

# **Environmental Issues Relating to Training Troops at Fort Irwin**

by
Donna Schell and Carolyn O'Rourke

The Fiscal Year 1996 Fort Irwin, CA, Environmental Compliance Assessment System assessment identified problems with procedures for handling Petroleum, Oils, and Lubricants (POL) used in the Rotational Unit Field Maintenance Area (RUFMA). Problems identified included unlabeled containers, scattered storage of materials and waste, and no tracking of materials or waste.

As a result of these recognized problems, U.S. Army Forces Command (FORSCOM) decided to investigate the environmental processes and activities associated with the troops training at Fort Irwin, the Fort Irwin Environmental Office, the RUFMA, and the Direct Support Area (DSA).

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 3

A team of three FORSCOM representatives and two U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering and Research Laboratories (USACERL) representatives visited the site on 18-19 June 1997 and interviewed members of the Fort Irwin Department of Public Works (DPW), rotational unit command personnel, rotational unit environmental personnel, employees of the onsite hazardous waste disposal contractor, the White Cell team leader, and rotational unit personnel working in the RUFMA and DSA.

This report details the problems noted and solutions suggested, which are projected to reduce costs, the workload for rotational units, the stress on host-installation staffs, and environmental impacts throughout the National Training Center ranges and Fort Irwin.

19970825 096

### **USER EVALUATION OF REPORT**

REFERENCE: USACERL Special Report 97/129, Environmental Issues Relating to Training Troops at Fort Irwin, CA

Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below, tear out this sheet, and return it to USACERL. As user of this report, your customer comments will provide USACERL with information essential for improving future reports.

1. repo	Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which ort will be used.)
2. proc	How, specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data or procedure, management sedure, source of ideas, etc.)
3. save	Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as manhours/contract dollars ed, operating costs avoided, efficiencies achieved, etc.? If so, please elaborate.
4.	What is your evaluation of this report in the following areas?
	a. Presentation:
	b. Completeness:
	c. Easy to Understand:
	d. Easy to Implement:
	e. Adequate Reference Material:
	f. Relates to Area of Interest:
	g. Did the report meet your expectations?
	h. Does the report raise unanswered questions?

	rhat you think should be changed to make this report and future reports eeds, more usable, improve readability, etc.)
5. If you would like to be contacted by discuss the topic, please fill in the following the following the state of the contacted by the c	the personnel who prepared this report to raise specific questions or owing information.
discuss the topic, please fill in the follo	owing information.
discuss the topic, please fill in the followard Name:	owing information.
discuss the topic, please fill in the followard Name:  Telephone Number:	owing information.
discuss the topic, please fill in the followard Name:  Telephone Number:	owing information.

Department of the Army
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING RESEARCH LABORATORIES
ATTN: CECER-TR-I
P.O. Box 9005
Champaign, IL 61826-9005

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget. Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)	2. REPORT DATE August 1997	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATE Final	S COVERED	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Environmental Issues Relating to Training Troops at Fort Irwin, CA			5. FUNDING NUMB MIPR W31XNJ7	
6. AUTHOR(S)				
Donna Schell and Carolyn O'F	Rourke			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME	(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING O	
U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratories (USACERL) P.O. Box 9005 Champaign, IL 61826-9005			SR 97/129	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENC	Y NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING /	MONITORING
Headquarters, U.S. Army Ford ATTN: AFPI-ENE Fort McPherson, GA 30380-5	es Command (FORSCOM)		AGENCY REPO	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		<u></u>		
Copies are available from the	National Technical Information Se	ervice, 5285 Port Royal l	Road, Springfiel	d, VA 22161.
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STA	TEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION	CODE
Approved for public release; d	istribution is unlimited.			
Tr.				
procedures for handling Petrol	in, CA, Environmental Complianc eum, Oils, and Lubricants (POL) und included unlabeled containers, s	ised in the Rotational Ui	nit Field Mainte	nance Area
	problems, U.S. Army Forces Conctivities associated with the troops Direct Support Area (DSA).			
Research Laboratories (USAC Fort Irwin Department of Publ	presentatives and two U.S. Army (ERL) representatives visited the since Works (DPW), rotational unit consite hazardous waste disposal con MA and DSA.	te on 18-19 June 1997 a command personnel, rota	nd interviewed a tional unit envir	members of the onmental
	is noted and solutions suggested, wost-installation staffs, and environ			
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES
training Ft. Irwin, CA	hazardous waste ma	nagement		14 PRICE CODE
environmental compliance				16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATI OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	i	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT SAR

# **Foreword**

This study was conducted for U.S. Army Forces Command (FORSCOM) under Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request number W31XNJ71057547. The FORSCOM technical monitor was David Ruddock, Environmental Branch.

The work was performed by the Environmental Processes Division (PL-N) of the Planning and Management Laboratory (PL), U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratories (USACERL). The USACERL principal investigator was Donna Schell, and the associate investigator was Carolyn O'Rourke. Jerry Benson is Acting Chief, CECER-PL-N, and L. Michael Golish is Acting Operations Chief, CECER-PL. The USACERL technical editor was Linda L. Wheatley, Technical Information Team. Representatives from Headquarters, FORSCOM included Dave Ruddock, Cody Jackson, and Mitch Cohen.

Dr. Michael J. O'Connor is Director of USACERL.

# **Contents**

SF 298	1
Foreword	2
1 Introduction	5
Background	
Approach	
Objectives	
2 Training at Fort Irwin	7
Material Procurement and Processing Practices	7
Good Practices and Ideas Encountered	
3 Summary of Findings and Recommendations	9
Summary of Findings	
Additional Recommendations	
Implementation	11

## Distribution

# 1 Introduction

## **Background**

In Fiscal Year 1996, the Fort Irwin, CA, Environmental Compliance Assessment System (ECAS) assessment identified problems with procedures for handling Petroleum, Oils, and Lubricants (POL) used in the Rotational Unit Field Maintenance Area (RUFMA). Problems identified included unlabeled containers, scattered storage of materials and waste, and no tracking of materials or waste.

As a result of these recognized problems, U.S. Army Forces Command (FORSCOM) decided to investigate the environmental processes and activities associated with the troops training at Fort Irwin, the Fort Irwin Environmental Office, the RUFMA, and the Direct Support Area (old "Class 9" yard).

# **Approach**

To accomplish this investigation, FORSCOM put together a team of three FORSCOM representatives and two U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Construction Engineering and Research Laboratories (USACERL) representatives. During the site visit on 18-19 June 1997, the rotational unit was from Fort Lewis, WA. Table 1 lists the team members.

The team interviewed members of the Fort Irwin Department of Public Works (DPW) with environmental responsibilities, rotational unit command personnel, rotational unit environmental personnel, employees of the onsite hazardous waste disposal contractor (HAZCO), the White Cell team leader, and rotational unit personnel working in the RUFMA and Direct Support Area. The team visited the RUFMA, the Direct Support Area, the HAZCO premises, and the DPW. The team also reviewed existing environmental training materials.

Table 1. Fort Irwin site visit team members.

Name	Phone Number	E-mail
Dave Ruddock	DSN 367-6574	ruddockd@ftmcphsn-emh1.army.mil
Cody Jackson	DSN 367-7513	jacksonc@ ftmcphsn-emh1.army.mil
Mitch Cohen	DSN 367-6095	cohenm@ ftmcphsn-emh1.army.mil
Donna Schell	217-352-6511, ext 7667	d-schell@cecer.army.mil
Carolyn O'Rourke	217-352-6511, ext 7445	c-orourke@cecer.army.mil

# **Objectives**

The goals of this team were to look at processes during operations in the RUFMA to discover standard operating procedures (SOPs) that will:

- reduce the environmental impact
- save dollars for hazardous waste disposal
- improve the life of the soldier.

# 2 Training at Fort Irwin

## **Material Procurement and Processing Practices**

Before arrival for training, a scoping visit is made to Fort Irwin by the command level of the training troops, and materials are ordered from the Material Management Center (MMC).

When troops arrive at Fort Irwin, they undergo a series of briefings to prepare for the rotation. The White Cell group (responsible for spill cleanup) undergoes an 8-hr environmental training class to prepare them for their activities as spill responder/cleanup teams. Command-level personnel receive an environmental briefing. Currently, however, no environmental briefing is given to the troops by the Fort Irwin Environmental Office.

The troops go to the Draw Yard to pick up their vehicles. When they check out the vehicles, they add fluids, if needed, and perform any required maintenance. The addition of fluids and maintenance usually occurs in the Draw Yard.

Hazardous materials (i.e., POLs) are drawn from the "Class 9" yard. The unit determines and orders the amount of materials it will need from the MMC. There are no inventory controls.

Once deployed, units are required to segregate and manage their waste in an environmentally appropriate manner. Typical wastes include: trash, food waste, waste POLs, and recyclables. While deployed, the areas typically impacted are the airfield by Bicycle Lake, the range area, and ammunition supply points.

Normally, when coming out of the field, everyone comes to the RUFMA and unloads the vehicles. Unopened Class 3 substances go to the Direct Support Area. Materials drawn from Fort Irwin that are not used are returned to the CONEX containers in the Direct Support Area at Fort Irwin for use by other Rotations.

While in the RUFMA, accumulation points are set up for collection of hazardous waste, unused materials, and recyclables. Rotation personnel are assigned to manage the accumulation points, which are clustered together in one section of the RUFMA. The hazardous wastes go to an onsite contractor (HAZCO), the recyclables to the Fort Irwin recycling yard. Open and used material are utilized in the final maintenance of the vehicles in the RUFMA. Rotation personnel are responsible for delivering the hazardous wastes and recyclables to the appropriate drop-off points.

Once in from the field, the White Cell group flies a helicopter over the site looking for spills. After cleaning up any spills, a walking crew covers the area looking for spills not seen from above. Areas inspected are the RUFMA, the Rotational Unit Bivouac Area (RUBA), and the training areas.

#### **Good Practices and Ideas Encountered**

During the site visit, the research team found a variety of ideas and actions that facilitate improved environmental management:

- The Fort Lewis Brigade assigned an Environmental Coordinator (EC) to the troops to serve as a technical advisor and interface with the Fort Irwin environmental office and HAZCO.
- The Fort Lewis Brigade EC came to Fort Irwin as part of the scoping visit.
- The Fort Lewis Brigade EC developed a training program in conjunction with Fort Irwin staff for training the soldiers before their arrival at Fort Irwin.
- The Fort Lewis Brigade EC walked through the RUFMA looking for trash and improper practices during the maintenance operation.
- HAZCO employees are willing to talk walk-ins through the turn-in process (this practice needs to become a part of the in-brief for troops.)
- Fort Lewis instituted a 1-day drive-through turn-in line for off-loading all hazardous wastes, solid wastes, hazardous materials, and recyclables.
- Fort Irwin set up a fluid addition point for the vehicles from the Draw Yard outside the gate of the Draw Yard.
- No POL cans are allowed to sit on the ground.
- An after-action report is being written by the Fort Lewis Brigade EC.

# 3 Summary of Findings and Recommendations

# **Summary of Findings**

The problems and solutions described in Table 2 represent observations made during the site visit to Fort Irwin on 18-19 June 1997.

Table 2. Problems and suggested solutions for environmental issues

Table 2. Problems and suggested solutions for environmental issues.			
Problems	Suggested Solutions		
Lack of communication on environmental issues between the host Environmental Office and the troops training there. Communication difficulties internal to the Environmental office also exist. For example, the individual implementing the Haz Mat Pharmacy does not appear to be communicating with the Hazardous Waste coordinator, the recycling manager, or representatives from Logistics.	<ul> <li>Designate a Fort Irwin POC for environmental concerns for the Rotation. (I)</li> <li>The training troops should bring a Brigade Environmental person. (R, F, I)</li> <li>Re-institute the troop environmental in-brief. In addition to spill training it must address: (I)  – how to segregate their waste  – turn-in procedures  – who and when to call</li> <li>A handout of what types of waste go where and who to call for what. (I)</li> <li>Use Fort Lewis training as a model for pre-brief prior to arrival at Fort Irwin by training troops. (R, F)</li> <li>Improve the communication process within the Fort Irwin Environmental Office. (I)</li> <li>Redesign the soldiers field card so that the Environmental POC's number is more obvious and the headings are more reflective of the content. (I, R)</li> </ul>		
No tracking or comparison of what is being issued and what is being turned in during the rotation.	<ul> <li>Institute an inventory control system (e.g., bar code) to track what happens to all the materials issued to the troops on Rotation. This system could also be used to verify the accuracy of billing to the Rotation for wastes they actually produced. Plus, tracking those responsible for abandoned items and determining reasonable amounts of materials being issued will lead to significant cost savings. (I. F)</li> </ul>		
Difficulty of access to spill cleanup materials.	<ul> <li>Have spill kits prepositioned at the RUFMA, the Class 9 yard, and on fuel trucks deployed to the field. When applicable, kits should include spill pads, absorbent, flexible drip pans, rags, aprons, gloves, bung wrenches, and round and square shovels. Items should be provided by Fort Irwin and billed to the unit. (F, I)</li> </ul>		
Material left behind for other Rotations to use, and not being monitored for expiration/deterioration (CONEXs in Class 9 area contain batteries and 111 trichloroethylene).	<ul> <li>Nondurable materials should not be stored in this area. Instead they should be returned to the MMC or a designated area that is monitored. Additionally, any area used for storage of these types of substances must meet appropriate environmental and safety regulatory requirements.</li> </ul>		

Problems	Suggested Solutions
The White Cell group did	Determine how far in advance the White Cell group needs to be onsite and their exact duties/responsibilities. (I, R)
not arrive in time to be fully operable when the Rotation	onsite and their exact duties/responsibilities. (i, h)
activity began.	
The White Cell is typically	Have White Cell not participate in the training exercise but still     These should be a permanent ligitory.
a player in the exercise, not a separate group.	report to the Brigade. There should be a permanent liaison between the DPW and the White Cell. (I, R)
No access to clean up	Develop SOPs for access to spills defined by spill sizes during
spills.	training exercise. (I, NTC)
Complaints on what the Rotation troops have to	Develop a handout of POCs for supplies, environment, and waste disposal to be handed over at the scoping meeting. Provide a list
bring with them to support	of supplies that can be ordered from Fort Irwin. (I)
themselves.	Assistant August Assist
The Brigade has no general use vehicles	Assign a truck to the troops on rotation for transporting recyclables to the recycling area, etc. (I)
assigned to it.	12-5-12-12-12-12-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-13-
While the hazardous waste	Set up three sheds in the RUFMA for a Haz Mat Pharmacy for:
pads are clearly designated	reissue of usable materials     hazardous waste gathering
in the RUFMA, the pads for solid waste,	- spill response supplies and PPE. (F)
recyclables, and usable	
materials are not clearly	
defined.	Resolve the discrepancy or
Discrepancy between types of equipment required by	Resolve the discrepancy, or     Predeploy the equipment (I, F)
FORSCOM and Fort Irwin	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
in the Class 9 area.	Davids and the state of the sta
No secondary containment in the Class 9 area;	<ul> <li>Provide secondary containment in the Class 9 area. (I)</li> <li>Develop a standard for the operation of the Class 9 area (signs,</li> </ul>
because of damaged	containment, etc). (I, F)
containers, there is a high	
probability for leaks developing here.	
No standard procedures for	Develop a standardized approach for waste separation. Set up a
sorting and turn-in of waste	drive-through drop off and sort point for 1 day when coming in
and materials.  Long walks to dispose of	from the field. (I)  Examine the viability of incorporating permanent accumulation
hazardous waste or to	points in the redesign of the RUFMA. This redesign needs to
return unused materials.	consider the differences in the rotational units. The redesign
	needs to also account for adequate permanent lighting, ramps to facilitate vehicle maintenance, and whether or not paving the
	entire area will create a heat exposure hazard. (I, F)
F - FORSCOM	
I - Fort Irwin	
R - Rotational Unit	
NTC - National Training Cent	er

#### **Additional Recommendations**

- As the processes and procedures are changed at Fort Irwin, the Integrated Training Area Management (ITAM) briefing needs to be updated to reflect accurate information.
- Examine the identified good practices for implementation as SOPs.
- Brief each unit on hazardous-waste and hazardous-materials handling in the RUFMA as it comes in from the field. This briefing should be mandatory. Throughout the training exercise, environmental issues pertinent to the activity occurring should be briefed. Do not brief everything at the initial check-in because much of the handling information will be forgotten 3 weeks into the training.

### Implementation

The FORSCOM Engineer supports the concept that more standardization during rotations through the RUFMA may reduce costs, the workload for rotational units, the stress on NTC/Fort Irwin staffs, and environmental impacts throughout the NTC ranges and Fort Irwin. The FORSCOM Environmental Branch will coordinate with FORSCOM DCSOPS/DCSLR and work closely with the NTC and Fort Irwin staffs to assist in implementing potential solutions that show merit (according to the priorities set by NTC).

#### **USACERL DISTRIBUTION**

Chief of Engineers

ATTN: CEHEC-IM-LH (2) ATTN: CEHEC-IM-LP (2)

ATTN: CECC-R ATTN: CERD-L ATTN: CERD-M

Defense Tech Info Center 22304

ATTN: DTIC-O (2)

Headquarters, FORSCOM ATTN: AFPI-ENE Fort McPherson, GA 30380-5000

> 10 7/97