REPORT DOC	CUMENTATION PA	GE	Form /	Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
ublic reporting burden for this collection of in athering and maintaining the data needed, a plection of information, including suggestion	nformaiion is estimated to average 1 hour and completing and reviewing the collection as for reducing this burden to Washington	per response, including n of information. Send of Headquarters Services,	the time for reviewing omments regarding th Directorate for Inform	instructions, searching existing data source his burden estimate or any other aspect of th ation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferso	
avis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 222	02-4302, and to the Office of Management	and Budget, Paperwork	Reduction Project (07	04-0188), Washington, DC 20503.	
, AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Diank)	May 1993	May 1993 Final Report, 17-21 Ma		ay 1993	
TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. F	UNDING NUMBERS	
Method to Construct Godunov-Type FD Problems of Viscous External Aer	Schemes and Implicit PPV Schemes odynamics	and Newton Method	to Solve	F6170893W0534	
. AUTHOR(S) jor Yu. Babaev, Valery Dedesh					
				ERFORMING ORGANIZATION	
			R	EPORT NUMBER	
sAGI, Central Aerohydrodynamics Ins sAGI Zhukovsky /oscow Region 140160, Russia	titute			SPC-93-4031	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. 8	SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
EOARD PSC 802 BOX 14 PPO 09499-0200				SPC-93-4031	
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT			12b.	DISTRIBUTION CODE	
	Unlimited				
3. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)					
bstracts from two presentations at Wr	right Laboratory at WPAFB, Ohio, be	etween 17-21 May 199	03.		
4. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
4. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 2 16. PRICE CODE	
4. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 2 16. PRICE CODE 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC	
4. SUBJECT TERMS 7. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATIO OF THIS PAGE	N 19, SECURITY OF ABSTRA	CLASSIFICATION	15. NUMBER OF PAGES 2 16. PRICE CODE 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRAC	

Implicit PPV schemes and Newton Method to solve CFD Problems of viscous external aerodynamics

## Igor Yu. Babaev, Valery V. Dedesh

Central Aerohydrodynamics Institute (TsAGI) Zhukovsky, Moscow Region 140160, Russia Telex: 412573 ZAKAT SU, Fax: (095) 271-0019 Keywords: Newton method, high resolution PPV-schemes

## ABSTRACT

The efficiency of the Newton method [1] and high resolution PPV schemes [2] to solve steady Navier-Stokes equations is assessed. Linear systems on each Newton iteration step are solved using either iterative (GMRES [3] preconditioned by the incomplete LU decomposition by positions), or direct (nested dissection method [1]) large sparse matrix inversion procedure.

Linear system coefficients are computed using numerical differentiation. As test problems, two-D viscous transonic flows about circular cylinder and NACA0012 airfoil are considered.

An influence of a PPV scheme option, the linear system solution accuracy, Newton method initial guess choice and associated CPU time savings are analyzed. The Newton method convergence rate at different Mach and Reynolds numbers generally proves to be linear.

A significantly influenced by local supersonic zones and shocks, the allowed accuracy of the linear system solution for the Newton method convergence is found to vary depending on the concrete problem. Used with care, iterative solvers are several times (5-10) faster and economic, than direct ones, which in their turn, do not show problem parameter-dependent performance.

From the three possible variants of an initial guess to compute with a high resolution PPV scheme, namely free-stream flow, first-order solution or the second order solution at different Mach and/or Reynolds numbers, the most successful is the second variant. This is because otherwise the shock location is far from being exact, and at each Newton iteration step present in a PPV scheme a smooth nonlinear limiter function makes the quadratic convergence rate of the Newton method linear.

It is shown, that using simplified PPV schemes one can in certain cases save total computer time needed to get the converged solution, up to 30%, compared to the classical 3-wave PPV model (a.k.a. Roe) scheme [2].

At the moment the algorithm described is built into a TSAGI CFD library A+M for large-scale computations.

#### REFERENCES

1.I.V.Egorov,O.L.Zaycev,J.Comput.Math and Math.Phys.,v.31, N.2, p.300,(1991).(in Russian)

2.V.V.Dedesh, DAN USSR, v. 321, N.1, p. 36, (1991). (in Russian)

3.Y.Saad, M.H.Schultz, SIAM J.Sci.Stat.Comp., v.7, N.3, p.858, (1988).

# 19961212 079

# A METHOD TO CONSTRUCT GODUNOV-TYPE SCHEMES

Valery V. Dedesh

Central Aerohydrodynamics Institute (TsAGI)

Zhukovsky, Moscow Region 140160, Russia

Telex: 412573 ZAKAT SU, Fax: (095) 271-0019

Keywords: Godunov-type schemes, numerical viscosity matrix

## ABSTRACT

Godunov-type schemes [1-5] were a considerable success of last two decades CFD. These schemes are stable, monotone and serve as a building block for modern high-resolution schemes (see e.g. [6]), yet methods of their construction lack unification and sometimes simplicity. We present an approach, allowing to simplify greatly coding and understanding of how to construct Godunov-type schemes. The method is aimed at a proper construction of numerical viscosity matrices, associated with Godunov-type schemes, and allows their clear geometrical interpretation. One can easily modify numerical viscosity matrices when needed: the result is a simple, economic and reliable algorithm.

The ground idea of the method PPV (Polynomially Presented Viscosity) is to express a numerical viscosity matrix of a scheme as a quadratic or linear polynomial of a gasdynamics Jacobian matrix [5].

The degree of unification offered by the method is such that among examples of its realization are schemes due to Roe [2], Steger-Warming [3], van Leer [4] and some other algorithms, not widely used to-date.

At the moment the PPV-schemes are exploited in a CAHI CFD library A+M [7] for large-scale computations.

### REFERENCES

1. A.Harten, P.D.Lax, B.van Leer, SIAM Review, v.25, p.35, (1983).

2. P.L.Roe, J.Comput. Phys., v. 41, p. 357, (1981).

3. J.L.Steger, R.F.Warming, J.Comput. Phys., v.40, p.263, (1981).

4. B.van Leer, ICASE Report 82-30, Sept. 1982 (unpublished).

5. V.V.Dedesh, DAN USSR, v.321, N.1, p.36, (1991). (In Russian)

6. Ami Harten, J. Comput. Phys., v. 49, p. 357, (1983).

7. I.V.Egorov, O.L.Zaycev, J.Comput.Math. and Math.Phys., v.31, N.2, p.300, (1991). (in Russian)