





SPAWAR Overview & Update





Rod Smith Deputy Commander, Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

| Report Documentation Page | | | | | Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing | lection of information is estimated t completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number. | ion of information. Send commen arters Services, Directorate for In | ts regarding this burden estimate formation Operations and Reports | or any other aspect of t , 1215 Jefferson Davis | his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington | |
| 1. REPORT DATE AUG 2012 | | 2. REPORT TYPE | | 3. DATES COVE 00-00-2012 | ERED 2 to 00-00-2012 | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE | | | | 5a. CONTRACT | NUMBER | |
| SPAWAR Overview & Update | | | | 5b. GRANT NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 5c. PROGRAM I | ELEMENT NUMBER | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) | | | | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER | | |
| | | | 5e. TASK NUMBER | | | |
| | | | | 5f. WORK UNIT | NUMBER | |
| | ZATION NAME(S) AND AI Varfare Systems Con | < , , | ic Highway,San | 8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB | G ORGANIZATION ER | |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | | | | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) | | |
| | | | | 11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S) | IONITOR'S REPORT | |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ | LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distribut | ion unlimited | | | | |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Presented at the 20 | otes 112 Navy Gold Coas | t Small Business C | onference, 6-8 Au | g, San Diego, | CA. | |
| 14. ABSTRACT | | | | | | |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS | | | | | | |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC | 17. LIMITATION OF | 18. NUMBER | 19a. NAME OF | | | |
| a. REPORT unclassified | b. ABSTRACT unclassified | c. THIS PAGE unclassified | ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR) | OF PAGES 11 | RESPONSIBLE PERSON | |

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18



A key member of the Naval Acquisition Enterprise Creating, Delivering & Sustaining Information Dominance Capabilities





SPAWAR Locations Worldwide



8,400 Gov + Mil w/approx 4,000 support contractors worldwide











□ Keep the Fleet ready to Fight

- Build Information Dominance Technical Authority Workforce & Processes to align DoN Programs
- Focus on work integral to Navy's future/core to our mission

| Commander's Guidance | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2012 | | | | | | |
| During 2011, SPAWAR made great strides in establishing itself as the Navy's Information Dominance Systems Command and demonstrated a renewed focus on the Fleet. This year, we will be executing some difficult decisions in response to our ration's austered fiscal environmet. Without exception, we must keep our focus on delivering core Naval Information Dominance programs that enable information to become a main battery of our wardighting capability. Together, we will tackle the challenges facing us and achieve success. RADM Pat Brady, Commande, SPAWAR | | | | | | |
| The Navy's Information Dominance Vision | | | | | | |
| Information will be a main battery of Naval warfighting capability | | | | | | |
| Our Navy is prominent and dominant in the fields of Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance; Cyber Warfare; Command and Control; Information & Knowledge Management | | | | | | |
| Warfighting wholeness replaces sub-optimal stovepipes | | | | | | |
| SPAWAR Vision: Making the Navy's Information Dominance Vision a Reality | | | | | | |
| SPAWAR Priorities: Keep the Fleet | Ready to Fight | | | | | |
| The Fleet comes first. We will actively engage with the Fleet, improving C4I support to the warfighter by reducing baselines, delivering the right capability and providing robust sustainment of our systems. | | | | | | |
| Build Information Dominance Technical Authority | | | | | | |
| Build Information Domin | ance Technical Authority | | | | | |
| Build Information Domin We will realign our engineering workforce and grow their implement a System-of-Systems approach that enforces all Navy Information Technology (IT) systems to effective interoperability into the Navy's IT portfolio. | technical depth and breadth in order to develop and government insight, oversight and baseline control for | | | | | |
| We will realign our engineering workforce and grow their implement a System-of-Systems approach that enforces all Navy Information Technology (IT) systems to effective | technical depth and breadth in order to develop and government insight, oversight and baseline control for ly manage acquisitions and drive integration and | | | | | |
| We will realign our engineering workforce and grow their implement a System-of-Systems approach that enforces all Navy Information Technology (IT) systems to effective interoperability into the Navy's IT portfolio. | technical depth and breadth in order to develop and government insight, oversight and baseline control for ily manage acquisitions and drive integration and al to the Navy's Future part with programs, roles, and processes that are not | | | | | |
| We will realign our engineering workforce and grow their implement a System-of-Systems approach that enforces all Navy Information Technology (IT) systems to effective interoperability into the Navy's IT portfolio. Focus on Work Integr. As we align with the CNO's Sailing Directions, we must p | technical depth and breadth in order to develop and government insight, oversight and baseline control for ily manage acquisitions and drive integration and al to the Navy's Future part with programs, roles, and processes that are not | | | | | |

"The budget changes we are considering may mean the Navy will be smaller in the future. The bottom line, we cannot become a "hollow" force. And while the Navy might become smaller, I an confident we will become even more relevant to our country's national security needs," <u>Admiral Jonathan Greenert</u> CN

- Admiral Jonathan Greenert, CNO

□ Key Initiatives. More Effectiveness through:

- Installation(s) Process Improvements
- IA/Security Patching Improvements
- Data Center Consolidations
- Single IT Procurement Process





Sources: N-ERP

*Inflow Includes Prior Year Carry-In from All Sources

** SSC LANT includes Norfolk and NOLA; SSC PAC includes PAC General Fund (formerly NCTSI)











Questions



There are two separate issues with respect to the budget: The FY13 budget & Sequestration.

1) Status of the FY13 budget,

- Today, we're executing our FY12 budget.
- The President has submitted his FY13 budget to Congress and it is being debated.
- I'm sure most people in this room are aware that there are many uncertainties with the FY13 budget.
- Last week, Congress indicated that they would pass a six month continuing resolution to keep funding levels at 2012 levels until March 2013.
- We don't have insight into what the impact will be for the remainder of FY13.

2) Sequestration.

- Sequestration is the law and will happen on 02Jan2013 if the law is not changed.
- Sequestration will override whatever budget is in affect at that time (CR or FY13 approved budget).
- For DoD, this could mean an additional \$600 billion in cuts, causing significant adverse effects on national security.
- If these cuts are triggered, we will not be able to control what accounts are impacted (O&M, R&D, etc.) it will be a flat across the board cut.
- We do not have insight into how/when/if Congress will avoid potential sequestration.