1. COMMUL Displays good of	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest ry e U.S. Constitu NS: First; m from ACTIONS "No" entrie "No" entrie "No" entrie "No" entrie sound judgmen reasoning AL Demonst inctivities lead NICATING oral, written, an upps	ilion of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" or 6 (LEADERSH es. YES N ve, and disci YES N trates proficie lers perform YES N	eder: comb Ye deed y, the unit, "NO" for HIP). Place IO pline ative ative ative ative c. influen O kills for	ination of va s No and the so each block. a nd the so each block. a n'X" in the Maintai fitness 2. IN Shows course cing, oper 2. DE Employ and us	Jues, attributes, and 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fu- Idier Second, choose a l he appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc eling, motivating and ssional knowledge, j rating, and improv CISION-MAKIN ys sound judgment, j	Promotes S-SERVIO Initis professi otal of six that pered box with YES I of physical C physical C Pressi aching, teach empowering udgment, an <i>ing</i> G YES	NO	ideration, Army prior nd moral d be the rate mments in 3. E Displa 3. Ti .Posse accon 3. Mi Inspir	ities before s obligations ad officer. Sel PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contin ECHNICA ays self-contin ECHNICA ays self-contin esses the nec onplish all task	elf ect one fro ot; calm ur L essary exp is and func IG , and guide ment	YES .YES .YES .YES .YES		
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses in     COURAGE: Manifests pr     LOYALTY: Bears true fa     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory     ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)     Indamental qualities and     aracteristics     SKILLS (Competence)         (Select 2)     ill development is part of self- velopment; prerequisite to action     ACTIONS (LEADERSHII     NFLUENCING     thod of reaching goels while     reating / improving     DPERATING     ort-term mission	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star sysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses des 1. CONCEF Demonstrates thinking, moral 4. TACTIC. D) (Select 3) Major all Displays good individuals / gro 4. PLANNI Develops detail	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest ry e U.S. Constitu NS: First; m from ACTIONS "No" entrie ine, wilt, initiatin PTUAL sound judgmen reasoning AL Demonst inctivities lead NICATING oral, written, an pps NG led, executable	ilion of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" or 6 (LEADERSH es. YES N ve, and disci- YES N trates proficie lers perform YES N d listening sh yES N e plans that a	eder: comb Ye deed y, the unit, "NO" for HIP). Place IO pline ative ative ative ative c. influen O kills for O	ination of va ss No and the so each block. a and the so a and the so each block. a and the so a and the so each block. a and the so a	Jues, attributes, and a 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fuldier Second, choose a line appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc aling, motivating and ssional knowledge, rating, and improvi CISION-MAKIN vs sound judgment, es resources wisely (ECUTING tactical proficiency,	skills affecting Promotes S-SERVIC Initis professi otal of six that pered box with YES I of physical L YES aching, teacl empowering udgment, an- <i>ring</i> G YES ogical reason YES meets missic	i leader action dignity, cons E: Places onal, legal, a at best descri- h optional cc NO NO hing, NO hing NO hing	sideration, Army prior nd moral d bethe rate mments ir 3. E Displa 3. Ti Posse accon 3. Mi Inspir missic 6. A Uses	ities before s obligations of officer. Sel PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA asses the nec oplish all task IOTIVATIN es, motivates on accomplish SSESSIN after-action a	elf ect one fro ot; calm ur L essary exp is and func IG G d evaluat G d evaluat	YES vertise to vertise to v	NO NO NO NO NO NO	
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses h     COURAGE: Manifests pr     LOYALTY: Bears true fa     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory     ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)     indamental qualifies and     aracleristics     SKILLS (Competence)         (Select 2)     ill development is part of self- velopment; prerequisite to action     ACTIONS (LEADERSHII     INFLUENCING     thod of reaching goels while     erating / improving     OPERATING     ort-lerm mission     complishment	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "NC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star sysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO competence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses dea 1. CONCEF Demonstrates thinking, moral 4. TACTIC C) (Select 3) Major and Displays good of individuals / gro 4. PLANNI Develops detail feasible, accep	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use I ed code of value adards; honest IV a U.S. Constitue NS: First; m from ACTIONS "No" entrie "No" e	ilion of the less PART Vb.) Jes in word and d tion, the Arm nark "YES" on S (LEADERSH es. YES N YES N t, critical/creaters perform YES N d listening si YES N a plans that a able	eder: comb Ye deed y, the unit, "NO" for HIP). Place IO pline ative ative ative ative c. influen O kills for IO II Place II II II II II II II II II I	ination of va s No and the so and the so each block. a nr X" in the 2. IN Shows course cing, oper 2. DE Employ and us 5. EX Shows standa	Jues, attributes, and a 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fuldier Second, choose at he appropriate numb PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc aling, motivating and ssional knowledge, ating, and improv CISION-MAKIN vs sound judgment, es resources wisely (ECUTING tactical proficiency, rds, and takes care	Promotes S-SERVIO Initis professi otal of six that bered box with YES I of physical L YES aching, teach empowering udgment, an- ting G YES ogical reason YES meets missio of people/res	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	ss ideration, Army prior nd moral of bethe rate mments in Displa 3. E Displa 3. Ti Posse accom 3. M Inspir missio 6. A Uses facilite	A consistent of the consistent	elf ect one fro ot; calm ur L essary exp is and func IG G d evaluat G d evaluat	YES versions YES YES YES YES version tools to version	NO NO NO NO NO oward	
1. HONOR: Adherence to the 2. INTEGRITY: Possesses h 3. COURAGE: Manifests pt 4. LOYALTY: Bears true fa LEADER ATTRIBUTES TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (C omments are mandatory 1. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1) indamental qualifies and laracteristics 2. SKILLS (Competence)	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "MC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star ysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO competence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses des 1. CONCEF Demonstrates, thinking, moral 4. TACTIC, 2) (Select 3) Major all Displays good individuals / gro 4. PLANNI Develops detail feasible, accep 7. DEVELC	CTER Disposi "entries. Use I ed code of value ndards; honest in ty a U.S. Constitue NS: First, m from ACTIONS "No" entrie "No" entrie "No" entrie reasoning AL Demonst ctivities lead VICATING oral, written, an pups NG lied, executable table, and suitt DPING	ilion of the less PART Vb.) Jes in word and d tion, the Arm nark "YES" on S (LEADERSH es. YES N YES N t, critical/creation trates proficie ers perform YES N ad listening si YES N e plans that a able YES N	eder: comb Ye deed y, the unit, "NO" for HIP). Place IO pline ative ative ative ative co n: influen O kills for IO IC	ination of va s No and the so each block. a and the so each block. a and the so each block. a an 'X'' in II Maintai fitness 2. IN' Shows courses cing, oper and us 5. EX Shows standal 8. BL	Jues, attributes, and a 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fuldier Second, choose a line appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate leve and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc aling, motivating and ssional knowledge, rating, and improvi CISION-MAKIN vs sound judgment, es resources wisely (ECUTING tactical proficiency,	Promotes S-SERVIO Initis professi otal of six that bered box with YES I of physical L YES aching, teach empowering udgment, an <i>ting</i> G YES meets missic of people/res YES	NO NO NO NO NO	ideration, Army prior nd moral d be the rate mments in 3. E Displa 3. T Posse accon 3. M Inspir missio 6. A Uses i facilite 9. L	ities before s obligations of officer. Sel PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA asses the nec oplish all task IOTIVATIN es, motivates on accomplish SSESSIN after-action a	elf ect one fro cl; calm ur cl; calm ur cl	YES bartise to tions YES YES es others to YES YES YES	NO NO NO NO NO NO	
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses h     COURAGE: Manifests pr     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory     ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)     indamental qualities and     aracteristics     SKILLS (Competence)         (Select 2)     iill development is pert of self- velopment; prerequisite to action     ACTIONS (LEADERSHII INFLUENCING     thod of reaching goels while     arating / improving     OPERATING     ort-term mission     complishment     IMPROVING	CHARAC Its mandatory for all "WC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star ysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO ompetence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses des 1. CONCEF Demonstrates ithinking, moral 4. TACTIC P) (Select 3) Major a 1. COMMUN Displays good individuals / gro 4. PLANNI Develops detai feasible, accep 7. DEVELC invests adeque	CTER Disposi D"entries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest IV substantiation international substantiation international intern	ilion of the less PART Vb.) res in word and d tion, the Arm nark "YES" or s (LEADERSH es. YES N YES N th, critical/cre- trates proficie (ers perform YES N d listening si YES N d listening si YES N ve plans that a able YES N out to develop iders	eder: comb	ination of va so No and the so each block. a and the so each block. a an 'X' in the Maintal fitriess 2. IN' Shows course cing, oper 2. DE Employ and us 5. EX Shows standal 8. BL Spends	Jues, attributes, and a 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Ful- Idier Second, choose a l he appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate lever and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc aling, motivating and ssional knowledge, j ating, and improving CISION-MAKIN vs sound judgment, les resources wisely KECUTING tactical proficiency, rds, and takes care JILDING	Skills effecting Promotes S-SERVIC Initis professi otal of six that pered box with YES aching, teact empowering udgment, an- ring G YES ogical reason YES meets missic of people/res YES a improving te	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N	sideration, Army prior nd moral d be-the rate mments in 0 3. E 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ities before s obligations of officer. Sel PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA asses the nec oplish all task COTIVATIN es, motivates on accomplish SEESSIN after-action a ate consistent EARNING	elf ect one fro ot; calm ur L essary exp is and func G G evaluat t improvem	YES der press: YES ortise to YES YES so others to YES ion tools to ent. YES orgenizatio	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses h     COURAGE: Manifests pi     LOYALTY: Bears true fa     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (C     Domments are mandatory     A. ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)     Indamental qualities and     aracteristics     SKILLS (Competence)         (Select 2)     Il development is pair of self- velopment; prerequisite to action     A. ACTIONS (LEADERSHII NFLUENCING     hod of reaching goels while     rating / improving     DPERATING     ort-term mission     omplishment     MPROVING     Ig-term improvement in the Army     people and organizations     APFT:	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "MC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star vysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO competence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses dea 1. CONCEF Demonstrates thinking, moral 4. TACTIC, Displays good individuals / gro 1. COMMU Displays good individuals / gro 4. PLANNI Develops detail feasible, accep 7. DEVELC invests adequa individual subo DATE:	CTER Disposi prentries. Use I ed code of valu ndards; honest ry a U.S. Constitu NS: First; m from ACTIONS "No" entrid reasoning AL Demonst ctivities lead VICATING oral, written, an ups NG led, executable table, and suit OPING tet time and effer rdinates as lead	ilion of the less PART Vb.) res in word and d tion, the Arm hark "YES" or b (LEADERSH es. YES N YES N th, critical/cre- trates proficie (ers perform YES N d listening sh yES N d listening sh yES N the plans that a able YES N out to develop iders HEIGHT:	eder: comb	ination of va s No and the so and the so each block. a nr 'X' in the source of the so and the so each block. a n'X' in the Maintai fitness 2. IN' Shows courses autired profer cing, oper 2. DE Employ and us Shows standau 8. BL Spends groups	Jues, attributes, and a 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fuldier Second, choose a line appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate lever and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc ating, motivating and ssional knowledge, ating, and improving CISION-MAKIN vs sound judgment, es resources wisely (ECUTING tactical proficiency, rds, and takes care JILDING s time and resources and units; fosters e WEIGHT:	skills effecting Promotes S-SERVIO Initis professi otal of six that pered box with YES I of physical L YES aching, teach empowering udgment, an ring G YES meets mission of people/res s improving te thical climate	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N	sideration, Army prior nd moral d be-the rate mments in 0 3. E 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ities before s obligations of officer. Sel PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA ays self-contr ECHNICA ays self-contr ECHNICA ays self-contr SESS the nec onglish all task COTIVATIN es, motivates on accomplish SSESSIN after-action a ate consistent EARNING self-improve	elf ect one fro ot; calm ur L essary exp is and func G G evaluat t improvem	YES der press: YES ortise to YES YES so others to YES ion tools to ent. YES orgenizatio	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	
HONOR: Adherence to the     INTEGRITY: Possesses in     COURAGE: Manifests pr     LOYALTY: Bears true fa     LEADER ATTRIBUTES     TRIBUTES, two from SKILLS (Comments are mandatory     ATTRIBUTES (Select 1)     ndamental qualities and     aracteristics     SKILLS (Competence)         (Select 2)     ill development is part of self- velopment; prerequisite to action     ACTIONS (LEADERSHII NFLUENCING     hod of reaching goals while     rating / improving     DPERATING     ort-term mission     omplishment     MPROVING     ag-term improvement in the Army	CHARAC Ints mandatory for all "MC Army's publicly declar igh personal moral star vysical and moral brave th and allegiance to the SKILLS / ACTIO Dompetence), and three in Part Vb for all 1. MENTAL Possesses des 1. CONCEF Demonstrates thinking, moral 4. TACTIC. Displays good individuals / gro 1. COMMUN Displays good individuals / gro 1. DEVELC Invests adequa individual subo DATE: MANDATORY YES	CTER Disposi Dentries. Use I ed code of value ndards; honest ry e U.S. Constitue NS: First; m from ACTIONS "No" entrie ine, wilt; initiatin PTUAL sound judgmen reasoning AL Demonst inctivities lead NICATING oral, written, an pps NG ited, executable table, and suit OPING ite time and effordinates as lead OR NO ENTI	ilion of the less PART Vb.) ies in word and of tion, the Arm nark "YES" or 6 (LEADERSH es. YES N ve, and disci- ve, and disci-	ATERS O	ination of va s No and the so and the so each block. a n'X" in II 2. IN Shows course cing, oper 2. DE Employ and us 5. EX Shows standa 8. BL Spenda groups F CPTs, LT	Jues, attributes, and a 5. RESPECT 6. SELFLES 7. DUTY: Fuldier Second, choose a line he appropriate number PHYSICAL ins appropriate levely and military bearing TERPERSONA skill with people: cc aling, motivating and ssional knowledge, ating, and improving CISION-MAKIN vs sound judgment, les resources wisely KECUTING tactical proficiency, rds, and takes care JILDING s time and resources: and units; fosters e WEIGHT: Ts, CW2s, AND W	skills affecting Promotes S-SERVIC Initis professi otal of six that pered box with YES I of physical Content of the second with the second or physical Content of the second with the second w	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N	ss ideration, Army prior nd moral c be the rate mments ir 	ities before s obligations of officer. Sel PART Vb. MOTIONA ays self-contr ECHNICA ays self-contr ECHNICA ays self-contr ECHNICA asses the nec onplish all task OTIVATIN es, motivates on accomplish SSESSIN after-action a ate consistent EARNING a self-improve or, envisioning	elf ect one fro ot; calm ur L essary exp is and func G G evaluat t improvem	YES der press: YES ortise to YES YES so others to YES ion tools to ent. YES orgenizatio	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO	

ŕ.

13

.

1f 1

NAME	SSN	PERIOD COV	ERED	
	PART V - PERFORMANCE AND F			
		D AND HIS/HER POTENTIAL		
OUTSTANDING PERFORM MUST PROMOTE	ANCE, SATISFACTORY PERFO	DRMANCE, UNSA	ATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE, DO NOT PROMOTE	OTHER (Explain)
. COMMENT ON SPECIFIC ASPECTS	OF THE PERFORMANCE, REFER TO PART II			an a
		n na suga a un de la nava de la seconda de la seconda La seconda de la seconda de La seconda de la seconda de		
and a second			14	
				· · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ار این می و می	ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا ا		
	and a state of the second			
	an a	اند. از انسان میمیدیز دارد. از افغان از انسان میمیدیز دارد.		· · · · · ·
			i .	
an an an Anna a Anna an Anna an		an an ann an	a second a second s	2. S.N. 12
	NOTION			
COMMENT ON POTENTIAL FOR PRO			na di Alexandria di Angela di A Angela di Angela di An	· • •
	a tana araba a sa araba ang Araba ang araba ang araba ang araba ang araba			
<i>t</i>		· · · ·	4- -	
IDENTIFY ANY UNIQUE PROFESSION	AL SKILLS OR AREAS OF EXPERTISE OF VA	LUE TO THE ARMY THAT THE	S OFFICER POSSESSES. FOR ARMY CO	MPETITIVE
ATEGORY CPT ALSO INDICATE A POT	ENTIAL CAREER FIELD FOR FUTURE SERVI	CE.		• • • •
,			· ·	
. ·		· •		
	DADT VI (NTED)	MEDIATE RATER	·····	- <u>-</u>
	FART VI-INTER			
	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
ا کی ایک کی کی دی۔ ایس کی مدیر کی ایک ایک ایک کی ک				
		ا الم المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع		
	DADTNIL OF			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	ROMOTION POTENTIAL TO THE NEXT HIGHE	DODIDE		terteterente
			completed DA Form 67-9-1 was received with this r	in this grade
		OTHER (Explain below) co	onsidered in my evaluation and review	
POTENTIAL COMPARED WITH OFFICERS	C. COMMENT ON PERFORMANCE/POTENTL	ALC: NO STATE		
(DA)	EM 1.2 Non-12 - Alfred Market and Alfred Market	사이가 있는 것은 것은 것이 가지 않는 것이 있는 것이 있는 같이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 있 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 있는 것		
		an an an ann an an an an an an an an an		
n an tha tha tha go ann an 1999 an tha	این از میکند با در با میں میکند. روم آلیا اور بی میں ایک میکند اور میلی اور	a da anti-arresta da anti-arresta da anti- arresta da arresta da a		
ABOVE CENTER OF MASS (Less than 50% in top box; Center of	and a second			
Mass if 50% or more in top box	and a second	an a		
terio de la composición de la composic Persona de la composición de la composic				
CENTER OF MASS				
	<ul> <li>A production of the second seco</li></ul>	Real Real Real Provide States		
BELOW CENTER OF MASS				
RETAIN				
BELOW CENTER OF MASS	d. LIST THREE FUTURE ASSIGNMENTS FOR	WHICH THIS OFFICER IS BEST SI	UITED.	·
DO NOT RETAIN	FOR ARMY COMPETITIVE CATEGORY CPT, A			
та на во разна наша а нам на разната се то т	,	و د ده و انو و مواهو مرا م		· · · ·
, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	— . "	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •• • ••• ••

### Bibliography

1. Headquarters Department of the Army. *Evaluation Reporting System*. AR 623-3. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. August 10, 2007.

2. Headquarters Department of the Army. *Officer Evaluation Reporting System*. AR 623-105. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. April 1, 1998.

3. Headquarters Department of the Army. *Noncommissioned Officer Evaluation Reporting System*. AR 623-205. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. May 15, 2002.

4. Michelle Tan, "Evals Latest Move to Root Out Toxic Leaders", Army Times, 09 October, 2011.

5. Headquarters Department of the Army. *Enlisted Promotions and Reductions*. AR 600-8-19. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. April 30, 2010.

6. Headquarters Department of the Army. *Officer Promotions*. AR 600-8-29. Washington D.C. Headquarters Department of the Army. February 35, 2005.

7. Andrew P. Poppas, Colonel, US Army, Harvard Fellow.

8. Randall L. Harris, Colonel, US Army, Deputy Commander Ops Group JRTC.

9. Kevin R. Benson, Command Sergeant Major, Command Sergeant Major Training Command Fort Jackson.

10. Jonathan R. Martinez, Master Sergeant, NCOIC Human Resources DIA.

11. Army Times, October 1, 2011

12. Army Times, October 9, 2011

13. US Army, Military Personnel Message 07-072

14. US Army, All Army Activity Message 26 91

15. Straffon, Nick. "Promotion Boards." Army Reserve Magazine (Winter, 1997): 18.

16. United States Total Army Personnel Command. *The New OER Briefing*.1 October 1997, n.p. On-line. Internet, 2 January 1998. Available from http://wwwperscom. army.mil/tagd/oers/brief/brief.htm.

17. Hardy, Allan C. and Keith B. Harker. U.S. Army Officer Perceptions of the New OER. Monterey, CA: Naval Postgraduate School, December, 1982.

18. United States Total Army Personnel Command. PERSCOM Update, Fiscal Year 1997, 11.