


Automated 2D to 3D CAD Conversions – Myth or Reality?

Dr. Raj Iyer
Tank Automotive Research Development &
Engineering Center (TARDEC)
US Army R&D Command
Warren, MI



Presented at the SME WESTEC 2004 – New Frontiers in Manufacturing
Technology Conference, Los Angeles, CA

March 24, 2004

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE 24 MAR 2004		2. REPORT TYPE N/A		3. DATES COVERED -	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Automated 2D to #D CAD Conversions-- Myth or Reality?				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Dr. Raj Iyer				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) USA TACOM 6501 E 11 Mile Road Warren, MI 48397-5000				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER 13974	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) TACOM TARDEC	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release, distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES U.S. Government Work; not copyrighted in the U.S. Presented at the SME WESTIC 2004 -- New Frontiers in Manufacturing Technology Conference, Los Angeles, CA March 24, 2004					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			

Outline

- Need to move to 3D
- Issues with legacy data
- Decision factors
- Methodology
- Conversion issues
- Demos
- Conclusions

Legacy data

- Paper and Mylar drawings
- Scanned raster images
- 2D CAD vector files
- 3D “dumb” solid models

Need to move to 3D

- Large holdings of legacy 2D drawings
- Redesign (or design new) parts based on older designs
- Manufacture spare parts using new machine tools– improved logistic support
- Archive designs for future use
- Visualize 3D models for non-engineering use
- Virtual prototyping – engineering analysis

Issues with legacy data

- Scanned images
 - Poor scanning resolution
 - Poor quality of hardcopy drawings
 - Multiple sheets because of scanner restrictions
 - Need to be vectorized first
- Vectorized drawings
 - Duplicate and overlapping edges
 - Disconnected and crisscrossing edges
 - Near zero length entities
 - Breakup of entities
 - Incorrect scale factor
 - Ambiguous dimensions


Conversion decision factors

- Future production quantities
- Remaining product lifecycle
- Potential for design changes
- Availability and quality of technical data package (TDP)
- Mechanical content
- System density

Available software solutions

- AutoBuildZ (PTC)
- SolidEdge (UGS)
- FlexiDesign (ASPIre3D)

Conversion methodology



Conversion issues

- Works best with machinable piece parts
- Cannot handle assembly drawings
- Design intent
- Preprocessing and post-processing needed
- Automated vs. interactive
- Availability and completeness of data
- Pro/ENGINEER API issues
- Carryover of notes and non-geometric information


Process issues

- Can we mandate 3D across the enterprise?
- How to make 3D the official legal document for procurement?
- Do we need to hold on to the 2D?
- How do we manage raster, 2D and 3D concurrently?
- How do we interface with the PDM?
- Are our suppliers capable of handling 3D exclusively?
- Do we have rights to the contractor's design data?

Potential savings

- Automated conversions 4-5 times faster than manual conversions based on pilot conversions
- May not require engineers or 3D modeling experts
- In-house conversions on an as-needed basis possible
- Flexibility in outputs – Pro/E, Catia, UG, SolidWorks
- Lower costs in procuring and manufacturing parts by providing supplier with CAD data that they can directly use

Demos



In summary

- Cost-saving automated software are becoming available and affordable today
- Take advantage of cost/time savings that automated software provides
- Not a 100% solution – but definite productivity improvements over manual conversion approaches

Contact

- Any Questions?
- For more information:
 - Dr. Raj Iyer
 - Engineering Business Group, TARDEC
 - 6501 E. 11 Mile Road
 - Warren, MI 48313
 - Tel: 586.574.7186
 - E-mail: raj.iyer@us.army.mil
 - <http://www.tardec.army.mil>

13974

Alfatar, Marsha 'CONTR'

From: Alfatar, Marsha 'CONTR'
Sent: Monday, January 26, 2004 10:53 AM
To: Iyer, Raj G.
Subject: OPSEC Review

Dr. Iyer:

The OPSEC Review on your briefing #13974 "Automated 2D to 3D CAD Conversions -- Myth or Reality?" is complete. A PDF copy of the OPSEC Review Certification is attached.

To archive your briefing with the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), Fort Belvoir, I need for you to complete a Form 298 -- also attached. I will then submit your briefing to DTIC and contact you when they have assigned an archival number -- it a about a six week process.


If you have any questions please contact me -- my hours are listed below.

Marsha

Marsha Alfatar
 Contracted Coordinator
 TARDEC Technical Information Center (TIC)
 AMSRD-TAR-O (MS 204)
 6501 E. 11 Mile Road
 Warren, MI 48397-5000
 586-574-5377
 DSN: 786-5377
 TIC Hours:
 MW/F 8:00 AM - 4:00 PM
 The TIC is located in Building 200C
 Just South of the Media Center

Automated 2D to 3D CAD Conversions – Myth or Reality?

Dr. Raj Iyer
Tank Automotive Research Development &
Engineering Center (TARDEC)
US Army R&D Command
Warren, MI



Presented at the SME WESTEC 2004 – New Frontiers in Manufacturing
Technology Conference, Los Angeles, CA

March 24, 2004

Outline

- Need to move to 3D
- Issues with legacy data
- Decision factors
- Methodology
- Conversion issues
- Demos
- Conclusions

Legacy data

- Paper and Mylar drawings
- Scanned raster images
- 2D CAD vector files
- 3D “dumb” solid models

Need to move to 3D

- Large holdings of legacy 2D drawings
- Redesign (or design new) parts based on older designs
- Manufacture spare parts using new machine tools– improved logistic support
- Archive designs for future use
- Visualize 3D models for non-engineering use
- Virtual prototyping – engineering analysis

Issues with legacy data

- Scanned images
 - Poor scanning resolution
 - Poor quality of hardcopy drawings
 - Multiple sheets because of scanner restrictions
 - Need to be vectorized first
- Vectorized drawings
 - Duplicate and overlapping edges
 - Disconnected and crisscrossing edges
 - Near zero length entities
 - Breakup of entities
 - Incorrect scale factor
 - Ambiguous dimensions


Conversion decision factors

- Future production quantities
- Remaining product lifecycle
- Potential for design changes
- Availability and quality of technical data package (TDP)
- Mechanical content
- System density

Available software solutions

- AutoBuildZ (PTC)
- SolidEdge (UGS)
- FlexiDesign (ASPIre3D)

Conversion methodology



Conversion issues

- Works best with machinable piece parts
- Cannot handle assembly drawings
- Design intent
- Preprocessing and post-processing needed
- Automated vs. interactive
- Availability and completeness of data
- Pro/ENGINEER API issues
- Carryover of notes and non-geometric information


Process issues

- Can we mandate 3D across the enterprise?
- How to make 3D the official legal document for procurement?
- Do we need to hold on to the 2D?
- How do we manage raster, 2D and 3D concurrently?
- How do we interface with the PDM?
- Are our suppliers capable of handling 3D exclusively?
- Do we have rights to the contractor's design data?

Potential savings

- Automated conversions 4-5 times faster than manual conversions based on pilot conversions
- May not require engineers or 3D modeling experts
- In-house conversions on an as-needed basis possible
- Flexibility in outputs – Pro/E, Catia, UG, SolidWorks
- Lower costs in procuring and manufacturing parts by providing supplier with CAD data that they can directly use

Demos



In summary

- Cost-saving automated software are becoming available and affordable today
- Take advantage of cost/time savings that automated software provides
- Not a 100% solution – but definite productivity improvements over manual conversion approaches

Contact

- Any Questions?
- For more information:
 - Dr. Raj Iyer
 - Engineering Business Group, TARDEC
 - 6501 E. 11 Mile Road
 - Warren, MI 48313
 - Tel: 586.574.7186
 - E-mail: raj.iyer@us.army.mil
 - <http://www.tardec.army.mil>