# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA



# THESIS

# IMPROVED COMPUTER MODELING OF SHIP PROGRESSIVE FLOODING AS A DESIGN TOOL

by

Russell A. Wright II

September 1998

Thesis Advisor:

Fotis A. Papoulias

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

19981215 129

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

# **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to: Washington Headquarters Services. Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington DC 20503.

1.	AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE September 1998	3. REPORT	T TYPE AND DATES COVERED Master's Thesis		
4.	TITLE AND SUBTITLE Improved ( Flooding as a Design Tool	Computer Modeling of Ship H	Progressive	5. FUNDING NUMBERS		
6.	AUTHOR(S) Russell A. Wright II					
7.	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93943-5000	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9.	SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11.	SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The view policy or position of the Department			uthor and do not reflect the official		

12a	DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	12b.	DISTRIBUTION CODE
	Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited		

#### 13. ABSTRACT (maximum 200 words)

When a ship suffers underwater damage, there is a rapid influx of water, followed by a period of slower progressive flooding. This results in flooding of compartments whose hull boundaries, but not interior bulkheads, are still intact. An existing computer model uses the FORTRAN computer language and formatted input files to model progressive flooding. This thesis uses MATLAB computer language and SIMULINK graphical user interface to provide a modular, expandable progressive flooding design tool.

14.	SUBJECT TERMS PROGRESSIVE FLOODING, COMPUTER MODEL					15.	NUMBER PAGES 58	OF	
							16.	PRICE CODE	
17.	SECURITY CLASSIFICA- TION OF REPORT Unclassified, Official use Only	18.	SECURITY CL. CATION OF THIS PA Unclassified	ASSIFI- AGE	19.	SECURITY CLASSIFICA- TION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified,	20.	LIMITATION ABSTRACT UL	OF

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. 239-18 298-102

·

•

·

•

. .

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

# IMPROVED COMPUTER MODELING OF SHIP PROGRESSIVE FLOODING AS A DESIGN TOOL

Russell A. Wright II Lieutenant, United States Navy B.S., University of Florida, 1990

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

# MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL September 1998

Author:

Approved by:

9

Russell A. Wright II

Fotis A. Papoulias, Thesis Advisor

Terry McNelly, Chairman Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering

.

.

### ABSTRACT

When a ship suffers underwater damage, there is a rapid influx of water, followed by a period of slower progressive flooding. This results in flooding of compartments whose hull boundaries, but not interior bulkheads, are still intact. An existing computer model uses the FORTRAN computer language and formatted input files to model progressive flooding. This thesis uses MATLAB computer language and SIMULINK graphical user interface to provide a modular, expandable progressive flooding design tool.



vi

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION
B. BACKGROUND2
C. DEFINING THE MODEL2
D. SIMULINK4
E. OBJECTIVES4
II. SIMULINK PROGRAM7
III. PROGRAMS AND SUBROUTINES
A. FLOW RATE THROUGH HOLE(S)9
B. NET FLOW INTO A COMPARTMENT11
C. HEIGHT OF WATER (HOW)
D. MOMENTS DUE TO ADDED WATER11
E. KG (VERTICAL POSITION OF CENTER OF GRAVITY)13
F. VERTICAL CENTER BOUOYANCY (KB)13
G. HEIGHT OF METACENTER ABOVE CENTER OF BUOYANCY (BM)16
H. RIGHTING ARM (GM)18
I. HEEL AND TRIM ANGLE
J. ADDITIONAL SUBMERGENCE
K. PROGRAM USAGE23
IV. RESULTS
V. DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
A. DISCUSSION

.

-

B. CONCLUSIONS	29
C. RECOMMENDATIONS	29
APPENDIX A HULL.DAT	31
APPENDIX B. ARVOL.M	43
APPENDIX C. DRAFTS.M	45
LIST OF REFERENCES	47
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST	49

-

-

\_

#### I. INTRODUCTION

#### A. MOTIVATION

Accurate modeling of progressive flooding is essential in assessing the survivability features of a given ship. A realistic flooding and counter flooding scenario is a very complex dynamic system including complicated vessel motions, time-varying external excitation, changing hull mass and hydrodynamic properties, progressive flooding through damage openings, internal sloshing of flooded water, and de-watering control actions. Although, due to the complexity of the problem, the traditional method of approach is through large amplitude static analyses, the need for a more accurate analysis has been recognized. In order to bridge this gap, recently there has been a large amount of research focusing on dynamic stability prediction taking into consideration water-on-deck and large amplitude time-dependent motions and excitation [Ref. 1]. Equally important, however, is the development of a design methodology for incorporating elements of man/machine interface into the problem; in other words effects of decision-making and control strategies. This presents an additional level of difficulty since combinations of discrete events and continuous differential equations describe such systems. The long term goal that is initiated with this thesis is to study the development of an improved damage stability analysis and design tool, which will address the effects of control decisions and vessel dynamics in a progressive flooding scenario.

#### **B. BACKGROUND**

When a ship suffers underwater damage, there is a rapid influx of flooding water, usually followed by a period of slower progressive flooding. Progressive flooding is defined as flooding which extends beyond the compartments that are open directly to the sea. This results in flooding of compartments whose hull boundaries, but not interior bulkheads, are still intact. Existing computer models at the Naval Postgraduate School utilize the FORTRAN computer language and formatted input files to model progressive flooding [Ref. 2]. Any change in model design conditions, flooding damage, or damage control abilities requires a completely new FORTRAN input file. The purpose of this thesis is to include the capabilities of the original computer progressive flooding model in a more modular and expandable format, using the user-friendly MATLAB and SIMULINK programs.

#### C. DEFINING THE MODEL

#### 1. Hole Model

Holes can be modeled as a short-tube orifice as shown in figure 1 with a diameter (hole size) much larger than its tube length (ship's skin thickness). The flow discharge coefficient for this case is 0.816 [Ref.3: pp.42-43].

#### 2. Hull Model

The required hull characteristics are determined using the same table of offsets used by Dawson (Hull.dat) [Ref. 2: pp. 127-143] and MATLAB programs arvol.m and drafts.m to calculate the locations of center of buoyancy and center of floatation, waterplane area, and waterplane moments used in the program equations. Both Matlab

programs are included in the appendix. For the FFG-7 hull model used here the following values were calculated:

#### Table 1: Hull Model Characteristics

Center of Buoyancy

Xbar.....209.9 ft aft of FP

Ybar.....0.0 ft from CL

Zbar.....10.52 ft from baseline

Center of Floatation

Xbar.....227.4 ft aft of FP

Ybar.....0.0 ft from CL

Waterplane area.....14030 ft<sup>2</sup>

Longitudinal Moment of Inertia.....1.5883 ×10<sup>9</sup> ft<sup>4</sup>

Transverse Moment of Inertia.....1.8148  $\times 10^{6}$  ft <sup>4</sup>

#### 3. Equilibrium Model

The added weight method [Ref.4: pp.76-79] is used within the program to determine the equilibrium condition (displacement, heel, and trim) of the hull model for any state of flooding. This method assumes hull characteristics are constant and is accurate for "small angles". The added weight method treats flooding water as added weight and treats the hull as if it were intact. The effect of the added weight is divided into a weight, which causes the ship to immerse evenly (parallel sinkage) and moments which cause the ship to list and trim about its center of floatation. The small angle assumption assumes that the ship lists and trims about a fixed center of floatation. In reality, since the hull is not cylindrical, the location of the center of floatation will change

with changing list and trim. This method allows much simplified calculation of hull characteristics compared with the lost buoyancy method. Since the weight added is liquid water which is free to move as the ship moves (and thus does not contribute to pitch and roll resistance), a free surface correction is added to the longitudinal and transverse moments of inertia to account for the reduced resistance of the hull to pitch and roll.

#### 4. Compartment Model

The ship compartments are modeled as rectangular boxes. Extension to more realistic shapes is one of the recommendations for further expansions to the capabilities of the program.

#### **D. SIMULINK**

The SIMULINK program provides a graphical representation of mathematical relationships and equations to enable the user to determine the response of system odels. Graphical symbols and connections are used instead of numbers and mathematical symbols, allowing more intuitive use and understanding of complex mathematical relationships between system components. The SIMULINK program also allows easy modification of input parameters and import and export of data from MATLAB programs. Lastly, SIMULINK allows the user to observe system or individual component behavior during the simulation through the use of output graphs.

#### **E. OBJECTIVES**

The objective of this thesis is to develop a progressive flooding model to utilize the superior user interface and data exchange capabilities of the SIMULINK program. The

program must be able to accurately calculate flooding rates and the subsequent response of the ship. The program must also be user-friendly, easily modified and have the potential for further expansion by subsequent users. To achieve these goals the following programs were written by the author:

#### 1. Programs

#### a. Pflood.m

Pflood.m is the main program created by the author. This program calculates the flooding and ship response caused by a hole of user-chosen size and location in the ship's hull. The flooding rate, flooded volume, heel and trim are calculated and updated each second. In addition, the user can specify the number, time of activation and size of pumps used for dewatering and the duration of their use.

#### b. Arvol.m

Arvol.m is a MATLAB program written by the author to determine the center of buoyancy of the hull model defined by the Hull.dat data file using Simpson's rule integration techniques.

#### c. Drafts.m

Drafts.m is a MATLAB program written by the author to determine the waterplane area, center of floatation, and second moment of inertia of the hull waterplane for a given draft.

#### 2. Validation

Program performance was validated by comparing the program output to expected ship behavior for a given flooding condition.



## II. SIMULINK PROGRAM

The schematic representation of Pflood.m is shown in Figure 1. For illustrative purposes, Pflood.m is shown in its "expanded" form. By leaving Pflood.m in expanded form, any function or variable can be observed or changed by the user. For ordinary use, the various subroutines would be grouped into subsystem blocks with only the user-defined variables and the outputs represented by discrete function blocks. Placing the program in subsystem block form has the added advantage of greatly enhancing program readability and user-friendliness. Specific details of the individual block diagram elements are included in Chapter III.




Figure 1: Pflood.m Simulink Program

#### **III. PROGRAM AND SUBROUTINES**

## A. FLOW RATE THROUGH HOLE(S)

The flow through the damage, either primary or secondary, can be modeled as turbulent flow through an orifice of negligible length, or a hole. Flow rate through a hole is a function of the hole size (cross sectional area), hole shape, and pressure difference across the hole. The hole size and shape are related to the discharge coefficient,  $C_d$ . A  $C_d$ of 0.816 is used in this application in order to remain consistent with Dawson's previous computer flooding model. This number is also consistent with theoretical predictions of flow through orifices as explained in the previous chapter [Ref. 3: pp. 42-43]. The pressure difference is determined by the difference in height of the liquid on either side of the hole. The equation is

$$Q = Cd \times A \times \sqrt{2g \times (h_2 - h_1)}$$

where Q is the volumetric flow rate, A is the cross sectional area, Cd is the discharge coefficient, g is the acceleration due to gravity, and  $h_2$ - $h_1$  is the liquid height difference across the hole. This height difference is a function of the level of the flooding water inside the flooded tanks, as well as the draft and trim of the ship. The latter quantities will have to be calculated using the added weight method as explained in the previous chapter. The Simulink representation of the above equation is shown in Figure 2. The program allows continuous adjustment of the values of h1 and h2 to account for increased immersion of the exterior hole and increased compartment water height.




Figure 2: SIMULINK Hole Flow Symbology

#### **B. NET FLOW INTO A COMPARTMENT**

Flooding water removed from the compartment by pump activation or by flow into adjacent compartments is subtracted from the water flow rate into the compartment. Two way flow due to water removal from one of two connected flooded compartments is also possible, and is accounted for by taking the square root of absolute value of the liquid height difference and then multiplying the result by the sign of the height difference. This method avoids program failure due to trying to take the square root of a negative number. This program also continuously updates the values of h1 and h2 to account for increased immersion of the exterior hole and increased compartment water height.

#### C. HEIGHT OF WATER (HOW)

Once the net volumetric flow rate of water is known, integrating the flow rate results in the net volume of water added to the compartment. This volume, divided by the horizontal area of the compartment, and divided again by the permeability of the compartment, gives the height of water in the compartment. A saturation function ensures that the height of water in the compartment is always between zero and the maximum height of the compartment. Figure 3 shows the Simulink height of water function.

#### **D. MOMENTS DUE TO ADDED WATER**

The added weight method treats the added weight as a combination of a weight which causes the ship to sink evenly (parallel sinkage) and transverse and longitudinal




Figure 3: SIMULINK Height of Water Terminology

moments which cause the ship to heel and trim respectively. Multiplying the height of water (HOW) by the product of the weight density of the liquid ( $\rho g$ ), the compartment permeability (per), and the compartment horizontal area (A) determines the weight added to the compartment. Multiplying the added weight by the moment arm ( $Z_{trans/long}$ ) from the ship's center of floatation to the center of gravity of the added weight gives the moment about the center of floatation in the longitudinal and transverse directions. In equation form:

 $Moment = (\rho gA \times HOW \times per) \times Z_{trans} / long$ 

The Simulink representation of the above equation is shown in Figure 4.

#### E. KG (VERTICAL POSITION OF CENTER OF GRAVITY)

KG (Vertical Position of Center of Gravity) is determined by multiplying the original weight of the ship by the original KG, adding the product of the weight of the added water and the height of the added water center of gravity above the keel, and dividing the sum by the new ship weight. The equation for KG is:

 $KG_{NEW} = (KG_{OLD} \times W_{OLD} + KG_{ADD} \times W_{ADD}) \div W_{NEW}$ 

The Simulink representation is shown in Figure 5.

#### F. VERTICAL CENTER OF BUOYANCY (KB)

The ship's new vertical center of buoyancy (KB) is determined by multiplying the original KB by the original displacement, adding the product of the added weight and the original draft plus one half of the parallel sinkage, then dividing the product by the new




Figure 4: SIMULINK Moment Terminology




Figure 5: SIMULINK KG Terminology

КG

weight. In equation form:

$$KB_{NEW} = (KB_{ORIG} \times W_{ORIG} + KB_{ADD} \times W_{ADD}) \div W_{NEW}$$

This is based on the assumption that the hull is effectively wall-sided, and that the vertical center of buoyancy of the newly submerged portion is located at one half the added draft. Both of these assumptions are consistent with the small angle assumption that was mentioned in the previous chapter. If the small angle assumption is no longer true, then the true position of the center of buoyancy will have to be calculated. This can be done by employing numerical integrations and utilizing the provided table of offsets, or by interfacing the program with a standard external ship hydrostatics calculations program, such as SHCP [Ref. 2: pp. 24-25]. Such an interface is relatively straight forward since the computational environment of Matlab/Simulink already allows incorporation of external ASCII files. Figure 6 shows the Simulink representation of the KB equation.

# G. HEIGHT OF THE METACENTER ABOVE THE CENTER OF BUOYANCY (BM)

The height of the metacenter above the center of buoyancy (BM) is determined by the second moment of the waterplane (about the waterplane centerline or longitudinal center of floatation) divided by the hull displacement. For the added weight method, a free surface correction is made by subtracting the second moment of the flooded compartment area from the waterplane moment. Typically, free surface corrections are done for liquids when calculating the final metacentric height. In such a case, the free surface correction appears as a reduction in the metacentric height or, equivalently, an increase in the virtual center of gravity. The same effect can be observed by modifying




Figure 6 : SIMULINK KB Terminology

the second moment of the waterplane area in the calculation of the metacentric radius, BM. This is because the added weight in this case is seawater. With this modification in BM, the added weight method produces identical results with the lost buoyancy calculations (reference a naval architecture book). The BM Simulink terminology is shown in Figure 7.

#### H. RIGHTING ARM (GM)


The righting arm (GM) is determined by GM = KB + BM - KG. This equation is graphically represented by the triple summing point shown in Figure 8.

### I. HEEL AND TRIM ANGLE

The angle of heel is determined by

 $\sin \phi = Volume \times Z_{TRANS} \div (Displacement \times GM)$ 

as shown in Figure 9. The total trim is determined by dividing the total trimming moment by the moment to change trim (MCT). MCT is equal to the total weight times the longitudinal GM, divided by the ship length at the waterline. The tangent of the trim angle is equal to the total trim divided by the ship's length at the waterline. If the large angle assumption needs to be utilized, the moment to change trim will have to be imported as an external array. This is not expected to cause significant difficulties since MCT is readily available from the hydrostatic diagrams of a given ship. The Simulink trim angle terminology is shown in Figure 10.










Figure 8: SIMULINK GM Terminology





ε,





#### J. ADDITIONAL SUBMERGENCE

The additional submergence of the hole due to list is determined by multiplying the sine of the heel angle by the transverse distance from the transverse center of floatation to the hole. Similarly, the increased hole depth due to trim is obtained by multiplying the sine of the trim angle by the longitudinal distance to the center of floatation. The new hole depth is the sum of the original hole depth and the depth increases due to heel and trim. In algebraic form, these relations are shown by

 $h_{2NEW} = h_{2OLD} + (Z_{TRANS, hole} \times \sin \phi) + (Z_{LONG, hole} \times \sin(trim))$ 

and in graphical form they are represented by the diagram of Figure 11.

#### K. PROGRAM USAGE

To use Pflood.m, the user needs only select "simulation" from the SIMULINK menu, then select "start". Modifications to the simulation parameters or the program are easily accomplished by "double-clicking" on the symbol of interest. Additionally, SIMULINK allows the user to easily add output displays in order to observe the behavior of any desired variable during the simulation.




Figure 11: SIMULINK Hole Submergence Terminology

#### **IV. RESULTS**

Results for a test case consisting of a compartment with a 4 square foot hull penetration (compartment 1) and a 4 square inch watertight bulkhead penetration (compartment 2). Pumps were activated at 10 minutes (600 seconds) which completely dewatered compartment 1 in order to test the ability of the program to account for backflow through holes. As shown in figure 12, the four square foot hull penetration completely floods compartment 1 essentially instantly. Activating the pumps dewaters compartment 1, instantly removing the water entering through the hull penetration. Similar results could have been achieved by changing the characteristics of the hull penetration to simulate hull repairs.

Figure 13 illustrates how the program accurately predicts backflow into compartment1 from compartment 2, which had no pumps assigned. A saturation function ensures that backflow from compartment 2 will stop when compartment 2 water height drops to the level of the bulkhead penetration.

The predicted trim response of the ship is shown in Figure 14. The ship initially trims as a result of the rapid flooding of compartment 1, then gradually increases its trim angle as compartment 2 floods. The instant dewatering of compartment 1 then causes the trim angle to decrease, followed by further trim angle reduction as compartment 2 is dewatered. Without altering the pump or hole configuration, the ship will assume a steady trim angle corresponding to compartment 2 flooded up to the height of the bulkhead penetration.




Figure 12: Height of Water in Compartment 1




Figure 13: Height of Water in Compartment 2




Figure 14: Ship Trim Response

# V. DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### A. DISCUSSION

This thesis has successfully developed the foundation for a modular and expandable progressive flooding computer model. The computer model accurately predicts the quasi-static ship response to progressive flooding and is easily modified by the user to explore ship response to any desired damage situations.

#### **B.** CONCLUSIONS

Based on the above results, the following conclusions are drawn:

- 1. Pflood.m is an effective design tool for modeling and studying the effects of progressive flooding.
- 2. Pflood.m is easily modified to model virtually any damage scenario.
- 3. Pflood.m can be expanded to include different ship progressive flooding and equilibrium models.

### C. RECOMMENDATIONS

The following items are recommended to further expand Pflood.m and enhance

its utility as a design tool:

- 1. Incorporate a hull characteristics look-up table into the Pflood.m program to expand the ship response modeling ability beyond "small angles" of heel and trim and non-rectangular compartments.
- 2. Explore the effect of pump configuration on dewatering effectiveness and ship response.

#### **APPENDIX A: HULL.DAT**

-.98 .203 34.011 -.98 1.0097 37.035 -.98 2.09 40.059 -.98 2.30593 40.636 -.98 2.525 41.213 -.49 .204 24.201 -.49 1.0381 28.1305 -.49 2.2 32.06 -.49 3.60937 36.059 -.49 5.199 40.058 -.49 5.26214 40.2255 -.49 5.325 40.393 .196 .207 10.687 .196 .4439 12.3765 .196 .741 14.066 .196 1.2739 17.065 .196 1.881 20.064 .196 2.9 24.061 .196 4.249 28.058 .196 5.8592 32.0575 .196 7.529 36.057 .196 8.2218 37.69 .196 8.921 39.323 .49 .205 5.841 .49 .319 6.453 .49 .431 7.065 .49 .72482 8.5655 .49 .981 10.066 .49 1.3109 12.0655 .49 1.651 14.065 .49 1.847 15.0645 .49 2.031 16.064. .49 2.941 20.062 .49 4.1 24.06 .49 5.6094 28.058 .49 7.338 32.056 .49 8.8498 35.4695 .49 10.346 38.883 .98 .201 .831 .98 .32937 1.193 .98 .558 1.555 .98 .84406 2.309 .98 1.036 3.063 .98 1.2508 4.064 .98 1.441 5.065 .98 1.621 6.065 .98 1.811 7.065 .98 2.10725 8.565 .98 2.381 10.065 .98 2.7609 12.0645 .98 3.166 14.064 .98 3.38543 15.0635 .98 3.621 16.063 .98 4.70985 20.0605 .98 6.099 24.058 .98 7.78322 28.0565 .98 9.628 32.055 .98 11.0459 35.1215 .98 12.466 38.1880 1.569 .443 .031 1.569 .73779 .288 1.569 .98 .545

1.569 1.18262 .7975 1.569 1.365 1.05 1.569 1.667 1.553 1.569 1.918 2.056 1.569 2.30982 3.0585 1.569 2.63 4.051 1.569 2.911 5.062 1.569 3.171 6.063 1.569 3.41112 7.0635 1.569 3.641 8.064 1.569 4.081 10.064 1.569 4.521 12.064 1.569 4.79912 13.238 1.569 5.088 14.412 1.569 5.88294 17.2355 1.569 6.82 20.059 1.569 8.389 24.057 1.569 10.198 28.055 1.569 12.118 32.055 1.569 14.043 36.055 1.569 14.3628 36.724 1.569 14.682 37.393 1.961 .587 .031 1.961 .93975 .286 1.961 1.257 .541 1.961 1.53453 .7925 1.961 1.791 1.044 1.961 2.223 1.547 1.961 2.585 2.05 1.961 3.14751 3.054 1.961 3.569 4.058 1.961 3.91984 5.0595 1.961 4.22 6.061 1.961 4.491 7.062 1.961 4.741 8.063 1.961 5.221 10.063 1.961 5.701 12.063 1.961 6.0018 13.2375 1.961 6.31 14.412 - 1.961 7.17812 17.235 1.961 8.199 20.058 1.961 9.82922 24.0565 1.961 11.684 28.055 1.961 13.638 32.055 1.961 15.598 36.055 1.961 16.007 36.893 2.353 .593 .031 2.353 1.09723 .2845 2.353 1.528 .538 2.353 1.89568 .7895 2.353 2.217 1.041 2.353 2.776 11.544 2.353 3.233 2.047 2.353 3.936 3.051 2.353 4.466 4.055 2.353 4.8888 5.0575 2.353 5.254 6.06 2.353 5.56 7.061 2.353 5.841 8.062 2.353 6.361 10.062 2.353 6.891 12.062 2.353 7.20311 13.2365

.

-

4.118 8.703 5.047 4.118 9.415 6.05 4.118 10.007 7.053 4.118 10.508 8.056 4.118 11.2796 10.058 4.118 11.915 12.06 4.118 12.2917 13.2345 4.118 12.7 14.409 4.118 13.7046 17.2335 4.118 14.774 20.058 4.118 16.4144 24.057 4.118 18.198 28.056 4.118 19.673 31.2245 4.118 21.136 34.393 4.51 .588 .031 5.51 1.681 .282 4.51 2.533 .533 4.51 3.24766 .7835 4.51 3.871 1.034 4.51 4.938 1.5355 4.51 5.842 2.037 4.51 7.325 3.04 4.51 8.499 4.043 4.51 9.442 5.046 4.51 10.214 6.049 4.51 10.856 7.052 4.51 11.388 8.055 4.51 12.2086 10.057 4.51 12.89 12.059 4.51 13.2936 13.234 4.51 13.719 14.409 4.51 14.75 17.2335 4.51 15.805 20.058 4.51 17.369 24.057 4.51 19.059 28.056 4.51 20.3632 31.0245 4.51 21.636 33.993 4.902 .588 .031 4.902 1.71 .282 4.902 2.616 .533 4.902 3.3854 .783 4.902 4.056 1.034 4.902 5.218 1.535 4.902 6.21 2.036 4.902 7.854 3.0385 4.902 9.143 4.04 4.902 10.1505 5.044 4.902 10.974 6.048 4.902 11.646 7.051 4.902 12.218 8.054 4.902 13.0988 10.0565 4.902 13.829 12.059 4.902 14.2515 13.233 4.902 14.709 14.408 4.902 15.7428 17.233 4.902 16.769 20.059 4.902 18.239 24.058 4.902 19.814 28.057 4.902 20.942 30.83 4.902 22.05 33.603 6.078 .587 .031 6.078 1.771 .2815

6.078 2.791 .532 6.078 3.69 .7825 6.078 4.508 1.033 6.078 5.953 1.5335 6.078 7.217 2.034 6.078 9.33 3.0365 6.078 10.935 4.039 6.078 12.129 5.042 6.078 13.102 6.045 6.078 13.899 7.048 6.078 14.576 8.051 6.078 15.6625 10.054 6.078 16.529 12.057 6.078 16.975 13.233 6.078 17.405 14.409 6.078 18.341 17.235 6.078 19.21 20.06 6.078 20.36 24.061 6.078 21.525 28.061 6.078 22.162 30.297 6.078 22.789 32.533 6.863 .587 .031 6.863 1.8267 .2815 6.863 2.92 .532 6.863 3.988 .782 6.863 4.792 1.033 6.863 6.4045 1.5335 6.863 7.825 2.034 6.863 10.24 3.036 6.863 12.048 4.038 6.863 13.412 5.041 6.863 14.49 6.044 6.863 15.383 7.047 6.863 16.115 8.05 6.863 17.238 10.05 6.863 18.086 12.058 6.863 18.505 13.234 6.863 18.9 14.41 6.863 19.721 17.2365 6.863 20.461 20.063 6.863 21.3909 24.0635 6.863 22.255 28.064 6.863 22.644 29.9885 6.863 23.017 31.913 8.039 .587 .031 8.039 1.8955 .2815 8.039 3.079 .532 8.039 4.16 .782 8.039 5.157 1.032 8.039 6.958 1.5325 8.039 8.573 2.033 8.039 11.33 3.0345 8.039 13.45 4.036 8.039 15.0745 5.039 8.039 16.338 6.042 8.039 17.352 7.046 8.039 18.135 8.05 8.039 19.248 10.055 8.039 20.01 12.06 8.039 20.357 13.2365 8.039 20.671 14.413 8.039 21.302 17.2395

8.039 21.841 20.066 8.039 22.452 24.0675 8.039 22.922 28.069 8.039 23.074 29.591 8.039 23.212 31.113 8.823 .587 .031 8.823 1.965 .2815 8.823 3.209 .532 8.823 4.329 .782 8.823 5.37 1.032 8.823 7.259 1.5325 8.823 8.943 2.033 8.823 11.835 3.034 8.823 14.079 4.035 8.823 15.833 5.038 8.823 17.197 6.041 8.823 18.271 7.045 8.823 19.105 8.049 8.823 20.258 10.055 8.823 21.0 12.061 8.823 21.301 13.238 8.823 21.566 14.415 8.823 22.0513 17.2415 8.823 22.442 20.068 8.823 22.827 24.069 8.823 23.177 28.07 8.823 23.253 29.3665 8.823 23.318 30.663 10.0 .587 .031 10.0 1.9484 .2815 10.0 3.189 .532 10.0 4.329 .782 10.0 5.39 1.032 10.0 7.322 1.5325 10.0 9.062 2.033 10.0 12.085 3.034 10.0 14.498 4.035 10.0 16.407 5.0375 10.0 17.896 6.04 10.0 19.051 7.044 10.0 19.944 8.048 10.0 21.167 10.0545 10.0 21.915 12.061 10.0 22'.197 13.239 10.0 22.422 14.417 10.0 22.761 17.2435 10.0 23.0 20.07 10.0 23.232 24.07 10.0 23.392 28.072 10.0 23.4195 29.0725 10.0 23.442 30.073 10.784 .587 .031 10.784 1.8596 .2815 10.784 3.034 .532 10.784 4.13 .782 10.784 5.15 1.032 10.784 7.0292 1.5325 10.784 8.733 2.033 10.784 11.725 3.034 10.784 14.164 4.035 10.784 16.126 5.037 10.784 17.704 6.039

:

•

•

•

-

17.647 16.08 14.046 17.647 16.74 15.05 17.647 17.298 16.054 17.647 18.958 20.0565 17.647 20.39 24.059 17.647 21.375 26.951 17.647 22.309 29.843 18.039 .586 9.406 18.039 7.97 10.467 18.039 12.014 11.528 18.039 13.203 12.11 18.039 14.027 12.708 18.039 14.931 13.553 18.039 15.649 14.399 18.039 17.331 17.229 18.039 18.399 20.058 18.039 19.805 24.059 18.039 21.15 28.06 18.039 21.464 29.027 18.039 21.774 29.993 18.431 .585 10.276 18.431 4.648 10.704 18.431 8.007 11.132 18.431 10.22 11.583 18.431 11.64 12.034 18.431 13.443 13.039 18.431 14.63 14.044 18.431 15.474 15.049 18.431 16.087 16.053 18.431 17.798 20.057 18.431 19.165 24.06 18.431 20.171 27.1065 18.431 21.148 30.153 18.824 .585 11.146 18.824 5.835 11.589 18.824 9.241 12.032 18.824 11.024 12.453 18.824 12.018 12.875 18.824 13.29 13.635 18.824 14.191 14.395 18.824 15.54 16.2255 18.824 16.414 18.056 18.824 17.498 21.058 18.824 18.47 24.06 18.824 19.45 27.194 18.824 20.419 30.328 19.118 .584 11.797 19.118 5.067 12.08 19.118 8.005 12.36 19.118 10.783 12.863 19.118 12.018 13.365 19.118 12.914 13.879 19.118 13.565 14.392 19.118 14.338 15.2215 19.118 14.906 16.051 19.118 15.903 18.0555 19.118 16.64 20.06 19.118 17.91 24.0605 19.118 19.12 28.061 19.118 19.48 29.282 19.118 19.834 30.503 19.608 .584 12.881

19.608 5.626 13.076 19.608 8.004 13.271 19.608 10.317 13.5625 19.608 11.33 13.854 19.608 11.944 14.122 19.608 12.402 14.39 19.608 13.355 15.269 19.608 14.037 16.147 19.608 15.747 20.104 19.608 16.936 24.061 19.608 17.853 27.39 19.608 18.713 30.719 19.804 .584 13.311 19.804 4.002 13.406 19.804 6.002 13.501 19.804 8.3687 13.671 19.804 10.006 13.841 19.804 11.4077 14.149 19.804 12.024 14.457 19.804 13.25 15.63 19.804 14.038 16.804 19.804 15.479 20.433 19.804 16.507 24.062 19.804 18.213 30.823 20.0 .584 14.38 20.0 4.4 14.561 20.0 6.005 14.741 20.0 8.273 15.0815 20.0 10.01 15.422 20.0 11.75 15.82 20.0 13.339 16.217 20.0 13.73 16.916 20.0 14.038 17.615 20.0 14.95 20.06 20.0 14.95 20.06 20.0 17.08 28.064 20.0 17.4 29.4955 20.0 17.703 30.927 20.049 .584 15.542 20.049 2.815 15.618 20.049 4.003 15.694 20.049 13.821 17.57 20.049 13.821 17.57 20.049 15.126 20.987 20.049 15.619 22.631 20.049 15.619 22.631 20.049 17.215 29.276 20.049 17.215 29.276 20.049 17.563 30.95 20.049
20.049 16.465 25.939 20.049 16.852 27.602 20.049 17.215 29.276 20.049 17.563 30.95

.

:

20.093 10.138 17.654 20.093 11.85 18.049 20.093 13.102 18.407 20.093 14.29 18.7658 20.093 14.824 20.251 20.093 15.268 21.736 20.093 15.772 23.571 20.093 16.237 25.405 20.093 16.667 27.258 20.093 17.062 29.11 20.093 17.252 30.04 20.093 17.437 30.971 20.098 .584 16.7 20.098 4.488 16.881 20.098 6.005 17.061 20.098 8.304 17.402 20.098 10.009 17.742 20.098 11.04 17.972 20.098 12.01 18.202 20.098 13.264 18.523 20.098 14.322 18.849 20.098 14.543 19.455 20.098 14.75 20.06 20.098 15.35 22.062 20.098 15.89 24.063 20.098 16.598 27.017 20.098 17.23 29.97 20.103 .584 16.819 20.103 2.903 16.896 20.103 4.055 16.97 20.103 6.03 17.18 20.103 7.504 17.388 20.103 9.364 17.724 20.103 10.912 18.06 20.103 12.757 18.507 20.103 14.267 18.954 20.103 14.267 18.954 20.103 14.86 20.584 20.103 15.37 22.214 20.103 15.836 23.89 20.103 16.26 25.566 20.103 16.655 27.262 20.103 17.008 28.959 20.196 .584 19.032 20.196 2.629 19.1 20.196 3.779 19.168 20.196 5.634 19.343 20.196 6.956 19.519 20.196 8.664 19.806 20.196 10.1 20.09 20.196 10.1 20.09 20.196 11.796 20.478 20.196 13.204 20.862 20.196 13.976 21.074 20.196 14.746 21.285 20.196 15.05 22.114 20.196 14.34 22.943 20.196 15.78 24.397 20.196 16.17 25.851 20.196 16.569 27.4 20.196 16.947 28.951 20.294 .584 21.361 20.294 4.413 21.536 20.294 6.005 21.711

•

.

;

;

•

.

•

:

## APPENDIX B. ARVOL.M

. .

```
જ
            arvol.m
¥
            by Russell wright
            calculates station areas and center o' bouyancy
8
۶
            for T = 16.9 ft
load hull1.dat
a=zeros(41,3);
zz=16.9
for i=1:41
  beg=23*(i-1)+1;
  endd=23*i;
  a(i,1)=hull1(beg);
  z=hull1(beg:endd,3);
  if z(1) > zz
    a(i,3)=0;a(i,2)=1;
  else
% y=hull1(beg:endd,2);
  zp=hull1(beg:endd,3);
  z=zp(1):(16.9-zp(1))/22:16.9;
  yy=hull1(beg:endd,2);
  y=spline(zp,yy,z);
  h=z(2)-z(1);
hhh(i)=h;
  four = 0.0;fr=0;
  two=0.0;to=0;
    for j= 1:11
       four=four+y(2*j);
fr=fr+z(2*j)*y(2*j);
    end
    for k=1:10
        two= two+y(2*k+1);
        to=to+y(2*k+1)*z(2*k+1);
    end
  % calculate station area using simpson's rule:
  a(i,2) \doteq 2*(y(1)+4*four+2*two+y(23))*h/3;
  % calculate station centroid (z bar) using simpson's rule:
  a(i,3) = 2*(y(1)*z(1)+4*fr+2*to+y(23)*z(23))*h/(3*a(i,2));
end
end
aa=zeros(101,3);
hh=((a(37,1)-a(3,1))/100);
aa(:,1)=(a(3,1):hh:a(37,1))';
aa(:,2)=spline(a(3:37,1),a(3:37,2),aa(:,1));
aa(:,3)=spline(a(3:37,1),a(3:37,3),aa(:,1));
fore=0;too=0;fre=0;tw=0;fo=0;tu=0;
for i=1:50
 fore=fore+aa(2*i,2);
fre=fre+aa(2*i,2)*aa(2*i,3);
fo=fo+aa(2*i,2)*aa(2*i,1);
end
for i=1:49
too=too+aa(2*i+1,2);
```

```
tw=tw+aa(2*i+1,2)*aa(2*i+1,3);
tu=tu+aa(2*i+1,2)*aa(2*i+1,1);
 end
vol=(hh/3)*(aa(1,2)+4*fore+2*too+aa(101,2));
zbar=(aa(1,2)*aa(1,3)+4*fre+2*tw+aa(101,2)*aa(101,3))*hh/(3*vol)
xbar=(aa(1,2)*aa(1,1)+4*fo+2*tu+aa(101,2)*aa(101,1))*hh/(3*vol)
```

e

.

~

,

.

## APPENDIX C. DRAFTS.M

```
ક્રક
дþ
                                  drafts2.m
ş
                                 by russell wright
ક્ષ
                                 calculates wp points for a given draft
ક્ષ
load hull1.dat
ind=0;
yincl=zeros(41,3);
zz=16.9;
zincl=zz
zinc2=zz
for i=1:41
i
 beg=23*(i-1)+1;
  endd=23*i;
 wpla(i,1)=hull1(beg,1);
 z=hull1(beg:endd,3);
 y=hull1(beg:endd,2);
wpla(i,2)=interpl(z,y,zz,'spline');
if z(1) > zz
wpla(i,2)=0;
 yincl(i,2)=0;
 yincl(i,3)=0;
  wpla(i,1)=0;
end
yincl(i,1)=hull1(beg,1);
yincl(i,2)=interp1(z,y,zinc1,'spline');
if yincl(i,2) <0.0
yincl(i,2) =0.0;
end
yincl(i,3)=zz;
 if wpla(i,2)==0
yincl(i,2)=0;
yincl(i,3)=0;
end
end
yincl=yincl(3:37,:);
xx=yincl(:,1);
x=yincl(1,1):(yincl(35,1)-yincl(1,1))/34:yincl(35,1);
yy=yincl(:,2);
y=spline(xx,yy,x);
  h=x(2)-x(1);
hhh(i)=h;
  four = 0.0;fr=0;
  two=0.0;to=0;
    for j= 1:17
       four=four+y(2*j);
      fr=fr+x(2*j)*y(2*j);
```

```
end
     for k=1:16
         two= two+y(2*k+1);
to=to+y(2*k+1)*x(2*k+1);
     end
  % calculate wp 1/2 area using simpson's rule:
a2=2*(y(1)+4*four+2*two+y(35))*h/3;
% calculate wp centroid (x bar) using simpson's rule:
xbar=2*(y(1)*x(1)+4*fr+2*to+y(35)*x(35))*h/(3*a2)
% calculate long. moment o' inertia about xbar
  fr=0;
  to=0;
    for j= 1:17
        fr=fr+(x(2*j)^2)*y(2*j);
    end
    for k=1:16
         to=to+y(2*k+1)*(x(2*k+1)^2);
    end
io=2*(y(1)*x(1)*x(1)+4*fr+2*to+y(35)*(x(35)^2))*h/3;
isubl=io-a2*xbar^2
% calculate trans moment o' inertia
  fr=0;
  to=0;
    for j= 1:17
        fr=fr+(y(2*j)^3);
    end
    for k=1:16
         to=to+(y(2*k+1)^3);
    end
isubt=2*((y(1)^3)+4*fr+2*to+(y(35)^3))*h/9;
```

46

## LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Advanced Marine Enterprises "Advanced Stability Algorithm Phase I Technology assessment",1996
- 2. Dawson, P, "Computer Modeling of Ship Progressive Flooding as a Design Tool", Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, Ca. May 1995
- 3. Merritt, H. E., "Hydraulic Control Systems", John Wiley and Sons, Inc, 1967
- 4. Tupper, E., "Introduction to Naval Architecture", Butterworth-Heinemann, 1996

-. <u>-</u> · .

.

48

٩

# INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. Copies
<ol> <li>Defense Technical Information Center 8725 John J. Kingman Rd. STE 0944 Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218</li> </ol>	2
<ol> <li>Dudley Knox Library Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road Monterey, CA 93943-5101</li> </ol>	2
<ol> <li>Chairman, Code ME Departmant of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, Ca 93942-5000</li> </ol>	2
<ol> <li>Professor Fotis A. Papoulias, Code ME/ PA Department of Mechanical Engineering Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, Ca 93942-5000</li> </ol>	2
<ol> <li>Curricular Officer, Code 34         Department of Mechanical Engineering             Naval Postgraduate School             Monterey, CA 93942-5000         </li> </ol>	1
<ul> <li>6. LT Russell A. Wright, USN Department Head Class 154 SWOSCOLCOM 446 Cushing Road Newport, RI 02841-1209</li> </ul>	2