

Send to Sech Library



TECHNICAL LIBRARY

FA-TR-75070

#### LASER INTERFEROMETER TECHNIQUES FOR INSPECTION OF OPTICAL COMPONENTS

September 1975

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



RIA-76-U303

SAR-ASI

**5** Fire Control Development and Engineering Directorate

# U.S. ARMY ARMAMENT COMMAND FRANKFORD ARSENAL PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19137

## **DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS**

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

UNCLASSIFIED	
SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Batered)	
REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION N	IO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
FA-TR-75070	
4. TITLE (and Subtilia)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
LASER INTERFEROMETER TECHNIQUES FOR	Washmissl Desserveb Deport
INSPECTION OF OPTICAL COMPONENTS	Technical Research Report
	S. PERFORMING ONG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(.)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(4)
STANLEY P. KOPACZ	
A DEDEADURY OPCANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT. PROJECT. TASK
The state of the second	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Attn: SARFA-FCD-M	AMCMS CODE: 4931.0M6350
Philadelphia, PA 19137	DA PROJ: 0/10330
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
	September 1975
	13. NURBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office	) 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
	IDIOLAGOTETED
	UNCLASSIFIED
	SCHEDULE N/A
14 DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different	from Report)
	. · · ·
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
	<i>i</i>
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block numb	er)
Interferometry Index of F	Refraction
Twyman-Green Interferometer	
Laser	
Striae	
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse elde il necessary and identify by block numbe	r)
A method employing laser interferometry was un inhomogeneities of refraction index in optical optical fabrication. A Twyman-Green interfer a laser source was constructed. System error technique. Samples of A, B, C and D glass we	used for detecting al glass prior to use in cometer configuration using as were removed by a Moire ere introduced into one of

.

# UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

## UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	· · ·	-	Page
INTRODUCTION .	• • • • • • • •	 •••	2
THEORY	• • • • • • • •	 	2
DESCRIPTION OF	INTERFEROMETER	 	2
PROCEDURE	•••••	 	3
RESULTS	• • • • • • •	 	3
CONCLUSIONS .		 	4
DISTRIBUTION .		 	9

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

## Figure

1.	Diagram of Striae Measurement Interferometer 5
2.	Arrangement for Index Matching 6
3.	Interferograms for Grade A Sample 7
4.	Interferograms for Grade B Sample 7
5.	Interferograms for Grade C Sample 8

#### INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this project was to establish a method employing laser interferometry for the detection of inhomogeneities of refraction index in optical glass used to fabricate optical components employed in fire control instruments, e.g., telescopic sights, periscopes, etc. An interferometer configuration, described later in this report, suitable for this purpose was chosen and appropriate modifications made. Several samples of glass were then tested using this procedure.

The conventional method used in striae detection, involved passing a collimated beam from an arc lamp through the sample while it was immersed in a liquid of matched index. When the beam was focused on the observer's pupil, striae parallel to the beam direction became visible as shadowy regions. These patterns were subjectively compared by the observer with standards graded A, B, C and D. Only an imprecise knowledge of glass characteristics could be gained by this subjective technique.

The scheme using laser interferometry was tested to establish a more objective and precise method for acceptance of glass.

#### THEORY

When a plane monocromatic wavefront is passed twice through an immersed sample of thickness t(x,y), where x and y are coordinates perpendicular to beam directon, it will obtain a phase profile,

$$\Delta \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{2 \Delta n \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\lambda}$$
(1)

where  $\Delta \eta(x,y)$  is the change in index of refraction of the glass with respect to an arbitrary reference point, t(x,y) is the thickness of the glass,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength of the light and  $\Delta \phi(x,y)$  is the relative change in phase of the wavefront in wavelengths. If the thickness varies negligibly, then, from equation 1,

$$\Delta \eta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\lambda \ \Delta \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{2t}$$
(2)

#### DESCRIPTION OF INTERFEROMETER

The configuration used for measurement of the index change is a Twyman-Green interferometer, which operates as shown in Figure 1. A laser beam of  $5145A^{O}$  wavelength is expanded and split by a 50-50 beam-splitter. In one arm of the interferometer, the beam passes twice through the sample and them recombined with the other beam. The sum of

the beams is then sent through a photographic recording of an interferogram made when the sample was absent. This produces a Moire pattern in which system phase errors are removed and this pattern can be analyzed to obtain phase deviation  $\Delta \phi$  of equation 2.

The sample is immersed in a fluid of matching index which is stirred constantly to maintain homogeneity.

#### PROCEDURE

Bromonaphthalene (1.660 refractive index) and Mineral Oil (1.488) are mixed to approximate the index of refraction of the sample according to the formula

$$\frac{v_1}{v_2} = \frac{n - n_2}{n_1 - n_1}$$
(3)

where  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are the volumes of the two component liquids of indices  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  respectively, and  $\eta$  is the index of the sample.

Closer matching is accomplished by passing the laser beam along the edge of the immersed sample and onto a viewing screen as in Figure 2. When the indices of sample and fluid are matched, fluid of higher or lower index is added until the deflected portion joins the undeviated portion.

The sample is then removed and the expanded beam allowed to pass through the interferometer. When the mirrors are tilted until the fringes are barely visible, the interferogram is recorded as a photographic transparency which is then kinematically replaced after development. The sample is then replaced and the resultant Moire pattern interpreted to measure phase variations caused by striae.

 $\Delta \phi$  of equation 2 was obtained by measuring the deviations of fringes in the interferogram in terms of the number of line pairs. One quarter fringe pair is the least deviation an an interprogram detectable by human observation. By equation 2, the least detectable index variation in the experimental arrangement is

$$\Delta \eta = 6.4 X \, 10^{-6} \, \mathrm{cm/t} \tag{4}$$

where t the path through the sample, is in centimeters.

#### RESULTS

Figure 3 shows two interferograms of a piece of grade A glass. The fringes shows less than .25 fringe deviation which means that since its

thickness was 7 cm, by equation 2, the change in refractive index is less than 9.2 X  $10^{-7}$ .

The interferograms of Figure 4 are of a piece of grade B glass. Proceedings from one side of the sample to the other, the fringes deviate one fringe pair. This represents a change in index of 2.6 X  $10^{-6}$ .

The interferograms of Figure 5 are of piece of grade C glass. The fringes on the left are zero-order and show an irregularity of two fringes equivalent to an index variation of  $5.2 \times 10^{-6}$ .

Samples of grade D glass demonstrated sharp discontinuities and large curved deviations ranging from 20 to 30 fringe pairs representing inhomogenities of 1.6 X  $10^{-4}$  to 4.1 X  $10^{-5}$  in amplitude.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Striae were detectable by passing the beam through the sample parallel to the striae. From grade to grade, index variations showed a difference in their quantitative value and also in their shape. Glass should be able to be graded and selected by accurate measurement of index variations. This method, without automated analysis, should be able to resolve index variations of  $6.4 \times 10^{-6}$  divided by the thickness in centimeters. This method provides for a quantitative rather than a qualitative evaluation of glass.







Figure 3. Interferograms for Grade A Sample



Figure 4. Interferograms for Grade B Sample



Figure 5. Interferograms for Grade C Sample

#### DISTRIBUTION

Commander US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22203

1 Attn: DRCDE-R

1 Attn: DRC-PP

Director

US Army Production Equip Agency Attn: AMXPE-MT, Mr. C. McBurney Rock Island, IL 61201

Commander US Army Armament Command Rock Island, IL 71202

1 Attn: DRSAR-PPP

1 Attn: DRSAR-RDT

1 Attn: DRSAR-ASF

1 Attn: DRSAR-RDR

1 Attn: DRSAR-MA (Bldg. 30)

Commander Picatinny Arsenal 2 Attn: Scientific & Technical Information Branch Dover, NJ 07801

Defense Documentation Center (12) Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

Frankford Arsenal:

1 Attn: SARFA-AOA-M/107-B

1 Attn: SARFA-TD/107-1

1 Attn: SARFA-PA/107-2

1 Attn: SARFA-QAA-R/119-2

1 Attn: SARFA-GC/40-1 1 Attn: SARFA-MTT-0/110-3 Project File 1 Attn: SARFA-MTT-0/110-3 SARFA-FCD-M/201-3 5 Attn: Stanley Kopacz 1 Attn: SARFA-MTT/211-2 1 Attn: SARFA-MT/211-2 1 Attn: SARFA-FC/110-1 1 Attn: SARFA-FCD-M/201-3 3 Attn: SARFA-TSP-L/51-2 (1 - Circulation copy 1 - Reference copy

1 - Record copy)

Printing & Reproduction Division FRANKFORD ARSENAL Date Printed: 17 May 1976