LNPS52-86-007

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



EXPERIENCE WITH & Omega.

IMPLEMENTATION OF A
PROTOTYPE PROGRAMMING ENVIRONMENT,
PART JV 4.

Bruce J. MacLennan

V January 1986

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Prepared for:

Chief of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217

20091105008

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California

Rear Admiral R. H. Shumaker Superintendent

D. A. Schrady Provost

The work reported herein was supported by Contract from the Office of Naval Research.

Reproduction of all or part of this report is authorized.

This report was prepared by:

Bruce J. MacLennan Associate Professor Computer Science

Reviewed by:

Released by:

VINCENT Y. L

Chairman

Department of Computer Science

KNEALE T. MARSHALL

Dean of Information and

Policy Science

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
NPS52-86-007		
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE DF REPORT & PERIDD COVERED
EXPERIENCE WITH Ω		
IMPLEMENTATION OF A PROTOTYPE		
PROGRAMMING ENVIRONMENT PART IV		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT DR GRANT NUMBER(*)
		N00014-86-WR-24092
Bruce J. MacLennan		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5100		
101122129, 011 73743 3100		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
Chief of Naval Research		January 1986
Arlington, VA 22217		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(It differen	nt from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
Approved for public release; dis	stribution unlimi	ted
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered	in Block 20, if different from	m Report)
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
33. Edment Anti No les		i
19. KEY WOROS (Continue on reverse side if necessary as	nd identify by block number)	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary an	d identify by block number)	
This is the fourth report of a ser	ries exploring th	e use of the Ω programming
notation to prototype a programmin		
interpreter, unparser, syntax dire		
and code generator, and supports		
The present report extends the int		
command interpreter and debugger t and invocation, and completes the		
programming system supporting high	ner-order function	ons. An implementation of
DD FORM 1473 EDITION OF 1 NDV 65 IS OBSOL	LETE IINCI	ASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

				appendi			- :-	
				• •				
	0							
						1		

S/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601

EXPERIENCE WITH Ω

IMPLEMENTATION OF A

PROTOTYPE PROGRAMMING ENVIRONMENT

PART IV

Bruce J. MacLennan Computer Science Department Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943

Abstract:

This is the fourth report of a series exploring the use of the Ω programming notation to prototype a programming environment. This environment includes an interpreter, unparser, syntax directed editor, command interpreter, debugger and code generator, and supports programming in a small applicative language. The present report extends the interpreter, unparser, syntax directed editor, command interpreter and debugger to accommodate recursive function definition and invocation, and completes the extension of the language into an applicative programming system supporting higher-order functions. An implementation of these ideas is listed in the appendices.

1. Introduction

Our goal in this series of reports* [MacLennan85b, MacLennan85c, MacLennan86] is to explore in the context of a very simple language the use of the Ω programming notation [MacLennan83, MacLennan85a] to implement some of the tools that constitute a programming environment.

The structure of this report is as follows: First we outline the requirements for the function definition facility. Next we define the abstract structure of function definitions and invocations. We proceed to the dynamic structures required to support recursive, statically scoped procedures. This leads naturally to the topic of evaluation. We finish by discussing possible debugger support for the new facilities. As in previous reports, a running system demonstrating these ideas is listed in the appendices.

Support for this research was provided by the Office of Naval Research under contract N00014-86-WR-24092.

2. Goal

We want to permit the definition and invocation of statically scoped recursive functions. For example, the following program defines factorial recursively and invokes the resulting definition with argument K=4:

```
[func fac n =
  (if (n=0)
  then 1
  else (n×fac (n-1)) )
  [let K = 4
  fac K]
```

It's easy to see that the general form of a function definition is:

$$|\mathbf{func} \ F \ N = B|$$

$$X |$$

For simplicity we restrict our attention to monadic functions.

3. Abstract Structure

3.1 Function Definition

The abstract structure of a function definition block is represented in a straight-forward way as a node with four descendents, corresponding to the function name, formal parameter, function body and block body. These are defined by the following declarations:

• FunDef (E) E is a function definition Degree (FunDef, 1).

• FunName (F, E)

F is the function name of E

Function (FunName, FunDef, string).

- FunFormal (N, E)
 N is the formal of E
 Function (FunFormal, FunDef, string).
- FunBody (B, E)
 B is the body of E
 Function (FunBody, FunDef, expr).
- FunScope (X, E)
 X is the scope of E
 Function (FunScope, FunDef. expr).

Note that, for convenience (and consistency with let blocks) the FunName and FunFormal attributes are strings, rather than variable nodes. This complicates editing and is probably, in the long run, a bad decision. The problem is solved in Part VI, where table-driven syntax-directed editing is disussed.

3.2 Function Invocation

The abstract syntax of function invocations is straight-forward. Note that the function is allowed to be an arbitrary expression, which (as we'll see later) goes through the usual evaluation process. This, in conjunction with the representation of closures, permits general functional programming. The abstract structure is represented by the relations:

- Call (E)
 E is a call
 Degree (Call, 1).
- Rator (F, E)
 F is the operator of E
 Function (Rator, Call, Var).
- Rand (X, E)
 X is the operand of E
 Function (Rand, Call, expr).

4. Dynamic Structures

4.1 Closures

Recall that in statically scoped languages a function executes in its environment of definition rather than its environment of call. Thus, when a function binding is made, it is necessary to record the function's environment of definition. This is done by binding the function's name to a closure object. A closure has three parts:

- 1. EP: environment part (environment of definition)
- 2. IP: instruction part (body of function)
- 3. FP: formal parameter

The abstract structure of closures is represented by the following relations:

Closure (K)
 K is a closure
 Degree (Closure, 1).

EP (C, K)
 C is the environment part of K
 Function (EP, Closure, Context).

IP (B, K)
 B is instruction part of K
 Function (IP, Closure, expr).

FP (N, K)
 N is formal parameter of K
 Function (FP, Closure, string).

4.2 Dynamic Link

In addition to the closure, which determines the environment in which a function executes, it is also necessary to determine the caller, within whom's execution the execution of the callee is dynamically

nested. This is called the dynamic link of the current context, and is represented by the relation:

- Caller (E, C, B, A)
- E in C is caller of B in A
- Function (Caller, expr×Context, expr×Context).

Thus, the Caller relation refers back from the callee's expression/context (IP/EP) pair to the caller's expression/context pair.

Why do we not simply make the Caller relation a link from the callee's body to the caller node: Caller (E, B)? In the presence of recursive function invocations it's possible for function bodies to be multiply active, that is, there may be several evaluations of a function body in progress at the same time. These different evaluations are distinguished only by the fact that they occur in different contexts (which is guaranteed by our creating new context objects on block and function entry). Thus an expression/context pair is necessary to uniquely identify a particular evaluation process. This will become more apparent when we discuss the return process below, for it's necessary for a particular function activation to return to the proper caller activation.

5. Evaluation

5.1 Invocation and Return

Evaluation of a function invocation begins with evaluation of the Rator and Rand components of the Call node. Notice that by running the Rator through the usual evaluation process we permit it to be any expression, including another function call. This permits functional programming, that is, the use of higher-order functions. The analysis rule for Calls is:

*Eval
$$(E, C)$$
, Call (E) , Rator (F, E) , Rand (X, E)

$$\implies$$
 Eval (F, C) , Eval (X, C) .

The synthesis rule expects a closure to be returned as the result of evaluating the Rator. The closure in turn provides access to the body (IP), formal parameter (FP) and environment of definition (EP) of the callee. Evaluation of the function's body B is initiated in the appropriate environment (A), which

results from binding the formal N to the value V of the actual, and linking the resulting context A to the environment of definition D. It's also necessary to construct a dynamic link reflecting that E in context C is the caller of B in context A. The required rule is:

Call
$$(E)$$
, Rator (F, E) , Rand (X, E) , *Value (K, F, C) , *Value (V, X, C) ,
Closure (K) , EP (D, K) , IP (B, K) , FP (N, K) , *Avail (A)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Context (A), Nonlocals (D, A), Binds (A, N, V), Caller (E, C, B, A), Eval (B, A).

Eventually evaluation of the functions body completes. Then the dynamic link is used to transfer the returned value from the function's body to the Call node, thus triggering resumption of evaluation in the caller. The rule is:

*Caller
$$(E, C, B, A)$$
, *Value (V, B, A)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Value (V, E, C) .

Notice that if the Caller relation did not include the contexts C and B it would be possible for a value to become attached to a function's body, and be returned to the wrong one of several waiting callers.

5.2 Function Definition

For recursion to work correctly, the environment of definition of a function must include the binding of the function name itself. Thus, the context referred to by the EP of the Closure is that same Context that results from binding the function name to that Closure. We will have to ensure that the Context constructed by a function definition node (FunDef) has this reflexive property.

Evaluation of a function definition block is similar to that of a let block, except that the bound value (function body) is not evaluated at this time. Instead, a closure for the function is constructed, and the function's name is bound to this closure. This binding forms the context for the evaluation of the block's body. The analysis rule initiates evaluation of the block's body in this context:

*Eval
$$(E, C)$$
. FunDef (E) , FunName (F, E) , FunFormal (N, E) , FunBody (B, E) , FunScope (X, E) , *Avail (D, K)

$$\implies$$
 Context (D) , Nonlocals (C, D) , Binds (D, F, K) ,

```
Closure (K), EP (D, K), IP (B, K), FP (N, K), Eval (X, D).
```

A synthesis rule waits for a value to arrive at the block's body, and attaches the value to the function block itself (i.e., the value of the function definition block is the value of the block's body):

```
*FunDef (E), FunScope (X, E), *Value (V, X, D), Nonlocals (C, D)
\Rightarrow Value (V, E, C).
```

An script demonstrating these rules is listed in Appendix B.

6. Debugging

Suppose we have the following program:

show

```
[let K = 4
[func fac n =
   (if (n=0)
    then {error} (1/0)
   else (n×fac(n-1)) )
```

When evaluation reaches the bottom of the recursion the zero division suspends execution. We would like to be able to explore the context of the error as indicated in the following example:

```
evaluate
```

division be zero

context

$$fac (n = 0)$$

caller

$$fac (n = 1)$$

callee

$$fac (n = 0)$$

```
callee
  fac (n = 1)

callee
  fac (n = 2)

out_context
  fac = ... function ...

out_context

K = 4
```

Notice that the callee command is not single-valued, since there may be several calls being evaluated at one time. For example, in the program

```
| \text{func f } x = ....
(f 1 + f 2) |
```

the two invocations of 'f' could be evaluated in parallel. Thus there would be dynamic links from both of these activations to the block body, and the callee command would not know which of these to pick. The reader should consider possible solutions to this problem.

First we consider the evaluator modifications necessary to support these debugging facilities. To accomplish our goal we need to record the name of a function along with its context. This is analogous to storing the function's name in its activation record. Hence, we modify the Enter Body Rule to record the function's name in the Name relation, which is defined:

- Name (M, C)
- M is the name of C
- · Function (Name, Context, string).

The new Enter Body Rule is straight-forward:

 \implies Context (A), Nonlocal (D, A). Binds (A, N, V), Name (M, A), Caller (E, C, B, A),

Eval
$$(B, A)$$
.

We alter the context command rule to notice when a variable binding is a result of function invocation. so that we can show the name of the function:

*Command (context), CurrentContext (C), Binds (C, N, V), Name (M, C)

$$\Rightarrow$$
 Display $(M ^{\circ} (" ^{\circ} N ^{\circ} = " ^{\circ} string \leftarrow int [V] ^{\circ})").$

For function bindings, rather than trying to interpret the closure, we simply note the fact that the name is bound to a function.

*Command (context). CurrentContext (C), Binds (C, N, K), Closure (K)

$$\implies$$
 Display ($N ^{\circ} " = ... \text{ function } ... ").$

The reader can take it as an exercise to write the rule to unparse the function's body, should that be desired.

Implementation of the caller command is simply a matter of following the dynamic link:

*Command (caller), CurrentContext (A), Caller (E, C, B, A)

⇒ CurrentContext (C), Command (context).

The rule for 'callee' is analogous.

What other debugging commands would be useful? It would be useful to exit from a function to its caller by supplying a return value. Exercise for the reader: Define the 'exit v' command with this meaning.

7. References

- [MacLennan 83] MacLennan, B. J., A View of Object-Oriented Programming, Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department Technical Report NPS52-83-001, February 1983.
- [MacLennan84] MacLennan, B. J., The Four Forms of Ω: Alternate Syntactic Forms for an Object-Oriented Language, Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department Technical Report NPS52-84-026, December 1984.
- [MacLennan85a] MacLennan, B. J., A Simple Software Environment Based on Objects and Relations, Proc. of ACM SIGPLAN 85 Conf. on Language Issues in Prog. Environments, June 25-28, 1985, and Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department Technical Report NPS52-85-005, April 1985.
- [MacLennan85b] MacLennan, B. J., Experience with Ω: Implementation of a Prototype Programming Environment Part I, Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department Technical Report NPS52-85-006, May 1985.
- [MacLennan85c] MacLennan, B. J., Experience with Ω: Implementation of a Prototype Programming Environment Part II, Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department Technical Report NPS52-85-015, December 1985.
- [MacLennan 86] MacLennan, B. J., Experience with Ω: Implementation of a Prototype Programming Environment Part III, Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department Technical Report NPS52-86-004, January 1986.
- McArthur84 McArthur, Heinz M., Design and Implementation of an Object-Oriented, Production-Rule

 Interpreter, MS Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department, December 1984.
- [Ufford85] Ufford, Robert P., The Design and Analysis of a Stylized Natural Grammar for an Object Oriented Language (Omega), MS Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School Computer Science Department, June 1985.

APPENDIX A: Prototype Programming Environment

The following is a loadable input file for the prototype programming environment described in this report. It is accepted by the McArthur interpreter [McArthur84], which differs in a few details from the Ω notation used in this report (see [MacLennan84]). A transcript of a test execution of this environment is shown in Appendix B.

!	
!	PI-4
!	
!	A simple programming environment for an arithmetic
!	expression language, including interpreter, unparser,
!	syntax directed editor and debugger.
!	
1	Features included in the language:
!	- Constants
•	- Arithmetic Operations
!	- Statically Nested Declarations
!	- Comments
!	- Conditional Expressions
!	- Recursive Function Definition and Invocation
!	
!	PERVASIVE RELATIONS
!	Evaluation
n	ewrelation {"Eval"};
n	ewrelation {"Check"};
n	ewrelation {"Value"};
n	ewrelation {"Meaning"};

```
newrelation {"Explanation"};
! Contexts and Bindings
newrelation {"Context"};
newrelation {"Binds"};
newrelation {"Nonlocal"};
newrelation {"Looking"}.
! Unparsing
newrelation {"Unparse"};
newrelation {"Image"};
newrelation {"Template"};
! Comments
newrelation {"Comment"}.
! Format Control Constants
define {root, "NL", "
11};
define {root, "TabIn", "";};
define {root, "TabOut", """};
! Logical Constants
define {root, "true", 1};
define {root, "false", 0}.
```

! COMMAND INTERPRETER

```
! Command Interpreter Relations
newrelation {"Command"};
newrelation {"Argument"};
newrelation {"Root"};
newrelation {"Undef"};
newrelation {"CurrentNode"};
newrelation {"CurrentContext"};
newrelation {"SuspendedEval"};
newrelation {"Break"};
newrelation {"EvalPending"};
newrelation {'ShowPending''};
newrelation {"CommandPending"};
newrelation {"CreateRoot"};
newrelation {"CreateContext"}.
define {root, 'ComIntRules", < <
! evaluate Command
if *Command ("evaluate"), CurrentNode (E), CurrentContext (C)
-> Eval (E, C), EvalPending (E), CommandPending (E);
if *Value (V, E, C), *EvalPending (E), *CommandPending (-)
-> displayn {V};
! Error Handler
if *Break (M, E, C), *CommandPending (-), *EvalPending (R), *SuspendedEval (-)
```

```
-> displayn {M}, SuspendedEval (R), CurrentNode (E), CurrentContext (C);
! resume Command
if *Command ('resume'), SuspendedEval (Nil)
-> displayn {"no evaluation in progress"}
else if *Command ("resume"), CurrentNode (E), CurrentContext (C), *SuspendedEval (R)
-> Eval (E, C), EvalPending (R), SuspendedEval (Nil);
! return Command
if *Command ("val"), *Argument (V), CurrentNode (E)
-> Value (V, E, C);
! show Command
if *Command ("show"), CurrentNode (E)
-> Unparse (E), ShowPending (E), CommandPending (E);
if *Image (S, E), *ShowPending (E), *CommandPending (-)
-> displayn {S};
! abort Command
if Command ("abort"), *Eval (E, C) -> ;
if Command ("abort"), *Value (V, E, C) -> ;
if Command ("abort"), *Check (V, E, C) -> ;
if Command ("abort"), *Nonlocal (C, D) ->;
if Command ("abort"), *Binds (D, N, V) -> ;
if *Command ("abort"), "Eval (E, C), "Value (V, E, C), "Nonlocal (C, D), "Binds (D, N, V),
```

```
*SuspendedEval (-), *CurrentContext (-)

-> CurrentContext (Nil), SuspendedEval (Nil), displayn {"aborted"};

! done Command
```

if *Command ("done") -> displayn {"PI system stopped"};

```
! Syntax Directed Editing
if *Command ("delete"), CurrentNode (E), Undef (E)
-> displayn ("already deleted");
! begin Command
if *Command ("begin"), *CurrentNode (-)
-> CreateRoot (newobj {}), CommandPending (Nil);
if *CreateRoot (E), *CommandPending (-)
-> Root (E), Undef (E), CurrentNode (E);
! root Command
if *Command ("root"), *CurrentNode (-), Root (E)
-> CurrentNode (E), Command ('show');
! Debugging Commands
! out_context Command
if *Command ("out_context"), *CurrentContext (D), Nonlocal (C, D)
-> CurrentContext (C), Command ("context")
else if *Command ("out_context")
-> displayn ("at outermost level");
! in context Command
if *Command ("in_context"), *CurrentContext (C), Nonlocal (C, D)
-> CurrentContext (D), Command ("context")
else if *Command ("in_context")
```

```
-> displayn ("at innermost level");

! alter Command

if *Command ("alter"), *Argument (U), CurrentContext (C), *Binds (C, N, V)

-> Binds (C, N, U), Command ("context")

else if *Command ("alter"), *Argument (-)

-> displayn ("no binding");

>> }.

act {ComIntRules}.
```

```
! COMMENTS
define {root, 'RemRules", < <
! rem Command
if *Command ("rem"), *Argument (S), CurrentNode (E), *Comment (-, E)
-> Comment (S, E);
if *Command ("rem"), *Argument (-), CurrentNode (E), Comment (-, E)
-> displayn ( "node already commented");
! delete_rem Command
if *Command ("delete_rem"), CurrentNode (E), *Comment (-, E)
-> displayn ("done");
if *Command ("delete rem"), CurrentNode (E), *Comment (-, E)
-> displayn ("no comment");
>> }.
act {RemRules}.
! INCOMPLETE PROGRAM
! Tables
Explanation ("incomplete program", ["error", 0]).
define {root, 'IncomProgRules', < <
! Evaluation
if *Eval (E, C), Undef (E), *CurrentNode (-)
-> Break ('Incomplete", E, C);
```

```
! Unparsing
```

```
if *Unparse (E), Undef (E)
-> Image ("< expr> ", E);
> > }.
```

 $act~\{lncomProgRules\}.$

! CONSTANT NODES ! Relations newrelation {"Con"}; newrelation {'Litval"}. ! Functions fn Id [x]: x. ! Tables Meaning (Id, 'lit"). Template (int_str, 'lit''). define {root, 'ConRules', < < ! Evaluation if *Eval (e, c), Con (e), Litval (v, e), Meaning (f, 'lit') -> Value (f [v], e, c); ! Unparsing if *Unparse (e), Con (e), Litval (v, e), Template (f, 'lit'), Comment (s, e) -> Image ($f[v] + "{" + s + "}", e$) else if *Unparse (e), Con (e), Litval (v, e), Template (f, 'lit") -> Image (f [v], e); ! # Command if *Command ("#"), *Argument (V), IsInt [V], CurrentNode (E), *Undef (E)

-> Con (E), Litval (V, E);

```
if *Command ("#"), *Argument (V), CurrentNode (E), *Undef (E)
-> displayn ("defined node");
! delete Command
if *Command ("delete"), CurrentNode (E), *Con (E), *Litval (V, E)
-> Undef (E), Command ("show");
> > }.
act {ConRules}.
```

! VARIABLE NODES ! Relations newrelation {"Var"}; newrelation {'Ident"}. define {root, "VarRules", < < ! Evaluation if *Eval (E, C), Var (E), Ident (N, E) -> Looking (N, C, E, C); if *Looking (N, C, E, D), Binds (C, N, V) -> Value (V, E, D) else if *Looking (N, C, E, D), Nonlocal (Cprime, C) -> Looking (N, Cprime, E, D) else if *Looking (N, C, E, D), *CurrentNode (-), *CurrentContext (-) -> Break ("Unbound: " + N, E, D); ! Unparsing if *Unparse (E), Var (E), Ident (N, E), Comment (S, E) -> Image ($N + " \{" + S + "\}", E$) else if *Unparse (E), Var (E), Ident (N, E) -> Image (N, E); ! var Command if *Command ("var"), *Argument (N), CurrentNode (E), *Undef (E) -> Var (E), Ident (N, E);

```
! delete Command
```

```
\label{eq:command} \begin{tabular}{ll} if $*Command ("delete")$, $CurrentNode (E)$, $*Var (E)$, $*Ident (N, E)$ \\ -> $Undef (E)$, $Command ("show")$; \\ >> $\}. \\ \begin{tabular}{ll} act $\{VarRules\}$. \end{tabular}
```

! APPLICATION NODES

! Relations

newrelation {"Appl"};

newrelation {"Op"};

newrelation {"Left"};

newrelation {'Right"};

newrelation {"Create Appl"}.

! Evaluation Functions

$$fn\ Sum\ [x,\ y]\colon x+\ y;$$

$$fn\ Dif\ [\,x,\ y\,]\colon x\ \hbox{-}\ y;$$

fn Product
$$[x, y]: x * y;$$

if
$$y = 0 -> ["error", 1]$$

else x / y;

fn Equal [x, y]: if $x = y \rightarrow$ true else false;

fn IsErrorcode [w]:

if
$$\operatorname{IsList}[w] \mid w = \operatorname{Nil} -> \operatorname{Nil}$$

else first [w] = "error";

! Unparsing Functions

fn upSum
$$[x, y]$$
: "(" + x + " + " + y + ")";

fn upDif
$$[x, y]$$
: "(" + x + " - " + y + ")";

$$\label{eq:fn_upProd} \text{$[\,x,\,\,y]$: $"(\,"+\,\,x\,+\,\,"\,\,x\,\,"+\,\,y\,+\,\,")\,"$},$$

$$fn \ upQuot \ [x, \ y]: "("+ \ x + \ " \ / \ " + \ y + \ ")"; \\$$

fn upEqua
$$[x, y]$$
: "(" + x + " = " + y + ")".

```
! Evaluation Tables
Meaning (Sum, "+");
Meaning (Dif, "-");
Meaning (Product, 'x");
Meaning (Quotient, "/");
Meaning (Equal, "= ").
! Unparsing Tables
Template (upSum, "+ ");
Template (upDif, "-");
Template (upProd, "x");
Template (upQuot, "/");
Template (upEqua, "= ").
! Other Tables
Explanation ("division by zero", ["error", 1]).
define {root, "ApplRules", < <
! Evaluation
if *Eval (e, c), Appl (e), Left (x, e), Right (y, e)
-> Eval (x. c). Eval (y, c);
if *Value (u, x, c), *Value (v, y, c), Appl (e), Op (n, e), Left (x, e), Right (y, e), Meaning (f, n)
-> Check (f | u, v |, e, c);
if *Check (w, e, c), "IsErrorcode |w|
-> Value (w, e, c);
if *Check (w, e, c), IsErrorcode [w], Explanation (s, w), *CurrentNode (q)
```

```
-> Break (s, e, c);
! Unparsing
if *Unparse (e), Appl (e), Left (x, e), Right (y, e)
-> Unparse (x), Unparse (y);
! Unparsing Comments on Applications
if Appl (E), Op (N, E), Left (X, E), Right (Y, E), *Image (U, X), *Image (V, Y), Comment (S, E)
-> Image ("{" + S + "}(" + U + N + V + ") ", E)
else if *Image (u, x), *Image (v, y), Appl (e), Op (n, e), Left (x, e), Right (y, e), Template (f, n)
-> Image (f [u, v], e);
! + , -, x , /, = Commands
if *Command (op), member [op, ["+", "-", "x", "/", "="]], *CurrentNode (E), *Undef (E)
-> CommandPending (E), CreateAppl (op, E, newobj {}, newobj {});
if *CreateAppl (op, E, X, Y), *CommandPending (E)
-> {Appl (E), Op (op, E), Left (X, E), Right (Y, E), Undef (X), Undef (Y), CurrentNode (X);
   Command ("show") };
! delete Command
if *Command ("delete"), CurrentNode (E), *Appl (E), *Op (N, E), *Left (X, E), Right (Y, E)
-> Undef (E), Command ("show");
! in Command
if *Command ("in"), *CurrentNode (E), Left (X. E)
-> CurrentNode (X), Command ('show');
```

```
! out Command

if *Command ("out"), *CurrentNode (X), Left (X, E)

-> CurrentNode (E), Command ("show");

if *Command ("out"), *CurrentNode (Y), Right (Y, E)

-> CurrentNode (E), Command ("show");

! next Command

if *Command ("next"), *CurrentNode (X), Left (X, E), Right (Y, E)

-> CurrentNode (Y), Command ("show");

! prev Command

if *Command ("prev"), *CurrentNode (Y), Right (Y, E), Left (X, E)

-> CurrentNode (X), Command ("show");

>> }.

act {ApplRules}.
```

```
! BLOCK
! Relations
newrelation {"Block"};
newrelation {"BndVar"};
newrelation {"BndVal"};
newrelation {"Body"};
newrelation {"CreateLet"}.
define {root. "BlockRules". < <
! Evaluation
if *Eval (E, C), Block (E), BndVal (X, E)
-> Eval (X, C):
if Block (E), BndVar (N, E), BndVal (X, E), Body (B, E), *Value (V, X, C), Comment (S, E)
-> CreateContext (newobj {}, N, V, C, B, S)
else if Block (E), BndVar (N, E), BndVal (X, E), Body (B, E), *Value (V, X, C)
-> CreateContext (newobj {}, N, V, C, B);
if *CreateContext (D, N, V, C, B, S)
-> CreateContext (D. N. V. C., B), Comment (S. D);
if *CreateContext (D, N, V, C, B)
-> Context (D), Binds (D, N, V), Nonlocal (C, D), Eval (B, D);
if Block (E), Body (B, E), *Value (V, B, D), *Nonlocal (C, D), *Binds (D, N, W), *Context (D)
-> Value (V, E, C);
! Unparsing
```

```
if *Unparse (E), Block (E), BndVal (X, E), Body (B, E)
-> Unparse (X), Unparse (B);
! Unparsing comments on blocks
if Block (E), BndVar (N, E), BndVal (X, E), Body (B, E), *Image (U, X), *Image (V, B), Comment (S, E
-> Image (
TabIn + NL + "[let {" + S + "}"]
 + TabIn + NL + N + " = " + U
 + NL + V + "
 + TabOut + TabOut, E)
else if Block (E), BndVar (N, E), BndVal (X, E), Body (B, E), *Image (U, X), *Image (V, B)
-> Image ( TabIn + NL
 + "| let " + N + " = " + U
 + Tabln + NL + V + " |"
 + TabOut + TabOut,
E);
! let Command
if *Command ('Met'), *Argument (N), *CurrentNode (E), *Undef (E)
-> CommandPending (E), CreateLet (N, E, newobj {}, newobj {});
if *CreateLet (N, E, X, B). *CommandPending (E)
-> {Block (E), BndVar (N, E), BndVal (X, E), Body (B, E),
  Undef (X), Undef (B), CurrentNode (X);
  Command ('show") };
```

! in Command

if *Command ("in"), *CurrentNode (E), BndVal (X, E)

```
-> CurrentNode (X), Command ("show");
! out Command
if *Command ("out"), *CurrentNode (X), BndVal (X, E)
-> CurrentNode (E), Command ('show');
if *Command ("out"), *CurrentNode (B), Body (B, E)
-> CurrentNode (E), Command ("show");
! next Command
if *Command ("next"), *CurrentNode (X), BndVal (X, E), Body (B, E)
-> CurrentNode (B), Command ("show");
! prev Command
if *Command ("prev"), *CurrentNode (B), Body (B, E), BndVal (X, E)
-> CurrentNode (X), Command ("show");
>> }.
act {BlockRules}.
```

! CONDITIONAL EXPRESSION NODES ! Relations newrelation {"ConEx"}; newrelation {"Cond"}; newrelation {"Conseq"}; newrelation {"Alt"}; newrelation {"CreateConEx"}. define {root. "ConExRules". < < ! Evaluation if *Eval (E, C), ConEx (E), Cond (B, E) -> Eval (B, C); if ConEx (E), Cond (B, E), Conseq (T, E), *Value (true, B, C) -> Eval (T, C); if ConEx (E), Cond (B, E), Alt (F, E), *Value (false, B, C) -> Eval (F, C); if ConEx (E), Conseq (T, E), *Value (V, T, C) -> Value (V, E, C); if ConEx (E), Alt (F, E), *Value (V, F, C) -> Value (V, E, C); ! Unparsing if *Unparse (E), ConEx (E), Cond (B, E), Conseq (T, E), Alt (F, E)

-> Unparse (B), Unparse (T), Unparse (F);

```
if ConEx (E), Cond (B, E), Conseq (T, E), Alt (F, E), *Image (U, B), *Image (V, T), *Image (W, F)
-> Image ( TabIn + NL +
"(if " + U + NL +
"then "+ V + NL +
"else "+ W + ")" +
TabOut + NL, E);
! Editing
! if Command
if *Command ("if"), *CurrentNode (E), *Undef (E)
-> CommandPending (E), CreateConEx (E, newobj {}, newobj {});
if *CreateConEx (E, B, T, F), *CommandPending (E)
-> {ConEx (E), Cond (B, E), Conseq (T, E), Alt (F, E),
  Undef (B), Undef (T), Undef (F), CurrentNode (B);
  Command ('show') };
! in Command
if *Command ('in'), *CurrentNode (E), ConEx (E), Cond (B, E)
-> CurrentNode (B), Command ("show");
! out Command
if *Command ("out"), *CurrentNode (B), Cond (B, E), ConEx (E)
-> CurrentNode (E), Command ("show"):
if *Command ("out"), *CurrentNode (T), Conseq (T, E), ConEx (E)
-> CurrentNode (E), Command ('show'):
if *Command ("out"), *CurrentNode (F), Alt (F, E), ConEx (E)
```

```
-> CurrentNode (E), Command ("show");

! next Command

if *Command ("next"), *CurrentNode (B), Cond (B, E), Conseq (T, E)

-> CurrentNode (T), Command ("show");

if *Command ("next"), *CurrentNode (T), Conseq (T, E), Alt (F, E)

-> currentNode (F), Command ("show");

! prev Command

if *Command ("prev"), *CurrentNode (F). Alt (F, E), Conseq (T, E)

-> CurrentNode (T), Command ("show");

if *Command ("prev"), *CurrentNode (T), Conseq (T, E), Cond (B, E)

-> CurrentNode (B), Command ("show");

>> }.

act {ConExRules}.
```

! FUNCTION DEFINITION AND INVOCATION

```
! Definition Abstract Structure
newrelation {"FunDef"};
newrelation {"FunName"};
newrelation {"FunFormal"};
newrelation {"FunBody"};
newrelation {"FunScope"};
! Invocation Abstract Structure
newrelation {"Call"};
newrelation {'Rator"};
newrelation {"Rand"};
! Runtime Relations
newrelation {"Closure"};
newrelation {"EP"};
newrelation {"IP"};
newrelation {"FP"};
newrelation {"Caller"};
newrelation {"Name"};
newrelation {"Argument2"};
newrelation {"CreateCall"};
newrelation {"CreateFunDef"};
newrelation {"CreateActRecord"};
newrelation {"CreateFunContext"}.
define {root, "FunRules", < <
```

```
! FUNCTION INVOCATION
! Editing
! call Command
if *Command ("call"), *CurrentNode (E), *Undef (E)
-> CommandPending (E), CreateCall (newobj {}, newobj {}, E);
if *CreateCall (F, X, E), *CommandPending (E)
-> Call (E), Rator (F, E), Rand (X, E), Undef (F), Undef (X), CurrentNode (F);
! next Command
if *Command ('next'), *CurrentNode (F), Rator (F, E), Call (E), Rand (X, E)
-> CurrentNode (X), Command ("show");
! Unparsing
if *Unparse (E), Call (E), Rator (F, E), Rand (X, E)
-> Unparse (F), Unparse (X);
if Call (E), Rator (F, E), Rand (X, E), *Image (U, F), *Image (V, X)
-> Image (U + "" + V, E):
! Evaluation
! Evaluate Rator and Rand
if *Eval (E, C), Call (E), Rator (F, E), Rand (X, E)
-> Eval (F, C), Eval (X, C);
! Evaluate Body
```

if Call (E), Rator (F, E), Rand (X, E), Var (F), Ident (M, F),

*Value (K, F, C), *Value (V, X, C),

Closure (K), EP (D, K), IP (B, K), FP (N, K)

-> CreateActRecord (newobj {}, D, N, V, M, E, C, B);

if *CreateActRecord (A, D, N, V, M, E, C, B)

-> Context (A), Nonlocal (D, A), Binds (A, N, V),

Name (M, A), Caller (E, C, B, A), Eval (B, A);

! Return Value

if *Caller (E, C, B, A), *Value (V, B, A)

-> Value (V, E, C);

```
! FUNCTION DEFINITION
! Editing
! func Command
if *Command ('func'), *Argument (F), *Argument2 (N), *CurrentNode (E), *Undef (E)
-> CommandPending (E), CreateFunDef (newobj {}, newobj {}, F, N, E);
if *CreateFunDef (B, X, F, N, E), *CommandPending (E)
-> FunDef (E), FunName (F, E), FunFormal (N, E), FunBody (B, E), FunScope (X, E).
 Undef (B), Undef (X), CurrentNode (B);
! next Command
if *Command ('next'), *CurrentNode (B), FunBody (B, E), FunScope (X, E)
-> CurrentNode (X), Command ("show");
! in Command
if *Command ("in"), *CurrentNode (E), FunDef(E), FunBody (B, E)
-> CurrentNode (B), Command ("show");
! out Command
if *Command ("out"), *CurrentNode (B), FunBody (B, E)
-> CurrentNode (E), Command ('show');
if *Command ("out"), *CurrentNode (X), FunScope (X, E)
-> CurrentNode (E), Command ('show");
! Unparsing
if *Unparse (E), FunDef (E), FunBody (B, E), FunScope (X, E)
-> Unparse (B), Unparse (X);
```

```
if FunDef (E), FunName (F, E), FunFormal (N, E), FunBody (B, E), FunScope (X, E),
 *Image (U, B), *Image (V, X)
-> Image (TabIn + NL
 + "func"+ F + ""+ N + "= "
 + TabIn + U +
 + NL + V + "]"
 + TabOut + TabOut,
E);
! Evaluation
! Analysis
if *Eval (E, C), FunDef (E), FunName (F, E), FunFormal (N, E), FunBody (B, E), FunScope (X, E)
-> CreateFunContext (newobj {}, newobj {}, C, F, B, N, X);
if *CreateFunContext (D, K, C, F, B, N, X)
-> Context (D), Nonlocal (C, D), Binds (D, F, K),
 Closure (K), EP (D, K), IP (B, K), FP (N, K),
Eval (X, D);
! Synthesis
if FunDef (E), FunScope (X, E), *Value (V, X, D), Nonlocal (C, D)
-> Value (V, E, C);
! Debugging
! context Command
if *Command ("context"), CurrentContext (C), Binds (C, N, K), Closure (K)
-> displayn \{N + " = ... \text{ function } ... "\}
```

```
else if *Command ("context"), CurrentContext (C), Binds (C, N, V), Name (M, C)
-> displayn \{M + "(" + N + " = " + int_str[V] + ")"\}
else if *Command ("context"), CurrentContext (C), Binds (C, N, V), Comment (S, C)
-> displayn ( N + " = " + int_str [V] + " {" + S + "}")
else if *Command ("context"), CurrentContext (C), Binds (C, N, V)
-> displayn ( N + " = " + int\_str [V] )
else if *Command ("context")
-> displayn ("no bindings");
! caller Command
if *Command ("caller"), CurrentContext (A), Caller (E, C, B, A)
-> CurrentContext (C), Command ("context");
! callee Command
\cdot > >  }.
act {FunRules}.
```

```
! Relations
newrelation {"Script"};
newrelation {"Test"}.
! Monadic Command List
define {root, "MonadicCommands",
 ["#", "val", "let", "var", "alter", "rem"]}.
define {root, "TestRules", < <
! Script Sequencer
if *Script (A, Nil), *Command (-), *CommandPending (-)
-> A ('Script completed')
else if *Script (A, L), "Command (-), "CommandPending (-), first [L] = "func"
-> { displayn {" ... " + first [rest [L]]
     + "" + first [rest [L]]] + "" + first [L] \};
    Command (first |L|), Argument (first |rest |L|]), Argument2 (first |rest |rest |L|]);
    Script (A, rest [rest [ rest [L]]]) }
else if *Script (A, L), *Command (-), *CommandPending (-), member | first |L|, MonadicCommands
-> { display {" ... "};
    display {first | rest | L]]};
    displayn {" " + first [L] };
    Command (first [L]), Argument (first [rest [L]]);
    Script (A, rest rest L)) }
else if *Script (A, L), *Command (-), *CommandPending (-)
```

! TEST DRIVER

```
-> { displayn {" ... " + first [L]};
    Command (first [L]);
    Script (A, rest [L]) };
! Test Scripts
if *Test (A, 1) -> { Script {
   "begin", "let", "K", "#", 4, "next", "func", "fac", "n",
   "ff", "= ", "var", "n", "next", "#", 0, "out", "next", "#", 1, "next",
   "x", "var", "n", "next", "call", "var", "fac", "next",
   "-". "var". "n", "next". "#". 1, "root". "in". "next". "in". "next".
   "call", "var", "fac", "next", "var", "K", "root", "evaluate"
   ]};
 A ('Test done');
 };
if *Test (A, 2) -> { Script { [
   '5n", "next", '5n", '5n", "next", "delete",
   "rem", "error", "/", "#", 1, "next", "#", 0, "root", "evaluate",
   "context", "caller", "callee", "caller", "caller",
   "out_context", "out_context", "done"
  ] };
 A ("Test done");
 };
act {TestRules}.
! Initialize Data Structures
CurrentNode (Nil).
```

CurrentContext (Nil).

SuspendedEval (Nil).

displayn {"PI-4 System loaded"}.

APPENDIX B: Transcript of Ω Session

The following is a transcript of an Ω session illustrating the operation of the prototype programming environment shown in Appendix A. The assertion 'Script {testscript}' causes the commands in testscript to be executed in order. The *n*th testscript is executed by 'Test{n}'. Each command is printed on a separate line, followed by whatever output is generated by the programming environment. This transcript was produced by the McArthur interpreter [McArthur84].

```
% omega
OMEGA-1 11/30/84
Use Cntl-D or exit{} to quit.
For help, enter help{"?"}.
To report a bug, enter Bugs {}.
newrelation rule activated.
> do{"PI4.rul"}.
PI-4 System loaded
OK
> \{Test\{1\}; Test\{2\}\}.
... begin
... K let
< expr>
 ... 4 #
 ... next
< expr>
... fac n func
... if
< expr>
```

< expr>

... n var

... next

< expr>

... 0 #

... out

(n = 0)

... next

< expr>

... 1 #

... next

< expr>

... X

< expr>

... n var

... next

< expr>

... call

... fac var

... next

< expr>

... -

< expr>

... n var

... next

< expr>

... 1 #

... root

```
[let K = 4]
 func fac n =
   (if (n = 0)
   then 1
   else (n \times fac (n-1))
   < expr> ] ]
 ... in
 ... next
func fac n =
 (if (n = 0)
  then 1
  else (n x fac (n - 1)))
 < expr>
 ... in
(if (n = 0)
then 1
else (n \times fac (n-1))
 ... next
< expr>
\dots call
 ... fac var
... next
< expr>
... K var
```

... **r**oot

```
let K = 4
 func fac n =
   (if (n = 0)
   then 1
   else (n \times fac(n-1))
   fac K ] ]
... evaluate
24
... in
... next
func fac n =
 (if (n = 0)
  then 1
  else (n \times fac (n - 1))
 fac K ]
... in
(if (n = 0)
then 1
else (n \times fac (n-1)))
... in
(n = 0)
... next
1
... delete
```

```
< expr>
 ... error rem
< expr>
 ... 1 #
 ... next
< expr>
 ... 0 #
 ... root
let K = 4
 func fac n =
   (if (n = 0)
    then \{error\} (1/0)
    else (n \times fac (n - 1))
   fac K
 ... evaluate
division by zero
 ... context
fac (n = 0)
... caller
fac (n = 1)
... callee
fac (n = 0)
... caller
fac(n = 1)
... caller
```

fac (n = 2)

... out_context

fac = ... function ...

... out_context

K = 4

... done

PI system stopped

Test done

 $> exit{}$.

Goodbye.

%

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

D. Comer Trade in M. Comercian Comercian	
Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	2
Dudley Knox Library Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	2
Office of Research Administration Code 012 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	1
Chairman, Code 52 Department of Computer Science Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	40
Associate Professor Bruce J. MacLennan Code 52ML Department of Computer Science Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	12
Dr. Robert Grafton Code 433 Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Arlington, VA 22217-5000	. 1
Dr. David Mizell Office of Naval Research 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, CA 91106	1
Dr. Stephen Squires DARPA Information Processing Techniques Office 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209	1
Professor Jack M. Wozencraft, 62Wz Department of Electrical and Comp. Engr. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943	1
Professor Rudolf Bayer Institut für Informatik Technische Universität Postfach 202420 D-8000 Munchen 2 West Germany	1

Dr. Robert M. Balzer USC Information Sciences Inst. 4676 Admiralty Way Suite 10001 Marina del Rey, CA 90291		1
Mr. Ronald E. Joy Honeywell, Inc. Computer Sciences Center 10701 Lyndale Avenue South Bloomington, MI 55402		1
Mr. Ron Laborde INMOS Whitefriars Lewins Mead Bristol Great Britain		1
Mr. Lynwood Sutton Code 424, Building 600 Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152		1
Mr. Jeffrey Dean Advanced Information and Decision Systems 201 San Antonio Circle, Suite 286 Mountain View, CA 94040		1
Mr. Jack Fried Mail Station D01/31T Grumman Aerospace Corporation Bethpage, NY 11714		1
Mr. Dennis Hall New York Videotext 104 Fifth Avenue, Second Floor New York, NY 10011		1
Professor S. Ceri Laboratorio di Calcolatori Departimento di Elettronica Politecnico di Milano 20133 - Milano Italy		1
Mr. A. Dain Samples Computer Science Division - EECS University of California at Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94720		1
Antonio Corradi Dipartimento di Elettronica		

Informatica e Sistemistica Universita Degli Studi di Bologna

Viale Risorgimento, 2

Bologna Italy	1
Dr. Peter J. Welcher Mathematics Dept., Stop 9E U.S. Naval Academy Annapolis, MD 21402	1
Dr. John Goodenough Wang Institute Tyng Road Tyngsboro, MA 01879	1
Professor Richard N. Taylor Computer Science Department University of California at Irvine Irvine, CA 92717	1
Dr. Mayer Schwartz Computer Research Laboratory MS 50-662 Tektronix, Inc. Post Office Box 500 Beaverton, OR 97077	1
Professor Lori A. Clarke Computer and Information Sciences Department LGRES ROOM A 305 University of Massachusetts Amherst, MA 01003	1
Professor Peter Henderson Department of Computer Science SUNY at Stony Brook Stony Brook, NY 11794	1
Dr. Mark Moriconi SRI International 333 Ravenswood Avenue Manlo Park, CA 95025	1
Professor William Waite Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering The University of Colorado Campus Box 425 Boulder, CO 80309-0425	1
Professor Mary Shaw Software Engineering Institute Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213	1
Dr. Warren Teitelman Engineering/Software Sun Microsystems Federal Inc	

2550 Garcia Avenue

Mountain View, CA 94031	•
Prof. Raghu Ramakrishnan Univ. of Texas at Austin Dept. of Computer Science Austin, TX 79712	

-52-