
Marine Physical Laboratory

Ocean Dynamics

R. Pinkel and M. Merrifield

Supported by the
Chief of Naval Research
Grant N00014-90-J-1099

Final Report

MPL-U-5/97
March 1997

19971230 083

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.



DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 5

University of California, San Diego
Scripps Institution of Oceanography

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>				
1. Agency Use Only (Leave Blank).		2. Report Date. March 1997		3. Report Type and Dates Covered. Final Report
4. Title and Subtitle. Ocean Dynamics			5. Funding Numbers. Grant N00014-90-J-1099 Project No. Task No.	
6. Author(s). R. Pinkel and M. Merrifield				
7. Performing Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es). University of California, San Diego Marine Physical Laboratory Scripps Institution of Oceanography San Diego, California 92152			8. Performing Organization Report Number. MPL-U-5/97	
9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es). Office of Naval Research Ballston Centre Tower One 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5660 Lois Goodman, Code 322PO			10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number.	
11. Supplementary Notes.				
12a. Distribution/Availability Statement. Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. Distribution Code.	
13. Abstract (Maximum 200 words). <p>Efforts in the MPL Ocean Dynamics program during 1993-96 focused in three areas. First was the study of fine scale shear and strain as measured by profiling CDT's and Doppler sonar during the SWAPP experiment. A second effort fielded an oceanographic contribution to the 1993-94 SIMI experiment in the Beaufort Sea. Analysis of these data are presently in an advanced state. Finally, we are completing analysis of wavenumber-frequency spectra of fine scale shear from geographic sites around the world. The goal is to quantify variation of spectral form as a function of overall energy level and latitude.</p>				
14. Subject Terms. internal wavefield, wavenumber frequency spectrum, Doppler sonar			15. Number of Pages. 7	
			16. Price Code.	
17. Security Classification of Report. Unclassified	18. Security Classification of This Page. Unclassified	19. Security Classification of Abstract. Unclassified		20. Limitation of Abstract. None

Ocean Dynamics

Final Report

R. Pinkel and M. Merrifield

**Supported by the
Chief of Naval Research
Grant N00014-90-J-1099
for the Period 10-1-89 - 6-30-96**

Abstract

Efforts in the MPL Ocean Dynamics program during 1993-96 focused in three areas. First was the study of fine scale shear and strain as measured by profiling CDT's and Doppler sonar during the SWAPP experiment. A second effort fielded an oceanographic contribution to the 1993-94 SIMI experiment in the Beaufort Sea. Analysis of these data are presently in an advanced state. Finally, we are completing analysis of wavenumber-frequency spectra of fine scale shear from geographic sites around the world. The goal is to quantify variation of spectral form as a function of overall energy level and latitude. Each of these efforts is reviewed below.

Research Summary

The Statistics of Fine Scale Shear and Strain

Gregg (1989) has provided observational evidence that averaged estimates of dissipation, ξ , vary approximately as the square of the internal wavefield energy level $\xi \sim E^2$. Gregg notes that the finding is consistent with a specific model for energy transfer in the internal wavefield proposed by Henyey et al., (1986). If it is also consistent with a purely statistical breaking model, based on the random superposition of

independent waves, support for any particular dynamic scenario vanishes.

However, most previous statistical models of the wave breaking process have demonstrated an extreme sensitivity of dissipation to energy level. Doubling E results in an increase of dissipation by a factor of 2×10^5 in the early model of Garrett and Munk (1972b) and by 10^3 in the later model of Desaubies and Smith (1982).

As an aspect of the Ocean Dynamics Program we reexamined these mixing models, attempting to reconcile their predictions with the observations of Gregg. An extensive Doppler sonar (5.5 m vertical resolution) and CTD (5400 profiles to 420 m) data set, obtained from the Research Platform FLIP during the SWAPP experiment, was applied to the problem. A model for the probability density function (pdf) of Richardson number was developed, accounting for both shear and strain variability. This pdf is an explicit function of the vertical differencing scale, Δz , over which shear and strain are estimated. From this pdf, a related probability density of overturning can be derived, as a function of overturn scale and internal wavefield energy level. The third moment of this pdf is proportional to the buoyancy flux, which can be related to dissipation, assuming a fixed flux Richardson number.

When this finite difference approach is pursued, dissipation levels are found to vary nearly as E^2 for a variety of contrasting internal wave spectral models. Gregg's constant of proportionality is recovered, provided independent realizations of the Richardson number process are said to occur every 10-14 hours.

Beaufort Sea Internal Wave Climatology

As an aspect of the SIMI ice dynamics experiment, a 150 kHz self-contained Doppler sonar was used to monitor currents in the upper Arctic ocean through the winter months of 1993-94. This winter record complemented numerous spring observations associated with conventional ONR ice-camps. The spring observations have shown the Beaufort Sea internal wavefield to be 10-50 times less energetic than its mid-latitude counterpart. Our hypothesis was that the winter ice-cover isolated the ocean from wind forcing, resulting in the low observed energy levels in spring.

However, the ocean is substantially open to the atmosphere during the summer months. If atmospheric forcing is indeed a dominant factor, fall

observations should show the Arctic wavefield to be as energetic as mid-latitude wavefields. Over the winter the wavefield presumably decays to the low energy state seen in the spring. In monitoring this decay, details of both non-linear processes within the wavefield and dissipation processes might be inferred.

A high resolution Scripps designed sonar was deployed during the manned phase of the SIMI site, in November 1993. This was replaced by a battery operated device which ran through the winter, being recovered in April 1994. The surprise of SIMI is that the internal wave energy levels initially observed in the fall are as low as typical spring values. Indeed, energy levels appeared to increase over the winter, in several distinct isolated events (Fig. 1). The initial conclusion from SIMI is that the ice cover of the Beaufort Sea is not responsible for the low observed wavefield energy level. Some other factor, perhaps the low energy in the Arctic mesoscale field, is key.

The Wavenumber Frequency Spectrum of Shear

Our understanding of energy flow through the internal wave spectrum, from forcing scales to dissipation scales, is observationally limited. Except at exceptional sites, like the Beaufort Sea or the Equatorial ocean, the internal wave spectrum always seems to look the same. As an aspect of the Ocean Dynamics program we have developed coded pulse Doppler sonars with resolution capable of detecting small differences in the wavefield spectrum. We have operated these systems at a variety of sites around the world, from 83° N (CEAREX, 1989) to 2° S (TOGA COARE, 1992-93). Energy levels varied from 50 times less than the GM standard (SIMI, LEADDEX) to 10 times more (TOGA COARE, CEAREX, Fig. 2). The interesting preliminary result is that the shear spectral forms appear to progress from lowest to highest variance levels in a systematic manner. The progression of forms is significantly different from the Garrett Munk model, which has been used as the basis for numerous theoretical studies. It also differs from a recent model suggested by Muller et al. 1991 based on atmospheric observations. When published in final form, the set of spectra will provide useful clues for theoreticians modeling the internal wavefield. A manuscript will be submitted to J. Phys. Oceanogr. in the next several months.

Publications and Presentations

Pinkel, R. and S. Anderson. On the statistics of the fine scale strain in the thermocline. *Dynamics of Oceanic Internal Gravity Waves*. pp. 89-107. (1991). Sixth Aha Huliko'a Winter Workshop.

Pinkel, R. and J.A. Smith. Repeat-sequence coding for improved precision of Doppler sonar and sodar. *J. Atmos. & Oceanic Tech.*, Vol. 9, pp. 149-163. (1992).

Pinkel, R. and S. Anderson. Toward a statistical description of fine scale in the thermocline, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, Vol. 22, pp. 773-795. (1992).

Pinkel, R., M. Merrifield, J. Smith and H. Ramm. Sea surface and mixing layer studies. *Proc. of the Symposium on Air-Sea Interface*, Eds. M.A. Donelan, 1995.

Pinkel, R. and S. Anderson. Finescale shear and strain in the thermocline. *Proceedings of the Aha Huliko'a Hawaiian Winter Workshop on Internal Waves and Small Scale Turbulence*, pp. 17-36, (1993).

Pinkel, R., M. Merrifield and J. Smith. Recent development in Doppler sonar technology. *Oceans 93*, Vol. I, pp. I-282- I-287, 1993.

Goldstein, R.M., F. Li, J. Smith, R. Pinkel, and T. P. Barnett. Remote sensing of ocean waves: The Surface Wave Process Program experiment. *J. Geophys. Res.* Vol. 99, pp. 7945-7950. (1994).

Pinkel, R., M. Merrifield, J. Smith and H. Ramm. Advances In Doppler Sonar Technology. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Symposium on the Remote Sensing of Atmosphere and Oceans*. 0-7803-2437-4/95, pp. 37-41. (1995) IEEE.

Anderson, S., Pinkel, R. Double Diffusively Unstable Intrusions Near an Oceanic Front: Observations from R/P FLIP. *Double-Diffusive Convection*, *Geophysical Monograph* 94, pp. 195-211, (1995).

Plueddemann, A.J., J.A. Smith, D.M. Farmer, R.A. Weller, W.R. Crawford, R. Pinkel, S. Vagle and A. Gnanadesikan. Structure and variability of Langmuir circulation during the Surface Waves Processes Program. *J. Geophys. Res.* Vol. 101, pp. 3525-3543. (1996).

Pinkel, R., Hunkins, K., Jobst, W., Lanseth, M., Pittenger, R., Robison, B., and Spiess, F., Scientific opportunities offered by a nuclear submarine

(SOONS), SOONS Sub-committee, UNOLS Fleet Improvement Committee, pp. 7 pgs (1992). Dr. Robert Pinkel, Chair.

Pinkel, Robert and S. Anderson. Shear, strain and Richardson number variations in the thermocline: part 1, statistical description. Phys. Oceanogr., Feb. 1997.

Pinkel, Robert and S. Anderson. Shear, strain and Richardson number variations in the thermocline: part 2, modeling mixing. Phys. Oceanogr., Feb. 1997.

Internal Wave Band Shear Spectra




Figure 1. Vertical wavenumber spectra of horizontal velocity in the upper Beaufort Sea vary through the winter of 1993-94. Major increases in downward propagating energy are seen in late winter associated with wind/ice motion events.

Vertical Wavenumber Spectra of Normalized Shear superinertial frequencies ($f < \omega < 1.5\text{cph}$)




Figure 2. A global collection of spectra of horizontal velocity shear as obtained by coded pulse Doppler sonar. Shear variance levels vary by a factor of 30. Energy levels vary by a far greater amount (a factor of 100). This is only weakly reflected in the shear levels as the spectrum apparently shifts to higher wavenumber as energy decreases.

ONR/MPL REPORT DISTRIBUTION

Office of Naval Research (3)
Department of the Navy
Ballston Tower One
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217-5660
Attn: Lois Goodman, Code 322PO

Regional Director (1)
ONR Detachment
San Diego Regional Office
4520 Executive Drive, Suite 300
San Diego, CA 92121-3019

Commanding Officer (1)
Naval Research Laboratory
4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W.
Attn: Code 2627
Washington, D.C. 20375-5320

Defense Technical Information Center (4)
8725 John J. Kingman Road
Suite 0944
Ft Belvoir, VA 22060-6218