

The Effect of Weaving on the Strength of Kevlar KM2 Single Fibers at Different Loading Rates

by Brett Sanborn, Nicole Racine, and Tusit Weerasooriya

ARL-TR-6280

December 2012

NOTICES

Disclaimers

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069

ARL-TR-6280**December 2012**

The Effect of Weaving on the Strength of Kevlar KM2 Single Fibers at Different Loading Rates

Brett Sanborn, Nicole Racine, and Tusit Weerasooriya
Weapons and Materials Research Directorate, ARL

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) December 2012		2. REPORT TYPE Final		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) April 2012–August 2012	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Effect of Weaving on the Strength of Kevlar KM2 Single Fibers at Different Loading Rates				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER 1120-1120-99	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Brett Sanborn, Nicole Racine, and Tusit Weerasooriya				5d. PROJECT NUMBER AH80, Task 61	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: RDRL-WMP-B Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5069				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-6280	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Understanding the mechanical behavior of woven Kevlar fibers at high loading rates is needed to develop material models that mathematically represent the deformation and failure for computer codes that are being developed or used to simulate high–loading-rate events such as impact on fiber-based protective items. When fibers are woven into fabric, they may get damaged, thus reducing their strength. In order to understand the effect of weaving on the strength of Kevlar fabric, individual fibers from both the warp and weft directions must be studied. In this experimental study, the strengths of warp and weft fibers from plain-woven Kevlar KM2 fabric, as well as unwoven virgin fibers, are measured and compared to quantify any damage due to weaving. The fiber responses are evaluated at low, intermediate, and high strain rates, with 5-mm-gauge-length samples. Low- and intermediate-rate mechanical deformation experiments are conducted using the Bose ElectroForce test system, and the corresponding high-rate experiments are conducted using a split Hopkinson tension bar modified for fiber characterization. This report presents a comparison of strengths of woven fibers with that of unwoven fibers to determine the possible degradation of strength at different loading rates due to weaving.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS SHPB, Kevlar, weaving, single fiber					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UU	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 20	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Brett Sanborn
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 410-306-4925

Contents

List of Figures	iv
1. Introduction	1
2. Experimental Method	2
2.1 Materials	2
2.2 Quasi-Static and Intermediate Rate Experiments.....	3
2.3 High-Rate Experiments	3
3. Results and Discussion	5
4. Conclusions	7
5. References	8
Distribution List	9

List of Figures

Figure 1. Warp and weft yarns directions in a woven fabric.	2
Figure 2. (a) Modified fiber tensile Hopkinson bar and the fiber specimen high-rate characterization of the fibers. (b) Schematic of the modified fiber tensile Hopkinson bar.	4
Figure 3. Average strengths of fibers as a function of strain rate. Each data point represents the average value from 10 experiments.	6
Figure 4. Average strains of fibers as a function of strain rate. Each data point represents the average value from 10 experiments.	7

1. Introduction

Kevlar^{*} is an aramid fiber with highly oriented polymer chains produced from poly-paraphenylene (PPTA). Developed at DuPont[†] in 1965, Kevlar fibers are para-aramid, and are much stronger along the axial direction than in the transverse direction. Kevlar is a linear elastic, transversely isotropic, and homogeneous material (1, 2). As a high-performance fiber, Kevlar is widely used in flexible armors and other impact-resistant applications due to its high strength, light weight, and exceptional stability at high temperatures.

The high-rate properties of Kevlar have been studied previously by Cheng et al. (3, 4). They studied the material behavior in both longitudinal and transverse directions. For longitudinal experiments, Cheng et al. studied a wide strain-rate range that included high rates using a unique novel high rate experimental method, while transverse experiments were restricted only to low rates due to lack of transverse experimental techniques at high rates. They did not observe an increase in longitudinal strength at high strain rates. In a subsequent investigation, Lim et al. studied the effects of gage length, loading rates, and damage on the strength of PPTA fibers (5). Although Lim et al. analyzed the mechanical behavior of Kevlar, the type of weave was not specified.

The ability to determine mechanical properties of single fibers at different strain rates is important for high-fidelity simulation of fibrous bodies in composite laminates in vehicle armors because the strength of individual fibers in these systems at different loading rates influences the overall performance of the system. Individual fibers must retain their strength during the fabrication to fiber-based systems to fully disperse impact energy from projectiles in ballistic impact (6). When Kevlar fibers are woven into fabric, yarns running lengthwise in the warped direction are held in tension and crimped to accommodate for yarns running horizontally in the weft, or fill, direction that are weaving over and under the vertical yarns as shown in figure 1. During this weaving process, fibers may become damaged, reducing strength, thus reducing the full potential of the fabric-based armor systems. Therefore, quantifying the possible damage during the conversion of the virgin fiber to fabric will provide realistic values for the strength used in computer simulation of the impact on fiber based systems as well as develop methods to quantify this damage to fibers. This damage quantification will allow development of methods to reduce the damage to fibers during processing into fabrics. Studying the mechanical behavior of Kevlar fiber at various strain rates will ultimately lead to advancements in protective fibrous equipment.

^{*} Kevlar is a registered trademark of E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, DE.

[†] DuPont is a registered trademark of E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, Wilmington, DE.




Figure 1. Warp and weft yarns directions in a woven fabric.

In this experimental study, the strengths of fibers from the warp and weft directions of Kevlar KM2 style 706, 600 denier fabric are measured and compared to the strength of unwoven fibers to quantify any damage due to plain weaving. The fiber responses are measured at a quasi-static rate of 0.001 s^{-1} , an intermediate rate of 1 s^{-1} , and a high rate of 1000 s^{-1} . Strength of the fiber varies with the gage length of the sample because with a longer gage lengths there is a greater possibility of the presence of critical defects associated with the failure within the gage length of the sample. In this study, a constant gage length of 5-mm samples was used. In a future study, the effect of gage length on the strength of fibers will be investigated. Comparison of strengths of woven fibers with that of unwoven fibers will enable us to quantify degradation of strength at different loading rates due to plain weaving.

2. Experimental Method

2.1 Materials

Single fibers from plain-woven, style 706 Kevlar 600d are chosen for the warp and weft samples. Plain-woven fabric consists of yarns interlaced alternatingly, with one over and one under every other yarn (7). For the unwoven fiber samples, Kevlar KM2 600d yarn is used since 600d is the raw material for style 706 woven fabric. The strengths of the warp, weft, and unwoven fibers are evaluated at three strain rates: a quasi-static rate of 0.001 s^{-1} , an intermediate rate of 1 s^{-1} , and a high rate of 1000 s^{-1} . Low- and intermediate-rate experiments are conducted using a Bose ElectroForce test system, and high-rate strength is measured using a split Hopkinson tension bar (SHTB) modified for fiber characterization.

For each experimental condition, ten fiber samples are used to obtain the strength of the fiber. 3M Scotch-Weld* Structural Plastic Adhesive DP-8005 (black) is used to glue a single fiber to a cardboard specimen holder. Different cardboard specimen holder formats are used for low, intermediate, and high rate evaluations, based on the loading configuration. The fiber is extracted carefully from the fabric and yarn so it will not further damage during the extraction, and glued to the cardboard. Slotted setscrews with lengths of 1/4–3/16 in are glued to both sides of the high-rate samples using cyanoacrylate adhesive. The setscrews are threaded into the SHTB and load cell. In both low/intermediate rate and high rate experiments, the sides of the cardboard specimen holder are cut to allow only the fiber to span between the load cell and the grip of the SHTB.

At all rates, the stress (σ) of the fiber is calculated using the equation:

$$\sigma = \frac{P}{A_0}, \quad (1)$$

where P is force measured by the load cell and A_0 is the initial cross-sectional area of the fiber. To calculate the cross sectional area of the specimen, the diameter of the fiber is required. Although Kevlar fibers are generally uniform, fiber diameter varies slightly along the length of the fiber, hence within the gage length of the specimen. Due to this variation, and for increased accuracy, a scanning electron microscope (SEM) is used to measure the average diameter of each fiber from a small sample trimmed from the end of each fiber used to make the samples.

2.2 Quasi-Static and Intermediate Rate Experiments

Strength of the warp, weft, and unwoven fiber samples are evaluated using the Bose ElectroForce test system at low and intermediate rates of loading. The strain (ϵ) and strain rate ($\dot{\epsilon}$) of the fiber specimen are calculated using the following equations:

$$\epsilon = -\frac{d}{l_s}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\dot{\epsilon} = -\frac{v}{l_s}, \quad (3)$$

where d is the change in length of the fiber, l_s is the length of the specimen, and v is the velocity of the experiment (4, 5). The specimens are pulled at 0.005 mm/s and 5 mm/s for low and intermediate rate experiments, respectively.

2.3 High-Rate Experiments

High-rate strengths of warp, weft, and unwoven fibers are obtained using a modified split Hopkinson tension bar (SHTB), also known as a Kolsky tension bar, shown in figure 2. The modified-fiber SHTB consists of an incident bar with a flange at one end, and a fast-acting quartz-piezoelectric load cell. This sensitive load cell replaces the usual transmission bar in a

* 3M and Scotch-Weld are registered trademarks of 3M, St. Paul, MN.




Figure 2. (a) Modified fiber tensile Hopkinson bar and the fiber specimen high-rate characterization of the fibers. (b) Schematic of the modified fiber tensile Hopkinson bar.

standard SHTB, to capture and record the weak transmitted signal when the fiber deforms and fails at high rate. The flange in the incident bar acts as the impact surface of the bar. The striker, a thin-walled tube, is accelerated by a compressed air gun and travels down the incident bar and impacts on the flange, generating a tensile pulse that travels to the other end of the incident bar and loads the fiber-specimen at high loading rate (4, 5). Part of the stress pulse is transmitted into the specimen, while the other part is reflected back into the incident bar as a compression pulse.

A non-contact, high-rate laser technique was used to measure strain of the fiber for high-rate experiments. The laser technique consists of a linear laser, which generates a 25.4-mm-wide plate of laser light with uniform intensity across the plate and a high-speed laser-light detector connected to a high-speed oscilloscope. This linear laser extensometry enables the measurement of motion at the end of the incident bar by converting the motion into a voltage output proportional to the displacement. To calibrate the linear-laser-extensometer before the fiber experiments, a micrometer was used to cover the laser light reaching the detector by known distances. This correlated the voltage change on the oscilloscope to the distance of the obstruction of the linear laser plate. Extension measured this way can now be used in equations for strain and strain rate (equations 2 and 3) and can be applied to both slow and high-rate experiments.

3. Results and Discussion

Results of the measured strengths of fibers are given in figure 3. Based on the measured strengths, Kevlar KM2 fibers depend on the loading rate, and increases with the strain rate. At low-, intermediate-, and high-rate experiments on unwoven fibers, the average failure strengths are 3.59 ± 0.14 GPa, 4.27 ± 0.20 GPa, and 4.64 ± 0.36 GPa, respectively. The unwoven fibers are 29.22% stronger at the high rate than the low rate. Similar behavior is seen for warp and weft fibers despite possible damage to the fibers. The average failure strength of warp fibers at low, intermediate, and high rates are 2.66 ± 0.40 GPa, 2.98 ± 0.81 GPa, and 3.75 ± 0.22 GPa, respectively, which results in the warp fiber being 40.78% stronger at the high rate than the low rate. The weft fibers are 13.8% stronger at the high rate than the low rate with average stresses of 4.32 ± 0.57 GPa at the low rate, 3.64 ± 0.82 GPa at the intermediate rate, and 4.91 ± 0.67 GPa at the high rate.




Figure 3. Average strengths of fibers as a function of strain rate. Each data point represents the average value from 10 experiments.

For all of the strain rates, warp fibers are 20%–30% weaker than unwoven fibers. Furthermore, measured strengths have a higher standard of deviations for the warp fibers compared to that of the unwoven fibers. From this, it is concluded that the warp fibers are damaged as a result of weaving. Conversely, the strength of weft fibers were 20% higher than unwoven at low rate, 15% lower at intermediate rate, and 6% higher at high rate. These variations in the strength of the weft fibers are within the bounds of the observed scatter in the measured strength of the unwoven fibers. Consequently, it can be concluded that the weaving process does not appreciably compromise the strength of the weft fibers.

In addition to the failure strength of Kevlar fibers, in this study failure strain was also measured, and is shown in figure 4. The failure strain of warp fibers is 21% lower than that of the unwoven fibers for all three investigated strain rates. Failure strain of weft fibers is similar in magnitude to the failure strain of unwoven fibers. However, because only one gage length was studied, a compliance correction based on the ASTM-C-1557-03 standard (8) could not be used (9). Since compliance correction was not used, the measured strains are higher than the actual strain. Thus, no direct conclusions are made about failure strain.




Figure 4. Average strains of fibers as a function of strain rate. Each data point represents the average value from 10 experiments.

4. Conclusions

The mechanical behavior of warp and weft fibers was compared to that of unwoven fibers at quasi-static, intermediate, and high strain rates at a constant gage length of 5 mm. Quasi-static and intermediate rate experiments were conducted using a Bose ElectroForce Test System, while high-rate performance was measured using a miniature tension Kolsky bar. Experimental results indicate that warp fibers have lower strength for all three strain rates when compared to unwoven fibers, indicating that warp fibers were more likely damaged during the weaving process. However, the weaving process does not appear to compromise the strength of weft fibers because the measured strength of the weft fibers showed no significant difference from the unwoven stress. Because only one gage length was studied, a compliance correction could not be applied and no direct conclusions from the observed variations in failure strain between woven and unwoven fibers are made.

5. References

1. Yang, H. H. *Kevlar Aramid Fiber*; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, 1992.
2. Parga-Landa, B.; Hernansez-Olivares F. An Analytical Model to Predict Impact Behavior of Soft Armours. *Int. J. Impact Eng.* **1995**, *16*, 455–66.
3. Cheng, M.; Chen, W.; Weerasooriya, T. Mechanical properties of Kevlar KM2 Single Fiber. *Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology* **2005**, *127*, 197–203.
4. Cheng, M.; Chen, W.; Weerasooriya, T. Experimental Investigation of the Transverse Mechanical Properties of a Single Kevlar KM2 Fiber. *Int. Journal of Solids and Structures* **2004**, *41*, 6251–6232.
5. Lim, J.; Zheng, J. Q.; Masters, K.; Chen, W W. Effects of Gage Length, Loading Rates, and Damage on the Strength of PPTA Fibers. *Int. J. Impact. Eng.* **2011**, *38*, 219–227.
6. Lane, R A. High Performance Fibers for Personal and Vehicle Armor Systems: Putting a Stop to Current and Future Threats. *The AMPTIAC Quarterly* **2005**, *9* (2), 3–5.
7. Hexcel Corporation. *Technical Fabrics Handbook*; Stamford, CT, 64–65.
8. ASTM-C-1557-03. Standard Test Method for Tensile Strength and Young's Modulus of Fibers. *Annu. Book ASTM Stand.* **2008**.
9. Lim, J.; Chen, W. W.; Zheng, J. Q. Dynamic Small Strain Measurements of Kevlar 129 Single Fibers With a Miniaturized Tension Kolsky Bar. *Polymer Testing* **2010**, *29*, 701–705.

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 DEFENSE TECHNICAL
(PDF INFORMATION CTR
only) DTIC OCA
8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD
STE 0944
FORT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
IMAL HRA
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

1 DIRECTOR
US ARMY RESEARCH LAB
RDRL CIO LL
2800 POWDER MILL RD
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 PURDUE UNIV
DEPT OF AERONAUTICS &
ASTRONAUTICS
W CHEN
701 W STADIUM AVE
W LAFAYETTE IN 47907-2045

1 UNIV OF NORTH TEXAS
DEPT OF MECHL & ENERGY ENGRNG
X NIE
STE F101
3940 N ELM ST
DENTON TX 76207

2 MASSACHUSETTS INST OF TECHLG
INST FOR SOLDIER
NANOTECHNOLOGIES
R RADOVITZKY
S SOCRATE
BLDG NE47 4TH FL
77 MASSACHUSETTS AVE
CAMBRIDGE MA 02139

1 HUMAN SYS DEPT
B SHENDER
CODE 4656 BLDG 2187 STE 2280A
NVL AIR WARFARE CTR
AIRCRAFT DIV
48110 SHAW RD UNIT 5
PATUXENT RIVER MD 20670-1906

2 DEPT OF MECHANICAL ENGRG
THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIV
LATROBE 122
K T RAMESH
V NGUYEN
3400 N CHARLES ST
BALTIMORE MD 21218

1 SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES
NANOSCALE & REACTIVE
PROCESSES
S SCHUMACHER
PO BOX 5800 MS 0836
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185-0836

1 UNIV OF TEXAS-AUSTIN
ARSPC ENGRG & ENGRG
MECHANICS
K RAVI-CHANDAR
1 UNIV STATION C0600
AUSTIN TX 78712-0235

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

3 JTAPIC PROGRAM OFC
US ARMY MEDICAL RSRCH &
MATERIEL CMND
MRMC RTB
J USCILOWICZ
W LEI
F LEBEDA
504 SCOTT ST
FORT DETRICK MD 21702-5012

1 NAVEL SURFACE WARFARE CTR
CODE 664
P DUDT
9500 MACARTHUR DR
WEST BETHESDA MD 20817

1 TARDEC
RDTA RS
R SCHERER
BLDG 200C RM 1150
WARREN MI 48397

1 NATICK SOLDIER RSRCH DEV &
ENGRG CTR
AMSRD NSC WS TB
M G CARBONI
KANSAS ST
BLDG 4 RM 247
NATICK MA 01760-5000

1 NATICK SOLDIER RSRCH DEV &
ENGRNG CTR
RDNS D
M CODEGA
KANSAS ST
NATICK MA 01760

1 NATICK SOLDIER RSRCH DEV &
ENGRNG CTR
RDNS WPW P
R DILALLA
KANSAS ST
NATICK MA 01760

1 NATICK SOLDIER RSRCH DEV &
ENGRNG CTR
RNDS TSM
M STATKUS
KANSAS ST
NATICK MA 01760

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

3 NATICK SOLDIER RSRCH DEV &
ENGRNG CTR
RDNS WSD B
J WARD
P CUNNIFF
M MAFFEO
KANSAS ST
NATICK MA 01760

2 SOUTHWEST RSRCH INST
MECHL & MATERIALS ENGRG DIV
MATERIALS ENGRNG DEPT
D NICOLELLA
W FRANCIS
6220 CULEBRA RD
SAN ANTONIO TX 78238

1 SANDIA NATIONAL LAB
PO BOX 969 MS 9404
B SONG
LIVERMORE CA 94551-0969

1 THE UNIV OF UTAH
50 S CENTRAL CAMPUS DR
K L MONSON
2132 MERRILL ENGRG BLDG
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84112

1 COLUMBIA UNIV
351 ENGRG TERRACE
B MORRISON
1210 AMSTERDAM AVE
MAIL CODE 8904
NEW YORK NY 10027

2 APPLIED RSRCH ASSOC INC
SOUTHWEST DIV
C E NEEDHAM
C BURRELL
4300 SAN MATEO BLVD NE
STE A-220
ALBUQUERQUE NM 87110

2 CENTER FOR INJURY
BIOMECHANICS
WAKE FOREST UNIV
J STITZEL
F S GAYZIK
MEDICAL CTR BLVD
WINSTON-SALAM NC 27157

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

1 THE AIR FORCE RSRCH LAB
AFRL/MLLMP
T TURNER
BLDG 655 RM 115
2230 TENTH ST
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB
OH 45433-7817

3 NATL GROUND INTLLGNC CTR
D EPPERLY
T SHAVER
T WATERBURY
2055 BOULDERS RD
CHARLOTTESVILLE VA 22911-8318

3 PROG EXECUTIVE OFC – SOLDIER
US ARMY DIR TECH MGMT
PROJ MGR - SOLDIER EQUIP
K MASTERS
C PERRITT
J ZHENG
15395 JOHN MARSHALL HWY
HAYMARKET VA 20169

2 SOUTHWEST RESEARCH INST
T HOLMQUIST
G JOHNSON
5353 WAYZATA BLVD STE 607
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55416

1 AIR FORCE RSRCH LAB
AFRL RWMW
B MARTIN
EGLIN AFB FL 32542

1 US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE
RDRL ROE M
D STEPP
PO BOX 12211
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK
NC 27709-2211

1 ADELPHI LABORATORY CTR
RDRL ROE N
L C RUSSELL
BLDG 205
ADELPHI MD 20783-1197

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND

1 US ARMY ABERDEEN TEST CTR
TEDT AT SLB
A FOURNIER
400 COLLERAN RD
APG MD 21005-5059

114 DIR USARL
RDRL CIH C
P CHUNG
RDRL HRS C
K MCDOWELL
K OIE
B LANCE
W HAIRSTON
J VETTEL
RDRL SL
R COATES
RDRL SLB A
B WARD
RDRL SLB W
A BREUER
N EBERIUS
P GILLICH
C KENNEDY
A KULAGA
W MERMAGEN
K RAFAELS
L ROACH
R SPINK
M TEGTMEYER
RDRL WM
P BAKER
B FORCH
S KARNA
J MCCAULEY
P PLOSTINS
RDRL WML
J NEWILL
M ZOLTOSKI
RDRL WMS
M VANLANDINGHAM
RDRL WML A
W OBERLE
RDRL WML G
J SOUTH
RDRL WML H
G BROWN
T EHLERS
M FERMEN-COKER
L MAGNESS
C MEYER
D SCHEFFLER

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

S SCHRAML
B SCHUSTER
RDRL WMM
B DOWDING
J BEATTY
RDRL WMM A
D O'BRIEN
R EMERSON
E WETZEL
RDRL WMM B
A BUJANDA
R CARTER
B CHEESEMAN
B LOVE
P MOY
G GAZONAS
C RANDOW
C YEN
RDRL WMM C
R JENSEN
J LA SCALA
D PAPPAS
J YIM
RDRL WMM D
E CHIN
S WALSH
W ZIEGLER
RDRL WMM E
G GILDE
J LASALVIA
P PATEL
J SINGH
J SWAB
RDRL WMM F
S GREND AHL
L KECSKES
E KLIER
RDRL WMM G
J LENHART
R MROZEK
A RAWLETT
K STRAWHECKER
RDRL WMP
S SCHOENFELD
RDRL WMP B
A DAGRO
A DWIVEDI
A GUNNARSSON
C HOPPEL
M LYNCH
D POWELL
B SANBORN (5 CPS)
S SATAPATHY
M SCHEIDLER

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

T WEERASOORIYA (5 CPS)
RDRL WMP C
R BECKER
S BILYK
T BJERKE
J BRADLEY
D CASEM
J CLAYTON
D DANDEKAR
M GREENFIELD
B LEAVY
C MEREDITH
M RAFTENBERG
C WILLIAMS
RDRL WMP D
R DONEY
D KLEPONIS
J RUNYEON
B SCOTT
B VONK
RDRL WMP E
S BARTUS
M BURKINS
D HACKBARTH
T JONES
RDRL WMP F
E FIORAVANTE
A FRYDMAN
N GNIAZDOWSKI
R GUPTA
R KARGUS
RDRL WMP G
N ELDREDGE

NO. OF
COPIES ORGANIZATION

- | | |
|---|--|
| 3 | DRDC VALCARTIER
K WILLIAMS
A BOUAMOUL
L MARTINEAU
D NANDLALL
2459 PIE-XI BLVD N
QUEBEC QC G3J 1X5
CANADA |
| 1 | DRDC TORONTO
C BURRELL
PO BOX 2000
1133 SHEPPARD AVE WEST
TORONTO ON M3M 3B9
CANADA |
| 1 | HUMAN PROTECTION &
PERFORMANCE DIV
DEFENCE SCI AND TECHLGY
ORGANISATION
DEPT OF DEFENCE
T RADTKE
BLDG 109 506 LORIMER ST
FISHERMANS BEND VICTORIA 3207
AUSTRALIA |
| 1 | DEFENCE SCI & TECHLGY
ORGANISATION
S WECKERT
PO BOX 1500
EDINBURGH SA 5111
AUSTRALIA |