



Risk of Diabetes in U.S. Military Service Members in Relation to Combat Deployment and Mental Health

Edward J. Boyko

Isabel G. Jacobson

Paul J. Amoroso

Besa Smith

Gary D. Gackstetter

Margaret A.K. Ryan

Elizabeth Barrett-Connor

Tomoko I. Hooper

Tyler C. Smith

for the Millennium Cohort Study Team



Naval Health Research Center

Report No. 09-35

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the U. S. Government.

. Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

***Naval Health Research Center
140 Sylvester Road
San Diego, California 92106***

Risk of Diabetes in U.S. Military Service Members in Relation to Combat Deployment and Mental Health

EDWARD J. BOYKO, MD¹
ISABEL G. JACOBSON, MPH²
BESA SMITH, PHD³
MARGARET A.K. RYAN, MD³
TOMOKO I. HOOPER, MD⁴
PAUL J. AMOROSO, MD⁵

GARY D. GACKSTETTER, PHD⁶
ELIZABETH BARRETT-CONNOR, MD⁷
TYLER C. SMITH, PHD²
FOR THE MILLENNIUM COHORT STUDY
TEAM*

OBJECTIVE — Few prospective data exist on the risk of diabetes in individuals serving in the U.S. military. The objectives of this study were to determine whether military deployment, combat exposures, and mental health conditions were related to the risk of newly reported diabetes over 3 years.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Data were from Millennium Cohort Study participants who completed baseline (July 2001–June 2003) and follow-up (June 2004–February 2006) questionnaires (follow-up response rate = 71.4%). After exclusion criteria were applied, adjusted analyses included 44,754 participants (median age 36 years, range 18–68 years). Survey instruments collected demographics, height, weight, lifestyle, military service, clinician-diagnosed diabetes, and other physical and mental health conditions. Deployment was defined by U.S. Department of Defense databases, and combat exposure was assessed by self-report at follow-up. Odds of newly reported diabetes were estimated using logistic regression analysis.

RESULTS — Occurrence of diabetes during follow-up was 3 per 1,000 person-years. Individuals reporting diabetes at follow-up were significantly older, had greater baseline BMI, and were less likely to be Caucasian. After adjustment for age, sex, BMI, education, race/ethnicity, military service characteristics, and mental health conditions, only baseline posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) was significantly associated with risk of diabetes (odds ratio 2.07 [95% CI 1.31–3.29]). Deployments since September 2001 were not significantly related to higher diabetes risk, with or without combat exposure.

CONCLUSIONS — In this military cohort, PTSD symptoms at baseline but not other mental health symptoms or military deployment experience were significantly associated with future risk of self-reported diabetes.

Diabetes Care 33:1771–1777, 2010

From the ¹Seattle Epidemiologic Research and Information Center, Department of Veterans Affairs Puget Sound Health Care System, Seattle, Washington; the ²Department of Deployment Health Research, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, California; the ³Naval Hospital Camp Pendleton, Camp Pendleton, California; the ⁴Department of Preventive Medicine and Biometrics, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland; the ⁵Madigan Army Medical Center, Tacoma, Washington; ⁶Analytic Services, Inc. (ANSER), Arlington, Virginia; and the ⁷Division of Epidemiology/Family and Preventive Medicine, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, California.

Corresponding author: Edward J. Boyko, eboyko@uw.edu.

Received 15 February 2010 and accepted 23 April 2010. Published ahead of print at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org> on 18 May 2010. DOI: 10.2337/dc10-0296.

*Additional members of the Millennium Cohort Study Team can be found in the APPENDIX.

This represents report 09-35, supported by the U.S. Department of Defense, under work unit no. 60002. The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of the Navy, Department of the Army, Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government. This research has been conducted in compliance with all applicable federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects in research (protocol NHRC.2000.0007). The funding organization had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, analysis, or preparation of data; or preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript. © 2010 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

The risk of type 2 diabetes continues to increase worldwide, and multiple risk factors for this condition have been identified. Few studies, though, have assessed risk in a younger working population or focused on U.S. military service members, a relatively healthy population that experiences unique occupational exposures, including military deployments. Furthermore, this population is at higher risk for psychiatric disorders such as depression and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (1,2) that may also be related to higher diabetes risk. Studies have reported an increase in risk of diabetes associated with depression (2,3), and cross-sectional studies have reported an association between PTSD and diabetes (4), although it is not possible without a prospective design to establish whether PTSD preceded or followed its development. Potential mechanisms linking depression and PTSD to diabetes might involve the stress response associated with these conditions contributing to inflammation and insulin resistance (5).

We examined the incidence of and risk factors for diabetes among U.S. service members participating in the Millennium Cohort Study, an ongoing prospective investigation of health outcomes associated with military service. We focused on unique exposures in this population related to deployment and the presence of mental health conditions as potential predictors for the development of new-onset diabetes in this cohort.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The Millennium Cohort Study enrolled its first panel of participants between July 2001 and June 2003. Those initially invited comprised an 11.3% stratified random sample of the 2.2 million men and women in service as of 1 October 2000. A total of 77,047 individuals completed the baseline survey, representing 36% of invited personnel. During the first follow-up survey between June 2004 and February 2006, 55,021 (71.4%) individuals completed the follow-up survey questionnaire.

The primary data for these analyses derive from self-administered surveys

Table 1—Baseline characteristics among nondiabetic individuals by presence of new-onset diabetes at follow-up

	No	Yes	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)*	P value
<i>n</i>	44,378	376		
Sex				
Male	31,895 (73.6)	264 (72.3)	1.00	0.7082
Female	11,450 (26.4)	101 (27.7)	1.04 (0.83–1.31)	
Race/ethnicity				
Caucasian	31,127 (71.8)	238 (65.2)	1.00	0.0006
African American	5,042 (11.6)	69 (18.9)	1.81 (1.39–2.36)	
Hispanic	2,493 (5.8)	23 (6.3)	1.29 (0.85–1.95)	
Asian	3,748 (8.6)	27 (7.4)	1.03 (0.70–1.50)	
Other	935 (2.2)	8 (2.2)	1.10 (0.54–2.23)	
Education				
No high school diploma	2,236 (5.2)	19 (5.2)	1.00	0.0400
High school diploma/equivalent	16,292 (37.6)	141 (38.6)	1.04 (0.65–1.69)	
Some college	11,497 (26.5)	118 (32.3)	1.26 (0.77–2.04)	
Bachelor's degree	8,343 (19.2)	56 (15.3)	0.80 (0.47–1.35)	
Master's/postdoctoral degree	4,977 (11.5)	31 (8.5)	0.83 (0.47–1.46)	
Continuous demographic variables				
Age (years) at baseline submission	36.3 ± 8.9	41.7 ± 10.3	1.07 (1.05–1.08)	<.0001
BMI	26.0 ± 3.3	28.8 ± 3.9	1.22 (1.19–1.25)	<.0001
Deployment before 2001				
No previous deployments	26,896 (62.1)	239 (65.5)	1.00	0.6983
1991 Gulf War only	3,428 (7.9)	29 (7.9)	0.97 (0.66–1.42)	
Bosnia/Kosovo/Southwest Asia only	10,797 (24.9)	78 (21.4)	0.87 (0.68–1.11)	
Both contingencies	2,224 (5.1)	19 (5.2)	1.06 (0.67–1.65)	
Deployment to OEF/OIF before baseline				
No	41,716 (96.2)	356 (97.5)	1.00	0.3902
Yes	1,629 (3.8)	9 (2.5)	0.77 (0.42–1.40)	
Deployed to OEF/OIF between baseline and follow-up				
Nondeployed	33,624 (77.6)	310 (84.9)	1.00	0.0038
Deployed without combat exposures	4,908 (11.3)	24 (6.6)	0.54 (0.36–0.81)	
Deployed with combat exposures	4,813 (11.1)	31 (8.5)	0.72 (0.50–1.03)	
Number of deployments between baseline and follow-up				
0	33,624 (77.6)	310 (84.9)	1.00	0.0055
1	4,639 (10.7)	31 (8.5)	0.77 (0.54–1.10)	
2	2,965 (6.8)	11 (3.0)	0.39 (0.21–0.72)	
3	2,117 (4.9)	13 (3.6)	0.65 (0.37–1.14)	
Separated from military as of follow-up				
No	37,504 (86.5)	273 (74.8)	1.00	<.0001
Yes	5,841 (13.5)	92 (25.2)	2.20 (1.74–2.78)	
Branch of service				
Army	19,980 (46.1)	183 (50.1)	1.00	0.0685
Navy/Coast Guard	8,177 (18.9)	79 (21.6)	1.05 (0.81–1.36)	
Marines	1,843 (4.3)	8 (2.2)	0.52 (0.27–1.03)	
Air Force	13,345 (30.8)	95 (26.0)	0.80 (0.63–1.03)	
Component				
Reserve/Guard	19,214 (44.3)	202 (55.3)	1.00	<.0001
Active duty	24,131 (55.7)	163 (44.7)	0.65 (0.53–0.79)	
Alcohol consumption				
None	23,129 (53.4)	235 (64.4)	1.00	0.0007
Problem drinking only	297 (0.7)	1 (0.3)	0.64 (0.16–2.60)	
Binge drinking only	15,505 (35.8)	99 (27.1)	0.63 (0.50–0.80)	
Both of the above	4,414 (10.2)	30 (8.2)	0.68 (0.47–0.99)	

(continued)

Table 1—Continued

	No	Yes	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)*	P value
Smoking status				
Nonsmoker	25,862 (59.7)	208 (57.0)	1.00	0.6771
Past smoker	10,898 (25.1)	102 (27.9)	1.11 (0.88–1.40)	
Current smoker	6,585 (15.2)	55 (15.1)	1.00 (0.75–1.35)	
Major depressive disorder				
No	42,209 (97.4)	347 (95.1)	1.00	0.0047
Yes	1,136 (2.6)	18 (4.9)	1.95 (1.23–3.11)	
Panic disorder				
No	42,898 (99.0)	353 (96.7)	1.00	<.0001
Yes	447 (1.0)	12 (3.3)	3.19 (1.78–5.71)	
Anxiety disorder				
No	42,594 (98.3)	353 (96.7)	1.00	0.0130
Yes	751 (1.7)	12 (3.3)	2.03 (1.16–3.54)	
PTSD				
No	41,781 (96.4)	334 (91.5)	1.00	<.0001
Yes	1,564 (3.6)	31 (8.5)	2.56 (1.78–3.67)	

Data are *n* (%) or mean $\pm$ SD. *N* = 44,754. *Bold values indicate statistical significance at *P* < 0.05.

and linked Department of Defense (DoD) databases. The Naval Health Research Center conducted the survey independent of the service member's local command by contacting the study participant directly through postal mail or e-mail and not sharing results with the participant's local command. Information collected in the surveys included occurrence of diabetes, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, symptoms of depression, panic, and anxiety (via the Patient Health Questionnaire [PHQ]) (6), weight, height, and PTSD symptoms (via the PTSD Checklist-Civilian Version [PCL-C]) (7). The PCL-C is a 17-item self-report rating of PTSD symptoms during the past 30 days on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely). PTSD symptoms at baseline were defined as a report of a moderate or higher level of at least one intrusion symptom, three avoidance symptoms, and two hyperarousal symptoms (criteria established by DSM-IV) (8). Other anxiety (6 items) and panic (15 items) symptoms were assessed with the PHQ instrument. Self-reported depression symptoms (9 items) were assessed using the PHQ (sensitivity = 0.93; specificity = 0.89) and correspond to the depression diagnosis based on the DSM-IV (9). Symptoms of depression at baseline were defined as 1) endorsement of depressed mood or anhedonia and 2) response of "more than half the days" or "nearly every day" to at least 5 of the 9 items. Problem drinking was defined as a positive response on ≥ 1 of 5 PHQ items pertaining to functional impairment due

to alcohol or having received medical advice to cut down on drinking. Binge drinking was defined as consuming ≥ 5 drinks for men or ≥ 4 for women on at least 1 day in the past year. Nonsmokers were defined as not having smoked ≥ 100 cigarettes in their lifetime, past smokers as having smoked ≥ 100 cigarettes but not at baseline, and current smokers had smoked ≥ 100 cigarettes and were actively smoking at baseline. BMI was calculated from self-reported data as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters (2).

The DoD Manpower Data Center in Seaside, California, provided information on demographic and occupational information as of 1 October 2000, when the sample was pulled, including sex, birth year, educational level, marital status, pay grade (enlisted or officer), race/ethnicity, service component (active duty or Reserve/Guard), service branch (Army, Air Force, Navy/Coast Guard, or Marine Corps), service occupation, and deployment experience in support of Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom (OEF/OIF) between 2001 and 2004. We further categorized deployment status as deployed with combat exposure if a subject self-reported at least one combat experience in the past 3 years, including witnessing death, trauma, prisoners of war, or refugees.

Outcomes

Occurrence of self-reported diabetes at follow-up was assessed with the following question "In the last 3 years, has your doc-

tor or other health care professional told you that you have any of the following conditions?" [A list of medical conditions followed that included "Diabetes or sugar diabetes (Yes/No)."] The aim of this analysis was to identify risk factors for type 2 diabetes. Therefore, DoD medical record databases were used to identify and exclude individuals who developed type 1 diabetes during follow-up as reflected by the appearance of ICD-CM codes 250.x1 or 250.x3. Survey data rather than medical encounter data were used as our primary sources for capturing new-onset diabetes because members of the Reserves and National Guard do not generally have access to the DoD health care system between periods of activation. Thus, instances of medical care and diagnoses may not be captured by a review of these databases.

Statistical analysis

We compared baseline characteristics and deployment of study subjects by the occurrence of self-reported diabetes at follow-up. Logistic regression analysis to estimate odds ratios (ORs), 95% CIs, and *P* values was conducted. Characteristics from Table 1 were then separately examined in logistic models that adjusted for known risk factors for diabetes available from the survey or DoD databases (age, sex, ethnicity, educational attainment, and BMI) (Table 2). A final multivariable model was developed using age, sex, ethnicity, educational attainment, and BMI; in addition, backward stepwise regression was used that initially included all

Table 2—Relative odds of incident self-reported diabetes by each baseline characteristic of interest adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, education, and BMI

Baseline characteristic	OR (95% CI)*	P value
Deployment before 2001		0.5839
No previous deployments	1.00	
1991 Gulf War only	0.78 (0.53–1.16)	
Bosnia/Kosovo/Southwest Asia only	1.06 (0.82–1.38)	
Both contingencies	0.98 (0.62–1.55)	
Deployment to OEF/OIF before baseline		0.8744
No	1.00	
Yes	0.95 (0.52–1.75)	
Deployed to OEF/OIF between baseline and follow-up		0.1444
Nondeployed	1.00	
Deployed without combat exposures	0.66 (0.44–1.00)	
Deployed with combat exposures	0.99 (0.68–1.42)	
Number of deployments between baseline and follow-up		0.2272
0	1.00	
1	0.94 (0.66–1.34)	
2	0.53 (0.29–0.97)	
3	0.90 (0.51–1.59)	
Separated from military as of follow-up		<0.0001
No	1.00	
Yes	1.86 (1.45–2.39)	
Branch of service		0.4113
Army	1.00	
Navy/Coast Guard	1.16 (0.88–1.52)	
Marines	0.97 (0.49–1.93)	
Air Force	0.87 (0.66–1.15)	
Component		0.9435
Reserve/Guard	1.00	
Active duty	0.99 (0.78–1.26)	
Alcohol consumption		0.5004
None	1.00	
Problem drinking only	0.72 (0.18–2.93)	
Binge drinking only	0.83 (0.65–1.06)	
Both of the above	0.92 (0.63–1.35)	
Smoking status		0.3185
Nonsmoker	1.00	
Past smoker	0.87 (0.68–1.11)	
Current smoker	1.12 (0.82–1.51)	
Depressive disorder		0.0838
No	1.00	
Yes	1.54 (0.94–2.50)	
Panic disorder		0.0005
No	1.00	
Yes	2.88 (1.58–5.24)	
Other anxiety disorder		0.0488
No	1.00	
Yes	1.77 (1.00–3.13)	
PTSD		<0.0001
No	1.00	
Yes	2.24 (1.54–3.26)	

N = 44,754. *Bold values indicate statistical significance at $P < 0.05$.

variables from the models in Table 2 that were significant at $P < 0.10$ (Table 3). We assessed the presence of interaction between each psychiatric condition with sex and separation from service (separation

assessed at follow-up). Variables were retained in the final model if $P < 0.10$ before the inclusion of interaction terms. Regression diagnostics included examining covariates for multicollinearity. We con-

ducted all data analyses using SAS software (version 9.1.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS— Among the 55,021 subjects who completed the 3-year follow-up survey, exclusions for this analysis were made for the following reasons: 1 person withdrew permission to use his or her data, 454 had missing responses to the diabetes question at baseline, 722 reported diabetes at baseline, 29 had type 1 diabetes during follow-up, 3,538 had missing data on any covariate, 3,284 deployed before the baseline survey, and 2,239 had missing diabetes response at follow-up. Among the 44,754 subjects available for this analysis, 376 reported developing diabetes within the 3-year follow-up period. A univariate comparison of baseline characteristics by diabetes status at follow-up revealed that individuals who developed diabetes were significantly older, had a higher BMI, and were more likely to be African American (Table 1). Certain military service characteristics differed by whether diabetes developed, including separation from military service since baseline, deployment without combat exposure, and active duty as opposed to Reserve/National Guard status (Table 1). Binge and/or problem drinking was less frequent at baseline among individuals who developed diabetes, whereas symptoms of all four psychiatric conditions were more common (Table 1).

Comparison of baseline characteristics by diabetes occurrence at follow-up adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, education, and BMI reduced the magnitude of the associations between most exposures and odds of diabetes (Table 2). Statistically significant associations remained only with the following exposures: two deployments since baseline, separation from service, panic disorder, other anxiety disorder, and PTSD at baseline.

A multivariable model to predict newly reported diabetes was constructed, and assessment of interaction was conducted as described previously (Table 3). Only the interaction between sex and depression was significant ($P = 0.048$). Results for the association between odds of diabetes and depression are shown stratified by sex (Table 3). Significant interactions were not found between having separated from service and symptoms of psychiatric conditions.

The final multivariable model included the variables shown in Table 3. Older age or larger BMI, non-Caucasian ethnicity, having separated from the mil-

Table 3—Adjusted relative odds of incident self-reported diabetes

Baseline characteristic*	OR (95% CI)†	P value
Age in years at baseline submission	1.06 (1.05–1.08)	<0.001
BMI	1.20 (1.17–1.23)	<0.001
Race/ethnicity		0.016
Caucasian	1.00	
African American	1.46 (1.10–1.94)	
Hispanic	1.35 (0.89–2.05)	
Asian	1.67 (1.11–2.52)	
Other	1.07 (0.52–2.19)	
Education		0.005
No high school diploma	1.00	
High school diploma or equivalent	1.26 (0.77–2.06)	
Some college	1.28 (0.78–2.11)	
Bachelor's degree	0.84 (0.49–1.43)	
Master's/postdoctoral degree	0.65 (0.36–1.19)	
Separated from military as of follow-up		<0.001
No	1.00	
Yes	2.18 (1.61–2.93)	
Component		0.034
Reserve/Guard	1.00	
Active duty	0.74 (0.56–0.98)	
Panic disorder		0.067
No	1.00	
Yes	1.86 (0.96–3.60)	
PTSD		0.002
No	1.00	
Yes	2.07 (1.31–3.29)	
Depression and sex interaction		
Nondepressed men	1.00	
Depressed men	1.14 (0.60–2.15)	0.695
Nondepressed women	1.63 (1.26–2.13)	<0.001
Depressed women	0.51 (0.15–1.70)	0.273

N = 44,754. *The multivariable model has been adjusted for each baseline characteristic listed in the table and also military occupation as shown in the supplementary Tables 1–3, available in an online appendix at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/full/dc10-0296/DC1>. †Bold values indicate statistical significance at $P < 0.05$.

itary, and PTSD were significantly associated with an increased odds of incident diabetes. The OR for panic disorder remained elevated but was no longer statistically significant. Higher educational level and being on active duty were associated with a lower odds of diabetes. The interaction between sex and depression revealed that depressed men and women did not have a significantly higher odds of diabetes compared with nondepressed men (Table 3). Nondepressed women, though, had higher odds of diabetes than nondepressed men.

The Venn diagram displays the co-occurrence of symptoms of PTSD, depression, and panic in this population (Fig. 1). There is moderate overlap of these conditions. The majority of individuals who met the criteria for symptoms of depression also were found to have PTSD symptoms (57%). A smaller proportion of

individuals with PTSD symptoms had co-existing symptoms of depression (42%).

CONCLUSIONS— PTSD symptoms were independently related to the occurrence of self-reported diabetes in this population. All psychiatric conditions considered were associated with a higher risk in unadjusted analyses (Table 1). The association with depression was diminished in magnitude and no longer statistically significant after adjustment for baseline factors commonly associated with type 2 diabetes (Table 2). Only PTSD symptoms remained strongly and significantly related to diabetes occurrence in a multivariable model that considered all psychiatric conditions and characteristics of military service (Table 3).

Several features of military service were also associated with diabetes risk, including Reserve status and having sep-

arated from the military between baseline and follow-up. Active-duty service may be associated with higher energy expenditure that would be expected to lower diabetes risk. We could not assess this factor directly because we did not measure physical activity at the baseline survey. The reasons for the association between separation from military service and higher diabetes risk are also unknown but might plausibly reflect medical discharge due to uncontrolled diabetes, failure to reenlist due to declining health, or higher diagnostic intensity associated with the process of being discharged from military service.

Deployment without combat exposure was associated with a lower risk of diabetes in unadjusted analyses compared with nondeployment, but this association was only borderline significant after adjustment for known diabetes risk factors (Table 2). This lower risk is probably due to the screening process used to select deployers, an aim of which is to exclude individuals who do not meet medical and/or fitness criteria for this assignment (10). A 14-year follow-up survey of 1991 Gulf War era veterans did not find a statistically significant difference in prevalence of diabetes associated with deployment in this conflict (11).

Research on the biological correlates of PTSD and diabetes revealed some intriguing similarities and differences. Common findings include higher levels of inflammatory markers and endothelial dysfunction (12,13). Neuroanatomical similarities have been reported, with lower hippocampal volumes demonstrated in relation to PTSD and diabetes (14,15). The hippocampus plays an important role in learning and memory and may also regulate food intake (16). Whether these brain-imaging findings precede or follow the development of diabetes and PTSD is not known. Abnormalities of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis have been reported in both pre-diabetes and diabetes, as demonstrated by excess cortisol production (17). Björntorp et al. (17) proposed that stressful experiences activate the hypothalamic-pituitary axis, leading to excessive cortisol production, central body fat distribution, insulin resistance, and associated metabolic abnormalities. Some but not all investigations of cortisol production in individuals with PTSD, though, have reported paradoxically low cortisol levels compared with those in control subjects (18). Individuals with PTSD fre-




Figure 1—Co-occurrence of PTSD, panic, and depression among subjects at baseline.

quently have sleep disorders and shorter sleep duration, both of which have been found to be risk factors for type 2 diabetes (19,20). We could not address this issue directly because the survey did not include questions specifically designed to address sleep quality or duration.

In contrast to our findings, a meta-analysis of multiple observational studies has reported a higher risk of diabetes associated with depression (2). In common with PTSD, depression is associated with hippocampal atrophy and sleep disorders but differs in that higher cortisol production is often observed (21,22). No significant association between depression and the new onset of diabetes was seen after adjustment for covariates, suggesting that the depression-diabetes association in this cohort can be explained by other known risk factors. A likely explanatory variable is being overweight, a major diabetes risk factor and more common in those with depression. In addition, the high co-occurrence of PTSD and depression in this population may indicate that in other studies not directly measuring both of these conditions, depression may serve as a surrogate marker for PTSD and possibly not be otherwise independently associated with diabetes. To our knowledge, no prior study of depression and diabetes has adjusted for the presence of PTSD. Furthermore, although our sub-

jects were younger than those included in several previous studies of depression and diabetes, it does not seem that this age difference can explain the absence of an association, because studies have reported that the risk of diabetes associated with depression was higher in individuals younger than age 50 years (23).

A significant interaction between sex and depression was observed: nondepressed women were at significantly higher risk of diabetes than nondepressed men, whereas the risk in depressed women was not increased. We are not aware of a plausible explanation for this finding, and further research will be needed to determine whether this is a chance association.

There are several limitations to this study. Self-reported diabetes status was used without direct testing or medical record confirmation. A review of the validity of self-reported diabetes based on survey data compared with clinical assessment or review of medical encounter data demonstrated sensitivities ranging from 70 to 99% (median 81%) and specificities from 92 to 99% in 11 studies (24). Therefore, it is likely that we captured considerably more than half of the cases of diabetes at both baseline and follow-up; there is a low probability of falsely classified cases given the high specificity of self-report. The 36% participation rate

at baseline could potentially have led to bias only if nonparticipation was linked to both mental health conditions and higher (or lower) future diabetes risk. Loss to follow-up (28.6%) is a potential source of bias if an association existed between the likelihood of being lost to follow-up and both the outcome and exposure. The filter used to determine deployment eligibility resulted in apparently healthier individuals receiving this assignment, as reflected by the lower risk of diabetes in this group in unadjusted analyses. Whether our adjusted models adequately accounted for this confounding is uncertain. Mental health conditions were assessed using self-reported survey data that presumably represent clinician diagnosis but were not validated here. The definitions of mental health conditions were based on established survey methods that have high levels of sensitivity and specificity. The PCL-C has been shown to have high discrimination with an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of 0.88 compared with the gold standard Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (25). We cannot eliminate the possibility that subjects underreported mental health symptoms because of concern of adverse consequences regarding their service record. To ease this concern, we did not involve local command in the distribution or processing of surveys and provided assurances of confidentiality in the consent form that the information provided would be used for research purposes only. We did not account for use of psychoactive medications in this cohort, several of which have been reported to be associated with a higher risk of diabetes (26), and we cannot exclude the possibility that medications prescribed for treatment of PTSD symptoms led to diabetes. However, we did ask the following general question at baseline about the use of these medications: "Are you currently taking any medication for anxiety, depression, or stress?" Of individuals meeting criteria for PTSD, 21.4% responded affirmatively to this question. This low exposure to psychoactive pharmaceuticals argues against use of these medications potentially explaining the observed association between PTSD and diabetes risk. The potential exists for diabetes detection bias in individuals under medical treatment for PTSD. Because we did not identify PTSD through medical encounters the likelihood of this bias should not be high. It is not clear whether PTSD symptoms reported at baseline were the result of ex-

periences that occurred as a result of military service or during civilian life. Because development of type 1 diabetes results in medical discharge from military service, we believe that we were able to identify all such individuals and exclude them from this analysis.

In summary, we found that symptoms of PTSD but not depression were independently associated with an increased risk of diabetes in military service members. To our knowledge this is the first report of a prospective association between PTSD and diabetes, and confirmation by additional research is needed.

Acknowledgments—The Millennium Cohort Study is funded through the Military Operational Medicine Research Program of the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, Fort Detrick, Maryland. The Department of Veterans Affairs supported E.J.B.'s involvement with this research.

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

E.J.B., B.S., M.A.K.R., T.I.H., G.D.G., and T.C.S. developed the study concept and design. E.J.B., I.G.J., B.S., T.I.H., G.D.G., E.B.-C., and T.C.S. analyzed and interpreted data. E.J.B. and I.G.J. performed statistical analysis. M.A.K.R. and T.C.S. supervised the study. E.J.B. and I.G.J. wrote the manuscript. E.J.B., I.G.J., B.S., T.I.H., P.J.A., G.D.G., E.B.-C., and T.C.S. revised/edited the manuscript.

We are indebted to the Millennium Cohort Study participants, without whom these analyses would not be possible. We thank Scott L. Seggerman from the Management Information Division, Defense Manpower Data Center, Seaside, California. In addition, we thank Melissa Bagnell, MPH, Gina Creaven, MBA, James Davies, Lacy Farnell, Nisara Granado, MPH, PhD, Gia Gumbs, MPH, Jaime Horton, Cynthia LeardMann, MPH, Travis Leleu, Jamie McGrew, Amanda Pietrucha, MPH, Teresa Powell, MS, Amber Seelig, MPH, Katherine Snell, Steven Speigle, Kari Welch, MA, Martin White, MPH, James Whitmer, and Charlene Wong, MPH, from the Department of Defense Center for Deployment Health Research, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, California, and Michelle Stoia, also from the Naval Health Research Center. We also thank the professionals from the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, especially those from the Military Operational Medicine Research Program, Fort Detrick, Maryland. We appreciate the support of the Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Rockville, Maryland. These individuals provided assistance as part of their official duties as employees of the Department of Defense, and none received additional financial compensation.

APPENDIX—In addition to the authors, the Millennium Cohort Study Team includes Gregory C. Gray, MD, MPH, College of Public Health and Health Professions (Gainesville, FL), James R. Riddle, DVM, MPH, and Timothy S. Wells, DVM, PhD.

References

- Hoge CW, Castro CA, Messer SC, McGurk D, Cotting DI, Koffman RL. Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:13–22
- Mezuk B, Eaton WW, Albrecht S, Golden SH. Depression and type 2 diabetes over the lifespan: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:2383–2390
- Cosgrove MP, Sargeant LA, Griffin SJ. Does depression increase the risk of developing type 2 diabetes? *Occup Med (Lond)* 2008;58:7–14
- Goodwin RD, Davidson JR. Self-reported diabetes and posttraumatic stress disorder among adults in the community. *Prev Med* 2005;40:570–574
- Black PH. The inflammatory consequences of psychologic stress: relationship to insulin resistance, obesity, atherosclerosis and diabetes mellitus, type II. *Med Hypotheses* 2006;67:879–91
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ Primary Care Study. *Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire. JAMA* 1999;282:1737–1744
- Blanchard EB, Jones-Alexander J, Buckley TC, Forneris CA. Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). *Behav Res Ther* 1996;34:669–673
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 4th ed. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Association, 1994
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med* 2001;16:606–613
- Individual protection and individual unit deployment policy, Oct 2001 (with updates through Jan 2009) [policy letter]. MacDill Air Force Base, FL, U.S. Department of Defense, Commander, Central Command, 2009
- Kang HK, Li B, Mahan CM, Eisen SA, Engel CC. Health of US veterans of 1991 Gulf War: a follow-up survey in 10 years. *J Occup Environ Med* 2009;51:401–410
- Goldberg RB. Cytokine and cytokine-like inflammation markers, endothelial dysfunction, and imbalanced coagulation in development of diabetes and its complications. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009;94:3171–3182
- von Känel R, Hepp U, Kraemer B, Traber R, Keel M, Mica L, Schnyder U. Evidence for low-grade systemic proinflammatory activity in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. *J Psychiatr Res* 2007;41:744–752
- den Heijer T, Vermeer SE, van Dijk EJ, Prins ND, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, Breteler MM. Type 2 diabetes and atrophy of medial temporal lobe structures on brain MRI. *Diabetologia* 2003;46:1604–1610
- Kasai K, Yamasue H, Gilbertson MW, Shenton ME, Rauch SL, Pitman RK. Evidence for acquired perigenual anterior cingulate gray matter loss from a twin study of combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2008;63:550–556
- Davidson TL, Kanoski SE, Schier LA, Clegg DJ, Benoit SC. A potential role for the hippocampus in energy intake and body weight regulation. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2007;7:613–616
- Björntorp P, Holm G, Rosmond R. Hypothalamic arousal, insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med* 1999;16:373–83
- Yehuda R. Current status of cortisol findings in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2002;25:341–368, vii
- Mallon L, Broman JE, Hetta J. High incidence of diabetes in men with sleep complaints or short sleep duration: a 12-year follow-up study of a middle-aged population. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28:2762–2767
- Singareddy RK, Balon R. Sleep in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Ann Clin Psychiatry* 2002;14:183–190
- Brown ES, Varghese FP, McEwen BS. Association of depression with medical illness: does cortisol play a role? *Biol Psychiatry* 2004;55:1–9
- Nutt D, Wilson S, Paterson L. Sleep disorders as core symptoms of depression. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2008;10:329–336
- Brown LC, Majumdar SR, Newman SC, Johnson JA. History of depression increases risk of type 2 diabetes in younger adults. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28:1063–1067
- Saydah SH, Geiss LS, Tierney E, Benjamin SM, Engelgau M, Brancati F. Review of the performance of methods to identify diabetes cases among vital statistics, administrative, and survey data. *Ann Epidemiol* 2004;14:507–516
- Yeager DE, Magruder KM, Knapp RG, Nicholas JS, Frueh BC. Performance characteristics of the posttraumatic stress disorder checklist and SPAN in Veterans Affairs primary care settings. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2007;29:294–301
- Rubin RR, Ma Y, Marrero DG, Peyrot M, Barrett-Connor EL, Kahn SE, Haffner SM, Price DW, Knowler WC, Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Elevated depression symptoms, antidepressant medicine use, and risk of developing diabetes during the diabetes prevention program. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:420–426

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB Control number. **PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.**

1. REPORT DATE (DD MM YY) 12 18 09		2. REPORT TYPE Journal submission		3. DATES COVERED (from – to) 2003–2006	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Risk of Diabetes in US Military Service Members in Relation to Combat Deployment and Mental Health				5a. Contract Number: 5b. Grant Number: 5c. Program Element Number: 5d. Project Number: 5e. Task Number: 5f. Work Unit Number: 60002	
6. AUTHORS Edward J. Boyko, MD, MPH; Isabel G. Jacobson, MPH; Besa Smith, MPH, PhD; Margaret A.K. Ryan, MD, MPH; Tomoko I. Hooper, MD, MPH; Paul J. Amoroso, MD, MPH; Gary D. Gackstetter, DVM, MPH, PhD; Elizabeth Barrett-Connor, MD; Tyler C. Smith, MS, PhD; for the Millennium Cohort Team					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commanding Officer Naval Health Research Center 140 Sylvester Rd San Diego, CA 92106-3521					
8. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commanding Officer Naval Medical Research Center 503 Robert Grant Ave Silver Spring, MD 20910-7500 Commander Navy Medicine Support Command P.O. Box 140 Jacksonville, FL 32212-0140				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER Report No. 09-35	
				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) NMRC/NMSC	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT Objective: To determine whether military deployment, combat exposure, and mental health conditions were related to risk of newly reported diabetes over a 3-year survey interval. Methods: Data were from the Millennium Cohort Study and included 44,754 participants. Survey instruments provided information on demographics, height, weight, lifestyle, military service, clinician-diagnosed diabetes, and other physical and mental health conditions. Odds of newly reported diabetes were estimated using logistic regression analysis. Results: After adjusting for potential confounding covariates, only baseline posttraumatic stress disorder was significantly associated with risk of diabetes (odds ratio 2.07, 95% confidence interval 1.31–3.29). Conclusions: In this military cohort, PTSD symptoms at baseline, but not other mental health symptoms or military deployment experience, were significantly associated with future risk of self-reported diabetes.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS diabetes, posttraumatic stress disorder, mental health, deployment					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UNCL	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 8	18a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Commanding Officer
a. REPORT UNCL	b. ABSTRACT UNCL	c. THIS PAGE UNCL			18b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDING AREA CODE) COMM/DSN: (619) 553-8429