

ASNE


Mr. Chris Deegan Executive Director PEO IWS 10 February 2012

Report Documentation Page					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.							
1. REPORT DATE 2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012				
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE					5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Program Executive Office Integrated Warfare Systems					5b. GRANT NUMBER		
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
					5e. TASK NUMBER		
					5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) PEO IWS,Washington,DC,20350				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER			
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)					10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited							
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	DTES						
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	14	RESPONSIBLE FERSON		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18



Program Executive Officer Integrated Warfare Systems





Cost Control is a Priority



"Let me be clear again. The department would need to make a strategic shift regardless of the nation's fiscal situation. We are at that point in history. That's the reality of the world we live in. Fiscal crisis has forced us to face the strategic shift that's taking place now."

Secretary of Defense Leon E. Panetta, Statement on Defense Strategic Guidance - Press Briefing Room, The Pentagon, Washington, DC, Thursday, January 05, 2012

"Building an effective partnership between industry and the Navy will reduce costs and will lead us to the fleet that we need. We owe that to America and we owe that to the generations that will follow us."

"Every dollar we spend has to count; every dollar we spend has to be used efficiently and effectively."


"On budget and on time is baseline. That's the standard. It's not a target. " "We have to do everything in our power to control those costs. If we don't do something now, we won't be able to build a fleet we have to have in the future."

Secretary of the Navy, Ray Mabus






If This is the Focus...





This is what is Expected





It's About Addressing Direct Costs

- How are cross program efficiencies budgeted, contracted and executed
- Pressurizing support costs at all levels
- Multi Year Procurement potential
- Planning realistic projections and margins

4 Questions: 25-50% Challenge for Support Costs

- Identify how to challenge fixed cost
- Identify how to maximize leverage across product lines and programs
- Identify what Government and Industry can challenge together
- Identify what each of you can challenge internally

PERCEPTIONS ONLY GET DEFEATED WITH POSITIVE RESULTS




Challenging Sole Source Behavior & Competing New Requirements


	Contract Short Description Title	Planned Award	Est. Value	Est. Yr SS		
RTN LM	AEGIS Combat System Engineering Agent (CSEA)	FY13	\$2,200M	1969		
	Ship Integration & Test Follow-On for AEGIS New Construction	FY13	\$950M	N/A		
	Cooperative Engagement Capability (CEC) Signal Data Processor	FY12	\$158M	N/A		
	CEC Production FY12-FY16 (base plus 4 Options)	FY12	\$478M	1992		
	CEC Design Agent/Engineering Services	FY12	\$671M	1992		
	CEC Common Array Block Family Antenna	FY12	\$50M	New Req		
	Ship Self Defense System (SSDS) CSEA	FY14	\$500M	1994		
	Common Display System (CDS)	FY12	\$107M	2008		
	Air and Missile Defense Radar (AMDR) S-Band and Radar Suite Controller Engineering Development Models	FY13	\$1,000M	New Req		
	Surface Electronic Warfare Improvement Prgm (SEWIP Blk3)	FY13	\$400M	New Req		
	MK 41 Vertical Launching System (VLS) FY13-FY17 Canisters (Inserting Gov't as System Integration)	FY13	\$710M	1995		
	Surface to Surface Missile System	FY14	\$180M	New Req		
	This strategy challenges \$6-7 Billion of 15-30 year monopolies across the entire PEO IWS portfolio					



It's About Addressing Overhead Costs











SM-6 Should Cost Analysis





Supply Chain Content



Significant Material Content (72% Avg) in Tactical Missile Programs Provides Opportunity To Increase Competition At Subcontractor Level Or Execute Government Direct Buys From High Value Common Vendors



USN Benefits From FMS Participation in Standard Missile

Qty

- The FY08 Contract contained 75 USN and 343 International Missiles
- Without the additional volume from the International Procurements the USN would have only been able to procure 41 missiles for the same price

Missiles Without With Internationals Internationals

US Navy Realized 34 Free Missiles

FY08 SM-2 Procurement