

The Throughput of Wavelength Routing Networks ¹

Richard A. Barry and Pierre A. Humblet

MIT, Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems

Cambridge, MA 02139

1 Introduction

We consider the problem of interconnecting N local area networks (LANs) through a wavelength routing all optical network (λ -routing AON) [1, 2, 3] supporting F wavelengths at R b/s per wavelength. A λ -routing AON is one in which the path of a signal is a function only of the signal wavelength and the origin of the signal. We allow the possibility of wavelength changing so that a signal may arrive at a destination on a different wavelength than it originated on. Furthermore, we assume a slotted system, where each wavelength supports T periodic time slots. A *session*, i.e. connection between a transmitter and a receiver, is assumed to require one frequency-time slot of bandwidth, i.e. R/T b/s.

Each LAN has one outgoing fiber, one incoming fiber, and an unspecified but large number of users. The outgoing (incoming) fiber of a LAN is connected by a broadcast star to all the transmitters (receivers) of that LAN. We assume that there is exactly one active session between each pair of LANs. Therefore the network supports N^2 sessions. Define the *capacity*, C , as the largest value of N^2 possible as a function of F and T .

2 Results

We break the problem into 3 parts. In a *broadcast* network, each receiver hears the signals from each transmitter on each wavelength. Since there is no wavelength re-use, the class of broadcast networks has capacity $C_B = F \cdot T$.

A *light tree* AON (LT-AON) is shown in Fig. 1. Each LAN is connected to up to F trunks on the input and output side and no LAN is connected to more than one trunk on the same wavelength. Note that broadcast networks are a special case of LT-AONs. Light tree networks were first introduced in [4] but “equivalent” networks have been previously studied [6, 7, 8, 9]. Gallager has shown that $C_{LT} = F^2 T$ and that the capacity can be achieved without wavelength changing. [5]. The networks which achieve this bound are called Latin Routers (LR) [10]. Equivalent results have been independently derived, see [7, 8].

All other AONs are classified as non-light tree AONs (NLT-AON). An example of a network without a trunk is shown in Fig. 2. NLT-AONs were first studied by Birk in a different context [7]. Birk showed that for $F = 2$, $C_{NLT} \geq O(T \log T)$ beating the $F^2 T$ light tree limitation; however no upper bound on C_{NLT} was presented. We show that for any F and T , $C_{NLT} \leq O(F^2 T^2)$. By combining Birk’s design and the LR, we show that $C_{NLT} \geq O(F^2 T \log T)$ for all $F \geq 2$. In addition, for $F \geq T^{1/3}$, $C_{NLT} \geq O(F^2 T^{4/3})$. Note that surprisingly, both results are achievable even if $F \ll T$. None of the NLT-AONs discussed above require wavelength changing.

For a fixed number of wavelengths, F , and a fixed bit rate R per wavelength, increasing T decreases the session bit rate R/T . We study the relationship between session bit rate and maximum network *throughput*, $Z = C * (R/T)$ b/s. Holding F constant, R constant, and varying the number of time slots T , Z_B and Z_{LT} are independent of the session bit rate. However, Z_{NLT} increases as the session rate decreases! This is a fundamental design trade-off that does not exist in traditional multi-access networks.

3 Equivalences

One equivalent model, in terms of connectivity, is to assume F *wavebands* and T wavelengths per waveband. In this model, all wavelengths of a band must be routed together. Implications of this equivalence

¹Research supported by NSF Grant NCR-9206379 and DARPA grant #MDA972-92-J-1038

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE AUG 1994		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-08-1994 to 00-08-1994	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The Throughput of Wavelength Routing Networks				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA, 02139-4307				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 2	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified			




Fig. 1





Fig. 2



will be discussed.

We will also discuss the relationship between λ -routing AONs and 4 other types of previously studied networks: two stage switching networks, e.g. [6], networks with multiple transceivers per user [7], non-switching multichannel networks [8], and multiple fiber networks [9]. This provides new insights as well as resolving open issues in all these networks. A general structure for analyzing networks using a combination of the above routing techniques will be presented.

References

- [1] M. Goodman, "Multiwavelength networks and new approaches to packet switching," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 27, pp. 27-35, Oct 1989.
- [2] R. Barry and P. Humblet, "On the number of wavelengths needed in WDM networks," *LEOS '92*, Aug 1992.
- [3] R. Barry and P. Humblet, "On the number of wavelengths and switches needed in all optical networks," *To appear in IEEE Trans. on Comm.*, 1993.
- [4] S. Alexander, et al, *IEEE Journal of Lightwave Technology*, Special issue on Broadband Optical Networks, May 1993.
- [5] R. G. Gallager, *Spatial scalability of B service*, internal memo, July 1992.
- [6] N. Pippenger and A. C.-C. Yao, "Rearrangeable networks with limited depth," *SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth.*, vol. 3, Dec. 1982.
- [7] Y. Birk, N. Linial, and R. Meshulam, "On the uniform-traffic capacity of single-hop interconnections employing shared directional multichannels," *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, Jan. 1993, vol. 39, no. 1.
- [8] S. C. Liew, *Capacity assignment in non-switching multichannel networks*. PhD thesis, MIT, 1988.
- [9] J. Bannister, M. Gerla, and M. Kovačević, "An all-optical multifiber tree network," *IEEE Journal of Lightwave Technology*, Special Issue on Broadband Optical Networks, May 1993.
- [10] R. Barry and P. Humblet, "Latin routers, design and implementation," *IEEE Journal of Lightwave Technology*, Special Issue on Broadband Optical Networks, May 1993.