

AD \_\_\_\_\_

CONTRACT NUMBER DAMD 17-96-C-6113

TITLE: Comparison of Norethindrone-Containing OCPs to Desogestrel OCPs and Depo-Provera in Women

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Abbey B. Berenson, M.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Texas  
Galveston, Texas 77555-0136

REPORT DATE: October 1998

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: Commander  
U. S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for public release;  
Distribution unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author (s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE October 1998	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Annual (23 Sep 97 - 22 Sep 98)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Comparison of Norethindrone-Containing OCPs to Desogestrel OCPs and Depro-Provera in Women			5. FUNDING NUMBERS DAMD17-96-C-6113	
6. AUTHOR(S) Abbey B. Berenson, M.D.				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) University of Texas Galveston, Texas 77555-0136			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commander U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Frederick, Maryland 21702-5012			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			19990407 101	
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200)  This second summary report details the specific activities that have occurred during Year 2 of funding (September 23, 1997 through September 22, 1998). According to the SOW, 3 major objectives and related tasks were to be addressed during this period. Significant progress across each major objective was achieved. Recruitment was extended and will end on 31 October, 1998. To date, a total of 366 women have completed their initial medical visit with a projected enrollment of 375 women. Significant increases in enrollment at both sites was due to direct mail solicitation, hiring of a full-time research assistant, and HURRAD approval for a protocol change to modify the contraceptive wash-out period from 90 to 30 days for birth control pills and from 6 to 3 months for DMPA. Follow-up visits for the cohort have been excellent with 213, 148, and 40 subjects completing the 3, 6, and 12-month visits, respectively. Moreover, contraceptive discontinuation is projected to be significantly smaller than anticipated as only 53 (14.5%) women have discontinued their chosen contraceptive. Thus, our projected cohort of 375 women will allow use to meet all technical objectives.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Defense Women's Health Research Program			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 29	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT Unlimited	

## FOREWORD

Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U. S. Army.

\_\_\_\_\_ Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material.

\_\_\_\_\_ Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material.

  X   Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

\_\_\_\_\_ In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, National Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985).

  X   For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46.

\_\_\_\_\_ In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health.

\_\_\_\_\_ In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules.

\_\_\_\_\_ In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator (s) adhered to the DCD-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories.

Abbey Beunsa MD 10/21/98  
PI - Signature Date

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Numbers
Front Cover .....	1
Standard Form 298, Report documentation .....	2
Foreword .....	3
Table of Contents .....	4
Introduction .....	5
Technical Objectives .....	14
Body .....	16
Objective 2 .....	17
Objective 3 .....	20
Objective 4 .....	23
Conclusions .....	24
References .....	25

## INTRODUCTION

Operation Desert Shield/Storm involved the largest network of female soldiers from the United States ever deployed to a combat situation (1-3). Utilization data collected in one evacuation hospital found that 25% of all patient visits during the period of deployment were made by servicewomen, despite the fact that only 8% of the entire deployed force was female (2). Over 50% of all visits made by women were for gynecologic concerns as contraception, dysmenorrhea, and pelvic pain (3). In fact, 56% of medical evacuations by women were due to pregnancy (2). Relative to treatment, the continuation of or restarting of oral contraceptive pills and related bleeding disorders represented the largest number of gynecological complaints treated by this facility (3). These data demonstrate the critical need to determine the safest, most convenient, and most effective contraceptive method for women serving in the Armed Forces.

Two alternative forms of contraception which may be appropriate for use by servicewomen have recently been approved for use in the United States. In the past, most servicewomen requesting contraception have been prescribed a monophasic norethindrone-containing birth control pill (NOCA). In 1992, injectable depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) received approval and more recently, birth control pills using the new progestin, desogestrel (DOCA), have been made available in the United States (12-14). As described below, these new formulations, when compared with the pill traditionally prescribed for servicewomen, may increase contraceptive efficacy and long-term continuation rates, as well as minimize dysmenorrhea and menstrual bleeding irregularities.

DMPA is an injectable progestational agent that offers a highly effective, safe, convenient, reversible and almost user-independent method of birth control (12). After a deep gluteal or deltoid injection of 150 mg, contraceptive plasma levels are reached within 24 hours, and peak

plasma concentrations of 15-25 micrograms/ml are achieved within 20 days (12). Microcrystals are suspended in an aqueous solution that results in delayed absorption from the injection site and consequently prolongs the circulating concentration of the active progestin (12). Thus, effective plasma concentration for this birth control method is sustained for at least 14 weeks, and ovulation is suppressed, on the average, for 18 weeks (12).

DOCA is a highly selective gonane progestin that has been approved for use in the United States in a monophasic formulation containing 150 micrograms of desogestrel and 30 micrograms of ethinyl estradiol (13,14). Desogestrel was one of the three new progestational agents synthesized from levonorgestrel that were developed and brought into clinical trials during the late 1970s (13). Although new to the U.S. market, DOCA has been used for almost a decade and is the most widely prescribed oral contraceptive pill in Europe. The available literature on this new formulation demonstrates that this new preparation is effective and well tolerated by most women (13,14).

Although each of these new methods of contraception may have unique contraceptive and health benefits for servicewomen, data comparing outcomes are not available. To achieve the specific aim set forth in this proposal, we will compare these contraceptives on selected outcomes (method continuation, satisfaction, dysmenorrhea, menstrual bleeding, pregnancy prevention, bone density, and plasma lipid levels) believed to be most critical for women serving in the Armed Forces.

Contraceptive Continuation. Although the continuation rate of pill use is reported in contraceptive textbooks to be 75% after 12 months of use (7,15), this figure is misleading. Most likely, this rate is inflated because it is based on the responses of married women whose contraceptive practices may be more consistent than a more diverse group of sexually active

women (16,17). A more accurate estimate of pill continuation rates may be obtained by reviewing data from clinical trials that sample a more representative pool of women. Data from DOCA trials demonstrate that approximately 65% and 50% of women continued use of these pills for 12 and 24 months, respectively (18,19). Furthermore, these studies and others note that intermenstrual bleeding (breakthrough bleeding and spotting) is a common reason for pill discontinuation (13,14,18,19). Because decreased rates of intermenstrual bleeding have been reported with use of DOCA, higher rates of contraceptive continuation are believed to occur with this method as compared to more traditional birth control pills (13,14). Clinical trials conducted with DMPA suggest that continuation rates with this method are even higher (80% at 12 months and 68% at 24 months) than those observed with the traditional or newer forms of oral contraceptives, perhaps because it is easy to use or because it induces amenorrhea (12,20-26). To date, however, no study has directly compared continuation rates among these different methods of contraception. The present proposal will help fill this void by systematically examining rates of continuation among three different methods of hormonal contraception after 6-, 12-, and 24-months of use.

Method Satisfaction. Factors which may influence user satisfaction and lead to contraceptive discontinuation include menstrual irregularities, weight gain, nausea, headaches, mood changes, dizziness, acne, fatigue, and breast swelling or tenderness (7,27,28). Generally, discontinuation rates due to side-effects other than menstrual irregularities are less than 4%, but can vary according to method (27,29,30). One medical side effect of particular concern to many women is weight gain. Continuous weight gain has been associated with the progestin component of hormonal contraceptives (31). Double-blinded studies among different pill formulations suggest there is little evidence that oral contraceptive use leads to increased weight (29,30). In contrast,

DMPA use results in an average gain of 2-3 lb. per year (31). This side effect may be of particular concern to women serving in or planning to serve in the military, as those who gain weight secondary to contraceptive use may not meet the required weight/height physical standards unique to their branch of the armed forces after long term use. Although consistent exercise may help control this weight gain, a willingness to exercise may be impeded by DMPA use as preliminary studies suggest that this method results in increased fatigue (32).

Other issues that affect satisfaction relate to symptom improvement as a result of a particular hormonal method. For example, DOCA usually improves acne skin conditions among users. In one study of DOCA users, a significant proportion of women with acne reported complete resolution of this problem (13,14,19). Another benefit of oral contraceptives, especially DOCA, is the effect on hirsutism (13,14,19). Several studies employing this newly available oral contraceptive have reported significant improvement of this condition after 6 months of continued use. Unfortunately it is difficult to interpret data on method satisfaction from prior contraceptive studies because increased satisfaction with a method is usually inferred from a lack of reported medical side-effects by subjects, rather than by use of specific questions to inquire about satisfaction. For example, most women who participated in the multicenter clinical trials on DOCA reported excellent cycle control, reduced intermenstrual bleeding and spotting, and among women with dysmenorrhea, reduced symptomatology (13,19). Moreover, at 6-, 12-, and 24-months of use, about 88%, 92%, and 94%, respectively, of the sample did not report any medical side-effects (19). Thus, researchers concluded that a high degree of method satisfaction, and therefore continuation, existed for those women taking this newly formulated pill (13,14,19). Investigators of DMPA found that about 64% of women did not report any medical side-effects (and thus were satisfied) in any one year during a five-year follow-up evaluation (23).



Unfortunately, variations in study methodology have made it difficult to compare user satisfaction across studies and no single study has compared method satisfaction across different methods of contraception. This study will help fill this void by systematically evaluating method satisfaction including medical side-effects after 6-, 12-, and 24-months of use.

Dysmenorrhea. One of the single, largest causes of periodic absenteeism and decreased work productivity among young civilian women is dysmenorrhea (5-10). Pain with menstruation, or dysmenorrhea, represents a common gynecological complaint affecting approximately 70% of young women (5-10). Fifteen percent of young adult women who report pain with menstruation state that it is severe enough to limit usual activity even when analgesics are used (6). This disorder is commonly treated with combined oral contraceptive pills. However, 30% of women given traditionally formulated pills continued to experience moderate to severe dysmenorrhea (9). Studies of DOCA suggest that this new formulation may be more beneficial than traditional pills in ameliorating dysmenorrhea, perhaps due to a decrease in breakthrough bleeding episodes (19). For example, an open cross-over study on women with primary dysmenorrhea which did not respond to traditional pills noted that a significant number who used DOCA for 3 months reported reduced pain and 80% of the sample wished to remain on this pill formulation (10). Another study found that 50% of women taking DOCA reported significant improvements in their dysmenorrhea after using this formulation of one month (19).

Traditionally, the therapy for dysmenorrhea has been the oral contraceptive pill because it reduces the prostaglandin content of menstrual fluid and therefore decreases uterine motility (5-10). However, specific comparative studies examining treatment efficacy of various contraceptive regimens have not been conducted. Although the etiology of dysmenorrhea has yet to be clearly elucidated, it is suspected that the amelioration of dysmenorrheic symptoms is due

to the suppression of ovulation (9). Data collected from clinical DMPA trials has found that up to 70% of users are amenorrheic after 4 or more injections. Thus, if cessation of ovulation results in decreased symptoms, long-term use of DMPA may provide greater benefit than any pill formulation.

Menstrual Bleeding. All hormonal contraceptive methods affect the menstrual cycle and may influence the pattern and amount of bleeding (33). Contraceptives generally affect the menstrual cycle in one of 2 ways: (1) cyclic bleeding continues, as with oral contraceptive pills, where the hormonal formulation substitutes an artificial cycle for the woman's own cycle, but withdrawal bleeding occurs during the last 5-7 days; or (2) the normal cycle is partially or completely suppressed and the method does not induce cyclic bleeding, as with DMPA (33).

Irregular bleeding may also occur with use of hormonal contraception. However, the frequency of intermenstrual bleeding tends to decrease with continued use. Unfortunately, many clinical trials, especially those conducted 5 or more years ago, do not report their bleeding rates in a standard fashion, i.e., across 90-day reference periods (number of bleeding, spotting, and nonbleeding episodes that are summed across a 90-day period). Thus, data cannot be directly compared between formulations. Nonetheless, data collected on clinical trials of DOCA suggest a marked reduction in breakthrough bleeding (BTB) and spotting (14). Although BTB is more prevalent in the first few cycles of use (1.2-10%), by the sixth cycle, reported rates have decreased to 0.4-9.2% among users (14). With regard to spotting, rates are reported to decrease from 18.2% at cycle 1 to 5.8% by cycle 6 (14). In contrast, DMPA users commonly report episodes of unpredictable spotting and bleeding lasting seven or more days during the first few months of use. Data collected from an efficacy study found that the average number of bleeding or spotting days per 90-day reference period was 24.2 at 3 months, 18.5 at 6 months, 10.7 at 12

months, 7.6 at 18 months, and only 6.8 days at 24 months (26). However, as women continue with this hormonal method, amenorrhea becomes common. More than 70% of women develop this condition after 4 or more injections (12). This may be of particular benefit during periods of deployment. This study will directly compare the number of bleeding days associated with use of three different hormonal methods.

Pregnancy Prevention. Unplanned pregnancy among military servicewomen accounts for a significant number of hospital visits and loss of work productivity. As previously stated, pregnancy was the single largest cause of medical evacuation out of the theater during Operation Desert Shield/Storm (3). A longitudinal investigation of Navy women who enlisted between 1973 and 1987 found that for the first year of active-duty, the highest rates of hospitalization for the 1973-77 cohort was for induced abortion, while complications of pregnancy represented the highest hospitalization rate for the 1983-87 cohort (34). Moreover, pregnancy-related conditions continued to contribute to high levels of hospitalization for the remainder of this five-year active-duty interval. With the increase of female soldiers in combat areas, it will also be critical to protect personnel who are taken prisoner from becoming pregnant as a result of rape as recent conflicts demonstrate that this act is increasing as a crime of war (35).

Used consistently and correctly, the monophasic norethindrone oral contraceptive has a theoretical efficacy rate of 99% (27). However, the actual occurrence of pregnancy is as high as 8% due to poor daily compliance (36). Contraceptive management to ensure daily adherence is challenging because noncompliance may not be a willful, conscious act. More frequently, it is due to forgetfulness or misunderstanding of when to initiate pill use or what to do when a pill is missed (27,28). In contrast, DMPA is almost user-independent. A recent cost-benefit analysis conducted for pregnancy prevention compared DMPA with two different birth control pills and

Norplant® (37). These researchers reported that among pill users, the actual contraceptive efficacy was 95% versus 99.7% among DMPA users and concluded that DMPA delivered the highest net benefit for pregnancy prevention.

Bone Density The evaluation of hormonal effects on bone density are critical to the military, because a high incidence of musculoskeletal injuries, including stress fractures, have been reported among females in the eight weeks of basic training (38), and similar problems are likely to occur in combat situations. One particular concern with the use of DMPA by military women, therefore, is the suggestion that it may adversely affect bone density. A recent study examining bone density changes in women who had used DMPA for 5 or more years found reduced lumbar spine and femoral neck densities, compared to findings in premenopausal controls (39). However, these data are somewhat difficult to interpret because the study sample was considerably older (most in their mid-40s), and over half were smokers, factors that have been shown to contribute to loss in bone density.

In contrast, three cross-sectional studies and one longitudinal study have shown that NOCA favorably affects bone mass (40-42). For example, Lindsay et al (40) examined two groups of women aged 25 to 33 years who had variable health histories and found a 1% gain in bone density occurred for each year of pill use. DOCA has been associated with maintenance of bone mass in two separate studies (14,43). Ricci, Mango, Manna, et al. (43), examined the effects on bone mass density among 17 nulliparous women who had never taken oral contraceptives. These researchers found that bone density after one year of use was comparable to pretreatment levels. Another study employing a slightly different formulation (20 micrograms of ethinyl estradiol) conducted in Italy examined premenopausal women and reported a preservation of bone mass after two years of use (44). These authors conclude that DOCA does not appear to have any

deleterious effects on bone density, but does not offer any protective effects for fracture rates either. Thus, it appears that no harmful effects on bone density result from oral contraceptive use and in some premenopausal women using pills, positive effects may result.

Plasma Lipid Levels. A "perfect" hormonal method of birth control would neither increase plasma levels of total cholesterol and low density lipoprotein (LDL) nor reduce high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) (45). However, the estrogen component of traditionally formulated oral contraceptives usually raises HDL-cholesterol and triglycerides levels (18) while the progestin component has the opposite effect and tends to lower HDL-cholesterol (18). The importance of such changes in the genesis of arterial vascular disease in users of oral contraceptives is not clear, but presents some cause for concern (45). Although a definitive study examining these concerns has not been conducted, it is generally believed that plasma lipid level changes are likely related to the specific type and dose of progestin employed (7). For example, one study comparing two groups of women taking triphasic formulations (Ortho-Novum® 7/7/7 and Triphasil®) with non-contracepting controls found significant increases in total plasma cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides levels after 6-months of use (45). Triglyceride levels declined by 12-months, but total- and LDL-cholesterol levels maintained these elevations at one year. HDL-cholesterol was not significantly different after 6- or 12-months of use. Although these researchers found statistically significant differences between women on pills compared to nonusers, all values were within acceptable clinical or normal ranges (45). Thus, the authors conclude that any contribution to increased atherogenesis by either formulation is highly unlikely.

A recent review of more than 50 clinical studies employing DOCA report that this new formulation did not interfere with estrogen's effects on lipoprotein metabolism (13). Although

data suggest that statistically significant increases in HDL-cholesterol were found, LDL-cholesterol remained unchanged or demonstrated a slight reduction (13). Another study examining nine groups of women using different oral contraceptives with non-contracepting controls found that levels of LDL-cholesterol were reduced by 14% in those taking pills containing desogestrel and by 12% in those taking low-dose norethindrone (44). Furthermore, these researchers found that the pills traditionally prescribed by the military (NOCA), which contain high-dose norethindrone, did not affect HDL-cholesterol levels, whereas those taking DOCA had increased their HDL by 12% (46). However, duration of oral contraceptive use in this study varied from 3-months to 4-years rendering specific conclusions difficult to interpret.

Conflicting findings on plasma lipid levels among DMPA users have been reported (12). In one study examining the long-term use (5-12 years) of several different contraceptive methods, DMPA caused a moderate decrease in triglycerides, HDL-cholesterol, and apoproteins, whereas estrogen-dominant pills (2 mg norethisterone, 0.1 mg mestranol) increased these same parameters (47). Some investigators have concluded that long-term use of this agent includes some change in lipid metabolism that would be considered a risk factor for atherosclerosis (48).

### **Technical Objectives**

The broad aim of this proposal is to provide critical data on contraceptive outcomes that may be used to generate reproductive healthcare guidelines for servicewomen who have varying needs depending on their military assignment. To accomplish this goal, we are using a prospective, longitudinal design, to compare outcomes among three different methods of contraception (NOCA, DOCA, and DMPA) and are recruiting participants from both military and civilian sites. Use of a nonmilitary site allows us to collect data from women whose health status and reproductive needs are likely to mirror those of reservists and new recruits. Each

contraceptive condition will be comprised of approximately 150 women aged 18 to 33 years: one half are being recruited from active-duty servicewomen from one of five military bases in San Antonio and receive their care at Wilford Hall Medical Center, San Antonio, Texas and the remaining half are solicited from women in the greater Galveston-Houston area and receive their care at either UTMB's Maternal and Child Health clinic in Galveston, Texas, or a satellite clinic in Webster, Texas. All potential civilian women must meet entry standards for the Armed Forces. All study participants are being assessed after 3-, 6-, 12-, 18-, and 24 months of contraceptive use.

At follow-up visits, subjects complete standardized measures of dysmenorrhea, menstrual pain, medical side-effects and method satisfaction, and submit completed monthly menstrual calendars. Physical examinations are performed by a nurse practitioner or physician at entrance into the study and after 12- and 24-months of continuous contraceptive use. In addition, bone density measurements (lumbar spine and femoral neck) using dual x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) are obtained at baseline and the 24-month assessment, while lipid levels are being assessed at baseline and after 12- and 24-months of contraceptive use. DMPA participants return to the clinic at 9, 15, and 21 months to receive an injection only. The specific technical objectives of this study are to determine, at the conclusion of 2 years, which of these three methods:

1. has the highest rate of continuation;
2. has the highest level of user satisfaction;
3. most effectively reduces the occurrence and severity of dysmenorrhea;
4. most effectively decreases the number of bleeding days per 90-day reference period;
5. has the lowest user failure rate resulting in pregnancy;
6. minimizes bone density loss;

7. minimizes changes in lipoprotein levels; and
8. minimizes the occurrence medical side-effects.

Data will be analyzed employing repeated measures multivariate statistical tests so that (1) trends in outcomes over 24 months of contraceptive use can be examined; (2) comparisons of outcomes at specific points in time (6, 12, and 24 months) may be performed; and (3) main effects for method, time, recruitment site, and their interactions can be evaluated. The results of these analyses will help determine the safest, most convenient, and most effective contraception for servicewomen in various phases of duty, i.e., deployed and nondeployed. For example, women who are deployed for two years may experience more contraceptive and noncontraceptive benefits (few bleeding days) as well as greater long-term satisfaction with an injectable contraceptive as compared to an oral contraceptive. In contrast, non-deployed servicewomen with severe dysmenorrhea may experience the greatest relief from DOCA, and hence have reduced absenteeism.

### **BODY**

This second summary report details the specific activities that have occurred during Year 2 of funding (September 23, 1997 through September 22, 1998). According to our Statement of Work, there are a total of 5 major objectives. All tasks in Objective 1 were initiated and completed during the first 12 months of the granting period. Thus, four major objectives and related tasks were to be completed during the second 12 months of funding. Objectives two through five are to establish the three cohorts each consisting of 150 participants; complete required medical assessments, laboratory tests, and self-report and satisfaction measures at each visit; analyze preliminary study data and complete the yearly annual report. The progress on each objective is addressed in this report.



**Objective 2: Establish the 3 contraceptive cohorts that will consist of 150 participants by  
Year 2 (75 from each site)**

This objective contains six tasks that were to be completed in year 2. These include: 1) recruit and obtain informed, written consent from all study participants; 2) insure that each ethnic population is adequately represented at UTMB; 3) complete the initial medical examination and history; 4) complete initial self-report measures for all participants and disseminate instructions for completing menstrual calendars; and 5) collect and conduct data entry of demographic data required for subject tracking. Each of these tasks has been completed or is near completion.

Task 1. As mentioned in our year 1 summary, recruitment of subjects at both UTMB and WHMC has been more difficult than forecasted. Investigation of potential barriers to recruitment demonstrated that the required length of time off contraceptives prior to enrollment in this study was a problem. Thus, we received HURRAD approval to shorten the length of time off contraceptives. This increased our ability to recruit eligible women at both sites. In addition, direct mailing solicitation was initiated at WHMC. In fact, all active duty women in San Antonio received a minimum of 2 mailings during year 2. Letters were mailed to over 12,000 civilian women residing in the Houston-Galveston area. This recruitment technique yielded more subjects at both sites than person to person contact. Additional recruitment techniques employed included newspaper advertisements, electronic mailing via the hospital information system, and posters/flyers around UTMB & WHMC campuses. Finally, the department of Obstetrics & Gynecology at UTMB has supported a full-time research assistant since 3 November 1997 who is stationed at WHMC to help with recruitment and follow-up our initial cohort. This is in addition to the 0.5 FTE nurse practitioner already supported by UTMB who is stationed at UTMB.

At the end of year 1, we had recruited 23 subjects at WHMC and 67 at UTMB. The number of enrolled subjects has substantially increased in year 2. As of 21 October 1998, 195 subjects have been enrolled at UTMB and 171 active-duty women have been recruited at WHMC. Written informed consent has been obtained from all subjects. Subjects are fairly evenly divided by contraceptive condition at each sit as shown in the table below.

<b>Contraceptive</b>	<b>UTMB</b>	<b>WHMC</b>
Depo-Provera	72	55
Ortho-Novum 1/35	58	56
OrthoCept	65	60

We originally projected enrollment to be 225 at both sites by 30 September, 1998. However, with the permission of the Scientific Officer, Dr. Patricia Mudrow, we will be ending recruitment efforts on 31 October, 1998 at both sites. We anticipate that we will enroll a minimum of 200 at UTMB and 175 at WHMC resulting in a cohort of at least 375 women.

Task 2. Ensured that each ethnic population is adequately represented in the study cohort. Currently 70% of our entire cohort is Caucasian, 18% African-American, and 8% Mexican-American. Four percent of subjects report a race/ethnicity other than Caucasian, African-American, or Mexican-American. Although this represents fewer African-Americans (31% African-American) than the number in the military, all three racial groups are represented in our cohort.

Task 3. All recruited subjects have completed an initial medical examination and provided a thorough history, including past contraceptive practices and menstrual history. Each

subject was screened for pregnancy and other potential health risks that would preclude the use of hormonal contraception.

Task 4. All recruited subjects have completed their self-report measures and have received instructions for completing menstrual calendars. The self-report questionnaires take 30–45 minutes to complete. All forms are reviewed by project personnel while the patient is still at the clinic so that missing or inconsistent information can be addressed.

Task 5. Electronic databases that were developed in year 1 continue to be used to:

- 1) monitor recruitment (eligibility and recruitment strategies used), 2) track subjects (demographics and appointments) and, 3) measure contraceptive discontinuation rates. These databases contain the necessary information to successfully contact subjects about future appointments, as well as to monitor the race/ethnicity distribution of the sample and the contraceptive conditions selected, and to determine the success of various recruitment efforts. All databases are linked to a mechanism for automatic data monitoring that provide a hard copy of results, as needed.

Task 6. As mentioned previously, recruitment will be completed by 31 October with an estimated cohort of 400 women. It is anticipated that approximately equal numbers will be recruited in each of the three contraceptive conditions.

**Objective 3: Complete required follow-up medical assessments, laboratory tests, and self-report and satisfaction measures at each visit.**

Activities associated with this objective are designed to maintain the research cohort. Although 10 tasks are associated with achieving this objective, only eight are relevant to this summary report. These are: 1) order, prepare, and Xerox required study forms as well as appointment reminder post cards; 2) prepare and mail appointment reminder postcards to each participant; 3) perform tracking procedures on participants whose appointment reminder cards were returned undelivered; 4) compensate UTMB subjects for their participation in the study at each follow-up visit and contact via phone all discontinuers; 5) dispense oral contraceptives or administer Depo-Provera and count unused or missed birth control pills; 6) complete brief medical visit and satisfaction/side-effect measures at the 3-month follow-up visit; 7) complete brief medical visit and satisfaction/side-effect measures at the 6-month follow-up visit; and 8) complete yearly medical visit including satisfaction/side-effect measures, and blood tests. Each of these tasks has been accomplished. A table below outlines the number of medical visits by follow-up date for both UTMB and WHMC.

Task 1. As mentioned previously, a system has been established to electronically monitor all follow-up visits and create reminder letters.

Task 2. At the conclusion of each subject's initial visit, she is provided with an appointment for her next follow-up visit. A reminder letter is generated from our electronic database 14 days prior to her appointment. Each subject is also called one day before her scheduled appointment as a reminder. Data indicate that the no-show rate for scheduled follow-up appointments is less than 10%. Subjects are easily re-scheduled within their follow-up schedule.

Task 3. At this time, 10 reminder letters have been returned as undeliverable and phone tracking procedures were implemented. However, our established tracking procedures were unsuccessful in locating 2 subjects who missed their scheduled follow-up appointments. We are in the process of obtaining a correct mailing address on the remaining 8.

Task 4. All subjects at UTMB who have returned for follow-up visits have received compensation each visit. Subjects recruited at WHMC cannot receive compensation per installation policy. At this time, 28 subjects at UTMB have discontinued their chosen contraceptive method and have been regularly contacted by phone or mail to complete their discontinuation assessment. Twenty-five subjects at WHMC have discontinued their method of contraception and all have been contacted to complete their discontinuation assessment at the appropriate intervals.

Task 5. At the initial visit, all women assigned to either pill condition receive a four month supply of pills, and are told to initiate use the Sunday after the start of their period. At the 3-month follow-up visit, another 3 pill packs are provided. During the reminder phone call about appointment time, pill users are also asked to bring in their pill packs. Each pill package has been numbered with the month it is to be used, and counts of all missed pills are recorded on the Nursing Assessment scannable form. With regard to Depo-Provera users, subjects have returned to the clinic to receive their injection after the start of their period. These subjects are scheduled for an injection 90 days after their initial injection. To date, all subjects using this birth control method have received their follow-up injection within 2 weeks of their scheduled appointment.

Task 6. All subjects who attended their 3-month follow-up visit were interviewed to determine whether any medical problems had been encountered during this period. A structured interview using a scannable form was developed to accurately evaluate the occurrence and

resolution of common medical side effects. This is completed by the medical provider at each visit. All subjects then complete the remaining self-report measures prior to leaving clinic.

Task 7. All subjects who attended their 6-month follow-up visit were interviewed to determine whether any medical problems have been encountered during this period. A structured interview using a scannable form was developed to accurately evaluate the occurrence and resolution of common medical side-effects. This is completed by the medical provider at each visit. All subjects then complete the remaining self-report measures prior to leaving clinic.

Task 8. All subjects who attended their 12-month follow-up completed a well-women exam, including general physical examination with pap smear and blood work for Cardiac Risk Panel and Coulter Profile. At UTMB, cultures routinely were taken for sexually transmitted disease, while at WHMC, this was done as needed. Subjects also completed the self-report measures of satisfaction, dysmenorrhea, and medical side-effects. In addition, menstrual calendars were reviewed and the number of missed pills counted by the medical provider.

<b>Visit Type</b>	<b>UTMB</b>	<b>WHMC</b>
3-month	103	110
6-month	90	58
9-month (injection only)	16	22
12-month	24	16
15-month (injection only)	0	6

#### **Objective 4: Analyze study data**

This objective involves quantifying study results. There were 5 tasks identified for this objective relevant to year 2: 1) conduct reliability analysis on 10% of medical visits; 2) perform all data entry and verification of study data; 3) reconcile out-of range and inconsistent data elements to insure accuracy of study data; 4) perform preliminary analyses; and 5) presentation of preliminary findings.

Task 1. We continue to conduct reliability analysis on 10 % of medical visits. These analyses will be ongoing for the remainder of the grant.

Task 2. All self-report measures completed at the initial and follow-up visits are being reviewed to verify that all requested information has been completed. Missing data are flagged and the subject is contacted to obtain this information. In addition, data on bleeding are being abstracted from menstrual calendars that have been returned at the 3, 6, and 12 months visits.

Task 3. All forms are being visually inspected after completion to insure accuracy of collected data. Out of-range evaluations will be conducted once recruitment is completed.

Task 4. With the exception of discontinuation reports, we have not conducted any preliminary analyses on collected data as the cohort is not complete. Our discontinuation rates at both UTMB and WHMC are very encouraging as less than 15% of subjects have discontinued their chosen contraceptive. These low rates are much better than anticipated as we expected that discontinuation rates would be as high as 50%.

Task 5. Presentation of preliminary findings has been deferred until the cohort is established and most subjects have completed their 12 months visit.

## CONCLUSION

During year 2 of funding, we substantially increased the recruitment of subjects at both sites. We recruited 128 additional subjects at UTMB and 148 at WHMC; thus, a total of 366 women have completed their initial medical visits to date. Recruitment efforts are still underway and we project that approximately 375 women will be recruited by 31 October, 1998.

Moreover, we have been very successful in maintaining our cohort as 213, 148, 38, 40, and 6 subjects have been seen for their 3, 6, 9, 12, and 15-month follow-up visits, respectively.

Finally, due to our low discontinuation rate, we will be able to meet our study objectives with a cohort of approximately 375 women.



## References

1. Institute of Medicine. *Research on the Health of Military Women*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press;1995.
2. Markenson G, Rawz E. Female health care during operation desert storm: The eighth evacuation hospital experience. *Military Medicine* 1992;157:610-613.
3. Hanna JH. An analysis of gynecological problems presenting to an evacuation hospital during Operation Desert Storm. *Military Medicine* 1992; 157: 222-224.
4. Cobb CE. Chief complaints, contraceptive use and diagnoses of female soldiers in ambulatory gyn clinic. *Military Medicine* 1987;152:70-71.
5. Ylikorkala O, Dawood MY. New concepts in dysmenorrhea. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1978;130:833-847.
6. Anderserch B, Milsom I. An epidemiologic study of young women with dysmenorrhea. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1982;144:655-660.
7. Cunningham FG, MacDonald PC, Gant NF, Leveno KJ, Gilstrap LC (eds.). *Williams Obstetrics, 19th Edition*. Norwalk, CT: Appleton & Lange; 1993, pp. 1330,1332.
8. Milsom I, Sundell G, Andersch B. The influence of different combined oral contraceptives in the prevalence and severity of dysmenorrhea. *Contraception* 1990;42:497-506.
9. Milsom I, Andersch B. Effect of various contraceptive combinations on dysmenorrhea. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 1984;17:284-292.
10. Nabrink M, Birgersson L, Colling-Saltin AS, Solum T. Modern oral contraceptives and dysmenorrhea. *Contraception* 1990;42:275-283.
11. Snyder R. *Personal Communication*. August, 1995.

12. Kaunitz AM. Long-acting injectable contraception with depot medroxyprogesterone acetate. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1994;170:1543-9.
13. Archer DF. Clinical and metabolic features of desogestrel: A new oral contraceptive preparation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1994;170:1550-1555.
14. Kaunitz AM. Combined oral contraception with desogestrel/ethinyl estradiol: Tolerability profile. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1993;168:1028-33.
15. Hatcher RA, Trussell J, Stewart F, et al., *Contraceptive Technology, Sixteenth Edition*. New York: Irvington Publishers, Inc.;1994:107-143.
16. Hammerslough CR. Characteristics of women who stop using contraceptives. *Fam Plann Persp* 1984;16:14-18.
17. Grady WR, Hayward MD, Florey FA. Contraceptive discontinuation among married women in the United States. *Studies in Family Planning* 1990;22:169-175.
18. Kubba A, Guillebaud J. combined oral contraceptives: Acceptability and effective use. *British Medical Journal* 1993;49:141-157.
19. Walling M. A multicenter efficacy and safety study of an oral contraceptive containing 150 micrograms desogestrel and 30 micrograms ethinyl estradiol. *Contraception* 1992;46:313-326.
20. Nash HA. Depo-Provera: A review. *Contraception* 1975;12:377-393.
21. Upjohn Company. *Written Communication*. August 1995.
22. Zanartu J. Long-term contraceptive effect of injectable progestogens: Inhibition and re-establishment of fertility. *Int J Fertil* 1968;13:415-426.
23. Schwallie PC, Assenzo JR. Contraceptive use-efficacy study utilizing Depo-Provera administered as an injection once every six months. *Contraception* 1972;6:315-327.

24. Zanartu J, Onetto E. Long-acting injectable progestogens in human fertility control. *Clin Proc Int Planned Parenthood Fed* 1972;1:65-79.
25. Kaunitz AM. Injectable contraception. *Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1989;32:356-368.
26. Schwallie PC, Assenzo R. Contraceptive use: Efficacy study utilizing medroxyprogesterone acetate administered as an intramuscular injection once every 90 days. *Fertility and Sterility* 1973;24:331-339.
27. Grimes DA, ed. Compliance with oral contraceptives. *The Contraception Report* 1994;5:3-23.
28. Jay MS, DuRant RH. Compliance. In McAnarney ER, Kreipe RE, Orr DP, Comerci GD, eds. *Textbook of Adolescent Medicine*. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders; 1992, pp. 206-209.
29. Goldzieher JW, Moses LE, Averkin E, Scheel C, Taber BZ. A placebo-controlled double-blind crossover investigation of the side-effects attributed to contraceptives. *Fertility and Sterility* 1971;22:609-623.
30. Goldzieher JW, Moses LE, Averkin E, Scheel C, Taber BZ. Nervousness and depression attributed to oral contraceptives: A double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1971;111:1013-1020.
31. Grace E, Kozlowski KJ. Contraception and weight. In Rickert VI, ed. *Adolescent Nutrition: Assessment and Management..* New York: Chapman and Hall;1995, pp. 43-56.
32. Cromer BA, Smith RD, Blair JM, Dwyer J, Brown RT. A prospective study of adolescents who choose among levonorgestrel implant (Norplant), medroxyprogesterone acetate (Depo-Provera), or the combined oral contraceptive pill as contraception. *Pediatrics* 1994;94:687-694.

33. Stubblefield PG. MestruaI impact of contraception. *Am J. Obstet Gynecol* 1994;170:1513-22.
34. Holberg A, White SF. Health - status of women in the armed forces. (Report No. 89-37) San Diego, CA: Navol Health Research Center; 1989.
35. Swiss S, Giller JE. Rape as a crime of war: A medical perspective. *JAMA* 1993;270:612-615.
36. Peterson LS. *Contraceptive use in the United States: 1982-90*. Advance data; no. 260. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics, 1995.
37. Ortmeier BG, Sauer KA, Langley PC, Bealmear BK. A cost-benefit analysis of four hormonal contraceptive methods. *Clinical Therapeutics* 1994;16:707-713.
38. Schydlower M, Imai WK. Youth in the military. In McAnarney ER, Kreipe RE, Orr DP, Comerci GD, eds. *Textbook of Adolescent Medicine*. Philadelphia, PA: W. B. Saunders Company, 1993, pp. 218-221.
39. Cundy T, Evans M, Roberts H, Wattie D, Ames R, Reid IR. Bone density in women receiving depot medroxyprogesterone acetate for contraception. *British Medical Journal* 1991;303:13-16.
40. Lindsay R, Tohme J, Kanders B. The effect of oral contraceptive use on vertebral bone mass in pre-and post-menopausal women. *Contraception* 1986;34:333-40.
41. Recker RR, Davies KM, Henders SM, et al. Bone gain in young adult women. *JAMA* 1992;268:2403-8.
42. Kleerekoper M, Brienza RS, Schultz LR, et al. Oral contraceptive use may protect against low bone mass. *Arch Intern Med* 1991;151:1971-6.

43. Ricci S, Mango D, Manna P, Tibollo FG, Serra GB, Granata O, et al. The use of low-dose oral contraceptives for one year does not affect vertebral bone density, In: Christiansen C, Overgaard K, eds. *Osteoporosis 1990*. Copenhagen: Denmark; 1990, pp. 1188-9.
44. Volpe A, Silferi M, Genazzani AD, Genazzani AR. Contraception in older women. *Contraception* 1993;47:229-39.
45. Notelovitz M, Feldman EB, Gillespy M, Gudat J. Lipid and lipoprotein changes in women taking low-dose, triphasic oral contraceptives: A controlled, comparative 12-month clinical trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1989;160:1269-80.
46. Godsland IF, Crook D, Simpson R, Proudler T, Felton C, Lees B, et al. The effects of different formulations of oral contraceptive agents on lipid and carbohydrate metabolism. *N Engl J Med* 1990;323:1375-81.
47. Deslypere JP, Thiery M, Vermeulen A. Effect of long-term hormonal contraception on plasma lipids. *Contraception* 1985;31:633-642.
48. WHO Task Force on Long-acting Systemic Agents for Fertility Regulation. Special Programme of research, development and research training in human reproduction: A multicentre comparative study of serum lipids and apolipoproteins in long-term users of DMPA and a control group of IUD users. *Contraception* 1993;47:177-91.