U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE National Technical Information Service

1200

N79-12163

CARBON FIBRE STUDY NO. 4. FAILURE CRITERIA OF CFRP COMPOSITES AT CRYOGENIC TEMPERATURES

M. F. RICKETT, ET AL

May 1978

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PLASTICS TECHNICAL EVALUATION CENTER

19960229 112

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 1

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

# **DISCLAIMER NOTICE**

UNCLASSIFIED DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION ENTER DIIC Contraction Contraction UNCLASSIFIED

# THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

#### EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY CONTRACT REPORT

The work described in this report was done under ESA contract. Responsibility for the contents resides in the Author or Organisation that prepared it.

#### **TP 7678**

#### CARBON FIBRE STUDY NO. 4

#### FAILURE CRITERIA OF CFRP COMPOSITES

#### AT CRYOGENIC TEMPERATURES

(BAe-TP-7678) CARPON FIBRE STUDY NO. 4: FAILURE CRITERIA OF CFRP COMPOSITES AT CRYOGENIC TEMPERATURES (British Aerospace Dynamics (Space)) 193 p HC A09/MF A01 N79-12163

Unclas H2/24 91072

2013	ULECHAE		COULTS .
	ACT/SIG		M
and the second sec		11:34 H	<b>BRGANISMIC</b>

REPRODUCED BY NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE SPRINGFIELD, VA. 22161

BRITISH AEROSPACE - DYNAMICS GROUP HATFIELD/LOSTOCK DIVISION MANOR ROAD, HATFIELD, HERTFORDSHIRE Telephone: Hatfield 62300 - Cables: Britair Hatfield Telex: 22324

## NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FROM THE BEST COPY FURNISHED US BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY. ALTHOUGH IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.

### EUROPEAN SPACE AGENCY CONTRACT REPORT

The work described in this report was done under ESA contract. Responsibility for the contents resides in the Author or Organisation that prepared it.

#### **TP 767**8

#### FAILURE CRITERIA OF CFRP COMPOSITES AT CRYOGENIC TEMPERATURES

#### ESTEC CONTRACT NO. 2931/76/NL/PP(SC)

Compiled by: M.F. Rickett, BAe, N.L. Hancóck and D.C.C. Minty, AERE, Harwell

ESA Technical Management: P.M. Molette

Approved by: J. L.V. Hickman Divisional Director (Space) Dynamics Group.

May 1978

BRITISH AEROSPACE - DYNAMICS GROUP (SPACE) SITE B, STEVENAGE, HERTFORDSHIRE

#### Abstract

Test performed during the Spacelab Support Research and Technology Programme involving a Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastic faced honeycomb panel have been rerun using an improved experimental technique. The original theoretical analysis has been revised using CFRP material parameters derived from a separate coupon test programme. Results from this programme have shown only small changes in material properties with decreasing temperatures. Discrepancies that were found between theoretical and practical panel performance have been put down to anomalous expansion coefficients and detail model problems.

#### Acknowledgement

This report has been prepared by the Mechanical Design Department of the Space Division, British Aerospace Dynamics Group, Stevenage, with the Atomic Energy Research Establishment, Harwell as major subcontractor.

The Advanced Engineering Materials Group at AERE Harwell embraces a number of departments and is led by Dr.D.H.Bowen.

	CONTEN	10	Page No.
L.	INTRODUCTION	an dan series da. Mangalah salah t	1
2.	STUDY STRUCTURE		3
3.	COUPON TESTING		23
l.	FULL PANEL TEST		64
5.	DISCUSSION		95
5.	CONCLUSIONS		111
7.	REFERENCES		112

# APPENDICES

Α.	Theoretical Prediction of Honeycomb CTE	114
в.	List of Specimens	121
C.	Complete Panel Test Procedure	132
D.	Straincal Computer Program	140
Ε.	Strain Gauge Outputs	167
F.	Mathematical Model Description	181
G.	Calculation of Stress from the Measured Orthogonal Strains	183

# LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Thermal Test Frame and CRFP Panel Study Structure
2.	Study Structure
3.	Finite Element Model
4.	Incorporation of Variable Material Properties (1)
5.	Incorporation of Variable Material Properties (2)
6.	Anisotropy Plots
7.	Stress vs Strain for Hypoth etical 0°/90° laminate
8.	Orthogonal Fibre Proportions for 0°/60°/120° laminate
9.	Apparent Strain Characteristics for Strain Gauges fitted to (a) Aluminium (b) A CFRP laminate
10.	Original and Improved Test Arrangement for Complete Panel Thermal Test.
11.	Dial Test Indicator Measuring Arrangement.
12.	120mm x 75mm Lay-up Tool
13.	260mm x 260mm Lay-up Tool
14.	Test Machine Used to Carry Out Specimen Tests
15.	Photograph Showing Cooling Arrangement for Specimen Cooling
16.	Longitudinal and Transverse Tensile Modulus for Unidirectional CFRP Material
17.	Longitudinal and Transverse Tensile Strength for Unidirectional CFRP Material
18.	Longitudinal and Transverse Compressive Strength for Unidirectional CFRP Material
19A.	Shear Modulus for Unidirectional CFRP Material
19B.	Predicted Angle Ply Shear Modulus vs Temperature
20.	Shear Strength for Unidirectional CFRP Material
21.	Angular Deflection at Failure for Unidirectional CFRP Material
22.	Micrograph Showing Cracking and Fibre Distribution in Shear Specimen
23.	Micrograph Showing Cracking and Fibre Distribution in Shear Specimen
24.	Micrograph Showing Cracking and Fibre Distribution

(vi)

<u>کړ</u>

# LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

25.	Longitudinal and Transverse Tensile Modulus for Angle Ply CFRP Material
26.	Longitudinal and Transverse Tensile Strengths for Angle-Ply Material
27.	Longitudinal and Transverse Compressive Strength for Angle-Ply CFRP Material
28.	Longitudinal and Transverse Poisson's Ratio's for Angle-Ply CFRP Material
29.	Longitudinal and Transverse Compressive Strengths for Angle-Ply Faced Aluminium Honeycomb
30.	Effect of 100 Hours Exposure at 75% of Ultimate Load on Flexural Modulus and Strength
31.	Change in Length as a Function of Temperature for Unidirectional CFRP Material
32.	Change in Length as a Function of Temperature for Angle Ply CFRP Material
33.	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion for Unidirectional CFRP Material
34.	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion for Angle Ply CFRP Material
35.	Change in Length of Angle Ply Faced Aluminium Honeycomb Sandwich as a Function of Temperature
36.	CFRP Panel
37.	Edge Member Moulding Tool
38.	Acoustic Bond Tester
39.	Acoustic Bond Tester Scans
40.	Location of Panel and Test Frame Instrumentation
41.	Panel Free (Test 2)
42.	SG Curves for Panel Free Condition
43.	DTI Measured Strain Effects on Free Panel
44.	Strain Distribution Measured in X-Direction
45.	Strain Distribution Measured in Y-Direction
46.	Strain Distribution Measured in X-Direction
47.	Strain Distribution Measured in Y-Direction
48.	Strain Distribution Measured in X-Direction
49.	Strain Distribution Measured in Y-Direction

# LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

50.	Strain Distribution Measured in Y-Direction
51.	Strain Distribution Measured in X-Direction
52.	Strain Distribution Measured in Y-Direction
53.	Strain Distribution Measured in X-Direction
54.	Strain Distribution Measured in Y-Direction
55.	Strain Distribution Measured in X-Direction
56.	Theoretical Deflections of Carbon Fibre Panel When Panel is Attached to the Aluminium Frame 50°C Rise in Temp.
57.	Plot of Theoretical and Actual Deflections of Carbon Fibre Panel When Attached to Aluminium Frame
58.	Plot of Dial Test Indicator Reading (9) V Temperature Drop
59.	Plot of Theoretical and Actual Strains For Top and Bottom Surfaces of Carbon Fibre Panel
60.	Plot of Theoretical and Actual Strains for Top and Bottom Surfaces of Carbon Fibre Panel
61.	Plot of Theoretical and Actual Strains for Top and Bottom Surfaces of Carbon Fibre Panel
62.	Plot of Theoretical and Actual Strains for Top and Bottom Surfaces of Carbon Fibre Panel

#### INTRODUCTION

1.

In 1974 BAe (then HSD), Space Division, Stevenage, proposed the use of Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastic (CFRP) face honeycomb panels for the Spacelab Pallet.

Due to the high technology that was involved, it was decided to proceed with a development programme before adopting carbon fibre as a baseline material. The Support, Research and Technology (SR and T) Programme which followed was completed in October 1975 with the issue of the final report HSD TP 7553.

One of the major problem areas was the accurate prediction of low temperature failures which could occur as the result of the build up of internal material stresses and/or the differential contraction between the carbon fibre panels and the aluminium frames to which they were to be attached. Unfortunately, programme timescales allowed neither detailed theoretical analysis nor exacting experimental procedure with the result that the theoretical predictions were not achieved in practice.

Figure 1 shows the test arrangement that was used to simulate the proposed configuration. It consisted of a representative carbon fibre faced honeycomb panel bolted to a rigid, aluminium box frame. This arrangement was cycled in a fixed and free condition to the maximum limits of  $+100^{\circ}$ C to  $-180^{\circ}$ C and strains induced in the panel were recorded using a series of strain gauge rosettes.

The theoretical analysis, developed at that time, predicted a buckling failure of the panel at  $-70^{\circ}$ C whereas in practice there was no failure down to the lowest temperature achieved of  $-180^{\circ}$ C. The Pallet design temperature range was then  $-150^{\circ}$ C to  $110^{\circ}$ C and so with no design confidence in failure prediction it was decided to terminate further research and adopt aluminium faced panels.

The aim of this Study was to win back this confidence by achieving a better understanding of the complex behaviour of CFRP at cryogenic temperatures.



į,

#### STUDY STRUCTURE

The structure of the Study is summarised in block form in Figure 2. The top and bottom blocks represent the starting points; the theoretical analysis and the practical tests of the SR and T programme.

The objectives were to improve systematically both the theoretical analysis and the experimental technique so as to narrow the gulf between prediction and actual behaviour.

The major proposals for modifications to the theoretical analysis were as follows:

- Improvement of mathematical model
  - Accommodation of material property variations.
  - Modification of failure criteria.
  - Incorporation of possible creep effects.

These proposals were to be investigated by means of a comprehensive programme of coupon testing designed to identify their respective sensitivities.

The major proposals for modifications to the experimental technique were as follows:

- Improvements to panel fixing
- Use of specialised strain gauges
- Improvements to test arrangement
- Adoption of automated data logging.

# 2.1 <u>Theoretical Analysis</u>

2.1.1 Improvements to Mathematical Model (See Appendix F)

There were two major changes to the SR and T model as follows:

Addition of bending stiffness to plate elements which were previously modelled with only membrane stiffness

CFRP panel was remodelled using triangular instead of quadrilateral sandwich elements.



STUDY STRUCTURE 4

-

The final model, as depicted in Figure 3, comprised the following elements:

• Aluminium framework  $(\frac{1}{4})$ 

54 plate elements representing top and bottom plates and channel webs.

40 beam elements representing channel flanges.

CFRP Panel  $(\frac{1}{4})$ 

24 triangular sandwich elements representing the main body.

7 beam elements representing the panel edge stiffening.

Connection

Panel and framework were connected using rigid beam elements representing the panel offset.

#### 2.1.2 Material Property Variations

Previous studies on the low temperature performance of carbon fibre composite materials have been made by References (1) and (2). It was found that the moduli tended to increase with decreasing temperature, while flexural and shear strengths were a maximum at room temperature and decreased with rising or falling temperature. For strength measurements considerable scatter was noted. The coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) in the fibre direction was small. possibly negative depending on the fibre resin system used, and substantially constant with changes in temperature, but in the transverse direction it was much larger and increased steadily with rising temperature as might be expected for a property controlled by the resin matrix. Work on glass, carbon, and aramid fibre composites has been reviewed by (3) who noted that, generally, the tensile or flexural moduli in the fibre direction increase as the temperature falls to  $77^{\circ}$ K, but that the ultimate tensile strength decreases. Below 77°K results for either property become erratic, possibly because the mechanical behaviour of the resin becomes very sensitive to liquid or gas in contact with it. In a later publication, (4), the modulus and strength properties of a limited number of composite materials were measured at temperatures as low as 4<sup>°</sup>K. In most cases the properties increased with decreasing temperature.



Table 1 shows changes in certain material properties that were identified for unidirectional laminates of CIBA Fibredux 914 in the range  $20^{\circ}$ C to  $-190^{\circ}$ C.

Property	Units	at 20 <sup>°</sup> C	at -190 <sup>0</sup> C	% Change
Longitudinal Flexural	GN/m <sup>2</sup>	214	243	+14
Longitudinal Flexural	$MN/m^2$	900	510	-43
Interlaminar Shear	MN/m <sup>2</sup>	79	54	-32
Strength		L		

Table	1
-------	---

The original analysis of the panel/frame combination assumed the CFRP material properties to be invariant over the above temperature range and this was thought to have been a significant source of error. It was therefore decided that methods for incorporating such deviations into the theoretical analysis would be devised.

One approach is depicted in Figure 4. Angle ply coupon tests are used to construct a series of graphs showing the relationship between material properties and decreasing temperature. A polynomial approximation is then made to each of these curves and the polynomials are fed in as input data for the mathematical model. Plots of stress versus temperature produced by the model can than be compared with strength data obtained from coupon tests and used to establish failure conditions. Despite its apparent simplicity this method was rejected on the following grounds:

1. Few finite element packages have the facility for incorporating polynomial expressions as material input data.

For example, the 'STARDYNE' package commonly used by BAe has not got this facility.

2. Packages that can accept polynomial material inputs often have other limitations. For example 'ANSIS' cannot handle anisotropic sandwich elements.

3. The major problem is one of cost since iterative techniques are used to incorporate material variables. The combination of small temperature decrements and a relatively large number of elements was considered to be cost prohibitive.



variable material properties (1) INCORPORATION OF 1.1

i. i

A simplified approach based on this former method was subsequently proposed by BAe and accepted by ESTEC. The approach is shown diagrammatically in Figure 5. The same set of coupon-derived property versus temperature curves are used to provide sets of material data for each of a series of temperature decrements. The mathematical model is then run for each set of properties, deflections at the end of each decrement being used as the starting conditions for the next run. At the end of each decrement, the derived stresses are compared with ultimate coupon-determined values to identify failure conditions.

Since the choice of decrement(s) is a function of the degree of property variation, it was decided that the precise technique to be employed would be left until coupon results had been obtained.

A further limitation imposed by certain finite element packages is that they are not capable of dealing with anisotropic material properties. In particular the 'STARDYNE' package proposed for use in this Study does not have this capability. The 0,60,  $120^{\circ}$  lay-up under consideration was however considered to be orthotropic and so a check was run using an anisotropy routine recently developed by BAe. The result is shown in Figure 6 and confirms the orthotropy of the considered lay-up. A 0, 90,  $0^{\circ}$  plot is shown for interest.

#### 2.1.3 Modification to Failure Criteria

Figure 7 shows a typical stress/strain curve that might be obtained from the loading to failure of a  $0^{\circ}/90^{\circ}$  cross ply laminate. The change in slope corresponds to a failure in the 90° ply and is analogous to the yield point of conventional metallic materials. Load is transferred to the 0° ply at this point which carries on taking load until the ultimate failure stress is achieved.

In many cases the sudden load transfer, and violent crack propagation typical of composite material failures may cause ultimate failure to occur at the yield point. The exhibition of this yield characteristic is dependent on many factors, but in particular the rate of loading, the number of plies and their orientations.

Fahmy et al (5) conclude that transverse crack propagation is inhibited by large angular variations between adjacent plies. Thus a  $0/90^{\circ}$ lay-up would be more likely to exhibit a yield characteristic than a  $0^{\circ}/45^{\circ}$  lay-up. Torsion testing of  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $\pm 45^{\circ}$  tube sections conducted during the Phase 2 Study (6) showed distinct changes of slope corresponding to what was considered to be failure of the  $0^{\circ}$  plies. The onset of yield was often accompanied by a sharp crack sound, again characteristic of composite failures.



の時間の意見の

私国に移動では国際の

記録の後

は影響を行け

1

1

いいのの

いけの時代に

1997年1月1日に、1997年1月10

1

FIG. 5

INCORPORATION OF VARIABLE MATERIAL PROPERTIES (2)



Ą.



Tests conducted during the latter stages of the SR and T programme showed similar curves and Table 2 gives stresses corresponding to the yield point and the ultimate failure.

Data Source	Yield $\sigma_{11}$	Yield $\sigma_{22}$	Ultimate $\sigma_{11}$
Derived from unidirectional properties	302	236	481 *
Angle Ply Test	350	296	478
L		MN/m <sup>2</sup>	

\* See text below.

#### Table 2

The theoretical values for yield strengths are the ultimate values determined from classical analysis which assumes failure in any one ply to be indicative of failure of the complete laminate. If it is assumed that redistribution of load occurs at yield then the classical yield criteria will require modification. For a multiply laminate it is not sufficient to assume that a failed ply makes no further contribution to the laminate strength since some load transfer will still occur in practice. By means of an iterative procedure an ultimate theoretical stress corresponding closely with the practical value was determined during the SR and T programme. This value, shown in the table, was derived by assuming the transverse stiffness and shear modulus for the unidirectional material to be reduced to 1% of their original values.

Due to the random nature of crack formation it would be difficult to justify theoretically such an assumption. It was hoped however, that by carrying out further angle ply tests that a parametric relationship might be established, albeit one peculiar to this lay-up.

#### 2.1.4 Honeycomb Sandwich Effects

#### Coefficient of Thermal Expansion (CTE)

Tests performed during the SR and T programme showed that contrary to what had been expected, the aluminium core of a CFRP faced honeycomb sandwich can contribute significantly to the overall CTE of the sandwich. Theoretical analyses, (See Appendix A), have confirmed the effect but have failed to predict the apparent values shown in practice. Table 3 summarises the theoretical and practical results that were determined.

Item	Source	Coefficient of thermal expansion in		
		X-direction	Y-direction	
Facesheet	Predicted Actual	1.4 1.0	1.4 1.0	
Honeycomb Sandwich	Predicted Actual (DTI) Actual (Strain Gauge)	5.2 7.3 10.6	5.2 4.6 6.6	
		x 10-6/0	C at 20°C	

#### Table 3

The large differences between theoretical and practical values, and indeed between two sets of practical values, are indicative of the problems of both analysis and measurement of this property.

Since the theoretical predictions were based on practically determined unidirectional values it was proposed that a part of the Study be devoted to accurate expansion measurements. AERE Harwell proposed the use of a laser interferometric technique and this would be applied to the three basic material configurations:

Unidirectional Material

Angle Ply  $(0^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}, 120^{\circ})$ 

Angle Ply faced honeycomb.

#### Failure Mode

The predicted mode of failure for the panel/frame arrangement was through buckling at the centre of the panel. It was therefore proposed that edgewise compression tests be performed on honeycomb sandwich specimens to determine load levels to induce failure and the mode of failure produced.

#### 2.1.5 <u>Creep Effects</u>

Many researchers have identified the existence of creep in reinforced plastic materials. In most cases these movements have been extremely small, resulting in the need for non-contacting micromechanical measurements.

Room temperature microyield and microcreep experiments performed on CFRP laminates and sandwich structures having a  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $60^{\circ}$ ,  $120^{\circ}$ fibre orientation have been reported by Goggin (7). Although the experiments were concerned with absolute deflections at low stress levels, it can be deduced from the specimen geometry that  $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ permanent strain was induced in the  $90^{\circ}$  direction after  $2\frac{1}{2}$  hours and in the  $0^{\circ}$  direction after 40 hours with a constant stress level of 2% of ultimate. The reduced resistance of the  $90^{\circ}$  direction is concluded to be due to the lower resolved fibre effect, see Figure 8.

Work by Wang et al (8) on the transverse creep properties of unidirectional laminates tends to confirm the effect being resin dominated with considerably higher creep strains of the order  $100 \times 10^{-6}$  being produced after  $2\frac{1}{2}$  hours at a constant stress of 30% of ultimate at room temperature.

It was suggested following the SR and T programme thermal test that such movements could have off loaded the panel as the stresses built up and thereby helped it to survive a much lower temperature excursion.

Since no information was available on the creep properties of laminates at cryogenic temperatures it was decided to include some preliminary investigations as part of this programme.

2. <u>Experimental Procedure</u>

#### 2.2.1 Improvement to Panel Fixing

For the SR and T programme, the panel and frame fixing holes were drilled 5.3mm in accordance with the standard defined for the Pallet. Test results showed that this clearance allowed for considerable bolt slippage during thermal cycling which prevented effective loading of the panel in the range  $+50^{\circ}$ C.

Since the mathematical model assumed perfect fixing between the panel and frame at all temperatures it was proposed to tighten the tolerance on the fixing holes and if necessary fit each bolt individually.

The same frame as that used for the SR and T programme was to be used for this Study and so in order to achieve close tolerance fixing it was necessary to bore out the existing 5.3 mm holes to 6mm. The specified tolerance was:

#### 6mm + 0.018mm

This allowed the use of standard bi-hex, titanium pallet bolts to drawing no. HSD F3 92048 having a shank tolerance

#### 5.990mm -0.012mm

There was therefore a maximum of 0.04mm free movement in each fixing as compared with 0.328mm in the SR and T thermal test.



Resolved component in  $0^{\circ}$  direction =  $1 + 2b = 1 + 2 \sin 30^{\circ}$ 

= 2

= 1.73

Resolved component in  $90^{\circ}$  direction =  $2a = 2 \cos 30^{\circ}$ 

Orthogonal Fibre Proportions for 0,60,120, Laminate Fig. 8.

#### 2.2.2 Use of Improved Strain Gauges

Due to timescale limitations there was no scope during the SR and T programme for detailed investigation and subsequent procurement of specialised strain gauges. General purpose HSD manufactured gauges were therefore used in hand laid  $0^{\circ}$ ,  $60^{\circ}$ ,  $120^{\circ}$  rosettes. Several problems associated with these gauges were thought to have contibuted errors to the strain readings:

Instability at temperatures approaching -190°C

Slight characteristic variations between gauges

Large apparent strains at sub-zero temperatures

• Inaccuracy of hand laid rosettes.

It was proposed that high precision rosettes be used for this Study having certified apparent strain characteristics.

Precision gauges made by the Micro-Measurements Division of Vishay Intertechnology Inc. were identified as having the necessary attributes. A series of gauges is available for each type which allows for matching to specific materials via their expansion coefficient. By such matching the apparent strains and thus strain corrections can be minimised.

Members of the series are identified by an 'STC' number in the range 00 to 15 corresponding to expansion coefficients 0.03 to  $26.1 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}C$ . Gauges with STC number 03 corresponding to an expansion coefficient of 5.4 x  $10^{-6}$  would have been ideal had there not been a prohibitive lead time.

With the need for a quick delivery it was decided to select an aluminium matched gauge, STC 13, having a characteristic as shown in Figure 9(a) and then by using expansion coefficients determined by coupon testing of CFRP samples, derive a carbon fibre correction curve similar to that shown in Figure 9(b). See Reference (9). This derived curve would then be checked against readings from similar gauges fixed to an unrestrained CFRP faced honeycomb sample cycled to liquid nitrogen temperatures.

The exact designations for the chosen gauges and adhesives were as follows:

45 <sup>°</sup> Rosettes:	WK-13-125RA-350
Linear:	WK-13-125AD-350
Adhesive:	M-Bond Type AE10/15
Outer coating:	M-Coat 'A' Polyurethane coating



All these items were supplied by:

Welwyn Strain Measurement Ltd. Armstrong Road, Basingstoke RG 24 OQA England.

The linear gauges were proposed for checking the stress distribution in the edge members. This was not investigated during the SR and T programme but was later thought to be important to the understanding of the overall distributions.

2.2.3

#### Improvements to Experimental Technique

The major problem encountered during the SR and T programme thermal test was that of control over the temperature stabilisations. Due to the relatively large thermal capacity of the aluminium frame there was a tendency towards the panel dropping rapidly in temperature well in advance of the frame. The problem was amplified by coarse cooling control provided by a valve on the Liquid Nitrogen (LN) supply line.

The original and improved schemes are shown in Figure 10. The improved version featured a completely enclosed oven in which it was considered a more uniform temperature environment could be achieved. LN was supplied to the oven reservoir by a manually controlled electric pump. Controlled amounts of Gaseous Nitrogen (GN) were then supplied to the test arrangement by means of heating coils evenly distributed inside the reservoir. By careful control of the rate of supply of LN to the reservoir and amount of energy supplied to the coils, it was predicted that more uniform temperature environments could be established.

Similarly it was thought that provision of a controlled heat electrical blower would allow more uniform warm up conditions.

The Dial Test Indicator (DTI) distortion measuring arrangements (See Figure 11) were thought to be subject to errors from icing and thermal gradients between the measuring plane and the instrument plane. Icing was to be minimised in the new arrangement by means of the surface closure of the oven.

A method of overcoming the thermal gradient effect was proposed by the use of a low expansion support frame. Such a frame could have been made from rods of Invar or poltruded carbon fibre stock. Time and cost factors did not however allow this proposal to be pursued and so it was decided that an aluminium framework similar to that used previously would be used and corrections due to thermal gradients would be applied. Correction accuracy would be improved through better knowledge of frame temperature by means of an increased number of thermocouples.





DIAL TEST INDICATOR MEASURING ARRANGEMENT FIG. 11

More thermocouples were also to be attached to the panel and frame to increase confidence in temperature uniformity.

#### 2.2.4 Improvement to Data Logging

Data logging for the original test was a long and laborious task. It involved the use of a manually operated commutator for the selection of each channel and once selected the channel output had to be manually zeroed on a bridge to produce the required reading. Considerable temperature changes resulting in comparable errors could and probably did occur between the first and last readings.

To improve the scan time an automatic data logger was used for the revised test. This device scanned all channels and output the results on a line printer in a matter of seconds.

#### 3. COUPON TESTING

#### 3.1 Introduction

In order to provide the property/temperature relationships and failure modes required for the theory modifications analysed in Section 2, HSD TP 7600 proposed the following series of coupon tests:

- Unidirectional Specimens
  - longitudinal and transverse moduli and strengths
  - longitudinal and transverse compressive strengths

- torsional or shear modulus and strength

- principal Poisson's ratio
  - longitudinal and transverse coefficients of thermal expansion
- flexural creep over 100 hours.

2 specimens were to be tested for each property at each of 5 temperatures (three temperatures only for flexural creep) in the range ambient to  $-196^{\circ}$ C. The precise temperatures that were subsequently selected by AERE Harwell were as follows:

+20°, -60°, -100°, -170° and -196°C.

• Angle Ply (0/60/120 balanced lay-up)

- longitudinal and transverse tensile moduli and strength

longitudinal and transverse compressive strength

longitudinal and transverse coefficients of thermal expansion.

2 specimens were to be tested for each property at each of 3 temperatures in the range ambient to  $-196^{\circ}$ C. The precise temperatures subsequently selected were as follows:

120°C, -100 and -196°C.

(longitudinal and transverse directions were defined as being respectively parallel and at right angles to the  $0^{\circ}$  direction of the angle ply).

Angle Ply faced Honeycomb Sandwich

a'

longitudinal and transverse coefficients of thermal expansion

- longitudinal and transverse compressive strengths.

2 specimens were to be tested for each property. The expansion measurements were to be in the range ambient to  $-70^{\circ}$ C and the compressive strength at 3 temperatures in the range ambient to  $-196^{\circ}$ C. The actual temperatures selected were as for the angle-ply specimens.

A full list of the specimen types and numbers is given in Appendix B.

#### 3.2 Specimen Manufacture

3.2.1 <u>Materials</u>

Carbon Fibre

Unidirectional, angle ply and honeycomb faceskin laminates were manufactured from unidirectional carbon fibre prepreg sheet supplied to British Ministry specification NM547.

The exact requirements were as follows:

Fibre type	:	Courtaulds A-S
Resin type	•	Fothergill and Harvey Code 69
Nominal thickness	:	0.127mm at 60% volume fraction
Resin content	:	<b>41</b> ± <b>3</b> %
Flexural strength at 20°C*	:	≥1.5 GN/m <sup>2</sup>
Flexural modulus at 10 <sup>0</sup> C*	•	≥110 GN/m <sup>2</sup>
Interlaminar shear strength at 20 <sup>0</sup> C*	:	≥90 GN/m²
Interlaminar shear strength at 120 <sup>0</sup> C*	:	≥45 GN/m²
Volatile content	:	≤ 1.5%

\* Properties to be achieved from unidirectional laminates manufactured using a platten press according to the following cure cycle: Gel at 160<sup>o</sup>C under contact pressure, cure for 1 hour at 160<sup>o</sup>C under 100 psi, post cure for 3 hours at 170<sup>o</sup>C.

Material was supplied by:

Fothergill and Harvey Ltd., Composite Materials Division, Summit Littleborough, Lancashire OL15 9QP, England.

#### Honeycomb

The honeycomb type used for the sandwich specimens was as follows:

CIBA-Aeroweb Aluminium Honeycomb E142MPS\* x 14 mm thickness.

This material was procured during the SR and T programme from:

CIBA-GEIGY (UK) Limited, Bonded Structures Division, Duxford, Cambridge CB2 4QD, England.

Note this material designation is now defunct, the nearest equivalent specification is  $3.4 - \frac{1}{4} - 15$ .

#### Film Adhesive

The adhesive used for bonding the faceskins to the core for the sandwich specimens was as follows:

CIBA - Redux BSL 312UL

This material was procured from CIBA - Geigy (UK) Limited

#### 3.2.2 Dimensions and Form

3.2.2.1 Longitudinal Tensile Modulus, Unidirectional Material

Specimens were  $150 \text{mm} \times 10 \text{mm} \times 2 \text{mm}$  with 50 mm long aluminium end tabs bonded to either end. The inside edges of these tabs were chamfered to give an angle of  $45^{\circ}$ .

#### 3.2.2.2 Longitudinal Tensile Strength, Unidirectional Material

As above except that the centre portion was reduced in thickness to 1mm, with a radius of 125mm.
3.2.2.3 Transverse Tensile Modulus, Unidirectional Material

Specimens were  $50 \text{mm} \times 10 \text{mm} \times 2 \text{mm}$  with 15 mm long aluminium end tabs bonded to either end. The chamfer angle of the inside edges of the tabs was  $45^{\circ}$ .

3.2.2.4 Transverse Tensile Strength, Unidirectional Material

As in 3.2.2.3 except that the centre portion was reduced in thickness to 1.25mm, with a radius of 125mm.

3.2.2.5 Longitudinal Compressive Strength, Unidirectional Material

Specimens were 48mm x 10mm x 2mm, with the centre portion reduced in thickness to 1.35mm, with a radius of 125mm.

3.2.2.6 Transverse Compressive Strength, Unidirectional Material

As in 3.2.2.5 except that the centre portion was reduced in thickness to 1.6mm, with a radius of 125mm.

3.2.2.7 Shear Strength and Modulus, Unidirectional Material

Specimens were 150mm x 6.5mm with the centre 100mm turned down to a diameter of 6mm, with a 5mm radius at the shoulders.

3.2.2.8 <u>Longitudinal and Transverse Thermal Expansion Coefficients</u>, <u>Unidirectional Material</u>

Specimens were 20mm x 7.5mm x 1mm.

3.2.2.9 <u>Longitudinal and Transverse Tensile Modulus and Strength</u>, Angle-Ply Material

Specimens were 150mm x 35mm x 1.5mm with aluminium end tabs  $35mm \times 40mm$  bonded to either end. The inside end chamfer angle was  $45^{\circ}$ . The specimen width was reduced to 25mm, the shoulder radius being 75mm.

3.2.2.10 Longitudinal and Transverse Compressive Strength, Angle-Ply Material

Specimens were 48mm x 10mm x 2.25mm, with the centre portion reduced in thickness to 1.6mm, with a radius of 125mm.

26

3.2.2.11 Longitudinal and Transverse Coefficient of Thermal Expansion, Angle-Ply Material

Specimens were 20mm x 7.5mm x 2.25mm.

# 3.2.2.12 <u>Longitudinal and Transverse Compressive Strength, Sandwich</u> <u>Material</u>

Specimens were 70mm x 20mm x 14.76mm. The skins were each normally 0.38mm thick, and the aluminium honeycomb 14mm deep.

### 3.2.2.13 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion, Sandwich Material

Specimens were 10mm x 100mm x 14.76mm. Other details as in 3.2.2.12.

## 3.2.2.14 Flexural Creep Test Specimens, Unidirectional Material

Specimens were 155mm x 10mm x 2mm.

3.2.2.15 All dimensions quoted are nominal and in calculating the results of a given test the actual dimensions of the specimens were used. The geometry of thetest samples used in Sections 3.2.2.4, 3.2.2.5 and 3.2.2.6 was as specified by (10). The longitudinal tensile strength test pieces employed were shorter and with a smaller waisting radius of curvature than recommended by (10), because of the machining facilities available. The shear modulus and strength test piece has been successfully used before by (11). The design of the angle-ply and skinned honeycomb samples were based on the experience of BAe, Stevenage and AERE, Harwell, in testing these materials.

#### 3.2.3 Method of Manufacture

#### Unidirectional and Angle Ply Laminates

Specimens were cut using a Dessouter oscillating circular saw from larger press moulded plates. These plates were layed up and moulded using material as specified in para. 3.2.1 in accordance with BAe specification PSS/GP/50039. Special tools were used as shown in Figures 12 and 13.

Where applicable, aluminium doubler plates were bonded on following cutting to size, using CIBA Redux BSL 312 in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction sheet No. RTA 312a. A special jig was devised to ensure correct alignment during cure.

#### Honeycomb Specimens

Specimens were cut from 260mm x 260mm panels using a Dessouter oscillating circular saw. The panels were manufactured in accordance with BAe specification PSS/GP/50074 using CFRP plates manufactured as above, adhesive in accordance with para. 3.2.1 and aluminium honeycomb in accordance with para. 3.2.1. The 'Vaccum Bag' technique was used.





## Test Methods

#### 3.3.1 Lo

3.3

# Longitudinal and Transverse Moduli and Strengths

Tensile measurements were made by direct pulling of the specimens between the test machine jaws. A tensometer was used to determine moduli. Flexural measurements were made by using a 3 point loading rig using span to depth ratios of 25 : 1 and 16 : 1 for modulus and strength respectively. Exact methods were as specified in (12).

Tests were carried out using a 10,000kg floor model Instron testing machine as shown in Figure 14.

Low temperatures were obtained by surrounding the specimen with a coil of copper tubing through which cooled nitrogen gas or liquid nitrogen was circulated. See Figure 15. For measurements at 77°K the gas was blown directly over the specimen. Temperatures were monitored with thermocouples attached to the specimens, the time for the bulk of the specimen to achieve the temperature having been determined previously by inserting a thermocouple into the centre of the carbon fibre composite. Low temperature strain gauges were employed for modulus measurements.' The temperature response of these when unloaded was determined in a separate set of experiments.

# 3.3.2 Longitudinal and Transverse Compressive Strengths

Steel end caps were used to measure specimen compressive strengths. These were 35mm long, 15mm deep and 10mm wide, with a central slot 20mm deep and parallel to the long axis, into which the specimen was bonded. The ends of the caps and specimens were machined square so that off-axis stressing was minimised.

Test machine and temperature control were as per para. 3.3.1.

# 3.3.3 Torsional Test

Shear properties were determined in torsion using a rig and technique as 'described by (13).

Temperature control was as per para. 3.3.1.

## 3.3.4 Coefficient of Thermal Expansion

Measurements of the coefficient of thermal expansion of unidirectional and angle-ply materials were made using a Perkins Elmer dilatometer. Each specimen was cooled to liquid nitrogen temperature and allowed to stabilise. The temperature was then raised at a rate of 1.25°K/ minute and the resulting change in length recorded. The silica correction was applied and the apparatus standardised using copper.



LEST MACHINE USED TO CARRY OUT SPECIMEN TESTS



(Note that specimen was only a dummy)

To determine the expansion characteristics of the honeycomb core sandwich panels, the method used by (14) was employed.

## 3.3.5 Flexural Creep

3.4

See Para. 3.4.4.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The results of the mechanical tests are shown in Figures 16 to 30. Thermal expansion data for the unidirectional and angle-ply material is given in Figures 31 to 34 and for the honeycomb samples in Figure 35. Results are the mean of two readings and the error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

# 3.4.1 Mechanical Properties of Unidirectional Material

The longitudinal and transverse tensile moduli and strengths for unidirectional material are shown, as a function of temperature, in Figures 16 and 17. The longitudinal modulus increases initially and then remains steady below 173°K. The transverse modulus, being primarily determined by the fibre/resin bond and nature of the matrix is much smaller and decreases below 173°K. The transverse strength is constant over the entire temperature range, but the longitudinal strength after rising initially shows considerable variation. For both types of test failure occurred in the gauge length, but the longitudinal samples showed extensive delamination through the thickness of the specimen, often extending back into the material under the end tabs. This could account for some of the spread in the results noted in Figure 17.

Longitudinal and transverse compressive strengths are shown in Figure 18. These properties were difficult to measure at sub-zero temperatures because the recommended test jig, (14), proved to be too massive to be cooled satisfactorily, and an alternative had to be employed. The transverse compressive strength is low but remains reasonably constant with falling temperature. Longitudinal compressive strengths are less than the corresponding tensile values, there is a large spread, and also a considerable drop at lower temperatures. Failure usually occurred in the gauge length, often, for longitudinal samples, with localised delamination between plies. Some of the specimens were found to have a void content approaching 2.5% by volume and it has been noted, (14), that this leads to reduced compressive properties.

The shear modulus, strength and angular deflection at failure are shown in Figures 19 to 21. The modulus increases with decreasing temperature indicating an increase in the resin modulus since the fibre properties are not affected by low temperatures, (15).

ï

The increase in resin modulus required to cause this change can be calculated using the appropriate Halpin-Tsai equation, (16). Assuming that the shear modulus of A-S carbon fibre is 24 GPa, (17), and that the fibre volume loading is 60%, the resin shear modulus must increase from 1.2 to 1.7 GPa to cause the observed change in composite shear modulus.

It is reasonable to expect that as the resin gets stiffer its shear strength will increase and strain at failure decrease, trends shown in Figures 20 and 21. As the torque deflection characteristic is not linear to failure it is not possible to relate shear strength and shear failure strain directly. All the torsional specimens showed longitudinal surface cracking after failure, and sectioning and polishing revealed severe cracking in specimens tested at 293, 123 and 77°K. Photographs of the cracks are shown in Figures 22 to 24. In each case the crack starts at the surface and runs for several millimetres into the specimen often linking up with voids that tended to accumulate between layers of prepreg. The photographs also provide a good indication of the fibre distribution in the specimens.

## 3.4.2 <u>Mechanical Properties of Angle-ply Material</u>

The influence of temperature on the longitudinal and transverse tensile moduli and strengths, the compressive strengths and Poisson's ratios of 0, 60, 120° balanced, angle-ply laminates are shown in Figures 25 to 28. Allowing for the errors involved, tensile propperties and Poisson's ratio are similar in either direction, and show no significant change with temperatures. Compressive properties show more scatter and a possibly significant difference at 77°K. In the tensile tests most specimens failed in the gauge length, approximately equal numbers breaking straight across and at an angle of 60° to the long axis. It was not possible to correlate the mode of failure with either temperature or strength. In compression several specimens failed at the shoulder but most suffered severe delamination of the outer plies, within the gauge length. These compressive specimens were waisted in depth. If this operation was not carried out so as to leave an equal distribution of material about the centre plane in every case, variation is to be expected among the results.

It is possible to calculate the elastic constants of the balanced angleply material in terms of those of the unidirectional material, assuming that all the plies making up the laminate are strained equally, (12). Values for the longitudinal and transverse tensile moduli and shear modulus of the unidirectional material at temperatures of 293, 173 and 77°K have been taken from the previous experimental results in Figures 16 to 19. It is assumed that the principal Poisson's ration,  $\nu_{12}$ , of the unidirectional composite, is

0.3 and that it remains constant over the temperature range considered. From the relation:

34

 $v_{21}E_{11} = v_{12}E_{22}$ 

where  $E_{11}$  and  $E_{22}$  are the longitudinal and transverse tensile moduli,  $v_{21}$  is found to be 0.026 at 293, 0.025 at 173, and 0.0156 at 77°K. The reduced stiffnesses ( $Q_{11}$ ,  $Q_{22}$ ,  $Q_{12}$ ,  $Q_{66}$ ) can now be calculated and hence the elastic constants  $(A_{11}, A_{22}, A_{12})$ , moduli and Poisson's ratios (E<sub>11</sub>, E<sub>22</sub>,  $\nu_{12}$ ,  $\nu_{21}$ ) of the 0, 60, 120<sup>o</sup> balanced angle-ply laminate derived. For full details reference 16, should be consulted. The results of these calculations are listed in Table 4. The moduli and Poisson's ratios in the two directions are equal. The agreement between calculated and experimental tensile moduli is good at 173<sup>o</sup>K. Above and below this temperature calculated values are rather less than observed ones. Agreement between calculated and observed Poisson's ratios is good at 293 and 173°K, but the calculated value is low at 77<sup>o</sup>K. Errors in the data obtained on the unidirectional material will carry over and affect calculated values for the angle-ply laminates, and this together with the assumption concerning the major Poisson's ratio of the unidirectional composite and its constancy with changing temperature would contribute to the discrepancies mentioned.

The calculation of the failure strength of an angle-ply laminate is more difficult, (16). However a simple estimation can be made by assuming that each ply contributes proportionately to the overall Take the room temperature strength and strain properties strength. of unidirectional material to be 1450, 55 and 80 MPa, and 0.014, 0.006 and 0.024, for the longitudinal, transverse and shear strengths and strains respectively. Using the stress transformation equations developed in (18) and assuming each ply contributes fully to the overall strength, the longitudinal and transverse strengths of the angleply laminate are both equal to 752 MPa, compared with a measured value of 400 MPa. Compressive properties can be calculated in a similar manner. A more rigorous approach based on the work of (19) and incorporated in an in-house developed BAe Computer Programme gave values of 300 MPa and 240 MPa in the 0<sup>o</sup> and 90<sup>o</sup> directions respectively which agree more closely with the measured value.

A calculation of the strains in the 60 and  $120^{\circ}$  laminates shows that these should fail before the  $0^{\circ}$  ply. The effect of a ply failure at an intermediate stress and the resultant stress redistribution might cause stress transients and overloading effects that could help account for the differences between calculated and measured values.

··· (1)

#### 3.4.3 Mechanical Properties of Sandwich Material

The longitudinal and transverse compressive strengths of the aluminium honeycomb/carbon fibre composite skin, sandwich panels is shown in Figure 29. In both cases the skins and core were loaded in parallel, the difference being that in the longitudinal case the distance between the loading faces was 60mm while for the other case it was 10mm. The results for the two types of specimen are similar and virtually independent of temperature. Numerical results have, in either instance, been calculated on the overall dimensions of the samples. The stress at failure in the carbon fibre skins was of the order of 100 to 150 MPa. Failed longitudinal specimens exhibited skin buckling and sometimes shear failure at one end and delamination between skin and core. Transverse ones showed core and skin buckling and sometimes shear damage in the skins.

#### 3.4.4 Flexural Creep

To investigate flexural creep specimens were loaded to 500N (75% of the failure load) in an Instron testing machine and allowed to re-The tensile strain on the lower surface main thus for 100 hours. was monitored with a strain gauge. After the first few minutes, very little change in strain or applied load was noted and virtually no creep occurred. At the end of the 100 hour period the flexural strength and modulus of the specimens were measured at room The results, with readings on unstressed specimens, temperature. are shown in Figure 30. The flexural strength is substantially constant and independent of prestressing, but the modulus shows a marked fall off when stressed at 173 or 77°K. Since only two specimens were tested at any one temperature this difference could be associated with, for instance, a higher fibre volume loading, rather than creep damage. No specimens showed any visual evidence of damage after stressing in the creep rig.

# 3.4.5 Thermal Expansion Properties

Typical curves relating the fractional change in length of a specimen with the change in temperature are shown in Figures 31 and 32. The former is for unidirectional material in the longitudinal direction and the latter for an angle-ply specimen in the transverse direction. In most cases the curves were as shown in Figure 31, but in two cases for angle-ply material tested in the transverse direction, a marked decrease in slope occurs, as shown in Figure 32, this result was repeatable. It appears as if the specimens started to bend at about 200°K rather than simply change in length, and it was suggested that this might be due to an excess of fibre on one side. Sectioning and polishing did not support this conjecture; the carbon fibre and ply distribution was even and very few voids were present. The effect is presumably due to coupling between layers, because of differences in bonding, which did not show up in the optical examination.

1.2

i , the second secon		·· . ·			
		293 <sup>0</sup> K	173 <sup>0</sup> K	77°K	
Unidirectional	Q <sub>11</sub> (GPa)	105.8	120.9	116.5	
Material	Q <sub>22</sub> (GPa)	9.01	10.07	6.03	
	Q <sub>12</sub> (GPa)	2.72	3.02	1.81	
	Q <sub>66</sub> (GPa)	3.6	4.6	5.6	
	Q <sub>16</sub> =Q <sub>26</sub> (GPa)	0	0	0	
Angle-Ply	A <sub>11</sub> (GPa)	45.6	52.27	50.26	
Material	A <sub>22</sub> (GPa)	45.6	52.27	50.26	
	A <sub>12</sub> (GPa)	15.69	16.33	13.87	
Elastic Moduli of Angle-Ply Material	E <sub>11</sub> (GPa)	40.2	47.2	46.4	
	E <sub>22</sub> (GPa)	40.2	47.2	46.4	
	v <sub>12</sub>	0.34	0.31	0.28	
	ν <sub>21</sub>	0.34	0.31	0.28	

# TABLE 4

Calculated Elastic Constants for a 0, 60, 120° Angle-Ply Laminate

The coefficients of the thermal expansion (CTE) derived from the fractional length change curves are shown as a function of temperature in Figures 33 and 34. For unidirectional specimens along the fibre direction the CTE is small and virtually constant with decreasing temperature, but in the transverse direction where the resin is dominant, the CTE is much larger and increases rapidly with rising temperature. Results for the angle-ply material are similar in both the principal directions and of the same magnitude as the longitudinal results for the unidirectional material. The individual curves for nominally similar specimens give an indication of the variation in CTE to be expected. Calculated CTEs, (16), are listed in Table 5. They are the same for both longitudinal and transverse directions. The values, though of the same order of magnitude as those measured, are rather higher, with a maximum at 173°K. They were obtained using reduced stiffness data from Table 4 and experimentally determined CTEs for the unidirectional material. The discrepancies between calculated and measured results no doubt reflect errors in the experimental data for the unidirectional specimens.

#### TABLE 5

# Calculated Thermal Expansion Coefficients of 0, 60, 120° Angle-Ply Laminate

	293 <sup>0</sup> K	173 <sup>0</sup> K	77 <sup>0</sup> K
CTE <sup>o</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	2.93 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.82 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	3.1 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>

The change in length of sandwich panels, at right angles to the long glue line in the honeycomb, is shown in Figure 35. Similar results were noted along the glue line and for another sandwich sample. Each point is the average of three readings. For either direction, and sample, the CTE was constant over the temperature range 200 to  $300^{\circ}$ K. Individual values are listed in Table 6. Previously, with this type of specimen, it was noted, (13), that the CTE along the long glue line is greater than at right angles to it by a factor of about 2, because of the preferential expansion of the aluminium. In this work there is no clear distinction between the two cases. It appears that the skins completely suppress the effects of its expansion on those of the overall sandwich panel. In some ways this is surprising as a careful examination revealed that the fibre layer immediately adjacent to the honeycomb was at right angles to the long glue line, that is in the worst direction to resist expansion of the core.

#### TABLE 6

## Measured Thermal Expansion Coefficients For Sandwich Specimens

	Temp range <sup>O</sup> K	Along long glue line	Transverse to long glue line
CTE <sup>0</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	203-303 (Specimen 1)	3.82 + 0.13 - 0.21	$3.30^{+0.16}_{-0.11}$
	203-303 (Specimen 2)	2.89 <sup>+0.23</sup> -0.12	3.82 <sup>+0.13</sup> -0.11

#### 3.5

#### Conclusions From Specimen Testing

A variety of thermal and mechanical properties of unidirectional, balanced 0, 60, 120° angle-ply laminate, and sandwich specimens have been determined over the temperature range 77 to 293°K. Moduli tended to increase with falling temperature, but the behaviour of strength, particularly compression strength, was more erratic. The thermal expansion of unidirectional material parallel to the long axis was constant, while perpendicular to this direction the CTE increased with increasing temperature. For angle-ply specimens there was a small increase in CTE with decreasing temperature. The CTEs for sandwich specimens were similar in directions along and at right angles to the long glue line in the honeycomb.

Calculated modulus, strength and CTE values for the angle-ply material tended to be higher than the measured values, particularly for strength. This would be expected since the theoretical values assume a perfect transformation of the unidirectional properties which can never be fully realised in practice.

It has been BAe's experience with modulus values that providing good unidirectional data is used (for example, not information presented in Manufacturers' Data Sheets) then classical theory gives an accurate prediction of angle-ply performance. Furthermore, the magnitude of the discrepancies provides a good indication of the quality of the laminate.

Larger discrepancies that have been found for the strength values are explained as in para. 2.1.3 and can be traced to the failure criteria assumed by the theory.

# Selection of Material Inputs for Model

The main aim of the specimen test programme was to provide accurate material data for the mathematical model. The analysis as finally envisaged would use only unidirectional properties, generating angle-ply predictions for the model as shown below.



This would allow complete felxibility of the chosen lay-up. As has been demonstrated, however, by the test programme there are errors associated with angle-ply predictions and so the first consideration was the direct input of actual angle-ply data obtained from the testing.

The mathematical model requires the following material properties for the carbon fibre panel elements.

EX	=	longitudinal tensile modulus
EY	. =	transverse tensile modulus
G	-	shear modulus
α <sub>XC</sub>	=	longitudinal CTE for carbon fibre alone
α <sub>YC</sub>		transverse CTE for carbon fibre alone
$\nu_{\rm XY}$		Poisson's Ratio X> Y
$v_{\rm YX}$		Poisson's Ratio Y>X
$\alpha_{\rm XH}$		longitudinal CTE for CFRP faced honeycomb
α <sub>YH</sub>	22	transverse CTE for CFRP faced honeycomb

 $\mathbf{40}$ 

3.6

For the particular finite element package used for this Study the properties were required to be orthotropic and it has been proved by theory and test that for the 0,  $60^{\circ}$ ,  $120^{\circ}$  lay up this is the case.

Hence 
$$E_X = E_Y = E$$
  
 $\alpha_{XC} = \alpha_{YC} = \alpha_C$   $\alpha_{XH} = \alpha_{YH} = \alpha_H$   
 $\nu_{XY} = \nu_{YZ} = \nu$ 

As was discussed in para. 2.1.2 it was decided to run the model through a series of temperature decrements using average material properties obtained from the test programme for corresponding decrements.

The chosen decrement was 50°C and from Figures 25, 28, 34 and 35 the following average properties can be derived:

Temperature Range <sup>o</sup> C	* GN/m <sup>2</sup>	α <sub>C</sub> * x 10 <sup>-6</sup> / <sup>0</sup> C	α <sub>H</sub> ** x 10 <sup>-6</sup> /°C	ν*	G *** GN/m²
2 <b>0→</b> -30	.49	1.5	3.5	0.34	16.0
<b>-30 →</b> -80	48	1.8	3.5	0.33	16.8
-80	49	1.9	3.5	0.32	17.6
<b>-130→</b> -180	53	2.4	3.5	0.32	17.7
Average	50	1.9	3.5	0.33	17.0

# TABLE 7

For a property M, from the relevant graph

**M** = ţ

Y

$$\frac{M_{Xt2} + M_{Xt1} + M_{Yt2} + M_{Yt1}}{4}$$

- where
- longitudinal direction X <sup>†</sup> ≕
  - transverse direction =
- = lower temperature bound t1 t2
  - = upper temperature bound

Honeycomb expansion coefficient was found to constant. These values are average of those given in Table 6.

\*\*

\*\*\*

Shear moduli from Figure 9 were used in conjunction with values of E and  $\nu$  to give by computer analysis, these predicted values. See Figure 19B.

It can be seen from the table that the changes in property with temperature are very small and would not account for the large discrepancies observed during the SR and T programme. It was therefore decided, in view of the high costs involved with multiple computer runs, to use the average values given at the foot of Table 7.

Section 6 of this report details the findings of the parallel practical tests and Section 7 compares the results obtained from the model, using the above input parameters, with these test results.

42

Υ











GRAPH OF PREDICTED ANGLE PLY SHEAR MODULUS AGAINST TEMPERATURE FIG. 19 B





MICROGRAPH SHOWING CRACKING AND FIBRE DISTRIBUTION IN SHEAR SPECIMEN

50

# MICROGRAPH SHOWING CRACKING AND FIBRE DISTRIBUTION IN SHEAR SPECIMEN



MCROGRAPH SHOWING CRACKING AND FIBRE DISTRIBUTION IN SHEAR SPECIMEN







. 55
















# FULL PANEL TEST

### Introduction

4.

4.1

4.2

4.2.1

The full panel test was a re-run of the SR and T programme thermal test as detailed in the BAe document HSD TP 7553. The same aluminium test frame and a similar carbon fibre panel were used and subjected to the same basic test procedure.

The objects of the test were as follows:

- To improve the test arrangement and test procedure in such a way as to minimise differences between the practical situation and the basic theoretical assumptions used by the model. This included such things as fixity and temperature homogeneity.
  - To increase confidence in the measured values by improved instrumentation and transducers.
- With increased confidence in the experimental values, as above, to feed back actual behaviour and if necessary apply detail modifications to the theory.

An outline of the improvements to the test arrangement and instrumentation that were proposed and finally used is given in para. 2.2, this section gives a full account of the resulting panel performance.

Panel Manufacture (Reference Figure 36)

The carbon fibre faced honeycomb panel was manufactured to BAe drawing No. TL 22 109 Basic 01 using the following materials and processes.

# Panel Materials

- Carbon Fibre Prepreg in accordance with para. 3.2.1.
  - Aluminium honeycomb in accordance with para. 3.2.1;
  - Film adhesive in accordance with para. 3.2.1.
- Foam filler adhesive REDUX BSL 212 manufactured by
  - CIBA-GEIGY Limited.
- Titanium reinforcing washers (6A1-4V).



# CTRP PANEL

ł

FIG. 36

### 4.2.2 Processes

See (20) Section 3 for process development.

### Faceskins and Z-Section Edge Members

These were press moulded, from the carbon fibre prepreg material, in accordance with BAe specification PSS/GP/50039. The faceskins were moulded between flat caul sheets and the edge members were moulded using a special tool shown in Figure 37.

Faceskins were trimmed to size using a guillotine and edge members were mitred using a 'Dessouter' oscillating circular saw.

#### Panel Fabrication

Faceskins, core and edgemember were bonded together in one operation using the vacuum bag technique in accordance with BAe specification PSS/GP/50074.

### **Reinforcing Washer Application**

The reinforcing washers were fitted as a separate operation. The titanium was dry blasted to BAe specification DH 215/2 prior to bonding and undersize holes and fixings were used to clamp the mating parts before curing at a temperature of  $120 \pm 5^{\circ}C$  for 30 minutes.

#### Hole Finishing

The fixing holes were drilled and reamed to 6 + 0.018 mm in conjunction with the test frame.

### <u>NDT</u>

4.3

An acoustic bond tester (see Figure 38) was used to detect any disbonds following final finishing. The result of the scan is shown in Figure 39 and indicates the possibility of poor bonding at the extreme corners. The magnitude of the effect was not sufficient to cause concern.

ì

### Test Equipment

The following test equipment was utilised:

(a) Aluminium test frame, representative of a large spacecraft structure, to BAe drawing No. MST 13594.

(b) Test oven to BAe drawing No. MST 13607.







RESULTS OF NDT SCANS. THERE WAS NO DETECTABLE CHANGE AFTER TESTING.

69

FIG.39

- (c) LN Dewer with an electric pump.
- (d) 200 channel data logger and printer.
- (e) Chromel/Alumel (T1.T2) thermocouples positioned as shown in Figure 40.
- (f) Strain gauges in accordance with para. 2.2.2 and positioned as shown in Figure 40.
- (g) Dial Test Indicators capable of detecting movements of 0.001 inch.
- (h) Dial Test Indicator support frame to BAe drawing No. MST 13608.

#### Test Procedure

4.4

Testing was in accordance with BAe specification DTP/GP/50047Issue 2 (Appendix C).

### 4.4.1 Deviations from Planned Procedure

The following deviations were made from the planned procedure:

- (a) Para. 2.3.3.2 additional thermocouples were installed on the DTI support frame.
- (b) Para. 3.1.1.1(d) ambient temperature was taken as the prevailing ambient (nominally 20°C) at the start and end of each test run.
- Para. 3.1.1.2(h) the ambient to -75°C thermal cycle was not performed due to timescale limitations. However, transitory -75° DTI and strain gauge readings were taken during the ambient to -100°C cycle.
- (d) Para. 3.1.1.2(m) initial ambient to minimum achievable temperature thermal cycle was abandoned due to a breakdown of insulation in the test box. The test run was repeated after re-insulation of the box.
- (e) For the duration of tests 1-4 inclusive, a sample piece of CFRP angle-ply laminate 0.07 inches thick x 2 inches x 1.5 inches with carbon fibres orientated at angles 0°, 60° and 120° and instrumented with one strain gauge rosette and a thermocouple, was tested in conjunction with the test panel and frame.



For the duration of test 7 the above specimen together with additional specimens of CFRP/honeycomb composite (identical to test panel lay-up) and L59 aluminium (test frame material) were instrumented with rosette and single strain gauges respectively (one each side of specimen) and thermocouples, and tested in conjunction with the panel and frame assembly.

### 4.5 <u>Test Facilities</u>

(f)

The test was performed at BAe Stevenage in the Stage 3 Development Test Area.

A 240V 50Hz power supply was utilised to run the Data Logger and printer facility and for operation of the LN pump.

### 4.6 <u>Test Details</u>

### 4.6.1 <u>Preparation Activities</u>

The panel and test frame were instrumented in accordance with the procedure except where stated in Section 4.4.1. (Figure 40 shows the relative positions of the strain gauges and thermocouples). A pre-test inspection of the test frame and panel was carried out.

Several calibration runs were performed prior to the initial cold cycle in order to verify the performance of the test equipment.

### 4. 6. 2 Panel Thermal Testing

Two thermal cycles from ambient to  $-150^{\circ}$ C and return (tests 1 and 2) were carried out with the panel free (i.e. decoupled from the test frame). In each case the panel temperature was stabilised at ambient and then at approximately  $0^{\circ}$ C,  $-30^{\circ}$ C,  $-60^{\circ}$ C,  $-90^{\circ}$ C,  $-120^{\circ}$ C and  $-150^{\circ}$ . Thermocouple, strain gauge and DTI readings were taken at each of these increments. The panel and frame were then allowed to return to ambient taking readings at approximately the same incremental panel temperatures. The panel was attached to the test frame in accordance with the test procedure and the assembly installed in the test chamber.

At the start of each test run the DTI's were zeroed and the ambient thermocouple and strain gauge readings were taken.

The panel and test frame, in the coupled condition, were subjected to five thermal cycles, designated tests 3-7. DTI, thermocouple and strain gauge readings were taken at each planned cycle temperature and on return to ambient.

Test 3 consisted of ambient to  $-25^{\circ}C$  (nominal panel temperature) and return to ambient.

Test 4 consisted of ambient to -50 °C (nominal panel temperature) and return to ambient.

Test 5 consisted of ambient to  $-100^{\circ}$ C (nominal panel temperature), with additional DTI, thermocouple and strain gauge readings being taken at a nominal panel temperature of  $-75^{\circ}$ C, and return to ambient (see para. 4.4.1(c)).

Test 6 was planned as ambient to cryogenic temperatures but due to a failure of the test box insulation the minimum achieved panel temperature was approximately  $-40^{\circ}$ C. DTI, thermocouple and strain gauge readings were taken at this temperature and the assembly then allowed to return to ambient (see para. 4.4.1(d)).

Test 7 was a re-run of test 6 with a minimum achieved panel temperature in this case of  $-196^{\circ}$ C. The assembly was allowed to return to ambient and the panel visually inspected for damage following test completion.

Discussion of Results

4.7

Preliminary analysis of the DTI, thermocouple and strain gauge readings for tests 1-7 yielded the following data:

During thermal cycling the relatively large thermal mass of the test frame compared with the panel tended to cause the frame to 'over-run' at temperatures below -30 °C. This resulted in a significant mis-match of frame/panel temperatures when the panel was 'on-condition' for the cycle. It was also found necessary to allow some intermittent circulation of cold nitrogen in order to maintain panel stabilisation, and this further increased temperature differentials.

This effect appeared to worsen when the panel was coupled to the frame, possibly due to the improved thermal contact between the panel and the test frame causing earlier equalising of panel and frame temperatures.

# 4.7.1 Panel Free Condition

### 4.7.1.1 DTI Results

Positive value DTI readings were obtained for the panel 'free' case (test 2) indicating an apparent increase in the panel dimensions.

Investigation, however, showed that these readings were biased due to contraction of the DTI support frame, the rate of contraction being greater than that of the panel. The resultant movement of the DTI lever arm pivots relative to the panel edges caused an amplified (2 to 1) compression of the DTI probes with a net positive reading. The actual decrease in panel dimensions for each measured temperature change of the panel, with respect to ambient, was determined by calculating the amount of contraction of the DTI frame for the measured panel temperature (the corresponding DTI frame temperatures were measured for each panel temperature increment) and adding it to the compound DTI reading, carrying all signs. (See Figure 41).

The strains due to thermal effects on the panel along major (X) and minor (Y) axes were then determined for each panel temperature increment (see Figure 41) with the following results:-

(i) Contraction of the panel along its major axis resulted in a maximum calculated strain value of  $-1833 \times 10^{-6}$  at an average panel temperature of  $-135^{\circ}$ C giving a thermal coefficient of expansion of 11.83  $\times 10^{-6}/{^{\circ}}$ C.

The average coefficient of expansion of the panel along the major axis from the dial test indicators was calculated to be 7.92 x  $10^{-6}/^{\circ}C$ .

(ii) Contraction of the panel along its minor axis resulted in a calculated strain value of  $1710 \times 10^{-6}$  at an average panel temperature of  $-82^{\circ}C^{\circ}$  giving a thermal coefficient of expansion of 16.76 x  $10^{-6}/{^{\circ}C}$ .

The average coefficient of expansion of the panel along the minor axis from the dial test indicators was calculated to be  $11 \times 10^{-6}/{}^{\circ}C$ .

\* The maximum calculated strain value of  $-3874 \times 10^{-6}$  at  $-135^{\circ}$ C was suspect since there was some evidence of ice formation on the minor axis lever arm pivots at this temperature.

15 810

PANEL TEMP.	DTI FRAME TEMP DHA	ΔCx Frame FOR Δtx DTI Ross 2	Alx Parts + Falme From S DTI READER 2	Alx PANEL- BY SLIM DTI RENS 2	STORINS VALUE Ex X 10°G DTI ROSWE 2	DTI FRAME TEMP DIFF	Aly Forme FOR Aty DTIPES 6	ACY ANNEL + FRAME FROM DTI KLASS.6	Aly Annel By SUM DTI REN 6.	STRAINI VALL EY X 10 <sup>-6</sup> DTI POIN 6
	Ligen .	3	3	5 - Anus	- 206					
+0.2	- 5.6	00/*	.0022		- 188	- 5.7	-0047*	·0003*	- 0044	- 3/2
	- 5.4	007"	· @22"	0043"	-206					
-30.4	-14.5	- 018"	· 0079*	0101"	- 433	-15.2	01264	• 0611 *	- 01154	- 816
	-13.9	- 0173"	· 00764	0097	-415					
	-14-6	- 0181"	- 9079 "	9102"	-437					
- 59.6	-23.0	0286"	·0159*	0127*	- 544		02084	.0026	- 01824	-1291
	-21.8	- 0271*	· 0133*		- 591	-25.1				
	- 22.6	0281 "	· 0/39*	0142	-608					+
- 81.9	- 320	0397'	-0210	0187	-801		0288	· 0047*	0241*	-1710
	-29.8	0370	· 8/90*	0180	-771	-34.8				
	-31.8	0395	.020"	0/95	- 835		+		+	
-/15.8	-56.2	0698*	• 0335"	- 0363	- 1555	-62.9	052/*	• 0031*	049	-3477
	3-52-1	- 0647*	· 0269		-1619					
	-55.5	- 0685	• 03/4	- 220	1 1392		-			
-134.6	-64.0	0795*	.0410	- 0525	4 - 1033	- 72.0	00596	· 005*	0546	-3874
	6-59.4		· 0310	038	3-1641					
	- 65.0	- 02744	0235	0043	- 184					
-90.5	-20-	02684	. 0240	# -· 0028	- 120	- 22:	60187*	• 0095	9092	- 653
	-22.	30277	" . 0245	0082	" - 137					
-28.5	- 9.7	012	. 002	010"	- 428	-9.2	- • 0076	• • <del>00</del> 8*	+ • 9004	-+28
	5-9.3	0116	. 003	0086	1 - 368					
	-9.6	01/9	* · e0/9	• 010*	- 428					
-5.0	-2.8	0035	" · 001 "	0025	- 107	- 2.4	L -• 002*	·0005*	- • • • • 25	-163
	0-3.7	004	· 0014	6032	2" - 137					
	- 3.8	3 004	7" · 001 "	005	- 158					

# PANEL FREE (TEST 2)

FIG.41

### 4.7.1.2 Strain Gauge Results

The 18 strain gauges mounted on the panel were of the self-temperature-compensated type but matched to the expansion coefficient of aluminium. There was therefore an S-T-C mismatch, resulting in the apparent strain output of the gauges being shifted clockwise about the zero strain point. See Figure 9.

The (apparent) strain outputs of three selected gauges on the panel centre line for test 2 are shown in Figure 42.

The corresponding coefficients of expansion are determined from the difference between the strain curves of Figure 42 and the manufacturer's curve of Figure 43 as follows:-

Since 
$$\epsilon_{APP CF} = \epsilon_{APPAL} - (\alpha_{AL} - \alpha_{CF}) \cdot \Delta^{T}$$

and from Figures 42 and 43 for panel major axis

$$\mu \epsilon_{ADDCF} - \mu \epsilon_{ADDAT} = \Delta \mu \epsilon = \Delta \mu \epsilon$$

then for gauge 7 in the X direction

 $\Delta \mu \epsilon x(T) = 1750 + 700 = 2450$  at  $-135^{\circ}C$  (average panel temperature)

and, assuming the coefficient of expansion for the S-T-C match to be  $23.2 \times 10^{-6}/{}^{\circ}C$ 

 $2450 = (23.2 - \alpha_{\rm p}) \cdot 155$ giving  $\alpha_{\rm PX(T)} = \frac{7.39 \times 10^{-6}/{}^{\rm o}{\rm C}}{}$ 

Similarly for gauge 13 in the X direction

 $\Delta \mu \epsilon x(13) = 2450$  at  $-135^{\circ}C$  (average panel temperature)

giving 
$$\alpha_{PX(13)} = \frac{7.39 \times 10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C}{2}$$

For gauge 18 in the X direction

 $\Delta \mu \in x(18) = 1410 + 700 = 2110 \text{ at } -135^{\circ}C \text{ (average panel temperature)}$ giving  $\alpha_{PX(18)} = 9.59 \times 10^{-6}/{^{\circ}C}$ 





from Figures 42 and 43 for panel minor axis

$$\mu \in \frac{\mu}{\text{APPCF}} = \mu \in \frac{\mu}{\text{APPAL}} = \Delta \mu \in Y = \Delta \mu (\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^3 - \epsilon^1)$$

then for gauge 7 in the Y direction

$$\Delta \mu \in Y(T) = (1790 + 1665 + 1750) + 700 = 2405 \text{ at } -135^{\circ}C$$
  
(average panel temperature)

giving 
$$\alpha PY(7) = \frac{7.68 \times 10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C}{10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C}$$

for gauge 13 in the Y direction

 $\Delta \mu \in Y(13) = (1730 + 1610 - 1750) + 700 = 2290 \text{ at } -135^{\circ}C$ (average panel temperature)

giving  $\alpha PY(13) = 8.43 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}C$ 

for gauge 18 in the Y direction

 $\Delta \mu \in Y(18) = (1260 + 1325 - 1410) + 700 = 1875 \text{ at } -135^{\circ}C$ (average panel temperature)

giving  $\alpha_{PY(18)} = \frac{11.0 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}C}{11.0 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}C}$ 

 $\alpha_X$  and  $\alpha_Y$  were calculated from the output of each strain gauge at six (decreasing) incremental panel temperatures. The expansion coefficients were then averaged out for panel top and bottom faces as follows:

$$\alpha_{\text{XPAVE}}^{(\text{top face})} = 7.79 \times 10^{-6} / {}^{\circ}\text{C};$$

 $\alpha_{\text{YPAVE}}$ (top face) = 10.5 x 10<sup>-6</sup>/°C

 $\alpha_{\rm XPAVE}$  (bottom face) = 6.56 x 10<sup>-6</sup>/  $^{\rm O}$ C;

 $\alpha_{\rm YPAVE}$  (bottom face) - 10.19 x 10<sup>-6</sup>/°C

The outputs from the single gauges mounted around the edge of the panel (on the edge member) averaged out over six decreasing temperature increments produced the following  $\alpha$  values:-

$$\alpha_{PY(19)} = \frac{6.52 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}C}{\alpha_{PY(20)}}$$

$$\alpha_{PY(20)} = \frac{9.03 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}C}{12.11 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}C}$$

$$\alpha_{PY(21)} = \frac{12.11 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}C}{9.09 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}C}$$

(gauges 22 and 24 became detached from substrate during testing).

Notations as follows:-

APP CF	Apparent Strain of Carbon Fibre Composite
APP AL =	Apparent Strain of Aluminium
α AL	Expansion Coefficient of Aluminium
α <sub>CF</sub> =	Expansion Coefficient of Carbon Fibre Composite
α <sub>P</sub> =	Expansion Coefficient of CFRP Panel under test
α <sub>F</sub> =	Expansion Coefficient of Aluminium Test Frame
α <sub>L</sub> =	Expansion Coefficient of CFRP Laminate Sample
∝ <sub>C</sub> =	Expansion Coefficient of CFRP faced honeycomb Sample

### 4.7.2 Panel Fixed Condition

### 4.7.2.1 DTI Results

With the panel coupled to the test frame the DTI's showed negative readings indicating that the rate of contraction of the panel/test frame assembly was now greater than that of the DTI support frame. Corrections were made for the DTI frame contraction as in the 'free' case and the net panel movement is given in Figure 43. The strains due to the compound thermal effects on the panel along major (X) and minor (Y) axes were determined for a series of panel temperatures (see Figure 43) with the following results:-

(i) The maximum calculated strain value along the panel major axis was calculated to be  $-3135 \times 10^{-6}$  at an average panel temperature of  $-173^{\circ}$ C.

(ii) The maximum calculated strain value along the panel minor axis was calculated to be  $-2923 \times 10^{-6}$  at an average panel temperature of  $-173^{\circ}$ C.

# 4.7.2.2 Strain Gauge Results

The strain output of the fixed test panel gauges showed an anticlockwise rotation about the zero strain point when compared with the same gauges for the free panel. This effect was to be expected since the combined contraction of the panel/test frame assembly was biased towards the aluminium thermal coefficient. The actual compressive strain due to the loading effect of the test frame on the panel was determined by difference between the strain outputs of the gauges for the panel free condition and those for the fixed case at the same panel temperature.

Appendix E gives the real X and Y direction strains and the maximum and minimum strain values and their direction as computed from the data logger outputs of tests 3 to 7. These values were calculated using a specially developed strain gauge programme 'STRAINCAL' details of which are contained in Appendix D. Figures 44 to 55 inclusive show the plots of X and Y direction strains against distance from panel edge for all the gauges on the panel.

# 4.7.3 <u>Test Frame Behaviour</u>

# Panel Free Condition

The outputs from the single gauges mounted on the test frame produced  $\alpha$  values for the aluminium, averaged out over six decreasing temperature increments, of the following:-

$$\alpha_{FX(25)} = \frac{26.32 \times 10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C}{26.16 \times 10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C}$$
$$\alpha_{FY(26)} = \frac{26.16 \times 10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C}{26.11 \times 10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C}$$

Inboard edge of frame - adjacent to panel attachment holes.

(Gauge O/C) FX(28)

 $\alpha_{FY(29)} = \frac{25.68 \times 10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C}{25.74 \times 10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C}$ 

Outboard edge of frame.

### 4.7.4 <u>Test Sample Results</u>

### 4.7.4.1 CFRP Samples

The coefficients of expansion for the CFRP laminate sample and the composite sample tested with the panel were calculated from the outputs of the attached strain gauge rosettes mounted in the same sense (with respect to fibre direction) as for the test panel. The  $\alpha_X$  and  $\alpha_Y$  values were averaged out over eight (decreasing) temper-ature increments as follows:-

2

(a) <u>CFRP Laminate</u>

$$\alpha'_{XL AVE} = \frac{8.46 \times 10^{-6} / {}^{\circ}C}{\alpha'_{YL AVE}} = \frac{9.69 \times 10^{-6} / {}^{\circ}C}{2}$$

### (b) CFRP faced aluminium honeycomb

$\alpha_{\rm XC \ AVE}^{\rm (top \ face)}$	=	<u>9.36 x 10<sup>°</sup>/<sup>°</sup>C</u>
$\alpha_{\rm YC \ AVE}^{(top \ face)}$		$12.08 \times 10^{-6} / {}^{\circ}C$

$$\alpha_{\text{XC AVE}} \text{(bottom face)} = \underline{10.19 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}\text{C}};$$
  
$$\alpha_{\text{YC AVE}} \text{(bottom face)} = \underline{10.24 \times 10^{-6}/^{\circ}\text{C}};$$

### 4.7.4.2 <u>Test Frame Sample</u>

Gauges were mounted on a sample of the BS L59 test frame material and outputs showed good correlation with the strain gauge manufacturer's apparent strain curve, indicating an  $\alpha$  value for the unstrained material of 23.2 x 10<sup>-6</sup>/°C.



STRAIN DISTRIBUTION MEASURED IN X DIRECTION

83

Fig. 44.











STRAIN DISTRIBUTION MEASURED IN X DIRECTION FIG. 46





# STRAIN DISTRIBUTION MEASURED IN X DIRECTION FIG. 48



STRAIN DISTRIBUTION MEASURED IN Y DIRECTION FIG. 49



STRAIN DISTRIBUTION MEASURED IN Y DIRECTION

FIG. 50.



STRAIN DISTRIBUTION MEASURED X DIRECTION FIG. 51



STRAIN DISTRIBUTION MEASURED IN Y DIRECTION

FIG: 52



# STRAIN DISTRIBUTION MEASURED IN X DIRECTION FIG. 53

ģ.



FIG. 54



# STRAIN DISTRIBUTION MEASURED IN X DIRECTION FIG. 55

- 94

### 5. DISCUSSION

5.1

The improved model as described in para. 2.1.1 and Appendix F was run using the material properties selected as per para. 3.6. A 50  $^{\circ}$ C temperature decrement was used which gave deflections in the X, Y and Z directions as shown in Figure 56. Corresponding strains predicted by the model for the same decrement are shown in Figures 59 to 62.

### Panel Deflections, Theory vs Practice

Figure 57 gives a comparison between theoretical and practical deflections. The following points emerge:

(a) Normal Deflection along centrelines of Panel

The theoretical model results are seen to be approximately 50% of those measured on test by the Dial Test Indicators.

(b) In-Plane Deflections Panel Y-Direction

The theoretical model results are seen to be approximately 30% of those measured by the Dial Test Indicators.

The most significant reason for these discrepancies lies in the differences between overall panel expansion coefficients and those used in the model which were derived from the coupon tests.

The average values determined from the panel tests were 7.0 x  $10^{-6}$  and 11 x  $10^{-6}$  per <sup>O</sup>C for the X and Y directions respectively. A single value of 3.5 x  $10^{-6}$  per <sup>O</sup>C was determined in the test programme and subsequently used by the model.

For the 'STARDYNE' Finite Element package used it is not possible to input separate values for X and Y coefficients of expansion for the triangular sandwich elements. If an averaged value of  $9 \times 10^{-6}$  per <sup>O</sup>C were used in the model, then the theoretical in-plane deflections would be much greater but the theoretical normal deflections would be much smaller. Hypothetical curves for this expansion coefficient are shown in Figure 57. The explanation of this effect is given below.



Frame Upper Edge



Frame Lower Edge

 $\alpha_{CFRP}$  = CTE of CFRP faced honeycomb panel  $\alpha_{AL}$  = CTE of aluminium

Following a temperature drop, the expected deflected shape of the beam AB where  $\alpha_{CFRP} < \alpha_{AL}$  is shown below:



The lower edge is allowed to contract freely but the upper edge of the beam is restrained due to the carbon fibre panel. If the panel were to be given a hypothetical increase in CTE then the restraint offered by the panel would be reduced and consequently the out of plane deflections would be reduced. It can similarly be seen that a reduction in the restraint would also increase the in plane deflections.

To check whether or not the apparent CTE of the panel remained constant with temperature, a plot (Figure 58) was made of deflection at the centre of the panel against temperature. The linearity of the curve shows that the CTE is constant which is in agreement with the coupon tests.

It is evident that correction of the CTE alone will not give model results that agree exactly with those obtained in practice. Other sources of error are considered below:

Errors in Dial Gauge Indicator Readings due to the effects of temperature on their mechanisms.

Based on then current manufacturer's data an average value of 252 N/mm<sup>2</sup> was used in the model for the honeycomb shear stiffness. Later information gives values of 270 and 170 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for the longitudinal and transverse directions respectively. If an average of these values was used it would be lower than that in the model and would give rise to greater theoretical normal deflections and result in better correlation between theory and practice.

Errors in idealisation of box beam frame. Since this beam is of fabricated construction it may not possess a torsion constant as high as that suggested by incorporating the bending normal to the plate. This can be explained by reference to the diagrams following:



Diagram (a) shows the torque applied to the fabricated aluminium box section. Diagrams (b) and (c) show the effects of the torque applied to the box if the corners of the box are rigidly connected and pinned respectively.
The model utilises quadrilateral plates that conform to (b) rather than (c). For this reason the box may be described too stiffly in torsion giving rise to too great an end restraint, in bending, to the panel. A torsionally less stiff theoretical frame would allow greater out of plane deflections of the panel and hence closer agreement between theory and practice.

Additionally, because of the type of quadrilateral plate elements used in the model, the joint line 'X', shown below, is also considered to take bending.



This joint line may also be described too stiffly by the model. The effect of this is again to provide too great a theoretical bending restraint and thus smaller out of plane deflections by the panel than are seen in practice.

Although the numerical results from model were not in agreement with those obtained from practical testing, the in-plane and normal deflection gave the expected deflected shape of the structure.

An exaggerated in plane deflected form is given below:



Errors due to Dial Test Indicator arrangement.

In-plane Readings.

(a)

These readings were used to calculate the coefficient of thermal expansion for the panel and give results in the longitudinal (X) and transverse (Y) directions similar to those obtained from the strain gauge readings. Measurements were, however, subject to large correction factors due to the fact that the DTI's were connected to an aluminium frame which contracted relative to the panel.

#### Normal Readings

• (b)

With reference to the diagram below it can be seen that a minor source of error arises from the fact that the DTI frame was supported on rods which rested on the frame outside the panel perimeter. Measurements taken normal to the panel were thus slightly greater than the actual deflections.



= actual deflection of panel as given by the model

- a' = apparent deflection of panel relative to mounting datum
- e = vertical deflection of the panel edge fixing relative to the dial gauge frame fixing.

It follows a' - e = a.

a

Thus for actual deflections of the panel 'e' should be subtracted from all DTI readings but cannot be obtained from the measurements taken.

From consideration of deflections alone the following conclusions can be drawn.

Theoretical and practical deflected forms are similar.

• Absolute values are, however, very different, practical values being approximately twice and three times the theoretical values for normal and in plane measurement respectively. Differences between the panel CTE's and the coupon CTE's, as used by the model, are significant and must contribute to the differences in absolute deflections. The apparent differences cannot, however, be explained at this time and future research should aim at solving this critical anomaly.

Discussion has shown that the differing CTE's are not the sole source of error in the absolute deflections. Other sources that have been proposed are associated with local model idealisations and the practical measuring arrangement. The latter is thought to be more significant than the former but both are considered small in comparison with the observed differences.

For the 'panel free' case the panel CTE's derived from the DTI and strain gauge readings were in close agreement. This would tend to give confidence to the in-plane DTI measurements and the large apparent, panel CTE's.

#### 5.2 Panel Strains, Theory vs Practice

Figures 59, 60, 61 and 62 give the model computed strains together with the practically derived values.

Each of the plots of theoretical and actual strains show the same form and in each case the theoretical results are always greater than those measured in practice.

Bottom surface readings were all greater than top surface readings for the four cases plotted. The reasons for these differences is that the induced bending of the panel produces an additive compressive strain to the bottom surface and a tensile strain to the top surface. These bending strains thus subtract from the end load compressive strain in the top surface and add to the end load compressive strain in the lower surface.

A tendency for the theoretical results to be greater than the actual is compatible with the coefficient of thermal expansion being entered as lower than actual in the model input.

That is if  $\alpha_{CF}$  tends towards that of  $\alpha_{AL}$  then there will be less relative strain between the two panels.

The correct CTE value would only lower the strains by about 28%. The maximum actual strain values are seen to be up to 50% less than the theoretical maximum strains. The minimum strains are seen to be up to 80% less than the minimum theoretical strains.

An additional discrepancy will be due to the fact that the panel in the 'fixed' condition was not free from strain at ambient temperature (+19.1 $^{\circ}$ C). This strain shown on Figures 44-55 inclusive was induced by panel and/or frame irregularities in geometry and was accentuated by the close tolerance fixings.

For the plots 59-62 the actual curves were derived from a reading at  $-23.5^{\circ}$ C by correcting with strains induced in assembly at  $19.1^{\circ}$ C,

then factoring by  $\frac{50}{19.1 + 23.5}$  to compare with the strain output from model for a 50°C drop in temperature.

The above does not result in a direct comparison between actual and theoretical strains as the strains induced at ambient will influence the panel loading but are not taken into account by the model.

The induced strains are due to the clamping of the panel to the frame and thus their effect should be reduced towards the centre of the panel.

Other reasons for discrepancies between actual and theoretical values may be in local model idealisations as discussed in para. 5.1. They are not, however, considered to be a significant source of error.

Overall conclusions based on analysis of strains are as would be expected very similar to those obtained from the deflection analysis para. 5.1. Trends and forms are similar but absolute values are different. Differences are not, however, so marked as they were for the deflections. The primary source of error appears to be the anomalous CTE values although again this is not considered the sole source of error.

#### 5.2.1 Failure Prediction

Maximum stresses identified by the model for a  $50^{\circ}$ C drop in temperature were 51.54 and 49.33 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for the X and Y directions respectively. These correspond to values of 46.4 and 37.4 N/mm<sup>2</sup> obtained from the fixed panel test for a similar temperature drop. (See Appendix G).

Coupon testing showed a local buckling failure of 107.0 N/mm<sup>2</sup> at  $-100^{\circ}$ C for the honeycomb sandwich which would give a predicted failure at  $-89^{\circ}$ C. In practice there was no evidence of failure at this temperature and the panel was still intact at the lowest temperature reached on test which was  $-166^{\circ}$ C. Recorded panel stresses at this temperature were 197 and 137 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for the X and Y directions respectively.

Neglecting errors between theory and practice the two practically derived stresses differ by almost 2 to 1 and could at panel failure differ by more. It can only be concluded that the coupon test arrangement did not simulate the panel mode of failure by developing a premature failure peculiar to itself.

Certainly the measured failure stress is significantly below that found during other test programmes albeit with different layups.

Further work, see para. 5.3, is required to investigate the relationship between coupon and panel instability modes.

#### Recommendations

(2)

5.3

The most significant sources of error that have been identified in this Study are differences between coupon derived CTE and failure stresses and those found for the overall panel. Before any further improvements to the modelling or measuring arrangements are made it is imperative that a better understanding of these differences is obtained. It is therefore recommended that test programmes be instigated to investigate the following:

(1) CFRP faced honeycomb sandwich CTE's.

standard coupons, and samples with edge member cut from the panel

laser interferometric methods to be employed

local measurements to be made within perimeter of large panel to reduce edge effects.

CFRP faced honeycomb sandwich in-plane compressive failure

overall and local instability failure

faceskin material failure

effects of combined loading

edge member effects

Once these areas have been investigated the panel/frame analysis should pursue the following course:

(1) Model the frame alone and subject it to a temperature drop (consideration could perhaps be given to using a solid aluminium frame to ease the analysis at this stage)

- (2) Model the panel alone and subject it to a temperature drop
- (3) Test frame by subjecting it to a temperature drop

(4)

(5)

(6)

- Test panel by subjecting it to a temperature drop. For all practical tests the following should be observed:
  - (a) All necessary deflections should be measured optically
  - (b) Strain gauges calibrated for the material on which they are intended to measure strains should be used
  - (c) It should be ensured that the surfaces to be bolted are flat and that there is no likelihood of strain being induced when the two structures are bolted together.

Alter stiffness of elements in models (1) and (2) and/or idealisation, for example add more elements to produce a more accurate model.

When a satisfactory correlation between theory and practice is achieved the panel and frame should be bolted together and tested as such.

Model the structure complete using the same idealisations as for the sub-structures and subject it to a temperature drop.

This should generate a close approximation between theory and practice and will certainly give a better understanding of the relative interactions that are involved.









FIG. 59





t



#### CONCLUSION

An improved mathematical model backed by coupon test results has still not been able to fully simulate the effect of low temperature excursions on a CFRP panel/aluminium frame combination.

The reasons for the lack of correlation between theoretical and actual results are due to unknown factors both in the development of the model and in the production of the CFRP panel/frame assembly.

Better agreement between the results could be achieved. This would require more practical testing, together with computer idealisations of the panel and frame as separate problems. The structural behaviour of the assembly is of such a complexity as to require deeper investigation both practically and theoretically.

The results to date do not reveal enough data to solve the problem but do show more clearly the source of some of the discrepancies. Comparing the practical and model results, there is good agreement in form and would certainly be better absolute agreement if the larger thermal expansion coefficients were fed into the model.

Major problems lie in relating the coupon test CTE's and failure levels with those of the panel. The expansion coefficients for the panel appeared to be 300% up on those measured from the coupons and failure stresses were greater than 200% up since no failure was observed even at the lowest temperature reached on test. No reasons are offered for these discrepancies but suggestions for a future test programme to investigate the problems have been put forward.

Coupon testing has provided an important range of low temperature performance data which will be useful to applications outside this study. Generally moduli tended to increase with decreasing temperature, but the strength behaviour was subject to greater variability. The strength and modulus properties of the angle ply laminates were similar in the longitudinal and transverse directions. The thermal expansion of the unidirectional materials was small and constant with decreasing temperature along the fibre axis, but was much larger and decreased with falling temperature in the transverse direction. The thermal expansions for the angle ply material were small and the same in the two orthogonal directions.

Doubts expressed during the SR and T programme concerning the performance of a CFRP panel/aluminium frame combination at low temperatures have again not been realised. Confidence in CFRP for this and similar applications remains at a high level but it remains that some further research be undertaken to finally align theory with practice.

#### REFERENCES

- N.L. Hancox, D.C.C. Minty, D.J. Baker, R.A.O. Hall, M.J. Mortimer, and D.L. McElroy. Vol 1 ESRO CR-(P)-597-1976
- (2) N.L. Hancox and D.C.C. Minty, J. Brit. Interplanetary Soc., <u>30</u>, 391-99, 1977.
- (3) M.B. Kasen, ASTM STP 580, 586, 1975.
- (4) R.E. Schramm and M.B. Kasen, Met. Sci. Eng., <u>30</u>197-204, 1977.
- (5) A.A. Fahmy et al. Investigation of Thermal Fatigue in Fiber Composite Materials, NASA CR-2641, 1976.
- M.F. Rickett, Hawker Siddeley Dynamics Technical Paper TP 7567, Volume 2, Appendix A. ESA CR(P) - 803(2) 1976.
- (7) W.R. Goggin. Thermomechanical Stability of Graphite/Epoxy Composites. Applied Optics, Volume 13, No. 2, 1974.
- (8) A.S.D. Wang et al. Humidity Effects on the Creep Behaviour of an Epoxy Graphite Material. A1AA, 1975, 1.
- (9) Welwyn Strain Measurement Ltd. Basingstoke, England. Strain Gauge Temperature Effects, Bulletin No. TN-128-2.
- (10) P.D. Ewins, Composites, Standards, Testing and Design, 144-153. Nat. Phys. Lab. (UK) Conf., April 1974.
- (11) N.L. Hancox, J. Mat. Sci., 7, 1030-36, 1972.
- (12) J.A. Dickinson Final Report for Phase 1 of a Study on the Use of CFRP in Satellite Structures, ESTEC CR331, 1974.
- (13) N.L. Hancox and D.C.C. Minty, AERE, G876, 1977.
- (14) N.L. Hancox, J. Mat. Sci., 10, 234-42, 1975.
- (15) E.de Lamatte and A.J. Perry, Fibre Sci. and Tech., 3, 157, 1970.
- (16) J.E. Ashton, J.C. Halpin and P.H. Petit, Primer on Composite Materials, Analysis, Technomic Pub. Co. Stanford, Conn.USA.
- (17) G.P. Dean and P. Turner, Composites, 4, 174, 1973.
- (18) L.R. Calcote, The Analysis of Laminated Composite Structures, Van Nostrand Reenhold Co., NY. 1969.

7:

- (19) S.W. Tsai, Strength Characteristics of Composite Materials, NASA CR 224, 1965.
- (20) Hawker Siddeley Dynamics. Report on the Carbon Fibre Faced Honeycomb Panels for the Spacelab Pallet. HSD TP7553, 1975.

## APPENDIX A

## CALCULATION OF CTE FOR CFRP FACED

ALUMINIUM HONEYCOMB SANDWICH

### APPENDIX A

## CALCULATION OF CTE FOR CFRP-FACED

## ALUMINIUM HONEYCOMB SANDWICH

# Coefficient of Expansion of Composite Sandwich

The effective coefficient of expansion of the sandwich construction is a function of the coefficients of expansion of the core and the facings and also of their stiffness properties.

Honeycomb Core:

Consider a typical section of the core:



Consider the loading on part of one cell



For Moment equilibrium

$$R = \frac{Pa}{2} \cdot \frac{6}{a\sqrt{3}} = \sqrt{3}P$$

Consider an imposed strain in the x direction only (i.e.  $\epsilon_y = 0$ ).

For sides (1) and (2), increase in length,  $\delta$ , is given by

$$\delta_{1,2} = \frac{P1}{EA} = \frac{P}{E} \cdot \frac{a}{2\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{ct}$$

For side (3), load T is derived from

T Sin 30 = P  
T Cos 30 = R = 
$$P\sqrt{3}$$

From either equation T = 2P

 $\cdot \cdot \delta_3 = \frac{2P}{E} \cdot \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{ct}$ 



The effective stresses are:-



Now consider an imposed strain in the Y direction (i.e.  $\epsilon_x = 0$ )

As above 
$$\dot{0}_1 = \dot{0}_2 = \frac{P}{E} \cdot \frac{a}{2\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{ct}$$
  
 $\sigma_{3.} = \frac{2P}{E} \cdot \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{ct}$ 



If the load/mm is denoted by  $N_x$ ,  $N_y$  thus for the core we have:-

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_{x} \\ N_{y} \end{bmatrix} = 69 \times 10^{3} \times 14 \begin{bmatrix} .0048 & .0048 \\ .0048 & .0048 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{x} \\ \epsilon_{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
N/mm
$$= 4637 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{x} \\ \epsilon_{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
N/mm

### Carbon Fibre Facings:

$$E = .762 \text{ mm}$$
 (for two faces)  
 $E = 50 \text{ GN/m}^2$   
 $P = 0.04$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_{x} \\ N_{y} \\ N_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{50 \times 10^{3} \times .762}{(1 - .04)^{2}} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & .04 \\ .04 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{x} \\ \epsilon_{y} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 41340 \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & .04 \\ .04 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{x} \\ \epsilon_{y} \end{bmatrix} N/mm^{2}$$

Sandwich panel



For 2.0 - 3/16 - .7 core

t = .002", a = 1/4"  $\frac{t}{a}$  = .008,  $\frac{\delta}{\epsilon}$  = .0048E

For aluminium alloy  $E = 69 \times 10^9 \text{ N/m^2}$ 

Core depth = 14mm.  $N_{\alpha}$  aluminium =  $10^{-6} \begin{bmatrix} 4637 & 4637 \\ 4637 & 4637 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 23 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$ =  $10^{-6} \begin{bmatrix} 213300 \\ 213300 \end{bmatrix}$   $N_{\alpha} C$  =  $10^{-6} \begin{bmatrix} 41340 & 1654 \\ 1654 & 41340 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.3 \\ 1.3 \end{bmatrix}$ =  $10^{-6} \begin{bmatrix} 55890 \\ 55890 \end{bmatrix}$ 

The stiffness matrix for the complete sandwich is:

 $K = \begin{bmatrix} 45977 & 6291 \\ 6291 & 45977 \end{bmatrix}$   $N_{\alpha} \text{ aluminium}^{+} N_{\alpha} CF = \begin{bmatrix} 269190 \\ 269190 \end{bmatrix} \times 10^{-6}$ 

Under Free expansion





Hence effective coefficient of expansion of the sandwich is  $5.2 \times 10^{-6} / ^{\circ}C$  in any direction.

# MASTER LIST OF SPECIMENS

•

# APPENDIX B

 $\mathbb{R}_{2}$ 

5.6

.

### APPENDIX B

#### MASTER LIST OF SPECIMENS

### 1. INTRODUCTION

.

N 24

This Appendix details the total specimen requirements for the Study.

1

All specimens have been given a purely numerical reference for immediate identification.

## Unidirectional Specimens

Specimen No.	Drawing No. TL	Test No.	Test Description	Test Temperature °C
001	22037 - 05	01	LTM	20
002	22037 - 05	01	LTM	20
003	22037 - 05	01	LTM	t1 -60
004	22037 - 05	01	LTM	-60
005	22037 - 05	01	LTM	t2 –100
006	22037 - 05	01	LTM	-100
007	22037 - 05	01	LTM	t3 -170
008	22037 - 05	01	LTM	-170
009	22037 - 05	01	LTM	-190
010	22037 - 05	01	LTM	-190
011	22037 - 05	01	LTM	SPARE
012	22037 - 05	01	LTM	SPARE
013	22037 - 04	02	LTS	20
014	22037 - 04	02	LTS	20
015	22037 - 04	02	LTS	-60
016	22037 - 04	02	LTS	-60
017	22037 - 04	02	LTS	-100
018	22037 - 04	02	LTS	-100
019	22037 - 04	02	LTS	-170
020	22037 - 04	02	LTS	-170
021	22037 - 04	02	LTS	-190
022	22037 - 04	02	LTS	-190
023	22037 - 04	02	LTS	SPARE
024	22037 - 04	02	LTS	SPARE
025	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	20
026	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	20
027	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	-60
028	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	-60
029	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	-100
030	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	-100
031	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	-170

2. 2.1

032         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         -170           033         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         -130           034         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         -130           035         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         SPARE           036         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         SPARE           037         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           038         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           039         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           040         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           045         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22039         05 <th>Specimen No.</th> <th>Drawing No. TL</th> <th>Test No.</th> <th>Test Description</th> <th>Test Temperature °C</th>	Specimen No.	Drawing No. TL	Test No.	Test Description	Test Temperature °C
033         ,22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         -130           034         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         -190           035         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         SPARE           036         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         SPARE           037         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           038         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           039         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           040         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22039         05	032	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	-170
034         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         -190           035         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         SPARE           036         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         SPARE           037         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           038         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           039         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           040         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         <	033	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	-190
035         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         SPARE           036         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         SPARE           037         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           038         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           039         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           040         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05 <td< td=""><td>034</td><td>22038 - 04/1</td><td>03</td><td>TTM</td><td>-190</td></td<>	034	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	-190
036         22038 - 04/1         03         TTM         SPARE           037         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           038         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           039         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           040         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           045         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           043         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         -60           051         22039         05         LCS	035	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	SPARE
037         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           038         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           039         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           040         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS	036	22038 - 04/1	03	TTM	SPARE
038         22038 - 05         04         TTS         20           039         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           040         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           045         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS	037	22038 - 05	04	TTS	20
039         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           040         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           045         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS	038	22038 - 05	04	TTS	20
040         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -60           041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -170           055         22039         05         LCS	039	22038 - 05	04	TTS	-60
041         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           045         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         2203805         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS <t< td=""><td>040</td><td>22038 - 05</td><td>04</td><td>TTS</td><td>-60</td></t<>	040	22038 - 05	04	TTS	-60
042         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -100           043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           045         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         -60           051         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -190           055         22039         05         LCS         -190           056         22039         05         LCS         -190 <td>041</td> <td>22038 - 05</td> <td>04</td> <td>TTS</td> <td>-100</td>	041	22038 - 05	04	TTS	-100
043         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           045         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -100           053         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -170           055         22039         05         LCS         -190           056         22039         05         LCS         -190           057         22039         05         LCS         SPARE     <	042	22038 - 05	04	TTS	-100
044         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -170           045         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         2203805         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -60           053         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -190           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         SPARE	043	22038 - 05	04	TTS	-170
045         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         2203805         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -60           053         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -190           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE	044	22038 - 05	04	TTS	-170
046         22038 - 05         04         TTS         -190           047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -60           053         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -190           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE	045	22038 - 05	04	TTS	-190
047         22038 - 05         04         TTS         SPARE           048         2203805         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -60           053         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -190           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           <	046	22038 - 05	04	TTS	-190
048         2203805         04         TTS         SPARE           049         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -60           053         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20           062	047	22038 - 05	04	TTS	SPARE
049         22039         05         LCS         20           050         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -60           053         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20	048	22038 -/05	04	TTS	SPARE
050         22039         05         LCS         20           051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -60           053         22039         05         LCS         -60           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20	049	22039	05	LCS	20
051         22039         05         LCS         -60           052         22039         05         LCS         -60           053         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20	050 .	22039	05	LCS	20
052         22039         05         LCS         -60           053         22039         05         LCS         -100           054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -100           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20	051	22039	05	LCS	-60
0532203905LCS-1000542203905LCS-1000552203905LCS-1700562203905LCS-1700572203905LCS-1900582203905LCS-1900592203905LCSSPARE0602203905LCSSPARE0612204006TCS200622204006TCS20	052	22039	05	LCS	-60
054         22039         05         LCS         -100           055         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20           062         22040         06         TCS         20	053	22039	05	LCS	-100
055         22039         05         LCS         -170           056         22039         05         LCS         -170           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20           062         22040         06         TCS         20	054	22039	05	LCS	-100
056         22039         05         LCS         -170           057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20           062         22040         06         TCS         20	055	22039	05	LCS	-170
057         22039         05         LCS         -190           058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20           062         22040         06         TCS         20	· 056	22039	05	LCS	-170
058         22039         05         LCS         -190           059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20           062         22040         06         TCS         20	057	22039	05	LCS	-190
059         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20           062         22040         06         TCS         20	058	22039	05	LCS	-190
060         22039         05         LCS         SPARE           061         22040         06         TCS         20           062         22040         06         TCS         20	059	22039	05	LCS	SPARE
061         22040         06         TCS         20           062         22040         06         TCS         20	060	22039	05	LCS	SPARE
062 22040 06 TCS 20	061	22040	06	TCS	20
	062	22040	06	TCS	20

2.1

ŝ

2.1 <u>Unidirectional Specimens</u> (continued)

Specimen No.	Drawing No. TL	Test No.	Test Description	Test Temperature °C
063	22040	06	TCS	-60
064	22040	06	TCS	-60
065	22040	06	TCS	-100
066	22040	06	TCS	-100
067	22040	06	TCS	-170
068	22040	06	TCS	t <sub>3</sub> -170
069 1	22040	06	TCS	-190
070	22040	06	TCS	-190
071	22040	06	TCS	SPARE
072	22040	06	TCS	SPARE
073	22041	07	SM	20
073	22041	08	SS	20
074	22041	07	SM	20
074	22041	08	SS	20
075	22041	07	SM	-60
075	22041	08	SS	-60
076	22041	07	SM	-60
076	22041	08	SS	-60
077	22041	07	SM	-100
077	22041	08	SS	-100
078	22041	07	SM	-100
078	22041	08	SS	-100
079	22041	07	SM	-170
079	22041	08	SS	-170
080	22041	07	SM	-170
080	22041	08	SS	-170
081	22041	07	SM	-190
081	22041	08	SS	-190
082	22041	07	SM	-190
082	22041	08	SS	-190

### 2.1 Unidirectional Specimens (continued)

Specimen No.	Drawing No. TL	Test No.	Test Description	Test Temperature °C
083	22041	07	SM	SPARE
083	22041	08	SS	SPARE
084	22041	07	SM	SPARE
084	22041	08	SS	SPARE
085	23266	09	LCE	20
086	23266	09	LCE	20
087	23266	09	LCE	-60
088	23266	09	LCE	-60
089	23266	09	LCE	-100
090	23266	09	LCE	-100
091	23266	09	LCE	-170
092	23266	09	LCE	-170
093	23266	09	LCE	-190
094	23266	09	LCE	-190
095	23266	09	LCE	SPARE
096	23266	09	LCE	SPARE
097	23267	10	TCE	20
098	23267	10	TCE	20
099	23267	10	TCE	-60
100	23267	10	TCE	-60
101	23267	10	TCE	-100
102	23267	10	TCE	-100
103	23267	10	TCE	-170
104	23267	10	TCE	-170
105	23267	10	TCE	-190
106	23267	10	TCE	-190
107	23267	10	TCE	SPARE
108	23267	10	TCE	SPARE
	and the second sec			
		1000 A. A.	1. A. 1. A.	
6				

126

J

## 2.2 Angle Ply Specimens

Specimen	Drawing No. TL	Test No.	Test Description	Test Temperature °C
109	22114-01	11	LTM	20
109	22114-01	12	LTS	20
110	22114-01	11	LTM	20
110	22114-01	12	LTS	20
111	22114-01	11	LTM	-100
111	22114-01	12	LTS	-100
112	22114-01	11	LTM	-100
112	22114-01	12	LTS	-100
113	22114-01	11	LTM	-190
113	22114-01	12	LTS	-190
114	22114-01	11	LTM	-190
114	22114-01	12	LTS	-190
115	22114-01	11	LTM	SPARE
115	22114-01	12	LTS	SPARE
116	22114-02	13	TTM	20
116	22114-02	14	TTS	20
117	22114-02	13	TTM	20
117	22114-02	14	TTS	20
118	22114-02	13	TTM	-100
118	22114-02	14	TTS	-100
119	22114-02	13	TTM	-100
119	22114-02	14	TTS	-100
120	22114-02	13	TTM	-190
120	22114-02	14	TTS	-190
121	22114-02	13	TTM	-190
121	22114-02	14	TTS	-190
122	22114-02	13	TTM	SPARE
122	22114-02	14	TTS	SPARE
123	23271	15	LCS	20
194	23271	15	LCS	20
195	23271	15	LCS	-100
1 140		1	an a	and the second

Angle	Ply Sp	ecimens	(continued)	

Specimen No.	Drawing No. TL	Test No.	Test Description	Test Temperature °C
126	23271	15	LCS	-100
127	23271	15	LCS	-190
128	23271	15	LCS	-190
129	23271	15	LCS	SPARE
130	23272	16	TCS	20
131	23272	16	TCS	20
132	23272	16	TCS	-100
133	23272	16	TCS	-100
134	23272	16	TCS	-190
135	23272	16	TCS	-190
136	23272	16	TCS	SPARE
137	23273	17	LCE	20
138	23273	17	LCE	20
139	23273	17	LCE	-100
140	23273	17	LCE	-100
141	23273	17	LCE	-190
142	23273	17	LCE	-190
143	23273	17	LCE	SPARE
144	23274	18	TCE	20
145	23274	18	TCE	20
146	23274	18	TCE	-100
147	23274	18	TCE	-100
148	23274	18	TCE	-190
149	23274	18	TCE	-190
150	23274	18	TCE	SPARE
to a second				

# Angle Ply Honeycomb Specimens

2.3

Specimen' No.	Drawing No. TL	Test No.	Test Description	Test Temperature °C
151	23281-01	19	LCE	20<> -70
151	23281-01	20	TCE	20<> -70
152	23281-01	19	LCE	20<> -70
152	23281-01	20	TCE	20<> -70
153	23281-01	19	LCE	SPARE
153	23281-01	20	TCE	SPARE
154	23281-01	19	LCE	SPARE
154	23281-01	20	TCE	SPARE
155	23281-02	21	LCS	20
156	23281-02	21	LCS	20
157	23281-02	21	LCS	-100
158	23281-02	21	LCS	-100
159	23281-02	21	LCS	-190
160	23281-02	21	LCS	-190
161	23281-02	21	LCS	SPARE
162	23281-03	22	TCS	20
163	23281-03	22	TCS	20
164	23281-03	22	TCS	-100
165	23281-03	22	TCS	-100
166	23281-03	22	TCS	-190
167	23281-03	22	TCS	-190
168 ,	23281-03	22	TCS	SPARE
•				
l l				

## 2.4 Creep Specimens

Specimen No.	Drawing No. TL	Test No.	Test Description	Test Temperature °C
169	TL 22036/1-04	23	C, LFM	20
169	TL 22036/1-04	24	C, LFS	20
170	TL 22036/1-04	23	C, LFM	20
170	TL 22036/1-04	24	C, LFS	20
171	TL 22036/1-04	23	C, LFM	-100
171	TL 22036/1-04	24	C, LFS	-100
172	TL 22036/1-04	23	C, LFM	-100
172	TL 22036/1-04	24	C, LFS	-100
173	TL 22036/1-04	23	C, LFM	-190
173	TL 22036/1-04	24	C, LFS	-190
174	TL 22036/1-04	23	C, LFM	-190
174	TL 22036/1-04	24	C, LFS	-190
175	TL 22036/1-04	25	LFM	20
175	TL 22036/1-04	26	LFS	20
176	TL 22036/1-04	25	LFM	20
176	TL 22036/1-04	26	LFS	20
177	TL 22036/1-04	23	C, LFM	SPARE
177	TL 22036/1-04	24	C, LFS	SPARE
178	TL 22036/1-04	23	C, LFM	SPARE
178	TL 22036/1-04	24	C, LFS	SPARE
•				
			an a	

ŝ

### Test Description Terms

LTM	=	Longitudinal Tensile Modulus
LTS	=	Longitudinal Tensile Strength
TTM	_ ==	Transverse Tensile Modulus
TTS	=	Transverse Tensile Strength
LCS	· [=,	Longitudinal Compressive Strength
TCS	=	Transverse Compressive Strength
SM	=	Shear Modulus
SS	=	Shear Strength
LCE	1 - 1 1 <del>2 -</del>	Longitudinal Coefficient of Linear Expansion
TCE	анана Настана Настана	Transverse Coefficient of Linear Expansion
С	. 3	Creep Test
LFM	=	Longitudinal Flexural Modulus
LFS	-	Longitudinal Flexural Strength

# APPENDIX C

# COMPLETE PANEL TEST PROCEDURE (BAe Specification DTP/GP/50047)

### Procedure No. DTP/GP/50047

1.

#### CONTENTS

### SCOPE

1.1	Equipment to be Tested
1.2	Purpose of Test
2.	CONDITIONS
2.1	Personnel
2.2	Special Hazards/Precautions
2.3	Preparation
2.3.1	Test Equipment
2.3.2	Test Equipment Preparation
2.3.3	Test Article Preparation
2.3.3.1	Strain Gauge Installation
2.3.3.2	Panel Preparation
3.	PROCEDURE
3.1	Procedure Performance
3.1.1	Test Sequence
3.1.1.1	Thermal Cycling (Panel Free)
3.1.1.2	Thermal Cycling (Panel Attached)
4.	DATA REQUIREMENTS
4.1	Data Reporting

Figure 1

١

Strain Gauge and Thermocouple Positions
SCOPE

1.

#### 1.1 Equipment to be Tested

A carbon fibre honeycomb panel dimensioned in accordance with HSD Drawing No. TL 22109 Basic 01.

The panel will be attached to a test frame of a large spacecraft structure. The HSD drawing number of the frame is MST 13594.

#### 1.2 Purpose of Test

The purpose of the tests detailed in this document are to subject the panel/test frame assembly to thermal cycling and investigate the effects of differential thermal expansion and how this coincides with the theoretical analysis.

#### 2. CONDITIONS

#### 2.1 Personnel

The Test Conductor shall be responsible for supplying an adequate number of qualified personnel to carry out the activities associated with the test.

### 2.2 Special Hazards/Precautions

Safety precautions associated with the test facility and equipment shall be the responsibility of the Test Conductor. During the test, the panel will be inside a chamber and any potential failure will be contained.

#### 2.3 Preparation

- 2.3.1 Test Equipment
  - MST 13607 Insulated Test Box
  - Liquid Nitrogen Bowser self pressurising from which cold gaseous nitrogen at temperatures approaching that of liquid nitrogen is piped into the test box.
  - 200 Channel Data logger to MST 14223/2 plus printer.
    - T1. T2. Thermocouples taped to the panel and aluminium frame in the positions shown in Figure 1 of this document.
    - Strain gauges positioned on the panel and frame as shown in Figure 1.

2.3.1

- Dial Test Indicators (DTI's) (for use with the insulated test box) plus support frame and attachment rods as shown on HSD Drawing No. MST 13608.
- Ultrasonic test probe.

#### 2.3.2 Test Equipment Preparation

All thermocouples, strain gauges, dial test indicators and other measuring and recording equipment shall be supplied, fitted or connected by the Test Conductor. Sufficient calibration runs and · checks shall be performed by the Test Conductor to verify the performance of the test equipment.

#### 2.3.3 <u>Test Article Preparation</u>

#### 2.3.3.1 Strain Gauge Installation

Micro-Measurements series WK (temperature compensated) 350 ohm strain gauges (rosette and single) will be fitted to the panel and frame.

The gauges used will have an accuracy of 0.3 - 0.4% and all changes in gauge resistance will be referred to a fixed stable resistance with applied correction factors for apparent strain and non-matching coefficients of expansion.

#### 2.3.3.2 Panel Preparation

Carry out a pre-test inspection of the test frame and panel for planarity and record bolt hole sizes in the panel and their positions, and record any surface damage.

Install the strain gauges and thermocouples in the positions indicated in Figure 1.

- 3. PROCEDURE
- 3.1 Procedure Performance
- 3.1.1 Test Sequence

Tests shall be conducted in the sequence specified herein.

- 3.1.1.1 Thermal Cycling (Panel Free)
  - (a) Carry out a check and record the overall dimensions of the test frame and include a check for planarity.
  - (b) Install strain gauges, and thermocouples in the positions indicated in Figure 1.
  - (c) Install the test frame in the test chamber.
  - (d) Install the Dial Test Indicators (DTI) complete with support frame as indicated in Figure 1.
  - (e) Subject the frame and panel (di sconnected) to a thermal cycle, from an ambient temperature of 15°C down to -150°C and return to ambient as follows:-
    - (i) Stabilise the panel temperature at approximately 30°C increments.
    - (ii) Record the DT1 and strain gauge readings at each of these increments.
  - (f) Repeat (e).
  - (g) Analyse the results by plotting graphically strains against temperature. Assess the results of this analysis with regard to the characteristics of the gauges. If these characteristics display significant anomalies subject the frame and panel to further thermal cycles until these anomalies are minimised.

# 3.1.1.2 Thermal Cycling (Panel Attached)

(a)	Attach the panel to the test frame using M6 Titanium bolts and steel nuts and torque tighten to 4.5Nm. Record the temperature at which the panel attachment is made.
<b>(b)</b>	Install the assembly in the test chamber.
(C)	Record DTI and strain gauge and thermocouple readings.
(d)	Subject the assembly to a thermal cycle from an ambient temperature of +15°C down to approximately -25°C and return to ambient.
(e)	Record the strain gauge readings at achieved minimum temperature.
( <b>f</b> )	Subject the assembly to a thermal cycle from an ambient temperature of 15°C down to approximately -50°C and return
	to ambient.
(g)	Record the DTI and strain gauge readings at achieved minimum temperature.
(h)	Subject the assembly to a thermal cycle from an ambient temperature of $15^{\circ}$ C down to approximately $-75^{\circ}$ C and return to ambient.
(j)	Record the DTI and strain gauge readings at achieved minimum temperature.
(k)	Reduce the temperature of the assembly, by allowing cold nitrogen to circulate in the chamber, to approximately $-100^{\circ}$ C and return to ambient.
(1)	Record DTI and strain gauge readings at achieved minimum temperature.
(m)	Reduce the temperature in steps of 25 <sup>0</sup> C to the limit of the test equipment's capability or until a significant failure to the panel occurs.
(n)	Record DTI and strain gauge readings at each of these temperatures.

3.1.

3.1.1.2	Cont'd.			
	(p) Shut off return	the supply of cold nitrog to ambient temperature.	en and allow the a	issembly to
	(q) Remove signs o	e the assembly from the of damage.	cold box and inspe	ect for visible
	(r) Inspect positio	t the panel for damage an n.	d bolt hole shape,	size and
4.	DATA REQUI	REMENTS.		
4.1	Data Reportin	<u>g</u>	•	

Tabulate all results obtained.

Analyse the results as strain in geometric axes against temperature.

Photographs of the test specimen will be taken before the test and also of any damage that occurs during the testing.

**`** 



## APPENDIX D

## 'STRAINCAL COMPUTER PROGRAM'

\*

### CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

## THEORY

1.

2.

4.

- 3. PROGRAM DESCRIPTION
  - INPUT DESCRIPTION
- 5. OUTPUT DESCRIPTION
- 6. PROGRAM USAGE

SIMPLIFIED FLOW CHART

## APPENDIX

D1.	Calibration Data	a. b.	Sample Input Sample Output
D2.	Test Data	a. b.	Sample Input Sample Output

#### INTRODUCTION

1.

When using certain types of strain gauges a zero error is introduced into the readings which varies with temperature. This is corrected for by varying the temperature of the body under test without any artificial strain imposed upon it. The strain gauges attached to the points of interest give results forming calibration curves of temperature against strain. From these curves the artificial zero point, or nominal value for each strain gauge may be determined for any value of temperature. If the body is now put under strain and the temperature varied, the nominal value may be calculated from the calibration curves, and subtracted from the strain measurement giving the true strain.

The program considers two configurations of strain gauge, the rosette type and the single type. The single type only requires calibration as it only reads strain in one direction, but the rosette type measures strain in three directions and from this the maximum and minimum strain values may be calculated and also their directions.

The program has two modes:

(i) Calibration mode and

(ii) Test mode

During the calibration mode the calibration curves are input and stored by the program. During the test mode, the test data is read in which contains measured strain and temperature. This test data is then calibrated and resolved as described above.

#### THEORY

2.

The calibration curves are sorted out in the form of temperature against strain and each run contributes a point on the curve for each channel number.

#### Test Mode

When the test data has been read in the nominal strain is obtained from the calibration data by linear interpolation with respect to temperature. The equation used is shown below:

$$s_x = Cs_1 + (T_x - CT_1) \frac{(Cs_2 - Cs_1)}{(CT_2 - CT_1)} \dots$$

where

is the nominal strain

T<sub>x</sub> is the temperature of the test period (CT<sub>1</sub>, CS<sub>1</sub>) and (CT<sub>2</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>) are two points on the calibration curve which are closest to the test point.

. 2.1

The calibration curve is of the hysteresis type and thus the two points used on the calibration curve must be on the same part of the curve as the test data. For example if the temperature of the test data is being reduced then calibration data must be from the downward path and similarly if the temperature is being increased then the calibration data must be from the upward path.

The calibrated test data is then scaled by multiplying by the variable SCALE input as data.

The results of the single strain gauge type are now ready for output, but the rosette type strain gauge still requires some resolving. The rosette strain gauge groups the channel numbers into sets of threes. Let three channel numbers of one strain gauge have the corrected strain values  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$ , and  $e_3$ . These values are used to calculate the full output for the rosette type strain gauge.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= 1 \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{2} + \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{3} - \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{1} \\ \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{3} - \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{2} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{xy} &= \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{3} - \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{2} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{max} &= \frac{\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{x} + \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{x} - \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y})^{2} + \gamma_{xy}^{2}} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{max} &= \frac{\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{x} + \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{x} - \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y})^{2} + \gamma_{xy}^{2}} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y} &= \frac{\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{x} + \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{x} - \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y})^{2} + \gamma_{xy}^{2}} \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y} &= \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left| \frac{\gamma_{xy}}{\mathbf{\varepsilon}_{x} - \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y}} \right| \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{x} &= \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y} \right| \\ \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{x} &= \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{y} \end{aligned}$$

. 2.2

114

To determine the maximum and minimum direction of the strain the following tests have to be done:

(i) If ratio 
$$\frac{xy}{\xi - \xi} = \frac{+ve}{+ve}$$
 then angle of max. princ. strain  $\varphi_{p \max} = (\varphi_{p})^{0}$   
and angle of min. princ. strain  $\varphi_{p \min} = (\varphi_{p} + 90)^{0}$ 

If ratio 
$$\frac{xy}{\epsilon - \epsilon} = \frac{+ve}{-ve}$$
 then angle of max. princ. strain  $\mathcal{O}_{p} = (90 - \mathcal{O}_{p})^{o}$   
and angle of min. princ. strain  $\mathcal{O}_{p} = (180 - \mathcal{O}_{p})^{o}$ 

(iii) If ratio 
$$\frac{XY}{\epsilon - \epsilon} = \frac{-Ve}{+Ve}$$
 then angle of m  
and angle of mi

(iv) If ratio 
$$\frac{Y}{\epsilon} - \frac{v}{\epsilon} = \frac{-ve}{-ve}$$
 the function  $\frac{Y}{\epsilon} - \frac{v}{\epsilon} = \frac{-ve}{-ve}$ 

. .

(11)

then angle of max. princ. strain 
$$\varphi_{p} \max = (180 - \varphi_{p})^{0}$$
  
and angle of min. princ. strain  $\varphi_{p} \min = (90 - \varphi_{p})^{0}$   
then angle of max. princ strain  $\varphi_{p} \max = (90 + \varphi_{p})^{0}$ 

then angle of max. princ strain 
$$\varphi$$
 max.  
and angle of min. princ strain  $\varphi$  p min. =  $(\varphi)^{0}$ 

14

These results are then output.

#### PROGRAM STRUCTURE

3.

The basic program structure can be seen using the simplified flow chart, see Fig. 1.

First of all the user must read in the calibration data which is signified by the second card of the data pack. The calibration data is stored in a single three dimensional array having references of channel number, run number and strain/temperature. The data read in at the beginning of the data file is checked for consistency, e.g. the ranges specified for the rosettes to check that exact grouping of threes is possible allowing for inconsistencies when channel numbers are not used. If inconsistencies are input in the ranges specified for single strain gauge readings then this will be picked up and the program will be halted.

The channel numbers are also checked that they follow on in steps of one. Even if a certain channel number is never used, the data file must include the channel number with two other numbers after it, the last number (the temperature reading) being set to -999, indicating reading is to be ignored.

The program then searches through the calibration data in the ranges specified for rosette type strain gauges, to find any reading with the temperature set to -999. On finding one, the program sets the other two temperature readings of the same rosette type strain gauge, and same run to -999.

When all the calibration data has been input and checked it is listed out with all the readings for each channel grouped together.

The calibration curves are now set and as long as the program is not re-loaded then the information will be stored for use when running the test data.

The test data may now be input for calibration and resolving. This data is stored in a similar way to the calibration data, i.e. a single three dimensional array. As the data is being read in the channel numbers are checked that they increment in steps of one in the same way as the calibration data.

The first stage of the test mode section of the program, after the data has been input, is to calibrate the test data. This is done by first obtaining the nominal strain from the calibration data, by linear interpolation with respect to temperature, using the equation (2.1) given in section 2. This nominal value is then subtracted from the measured value and the new calibrated value is then stored in the test data array over-writing the original measured value. Not all the test data requires calibration thus this correction is only applied upto the maximum channel number of the calibration data, or upto the last channel number of the test data.

The test data now calibrated is resolved according to its strain gauge type as described in section 2. For the single strain gauge type, the data only requires to be multiplied by SCALE (input as data), to scale the reading before being output on the line printer. The rosette type strain gauge groups the channel numbers into threes and after scaling, as in the single type test data, uses equation 2.2 to 2.7 in section 2 to produce the value  $\epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, \gamma_{xy}, \epsilon_{max}, \epsilon_{min}, \psi_{pmax}$  and  $\psi_{pmin}$  ready to output on the line printer.

# INPUT DESCRIPTION

The data for the program is input on cards and was peripheral \* CRO. Free format is used by the program, thus adjacent numbers must be separated by at least one space, if any other separator is used by the program then this will cause an error.

The data for the program is listed below in tabular form:

1.       TITLE(1), I = 1,10       10A3         Input the title to be printed at the top of the output file. Must be within the first 90 columns.       10         2.       ICAL       10         Flag to define program mode i.e.       IO       10         ICAL = 0       Calibration mode       IO         ICAL = 1       Test mode       IF         If ICAL = 1 then go to data cards 3b       SCALE       F0.0         Scaling factor of the strain readings       10         4a.       NR       10         Number of runs of the calibration data       Minimum value 2.       Maximum value 20.         5a.       RUNTEMP(1), I = 1, NR       NR Fi         where RUNTEMP(1) is the overall temperature of the Hr run.       410         6a.       ROSING(1), I = 1, 4       410         7a.       ROSING(3) to ROSING(4)       A10         7a.       ROSING(3), I = 5, 8       410         7a.       ROSING(1), I = 5, 8       410         7a.       ROSING(1), I = 5, 8       410         7a.       ROSING(2) to ROSING(4)       410	Card No.	Description	'ormat
2.       ICAL       10         Flag to define program mode i.e.       ICAL = 0       Calibration mode         ICAL = 1       Test mode       ICAL = 1         If ICAL = 1       Test mode       If ICAL = 1         If ICAL = 1       Test mode       If ICAL = 1         If ICAL = 1       Then go to data cards 3b       Scaling factor of the strain readings         3a.       SCALE       F0.0         Scaling factor of the strain readings       I0         4a.       NR       I0         Number of runs of the calibration data       Minimum value 2.       Maximum value 20.         5a.       RUNTEMP(I), I = 1, NR       NR F         where RUNTEMP(I) is the overall temperature of the Ith run.       6a.       ROSING(I), I = 1,4         6a.       ROSING(I), I = 1,4       410         This defines two ranges of channel numbers to be grouped in threes for rosette type strain gauges. The ranges are ROSING(1) to ROSING(2) and ROSING(3) to ROSING(4)       410         7a.       ROSING(I), I = 5,8       410         7a.<	1.	TITLE(I), $I = 1,10$ Input the title to be printed at the top of the output file. Must be within the first 30 columns.	.0A8
4a.NRI04a.Number of runs of the calibration data Minimum value 2. Maximum value 20.NR5a.RUNTEMP(I), I = 1, NR where RUNTEMP(I) is the overall temperature of the Ith run.NR F46a.ROSING(I), I = 1, 4 This defines two ranges of channel numbers to be grouped in threes for rosette type strain gauges. The ranges are ROSING(1) to ROSING(2) 	2. 3a.	ICAL Flag to define program mode i.e. ICAL = 0 Calibration mode ICAL = 1 Test mode If ICAL = 1 then go to data cards 3b SCALE Scaling factor of the strain readings	10 F0.0
5a.RUNTEMP(I), I = 1, NR where RUNTEMP(I) is the overall temperature of the Ith run.NR F6a.ROSING(I), I = 1,44106a.This defines two ranges of channel numbers to be grouped in threes for rosette type strain gauges. The ranges are ROSING(1) to ROSING(2) and ROSING(3) to ROSING(4)4107a.ROSING(I), I = 5,8 This defines two ranges of single type strain gauges. The ranges are ROSING(5) to ROSING(6) and ROSING(7) to ROSING(8)410	4a.	NR Number of runs of the calibration data Minimum value 2. Maximum value 20.	10
<ul> <li>6a.</li> <li>ROSING(I), I = 1,4</li> <li>This defines two ranges of channel numbers to be grouped in threes for rosette type strain gauges. The ranges are ROSING(1) to ROSING(2) and ROSING(3) to ROSING(4)</li> <li>7a.</li> <li>ROSING(I), I = 5,8</li> <li>This defines two ranges of single type strain gauges. The ranges are ROSING(5) to ROSING(6) and ROSING(7) to ROSING(8)</li> </ul>	5a.	RUNTEMP(I), $I = 1$ , NR where RUNTEMP(I) is the overall temperature of the Ith run.	NR F0.0
7a. ROSING(I), I = 5,8 This defines two ranges of single type strain gauges. The ranges are ROSING(5) to ROSING(6) and ROSING(7) to ROSING(8)	<b>6a</b> .	ROSING(I), $I = 1, 4$ This defines two ranges of channel numbers to be grouped in threes for rosette type strain gauges. The ranges are ROSING(1) to ROSING(2) and ROSING(3) to ROSING(4)	410
	7a.	ROSING(1), $I = 5,8$ This defines two ranges of single type strain gauges. The ranges are ROSING(5) to ROSING(6) and ROSING(7) to ROSING(8)	410

ł

Card No.

8a.

9a.

#### Description

#### Format

IO

DUD

No. of inconsistencies in the channel numbers for the rosette type strain gauges. Maximum value = 5. If IDUD = 0 miss card 9a.

#### ROSING(I), I = 9, JJ Where JJ = 8 + IDUD \* 2

CH STRAIN TEMP

CH = Channel Number STRAIN = Strain reading

numbers in each run.

CH STRAIN TEMP

NRTEST

Maximum value of NC = 100

card of the calibration data.

RUNTEMP(I), I = 1, NRTEST

of Ith run of the test data.

Maximum value = 20

This defines a maximum of 5 ranges of channel numbers not to be used in calculations when considering rosette type strain gauges. The ranges defined are ROSING(9) to ROSING(10) etc. and indicate the numbers to be ignored. ROSING(1) is included in the range to be ignored, and for cases where only one number is to be ignored ROSING(1) will equal ROSING (I + 1).

TEMP = Temperature at time of measurement. For Run 1 where NC is the number of channel

For Run 2 and similarly for each run upto

card number NR\*NC + 9a, which is the last

where NRTEST is the number of test runs.

10a toNC + 9a

NC + 10a -to 2 NC + 9a

3b.

4b.

5b to NCTEST + 4b CH STRAIN TEMP For Run 1 where NCTEST is the number of channel numbers in each run. Maximum value of NCTEST = 120.

where RUNTEMP(I) is the overall temperature

NCTEST + 5b

CH STRAIN TEMP I0 For Run 2 and similarly for each run upto card number NRTEST\*NCTEST + 4b which is the last card in the test data.

148

1010

IO, 2F0.0

IO, 2F0.0

IO

NRTEST IO

IO, 2F0.0

IO, 2F0.0

The channel numbers of each run must start and finish on the same number and rise in steps of one. The first channel number of each set of data must be the same as that of the calibration data run previously. The last channel number may be different for each set of data. In the data file the channel numbers must be incremented in steps of one, including those not actually used by the program. If any channel number is missed then this will be signified by a halted message as follows:

Halted: Data Error Channel numbers inconsistent

In cases where no data is available for a channel then this will be signified by inputting a temperature of -999.

The program checks the ranges of channel numbers set for the rosette type strain gauge and if it is found that exact grouping of threes is not possible (allowing for the inconsistencies) then this is signified by a halted message as follows:

Halted: Data Error in strain gauge distinctions

The program also halts with the above message if the user attempts to read in an inconsistency in the range set for the single strain gauge type.

#### OUTPUT DESCRIPTION

5.

For both the calibration and test mode the title of the ouput file as input as data and a listing of overall temperature against run number are printed on the first page of the output file.

For the calibration mode the information for each channel number is output in blocks. Each block is headed by its channel number and underneath this are three columns headed by RUN, TEMPERATURE, and STRAIN. The temperature column gives the temperature of the strain gauge at the time of the strain measurement. For runs of that channel number where the temperature of the data file has been set to -999 is indicated by the message "No data available for this channel on this run".

For the test mode the rest of the output is again in blocks but these blocks can take two forms depending whether it is associated with a rosette type strain gauge or the single strain gauge. For the single type strain gauge the block is headed by the channel number and below has two columns headed TEMPERATURE and CORRECTED STRAIN. The temperature applies to the temperature of the strain gauge at the time of measurement. The corrected strain is the measured strain after calibration, where applicable, and scaling. The other type of output format for the rosette type strain gauge has each block headed by the three channel numbers associated with that rosette strain gauge. Below this are 8 columns headed by TEMP, E(x), E(y), GAMMA (xy), E(max), E(min), PHI(max) and PHI(min) where TEMP is the temperature of the strain gauge at the time of measurement the other variables are as determined in section 2, where E signifies  $\epsilon$ , brackets signify subscript and PHI signifies  $\varphi_n$ .

For runs where the temperature has been set to -999 then this is signified by "Information not available". On rare cases where there is not enough calibration data on a channel number then this is signified by "Not enough calibration data available".

## PROGRESS USAGE

6.

The program requires a core size of 22656 words and the name of the binary image is STRAINCALBIN and uses peripheral \*CRO and \*LPO. An estimation of time required by the program is 20 seconds per 1000 lines of data plus 5 seconds.

Assume that the calibration data is held in CALDATA, and two sets of test data are held in TESTDATA1 and TESTDATA2, then the George III statements required to run the program are as follows:

JT	150	·
MZ	23000	
LO	STRAINCALBIN	
AS	*CRO, CALDATA	
AS	*LPO, CALOP	
TI	50	
EN		
RL	*CRO	
RL	*LPO	•
LF	CALOP, *LP, AL	1
AS :	*CRO, TESTDATA1	•
AS	*LPO, TESTOP1	
TI	50	
EN		
RL	*CRO	
RL	*LPO	
LF	TESTOP1, +LP, AL	•
AS	*CRO, TESTDATA2	)
AS	*LPO, TESTOP2	)
TI	50	)
EN		)
RL	*CRO	)
RL	*LPO	)
$\mathbf{LF}$	TESTOP2, *LP, AL	)

The last part may be repeated for as many test data files as is required, as long as STRAINCALBIN is not re-loaded. If the program is re-loaded then the calibration data is lost. ١

٩



152

豊美豊格品質 読ん代報の 新潟。 運動であった。そのためである。



ORIGINAL FACE IN OF POOR QUALITY

## APPENDIX D1

.

## CALIBRATION DATA

P(g(x))

a. Sample Input b. Sample Output

	19999999999999999999999999999999999999	64453	******	**************************************	
******	*******		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	******	######################################
#L19718			884878 AT	10.22.56	
BOUT PUT		:06487C.EU853* em		.24.36 95186	17
. 005:mEn	T CALBATAS	1			
	•				
3	JEST DATA 2	CALIVRATION .			
	100.0 6				
	-1 3G 14 14	D			
	1				
	1 0 15				
10	3015			1	
12	5 2 15				
19	7 2 20	•			
14	• 2 20				
11	11 1 23				
21	105	• •			e sel est
23	303		ala e al da		
25	5 1.5			n an	
27	740				
22	• • • • • •				
31	11 5 0				
33	1 6 -15	•	•	4	
35	3 0 -15	•			
	3 2 -15			· · ·	
31	7 8 -15	· · · ·			
41	9 8 -999 10 0 -20	· · · ·	· . ·		1.11
41	11 15 -20				
45	1 0 -40		÷.,	1	
47	3 0 -46 4 8 -40			•	
49	5 4 -40				
51	7 10 -35				
51	9 18 -4C				
51	11 20 -40 _/ 12 0 -40				
57	166			· · · ·	
51	3 0 0 4 2 -5			· · ·	
•1	5 2 -5				
	7 5 -5				an the
61	• 3 -5 10 0 G	,	it in the	41.5	a da ser a ser Galeria da ser
67	11 10 -1				
64	1 0 20	•			
1 71	3 0 20				· · ·
71	5 0 20				
71	7 1 20				· · · · ·
21	0 3 10 13 3 20				
	11 1 29		•		
81	****				
					1997 - 1 19
			•		

ORIGINAL PAGE IS

\*

### TEST DATA 2 CALIBRATION

THE OVERALL TEMPERATURE OF EACH RUN RUN TEPPERATURE

•	20.00
	0.00
f.	-20.00
• •	0.00
	20.00

## CHANNEL NUMBER 1

RUN	TEPPERATURE	STRAIN
1	15.00	0.00
2	5.00	0.00
3	- 15.00	C.CO
4	-40.06	C.00
5	0.00	0.00
5. C 2.	20.00	0.00

## CHANNEL NUMBER 2

٠

RUN	TEMPERATURE	STRAIN
1	25.00	0.00
2	5.00	C.00
3	-15.00	0.00
4	-40_00	0_00
5 🖌	0.00	0.00
6	20.00	05-0

# CHANNEL NUMBER 3

RUN -	TEMPERATURE	STRAIN
1	15.00	0.00
2	5.00	0.00
3	-15.00	0.00
4	-40.00	0.00
5	0.00	0.00
E.	20.00	00_0

# CHANNEL NUMBER 4

RUN	TEMPERATU	RE STPAIN					
1	NO DATA	AVAILABLE FOR	THIS	CHANNEL	ON	THIS	RUN
2	5.00	1.00				•	
3 10	- 15 - 00	2.00					
4	-40.00	00.8					
5	-5.00	2.00					
6	10.00	1.00					

1	CHANNEL	NUMBER S
	******	***********

RUN TEMPERATURE

17	NO DATA	AVAILABLE FOR	THIS CHANNEL	ON THIS RUN
2	5.00	1.00	•	
3	-15.00	2.00		
4	-40_00	6100		
5.1	-5-00	2.00		
é	,20.00	C-CO		. ÷

STRAIN

## CHANNEL NUMEER 6

RUN .	TEPPERATU	RE STRAIN					
1	NO DATA	AVAILABLE FOR	THIS	CHANNEL	0N	THIS	RUN
2	5.00	4.00					
3.	- 15 . 20	1.03					
4	-30-70	3.00					<i></i>
5.	-5.00	5-00					
6	20.00	0.00			ć	- 1	

1.1

CHANNEL NUMBER 8

RUN	TEMPERATURE	STRAIN		
1	20.00	2.00		
2	0.00	4.00		
3	NO DATA AV	AILABLE FOR	THIS CHANN	EL ON THIS RUN
4	NC DATA AV	AILABLE FOR	THIS CHANN	EL ON THIS RUN
5	-5.00	6.00		
ć	10.00	2.00		
				•

CHANNEL NUMBER 9

HUN TEMPERATURE STRAIN

2

56

20.00	2.00				14 J.	· .
0.00	4.00					
NO DATA	AVAILABLE FOR	THIS	CHANNEL	0N	THIS	RUN
NO DATA	AVAILABLE FOR	THIS	CHANNEL	0 N	THIS	RUN
-5.CC	3.00					
10.00	3.00					

## CHANNEL NUMPER 10.

RUN

123450

i m

TEMPERATURE STRAIN	TEMPERATURE	STRAIN
--------------------	-------------	--------

15.00	0.00	•					
0.00	6.00						
NO DATÁ	AVAILABLE FOR	THIS	CHANNEL	ON	THIS	RUN	
NO DATA	AVAILABLE FOR	THIS	CHANNEL	0 N	THIS	RUN	
0.00	0.00						
20.00	. 9.00						

## CHANNEL NUMBER 11

### NUN TEMPERATURE STRAIN

1	20.00	1.00
2	 0.00	5.00
Ţ	 -20.00	15.00
Å.	-40.00	20.00
5	-5.00	10.00
é	20.00	1.00

## CHANNEL NUMBER 12

RUN	T	EMPERATURE	STRAIN
1	-	15.00	0.00
ż	1 . u	0.00	0.00
3	•	-20.00	6.00
4		-40_00	0.00
5		0.00	0.00
ć		20.00	0.00

## APPENDIX D2

## TEST DATA

a.	Sample	Input
b.	Sample	Output

11111111							
	:DE42	7C.EU853	н 1997 - 19 19	· · ·			
LISTING	CF PELDATA2	SET(1/) PR	ODUCED O	N 3MAR78	B AT 14.4	3.38	
OUTPUT B	Y LISTFILE I	N *:DE487C.EU	853° ON	984878.	NT 10.28.	21 USING	17
OCUPENT	DATAZSET	· •					
	<b>4</b> .						
с • • •	TEST DATA	2 SET1					
2 2						•	
4 1	0 0 1 16				•		· .
5 2	2 16						
74	5 20		•				
· • 6	9 20					1000 A. Ar 1412 A.	
10.7	P 15 8 15						
12 9	8 15						
14 1	1 7 15						
15 1	2 0 15						•
17 1	4 1 15 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1			
19 1	6 G 15						
21 1	8 10 20						
22 1	15 -999 15 C						
24 3	15°0 2 -10						
26.5	8 -10					:	
c 7 1	10 -15	ε ·					
29 8	10 -15						
31 1	10 10 16 1 12 -10						
3.5 1	2 0 -999						
35 1	4 5 0						· .
36 1 37 1	15 5 ũ 16 5 0						
36	17 12 -1					e de la composition d La composition de la c	

٠

4

٠,

\*

•

TEST DATA 2 SET1

THE OVERALL TEMPERATURE OF EACH RUN

RUN	TEMPERATURE	
2	20.00 C.Ců	

CHA CHA	NNEL NUPBENS	1 2 3	·				
TENP.	E (X)	"Ė (V)	GANA(KY)	E (MAK)	E (NIN)	PHICHAR)	( **** ***
16.00 RUN 2	0.10006 03 0.10006 03	D.3000E 03 On Not Available	0.00006 00	0 <b>.</b> 3000£ C3	Q.1000E 03	0-04	180.0
	*	•				•	
CHA	NNEL NUMBERS	4 5 6					
TEMP.	E (X)	E (Y)	GAMMAEXY)	E (NAK)	E (MIN)	PH3 (MAK)	(N/N) INd
20.00	0.4750E 03 0.2500E 02	0.4750E 03 0.6250E 03	0.4000£ 03 0.6000£ 03	0.6750E C3 0.7493E C3	0.275UE 03 +0.\$\$26E 02	45.0	135.0
	-						
CHI	LANEL NUPBERS	8 9 10 	• •			-	
TENP.	E (X)	E (Y)	GAMMA (XY)	ECMAX)	E (MIN)	PHI (NAX)	(NIN) INA
15.00	0.5500E 03 -0.5000E.02	0.4000E 03 0.1500E 04 -	0.1500E 03 -0.5500E 03	0.5211E C3 0.1547E C4	0.3689E 03 -0.9734E 02 .	22 <b>-5</b> 94 <b>-</b> 8	112.5

:

# CHANNEL NUMBER 11

TEMPERATURE	CORRECTED STRAIN
15_00	0_5000E 03
-10_00	0_2000E 03

æ

4-

# CHANNEL NUMBER 12

TEMPERATURE	CORRECTED STRAIN
15.00	0.0000E 00
RUN 2	INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE

(NIN) IHA 0-0 CXAN) IH9 00 -0.1000E 03 0.5000E 03 E CMIN) 0.1000E C3 E (NAX) 6AMMA (XY) 0.0000E 00 0.0000E 00 -0.1000E 03 0.5000E 03 E (Y) 0.1000E 03 0.5000E 03 E (X) TEMP. 15.CD C.CD

٩

Ì

ł

# CHANNEL NUMBER 17

TEMPERATURE	CORRECTED STRAIN
. 16.00	0.5000E 03
-1.00	C.1200E 04

# CHANNEL NUMBER 18

TEMPERATURE	CORRECTED STRAIN
20.00	0.1000F 04
0.00	0.1800E 04

## APPENDIX E

## COMPUTED STRAIN VALUES FOR TEST 7

~

(Refer to Table E1 in conjunction with Fig. 40)

THERMO - COLIPLE NO	CHANNEL NO	STR. (RL	AIN G DSETT NO	AUGE TE.)	Сні (Е,)	ANNE (E2)	EL NO (Es)	REDRESENTED BY THERNO-COUPLE	STRAI	N GAUG NGLE) NO.	CHANNEL NO	REPRESENTED BY THERMO-COUPLE
O(AMBIENT)	0	1(CF)	MNEL	BOTTON	24	25	26	1	19(CFF	MANEL EDG	2 80	1
1 (CF PANEL TOP)	. 1	2(	4	)	27	28	29	1	20(	м	) 81	1
2( 11 )	2	3(	4	)	30	31	32	1	21(	4	) 82	1
3(1)	3	4(	. 1	)	33	34	35	1	22(	А	) 84	4
4( m )	4	5(	A	1	36	.37	38	1	23(	n	) 85	1
5 (TEST FRAME BO	5	61	M	)	39	40	41	4	24(	<b>n</b>	186	1
6( ")	6	7(	1	)	42	43	44	1	25(TE	ST FRAME T	88	5
7(n)	7	8(	И	- )	45	46	47	3	26(	4	) 89	11
8( 1 )	8	9(4	PANEL	. 70P)	4B	49	50	4	27(	n	00 ו	9
9( 1 )	9	1064	ANEL	ioron	51	52	53	4	28(		) 92	6
10(n)	10	11 (CF	AWEL	T0P)	56	57	58	1	29(	n	) 93	12
11( ~ )	11	12(	n	)	59	60	61	1	301	1	) 94	10
12(n)	12	13(	1	)	62.	63	64	1			<b>1</b>	$\mathbf{\mathbf{Z}}$
13(DTI FRAME)	13	14-(		)	65	66	67	1	35(7	ST HEAML SA GTTOM HACE	112	21
14( n )	14	15(	<b>.</b> .	)	63	69	70	1	36(72	ST KOMME SAN TOP FACE	213	5 21
15( ~ )	15	16(	'n	)	71	72	73	4				
16( ~ )	16	17(	. 1	)	74	75	76	1		an a		
17( n)	17	18(	, n	)	77	78	79	3		in en		
18 (CFSKIN) SAMPLE)	18											
19 (AMBIENT)	19	31(2	F <b>SKIN</b> . TOP A	SMAL	96	97	98	18				
CF ANEL BO	12573 -6 20 187	,32(	F SKIN. BOTTON	SAMPLE MCE	100	101	102	18				
21 (TEST FRAME BAC	1295 -	33(	TOP F	Smal	104	105	106	20				
		34(	F Annel BOTTOM	SAMPLE /	108	109	110	20				

e Ĉi

TABLE E1

#LISTING OF PRUPESTOPZAIN PRODUCED ON TIPPEZE AT 10.00.59

-«CUTËUT EY LIŠTFILF IN ":DE487C.EUO56" ON 11APR7E AT 10.G7.52 USING TES 10P 7 143MDD 04 C CALIESATION DATE 2/2/7h ) READINGS TAKEL ON 9/2/78 DATA FOF TEST 7

THE OVERALL TEMPERATURE OF EACH RUN

TEMPERATURE kuv

~	~	_	<b>د</b> ،	~	_			~	0	
20.01	0.0	25.0(	50.00	75 . O(	0.00	25-00	15+0(	0-06	5°-0	
		ï	t	ī	ĩ	T	٦	Ť	•	
*		****					· · ·			
----------	----------------------------	---------------------------	--------------	--------------	-----------------------------	-----------	----------	------------		
. TEMP.	E (X)	E (Y)	G AMMA (XY)	E(MAX)	E(MIN)	IHd	FI (MAX)	(NIN) IH		
19.10	0.1622E 03	0.1218E 03	0.6952E 02	0.1622E 15	C.1018E 03	29.5	11	۰.9		
-0.30	-U.1129E J3	-0.7506E 02	0.1087E 03	-0-3042E CZ	-6.1515E P3	54.0	14	9		
-23.50	-C.473#E 03	-0-2790F 03	0.3237E 03	-0.1873E (3	-P-5648E 03	- 09 9	150			
-51.50	-0-5158E 03	-0.5035E 03	C. 5969E U3	-0.3476E C	-6.1075E U4	29				
-69-00	-C.1504E 04	-0.0204E US	0.4205E U3	-0-5000F C5	-0-10201 04 -0-10-01					
- 40°-00		-U-CCOCE US	0 11725 U4	-0-2240F	-0.25181 04		15			
157.50	-0.28975 14	-0-1377E 64	0-1742E 04	-0.9209E-13	-0.3293E C4	05.1	151			
163.50	-C -2938E 04	-0.1443E 04	0.17% GE 04	-0.1025E C4	-0-3356E C4	6.5 °.	15:	و•ر د		
17.75	0.22636 03	0.1786E U3	C.9250E 02	0.2564E CJ	0,1505E 03	31-(	12:	0.1		
					•					
				•			•			
E.	IANNEL NUMBERS	27 28 29 *************				•		•		
ŢĘĸP.	E (X)	E(Y)	GAMMA(XY)	E (MAX)	E (MIN)	HH	MAX) FI	(NIN) IH		
10 10	0.2457F 03	0.20276.02	-0.449.0F 02	0.2474E [3	0.1200E 02	174.	80	, ,		
-[.30	0.5361E 02	-0.17858 03	-0.1624E 03	0.7916E [2	-0.2041E 03	162	.2	2.5		
-23.50	-0.1676E C3	-0.3895E C3	-0.2136E 03	-0.1246E C3	-U.4326E U3	158-0	9	0.0		
-51.50	-0.4188E 03	-0.6391E 03	-0.2650E 03	-0-3567E E5	-0.70126 03	154		<b>D</b>		
00.60-	0.5759E 03 -	-0.8471E 05	-0.5/01E US	-U.4021E LS	-0.9409E 115 -0 1263E 06		- N			
115-00	-0-04046-04 -0-1029E 04	-0-15956 04	-0.5203E 03	-0.8941E C3	-0.1530E 04	152 (				
157.50	-3.1400E 04	-0.1874E 04	-0.5611E 03	-0.1270E C4	-0-2004E P4	155.	0	5.1		
163.50	-C.14 46 64	-C.1947E C4	-0.5425E 03	-0.1317E U4	-0-2064E. C4	156-	2	<b>5.7</b>		
17.70	0.31C3E 03	0.6115E 02	-0.3600E.02	0.3116E C3	0.5586E 02	175.		5.9		
		. :								
				•	• •					
CH	ANNEL NUMBERS	30 31 32						•		
***		*********	•							
TEMP.	E.(X)	E(Y)	GAMMA(XY)	E (MAX)	E (MIN)	PHI	PI (YAN)	HI CHIND		
19.10	0.2340E 03	0.1737E 02	-0-2395E 03	0.26736 C3	-0.3592E U2	156.	9	5.0		
-0.30	0.2798E 02	-0.1633E 03	-0.2505E 63	0.8992E C2	-0.2253E 03	153	0	~ ~		
-23.50	-0.1962E 03	-0.4149E 03	-0.2935E 03	-0.1225E CS	-0.4286E US		0 4	* «		
00-04-	CU 20254 D-	-0.8515F 03	-0.2035E 03	-0-5724£ 63	-0-5866E (3	1.60	~	0.0		
-91.00	-0.79576 03	-0.11146 04	-0.1641E 03	-0.7705E C3	-0.11395 64	164.	2	80 -1		
115.50	-0.10C4E 54	-0.1359E 04	-0.1603E 03	-0.9871E 03	-0.13776 04	167-1	~	80 - 2		
-157.50	-0.1342E 04	-0.1828E U4	-0.1561E.C3	-0.13295 64	-0.1840E 04 -	1/1		- 0		
165.50	-U.JSCEE U4 0.28595 03	-U.1940E U4 D-6605F 02	-0.2186E 05	-U.13336E [3	0.21376 02	157.				
				H	       					

n

	PHI CKIN)	153.7	165.3	166.0	166.7	168.5	168-7		142-2	•	•			CHIWI (MIN)	140.2	179.1		3.2	10 P			1.1	156.0					CHICKIN)	a C	10.5		4-7	0- <b>;</b>	5. M	0 · ·	0 W 0 M	
. 1. 1	PH1 (MAX)	63.7	75.3	76.6	7.87	78-5	7.37		52.2					PHICMAX )	2.97	89.1	64.1	93.2	42.0 J	9 76	34.5	91.1	66.0	•••	•			PHI (MAX.)	8.11. A	100-5	96.6	64.7	64.0	93.5	5		
	:		•										•											•		•	•		•*. • *.			•				•	
	E (HIN)	-0.15276 02 -0.2695F 63	-0.5903E 03	-0.9416F 03	-0.1267E U4	-0-1644E 04	- C. 17886 04	-0 345 25 U4	C.6503E 62	•				E (MIN)	0.70246 01	-0.22436 C3	-0.5266E 65	-0-6940E 03	-0-1120E 04	-0.17996 64	-0-2316E P4	-0.235JE 04	0.7612E U2		•			E (MIN)	0.25776 02	-0-20356 03	-0-5090E 05	-0.7616E 0.5	-0.1151E C4	-0.1470F 04 8	-0-1/62E 04	-0.2247F PL	
	E(MAX)	0,21536 C3 0,87256 C2	-0.6098E C2	-0-2066E C3	-0.20016 C3	-0.5032E CS	-U-4601E LS		0.27165 03					E(MAX)	0.15436 C3	0.3445E C2	-0.1712E C3	-0.33436 03	-0-6107E C3	-0.8191E C3	-0.1125E C4	-0.1227E C4	0.1908E C3			•		E (MAX)	0.1307E C3	0.3323E [2	-0.8197E C2	-0.2142E US	-0.36096 C3			-0.85935 [3	
	GAMMACXV)	0.1831E 03 0.2006E 03	0.2604E 03	0.36396 03	0_41056 03	C. 3636E US	0.75346.03	P. 710KF D.C	0.2000E 03					CAMMA (XY)	0-54136 02	0.5039E 01	-0.50AZE 02	-0.03576 02	-0.1108E 03	-0.1573E 03	-0.1981E C3	-0-4449E C2	U-8370E U2		:		•	GAMMACXY)	-0.6951E 02	-0-5494E 02	-0-9810E 02	-0.920CE 02	-0.1063E 05	-0.1101E 03	-0.17175 01	-0.1689E 03	
*******	E (Y)	0.1700E 03 0.5535E 02	-0.9522E 02	-0.2519E 03	-0.2411E 03	-0>036 US	-0.7200F 03	-0.76166 03	0-1941E U3			56 37 38	********	E(Y)	0.14916 03	0.34386 02	-U-1/3UE US	-0.45716 US	-0.6143E 03	-0.8255E U3	-0.1133E 04	-0.1228E 04	U-1/216 US			10 VU VI	********	E (Y)	0.1175E 03	0.2536E 02	-0.8768E 02	-0.2180E C3	-0-1846E 03	-0-36036-03 -0-61626-33	-0-8404E 03	-0.8644E 03	0 11365 01
	E (X)	0.29966 C2 -0.23666 03	-0.5540E 03	-0.53625 03	-C -1226 04	-1-11-12 04 -0-16285 04	-0.25326 04	-0.2512E C4	0.1425E C3	. *		INKEL NUMBERS		E (N)	0.1218E C2	-0.2242E 03		-3.11556 04	-0.1468E 04	-0.17436 04	-0.2307E 04	-U23535 (14	10 3CI 01 0			NNEL RUPAFUS		E (X)	0-31946 02	-0.1960E C3	-C.5033E 01	-0.778CE 03	-1.14/6 [4	-0-1279F 04	-0.2211E 04	-0.22426 04	0 3549E 62
	TENP.	19-10	-23.50	-51.50			-157_50	-163.50	17.70			CHI	***	TEMP.	19.10	-0.20			-93.00	-115.00	-157.50	-105-50				CHA	****	TENP.	19.20	-0.40	-25-20	- 20-06-		-127-20	-146.00	-19600	1750

CHANNEL NUMBERS 33 34 35

				·. ·					•		•																					- -		~			•	
	PHI (MIN)	75.9	5-8-	177.6	175.1	178.2	178.1	178.2	179.3	1/8./	· ·				(NIW) IHA	47-4	17.8	9.7	-0	+ c	2 4		3.5	46.7		•			CNTES INA	74.4	46-1	12.6	5.5	5-2	4-2	2.5	7.47	
	PHI(MAX)	105.5		87.6	85.1	5.88	66.1	56-2	0 1 70 1	1.75		•	•	· · ·	C XVU) IHA	137.4	107.8	2.65	4.95			93.7	93.3	130.7	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		FHICHAX J	104-4	136.1	104.4	5- 20	95.2	2. 29	93.2	164.7	
•									•		•			•				• •							•		•			. 2								
	E (MIN)	0.633UF 02	-0.15436 03	-0.4641E 03	-0.655GE C3	-0.1199E U4	-0.1530L C4	-0.1548E 04	-0.2341E 04	-U-2572F U4					E (M)N)	0.3981E 02	-0.1516E 03	-0.41696 05	-0.6639E 03	-P. 10275 U4	-0-1527E 04	-C.1995F 04	-0.2046F 04	0.2002E 02	• . •		•	1	E CHIN)	-0.65006 02	-0.606%E 02	-0.53726 05 -0 56255 03	-0.90916.03	-0.1237E C4	-0.1517E 04	-0.261YE 04	-0.10558 03	
	E (MAX)	0.10776 (3	-0.8270E C2	-0.2304E C3	-0-4297E C3	-0.6222E C3	-0.8517E C3	-0.112EE C4	-0.1499E C4	-U.1555E [4				<b>.</b>	E (MAX)	0.1206E [3	-0.13126 02	-0.1654E C3	-0.3451E C3	-0.3551E US	-0.1701E US	-0.12416 C4	-0.1276E (4	0.1213E C3	•	•			ETRAXJ	0.3034E C3	0.1285E US	0.18456 03	D TADER IN	0.5204E C3	0.63756 (3	0.66455 03	0-2574E [5	
	GAMPIA (XY)	-0.2104E 02	-0.1433E 02	0.1972E 02	0.7265E 02	0.3574E.02	G.4579F 02	0.446EE 02	0.2124E 02	C.3797E U2		• • •			6AMMA (XY)	-0.8067E 02	-0.8076E 02	-0.8389E U2	-0.7120E 92	-C.ST46E UZ	-0.5316E 02	-0-90676 02	-0.8786E 02	-0.1604E 03				•	6 AMMA (XY)	-0.19106_03	-0.1891E 03	-C-2222E U3	-0.25446 DT	-0.31546 03	-0-3162E 03	-0.2978F 03	-0.52446 US -0.1645E 03	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*******	E (Y)	0.6595E 02	-0.8343E U2	-0.2308E 03	-0.4329E C3	-0-6227E 03	-0.6525E 03	-0.1129f 04	-0-14995 04	-0.1555E 04				45 46 47	E (Y)	0.76536 02	-0.2611E 02	-0.1726E 03	-0.3489E 03	-0.5966E US	-0.7716E US	-0.1244E 04	-0.1274E 04	0.6788E 02			48 49 50		E ( <b>Y</b> )	-0.3834E 02	0.3031E 02	0.1597E 03	0 1448E 01	C.5062E 03	0.6253E 03	0.5562E 03	0.62965 US -0.60315 02	
*******	E (X)	0.1051E 03	-D.1535E 03	-0.4577E 03	-0.8515E U3	-0-1198E B4	-0.1530E 04	-0.1847E D4	-0.2341E 04	-0.2371E-04			•	INNEL NUMBERS	E (X)	0.8364E 02	-0.13P6E 03	-0.4097E 03	-0.68C1E 03	-0.1076E 84	-0.1525E 04	-0-1592E 04	-0.2043E 04	0.73596 02			INNEL NUMBERS 		ē(X)	0 2770E 03	G.3752E 12	-0.31236 03	-0 8030E 01	-0.1223E 04	-0.1506E 04	-0.2011E 04	-0.2322E 03	· · ·
****	TEMP.	19.10	-0.30	-23.50	-51.50	-65-00	-93.00	-115.00	-157.50	-163.50	2	•	•	C H A	1634 -	19.20	-0.40	-22*00	-49-50	-75-00	-102-00	-164.50	-15e.00	17.50			CHA CHA		TEMP.	19.20	-0.40	-25.00		-10,-00	-127.00	-166.00	-150.00	

1

CHANNEL NUMBERS 42 43 44 Afalabafaaafkaaafkaaafaafaa

* * C					•			
1 EMP .	E (X)	E (Y)	GAMMA(XY)	E (MAX)	[ (w] l')	- 	(XAM) [H4	(NIW) IHd
15.20	-6.3272E 32	0.1938E 03	-0.51176 02	0.1967E C3	-0.3558b 03		56.4	6.4
-0110-	-0.3045E 03	C.1456E.C3	-0.3417E 02	0.1462E C3	-C.3051E 03		92.2	5 <b>-</b> 5
-25.00	-C.6373E 03	0.10106-03	-0.2213E 02	0.1012E C3	-C.6875E C3		3.05	0 • •
-50.00	-0.10415 64	0.1090F 05	-C_12UUE 32	0.10405 L5	-0-1041E 04		<b></b>	
00.504-		0.7887F 02	0_8557E_02	6-7980E C2	40 4962130-			178.8
-127.00	-0.2241E 94	0.6196E C2	0.10435 03	G.6314E [2	-0-2242E U4		82.7	178.7
-166.60		-0-S449E 61	C.10£9E C3	-0.73546 (1	-0.2.21E 04		56.9	178.9
-15¢.00	-0.28646 04	-0.1586E 02	. 0.9257E 02	-0.1511E C2	-0.2565F 34		29-1	179.1
17.50	-0-5194E C2	0.1969E 03	-0.130CE C3	0.2129E C3	-0-¢7906 02		105-6	3-51
				•				
4 4 4 4 4 4 7 4 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7 4 7	4ANLEL NUMBERS **************	56 57 58 *********						
TENP.	E (X)	E (Y)	GAMMA (XY)	E (MAX)	E (M1N)		PHI (MAX )	(NIW) IHA
C + 0 +	-1 34515 PT	-0.27315 02	20 32849 0-	N. 19255 CV	הי היאר הי		125-7	15.7
		-0-1104E 03	-0.4032E 03	0.28946 62	-0-4021E 03		124 .6	34.6
-25+50	-0.31A1E CS	-0.1663E 03	-0.909GE C2	-0.154UE US	-0.3288E 65		105.7	15.7
-51.50	-0.416?E 93	-0.2997E 03	0.3403E 03	-0.17635 [3	-0.5381E 05		54.5	144.5
00	-P.4326E 33	-0-62155 02	C.6467E C3	0.1104E 03	-0.6252E US -		59.2 57.5	149.2
	-1 -735/E US	-0.4505E 02	0 16995 04	0.36556 C3	-0-00736 US	•	50.5	140.2
-157.59	-0.9790E C3	-0.1532E 04	0.32436 04	0.5984E C3	-0-2909E 04		39-5	125.3
-103.50	-0.5463E 23	-0.1773E 04	C.3413E 04	0.39506 (3	-6_:116E 04		38.2	128.2
17.70	-0.1022E 03	-0-1732E 03	-0.6305E 03	0.17955 03	-0.4550E C3		138.2	48.2
				- 			•	
÷.	1 A N N E L N U MB E R S 1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	59 60 61 *************		•				
TEMD	E (X)	F (Y )	(XY) CARMA(XY)	E(MAX)	E (M1N)		PH1(MAX)	PHI (WIN)
			-0 /172F A2	12766 63	-0 430.01 -0		115 &	25 4
	-0.1036F 03	0.3935F 63	-0.1387F 03	0.4030F L3	-0.11316 03		2-25	0°C2
-23.50	-0.1630E 03	0.8717E 02	0.23436.03	0_13346 CS	-0.2693E 03		66.4	150.4
-51.50	-0.1807E 03	0.2504E 02	C-6043E 03	0.2413E C3	-0-3570E 03		54-4	144.4
-64.30	-0.1213E 03	0.6977E 02	0.1064E 64	0.5146E C3	-0.5661E 03		50.1	148.1
-115.09	-0-2431E 03	0.55136 01	0.1901E 04	0-2421E US	-U.1C76E 04		48 . 5	00 00 N
-157.50	-0.5903E 03	0.1457E 03	0.2479E 04	0-1071E C4	-0.1515E 04	• .	53-3	143.3
-163-59	-0.6283E 05 -0.2075E 02	0.2329E 03	0.251/E 04 -0.4064E 03	. 0.1003E 64 0.3456E [3	-0.1335E PS		119-0	29-0
.*								

*** **	ANNEL NUPBERS	62 63 64 *************			· · · · ·		
TÉMP.	E (X)	E (Y)	GAMMA (XY)	E (MAX)	(WIW) 3	PHICMAX)	PHI (WIN)
14 10	-0-9241F 01	0-2544F 03	-0-6029E-02	0.2575E C3	-0-1261E C2	7-05	6.4
0.00	-0.34655 02	0.1185E 03	-0.7726E 02	0.1277E C3	-0.4324E 02	105.4	13.4
-23.50	-C.1175E 03	-0.1451E 02	-0.91756 02	0.2952E C1	-0.1350E 03	110.8	20.8
-51.50	-C.13&PE. 03	-0.2461E 03	-0.1426E 03	-0.1032E C3	-0.2616E C3	153.5	63.5
-60.00	-0.2515E 02	-0.2906E 03	-0.1988E U3	0.7953E (1	-0.5237E C3	161.6	71.6
-95.00	5.2968E 32	-0.4570E 03	-G.2105E 03	0.5145E C2	-6.4783E C3.	168.3	78.3
- 115.00	-0-3434E 02	-0.5786F 03	-0.2267E 03	-0.1173E C2	-0.6013E 03	168.7	7.37
-157.50	-0.1924E 03	-D.8565E 03	-C.2699E 03	-0.164 ZE 03	-0-2743-0-	169.0	79.0
-163.50	-0.21845 03	-0.9095E 03	-02615E 03	-0-1945E [3	-0.9334E [:3	169-6	79-6
17.70	C. 29815 C3	0.1960E 03	C-2875E 02	0.3000E (3	0.1940E 05	- 4	6-16
•	•						
	•				•		
		•					
CH CH	ANNEL NUMBERS	65 66 67					
	· · · · ·			. •			
LEMP.	E (X)	E (Y)	GAMPA (XY)	E ( MAX )	E (NIN)	PHI ( WAX )	(NIH) IHd
14 - 10	G.1016E 03	-0-9102E 02	-0.35986 03	0.20936 (3	-C_1988E G3	149.1	59.1
	-C.2132E 02	-0.4617E 02	-0.2021E 03	0.3883E (2	-0.16636 03	130.1	40.1
-23-50	-0.3031E 03	-0.1069E 02	-0.1087E 02	-0.1079E C2	-0.3032F U3	91.1	1.1
-51.50	-0.5293E G3	-0.4673E 01	0.2084E 03	<b>C.1527E C2</b>	-0.5492E 05	79.2	169.2
00-39-	-0.7213E 63	0.1519E 03	0.5U86E U3	- 0.2206E C3	-0.7a49E 03	24 - 6	164.9
-93.00	-0.408 CE	0.25316 03	0.7647E 03	0.3617E (3	-0.103E F4	14-1	104-1
-115.00	-C.1231E 04	0.3106E 03	0.9786E 03	0.4528E (3	-0.1373E 04	73.8	103.0
-157.50	-1.16435 64	0 29886 03	0.1284E 04	0.49176 15		73.5	105
-161-50	-112/2E 14	U. 2041E US	U. 1202E U4	0 10111 0			× 00
	CO 311C/*O					3	
	•					•	
	•						
CH	ANNEL NUMBERS	68 69 70	•				•
***		**********					
TEMP.	E (X)	E(Y)	GAMMA(XY)	E (HAX)	E (MIN)	CXAM) [H]	(NIW) IHA
01-51	-0.27575 CO	0.1492E 03	-0-77576 02	0.1587E C3	-0.9833E 01	103.8	13.6
-0.30	-0.1569E 03	0.1698E 03	0.6367E: 02	0.1728E C3	-0.1600E 03	84.5	174-5
-23.50	-0 -261EE 03	0.1636E 03	0.2267E 03	0.1919E C3	-0.2901E 03	76.0	166.0
-51.50	-0.5556E 03	0.2177E 03	0.34966 03	0.2554E C3	-0.5935E 03	77.8	167.8
-69-00	-0.6977E 03	0.3352E 03	0.5865E 03	0.4127E C3	-0-77528 03	2-52	165.2
-93.00	-0.9260E C3	0.3839E 03	G.7892E 03	0.4936E C3	-0.1036E 04	74-5	164.5
-115.00	-0.1180E C4	0.4521E 03	0.9783E 03	0.5875E C3	-0.1315E G4	74-5	164.5
-157.50	-0.1622E 04	0.4295E 03	6.1173E 04	G.5855E [3	-0.1//25 04	1.C)	100.11
-165.50	- 10 30 CI*0-	0.4004E U3	C.10016 04 -0 47646 02	0.4131E LJ	-0 115cF 04	177 9	0 * 10
11.10	U.1260E U4	-U 30211-U-	-n 1:0:1-n-	U. 121 121 U.			× = 1 L

•	CNIN) ING	166.7	1(5.5	1.7.1	1 ( 4 - 5	4 I 0 I	179.7	0.0	179.4	179.3	177.2				(NIM) IHJ	160.4				2.0	179.9	179.6	179-0	179.5	179.5	179.1	
•	PHI (MAX)	76.7	83.5	87.1	5°-33	90 .4	64.7	0.05	96.4	69°3	87.2				C XYW) IHd	20 4			67.2	- 2 <b>- 0</b> 6	89.5	24.6	0.95	£9.5	69.5	69-1	
	E (MIN)	-C.4923E 02	-0.1209E GI	-0.3533E 03	-0.5×01F 03	-0.67166 03	-0.8165E N3	-0.1024E 04	-C.13476 C4	-0-13795 04	-0.1559E 03	•			E (MIN)	15 3736 V		-0-0201E 02	-0.2915E US	-0.5332E 03	-0.7583E G3	-0.1000E 04	-C.1305E 04	-0.1674E 04	-0-1776E 04	112055	
•	ECHAX)	0.84596 22	0.4166E C2	0.48776 (1	-0.8692E C1	0.7172E C2	0.6965E C2	G_8475E C2	0.1235E C3	0.11016 03	0.41366 (3	• · · ·			E (MAX)		U. 140 / E. LS	0.12536 63	0.1728E [5	0.2763E U3	0.2832E C3	0_3441E CI	0.390UE CS	0.1138E C4	0 12406 04		U.13175 CT
	GAMMA (XY)	C.6006E 02	0.5041E 02	0.368GE 02	0.1333E 02	-0.1111E 02	0.1042E 02	-0.5289E 00	· 0.3156E 02	N 1874E 02	0.5540F 02				GAMMA (XY)		C.3567E U2	0_4761E C2	0.4363E 02	-0.8404E 01	C_3760E_01	C_2100E_02	0.5700F 02	0 2749F 02			U.5004E UC
74 75 76	с ( <b>Х</b> ) .	0-7746E D2	0.3879E 02	0.3930E 01	-0.P766F 01	0 71685 02	0 40675 02	0 82755 12	0 1234E 03		0 11215 01			// /0 // Pastatatatat	E(Y)		0.1898E 03	0.1222E 03	0.1717E D3	0_27635 03	0.2832F 03		D SBOSE 02				0.131YE U4
NNNEL NUMBERS		- 420KE 12		-0 1574F 01					-0 1171 07		-U.15/9E U+			ANNE L RUPBEKS	(X) =		C_1424E C3	-0.5955E U2	-0 2007E 03				10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1			-0.1120E U4	C.1298E 03
CHI	TERP.									-15/-56	-163.50	DJ-71			11.60		19-20	17-1-+						ac*<21-	-184.50	-196.00	CS*2*

		*	
	80	***	
	æ		
	NUME		
	NNV	* * *	
	3	***	
:		-	

TEMPERATURE CORRECTED STRAIN

0.5547E 0.5296E	0.5045E 0.4688E 0.4688E 0.5900E 0.5373E 0.3373E 0.3105E 0.47671E	
19.10	-23.50 -51.50 -69.00 -92.00 -157.00 -177.70	

	•	
۰.	٠.	
=	Ξ.	
	1	
Ca:	4	
8	-	
×.	÷.	
2	2	
1		
27	-	
¥.	÷.	
0	-	
	÷.	

CORRECTED STRAIN	0.89436 02	-0-8658E 02	-0.2714E D3	-0-4553E 03	-0.5682E 03	-0.7746E 03	-0.9525E 03	-0.1457E 04	-0.1484E 04	0.2492E 03
TEMPERATURE	19.10	-0.30	-21.50	-51.50	-65.00	-93.00	-115.00	-157.50	-163.50	17.70

176

. `

.

## CHANNEL NUMBER 82 444444444444444444444444

# TEPPERATURE CORRECTED STRAIN

19.10 -0.33796 ( -0.30 -0.30 -0.2096E ( -23.50 -0.2096E ( -51.50 -0.5885E ( -0.7139E ( -0.7139E ( -0.7139E ( -0.7139E ( -115.00 -0.7296E ( -163.50 -0.1296E ( -163.50 -0.1296E ( -17296E ( -17296E ( -17296E ( -17275E 0)

33

00000

8448

6

1

•

:

٩

ż

### CHANNEL NUMBER 83 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

1

ł

.

# TEMPERATURE CORRECTED STRAIN

INFORMATION NOT AVAIL	INFORMATION NOT AVAIL	INFORMATION NOT AVAIL	INFORMATION NOT AVAIL	INFORMATION NGT AVAIL	IAFORMATION NOT AVALL	INFORMATION NOT AVAIL	INFORMATION NOT AVAIL	INFORMATION NOT AVAIL	INFORMATION NOT AVAIL	
-	~	1 101	4	<b>س</b>	Ŷ	~	ຍ	6	10	
FUN	E UN	N I Z	N N N	NUS	E UN	RUN	N N N	N C N	N O Y	

ייי שי שי אי שי אי שי שי שי

### CHANNEL NUMBER 84 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

CORRECTED STRAIN	-0.7786E 03	-0.6959E 03	-C_6130E 03	-0.5395E 03	-0.7077E 03	-0.5998E 03	-0_4628E 03	-0.4330E 02	C_2064E 02	-0-6741E 03	
TEPPERATURE	19-10	-0.30	-23.50	-51.50	-69-00	-93.00	-115.00	-157.50	-165.50	17.70	

## CHANNEL NUMCER 85 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

CORRECTED STRAIN

TEMPERATURE

0.3082E 03	-0.2439E 02	-0.1886E 03	-0-4681E 03	-0.5701E 03	-0.7014E 03	-0.7300E 03	-0.3799E 03	-0-3240E 03	0.3587E 03	
19.10	-0.50	-23.50	-51-50	-69-00	-93.00	-115_00	-157.50	-163-50	17.70	

TEMPERATURE CORRECTED STRAIN

29536 0 33146 0 55466 0 753466 0 753466 0 753466 0 753466 0 753466 0 753466 0 534726 0 7534726 0 7534720 0 7534700 0 7534700000000000000000000000000000000000	
	•
19:00 19:00 19:00 19:00 19:00 19:00 19:00 19:00 10	

Ł

### CHANNEL NUMBER 87 444444444444444444444444

# TEMPERATURE CORRECTED STRAIN

RUN 1 INFORMATION NOT RUN 2 INFORMATION NOT RUN 2 INFORMATION NOT RUN 3 INFORMATION NOT RUN 3 INFORMATION NOT RUN 5 INFORMATION NOT RUN 7 INFORMATION NOT RUN 7 INFORMATION NOT RUN 9 INFORMATION NOT RUN 9 INFORMATION NOT		AVAILABLE	AVAILAFLE	AVAILABLE	<b>AVAILAULE</b>	AVAILALLE	<b>AVAILAGLE</b>	AVAILAFLE	AVALLAFLE	AVAILAULE	AVAILAPLE
RUN 1 INFORMATION RUN 2 INFORMATION RUN 2 INFORMATION RUN 3 INFORMATION RUN 3 INFORMATION RUN 5 INFORMATION RUN 7 INFORMATION RUN 7 INFORMATION RUN 7 INFORMATION RUN 7 INFORMATION		NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT
8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		INFORMATION	INFORMATION	I NFORMATION	I K FORMATION	INFORMATION	INFORMATION	INFORMATION	I NFORFATION	INFORMATION	INFORMATION
	KA LUKE	-	2	ы	r	s	÷	7	نغ	٥	10
	1111	RUN	NUX N	ក្រស	NU F	K.N	KU'N	KUN.	БUN	КUN	KUN

### CHANNEL NUMBER 88 \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## TEMPERATURE CORRECTED STRAIN 18.60 0.2360E 02

0.2360E 02	0.7494E 02	0.1507E 03	0.2086E 03	0.2626E 03	0.3338E 03	0_3670E 03	INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE	INFOPMATION NOT AVAILABLE	0.1502E.02
18.60	0.00	-25.00	-51.50	-26.50	-125.60	-156.00	SUN P	NUN S	15-60

1

r

i

ŧ.

×

CHANNEL NURBER 89 easaeaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa

¥

3

.,

CORRECTED STRAIN TEMPERATURE

-0.3346E 02	0.2174E 02	0.89096 02	0.14136 03	0_20246 03	0.2722E 03	0.3347E G3	INFORMATION NOT AVAFLABLE	INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE	0.4376E 04
18.70	0.20	- 24-00	-51.00	-67.00	-124-00	-161.00	RUN 8	RUN 9	14-50

CHANNEL NUMBER 90 Harret Artestereter

CORRECTED STRAIN	-0.5495E 02 -0.1085E 02 0.3874E 02 0.7405E 02 0.1243E 03 0.1243E 03 0.2555E 03	INFORMATION NOT AVAILARLE Information Not Available -0.41526 02
TEMPERATURE	15 80 15 80 10	RUN 3 RUN 3 15.60

CHANNEL NUMPER 91 Fraeseratererererere

CORRECTED STRAIN TEMPERATURE

AVAILABLE	AVAILAFLE	AVAILAELE	AVAILABLE	AVAILASLE	AVAILABLE	AVAILABLE	AVAILABLE	AVAILAELE	AVAILABLE	
NO T	NOT	NOT	NO 1	10N	NOT	TON	NGT	NOT	NOT	
I NFORMATION	<b>INFORMATION</b>	Information	INFORMATION	INFORMATION	INFORMATION	INFORMATION.	INFORMATION	<b>IRFORMATION</b>	INFORMATION	1
•										
								۰.	5.	• •
***	2	Ņ	4	ŝ	•	~	<b>6</b> 0	σ	Ē	
RUN	k UN	NUN	KUN	RUN	204	FUN	RUN	kuv	kUN.	

х.			
		- 14	
		- 14	
	~	٠	
	<b>O</b>		
	-	- 2	
		*	
	~	÷	
	_	-	
	w		
	EC.		
	-	-	
	-		
	-	۰	
	-	144	
	_	- 2	
		-	
	-	-	
	2		
		-	
	-		
	T.		
		-	
	-		
		٠	
		~	

# TEMPENATURE CORRECTED STRAIN

ż

ì	AVAILABLE	AVAILABLE	AVAILAELS	AVAILABLI	AVAILABLI	AVAILABLE	AVAILAELI	AVAILAEL	AVAILAELS	AVAILASL
5	NOT	NOT	NOT	NOT	LON	NOT	Not	NO1	NOT	NO T
,	<b>INFORMATION</b>	INFORMATION	I NFORMATION	INFORMATION	INFORMATICN	INFORMATION	I & FORMATION	INFORMATION	INFORMATION	INFURMATION
	•	~	m	-	\$	•	~	6	4	10
	z	N)	NN	NN	N N	Ę	ND.	Ň	N	N

## CHANNEL NURBER 93

CORRECTED STRAIN	-0.29376 02	0.5368E 01	0_599CE 02	0.10446 63	0_1467E 03	0.21336 03	0.2603E 03	INFORMATION NOT AVAILAPLE	INFORMATION NOT AVAILABLE	-C.1220F 02	
TEN PESATURE	14.90	0.50	-24.00	-51.00	-87.50	-126.50	-163.50	6 UN 5	6 N 9	15.10	

# GHANNEL NUPBER 94

# TEMPERATURE CORRECTED STRAIN

		:	y s				AFLE	ABLE		
-0.1383E 02	0.3258E 02	0.7648E 02	0.1160E 03	0.1872E 03	0.29096 03	0.3701E 03	INFORPATION NOT AVAILS	INFORMATION NOT AVAIL!	0.9525E 01	
12.80	0.00	-23.50	50.00	- h6.50	-124.00	-166_0	RUN E	4 UN 9	15.50	

¥

\*

i.

t

ť

### APPENDIX F

ł

THE FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

#### APPENDIX F

#### THE FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

The test structure and panel were modelled with finite elements using the 'Stardyne' Programme\*. As the structure is symmetrical about two axes through its centre only one quarter of the total was modelled and the appropriate boundary conditions applied in the stress analysis.

The aluminium framework of the test rig, a rivetted assemblage of plates and channel sections, was modelled using 54 plate elements to represent the channel webs and the plates and 40 beam elements to represent the channel flanges.

The panel under test was modelled using 24 triangular sandwich elements (plate elements designed to model the particular properties peculiar to sandwich structures), and 7 beam elements which represent the edge stiffening of the panel. Framework and Panel were connected using rigid beam elements to represent the offset of the panel.

Material properties were taken as being isotropic and were as follows

For Carbon Fibre	Ε	=	50000 N/mm <sup>2</sup> }	
	<b>) (x</b> -	=	$1.9 \times 10^{-6} / {^{\circ}C}$ { S	ee
Sandwich	Y		<b>0.3</b> 3 { <sup>p</sup>	ara.3.6
	α	=	3.5 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> /°C }	
Core Shear Rigidity		**	252 N/mm <sup>2</sup>	
For Aluminium Alloy	E	=	70,000 N/mm <sup>2</sup>	
	γ	=	0.3	
	α	=	23.0 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> /°C	

This model differs from the previous Finite Element Model in the following aspects.

Plate elements previously modelled with membrane stiffness only now have membrane and bending stiffness and are sandwich elements.

The panel was previously represented with quadrilateral plate elements.

The properties of carbon fibre were based on test results not as previously on theoretical calculations and manufacturers' data.

#### \*Reference:

and

1.

2.

3.

MRI/STARDYNE 3 Users Manual MRI/STARDYNE Theoretical Manual

### APPENDIX G

ł

3

### CALCULATION OF STRESS FROM THE

MEASURED ORTHOGONAL STRAINS

### APPENDIX G

#### CALCULATION OF STRESS FROM THE

### MEASURED ORTHOGONAL STRAINS

It is required to compare the actual stress levels in the lower surface of honeycomb panel (panel fixed) with the corresponding stress output from the theoretical model for a  $50^{\circ}$ C drop in temperature.

The model gave maximum stress at the edges of the panel. The location of these stresses being in close proximity to strain gauge positions used in practical test.

<u>Method</u> The figures 50 and 51 show the strain distribution in Y and X directions respectively. The measured strain recorded at strain gauge 1 was used at both temperatures  $\pm 19.1^{\circ}$ C and  $-23.5^{\circ}$ C. for both X and Y directions.

Adding the strains at these two temperatures corresponds to a total strain at a drop of 42.  $6^{\circ}$ C. This strain is therefore factored by 50 to give an  $42.6^{\circ}$ C.

estimated strain at the required temperature of 50 °C.

Using equations (1) and (2) the stresses in the X and Y directions may be calculated from the measured strains.

$$\epsilon x \qquad \frac{1}{E} \quad \sigma x \quad -\nu \sigma y$$

$$\epsilon y \qquad \frac{1}{E} \quad \sigma y \quad -\nu \sigma x$$

#### Notation

$\sigma x$ and $\sigma y$	- stresses in X and Y directions respectively.
$\boldsymbol{v}$	- Poissons ratio
E	- Youngs Modulus
$\mathbf{\epsilon} \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{\epsilon} \mathbf{y}$	- strains measured in X and Y directions respectively
<u>Results</u>	
(a) From Figure 50	

 $\epsilon y = 400 \times 10^{-6}$  for 42.6°C drop in temperature.

### (b) From Figure 51

 $\epsilon x = 600 \times 10^{-6}$  for 42. 6°C. drop in temperature.

Constants

2

E = 50000 N/mm<sup>2</sup>

v = 0.3

Therefore for a 50 °C drop in temperature

$$704 \times 10^{-6} = 2 \times 10^{-5} [\sigma_{x} - 0.3 \sigma_{y}] \dots (1)$$

$$469 \times 10^{-6} = 2 \times 10^{-5} [\sigma_{y} - 0.3 \sigma_{x}] \dots (2)$$

from which  $\sigma x = 46.4 \text{ N/mm}^2$ 

 $\cdot \sigma y = 37.37 \text{ N/mm}^2$ 

These stresses are now directly comparable with the predicted stresses.