Common Low-cost IM Explosive Program







November 30, 2011

Department of the Army Office of the Project Manager for Combat Ammunition Systems Attn: Mr. Charlie Patel Tel 973-724-8507 Fax 973-724-2048 charlie.patel@us.army.mil Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey 07806-5000

Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release

Report Docume	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188				
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 30 NOV 2011	3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2011 to 00-00-2011				
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE	5a. CONTRACT NUMBER				
Common Low-cost IM Explosive Prog	5b. GRANT NUMBER				
	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER				
6. AUTHOR(S)	5d. PROJECT NUMBER				
	5e. TASK NUMBER				
	5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND AE Office of the Project Manager for Com Systems, PEO-AMMO, PM-CAS, B172,	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER				
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) A	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)				
	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)				
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the Partners in Environmental Technology Technical Symposium & Workshop, 29 Nov ? 1 Dec 2011, Washington, DC. Sponsored by SERDP and ESTCP					
14. ABSTRACT One of the tenets of the Project Manag management of tube-launched indirect projectiles and cartridges for artillery four for 105mm artillery, three for 155	fire munitions. Contained within the and mortar applications. There are a	is area are high explosive (HE) a total of fifteen HE projectiles:			

four for 105mm artillery, three for 155mm artillery three for 60mm, three for 81mm and two for 120mm mortar. All of these HE cartridges use either TNT or Comp-B fill. The PM decided to take a holistic review of pursuing IM and elected to execute the Common Low-cost Insensitive Munitons Explosive program. The CLIMEx Program Goals are as follows: Primary Goal ? Selection of one single common explosive fill for all artillery and mortar products; Secondary Goal ? Selection of two explosive fills, one that is common for replacement of TNT and another that is common for replacement of Comp B. During Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the CLIMEx program, a world-wide search of candidates was completed. During Phase 1, twenty three candidates were subjected to a battery of IM tests as per the specified protocol. The test protocol was established to account for screening candidates in a fair manner and at a affordable cost and schedule impact. Based on the results of the tests 3 candidates were identified as suitable to replace TNT and one candidate was identified as a candidate to replace Comp B. The three TNT candidates were further evaluated in Phase 2. IMX-101 was chosen as the TNT replacement candidate and IMX-104 was selected as Comp B replacement candidate. This paper will present an overview (background, protocol and results) of the U.S. Army?s program to qualify a low cost, common IM explosive to replace TNT and Comp B along with the environment, safety and occupational health aspects of the new formulations.

15. SUBJECT TERMS

16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	CATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	Same as	33	
unclassified	unclassified	unclassified	Report (SAR)		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

KEYNOTE ADDRESS DEVELOPMENT OF NEXT GENERATION INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS: A SUCCESS STORY

MR. CHARLIE PATEL U.S. Army, PEO-AMMO, PM-CAS B172 Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000 (973) 724-8507 charlie.patel@us.army.mil

One of the tenets of the Project Manager for Combat Ammunition Systems Mission is to perform life-cycle management of tube-launched indirect fire munitions. Contained within this area are high explosive (HE) projectiles and cartridges for artillery and mortar applications. There are a total of fifteen HE projectiles: four for 105mm artillery, three for 155mm artillery, three for 60mm, three for 81mm and two for 120mm mortar. All of these HE cartridges use either TNT or Comp-B fill. The PM decided to take a holistic review of pursuing IM and elected to execute the Common Low-cost Insensitive Munitons Explosive program. The CLIMEx Program Goals are as follows: Primary Goal – Selection of one single common explosive fill for all artillery and mortar products; Secondary Goal – Selection of two explosive fills, one that is common for replacement of TNT and another that is common for replacement of Comp B.

During Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the CLIMEx program, a world-wide search of candidates was completed. During Phase 1, twenty three candidates were subjected to a battery of IM tests as per the specified protocol. The test protocol was established to account for screening candidates in a fair manner and at a affordable cost and schedule impact. Based on the results of the tests, 3 candidates were identified as suitable to replace TNT and one candidate was identified as a candidate to replace Comp B. The three TNT candidates were further evaluated in Phase 2. IMX-101 was chosen as the TNT replacement candidate and IMX-104 was selected as Comp B replacement candidate.

This paper will present an overview (background, protocol and results) of the U.S. Army's program to qualify a low cost, common IM explosive to replace TNT and Comp B along with the environment, safety and occupational health aspects of the new formulations.

Insensitive Munitions (IM) Roadmap: Transition Toward Full Compliance

Legacy Ammo

17 Major Munitions-related Incidents since 1926 (600+ Casualties / 1,600+ Injuries / \$4B+ Losses)



Port Chicago (1944)



Lake Denmark (1926)



Camden, AR (Nov 2007) MACS LAP-facility Fire 3.3 tons of Energetics – Burned only No Injuries, Building remained





Camp Doha (1991)





IM Improvements

60mm M720A1/M768 Mortar (PAX-21 Explosive) 155mm MACS Propelling Charge PM-CAS Common IM Explosive (CLIMEx)



81mm Slow cook-off results With IMX-104 Type V – Burning Reactions



Unit's SPC Alan Ng with his father Peter Ng, PM CAS-ARDEC Engineer IM programs.

Fully-IM Fielded

105mm M1 IM (IMX-101 Explosive) 155mm M795 & M1122 (IMX-101 Explosive) 60/81/120mm Mortar (IMX-104 Explosive)

Common Low-cost IM Explosives Joint program with Army (PM-CAS) & USMC (PM-AMMO)



Goals of the Common Low-cost Insensitive Munitions Explosive Program

> Effective

- Maintain Lethality with minimal or no degradation
- Less Sensitive
 - If not fully compliant, must show improvement over Baseline explosive
- Affordable
 - Artillery Cost Drivers = Steel Body Material & Explosive Fill
 - Mortar Cost Drivers = Steel Body Material, Fuze & Propelling Charges
- Producible within the National Technology and Industrial Base
 - Infrastructure
 - ✓ Raw Ingredients
 - Explosive formulation
 - Projectile Load, Assemble & Pack (LAP)
- Other Considerations
 - Demilitarization
 - Environmental
 - Intellectual Property Rights

Common Low-cost IM Explosive Program

> Value to the Warfighter

✓ Drastically increase Safety from unplanned stimuli

- ✓ Increases Soldier Survivability
- ✓ Increases Equipment Survivability
- Maintains Lethality
- Significantly improve their ability to store and move ammunition
- Safer transport on combat loaded vehicles, air cargo and Navy ammo ships

Common Low-cost IM Explosive Program



Replacement Candidates

> 23 IM explosive candidates

- 12 for TNT replacement, 11 for Comp B replacement
- Melt-pour
 - Traditional Ingredients
 - RDX
 - HMX
 - ✓ Less Sensitive Explosive Filler
 - NTO
 - NQ
 - Less Sensitive Energetic binder
 - DNAN
 - Nitrate Salts
 - Reduced Nitramines (Aluminized)

- Cast-cure
 - ✓ Inert binder
 - RDX
 - IRDX
 - Rounded RDX
- Press-fill
 - ✓ Inert binder with RDX
 - (Redesign of metal parts
 - Not Evaluated)
- > 155mm HE selected for screening TNT replacement candidates
 - 9 candidates tested => IMX-101
- > 120mm HE selected for screening Comp B replacement candidates
 - 9 candidates tested => IMX-104

M795 IM Projectile Design

155mm, 78 lb hi-frag steel body loaded with 24 lbs of HE

M795 IM Design

 IMX-101 Main Fill (24 lbs)
 OPBXN-9 Supplementary Charge (0.3 lbs)
 Warhead Venting

 OMeltable Liner
 OMeltable Fuze Plug
 OModified Pallet Design









155mm M107/M795 IM Compliant Roadmap



Implementation Approach

- <u>Explosive Producibility</u> Assure explosives can be robustly manufactured in production scale and ingredient supplies are available
 - 180K lbs of IMX-101 produced at Holston Army Ammunition Plant
- Load, Assemble & Pack Assure projectiles can be loaded without defects.
 - Loading process developed at ARDEC Picatinny Arsenal
 - Technology transitioned for high volume loading trials at Iowa Army Ammunition Plant

Implementation Approach (cont'd)

Venting - IM venting technology implemented in systems design to pass thermal tests



Standard Lifting Plug Will <u>Not</u>Pass (Type III)



Partial Venting (Type IV)



SCO

Energetic Material Qualification

safe to process, handle, store, and transport.

• IMX-101 explosive formulation fully qualified by U. S. Army



Implementation Approach (cont.)

- Initiation Reliability Reconfigure initiation system to reliably initiate the IM explosives
 - Initiation trials performed to confirm performance and reliability







- <u>Qualification of End Item Munitions</u> Assess
 - Safety
 - Performance
 - Reliability

M795 IM Qualification Plan

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	·
	·
· · ·	·
	·
. X X	
. \	
– .	· ·
$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots$	
· · · ·	
· · ·	
· · ·	

Initial Safety Test



Figure 1. Initial Safety Test for Separate-Loading Projectiles.

Performance & Safety Tests



Adverse Environment & LogisticsTests

Supplementary Environmental Tests

High-humidity and Fungus

Humidity: 10 cycles at 30 C to 60 C at 95% RH Fungus: 28 days at 30 C at 95% RH

16 rounds

Gun firings at top service charge

Solar Radiation

Cycle represents peak conditions of 1120 W/m2 solar radiation and 43 C (110 F) 8 rounds

Gun firings at top service charge

Thermal Stability 48 hours at 75C



Pass

Pass

12 Meter Drop Test

10 rounds each at hot and cold temperatures



Summary of M795 IM Test Results for IMX-101 JSIMTP/AIMB Scores

Test	Official Tests Scores	Notes on test results
Fast Cook-off	V	Single round and pallet configuration
Slow Cook-off	V	Heating rate is 3.3°C/hr
Bullet Impact into HE	IV	Type V if scored to criteria that existed at program start
Fragment Impact into HE	V	2,532 m/s
Sympathetic Reaction	Pass	Confined and unconfined
Shaped Charge Jet Impact	Pass	LX-14 conditioned jet

M795 IM Fast Cook-off Results

Single Round





No blast overpressure
No hazardous fragments beyond 15m.

Type V





M795 IM Slow Cook-off Results



Bullet Impact Results

Three 0.50 caliber AP bullets into HE

Type V to AOP-39 Ed 2 Feb 09

Type IV to new criteria





- •Smoke on impact from first bullet
- •Fireball on impact of second bullet, round broke in 3 large pieces
- •Lifting plug (263.6g) and s/c (211.8g) thrown at 31m and 18m respectively
- •Large amount of unreacted explosive collected





Hazardous Fragment Analysis from TB700-2 (Aug 2008)



Distance (ft)

Fragment Impact

18.6 gram fragment fired 2,471 m/s into HE Round intact, no fragments past 15m





Type V



Supp Chg

M795 Unconfined SR Results





Post Test Acceptors

Single dent from donor

Test Setup Un-Confined Sympathetic Detonation Test



Single round calibration





M795 Unconfined SR Results



Unconfined SR 1ms after Detonation Calibration trigger Ims after trigger Acceptor Rounds do not contribute to dynamic reaction!!

M795 SCJI Results

- •81mm Shaped Charge Jet Impact
 •Round broke into large pieces some beyond 15m
 •No dents on witness plate
 •No increase in SC blast overpressure
- •Unconsumed Explosive





2nd shot



Pass

Summary of Tests									
IM Test: FC	0	SCO	BI	FI	SD	SCJI			
M795 IM Scores*		v	IV	v	Pass	Pass			
Test		Status	* Reaction fro	om IMX-101					
Initial firing tests		\checkmark							
12m Drop			✓ Effecti						
Initial Safety Test			Confirmed Ballistic Match						
Sequential Environmental Safety &				Met M79	5 Lethality	rqmts			
Performance			✓ Suitable						
			✓ IM						
Shock Attenuating Lifting Plug			✓ Reliable						
Worn Tube			✓ Human Factors						
Explosive Ordnance Disposal			 Supportable Maintained same palletization 						
High Humidity & Temp /Fungus									
Solar Radiation			✓Receive	d Safetv	Confirm	ation from			
Initiation Reliability			Developm	•					
Final Firing Table Confirmation		√	✓ Tech Da	ata Packa	ige signe	d 06/2010			
<u>Arena Testing</u>		√	✓ Achieve	ed HC 1.	2.1				
IM Testing									

IMX-101

IMX-101 Formulation \triangleright **IMX-101** TNT Comp B 2,4-Dinitroanisole (DNAN) 43.5 (±2) Nitroguanidine (NQ) 36.8 (±2) 19.7 (±2) 3-Nitro-1,2,4-triazol-5-one (NTO) Trinitrotoluene (TNT) 100 40 RDX 60



DNAN and NTO ESOH Data: What is Known?

-1-. NQ – Legacy energetic, DNAN – first used in PAX-21, NTO – newest energetic in the formulation

IMX-101: Focus on NTO and IMX-101

Nitroguanidine: LD50 is 10,200 mg/kg DNAN: LD50 is 199 mg/kg NTO: . LD50 >2000 mg/kg

Ref: TNT of 795 - 1010 mg/kg, RDX is 68 – 100 mg/kg

-2-. DNAN: OEL established as 0.09 mg/m3 (TNT: 0.1 mg/m3)

-3-. NTO: Revised OEL of 1.6 mg/m3

Aquatic C. Daphnia toxicity data for NTO of 830 mg/L (24 hours), and 460 mg/L (48 hours): NTO considered aquatically practically Non-Toxic.

ESOH workshops held in June 2010 and Dec 2010 to review existing data and determine pathways to fill in data gaps

ESOH Pathforward

TOXICOLOGY STUDY NO. 87-XE-03N3-05: ASSESSING THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES OF A NEW ENERGETIC MATERIAL: A PHASED APPROACH SEPTEMBER 2005 Published: December 2007

Conclusion: "Initially, cost for obtaining relevant toxicological and environmental criteria necessary in evaluating the fate and transport of proposed new compounds is low, yet uncertainty is high. As the compounds and subsequent systems are refined, a greater degree of rigor in these data is proposed."

The ingredients of IMX-101 are currently undergoing rigorous evaluation to determine the ESOH impacts.

* Updated MSDS published for DNAN, NTO, IMX-101 in October 2011, future updates will be prepared as studies are completed

Summary of M795 Munition

- U. S. Army's CLIMEx competition for the IM M795 155mm Artillery Munition selected IMX-101 as the IM explosive fill from >20 global candidates.
- The legacy TNT filled M795 failed all Army IM safety criteria.
- IMX-101 demonstrated significant IM technology advancements.

11	M Test:	Fast Heating	Slow Heating	Bullet Impact	Fragment Impact	Sympathetic Detonation	Shaped Charge Jet Impact
Passing Criteria		Type V	Type V	Type V	Type V	Type III	Type III
M795 Baseline (TNT)		FAIL	FAIL	FAIL	FAIL	FAIL	FAIL
M795 with IMX-101	[Pass	Pass	FAIL*	PASS**	Pass	Pass

Note (*): The M795 passed the original IM Bullet Impact criteria (one 50-cal bullet through the system subcharge) but failed the Army's new BI criteria (three 50-cal bullets through the system subcharge). The lift plug was thrown 50' (**): The Fragment Impact data represents the IM response from IMX-101.









Conclusions

- CLIMEx program was successful in identifying and qualifying <u>IMX-101</u> <u>Explosive</u> as a common insensitive replacement for TNT.
- CLIMEx program was successful in identifying <u>IMX-104 Explosive</u> as a common insensitive replacement for Comp B.
- IM Explosives have demonstrated far superior IM properties.

Quote from US Army Public Health Command (formerly USACHPPM) presented at the Force Health Protection Conference

The decreased toxicity, coupled with the reduced sensitivity to environmental stimuli and equal performance during testing, make the formulations tested desirable replacements for currently fielded munitions