

### Actuation and Response in Microsystems

## Prof. Mark Rodwell

Electrical and Computer Engineering Department and Director of Nanofabrication Laboratory, University of California, Santa Barbara

The views and opinions presented by the invited speakers are their own and should not be interpreted as representing the official views of DARPA or DoD Approved For Public Release, Distribution Unlimited

| <b>Report Documentation Page</b>   |   |  | Form Approved<br>OMB No. 0704-0188  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Public reporting burden for the co<br>maintaining the data needed, and<br>including suggestions for reducing<br>VA 22202-4302. Respondents sho<br>does not display a currently valid | llection of information is estimated to<br>completing and reviewing the collect<br>this burden, to Washington Headqu<br>uld be aware that notwithstanding ar<br>OMB control number. | o average 1 hour per response, incl<br>ion of information. Send comment<br>arters Services, Directorate for Inf<br>ny other provision of law, no perso | luding the time for reviewing insi<br>ts regarding this burden estimate<br>formation Operations and Reports<br>on shall be subject to a penalty for | tructions, searching exi-<br>or any other aspect of t<br>s, 1215 Jefferson Davis<br>failing to comply with | sting data sources, gathering and<br>his collection of information,<br>Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington<br>a collection of information if it |
| 1. REPORT DATE<br>MAR 2000   |   | 2. REPORT TYPE   |   | 3. DATES COVE  | ERED<br>D to 00_00_2000  |
|  |   |  |   | 00-00-200  |  |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE<br>THz and nm Transistors for 1-1000 GHz Electronics   |   |  | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER   |  |  |
|  |   |  |   | 5b. GRANT NUN  | MBER   |
|  |   |  |   | 5c. PROGRAM I  | ELEMENT NUMBER   |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)   |   |  |   | 5d. PROJECT N  | UMBER  |
|  |   |  |   | 5e. TASK NUMBER  |  |
|  |   |  |   | 5f. WORK UNIT  | NUMBER   |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGAN<br>University of Calif<br>Engineering Depa   | ization name(s) and at<br>fornia, Santa Barbar<br>rtment,Santa Barba  | DRESS(ES)<br>ra,Electrical and Co<br>ra,CA,93106   | omputer   | 8. PERFORMING<br>REPORT NUMB   | G ORGANIZATION<br>ER   |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITC   | RING AGENCY NAME(S) A   | AND ADDRESS(ES)  |   | 10. SPONSOR/M  | IONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)   |
|  |   |  |   | 11. SPONSOR/M<br>NUMBER(S)   | IONITOR'S REPORT   |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAI<br>Approved for publ   | LABILITY STATEMENT<br>ic release; distributi  | ion unlimited  |   |  |  |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO<br>MTO (DARPA Mi<br>Government or Fe  | otes<br>crosystems Technol<br>deral Rights License  | ogy Office) Sympose  | sium, 2009, Mar 2   | -5, San Jose,  | CA. U.S.   |
| 14. ABSTRACT   |   |  |   |  |  |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS  |   |  |   |  |  |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC   | CATION OF:  |  | 17. LIMITATION OF   | 18. NUMBER   | 19a. NAME OF   |
| a. REPORT<br>unclassified  | b. ABSTRACT<br>unclassified   | c. THIS PAGE<br>unclassified   | ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)   | OF PAGES 27  | RESPONSIBLE PERSON   |

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18

## THz and nm Transistors for 1-1000 GHz Electronics

#### Mark Rodwell University of California, Santa Barbara

It's a great time to be working on electronics !

Things to work on:

InP transistors: extend to 3-4 THz→ GHz & Iow-THz ICs GaN HEMTs: powerful transmitters from 1-300 GHz Si MOSFETs: scale them past 16 nm III-V MOSFETs: help keep VLSI scaling (maybe) VLSI transistors: subvert Boltzmann→ solve power crisis mm-wave VLSI: massively complex ICs to re-invent radio

## Why THz Transistors ?



## How to Make THz Transistors



reduce thicknesses 2:1 Improve contacts 4:1 reduce width 4:1, keep constant length increase current density 4:1

#### **Bipolar Transistor Scaling Laws**



Changes required to double transistor bandwidth:

(emitter length  $L_E$ )

| parameter                           | change              |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| collector depletion layer thickness | decrease 2:1        |
| base thickness                      | decrease<br>1.414:1 |
| emitter junction width              | decrease 4:1        |
| collector junction width            | decrease 4:1        |
| emitter contact resistance          | decrease 4:1        |
| current density                     | increase 4:1        |
| base contact resistivity            | decrease 4:1        |

Linewidths scale as the inverse square of bandwidth because thermal constraints dominate. Approved For Public Release, Distribution Unlimited

#### **FET Scaling Laws**



(gate width  $W_G$ )

Changes required to double transistor bandwidth:

| parameter                            | change       |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| gate length                          | decrease 2:1 |
| gate dielectric capacitance density  | increase 2:1 |
| gate dielectric equivalent thickness | decrease 2:1 |
| channel electron density             | increase 2:1 |
| source & drain contact resistance    | decrease 4:1 |
| current density (mA/μm)              | increase 2:1 |

Linewidths scale as the inverse of bandwidth because fringing capacitance does not scale.

### THz & nm Transistors: it's all about the interfaces

Metal-semiconductor interfaces (Ohmic contacts): very low resistivity

Dielectric-semiconductor interfaces (Gate dielectrics): very high capacitance density

Transistor & IC thermal resistivity.





## THz Bipolar Transistors

## InP Bipolar Transistor Scaling Roadmap



### InP DHBTs: September 2008



popular metrics :  $f_{\tau}$  or  $f_{\max}$  alone  $\sqrt{f_{\tau} f_{\text{max}}}$  $(1/f_{\tau} + 1/f_{\text{max}})^{-1}$ 

much better metrics : power amplifiers: PAE, associated gain,  $mW/\mu m$ low noise amplifiers:  $F_{min}$ , associated gain, digital:  $f_{clock}$ , hence  $(C_{cb}\Delta V/I_c),$  $(R_{ex}I_c/\Delta V),$  $(R_{bb}I_c/\Delta V),$  $(\tau_b + \tau_c)$ 

### **Ohmic Contacts Good Enough for 3 THz Transistors**

64 nm (2.0 THz) HBT needs ~ 2  $\Omega$  -  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> contact resistivities 32 nm (2.8 THz) HBT needs ~ 1  $\Omega$  -  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>

| Contact | ts to N-InGaAs*: |                              |  |
|---------|------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Мо      | MBE in-situ      | 0.3 (+/- 0.3) Ω - μm²        |  |
| TiW     | ex-situ          | ~1 to 2 $\Omega$ - $\mu m^2$ |  |

| Contact:   | s to P-InGaAs: |                                |  |
|------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Мо         | MBE in-situ    | below 2.5 $\Omega$ - $\mu m^2$ |  |
| <i>Pd/</i> | ex-situ        | 0.36 (+/- 0.3) <u>Ω</u> - μm²  |  |

\*measured emitter resistance remains higher than that of contacts. Approved For Public Release, Distribution Unlimited

#### THz HBTs: MOSFET-like Processes for 64, 32 nm Nodes





## nm MOSFETs

#### **FET Scaling Laws**



(gate width  $W_G$ )

Changes required to double transistor bandwidth:

| parameter                            | change       |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| gate length                          | decrease 2:1 |
| gate dielectric capacitance density  | increase 2:1 |
| gate dielectric equivalent thickness | decrease 2:1 |
| channel electron density             | increase 2:1 |
| source & drain contact resistance    | decrease 4:1 |
| current density (mA/μm)              | increase 2:1 |

What do we do if gate dielectric cannot be further scaled ?

### **III-V MOSFETs for VLSI**



<u>Why do it ?</u> *low electron effective mass→ higher electron velocity more current, less charge at a given insulator thickness & gate length very low access resistance* 

<u>What are the problems ?</u> Iow electron effective mass→ constraints on scaling ! must grow high-K on InGaAs, must grow InGaAs on Si



<u>Synopsis</u> III-V MOSFET might win... <u>if</u> Si gate dielectric cannot scale below 0.5 nm

## THz Field-Effect Transistors

# (THz HEMTs)

#### **FET Scaling Laws**



(gate width  $W_G$ )

Changes required to double transistor bandwidth:

| parameter                            | change       |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| gate length                          | decrease 2:1 |
| gate dielectric capacitance density  | increase 2:1 |
| gate dielectric equivalent thickness | decrease 2:1 |
| channel electron density             | increase 2:1 |
| source & drain contact resistance    | decrease 4:1 |
| current density (mA/µm)              | increase 2:1 |

InGaAs HEMTs are best for mm-wave low-noise receivers... ...but there are difficulties in improving them further.

### Why HEMTs are Hard to Improve



*III-V MOSFETs do not face these scaling challenges* 

## InGaAs MOSFETs as THz Low-Noise Amplifiers



<u>Why ?</u> Much lower access resistance in S/D regions Higher gate barrier→ higher feasible electron density in channel Higher gate barrier→ gate dielectric can be made thinner

<u>Estimated Performance (?)</u> 2 THz cutoff frequencies at 32 nm gate length

## VSLI for mm-wave & sub-mm-wave systems

### Billions of 700-GHz Transistors $\rightarrow$ Imaging & Arrays



What can you do with a few billion 700-GHz transistors?

Build Transmitter / Receiver Arrays

*100's or 1000's of transmitters or receivers ...on < 1 cm<sup>2</sup> IC area ...operating at 100-500 GHz.* 



## Billions of 700-GHz Transistors $\rightarrow$ Imaging & Arrays



Arrays for (sub)-mm-wave imaging :

# resovable pixels = # array elements



Arrays for Spatial-Division-Multiplexing Networks:

# independen t beams = # array elements

 $4 \cdot array area$ wavelength

Device scaling (Moore's Law) is not yet over.

Challenges in scaling: contacts, dielectrics, heat

Multi-THz transistors: for systems at very high frequencies for better performance at moderate frequencies

Vast #s of THz transistors complex systems new applications.... imaging, radio, and more

