

United States Government Accountability Office Washington, DC 20548

April 7, 2010

The Honorable Robert C. Byrd Chairman The Honorable George V. Voinovich Ranking Member Subcommittee on Homeland Security Committee on Appropriations United States Senate

The Honorable David E. Price Chairman The Honorable Harold Rogers Ranking Member Subcommittee on Homeland Security Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives

Subject: Coast Guard: Deployable Operations Group Achieving Organizational Benefits, but Challenges Remain

This letter formally transmits the enclosed briefing in response to congressional direction accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2008 to report on the Coast Guard's Deployable Operations Group.¹ Specifically, we are reporting on the extent to which the Deployable Operations Group achieved its intended benefits and the challenges it faces as it continues to mature. For a summary of the results of our work, see enclosure 1, slides 9-10. Based on the results of our review, we are not making any recommendations for congressional consideration or agency action.

We are sending copies of this report to the appropriate congressional committees. We are also sending copies to the Department of Homeland Security and the U.S. Coast Guard. This report will also be available at no charge on our Web site at <u>http://www.gao.gov</u>. Should you or your staff have questions concerning this report, please contact me at (202) 512-9610, or <u>caldwells@gao.gov</u>. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page

¹ H. Comm. on Appropriations, 110th Cong., Committee Print on H.R. 2764/Public Law 110-161 at 1059 (2008), and S. Rep. No. 110-84, at 69-70 (2007).

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.						
1. REPORT DATE 07 APR 2010		2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2010 to 00-00-2010	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER				
Coast Guard: Deployable Operations Group Achieving Organizational				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
Benefits, but Challenges Remain				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Government Accountability Office,441 G Street NW,Washington,DC,20548				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF	
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	ABSTRACT Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES 43	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98) Prescribed by ANSI Std Z39-18 of this report. Key contributors to this report were Christopher Conrad, Assistant Director; Danny Burton; Lara Kaskie; Stanley Kostyla; Ryan Lambert; and Jeremy Rothgerber.

And

Stephen L. Caldwell Director, Homeland Security and Justice

Enclosures (3)

cc: Mr. Scott Nance Ms. Rebecca Davies Mr. Will Painter Mr. Ben Nicholson

Enclosure I: Briefing on the Coast Guard's Deployable Operations Group

































































GAO-10-433R Review of Deployable Operations Group







Deployable Specialized Forces

This enclosure provides additional information on the specific deployable specialized forces under the command of the Deployable Operations Group (DOG). The DOG is responsible for coordinating and executing all deployments of the Coast Guard's deployable specialized forces, which consist of five types of teams or units (see table 1).

Table 1: The U.S. Coast Guard's Deployable Specialized Forces—Component Teams and Unit
Descriptions

Component teams or units (type, number, and locations)	Number of personnel [®]	Unit description
 National Strike Force: National Strike Force Coordination Center (Elizabeth City, North Carolina)^b Atlantic Strike Team (Fort Dix, New Jersey) Gulf Strike Team (Mobile, Alabama) Pacific Strike Team (Novato, California 	270	 First created in 1973, the National Strike Force is composed of a cadre of Coast Guard professionals—with incident-management skills and specialized equipment—who deploy in response to oil and hazardous substance pollution incidents (i.e., biological, chemical, and radiological response). Each Strike Team is comprised of approximately 80 personnel, of which about half are active duty personnel. The remainder includes a combination of reservists, auxiliarists, and civilians.
		 Members typically deploy for up to 21 days. If an incident extends beyond this period, additional responders are deployed to backfill positions. Responders deploy on average approximately 160 days per year.
		 The National Strike Force responded to a total of 21 oil spill incidents and 27 hazardous material release incidents in fiscal year 2008, as reported by the National Strike Force Coordination Center.
<u>Tactical Law Enforcement</u> <u>Teams</u> (two teams): • Pacific Tactical Law Enforcement Team (San Diego, California)	204	Tactical Law Enforcement Teams provide specialized law enforcement and maritime security capabilities to enforce U.S. laws across a spectrum of maritime missions, including drug interdiction and vessel interception operations.
 Eight Law Enforcement Detachments Tactical Law Enforcement Team South (Miami, Florida) Nine Law Enforcement Detachments 		 The Coast Guard's two Tactical Law Enforcement Teams collectively are composed of 17 smaller units (Law Enforcement Detachments) whose average complement consists of 9 personnel with a range of capabilities—e.g., precision marksmen and law enforcement boarding officers. Tactical Law Enforcement Teams collectively

		 perform around 40 deployments per year, with each detachment averaging over 185 days away from its home base. Teams typically conduct their primary mission (law enforcement) in the Caribbean Sea and Eastern Pacific Ocean. Teams have also provided training to foreign naval, coast guard, and police forces in the Caribbean, Pacific Ocean, Asia, Africa, Central and South America, and the Middle East. More recently, Law Enforcement Detachments have been deployed to the Gulf of Aden and the eastern coast of Somalia as part of a multinational task force to suppress piracy.[°]
 Port Security Units (eight units): California (San Pedro) California (San Francisco) Florida (Tampa) Massachusetts (Cape Cod) Mississippi (Gulfport) Ohio (Port Clinton) Virginia (Fort Eustis) Washington (Tacoma) 	1,171	 Manned largely by Coast Guard reservists, Port Security Units conduct port operations, security, and defense in support of combatant commanders' operations worldwide. A primary mission of Port Security Units is to provide waterside protection to U.S. Navy vessels and other high-value assets, including pier areas and harbor entrances. Units are currently deployed to Kuwait Naval Base to support Operation Iraqi Freedom and in January 2010 were also activated to assist with U.S. operations in Haiti. According to the Coast Guard, Port Security Units are deployed as 117 person teams and have sufficient equipment to sustain operations for up to 30 days; and operate fast, highly maneuverable and armed transportable port security boats and have land- based security forces that complement waterborne operations, and protect unit personnel, equipment, and command and control facilities.
Maritime Safety and Security Teams (12 teams).dAlaska (Anchorage)California (San Diego)California (San Francisco)California (San Pedro)Florida (Miami)Georgia (Kings Bay)Hawaii (Honolulu)Louisiana (New Orleans)Massachusetts (Boston)New York (New York)Texas (Galveston)	1,014	 Created under the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002, the Maritime Safety and Security Teams constitute a maritime security antiterrorism force. The teams are managed as national deployable assets responsible for safeguarding the public and protecting vessels, harbors, ports, facilities, and cargo in U.S. territorial waters. The teams are to maintain readiness to deploy to events such as terrorist threats or incidents; storm recovery operations; and routinely deploy to national special security events such as the Super Bowl and the presidential inauguration. The teams also enforce security zones during transit of high-interest vessels and at other times when additional levels of security are needed within the nation's ports and waterways. Among other capabilities, team elements

Total personnel	2884°	 The Maritime Security Response Team, like other Coast Guard units, may be deployed unilaterally or as part of an interagency adaptive force package.
<u>Maritime Security Response</u> <u>Team</u> (one team): • Virginia (Chesapeake)	225	Charged with maintaining a high readiness posture 365 days a year, the Maritime Security Response Team is the Coast Guard's advanced interdiction force for counterterrorism and higher risk law enforcement operations. The team provides a variety of advanced capabilities or skills, including addressing threats posed by weapons of mass destruction and vertically inserting from a helicopter to a ship's deck to engage potentially hostile personnel.
Washington (Seattle)		include canines trained to search for explosives; divers trained for underwater detection; and remotely operated submersible vehicles equipped with cameras used for a variety of underwater applications.

Source: U.S. Coast Guard.

^aIncludes active, reserve, and civilian billets assigned to the units as of March 2010. Total does not include any fiscal year 2010 billet additions that have not yet been staffed.

^bThe National Strike Force Coordination Center (NSFCC) provides support and standardization guidance to the Atlantic Strike Team, the Gulf Strike Team, and the Pacific Strike Team. The NSFCC is also home to the Public Information Assist Team, which provides emergency public information services to federal on-scene coordinators primarily during oil spills and hazardous material releases. The NSFCC is responsible for and oversees the maintenance of functions mandated by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 and is comprised of 18 active duty personnel, 3 reservists, and 8 civilians. However, the Coast Guard's fiscal year 2011 budget request proposes decommissioning the Coordination Center, eliminating 9 billets, and relocating the17 remaining billets between the Strike Teams, the Deployable Operations Group, and Coast Guard Headquarters.

^cIn January 2009, the U.S. Central Command created Combined Task Force 151, an international coalition consisting of command personnel from the United States, Turkey, Singapore, Greece, Pakistan, and the United Kingdom. Its mission is to actively deter, disrupt, and suppress piracy in order to protect global maritime security and secure freedom of navigation for the benefit of all nations.

^dThe Coast Guard's fiscal year 2011 budget request proposes the disestablishment of five Maritime Safety and Security Teams, and the reallocation of some of these billets to Tactical Law Enforcement Teams.

^eThe Deployable Operations Group also oversees and manages 49 Coast Guard reserve personnel who are assigned to work within three U.S. Navy components: Naval Expeditionary Combatant Commander; Maritime Expeditionary Security Groups; and Maritime Security Squadrons.

Related GAO Products

Coast Guard: Observations on the Fiscal Year 2011 Budget and Related Performance and Management Challenges. GAO-10-411T. Washington, D.C.: February 25, 2010.

Coast Guard: Service Has Taken Steps to Address Historic Personnel Problems, but It Is too Soon to Assess the Impact of These Efforts. GAO-10-268R. Washington, D.C.: January 29, 2010.

Coast Guard: Observations on the Genesis and Progress of the Service's Modernization Program. GAO-09-530R. Washington, D.C.: June 24, 2009.

Maritime Security: National Strategy and Supporting Plans Were Generally Well-Developed and Are Being Implemented. GAO-08-672. Washington, D.C.: June 20, 2008.

Maritime Security: Coast Guard Inspections Identify and Correct Facility Deficiencies, but More Analysis Needed of Program's Staffing, Practices, and Data. GAO-08-12. Washington, D.C.: Feb. 14, 2008.

Maritime Security: Federal Efforts Needed to Address Challenges in Preventing and Responding to Terrorist Attacks on Energy Commodity Tankers. GAO-08-141. Washington, D.C.: Dec. 10, 2007.

Maritime Security: The SAFE Port Act: Status and Implementation One Year Later. GAO-08-126T. Washington, D.C.: Oct. 30, 2007.

Maritime Security: Information on Port Security in the Caribbean Basin. GAO-07-804R. Washington, D.C.: June 29, 2007.

Coast Guard: Observations on the Preparation, Response, and Recovery Missions Related to Hurricane Katrina. GAO-06-903. Washington, D.C.: July 31, 2006.

Maritime Security: Information Sharing Efforts Are Improving. GAO-06-933T. Washington, D.C.: July 10, 2006.

Risk Management: Further Refinements Needed to Assess Risks and Prioritize Protective Measures at Ports and Other Critical Infrastructure. GAO-06-91. Washington, D.C.: Dec. 15, 2005.

Maritime Security: Enhancements Made, but Implementation and Sustainability Remain Key Challenges. GAO-05-448T. Washington, D.C.: May 17, 2005.

Maritime Security: Better Planning Needed to Help Ensure an Effective Port Security Assessment Program. GAO-04-1062. Washington, D.C.: Sept. 30, 2004.

(440803)

Page 41

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

GAO's Mission	The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.
Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony	The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through GAO's Web site (www.gao.gov). Each weekday afternoon, GAO posts on its Web site newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. To have GAO e-mail you a list of newly posted products, go to www.gao.gov and select "E-mail Updates."
Order by Phone	The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's Web site, http://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm.
	Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.
	Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.
To Report Fraud,	Contact:
Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs	Web site: www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm E-mail: fraudnet@gao.gov Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7470
Congressional Relations	Ralph Dawn, Managing Director, dawnr@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125 Washington, DC 20548
Public Affairs	Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800 U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149 Washington, DC 20548