

DEFINITIONS

IDA publishes the following documents to report the results of its work.

Reports

Reports are the most authoritative and most carefully considered products IDA publishes. They normally embody results of major projects which (a) have a direct bearing on decisions affecting major programs, (b) address issues of significant concern to the Executive Branch, the Congress and/or the public, or (c) address issues that have significant economic implications. IDA Reports are reviewed by outside panels of experis to ensure their high quality and relevance to the problems studied, and they are released by the President of IDA.

,

Group Reports

Group Reports record the findings and results of IDA established working groups and panels composed of senior individuals addressing major issues which otherwise would be the subject of an IDA Report. IDA Group Reports are reviewed by the senior individuals responsible for the project and others as selected by IDA to ensure their high quality and relevance to the problems studied, and are released by the President of IDA.

Papers

Papers, elso authoritative and carefully considered products of IDA, address studies that are narrower in scope than those covered in Reports. IDA Papers are reviewed to ensure that they meet the high standards expected of refereed papers in professional journals or formal Agency reports.

Documents

IDA Documents are used for the convenience of the sponsors or the analysis (a) to record substantive work done in quick reaction studies. (b) to record the preceedings of conferences and meetings. (c) to make available preliminary and tentative results of analyses. (d) to record data developed in the course of an investigation, or (e) to forward information that is essentially unanalyzed and unevaluated. The review of IDA Documents is suited to their content and intended use.

The work reported in this document was conducted under contract MDA 903 89 C 0003 for the Department of Defense. The publication of this IDA document does not indicate endorsement by the Department of Defense, nor should the contents be construed as reflecting the official position of that Agency.

REPORT DOCL	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188			
Public Reporting builden for this collection of information is estim completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send	ated to average 1 hour per response, includer comments regarding this burden setmate o	ig the time for reviewing instructions, searchin or any other aspect of this selection of infor Suite Jone Adjuster, VA 20002(1902, and	es existing dat mation, includ	e sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and ing suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington I Management and Burdent Descent Reductor Process
(0704-0158), Weshington, DC 20503.	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND	ATES CO	
	August 1991	FinalSeptember	1989 to	August 1991
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		<u></u>	5. FUN	DING NUMBERS
The Effects of Wearing Protect on Human Performance	ive Chemical Warfare (Combat Clothing	C - N	MDA 903 89 C 0003
6. AUTHOR(S)) T-T 	-D2-435
Henry L. Taylor, Jesse Orlans	(y			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAMI	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERI	
Institute for Defense Analyses			REP	DRT NUMBER
1801 N. Beauregard St. Alexandria, VA 22311-1772			IDA I	Paper P-2433
			10 00/	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Director, Environmental and Life Sciences OUSD(A), DDR&E, R&AT The Pentagon, Room 3D129				ENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STA Approved for public release; di	TEMENT istribution unlimited.		12b. DI	
13. ABSTRACT (Meximum 200 words)				
U.S. Department of Defense chemical warfare (CW) protect human performance. Even wh combat support, and combat se combat units became operatio Many combined arms, field stu- clothing is worn performance i accuracy of fire, and (2) manu Further, the degree of perform combat clothing permits learni heat stress is not a significant the use of CW-protective combined under CW conditions.	se studies to measure p tive combat clothing inc nen heat stress is not a ervice support tasks is nally ineffective due to idies, and laboratory st a seriously degraded fo al dexterity tasks; and t ance degradation varie ing to modify procedure factor. A growing body bat clothing. A critical r	berformance decremen dicate that heat stress p significant factor, perfor degraded. In most fiel voluntary withdrawal o udies indicate that whe or (1) the detection of ta that (3) a variety of psy- od with the tasks perform and consequently red of evidence indicates need exists for more an	ts asso produce prmancid studie f indivic n CW-p rrgets, o chologi med. T duce ne there is id bette	ciated with wearing d seriously degraded e of many combat, es, many crews of lual crew members. protective combat engagement time, cal effects are created. training in CW-protective egative effects, provided inadequate training in r training of skills needed
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES
chemical warfare, protective clothing, degradation of human performance			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 18.	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	CATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED)	SAR
NSN 7540-01-280-5500		····		Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Sid 239-18

294-102

IDA PAPER P-2433

THE EFFECTS OF WEARING PROTECTIVE CHEMICAL WARFARE COMBAT CLOTHING ON HUMAN PERFORMANCE

Henry L. Taylor Jesse Orlansky

August 1991

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



INSTITUTE FOR DEFENSE ANALYSES

Contract MDA 903 89 C 0003 Task T-D2-435

ABSTRACT

U.S. Department of Defense studies to measure performance decrements associated with wearing chemical warfare (CW) protective combat clothing indicate that heat stress seriously degraded human performance. Even when heat stress is not a significant factor, performance of many combat, combat support, and combat service support tasks is degraded. In most field studies, many crews of combat units became operationally ineffective due to voluntary withdrawal of individual crew members. Many combined arms, field studies, and laboratory studies indicate that when CW-protective combat clothing is worn performance is seriously degraded for (1) the detection of targets, engagement time, accuracy of fire, and (2) manual dexterity tasks; and that (3) a variety of psychological effects are created. Further, the degree of performance degradation varied with the tasks performed. Training in CW-protective combat clothing permits learning to modify procedures and consequently reduce negative effects, provided heat stress is not a significant factor. A growing body of evidence indicates there is inadequate training in the use of CW-protective combat clothing. A critical need exists for more and better training of skills needed under CW conditions.

Acces	sion For		
NTIS	GRA&I		
DTIC	TAB		
Unanı	nounced		
Just	fication_		
Distribution/ Availability Codes			
	Avail and	/or	
Dist	Special	I	
1			
0-1	1		
r	1 1		



CONTENTS

0

•

Abstrac	ətüü
Tables	
Figure	s viii
Abbrev	viationsix
Execut	ive SummaryS-1
I.	INTRODUCTIONI-1
	A. Objective
	B. BackgroundI-1
11.	METHODII-1
	A. Study Categories
III.	RESULTS
	 A. Combined Arms Exercise
	 B. Field Trials
	C. Laboratory Studies

7.	Manual Dexterity	III-61
8.	Tactility of CW Gloves When Performing Medical Tasks	III-61
9.	Psychological Effects	III-63
10.	Cognitive Problem Solving	III-63
11.	Training Procedure For Donning Masks	III-65
12.	Performance Effects of Drug Antidote and Heat Stress	III-66
13.	Rifle Marksmanship	III-57
14.	Pilot Performance	
15.	Pursuit Tracking	رن III
16.	Tactical Computer Terminal Operations	III-70
17.	Scanning Time with XM40 Mask	III-70
18.	Missile Maintenance Performance	III-7 1
19.	Effects of CW Gloves on Digital Terminal Performance	III-72
20.	Survey of CW Common Defense Skills	III-72
IV. DISCUS	SSION	IV-1
V. CONCL	USIONS	V-1
VI. RECOM	IMENDATIONS	VI-1
References		R- 1
APPENDIX A-	- Mission Degradation Troop Test	A-1
APPENDIX B-	- Operational Degradation Due to Chemical Protection in Field Trials Without Heat Stress	B-1
APPENDIX C-	- Empirical Studies of the Effect of CW-Protective Combat Clothing on Performance	C-1
APPENDIX D-	- Maintenance Tasks	D-1

ł

TABLES

I-1.	United States Army Mission-Oriented Protective Posture (MOPP)I-3
I- 2 .	United States Air Force Mission-Oriented Protective Posture (MOPP) Summary
III-1.	Summary of CANE Platoon Performance Close Combat, HeavyIII-2
III-2.	Summary of CANE I Performance for Command, Control, Communications, and Fire SupportIII-5
III-3.	Summary of Percent Time Degradation of Maintenance Task Due to MOPP 4III-10
III-4.	Trial OrderIII-11
III-5.	Mean Time for Three Teams Performing Five Tasks by Trial Order for BDU and MOPP 4 (N = 5)
III-6.	Trial Order by Team III-14
III-7.	Night Reconnaissance MOPP 4 Correction Factors and Probable Range III-15
III-8.	Order of Conditions III-15
III-9.	Range of Correction Factors for Tasks for Five Items of HAWK Missile Assault Fire Unit EquipmentIII-16
III-10.	Correction Factors and Probability Range for Armor Tasks III-18
III-11.	Correction Factors and Probability Range for Radio Teletypewriter Tasks
III-12.	Artillery Test, BDU/MOPP 4 III-31
III-13.	Average Median Errors and Average Median Standard Deviation (SD) for Standard Flight Suit, U.S. Ensemble and U.K. Ensemble
III-14.	Proficiency Loss in F-4 Quick Turn Tasks III-39
III-1 5 ,	Phase III F-16 Maintenance Tasks III-43
III-16.	Comparison of Phase III CW Times and Phase II Fatigue Times III-45

,

III-17.	Matrix of Percentage of Tasks for Ten Human Ability Codes and Three Ranges of Degradation III-55
IV- 1.	Contingency Table of Males and Females Who Completed TestIV-2
D-1.	Time (min) to Remove and Replace M60A3 Power PackD-4
D-2.	Time (min) to Remove and Replace M60A3 TransmissionD-6
D-3.	Time (min) to Remove and Replace M109 BreechblockD-8
D-4.	Time (min) to Recover M60A3 TankD-9
D-5 .	Time (min) Required to Repair M60 Machine Gun When in BDU or in MOPP 4D-11
D-6.	Time (min) Required to Repair M901 Traverse Mechanism When in BDU or in MOPP 4D-12
D- 7.	Time (min) Required to Repair FADAC Printed Circuit Board When in BDU or in MOPP 4D-15

FIGURES

D- 1.	Time (min) by Trials to Repair M901 Traverse Mechanism Without Regard to Clothing TypeD-12				
D-2.	Comparison of BDU and MOPP 4 for Trials 1 and 4D-14				

6

ABBREVIATIONS

AGE	Aerospace Ground Equipment
AHSG108	Ad Hoc Study Group 108
AIT	Advanced Individual Training
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
APC	Armored Personnel Carrier
BDO	Battle Dress Overgarment
BDU	Battle Dress Uniform
BIFV	Bradley Infantry Fighting Vehicle
BMP	Soviet Infantry Vehicle
CANE	Combined Arms in a Nuclear/Chemical Environment
CAS	Crew Atmospheric Scale
СВ	Chemical, Biological
CBR	Chemical, Biological, and Radiological
CIC	Command Information Center
CMS	Clyde Mood Scale
СР	Command Post
CPO	Chemical Protective Overgarment
CS	Riot Control Agent
CSQ	Cognitive Strategies Questionnaire
CVC	Combat Vehicle Crewman
CW	Chemical Warfare
CWD	Chemical Warfare, Defense

ix

D _A	Percent Accuracy Degradation
D _T	Percent Time Degradation
ESQ	Environmental Symptoms Questionnaire
FDC	Fire Direction Center
FIST	Fire Support Team
FTD	Field Training Detachment
FTX	Field Training Exercise
GLLD	Ground Laser Locator Designator
HAAT	Heading, Altitude, Airspeed and Time
HUMAN	Human Utilization Model and Analytic Network
IRON MAN	Armor Field Trial
KCAL	Kilocalories
LKA	Amphibious Cargo Ship
LPD	Amphibious Transport Dock
LST	Tank Landing Ship
Mg	Milligram
MILES	Multiple Integrated Laser Engagement System
MOPP	Mission-Oriented Protective Posture
MOS	Military Occupational Specialty
NBC	Nuclear, Biological and Chemical
NOE	Nap-of-the-Earth
NOMEX	Synthetic Tanker or Flightsuit with Fire Retardant Capabilities
PAB	Walter Reed Performance Assessment Battery
P ² NBC ²	Psychological and Physiological Effects of NBC and Extended Operations
PMCS	Preventive Maintenance Checks and Services

x

.

Rh	Relative Humidity
RMS	Root Mean Square Error
SAI	State Anxiety Inventory
SIMNET	Networked Simulation
SMEs	Subject Matter Experts
TCT	Tactical Computer Terminal
TOC	Tactical Operations Center
TOW	Tube Launched, Optically Tracked, Wire-Guided Missile
TRI-TAC	Joint Tactical Communications Program
TTCP	The Technical Cooperation Program
UK	United Kingdom
UPI	USARIEM Performance Inventory
USAFSAM	U.S. Air Force School of Aviation Medicine
USARIEM	U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine
WBGT	Wet Bulb Globe Temperature
Yca	Numbers of Hits, Errors, etc., by Control Group
Yct	Time for a Control Group to Complete Task
Yxa	Number of Hits, Errors, etc., by an Experimental Group Wearing CW Protective Combat Clothing
Yxt	Time for an Experimental Group Wearing CW-Protective Combat Clothing to Complete a Task

xi

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This paper is a critical review of studies completed since 1979 that examine the effects of wearing chemical warfare (CW) protective combat clothing on individual and unit performance. Both experimental and operational studies are covered. The methodology used to determine performance decrements is critiqued, and the extent to which training or changes in procedures can reduce the decrements caused by wearing the CW-protective combat clothing is assessed.

Since the early 1980s, the U.S. Department of Defense has sponsored a number of major projects designed to determine performance decrements resulting from wearing CW protective combat clothing. These efforts fall into three major categories: (1) combined arms exercises, (2) field trials, and (3) laboratory studies. Studies reviewed and critiqued in the present report are organized in these categories. Four appendixes summarize aspects of performance degredation.

Combined arms exercises are conducted to provide quantitative information and realistic combat experience for combat, combat support, and combat service support units. The review of combined arms exercises in a nuclear/chemical environment, which simulates an integrated battlefield, was important to determine the effectiveness with which a combined arms force can accomplish its mission under chemical warfare combat conditions. Such exercises generally use an instrumented battlefield and are conducted over a sustained period of time. These are exercises that use a trained opposing force, have a defined and previously tested realistic combat scenario, generally provide both objective and subjective data, and are supervised by judges and controllers. The most effective exercises compare a baseline condition with an "exercise" condition that includes parameters of interest. The parameter of interest for this paper is simulated chemical combat threat conditions.

Combined arms exercises provide data that can be most readily generalized to a combat situation but, in general, are less likely to be as tightly controlled as field studies and are substantially less well controlled than laboratory studies. Variables used in combined arms exercises are also often tested in field and laboratory studies prior to their use in combined arms exercises.

Field trials are generally more narrowly defined and more tightly controlled than combined arms exercises, but are less so than laboratory studies. Field trials often include only one combat, combat support, or combat service support unit. The most recent field trials reviewed in this paper have been conducted for periods of 24-72 hours to provide data concerning sustained operations in a chemical warfare combat environment.

Laboratory studies generally provide the greatest degree of experimental control and investigate more narrowly defined parameters but are less useful in generalizing to unit performance.

The review and critique of studies in all three categories supported the following conclusions.

- 1. Heat stress, due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing, seriously degrades human performance. This finding is supported by many studies reviewed in this paper and in previous papers by Taylor and Orlansky (1986, 1987). Under some conditions, performance will degrade significantly within one hour. Endurance can be extended, if ambient workplace temperatures are not severe, by enforced drinking of water and by frequent rest periods. Heat stress effects have been modeled by Goldman and associates at the U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine (USARIEM). The USARIEM heat stress model accurately predicts (\pm 10%) the human metobolic heat production of soldiers when the level of encapsulation, environment, physical condition of troops, combat mission (including duration), physical activity, and work/rest cycles can be accurately specified.
- 2. Even when heat stress is not a significant factor, the performance of many combat and combat support tasks in all combat arms is degraded when CW-protective combat clothing is worn. This degradation is due to reduced manual dexterity, reduced vision, reduced ability to communicate, respiratory stress, and psychological stress. This finding is supported by a number of studies reviewed in the present paper as well as in previous reviews by Taylor and Orlansky (1986, 1987).
- 3. Studies on endurance of combat crews in armor, mechanized infantry, artillery, and aviation operating in CW-protective combat clothing and involved in continuous operations have indicated that crews will have difficulty sustaining effective combat operations even when heat exhaustion is not a significant factor. In most studies, many crews of combat units have become operationally ineffective due to voluntary withdrawal of individual crew members from the test.

- 4. During a combined arms exercise, the duration of battle was increased 82 percent and the loss-exchange ratio (killed attackers/killed defenders) was increased by 66 percent, from 2.40 in the baseline to 3.99 in the CW environment. This loss-exchange ratio is unacceptable according to current Army doctrine.
- 5. The detection of targets, the engagement times, and the accuracy of fire are significantly degraded when CW-protective combat clothing is worn. This finding is substantiated by a number of studies reviewed in this paper including combined arms exercises and continuous operations field trials. In a number of studies involving armor crews, increased target engagement times are a linear function of time of operations in CW-protective combat clothing.
- 6. Command and control, and communications are significantly degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing. This finding has been substantiated in recent combined arms exercises.
- 7. Wearing CW-protective combat clothing results in a significant and important increase in serious dehydration. During hot conditions, dehydration will occur within 1 hour or less when the individuals are engaged in physical activity, so enforced drinking is mandatory for health maintenance.
- 8. Manual dexterity tasks requiring both gross and fine motor skills are significantly degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing (masks and gloves). This finding is substantiated by studies involving the disassembly/assembly of small arms and a substantial number of maintenance tasks. The use of 7-mil or 14-mil gloves instead of the standard 22-mil glove provides better tactility and finger dexterity, but these thinner gloves appear to be more prone to compromise.
- 9. Wearing CW-protective combat clothing produces a variety of psychological effects including increased symptom intensity and general deterioration of mood. These findings are supported by a number of laboratory and field studies.
- 10. Recent laboratory studies suggest differences in physiological tolerance to heat stress between males and females, with female tolerance being lower, but this finding has not been established incontrovertably.
- 11. The studies reviewed previously (Taylor and Orlansky, 1987) and in the current paper clearly indicate that the amount of performance degradation due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing varies widely with the combat, combat support, or combat service support task to be performed. A general finding, however, is that wearing CW-protective combat clothing leads to a significant performance degradation of individual and unit performance.

- 12. With training in CW-protective combat clothing, individuals and crews will learn to modify procedures and consequently reduce the negative effects of wearing CW-protective combat clothing when heat stress is not a significant factor. A number of studies has indicated that training in CW-protective combat clothing can reduce the amount of performance decrement of individuals and crews performing combat, combat support and combat service support tasks.
- 13. In a simulated CW-combined arms exercise, the amount of prior CW-defense training of the platoon leader was an important variable affecting overall platoon performance, even when other platoon members had little CW-defense training.
- 14. Many studies reviewed in this and previous papers (Taylor and Orlansky, 1986, 1987) have emphasized the need for increased training in the use of CW-protective combat clothing. Only one study of the 21 reviewed by Taylor and Orlansky (1987) was designed to quantify the benefits of training under simulated CW conditions.
- 15. An objective of a number of field studies reviewed in the present paper was to determine the impact of training in CW-protective combat clothing on reducing performance decrements caused by wearing this clothing. These studies failed to achieve this objective since none established stable baseline performance in the battle dress uniform (BDU) prior to determining performance decrements due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing.
- 16. For a number of studies reviewed, meaningful comparisons between the BDU and CW-protective combat clothing could not be made due to the lack of data, an insufficient number of subjects, or poor experimental design.
- 17. A growing body of evidence indicates that there is *inadequate* training in the use of CW-protective combat clothing. This is supported by a review by Knapp and Orlansky (1987) and a Navy survey conducted by Moskal, Driskell and Carson (1987). The latter report concludes that a critical need exists for more and better training of CW common skills, i.e., the skills that all personnel need to know to protect themselves under CW conditions.
- 18. The growing availability of networked simulators, such as SIMNET, provides an opportunity to conduct battalion-level, two-sided engagements under experimental conditions. Thus, it now becomes possible to collect reliable data on performance in simulated, combat arms exercises, wearing CW-protective combat clothing, that can significantly extend what is now known reliably only in laboratory studies. Since networked simulation provides such data as weapons killed and exchange ratios used in most combat models, one should be able to estimate more precisely the military value (and/or penalty)

attributable to wearing CW-protective combat clothing and of training to achieve maximum benefit of its use.

Based on these findings four recommendations are made:

- 1. In 1987, Taylor and Orlansky found that "only one study, the Grand Plot III field trial, was designed to measure the amount of performance improvement due to training," and "recommended that future studies and field trials directly investigate the benefits of training. It is important to develop a more extensive documentation of the effects of training on mission effectiveness in simulated CW environments" (Taylor and Orlansky, 1987, pp. V-1). While a number of recent studies have had as an objective to determine the effects of training on improving individual and crew effectiveness in CW environments, methodological problems have limited the effectiveness of these studies. Consequently, this recommendation remains valid.
- 2. For studies designed to determine the effects of training on improving individual and crew effectiveness in CW environments, the individuals and crews should be well trained in the tasks to be performed. A stable baseline in battle dress uniform should be established prior to the introduction of CW-protective combat clothing (MOPP 4). Enough subjects should be used or trials conducted to permit statistical comparisons between baseline and experimental conditions, and to permit inferential statistics with enough "power" to enable the results to be used with confidence. After introducing the experimental conditions, enough trials should be conducted in CW-protective combat clothing to determine the effect of training in MOPP 4.
- 3. Performance decrements due to severe conditions are unavoidable but their impact can be delayed as well as reduced by appropriate training. The Desert Storm experience, not examined in this study, can provide important data on the performance of troops wearing CW-protective combat clothing on their arrival in theatre and the effect of training in improving their performance. We strongly urge that the lessons learned there about the effect of wearing CW-protective combat clothing in its use be carefully reviewed.
- 4. The experimental data comparing male and female differences in performance to heat stress while wearing CW-protective combat clothing are extremely limited. In view of the increased numbers of females involved in combat support and combat service support roles, and the potential for females to be involved in combat situations in which a substantial CW threat exists, this area warrants increased research emphasis.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. OBJECTIVE

The objectives of this paper are to:

- 1. Critically review recent studies (since 1979) which investigated the effects of wearing CW-protective combat clothing on individual and unit performance.
- 2. Evaluate the methodology used to determine performance decrements.
- 3. Assess the extent to which training or procedural changes can reduce the decrements caused by wearing CW-protective combat clothing.

B. BACKGROUND

In a previous paper, Taylor and Orlansky (1986) reviewed and summarized a series of studies performed in Australia, Canada, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and the United States, the member countries of The Technical Cooperation Program (TTCP), that show the effects on human performance of wearing protective clothing for CW (Taylor and Orlansky, 1986). In a second paper, data from these studies indicating that training can help avoid some degradation of performance were discussed (Taylor and Orlansky, 1987). These two review papers were generally limited to reports provided by members of the TTCP Ad Hoc Study Group 108 (AHSG 108), which met the following criteria: report sufficiently complete to permit replication, protective clothing approaching current capabilities, a clear description of the conditions of observation (e.g., agent, environment, protective clothing and equipment, duration of exposure, subjects, and measures); a few other reports that met these criteria were also included. However, the two reports were not a comprehensive review of all recent studies concerned with the effects on human performance of wearing CW-protective combat clothing.

The following conclusions were reached by Taylor and Orlansky (1987):

• Wearing CW-protective combat clothing produces heat stress effects that degrade physical human performance. This finding is supported by many studies. A computer model developed by Goldman accurately predicts physiological limits of combat troops when level of encapsulation, environment, physical condition of troops, combat mission (including duration), physical activity and work/rest cycles, can be accurately specified. Under some conditions, performance will degrade significantly within one hour. Endurance can be extended, if ambient work-place temperature conditions are not severe, by enforced drinking of water and by frequent rest periods.

- Wearing CW-protective combat clothing during hot conditions is expected to produce dehydration within one hour or less. Enforced drinking of water is mandatory in order to prevent the effects of dehydration.
- Wearing CW-protective combat clothing degrades performance across a variety of combat tasks for all combat arms as a function of reduced manual dexterity, restricted vision, restricted communication, and respiratory stress, even when heat stress is not a significant factor.
- Detection of targets and the accuracy of fire are significantly degraded when protective masks are worn.
- Communication is degraded by CW-protective combat clothing, but the magnitude of the effect has not been adequately documented.
- Manual dexterity tasks such as disassembly/assembly of an M-16 rifle are significantly degraded by reduced vision due to masks and constraints caused by gloves.
- Individuals and crews can be trained to modify procedures and thereby reduce the problems caused by wearing CW-protective combat clothing when heat stress is not a significant factor. Training to modify procedures can restore most but not all of the performance effectiveness otherwise lost.
- Training increases proficiency on CW-defensive tasks. For some tasks, the knowledge and skill needed to cope with CW-protective combat clothing can be obtained during a brief training period. One study found that as little as two days' training significantly improved performance.
- The studies reviewed clearly indicated that the amount of performance degradation due to CW-protective combat clothing varies widely with the tasks to be performed.
- Only one study of the 21 reviewed was designed to quantify the benefits of training under simulated chemical warfare conditions.

--Taylor and Orlansky, 1987, pp. IV-1 and IV-2

Current basic CW ensembles consist of an overgarment, hood, gloves, boots, and mask. The U.S. Army uses the Mission-Oriented Protective Posture (MOPP), which is a flexible procedure intended to guide the commander in the protection of troops in a chemical environment while facilitating mission accomplishment. It requires "individual

protective equipment consistent with the chemical threat, the workrate imposed by the mission and the temperature" (FM 21-40, 1977). Table I-1 describes four levels of CW-protective combat clothing.

MOPP	Overgarment	Overboots	Mask/Hood	Gloves
Baseline Combat Uniform	Not worn	Not worn	Not worn	Not worn
1	Worn, open or closed based on temperature	Carried	Carried	Carried
2	Worn, open or closed based on temperature	Worn	Carried	Carried
3	Worn, open or closed based on temperature	Worn	Worn, hood open or closed based on temperature	Carried
4	Worn, closed	Worn	Worn, closed	Worn

Table I-1. United States Army Mission-Oriented Protective Posture (MOPP)

The United States Air Force uses the same four levels of MOPP to describe CWprotective combat clothing but provides additional guidance to commanders (AFR 355-8, 1 May, 1987). Table I-2 shows the U.S. Air Force Mission-Oriented Protective Posture Summary. It also provides guidance concerning the MOPP conditions associated with the alarms and the potential variations for the various MOPP conditions.

 Table I-2.
 United States Air Force Mission-Oriented Protective Posture (MOPP)
 Summary

MOPP	Used in Alarm	Over- Garment is	Over- Boots are	Masks and Hood are	Gloves and Inserts are	Other CW Individual Protective Equipment is	Field Gear is	Variations are
0	All Clear	Readily Available				N/A		
1	Yellow	Worn		At Hand			Fatigue Ventilate Indoor	
2	Yellow	Wa	rn At Hand			Fatigue Ventilate Indoor		
3	Black	Worn			At I	land	Worn	Fatigue Ventilate Indoor
4	Red	Worn			At Hand	Worn	Fatigue Indoor	
5	Black	Worn At Hand Wo			Worn	Fatigue Ventilate Indoor		

Wearing CW-protective combat clothing can degrade the performance of individuals and can reduce the performance effectiveness of a combat unit. The primary causes of such performance degradation are:

- Heat stress due principally to the weight, insulation, and low moisture vapor permeability of the overgarment.
- Reduced manual dexterity due to the constraints imposed by the gloves, overgarment, and boots.
- Restricted vision due to the design and optical characteristics of the mask, e.g., reduced field-of-view and poor optical quality of the mask faceplate.
- Restricted communication (hearing and speaking) due to the mask and hood.
- Respiratory stress due to air resistance of mask filters and outlet valves.

--Taylor and Orlansky, 1987, pp. I-1 and I-2

Of the above, the most extensive factor investigated has been heat stress or the thermoregulatory load imposed by CW-protective combat clothing in certain hot environments and/or by physical exertion. Studies conducted by the U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine (USARIEM) and the United States Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine (USAFSAM) have documented the effects of heat stress (Goldman, 1974; Goldman, 1979; Goldman and Staff, 1981; Goldman and Staff, 1982; Pandoff and Goldman, 1978; Nunneley, 1987; Nunneley, 1989).

The inability to evaporate sweat while encapsulated in CW-protective combat clothing produces heat stress. Rectal temperature is a good indicator of the tolerance limit of soldiers while wearing CW-protective combat clothing and is related to the degree of risk of heat exhaustion. A rectal temperature of $39.2^{\circ}C$ ($102.5^{\circ}F$) equates to a 25 percent risk of heat exhaustion (Goldman and Staff, 1982). Pandolf and Goldman (1978) have stated that the convergence of skin temperature and rectal temperature is the best physiological guide to heat stress tolerance. Nunneley, Antunano, and Bromalosky (1990) have reported that studies in their laboratory provide no support that skin-core temperature convergence accurately predicts heat stress tolerance. Nunneley (1987) indicated that a rectal temperature of $39.5^{\circ}C$ is often used for heat stress studies in the laboratory. Studies reviewed in the present paper have used a rectal temperature of $39.2^{\circ}C$ while a subject is resting or a rectal temperature of $39.5^{\circ}C$ ($103^{\circ}F$) while exercising as the criterion to remove a volunteer from a test. Testing has also been discontinued if the subject's heart rate

k.

exceeded 180 beats per minute for 5 minutes continuously during exercise or 160 beats per minute while resting (Glumm, 1988). These indices have proven to be useful for laboratory and field studies. Cadarette (1991) indicated that heat stress also occurs under conditions of increased rate of heat storage which is exacerbated by CW-protective combat clothing and can result in heat stress casualties at relatively low rectal remperatures and heart rates.

A computer model has been developed to predict heat stress effects (Goldman, 1974). A more recent version of the model is described by Pandolf, Stroschein, Drolet, Gonzales, and Swaka (1986). Given data concerning the level of the CW-protective combat clothing, the environment, the physical condition of the soldiers, and the proposed mission, the model can specify the physiological limit of combat personnel operating under a CW scenario. Gonzalez and Stroschein (1991) indicate that the model is most effective in forecasting work/rest cycles, water requirements and tolerance times in the heat with CWprotective combat clothing since the databases and regression analysis are concentrated in these areas. Goldman (1974) states the model's prediction of human metabolic heat production has been validated by data collected in both laboratory and field trials across a range of military populations and has been found to be accurate to \pm 10% which is comparable to the precision of the measurement of heat stress in field trials. Goldman (1979) has indicated the following uses of the model: (1) to prevent heat stress casualties; (2) to establish work/rest cycles while wearing CW-protective combat clothing; (3) to permit trade-off analyses during the development of CW-protective combat clothing; (4) to predict whether a planned study will provide useful data, i.e., whether the conditions will produce measurable physiological differences but not impose undue risk on the subjects. The Goldman model of heat stress and several other thermal models were evaluated in a workshop (Wissler, 1982).

Taylor and Orlansky (1987) noted that the degree to which the combat effectiveness of an individual or a unit will be degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing is a function of a number of variables including: (1) the ambient conditions of the workplace; (2) the type and extent of CW-protective combat clothing worn; (3) the length of time that the protective clothing is worn; (4) the level of physical activity; (5) the physical conditions of the individuals in the unit; (6) the work/rest cycles; and to a lesser degree (7) the training level of the unit. Taylor and Orlansky (1987) reviewed 12 reports published in the United States between 1974 and 1982; two of these were summary reports. Carr, Corona, Jackson, and Bachovchin (1980) provided a critical review of the literature pertaining to the effects of wearing CW-protective combat clothing on soldier performance. Carr, Kershner, Corona, and Jackson (1980) reported a critical assessment of troop tests concerned with the effects on soldier performance of CW-protective combat clothing and equipment. Two reports (Carr, 1982; Carr, Corona and Jackson, 1981) were concerned with the effects of chemical warfare decontamination equipment and procedures on soldier performance. Taylor and Orlansky (1987) also reviewed a report by Hanlon, Jones, and Merkey (1982), which was concerned with the effects of MOPP 4 on soldier performance in a tropical environment and reports concerned with the results of a field experiment, Grand Plot III, that tested individual and squad effectiveness in a chemical threat environment (Project Team, 1975; Eifried, 1976).

Carr, Kershner, Corona, and Jackson, (1980) reported the results of a survey of 10 troop tests conducted from 1959 to 1979. The report reviewed the effects of CW-protective combat clothing and equipment on mission effectiveness. The tests included typical infantry tasks at the individual, squad, and staff section level. A mechanized battalion was involved in one test and mechanized rifle companies were involved in two tests. Other combat arms such as armor, artillery, and aviation as well as maintenance activities were involved in a limited number of the tests. Rakaczky (1981) assessed the findings of these tests as follows:

- There was no uniformity in the structure of the tests, the parameters they attempted to measure, or in the manner in which performance was measured. This was attributed to the fact that the tests were performed over a period of 20 years, were conducted by different organizations, and were conducted under different technological and military conditions.
- The greatest amount of data is available for infantry missions and tasks, and cover attack, defense, and retrograde operations for squad, platoon, and company size units. A disadvantage is that most of the data are presented in terms of staytime, the length of time an individual remains in protective gear until he becomes a casualty or until the unit becomes ineffective because of heat stress.
- The duration of most of the tests was too short to be able to assess the effects of rotation of individuals or tasks to maximize unit effectiveness over time.
- There are almost no data for a tank company or battalion.
- Artillery data are limited. There was no live firing, and the scope of tests was limited.

- There was little or no data for any specialized type of combat, such as airborne operations, river crossing operations by engineer units, etc.
- No data were available for cold weather conditions, or for operations over rough terrain or in deserts.
- No extensive testing was done over a variety of MOPP conditions.
- No tests were reported in which females were included.

--Rakaczky, 1981, pp. 13 and 14

The tests indicated that wearing CW-protective combat clothing impaired individual soldier performance. Wearing protective clothing for sustained periods progressively reduced unit effectiveness. Performance degradation increased as the degree of encapsulation increased. One study found that dismounted mobility (foot soldiers) was degraded unacceptably. Another test indicated that amphibious assault was not feasible in the tropics in MOPP 4. Heat stress as measured by staytime, i.e., the time an individual or a unit can stay in MOPP 4, was found to vary with energy expenditure and climatic conditions. A summary of the results of these tests from Carr, Kershner, Corona and Jackson (1980) is reproduced in Appendix A.

A detailed summary of operational degradation while wearing CW-protective combat clothing during large-scale field trials conducted between 1966 and 1968 was provided by Goldman (1981). These trials are significant since performance degradation due to heat stress was eliminated. Mission performance was found to be degraded in four critical combat areas, i.e., firepower, communications, mobility, and support. The results demonstrated that target location and identification, fire accuracy, time to conduct artillery fire orders, road march and assault times, and support functions including ammunition resupply and medical assistance were all significantly degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing. The summary by Goldman (1981) is included in Appendix B.

Several recent studies have indicated that psychological stress due to encapsulation and other stress factors can also produce significant performance degradation.

II. METHOD

The following techniques were used to obtain documents for the present review:

- Standard search of the Defense Technical Information Center using key words.
- Search of the Chemical Defense Data Base maintained by the Armstrong Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory (Hala, Chevalier and Unfried, 1986).
- Visit to U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine (USARIEM), Natick, Mass.
- Letters of inquiry to laboratories known to be involved in conducting research in the areas of interest.
- Research report bibliographies.

The focus of the present study is a critical review of the human performance and operational performance data contained in the reports obtained. Generally, studies conducted since 1979 were selected.

There is a growing body of literature concerned with the effects that chemical warfare antidotes, i.e., atropine sulfate and 2-PAM chloride, may have on performance decrements. The current paper is not concerned with these reports. An earlier summary was provided by Headley (1982). Reports that are primarily concerned with physiological variables, with the exception of staytime, will also be excluded from this review.

A. STUDY CATEGORIES

Since the early 1980s, the U.S. Department of Defense has sponsored a number of major projects designed to determine performance decrements resulting from vearing CW-protective combat clothing. These efforts fall into three major categories: (1) combined arms exercises; (2) field trials; and (3) laboratory studies. Data for the present report are organized in these categories.

1. Combined Arms Exercises

Combined arms exercises are conducted to provide quantitative information and realistic combat experience for combat, combat support, and combat service support units. They provide data relating to the interactions and interdependences of a number of factors including force structure, doctrine, and materiel for combined arms in a sustained, integrated battlefield situation. These exercises are important in that the data collected are used to validate combat development concepts doctrine, and to determine the effectiveness of and the need for training and materiel development. It is important to conduct combined arms exercises in a nuclear/chemical environment, which simulates an integrated battlefield, in order to determine the effectiveness with which a combined arms force can accomplish its mission under chemical warfare combat conditions.

Combined arms exercises generally use an instrumented battlefield and are conducted over a sustained period of time. The most effective exercises compare a baseline condition with an "exercise" condition which includes one or more parameters. The parameter of interest for the purpose of the present paper is simulated chemical combat threat conditions. These exercises use a trained opposing force, have a defined and previously tested realistic combat scenario, generally provide both objective and subjective data and are supervised by judges and controllers.

Combined arms exercises provide data that can be most readily generalized to a combat situation, but in general are less likely to be as tightly controlled as field trials and substantially less controlled than laboratory studies. Generally, variables used in combined arms exercises have been identified in field and laboratory studies.

2. Field Trials

Field trials are generally more narrowly defined and more tightly controlled than combined arms exercises, but less narrowly defined than laboratory studies. They may include only one combat arm, or a combat support unit. As discussed above, most recent field trials have been conducted for a sustained period, i.e., 24-72 hours to provide data concerning sustained operations in a chemical warfare combat environment.

3. Laboratory Studies

Laboratory studies generally provide the greatest degree of experimental control, investigate more narrowly defined parameters, and are less useful in generalizing to unit performance.

In order to provide a common metric to quantify the performance degradation due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing, we have developed two indices: Percent Time Degradation (D_T) and Percent Accuracy Degradation (D_A). These are defined as follows:

(1) Percent Time Degradation =

$$D_{T} = \frac{Yct - Yxt}{Yct} \times 100$$

where:

- Yct = the time required by a control group wearing combat clothing (but not CWprotective combat clothing) to complete a task
- Yxt = the time required by an experimental group wearing CW-protective combat clothing to complete a task.
- (2) Percent Accuracy Degradation =

$$D_{A} = \frac{Yca - Yxa}{Yca} \times 100$$

where:

- Yca = the number of hits (normalized per x rounds), errors, etc., by a control group wearing combat clothing (but not CW-protective combat clothing)
- Yxa = the number of hits (normalized per x rounds), errors, etc., by an experimental group wearing CW-protective combat clothing.

In some reports that we reviewed, performance degradation was computed using these metrics. In other cases we have calculated the performance degradation indices from available data. For some studies the available data did not permit us to compute performance degradation indices. In another paper, Taylor and Orlansky (1991) have stated that indices for comparing performance decrements across studies are needed. Percent Time Degradation and Percent Accuracy Degradation are two such indices.

Dugway Proving Ground has administered an extensive program known as the Chemical/Biological (CB) Contact Point and Test Program, (Project DO49), to quantify the effects of wearing CW-protective combat clothing on personnel performing military tasks. According to Wick, Morrissey, and Klopcic (1987) the program consisted of five operational areas:

- Maintenance Operations
- Night Recon Operations
- Missile Operations
- Armor Operations
- Signal Operations.

A number of reports that resulted from these efforts will be a major focus of this review.

In 1984 the U.S. Army Vice Chief of Staff initiated a program to assess the psychological and physiological effects of nuclear, biological, and chemical warfare on extended operations. This program has been called the P^2NBC^2 program, and will also be a major area of concentration.

A number of well-controlled laboratory reports will also be reviewed.

Included in the report are four appendixes which summarize the following aspects of performance degradation:

- (1) Appendix A--Mission Degradation Troop Test
- (2) Appendix B--Operational Degradation Due to Chemical Protection in Field Trials Without Heat Stress
- (3) Appendix C--Empirical Studies of the Effect of CW-Protective Combat Clothing on Performance
- (4) Appendix D--Maintenance Tasks.

III. RESULTS

The results are organized in the major study categories: (1) combined arms exercises, (2) field studies, and (3) laboratory studies. The combined arms exercise section will focus on a three-phase report dealing with combined arms in a simulated Nuclear/ Chemical Environment (CANE); only the results of CANE Phase I are summarized here.

A. COMBINED ARMS EXERCISE

1. Combined Arms in a Nuclear/Chemical Environment Phase I (CANE)

The CANE Phase I exercise was conducted at the mechanized infantry squad level to determine the effects of simulated nuclear/chemical environment on unit combat operations (Draper and Lombardi, 1986). Eight mechanized infantry platoons conducted two operations of 72 hours each. The first operation, the baseline condition, was conducted under battlefield conditions in which there was no threat of a simulated nuclear or chemical hazard; there was such a threat in the second operation. During the second day of the operation, the platoon was required to operate continuously for 12 hours in MOPP 4 under a nuclear/chemical threat condition.

During each of the 72-hour operations the platoons conducted the following combat maneuvers: seven tactical movements; six preparations for movement; three movements to combat; three hasty attacks; three consolidation/reorganization efforts; and three defenses. The Multiple Integrated Laser Engagement System (MILES) was used to determine hits for direct fires, and indirect fires were simulated by computer software. The exercise units (player platoons) were opposed by a motorized infantry squad when attacking; they were opposed by a platoon when defending.

Assessments of the performance decrements due to the nuclear/chemical threat were conducted for the following categories: (1) close combat, heavy; (2) command and control; (3) communications; (4) fire support; (5) air defense; and (6) conduct support.

a. Close Combat, Heavy

Compared to the baseline situation, the effect of wearing CW-protective combat clothing in the simulated nuclear/chemical threat environment was to significantly decrease the ability to acquire and destroy threat targets. The average number of engagements decreased significantly. The engagement efficiency, defined as the sum of hits and near hits divided by the number of shots fired, also decreased significantly. The average range at which targets were engaged increased significantly. During the simulated nuclear/ chemical attacks, the loss-exchange ratio increased significantly to an unacceptable level. For the simulated CW condition, the loss-exchange ratio was above the level that U.S. Army doctrine states as necessary to maintain a successful attack.

Table III-1 summarizes for a number of combat measures the platoon performance for close combat, heavy for the baseline and the simulated nuclear/chemical conditions; it also includes the percentage change in performance measures between the baseline and the simulated nuclear/chemical threat which we calculated from data from Draper and Lombardi (1986). With one exception, targets killed in day defense, all positive and negative values indicate a performance decrement for the simulated nuclear/chemical condition.

b. Command and Control

Compared with baseline, the number of unit commanders assessed as casualties increased significantly during attack conditions for the simulated nuclear/chemical conditions, but the number of commander casualties decreased during defense conditions. More importantly, the time before the next ranking soldier assumed command when the unit leader became a casualty was over 3.4 times as long for the simulated nuclear/chemical condition compared to baseline. For the simulated nuclear/chemical condition, the commanders appeared to be more active, had a higher workload, and appeared to become more fatigued. When wearing MOPP 4, the leaders appeared to be disoriented, and had difficulty regaining orientation even when controllers pointed out prominent terrain features. In the simulated nuclear/chemical condition, there was difficulty in recognizing leaders and squad or platoon members.

One important variable affecting overall platoon performance in the simulated nuclear/chemical condition was the amount of prior nuclear, biological, chemical (NBC) defense training of the leader. This variable was important even when the rest of the soldiers in the platoon had little training. It is interesting to note that none of the leaders reported more than moderate NBC defensive training.

			Simulated	Percent
	Measure of Performance	Baseline	Nuclear/Chemical	Change
1.	Direct Fire Engagements			
(All Weapons			- 52%
	M16		••	- 54%
2.	Engagement Efficiency	83%	67%	- 37%
3.	Battle Intensity Attack shots/min		••	- 69%
4.	Engagement Range	• •		+ 52%
5.	Engagement of Friendly Forces	4.3%	19.8%	+ 360%
6.	Ammunition Expenditures			
1	Trigger pulls (attack)			- 43%
Ĺ	Trigger pulls (defense)	••	••	- 22%
7.	Targets Engaged			
(Attack	72%	54%	- 25%
(Delense (day)	64%	61.4%	- 4%
	Defense (night)	51%	28,7%	- 44%
8.	Targets Killed			
	Attack	54%	42%	- 22%
	Night defense	28%	21%	- 25%
	Day defense	46%	51%	+ 11%*
9.	Duration of Battle	20.4 min	37.2 min	+ 82%
10.	Infantry Attack	23%	53%	+ 32%
11.	APC Attack	••	••	+ 130%
12.	Loss-Excinange Ratio			
	(Killed attacker/Killed defender)	2.4	3.99	+ 66%

Table III-1. Summary of CANE Platoon Performance for Close Combat, Heavy

Performance was better under simulated nuclear/chemical condition. All other positive and negative values indicate a performance decrement for the simulated nuclear/chemical condition. (Baseline and simulated nuclear/chemical data from Draper and Lombardi, 1986; percent change calculated.)

There was a significant and important increase in dehydration during the simulated nuclear/chemical condition. At the end of the 72-hour period 83 percent of the soldiers were "well above the value equivalent to 24 hours without fluid intake and 17 percent were clinically dehydrated." (Draper and Lombardi, 1986, p. 2-9)

c. Communications

Compared to the baseline condition the frequency of radio messages increased 47 percent and the duration increased 53 percent during the h_{1} is segments for the simulated nuclear/chemical condition.

d. Fire Support

During the simulated nuclear/chemical segment, the frequency of calls for indirect fire support increased by 209 percent during attacks but decreased 11 percent during defense. The duration of calls also increased. The leaders reported that they believed that indirect fire was more lethal than direct fire during the simulated nuclear/chemical condition. The decrease of calls for indirect fire during movement was attributed to the increased workload of leaders and to the difficulty in locating targets.

Table III-2 summarizes the performance during the baseline and simulated nuclear/chemical conditions for command, control, and communications (including calls for indirect fire). It also includes the performance decrement due to the simulated nuclear/ chemical threat which we calculated from data from Draper and Lombardi (1986).

e. Air Defense

The time that the mechanized infantry platoon devoted to passive air defense (camouflage) decreased significantly as the simulated nuclear/chemical 72-hour operation progressed and no camouflage activity occurred during the last 26 hours of the operation. In contrast, during the baseline condition, camouflage activity continued throughout the 72-hour period. Compared to baseline, time involved in camouflage decreased by 31 percent and the number of camouflage actions decreased by 39 percent during the simulated nuclear/chemical condition.

f. Combat Support

The average time to conduct a complete personnel, vehicle, and equipment decontamination was 83 minutes. A minimum training period of 2 hours prior to the test provided adequate training. This phase of the exercise was important since it validated the following new decontamination doctrine: (1) vehicle and platoon equipment decontamination was accomplished by a dedicated chemical decon squad with assistance of the combat platoon; (2) personnel and personal equipment decontamination was conducted exclusively by the combat platoon with minimum training (2 hours).

The change in doctrine reduced the workload for chemical decontamination combat support with a resultant force structure reduction. Some soldiers would have received skin contamination during the overgarment exchange which indicates the need for some additional training with agent stimulants.

	Measure of Performance	Baseline	Simulated Nuclear/Chemical	Percent
1	Commander Casualties			
(Attack	50%	67%	+34%
	Defense	50%	23%	- 54% ^a
2,	Time to Replace Commanders	1.4 min	6.2 min	+ 343%
3.	Commander Casualties Replaced			
	Attack	58%	23%	- 60%
	Defense	<u>33</u> %	33%	0
4.	Radio Messages			
	a. Battle Segments			
	Frequency			+ 47%
	Duration	••		+ 53%
	b. Movement Segments			
	Frequency	• •		- 8% ²
	Duration	••	••	+ 4%
	c. Stationary Segments			
	Frequency	**		+ 119%
	Duration			- 3%ª
5.	Calls for Indirect Fire			
	Attack			+ 209%
	Defense	••		- 11% ^a
	Duration (less than 10 sec)	71%	50%	+ 30%

Table III-2. Summary of CANE I Performance for Command, Control, Communication, and Fire Support

Performance advantage for simulated nuclear/chemical condition; all other positive and negative values represent performance decrement for simulated nuclear/chemical condition. (Baseline and simulated nuclear/chemical data from Draper and Lombardi, 1986; percent decrement calculated from their data.)

g. Evaluation of CANE I

Draper and Lombardi (1986) indicated that CANE I had the following deficiencies:

- The majority of the instrumented data for the three platoons was lost.
- The 3-to-1 attacker-to-defender ratio was not maintained for the test platoon. The opposing force platoon was scheduled to attack only one test squad; this did not happen in many defense situations. More importantly, the test report failed to specify the battles during which the 3-to-1 attacker-to-defender ratio was not maintained, therefore nullifying much of the defense data. It should be noted, however, that the report by Draper and Lombardi (1986) used the best available data while accounting for the deficiencies of the test.
- Many of the controllers who provided subjective evaluations of the test were inexperienced, which limited both the amount and quality of the subjective data.

- Planned assessment of simulated chemical casualties was not accomplished.
- The simulations of weapons firing and impact lacked some degree of realism due to safety constraints.

h. Summary of CANE I

In summary, Draper and Lombardi (1986) reported that command and control suffers significantly in the simulated nuclear/chemical environment compared to a non-NBC threat environment. When a platoon leader becomes a casualty, the next senior man assumes command less than 25 percent of the time. They reported that it takes the platoon four times longer to realize the platoon leader is a casualty. It was more difficult to direct fire, maneuver, and maintain accurate platoon orientation. Platoon leaders get lost more easily and find it harder to get reoriented. Platoon leader casualties are twice that of the non-nuclear/chemical environment. Dehydration is a serious problem; 20 percent of the soldiers were seriously dehydrated and were not aware of their dehydrated condition.

Compared to a non-nuclear/chemical environment, Draper and Lombardi (1986) found that communications were degraded by 50 percent; the total time spent or radio traffic increased by a factor of two.

In terms of direct fire, compared to a non-nuclear/chemical environment, Draper and Lombardi reported that it took twice as long to complete an attack. Firing rates are decreased by 20 percent in defense and 40 percent in attack. Almost twice as much manpower is required to complete an attack. The number of casualties per enemy killed increases by 75 percent. There is a 50 percent reduction in the engagement of enemy forces. Four times as many shots are fired at friendly personnel (20 percent compared to 5 percent). Indirect fire is increased by a factor of three over the non-nuclear/chemical environment.

B. FIELD TRIALS

1. Chemical/Biological (CB) Contact Point and Test Program (Project DO49)

a. Degradation of Performance of Maintenance Tasks

Two series of field tests were conducted in 1984 and 1985 to investigate the amount of degradation of various maintenance tasks performed by troops encapsulated in CWprotective combat clothing (MOPP 4), compared with wearing the Battle Dress Uniform (BDU). The objective of the tests was to determine the effects on representative maintenance operations of wearing CW-protective combat clothing against a chemical attack. The tests were conducted without live fire or the presence of a chemical agent. Wick and Morrissey (1987) reported the results of the 1985 test and Parker, Stearman, and Montgomery (1987) provided a summary report which contained data from these two tests and from other tests. Since the conditions under which the tests were conducted were comparable, the data from the two tests were combined, reanalyzed, and reinterpreted by Montgomery (1987).

In order to determine performance degradation, the time required for troops to accomplish various maintenance tasks when dressed in BDU was compared with the time required when dressed in MOPP 4. The maintenance tasks involved both heavy labor and fine motor skill performance. Each task was performed by 10 teams--5 in 1984 and 5 in 1985. The following maintenance tasks were performed by one to four persons, as indicated:

(1)	Remove and Replace M60A3 Tank Power Pack	four persons
(2)	Remove and Replace M60A3 Tank Transmission	two persons
(3)	Remove and Replace M109 Howitzer BreechBlock	two persons
(4)	Recover M60A3 Tank	four persons
(5)	Repair M60 Machine Gun	one person
(6)	Repair M109 Howitzer Traverse Mechanism	one person
(7)	Repair FADAC Printed Circuit Board	one person

The way in which the field tests were conducted poses significant problems in interpreting the results. The subjects were from the student brigade of the U.S. Army Ordnance Center and School and were trained in the appropriate military operational specialty (MOS), but the team members had no previous experience working together. The tests were repetitive and performance on the tests improved during the testing cycle. If performance on the seven tasks had been stable when wearing the BDU, a straightforward experimental design would compare stable performance in the BDU with performance in MOPP 4. After the decrement was determined for the various tasks, the reduction of the degradation as the result of training to perform the task in MOPP 4 could be determined by giving repeated practice on the tasks in MOPP 4. In the case of the present study, however, baseline performance in the BDU was not established for any of the tasks prior to

testing in MOPP 4. This deficiency in the experimental design of the study has been noted by Wick and Morrissey (1987) and by Montgomery (1987).

For two of the tasks, Remove and Replace M60A3 Power Pack (Task 1) and Remove and Replace M60A3 tank transmission (Task 2), each crew performed the task twice-once in BDU and once in MOPP 4. For these tasks, approximately half of the crews performed the tasks first in BDU and the other half first in MOPP 4. For the remainder of the tasks, multiple trials were conducted, but no attempt was made to counterbalance the order of trial presentation. Since the data on all tasks indicated an improvement in performance over trials, the analysis and interpretation of degradation due to MOPP 4 was confounded by improvement on the tasks during the study.

Regression analysis was used to analyze the data (Wick and Morrissey, 1987). The following general regression expression was used to estimate the dependent variable, i.e., the time to complete the task, by quantifying the relationship of several independent variables:

$$T = T_0 + a(x) + b(y) + e$$

where:

T = the time in minutes to complete the task

 T_0 = the practiced, unencumbered time

x = clothing type

y = order in which an event was started

e = the error term.

Wick and Morrissey (1987) assumed that x would be zero when BDUs were worn, and one for MOPP 4, and y was assigned a one if the event was being completed for the first time, and zero if the event had been completed more than one time. Thus, the expression without the error term is:

T = To + a + b

where

a = the correction in minutes for MOPP 4

b = first trial practice effect.
The MOPP 4 degradation factor for any task is $\frac{T_o}{T_o+a}$ and the correction factor is

 $\frac{T_0+a}{T_0}$ (Wick, Morrissey, and Klopcic, 1987; Wick and Morrissey, 1989; Wick and Morrissey, 1987; Wick, 1988). The correction factor when multiplied by T_0 gives the expected time to complete a task in MOPP 4 (Wick, 1988). Davis et al. (1990) later called the correction factor a Performance Decrement Factor.

Consequently the regression analysis was used to predict and account for the effects of learning by separating the effects of the first trial from later trials on each task (Wick and Morrissey, 1987; Parker et al., 1987). The regression analysis assumed that learning occurred only on the first trial. For one of the tasks, Recover M60A3 Tank, the regression analysis indicated a 90 percent performance degradation as a result of MOPP 4 for the 1984 tests but a 10 percent degradation for the 1985 tests (Montgomery, 1987). Consequently, Montgomery questioned the assumption that learning was important only for the first trial. He concluded that the "regionalized statistical approach," which accounts for a continual learning process, was appropriate to determine degradation effects of MOPP 4. According to Montgomery the regionalized statistical approach not only uses the magnitude of a measurement but also considers its location in time and space (Montgomery, 1987).

For each trial he reorganized the data according to the order that BDU or MOPP 4 dress was used. Several of the Montgomery data tables are presented in Appendix D to illustrate problems with the experimental design and to provide additional analyses.

Montgomery (1987) graphically presented the order data (time by trial) and concluded that the "learning curves are so strong they tend to bury all other effects in the data" (Montgomery, 1987, p. 17). Montgomery correctly pointed out that performance improvement is a result of two factors--learning to perform the task and adaptation to MOPP 4, but the small number of subjects for each trial and an inadequate experimental design made it difficult to separate the two effects.

Montgomery (1987) reported that, when the regionalized statistical analysis approach was used, performance on tasks using gross motor skills was degraded about 30 percent due to MOPP 4. He estimated that the degradation could be reduced to about 20 percent through regularly scheduled training in MOPP 4. He also noted that his analysis indicated performance in BDU can be increased between 100 and 200 percent through repeated practice of the tasks. He found that fine motor skills were degraded an average of 60 percent by MOPP 4. He estimated that the degradation could be reduced to 50 percent or less through training in MOPP 4. Indeed the importance of training is underscored by the fact that "adaptation to and functioning in MOPP improved with each additional try" (Montgomery, 1987).

Table III-3 was constructed by the current authors to summarize Montgomery's regionalized statistical analysis approach and an analysis based on Percent Time Degradation (D_T) using the data presented by Montgomery (1987).

Task Number	Title	Montgomery (1987)	Taylor & Orlansky (D _T)
1	R&R M60A3 Tank Power Pack	35% ± 20%	25.4%
2	R&R M60A3 Tank Transmission	50%	38.8%
3	R&R M109 Howitzer Breech Block	25% ± 25%	26%
4	Recovery of M60A3 Tank		
5	Repair of M60 Machine Gun		0p
6	Repair of M109 Howitzer Traverse Mechanism	50% ± 30%	31-37%
7	Repair of FADAC Circuit	a	

 Table III-3.
 Summary of Percent Time Degradation of Maintenance

 Task Due to MOPP 4

a Lack of data for BDU prevents calculation of degradation.

^b Weighted mean for first three trials (BDU $\overline{\times}$ = 10.7, N = 16; MOPP 4 $\overline{\times}$ = 10.6, N = 17).

It is important to discuss several lessons learned from the above analysis of the tests. Since it is expensive to conduct field tests such as these, proper attention should be given to the experimental design of the test in order that the results can be interpreted. These field tests had several deficiencies. First, the teams and/or individuals were not well trained in the tasks to be performed. It is important to use subjects that are well trained in performing the tasks. Second, a stable performance baseline in BDU was not established prior to the introduction of the experimental condition--MOPP 4. If possible, a performance baseline should be established prior to the introduction of MOPP 4. If this is not possible, a counter-balanced design should be used in an attempt to balance practice and learning effects. Third, in a number of cases, no meaningful comparisons could be made between BDU and MOPP 4 due to lack of data. Enough subjects or trials should be conducted to permit comparisons between the baseline and experimental conditions and to permit inferential statistics with enough "power" to enable the results to be used with confidence. Fourth, for none of the tests were enough trials conducted after the

introduction of MOPP 4 to determine the effect of training in MOPP 4 although this was stated as an objective of the tests. After introducing the experimental condition, it is important to conduct enough trials in MOPP 4 to demonstrate the effect of training in MOPP 4.

In the present case, an extensive field experiment was conducted without an appropriate experimental design. The lack of attention to experimental design and statistical procedures has resulted in results that are difficult to interpret.

b. Performance Degradation of Night Reconnaissance Mission

Ramachandran and Montgomery (1987) reported a field test conducted at Camp Pendleton in August 1985, which compared the effectiveness of marines performing a simulated night reconnaissance mission under BDU and MOPP 4 conditions. The study was also designed to determine if training would reduce performance degradation. The tasks for the mission were:

- (1) Route reconnaissance
- (2) Photographing a target
- (3) Emplacement of a claymore mine
- (4) Air and water sampling for contamination
- (5) Hasty sketching of a dam
- (6) Moving between different objectives.

Three four-person teams performed each task once in BDU and twice in MOPP 4. The dependent measure was time to perform the task. Table III-4 indicates the order in which each team performed the trial.

Team	1st	2nd	3rd
1	MOPP 4	BDU	MOPP 4
2	MOPP 4	MOPP 4	BDU
3	BDU	MOPP 4	MOPP 4

Table	111-4.	Triai	Örder

The authors reported that the data were not sufficient to permit statistical evaluation. They found that "Since learning and adaption occurred each time a team performed a task, it was impossible to identify statistically the specific performance degradation due to MOPP 4." They reported that "... such an analysis could have been possible if the trials had been repeated with more soldiers who were familiar with the performed tasks" (Ramachandran and Montgomery, 1987, p. 5).

The authors averaged, for two teams, the performance times for each task performed under the MOPP 4 condition for each trial order, i.e., each data point consisted of the average of two teams for each ordered trial (1st, 2nd, 3rd). For example, for the Route Recon task Teams 1 and 2 performed the task for the first trial in MOPP 4, Teams 2 and 3 performed the task for the second trial in MOPP 4, and Teams 1 and 3 performed the task for the third trial in MOPP 4. When the data are examined in this manner, there is an improvement over trials for most tasks, but the effects of learning the task and adapting to MOPP 4 are confounded.

The data for the BDU condition were also displayed by the order in which the task was performed. These data consisted of a single datum per trial since the BDU condition was performed only once by each team. Based on data from the three ordered trials, Ramachandran and Montgomery (1987) concluded that: (1) a comparison of movement times between trials in BDU and MOPP 4 resulted in a time increase of 150 to 200 percent for MOPP 4; (2) practice improved performance by 66 percent for BDU and by 5 to 10 percent for MOPP 4.

Table III-5 compares the means of these ordered data from Ramachandran and Montgomery (1987) for the three teams averaged across five tasks, i.e., route recon, move #1, move #2, water sample #2, and extraction move for the BDU and MOPP 4 conditions. The data from Ramachandran and Montgomery (1987) were used to calculate the percent improvement based on a comparison of the difference between trials 1 and 3 divided by trial 1; these results are also contained in Table III-5. The results indicate an improvement of 66 percent for the BDU condition and 17 percent for the MOPP 4 condition.

We also calculated percent time decrement (D_T) for MOPP 4 compared to BDU for the three ordered trials using the data in Table III-5. For ordered trial 1, D_T = -6%; for ordered trial 2, D_T = -30%; and for ordered trial 3, D_T = -163%. Thus while improvement was found with practice for both BDU and MOPP 4, the performance decrement resulting from wearing MOPP 4 compared to BDU increased as performance in BDU approached asymptote. Increased heat storage as a result of the MOPP 4 configuration was the primary problem during the trials. Perspiration resulted in loss of mask integrity and caused breathing problems. Boots slipped, snagged on vegetation, and provided unnatural feedback of the ground; voice communication was poor. "Stealth was totally lacking... because of high noise levels, slow movement, tripping and snagging, bunching, and poor use of protective cover" (Ramachandran and Montgomery, 1987, p. 15). The data also indicate the significant time penalty due to wearing MOPP 4. Further, the data indicate that performance in MOPP 4 can be improved with practice. The experimental design results in a confounding of the improvement due to learning to do the tasks better and learning to perform the tasks in MOPP 4.

			BDU					MOPP 4	
Trial	(Mean	Time	in min)	Improvement	Trial	(Mean	Time	in min)	Improvement
1	2	3	×	<u>T1 - T3</u> T1	1	2	3	×	<u>T1 - T3</u> T1
89	63	30	60.7	66%	95	82	79	85.3	17%

Table III-5. Mean Time for 3 Teams Performing Five Tasks by Trial Order for SDU and MOPP 4 (N = 5) (Data from Ramachandran and Montgomery, 1987, percent improvement calculated)

Wick, Morrissey, and Klopcic (1987) reported the results of night reconnaissance operations similar to those reported by Ramachandran and Montgomery (1987) but conducted at Camp Pendleton in September 1985. The program was designed to evaluate the operational capabilities and to quantify the degradation of performance which results from wearing MOPP 4. The measure of degradation was the time difference between task performance with BDU and MOPP 4. The subjects were three teams of four members each. None of the teams practiced the exercise or received special instruction in wearing or completing the tasks in MOPP 4. The tests were repetitive and the subjects gained experience during the course of the field trial.

The tasks were performed in the following order:

- (1) Route reconnaissance
- (2) Photograph a target
- (3) Move to first objective

- (4) Emplace a claymore mine
- (5) Take an air sample
- (6) Move to second objective
- (7) Take first water sample
- (8) Make hasty sketch of dam
- (9) Take second water sample
- (10) Move to extraction point.

Each of the three teams completed the above tasks three times over a period of 8 days. The clothing conditions are shown in Table III-6:

Team	1st	2nd	3rd
1	BDU	MOPP 4	MOPP 4
2	MOPP 4	BDU	MOPP 4
3	MOPP 4	MOPP 4	BDU

Table III-6. Trial Order by Team

The field data collected was the time in minutes for each team to complete a task. Regression analysis was used to analyze the data. The regression equation $T = T_0 + a + b$ previously discussed was used to account for time to complete the task.

In an attempt to account for the fact that no performance baseline was established prior to conducting the test, the authors account for the effect of practice by including in the regression analysis an experimentally determined linear correction term for first trials. This assumes that the effect of practice occurs only on the first trial. In other studies Montgomery (1987) has noted that this assumption was not warranted, but there was no independent way to test the assumption for this study.

Using the regression analysis approach, degradation factors were computed for each night reconnaissance task. The inverse of the degradation factors, the MOPP correction factors, and the probable range are presented in Table III-7.

Using the regression analysis approach, the greatest effect of MOPP 4 was found for the movement tasks. The authors also reported that stealth was generally lost during night movement while wearing MOPP 4.

Task	MOPP 4 Correction Factors	Probable Range
Route Recon.	1.2	0.9-1.5
Move to 1st Objective	1.4	1.2-1.7
Take Air Sample	1.0	0.6-1.2
Move to 2nd Objective	1.5	1.3-1.8
Take 1st Water Sample	1.1	0.3-1.8
Hasty Sketch of Dam	1.1	0.8-1.3
Take 2nd Water Sample	1.3	1.0-1.7
Move to Extraction Point	1.4	1.0-1.9

Table III-7. Night Reconnaissance MOPP 4 Correction Factors and Probable Range

c. Performance Degradation of HAWK Missile Operations

Parker and Stearman (1987) reported the performance degradation of HAWK missile operations as a result of wearing MOPP 4. Six marine assault fire units, three from Yuma, Ariz., and three from Cherry Point, N.C., conducted HAWK missile launch operations, which were repeated 3 to 6 times on 3 consecutive days. During 1 day the marines were dressed in BDU and for 2 days they were dressed in MOPP 4. The time to set up the equipment for a launch of the HAWK missile, the time to disassemble and prepare for movement, as well as the total time of the operation were recorded. Times were recorded for 42 tasks.

The order of performing the operation for the three units at each site is shown in Table III-8:

Unit	1st Day	2nd Day	3rd Day
1	BDU	MOPP 4	MOPP 4
2	MOPP 4	BDU	MOPP 4
3	MOPP 4	MOPP 4	BDU

Table III-8. Order of	Conditions
-----------------------	------------

Separate statistical analyses were performed on task data collected at the two test sites. Kruskal-Wallis Tests were used to test for differences among the nine cells for each of the 42 tasks. Two-way analyses of variance (condition by unit) with unequal numbers of observations were performed. When significant differences were observed, Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare the means two at a time.

The Kruskal-Wallis Test indicated a significant difference in performance times for 34 of 42 tasks at Cherry Point and for 39 of 42 tasks at Yuma. The ANOVAs indicated a significant difference for: (1) Conditions, for 5 tasks at Cherry Point and for 9 tasks at Yuma; (2) Units, for 10 tasks at Cherry Point and 13 tasks at Yuma; (3) Interaction, (Conditions by Units) for 22 tasks at both Cherry Point and at Yuma. An analysis of the interaction indicated that the time to perform a task was longest for the first performance regardless of the condition.

Again, the lack of prior training on the tasks limits the interpretation of the data. It is clear there is a confounding of learning to perform the task and adapting to wearing MOPP 4.

Morrissey and Wick (1989b; 1989c) analyzed the same data of the field studies conducted at Cherry Point and Yuma, respectively. The Cherry Point field test was conducted in a warm environment (temperatures 80° to 95°F) with high humidity. The Yuma field study was conducted in a moderate environment (temperature 60° to 85°F) with low humidity. As in the Parker and Stearman (1987) study, the measure of degradation was the time to perform the various tasks in BDU and MOPP 4. The performance degradation of the three HAWK Assault Fire Units at both Cherry Point and Yuma were analyzed by Morrissey and Wick (1989a; 1989b) in terms of five end items. Table III-9 shows the conditions and the source of correction factors for Cherry Point and Yuma.

Sauloment	Range of Correction Factors for Tasks				
Ednhuaur	Cherry Point	Yuma			
Platoon Command	1.1-2.3	0.7-1.4			
Continuous Wave Acquisition Radar	1.4-2.0	1.0-1.4			
High Power Illumination Radar	1.3-2.8	1.1-1.6			
Launcher	1.0-2.8	0.7-1.6			
Pulse Acquisition Radar	1.0-2.2	0.7-1.6			

Table III-9. Range of Correction Factors for Tasks for Five items of HAWK Missile Assault Fire Unit Equipment (From Morrissey and Wick, 1989b and c) The range of correction factors on the individual items of equipment were higher for the Cherry Point operation as compared to Yuma. Morrissey and Wick (1989a) reported that the correction factor to set up and prepare to fire a missile, a measure of the efficiency of the assault fire unit, was 1.4 for Cherry Point "which is approximately the same as that obtained during the Yuma trials."

d. Armor Operations I

The Armor Operations I investigated the ability of tank crewmen to perform their tasks while in MOPP 4 (Barry, Stack, Henry, Enright, and Welch, 1987). This study included acquiring and engaging targets and mission degradation of tank platoons due to wearing MOPP 4 during a defensive operation. The field trial evaluated mission performance during a scheduled training exercise; the availability of personnel was limited. The performance of personnel in the BDU was used as a baseline for comparing degradation. The field trial consisted of the following four phases: (1) planning and preparation for defense; (2) movement from assembly area to battle positions; (3) enemy engagement; and (4) consolidation. Time to perform the various tasks during the four phases of the operation was the primary performance measure but, the number of rounds fired and the number of target hits were recorded during the enemy engagement phase. A degradation factor was calculated by dividing the time to conduct the operations in MOPP 4 by BDU time for the respective operation.

Three tank platoons conducted nine armor operational trials; three trials were conducted in BDU and six trials were conducted in MOPP 4. Each platoon consisted of four tanks. Each trial consisted of one or two repetitions of armor operations. Each platoon conducted one trial in BDU and two in MOPP 4. Platoon A and C conducted the first trial in BDU and platoon B conducted the third trial in BDU. The field trial was conducted under a wide range of temperature conditions, i.e., 7.6°C to 28.2°C (45.7°F to 82.8°F).

The Mann-Whitney U Test was used to analyze the data due to small, uneven, and non-normally distributed samples.

All phases of operations were successfully completed in MOPP 4 but the following two were performed significantly more slowly in MOPP 4 than in BDU: (1) Phase: Planning and Preparation for Defense; Task: boresight M85 .50 caliber machine gun (degradation factor 1.2); (2) Phase: Movement from Assembly Area to Battle Position; Task: Conduct bounded overwatch (degradation factor 1.5).

The exercise was conducted for 2 consecutive days for about 6 hours per day. There was a time decrement for the above two tasks on the second day, but there was an improvement in the time to perform the bounded overwatch task (1.2 vs 1.5). The boresight of the machine gun task showed more degradation on the second day (1.6 vs 1.2). The bounded overwatch maneuver is used when contact with a hostile force is expected. The two sections move by "bounds" during which one section is positioned to "overwatch" and provide supporting fire as the other section moves.

The authors recommended that armor units emphasize training under CWconditions to ensure competent individual and unit performance.

Poor visual perception and lack of finger dexterity were viewed as the primary cause of the boresighting performance degradation. Evaluator comments included: "impossible to boresight .50 caliber with gas mask and rubber gloves"; "can't get [a] good lay on target, let alone use binoculars"; "rubber gloves cumbersome in handling allen wrench for boresighting .50 caliber, mask limits actual accuracy of . . . boresighting on target," Barry et al. (1987, p. 22).

The mean performance times were 11.5 min in BDU and 16 min in MOPP 4 a D_T (time decrement calculated from Barry et al. data) of -39 percent.

Morrissey and Wick (1989a) used regression analysis on the same data to compute correction factors and probability range for six tasks. The results of this analysis are shown in Table III-10.

Task	Correction Factor	Probability Range
Prepare Driver Station	1.1	0.8-1.4
Check Engine and Transmission in Fluid Leveis	1.2	0.9-1.5
Perform Preventative Maintenance and After Operations Checks and Service	1.2	0.9-1.4
Platoon Movements	1.6	1.4-1.8
Target Acquisition	1.0	1.0
Firing Accuracy	1.0	0.9-1.0

Table	III-10.	Correction	Factors a	and I	Probability	Range	for	Armor	Tasks
		(From	Morrisse	iv an	d Wicks,	1989a)			

e. Performance Degradation of Communications

Stack and Sager (1988) investigated the effect of wearing MOPP 4 on radio teletypewriter operation in a simulated tactical environment. The operational scenario consisted in setting up and breaking down the communication equipment and typing word lists (simulation of message traffic). Two factors were investigated: (1) MOPP 4 versus BDU; and (2) level of experience. The test was conducted during a scheduled field training exercise and the performance measures used were time and accuracy. Eight teams of five members each were used as subjects. A total of 76 trials were conducted; 24 trials were conducted in BDU and 52 trials were conducted in MOPP 4 over two days. The results indicated that the teams were able to successfully conduct the communications operations while wearing MOPP 4 but the times to accomplish the following four tasks were significantly longer than the task times for BDU: (1) installation and camouflage of equipment, correction factor of 1.6; (2) communication (type word lists), correction factor of 1.3; (3) disassemble equipment, correction factor of 1.4; (4) disassemble camouflage, correction factor of 1.3. Performance improved on the degraded tasks for the second day.

The Mann-Whitney U Test was used to test for significant differences for 11 tasks concerned with installation and camouflage of radio teletypewriter. Seven of the 11 tasks had significantly better performance when performed during the second MOPP 4 day than during the first. For three of the tasks the performance of the second MOPP 4 day was better than the performance in BDU. It is clear that a stable baseline was not established for performance in BDU. Consequently, the effects of learning to perform the task are confounded with the effects of training in MOPP 4.

Wick and Morrissey (1989) analyzed the data for selected Radio Teletypewriter operations; Table III-11 lists the correction factors and their probability range.

Radio Teletypewriter Tasks	Correction Factor	Probability Range
Install Generator	1.4	0.9-1.8
Camouflage Trailer	1.3	1.2-1.5
Camouflage Truck	1.0	1.0-1.2
install Antenna	0.9 ^a	0.8-1.0
Install AN/GRC 106	0.8 ^a	0.6-1.1
Install AN/MRC 138	0.7=	0.3-1.1
Remove RATT	1.2	1.0-1.3
Remove Antenna	1.3	1.1-1.5
Remove Trailer	1.2	1.0-1.3

Table ill-11. Correction Factors and Probability Range for Radio Teletypewriter Tasks (From Wicks and Morrissey, 1989)

Probably not degraded.

The results indicated that several tasks were not degraded by wearing MOPP 4 and all tasks were completed.

2. Psychological and Physiological Effects of NBC and Extended Operations (P²NBC²)

The Airland Battle doctrine requires extended operations under integrated battlefield conditions where NBC agents are employed. To determine the ability of combined arms forces to operate under NBC conditions, the Vice Chief of Staff of the Army, on May 1984, initiated a program to assess the psychological and physiological effects of NBC and extended operations on combat vehicle crew performance (P^2NBC^2). The objectives of the program were to: (1) measure the physiological and psychological effects of the NBC environment and of sustained operations on systems in combat; (2) provide data for operational risk factors analysis for field commanders; (3) determine the effect of training, doctrine, and equipment on crew performance and endurance; and (4) support the development of training, doctrine, organization, and materiel. Blewett (1991) describes three studies conducted under the P^2NBC^2 program to demonstrate that the program focus is on the NBC operation as a complete system which consists of the soldier, equipment, doctrine, training, and organization all tested in a realistic field environment.

a. Armor Operations

Ellis, Elliott, Johnson, Pimental, Rauch, and Smith (1986) reported the results of a field test which was conducted to determine the decrement in performance of armor crews dressed in MOPP 4.

In order to reach the test objectives and test safety factors and test instrumentation, the following three-phased approach was used:

Phase IA Baseline Testing-Work-Rest cycle in M60A3 Turret Training (24 hours)

Phase IB Baseline Testing-Exhaustion in M60A3 Turre: Trainer (24 hours)

Phase II Baseline Testing-Stationary Tank (24 hours)

Phase III Composite Platoon with Tactical Scenario (72 hours).

Phase IA. The purpose of Phase IA was to determine if crew members could perform assigned duties for a 24-hour period in a moderate thermal environment using the M60 Turret Trainer and performing under the following two CW-protective combat clothing conditions:

- BDU with NBC mask and combat vehicle crewman (CVC) helmet
- MOPP 4 and CVC helmet.

Due to a limited number of volunteers only condition two (MOPP 4 and helmet) was tested. A work-rest cycle of 2 hours of intensive mental and physical activity in MOPP 4 and 1 hour in a cool environment without MOPP 4 was used. The work task simulated the procedures used for engagement of multiple targets (58 targets during 2-hour period). In addition, the work cycle included the following: encode or decode a message, identify 10 vehicles and perform the following psychological tests: Logical-Reasoning, Encode-Decode, and Trails Sequential Spatial Test and the Walter Reed Performance Assessment Battery (PAB).

Two three-man tank crews participated in the Phase IA test. The subjects, without penalty or coercion, could terminate the test at any time. The primary performance data collected related to the gunnery tasks required for each engagement. Accuracy data was recorded via two video cameras. One of the two crews completed the 24-hour test. The individual endurance times ranged from 13.88 hours to 24.00 hours with an average of 19.92 total hours. Target engagement times increased throughout the test (linear function). The crews maintained the ability to accurately process information but lost speed over the test; a significant decrement was found after 7 hours.

Phase IB. During this phase, the rest period was eliminated and an ammunition loading exercise, which consisted of loading 63 rounds, was included. The CW-protective combat clothing conditions and number of subjects used in Phase IA were used in this phase.

As in Phase IA, target engagement times increased throughout the test; the engagement time trend was determined to be a linear function. Neither crew lasted longer than about 9 hours. For one crew the loader passed out after 9 hours; for the second crew the loader had to be carried out after about 7 hours. Observations indicated that the loaders' performance on basic tasks degraded after only 3 hours. Accuracy on the psychological tests was maintained but speed decreased as the tests progressed; a significant decrement was found after only 2 hours.

Phase II. The purpose of this phase was to compare the ability of crews to perform assigned duties in a stationary M60A3 or M1 tank with hatches closed while wearing MOPP 4 or mask only. The engagement task was similar to the previous phases but the ammunition loading task consisted of loading 63 main gun rounds for the M60A3 and 55 for the M1. Full crews (tank commander, gunner, loader, and driver) were used. Data collection was similar to Phase IB. Target engagement times were plotted as in previous phases. Engagement time increased throughout the test; the time trend was determined to be a linear function.

The average individual crew member endurance times were 8.73 hours for the M60A3 and 5.25 for the M1. No crew in either MOPP 4 or mask only was able to complete more than 11 hours. The average crew lasted for 10.09 hours in the M60A3 and for 6.41 hours in the M1.

Phase III. The differences in performance under simulated NBC conditions between M1E1 (later type classified as M1A1) tanks equipped with microclimate cooling and overpressure, and M60A3 and M1 tanks without microclimate cooling and overpressure were investigated. The test consisted of a platoon composed of one M1E1, one M1 and two M60A3 tanks. The platoon conducted, under simulated NBC conditions, continuous tactical operations for 72 hours against an opposing force.

The tactical scenario was made as realistic as possible. The test was designed to observe the effects of the contaminated environment on the platoon's, the crew's and the individual's ability to perform tasks required during a simulated combat mission. The tactical scenario consisted of the following components: movement to contact, hasty attack, defense, offense, and defense against aircraft.

The test was designed to address the following issues:

- Are the M1E1 and related NBC equipment reliable for extended operations?
- What degradation in crew performance results from employing a fully combat loaded M1E1 during extended operations in a contaminated environment?
- How long can each volunteer crew member remain in an M60A3 tank with hatches closed wearing either (1) an NBC protective mask or (2) full MOPP 4 gear before reaching his confinement or performance limits, where he becomes incapable of completing his assigned duties?
- How long can each volunteer crew member remain in a M1E1 tank with its hatches closed and overpressure on, wearing underwear, NOMEX, microclimate cooling gear/Kevlor vest and either battle dress overgarment (BDO) or chemical protective overgarment (CPO), before reaching his confinement or performance limits, where he becomes incapable of completing his assigned duties?
- Is the proposed load plan for the M1E1 adequate?.

--Ellis et al., 1986, pp. 2-89, 2-90

The MILES was used to enhance reality and provide crew members immediate feedback on kills and misses during the gunnery portion of the scenario. When a weapon is fired the MILES interprets the effect on the target based on the weapon characteristics, accuracy of the engagement, and a predetermined hit probability, and triggers an audio and visual effect on the target vehicle. If the engagement results in a "kill" the target vehicle's weapon systems are disabled.

The opposing force was a platoon equipped with five M551 tanks which were modified to represent Soviet T-62s and BMPs. The opposing forces were trained in Soviet tactics.

As in the earlier phases, video cameras were used to collect performance data for gunnery tasks. Each crew member was monitored for physical appearance, heart rate, and rectal temperature. Psychological data were collected as in the earlier phases.

The average crew member endurance times for the M60A3 was 7.25 hours; for the M1, 6.95 hours; and for the M1E1, 16.78 hours. The average M1E1 endurance time was significantly different (at the 99 percent level of confidence from both the M60A3 and the

M1 endurance times). There was no significant difference between M60A3 and M1 endurance times. When two of the four crew members in a tank withdrew, the tank was considered operationally ineffective and was withdrawn from the test. The average crew operational loss time for the M60A3 was 7.83 hours; the range for the six crews was 4.65 hours to 12.65 hours. The average crew operational loss time for the 3 crews in the M1 was 7.81; the range was 3.83 to 12.65 hours. The average crew operational loss time for the M1E1 was 16.94 hours; the range was 13.32 to 23.17 hours.

Although none of the tanks completed the 72-hour mission scenario, the endurance times for the crews in M1E1 were 2-3 times longer than the M1 or the M60A3. There was no difference in the endurance times for the M60A3 and the M1 crews. In no case was the crew member removed due to exceeding the rectal temperature. The symptoms reported by crew members terminating included severe headache, nausea, dizziness, fatigue, cramps in legs, blurred vision, poor hearing, and inability to concentrate.

The results of the MILES engagement indicated that all vehicles hit during the test had crews dressed in MOPP 4 or tanks with overpressure. The kill ratios for vehicles with crews dressed in MOPP 4 that continued after 6 hours degraded rapidly; the M1E1 kill ratio remained relatively constant throughout the test. The MILES average kill ratio for the M1E1 in a night defense scenario was four times better than tanks in MOPP 4 and two times better during daylight defense.

The results of the evaluation of a total of 88 combat mission tasks indicated that for 18 tasks the criterion was not met (20.45 percent); for 44 tasks the criterion was partially met (50 percent) and for 26 tasks the completion criterion was fully met (29.54). All of the 18 tasks that were not met were directly related to the CW-protective combat clothing. For instance, the crews could not properly establish communications, and the platoon was extremely vulnerable to air attack. No aircraft sortie during the test was detected or engaged by any of the 12 tanks. The platoons could not effectively reorganize after confrontation with the opposing force. The platoons had "extreme difficulty moving crosscountry, particularly at night and during periods of reduced visibility. They also had extreme difficulty in detecting targets while over watching the movement of their own vehicles" (Ellis et al., 1986, p. 2-107). The platoons were very limited in preparing and occupying battle positions. The platoons were also unable to provide adequate local security and were extremely vulnerable to dismounted attacks particularly at night. In addition to tactical operations, the following tests were conducted: (1) gunnery subtest, (2) boresight subtest, (3) entry/exit procedures subtest, and (4) hammock and Preventive Maintenance Checks and Services (PMCS) subtest.

The gunnery subtest was designed to measure the degradation in gunnery performance when an M1E1 crew engages targets in a chemically contaminated environment; no useful gunnery data were collected during the scheduled test.

The boresight subtest was designed to assess the effects of contaminated chemical conditions on the basic gunnery task of boresighting the 120-mm cannon. Three subjects were trained in the boresighting procedure and three baseline trials were conducted in BDU. The test was performed in MOPP 4 and simulated overpressure in an M1 (the M1E1 was not available; the M1 has a 105-mm cannon). The average time (min:sec) to boresight in BDU was 7:15 for the primary method (range was 6:55-7:40) and 7:40 for the alternative method (range was 7:20-8:10). The average time in MOPP 4 with overpressure was 72:47 for the primary method (range was 70:19-74:43) and 80:23 for the alternative method (range was 79:08-81:10).

The boresight accuracy was verified for the primary and alternative methods for all three trials performed in BDU and for all three trials for the primary method in MOPP 4 with overpressure. The boresight was not verified for any of the three trials using the alternative method in MOPP 4 with overpressure. The loader had trouble focusing through the Pye-Watson Device with the protective mask using the primary method, and was unable to complete the task using the alternative method since the threads on the end of the gun tube could not be seen clearly enough to complete the boresight properly.

The task of boresighting an M1E1 tank wearing CW-protective combat clothing is feasible but takes 10 times as long as in BDU.

The objective of the entry/exit procedures subtest was to evaluate the comprehensive means of the draft entry and exit procedures for the M1E1 tank. The basic issue was to determine if the crew can reestablish an uncontaminated environment after reentry into the tar! The average time to complete the entry/exit procedures for one crewman was 101 minutes and 38 seconds. Ellis et al. (1986) indicated that going from MOPP 2 (inside M1E1) to MOPP 4 outside the tank and disconnecting from the micro-cooling system can be devastating for the crew member exiting the vehicle.

The objective of the hammock and PMCS subtest was to evaluate an M1E1 crew's performance of critical preventive maintenance checks and services and to use a hammock

for resting during extended operations under CW conditions. The subtest was to determine if a hammock can be mounted safely inside the M1E1 tank without moving equipment and if crews can sleep in the hammock. The results indicated that a hammock could be strung if the main gun was fully raised to provide clearance for a crew member unit to sleep in the hammock. Safety was compromised, in part, since the person was suspended over the main gun. Since turret power was on, engagement of the gunner's or the tank commander's control handles could result in injury to the sleeping crew members. Consequently, the turret gun drive would have to be immobilized to assure safety. The loader was able to accomplish all preventive maintenance checks and services. These consisted primarily of checking the air intake grill for blockage, checking the suspension systems, and clearing all vision blocks and optics. The entry and exit procedure took more time than the PMCS. Sleeping in the hammock was judged to be more restful than sitting in the gunner's seat.

Phase IV. Glumm (1988) reported a field trial, IRONMAN, designed to observe operations of the M1 tank under simulated NBC conditions for 72 continuous hours and to observe the effects of modifications in equipment and procedures on crew performance and endurance. This study is Phase IV of the previous study reported by Ellis et al. (1986), Twelve armor crews (48 crewmen) participated in the study which involved two M1 test vehicles enclosed in the moving target simulator. The performance and endurance of 12 crews were measured during a simulated tactical scenario under one of the following five test conditions: Baseline (two iterations), Training/Doctrine, Hardware, Combined, and a Modified Combined condition. Two crews participated in each of the iterations. During the Baseline conditions, crews received clothing, equipment, and M1 hardware currently in the inventory and the crews conducted operations based on current training and doctrine. In the Training/Doctrine condition crews used modified procedures. In the Hardware condition, crews wore a different protective mask and used newly developed equipment. The Combined condition combined the Hardware and Training/Doctrine conditions. The Modified Combined Condition was the same as the Combined except the XM42 protective mask was worn instead of the XM43 and the crew had music, a commercial beverage and crew rotation was used. Each test iteration was 1 week long. The tests were conducted under temperate climatic conditions (temperature range 21.7-24.6°C or 71.1-76.3°F).

Based on core temperature data, heat stress was not a factor but no crew member completed the 72-hour field trial. When 2 members of each four-member crew withdrew, the crew's participation in the trial was terminated. The staytime for individual crew

members ranged between 3 and 32 hours and the mean time of withdrawal was 14.5 hours. Sixty-three percent of the crewmen who withdrew, did so between 8 and 13 hours. The four baseline crews averaged 22.4 hours; the range was from 16.5 to 32.3 hours. The endurance of the other crews for the other four experimental conditions ranged from 9.8 to 23.5 hours. The mean crew end in and the four experimental conditions was 13.6 hours. The most common symptoms included heat discomfort and sweating, nausea, breathing difficulties, and headaches. None of the crewmen was withdrawn due to having reached the physiological limits (defined by core temperature or heart rate). Performance measures were recorded for target engagement, target tracking, message encoding and decoding, and driver skill. Other tasks performed during the "resupply" period (every 6 hours) were ammunition resupply, refueling, weapon assembly and disassembly, and vehicle/aircraft identification. Over 99 percent of all targets engaged were hit; only 4 targets were missed during the study. The number of targets engaged decreased during the study and the time to engage targets increased.

No statistical analysis of the effects of test conditions was made concerning their effect on crew performance and endurance due to "limitations in the sample size and the confounding of many variables" (Glumm, 1988, p. 4). The confounding included the effects of attrition. Glumm reported that the data obtained in the study indicated that crews dressed in MOPP 4 CW-protective combat clothing and performing in temperate climatic conditions "will have difficulties sustaining effective operations in the M1 tank system under NBC conditions for extended periods of time" (Glumm, 1988, p. 39). The materiel and procedural variables had little or no effect on crew performance or on endurance.

Psychological Effects. Munro, Rauch, Banderet, Lussier, Tharion, and Shukitt (1987) reported the psychological effects of the IRONMAN test. Four questionnaires were administered: (1) Environmental Symptoms Questionnaire (ESQ); (2) Clyde Mood Scale (CMS); (3) Crew Atmosphere Scale (CAS); and (4) Cognitive Strategies Questionnaire (CSQ). They found that the crewmen who withdrew reported more intense symptoms than those who did not voluntarily withdraw. Munro et al. (1987) found that symptom intensity as measured by the ESQ increased during the test. When comparing pre- and post-test symptoms, thermal symptoms showed a 600 percent increase; respiratory, musculoskeletal, neurological, and gastrointestinal symptoms showed a 300 500 percent increase; and fatigue showed over a 200 percent increase. Mood changes as measured by the Clyde Mood Scale were more prevalent than symptom changes. There was a general deterioration of mood which was indicated by two patterns. The intensity of

Ш-27

. . 4

• •

sleepiness, dizziness, and unhappiness increased while aggressiveness, friendliness, and clear thinking decreased.

b. Sustained Operations of Mechanized Infantry

Mitchell, Knox, and Wehrly (1987) and Headley, Brecht-Clark, Feng, and Whittenberg (1988b), reported the results of field tests of mechanized infantry personnel wearing CW-protective combat clothing. Thirty-six soldiers served as subjects. The test was divided into two phases. Phase IA involved four Bradley Infantry Fighting Vehicles (BIFV) with a vehicle team (commander, gunner, and driver) and a six-member dismount team. The subjects received 23 hours of MOPP 4 acclimation and training. The test was conducted wearing MOPP 1 and MOPP 4, and was conducted over a two week period. The test was designed for 72 hours with each 24-hour period involving a 6-hour combat scenario (test course of 3.9 miles with 13 fixed stations for combat-related tasks) which was repeated three times. At the end of each scenario, decontamination was conducted, a meal consumed, and MOPP 4 removed for 45 minutes. The fourth 6-hour period was scheduled for sleep. Two crews completed 59 hours: the other two crews had mechanical and administrative problems. There were five casualties, three dismount team members and two vehicle team members, out of 35 subjects. The casualties occurred between 13 and 48.4 hours elapsed time (average 30.8 hours). Only one casualty was removed due to a high rectal temperature; he was asymptomatic and later he returned to the study. Performance data comparing MOPP 1 and MOPP 4 indicated that 80 percent of the 106 tasks were not different. For 18 percent of the tasks, MOPP 4 had a longer time.

For Phase IB, two BIFV and one M113A1 armored personnel carrier were scheduled to operate 72 hours in a continuous endurance run with the subjects wearing MOPP 4 without decontamination, meals or sleep. The BIFV crews remained in the test for 31.4 and 33.3 hours and the M113A1 crew remained in the test for 37.9 hours (Headley et al., 1988b). During this time there were 11 casualties out of 26 subjects; none was due to high rectal temperature. Nine of the casualties were members of the dismount team and two were members of the vehicle team. The casualties occurred between 8 and 31.5 hours (median, 14 hours; average, 18.9 hours).

It is interesting to note that rectal temperature is a poor predictor of the staytime of mechanized infantry under warm conditions. About 14 percent of combat infantry who were fully acclimatized in MOPP 4 prior to the start of the study became casualties in a 5-hour work/1-hour rest cycle during a 72-hour test in MOPP 4. In a continuous

operation, 42 percent became casualties within 32 hours of a 72-hour test. At that time the combat force was determined to be ineffective due to casualties. The authors fail to discuss the implications of the number of casualties in Phase IB during mild to moderate climate conditions.

c. Sustained Operations of Armor Crews

Knox, Simmons, Christiansen, and Siering (1987) and Headley, Brecht-Clark, Feng, and Whittenberg (1988b) reported the results of field trials of armor personnel wearing CW-protective combat clothing (MOPP 4). The subjects consisted of 27 soldiers whose MOS was 19E (M60 crewman) or 19K (M1 crewman). The experimental design was a repeated measures design involving two platoons, each participating on separate days in a 24-hour, MOPP 0 (BDU) and three 48-hour, MOPP 4 tactical scenarios. The first condition, Phase 0, consisted of BDU which was followed by three phases of MOPP 4 with two iterations of each phase. The scenario required a platoon of four tanks to defend a ridge line against an opposing force. Phase I was a baseline condition in which two platoons wore MOPP 4; Phase II replicated the materiel and coping strategies described by Glumm (1988); and during Phase III, the subjects wore MOPP 4 combined with microclimate cooling vests in the M60A3 and overpressure in the M1A1. Four soldiers were scheduled to man each tank but for some tests only three soldiers were available. Continuous operations were programmed for 48 hours. Each vehicle became combat ineffective when two of the crew members left.

The subjects were acclimated to MOPP gear for 6 hours. The BDU condition was conducted for only 11 of the planned 24 hours due to delays in the study. Headley et al. (1988b) reported that "All crews of both platoons were able to complete these runs, and they sustained no casualties."

The results of the MOPP 4 trials indicated that none of the crews was able to complete the 48 hours of continuous operations under the hot environmental conditions. The tank crews in MOPP 4 lasted from 3.3 to 16.6 hours (Headley et al., 1988b). Of the 73 "tank crewmen starts," i.e., a trial during an MOPP 4 iteration, 32 individuals (44 percent) were casualties and 17 of the 19 "trial starts" ended as combat ineffective tank trials. Further, results reported in the abstract only, by Knox et al. (1987) indicated that tank crews were able to continue only for an average of 6 hours without microclimate cooling vests. One crew performed for 16.41 hours with cooling and one crew without cooling and wearing MOPP 4 completed 15.01 hours, but the WBGT recording inside the

tank was a maximum of about 32°C. Seven crew members either passed out or were casualties due to high core temperatures. Twenty-six additional crew members elected to leave; the symptoms included dizziness, headache, nausea, stomach ache, eyes hurt, knee popped, lightheaded, and sick. Headley et al. (1988b) reported that lack of consistancy prevented performance data analysis.

Knox et al. (1987) concluded there were no major differences between MOPP 4 and MOPP 4 with "fixes" in terms of physiological performance of subjects. No statistical analyses were performed due to the small number of crews and the number of conditions tested.

A study by Tharion, Rauch, Munro, Lussier, Banderet, and Shukitt (1986) examined the psychological factors which limit the endurance of armor crews operating in chemical protective combat clothing. Twenty-seven male soldiers assigned to six four-man crews and one three-man crew served as subjects. The experimental design consisted of three treatment conditions (MOPP 4, FIX, and SUPER) and a control. Each crew participated in a standard armor field test under the four conditions. The data from the SUPER condition was not used during the analysis. The FIX condition permitted eating while in MOPP 4 and the subjects were trained to use coping strategies designed to mitigate stress. Crew members who voluntarily withdrew or were removed by the medical monitor were designated as casualties; the remaining crew members were designated as survivors. A psychological test battery which consisted of the ESQ, CMS, State Anxiety Questionnaire, and Crew Atmosphere Questionnaire, were administered pre-test and posttest and at six hour intervals during the field test.

For MOPP 4, casualties exhibited greater depressive tendencies and lower selfmotivation than survivors. On the Clyde Mood Scale, casualties reported more intense feelings of sleepiness and dizziness when compared to survivors.

d. Sustained Operations of Artillery Crews

As part of the P²NBC² program, Headley, Brecht-Clark, and Whittenberg (1988a); Headley, Brecht-Clark, Feng, and Whittenberg (1988b); Rauch, Banderet, Tharion, Munro, Lussier and Shukitt (1986); and Knox, Mitchell, Edwards and Sanders (1989), reported different aspects of a field test designed to determine the ability of artillery crews to sustain combat operations in MOPP 4. Four 9-man howitzer crews were scheduled to fire 250 rounds in 72 fire missions over 24 hours. One crew was dressed in the BDU and three crews in MOPP 4. Data collected included firing and endurance data; psychological

test data as well as physiological data were collected. A crew was declared combat inoperative when the crew dropped below five. All crews were trained on the tasks and the three MOPP 4 crews had 3 hours of CW-protective combat clothing habituation prior to the test.

The crew dressed in BDU completed the scenario, but the three MOPP 4 crews lasted 3.8, 1.9, and 2.1 hours. Two of the MOPP 4 crews were terminated due to five casualties while the third MOPP 4 crew was terminated after the loss of the Chief of Section (3rd casualty in this crew) due to vomiting. The termination protocol specified that the crew would be terminated if the chief of section was lost. All casualties were dressed in MOPP 4; the earliest casualty occurred after only 82 minutes. The majority of the symptoms of the casualties were dizziness, nausea, and headache. The average dry bulb temperature was 90°F. Table III-12 summarizes the data from the test.

	Crew			
i 	1	2	3	4
морр	0	4	4	4
Elapsed Time	19 hr 21 min [#]	3 hr 45 min	1 hr 53 min	2 hr 8 min
No. of Fire Missions	66	15	6	4
No. of Rounds	228	66	33	23
No. of Casualties	0	5	5	3
Time to First Casualty	N/A	160 min	82 min	98 min

Table III-12. Artillery Test, BDU/MOPP 4

Exercise temporarily halted for administrative reasons, restarted, and 66 of planned 72 fire missions conducted (Headley et al., 1988).

Knox, Mitchell, Edwards, and Sanders (1989) reported on the physiological data recorded during these field tests. The highest mean and the highest maximum rectal temperature recorded for the BDU group was 37.6°C and 38.6°C, respectively, for the gunner. Only one crew member, the assistant gunner of crew 2 (MOPP 4), was withdrawn by the medical team due to his core rectal temperature exceeding the criterion of 39.2°C. For crew 2 (MOPP 4) seven, for crew 3 (MOPP 4) six, and for crew 4 (MOPP 4) five of the nine crew members had rectal temperatures which equaled or exceeded the core temperature of the highest observed for the BDU group. All crew members who voluntarily withdrew had mildly elevated temperatures indicating some heat storage. The heart rate data were unusable due to instrumentation problems. The authors stated that the physiological measures were not clear predictors of the withdrawal. They hypothesized that the crew members withdrew because they were unable to cope with thermal discomfort and related symptoms and still perform the assigned tasks.

Comparisons of first round times and interround intervals for a 2-hour period for crews 1 (BDU) and 2 (MOPP 4) indicated significant performance deficits for the MOPP 4 crew. In addition, the MOPP 4 crew was unable to sustain consistent performance for the 2-hour period.

The results of the study indicated that the ability to perform howitzer fire missions in MOPP 4 in a hot environment is quickly degraded due primarily to the voluntary withdrawal of crew members. It would be interesting to have calculations of watts produced and dissipated under the experimental conditions. Hinch (1982) used the computer model developed by Goldman and associates at the U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine to calculate that a heavy workload would produce 400 kilocalories/hour (KCAL/hr). The accumulation of 80 KCAL is considered safe but heat stress symptoms occur when 120 KCAL of undissipated heat in the body accumulates. On the other hand, most individuals will be uncomfortable when 80 KCAL accumulates.

Headley et al. (1988a) noted that the performance decrement produced by MOPP 4 "might be decreased somewhat by more training in the ensemble. Training seems to be one necessary facet of a MOPP 4 regimen, not just for habituation, but for learning 'how to conduct business' while wearing the full ensemble. Properly trained and accustomed soldiers would constitute one important fix toward flight while in MOPP 4 versus 'fighting' the MOPP 4. Most tasks can be performed while wearing the ensemble, and it is during training sessions and not on the battlefield that the soldier should learn how to work while attired. Soldiers often improvise ways to perform their duties, and thus a learning effect will exist for many tasks. An additional benefit of training is that one can experience the discomfort involved in wearing the gear, and can gradually habituate to the suit . . ." (Headley, Brecht-Clark, and Whittenberg, 1988a, pp. 9-10).

Rauch et al. (1986) reported the results of psychological tests conducted as part of the field test. Each subject was administered a psychological test battery consisting of the following three tests: (1) Environmental Symptoms Questionnaire (ESQ) which assessed psychological perceptions of physiologically based systems during exposure to extreme environmental conditions; (2) Clyde Mood Scale (CMS) which assessed mood changes; and (3) State Anxiety Inventory (SAI) which assessed the level of anxiety at a particular time. The tests were administrated to all sections prior to the start of the field test and at 6-hour intervals during the test.

Rauch et al. (1986) defined medical casualties as subjects who voluntarily withdrew or who were withdrawn by the medical team. There were no medical casualties in the BDU group but there were 13 medical casualties for the three sections dressed in MOPP 4. The remaining 14 subjects ended their participation in the study when their section was classified as combat ineffective due to removal of other section members as medical casualties. For the purpose of analysis, the subjects in the three sections dressed in MOPP 4 were assigned to two post hoc groups--casualties (N = 13) and survivors (N = 14). The pre-test and post-test scores on the three psychological tests were analyzed to assess differences between the two post hoc groups. Significant differences were found between the casualties and survivors in the intensity of the following nine perceived symptoms computed from the ESO test scores: short of breath, hurts to breathe, muscles tight, headache, faint, warm, body numb, nose stuffed up, and concentration off. Significant differences were also found for the following four CMS factors: clear thinking, fatigue, friendliness, and dizziness. The casualties also reported a greater tendency to "tire quickly," to perceive their "duty position to be less stressful" and to "volunteer for the study because of the challenge."

It is interesting to note that the survivors reported no significant change in symptom intensity as a result of performing the artillery mission in MOPP 4, but the casualties reported significant changes in symptom intensity.

The study failed to analyze the differences between casualties and survivors for pretest scores; the analysis was concerned only with post-test scores. If pre-test differences are found, one would be able to predict potential casualties and provide them additional training.

Rauch, Munro, Tharion, and Banderet (1986) combined the data from the Rauch et al. (1986) study with data from subjects from sustained military field operations by armor and infantry personnel. A total of 77 cases consisting of 42 individuals in the casualty group and 35 in the survivor group was used to perform two stepwise discriminant analytic techniques on post-test data. The purpose of the analysis was to specify one linear combination of subjective symptoms and one linear combination of coping strategies that maximized the difference between casualties and survivors. The results indicated that the symptom-based classification routine correctly identified 94 percent of the subjects as members of their respective group. The coping-based classification correctly identified 98 percent of the cases.

3. Heat Stress Field Trials

a. XM1 Tank with Auxiliary Cooling

A U.S. Army field trial investigated the effects of auxiliary cooling in preventing heat stress in an XM1 tank (Goldman and Staff, 1981; Toner, White, and Goldman 1981). Two crews of heat-acclimated tank crewmen, dressed in the standard Combat Vehicle Crewman (CVC) uniform plus configurations of CW-protective combat clothing (MOPP 3 and 4), participated in a 6-day study which evaluated heat stress in the XM-1 tank. The experimental conditions were as follows:

Day 1	CVC uniform	Hatch open ventilated
Day 2	CVC and MOPP 3	Hatch open ventilated
Day 3	CVC and MOPP 4	Hatch open ventilated
Day 4	CVC and MOPP 4	Hatch closed unventilated
Day 5	CVC and MOPP 4 w/auxiliary cooling	Hatch closed unventilated
Day 6	CVC and MOPP 4	Hatch closed unventilated.

Heart rate and skin temperature (ts) and rectal temperature (tre) were measured. A moderate level of activity was maintained (one 3-5 minute fire mission performed every 30 minutes). The exposure was limited to 3 hr/day. During days 1-3 both crews completed the scenario. The scenario was not completed on either day 4 or 6; the gunner terminated the trial after 80 and 124 minutes, respectively, and errors were observed among the crews within 30 minutes. The crew estimate that their ability to perform ranged between 20 and 75 percent on these days.

Under MOPP 4 conditions and using water-cooled vests, crews completed the 3- hour scenario. The results clearly indicated that the vest substantially reduced heat stress due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing.

b. Vehicle (M113)/No Vehicle Pre-Assault

A field trial conducted in a tropical environment (Panama, C.Z.) was concerned with heat stress and performance (Hanlon, Jones, and Merkey, 1982). The purpose of the field trial was to determine the effects of vehicle/no vehicle pre-assault, male/female differences, and combat clothing (U.S. MOPP 4/U.K. MOPP 4). The investigators were unable to use time as the dependent variable as planned and the proposed repeated measures design was discarded. The results of the field trial indicated that personnel enclosed in an M113 personnel carrier experienced the most severe heat stress. Some evidence was presented that indicated differences between male and female tolerance to heat stress as measured by core temperature (females were lower), but the limited number of subjects, 5 males and 5 females, prevented statistical analysis of the data.

c. Sustained Aviation Operations

¢,

Mitchell, Knox, Edwards, Schrimsher, Siering, Stone, and Taylor (1986) conducted a field study to determine the physiological, psychological, and operational effects of sustained aviation operations in CW-protective combat clothing. Six male aviators were evaluated individually during a period of 6 continuous days of testing in a hot, humid environment. The subjects were in MOPP 4 for about 12 hours and MOPP 1 for about 12 hours for each 24 hour period. Four flight missions of 90 minutes each (one mission profile) were scheduled to be flown each day for each subject for a total of 36 mission profiles. The flights included low level operations, nap-of-the-earth flight, confined area operations, instrument approaches and other tactical operations. Additional flight maneuvers [i.e., heading, altitude, air speed, and time (HAAT) and precision control] which tested pilot performance were recorded on an inflight recorder. Microclimate cooled vests (both air and liquid) were worn for 16 of the 36 mission profiles; no vests were worn for 20 mission profiles. For the latter 20 mission profiles, a total of 12 profiles were terminated due to medical problems prior to completing the four flight missions. Five of these occurred on the first day due to nausea and fatigue. During this period, the subjects also indicated an inability to concentrate. Without cooling vests, 60 percent of the missions were terminated. The physiological results indicated that a cockpit temperature of 29°C resulted in the subjects exceeding a rectal temperature of 38°C more than 50 percent of the time. This rectal temperature has previously been associated with a threshold for decreased performance (Grether, 1973). These findings are supported by previous studies concerned with predicted and observed upper boundaries of safe environmental temperatures while wearing CW-protective combat clothing and performing low rate metabolic activity (Toner, White, and Goldman, 1981; Berlin, Stroschein, and Goldman, 1975).

The overall ratings and comments from safety pilots indicated that all subjects could fly safely in MOPP 4 and generally perform to Army Standards. Low performance ratings were noted on nap-of-the-earth (NOE) portions of the flights when the subjects were

navigating. Performance on the HAAT and precision control maneuvers were not affected by heat stress. One important finding was that only about 5 liters of water intake per 24 hours was required.

d. Helicopter Control

Hamilton, Folds, and Simmons (1982) conducted a field trial to determine the ability of U.S. Army helicopter pilots to safely and effectively control the aircraft while wearing CW-protective combat clothing. In a hot environment, six Army aviators performed a precision flight profile, a lateral hover and a 50-foot hover, on 3 successive test days with a day of rest between flight days, while wearing the following clothing: U.S. Army aircrew chemical defense ensemble (MOPP 4), the United Kingdom aircrew chemical defense ensemble (MOPP 4), the United Kingdom aircrew chemical defense ensemble (MOPP 4), the United Kingdom aircrew chemical defense ensemble and the U.S. Army standard flight suit. The six permutations of the order of the three suits were used, i.e., the order of wearing the three suits differed for each subject. One subject flew in the morning and a second subject flew in the afternoon. A mission consisted of 4 hours of flying which were broken into two 2-hour blocks.

The precision maneuver consisted of heading, altitude, airspeed and time. The maneuver was repeated in nine successive trials (one iteration). At the beginning of each trial, the safety pilot read a set of situations to the subject which consisted of the following four parameters: heading, one-eight-zero; altitude, 900 feet; airspeed, 80 knots; time, 20 seconds. For trials one, two, and three the altitudes and airspeeds were constant and the heading changed in a multiple 5 degrees for each trial. For trials four, five, and six, the airspeed was constant while heading and altitude (a multiple of 20 feet) changed. In trials seven, eight, and nine, all three parameters changed (airspeed in a multiple of 5 knots). Following the precision profile, the lateral hover and 50-foot hover were performed after the safety pilot repositioned the aircraft. This cycle was repeated for 1 hour. After 1 hour the aircraft lands, and the subject drinks water in the aircraft. The procedure is repeated for an additional hour after which the aircraft lands, the subject leaves the aircraft, and drinks water while the aircraft is refueled (15-20 min). The 2-hour procedure is repeated to complete the 4-hour flight scenario.

Automated performance data on the precision maneuver parameters were collected for only four subjects; recorded performance data were not available for two subjects due to equipment malfunction. Only one of four subjects completed the six possible iterations in the U.S. ensemble, but three of four completed the iterations in the U.K. ensemble; the six

iterations were completed by each subject in the standard flight suit. The average median errors and percent accuracy degradation (D_A) scores for the standard flight suit, the U.S. ensemble and the U.K. ensemble are presented in Table III-13.

A two-factor, repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was computed: the median SD heading error was the only significant variable (P = .01).

	ST	U.S.	DAb	U.K.	DAb
🔀 Median Heading Error	1.63°	2.02°	- 24%	1. 78°	- 9%
🔀 Median Airspeed Error	1.83K	2.19K	- 20%	1.95K	- 7%
🔀 Median Altitude Erroiª					
Mean Timing Error	0.93	1.08	- 16%	1.08	- 70%
🔀 Median SD Heading Error	1.47°	1.58°	- 7%	1.44°	+ 2%°
🔀 Median SD Airspeed Error	1.27K	1.86K	- 46%	1.69K	- 33%
X Median SD Altitude Errorª					

Table III-13. Average Median Errors and Average Median Standard Deviation(SD) for Standard Flight Suit, U.S. Ensemble and U.K. Ensemble

Not available--equipment failure.

^b Calculated from data of Hamilton et al., 1982.

^o Advantage for U.K. ensemble.

Two subjects wearing the U.S. ensemble were terminated due to heat stress but their performance immediately prior to termination was satisfactory. Hamilton et al. concluded that there were no practical differences between performance while wearing the standard flight suit and the U.S. and U.K. ensembles.

Hamilton, Simmons, and Kimball (1982) conducted psychological tests on these same six Army aviators before and after flights. Tests were also conducted on non-flight days to provide a no-flight baseline. The tests consisted of the following subtests from the Performance Assessment Battery (PAB) developed by Walter Reed Army Institute of Research: Encode/Decode, Target Recognition, Logical Reasoning, Serial Math, and Reaction Time (four-choice). Mood scale and Feeling/Tone questionnaires were used to assess mood and feeling.

The raw scores on the subtest were converted into a percent of change from baseline (pretest) as follows:

where

B is the pre-test score

A is the post-test score.

A randomized block ANOVA with replicates was used to analyze the data. None of the subtests was statistically significant. The self-report of mood also failed to show significant differences.

Next, the data were organized, irrespective of clothing conditions, based on physiological responses into three categories, which reflected the degree of heat stress (i.e., slight, moderate, and severe). Using this procedure there were three cases of severe heat stress, seven cases of moderate heat stress, and six cases of slight heat stress. No statistical comparisons were made from these data but the trends indicated that severe heat stress impairs performance while slight heat stress improves performance.

4. Other Field Trials and Field Training Exercises

a. Aircraft Ground Crews

Hinch (1982) analyzed field tests concerned with the performance of airbase personnel in CW-protective combat clothing. According to Hinch previous tests have shown that airmen in CW-protective combat clothing can accomplish virtually all normal tasks but the time to accomplish the tasks is longer and in some cases the error rate is increased. Heat buildup in the body limits the length of work time. These factors combine to reduce job performance of airbase personnel dressed in CW-protective combat clothing.

Table III-14 summarizes the results of a field test which involved two ground crews performing quick turns on F-4 aircraft while wearing the following three combinations of CW-protective combat clothing: Condition A: M17A1 mask, butyl rubber gloves and booties; Condition B: A plus overgarment and M6A2 hood (MOPP 4); Condition C: B plus leather gloves. The tests were performed in B first (MOPP 4), then A and C, respectively.

The impact of different combinations of CW-combat clothing were confounded by the fact that performance improvements occurred during the test due to increased task proficiency and to adaptation to CW-protective combat clothing. Since the results are presented as "proficiency loss," evidently the task performances were compared to a baseline condition but these data were not presented. Hinch reports that potential proficiency improvements are possible by training in CW-protective combat clothing, but no data were presented to support this statement.

	Proficiency Loss Condition			
Task				
1885	A	В	C	
	percent			
Safe Gun	28	43	48	
Back Aircraft	6	23	17	
Stray Voltage Check	27	38	48	
Ammunition Loading	24	41	36	
External Tank Installation	43	51	50	
Aim-9 Missile Load	20	20	32	
Aim-8 Missile Load	44	50	51	
Refuel	19	28	20	
Complete F-4 Quick Turn	27	42	40	

Table III-14. Proficiency Loss In F-4 Quick Turn Tasks

b. Aircrew Maintenance Tasks

Under simulated chemical warfare conditions, aircraft maintenance technicians will be required to wear CW-protective combat clothing while preparing aircraft for the next mission as well as while repairing aircraft. The general effects of the bulky CW ensemble are well known; it restricts movement, vision, communications, and endurance. Wearing the CW ensemble has also been found to increase the time required to perform some tasks. Technicians will have to work more slowly and carefully to prevent compromising the ensemble. Air Force planners and field commanders need to know the capabilities and limitations of maintenance technicians under CW conditions. They must know which maintenance tasks can be accomplished and the time needed to accomplish them, which tasks can be deferred, and which tasks are impossible to perform while wearing CWprotective combat clothing. They also need to know the actions such as training, equipment redesign, policy or procedure changes, and workaround procedures required to reduce the impact of wearing the CW ensemble.

In order to provide these data, the United States Air Force conducted a four-phase field study to evaluate the impact of CW-protective combat clothing on the performance of maintenance tasks. The objectives of the four phase field study were:

- 1. To develop a methodology for determining the capabilities of maintenance personnel to perform critical tasks in chemical defense protective clothing;
- 2. To determine how task times and sortie-generation capabilities are impacted by wearing the chemical ensemble;
- 3. To identify any deficiencies in the present equipment, tools, and procedures;
- 4. To measure the effects of selected experimental manipulations on the capabilities of maintenance personnel to perform maintenance in chemical environment; and
- 5. To develop techniques to improve the ability of maintenance units to accomplish their mission in a chemical environment.

--Shipton, Chenzoff, Joyce, Deibel, and Weimer, 1988 p. 2

Phase I consisted of developing job performance measurement methodology to measure maintenance technicians' job performance under CW-conditions. During Phase II 26 maintenance tasks for the F16 aircraft were selected based on the following criteria: (1) involved a system component with a high failure rate; (2) completing the task is critical (required) to completing an aircraft combat sortie; (3) time required to complete the task was 1 hour or less; (4) the task requires fewer than five people; (5) the task is representative of tasks for other types of aircraft (Shipton, Beilstein, Chenzoff, Pitzer, and Joyce, 1988). Most of the maintenance tasks were "remove and replace tasks."

Phase II was conducted at Hahn Air Base, Germany. A total of 40 skilled technicians participated in the field study, all of whom had experience with the respective maintenance tasks. Most tasks were performed four times--twice in fatigues and twice in the chemical defense ensemble. Each task was performed by two technicians; each of whom performed the task once in fatigues and once in MOPP 4. The first and fourth iterations were performed in fatigues and the second and third in MOPP 4. Six tasks were performed by teams of two or more technicians.

A number of maintenance problems were encountered by the technicians while wearing MOPP 4. All subjects wore the MCU-2/P mask, a replacement for the M-17 mask, and either 7-mil or 14-mil gloves instead of the standard 22-mil glove. Reports by the subjects concerning the MCU-2/P masks indicated that it was an improvement over the M-17 mask. They reported that it was more comfortable and permitted a wider field of view. In 17 cases, the plastic outsert, which protects the face plate, fogged up and restricted the subjects' vision. A majority of the subjects preferred the new gloves over the 22-mil glove. The new gloves were reported to provide better tactility and finger dexterity, but there were some compromises which ranged from small punctares to large cuts or holes. The CW ensemble jacket was compromised nine times.

The subjects were requested to rank the tasks that would be most severely impacted by wearing CW-protective combat clothing. There was "nearly complete agreement" about the seven tasks that would be most severely impacted, but considerably less agreement among the remaining 19 tasks. The seven tasks for which there was agreement concerning the impact of CW-protective combat clothing were as follows: (1) drop tank build-up; (2) engine rollback and replacement; (3) integrated servoactivator; (4) integrated drive gearbook; (5) jet fuel starter; (6) ejection seat; and (7) environmental control system turbine.

The average present time degradation due to CW-protective combat clothing was 30.7 percent. The authors discussed recommendations for modifications to aircraft and ice aerospace ground equipment (AGE) design, to tools, and to the way tool requirements are determined. The authors suggested that if these recommendations are adopted, the impact on maintenance due to wearing MOPP 4 would be reduced.

Following Phase II, Shipton, Beilstein, Chenzoff, Pitzer, and Joyce (1988) reported the need for the following two types of training: (1) general maintenance practices, and (2) how to maintain the integrity of CW-protective combat clothing while performing maintenance. They reported that poor or inappropriate maintenance practices exacerbated problems encountered in MOPP 4. They also reported a need for increased awareness of the role of CW-protective combat clothing in protecting the maintenance technician from hazardous chemicals. The CW ensemble was compromised during the performance of 19 of the 26 tasks. Many of these compromises could have been avoided. The authors recommended the following training:

- Training in handling sharp objects while wearing rubber gloves.
- Improved annual training on the wear and care of the CW ensemble.

- Increased use of the CW ensemble during real-world situations, such as phase inspections.
- Use of training aircraft in field training detachment (FTD) for practicing tasks in the CW ensemble. Periodically, practice different tasks in the CW ensemble.

They also recommended that all kinds of aircraft maintenance tasks should be included in chemical ensemble exercises to evaluate the technicians' ability to function under simulated wartime conditions, to determine the limitations that exist and to evaluate improvements gained from practice.

Phase III had the following goals:

- 1. Revise the methodology developed in Phase I and used in Phase II, as necessary to overcome the problems encountered.
- 2. Develop alternate or workaround procedures that will reduce the impact of the chemical warfare environment on performance.
- 3. Collect data on the performance of the selected tasks at Hahn AB.
- 4. Examine 10 tasks identified in Phase II as severely impacted, to identify the reasons for difficulties encountered in performing the tasks.
- 5. Test the task evaluation scheme developed in Phase II.
- 6. Assess the impact of the chemical warfare environment on the performance of the selected tasks.

--Shipton, Chenzoff, Joyce, Deibel and Weimer, 1988 p. 3

Phase III was conducted at Hahn AB, West Germany during June and July 1987. Ten F-16 maintenance tasks, which were determined during Phase II to be severely impacted by wearing CW-protective combat clothing, were selected for further study. These tasks represented a broad range of the maintenance tasks performed by F-16 maintenance technicians. Table III-15 lists the 10 tasks, the number of times the tasks were performed, and the number of technicians required to perform each task. All tasks were performed in the full CW ensemble.

	Tasks	No. of Times Performed	No. of Technicians
1.	370 Gallon Drop Tank Build-up	4	2
2.	Main Generator/Constant Speed Drive Remove and Replace	5	2
3.	Ejection Seat Raise and Tilt, Rotate and Lower	6	2
4.	Jet Fuel Starter Remove and Replace	6	2
5.	Angle-of-Attack Transmitter Remove and Replace	6	1
6.	Nosewheel Steering Feedback Potentiometer Remove and Replace	6	1
7.	Environmental Control System Turbine Remove and Replace	6	1
8.	Jettison Remote Interface Unit Remove and Replace	6	1
9.	Fire Control Radar Antenna Remove and Replace	6	1
10.	Hydraulic Pressure Transmitter Remove and Replace	6	1

Table III-15. Phase III F-16 Maintenance Tasks

The following interventions were developed to reduce the impact of wearing CWprotective combat clothing: training, workarounds, tool modifications, and alternative policies and procedures.

Nine of the 10 tasks were performed six times each by maintenance technicians wearing CW-protective combat clothing and the interventions were tested during the fifth and sixth iterations of the task (Task 2, Main Generator/Constant Speed Drive Remove and Replace was performed only five times; the first iteration was not completed). Due to the length of time to perform Task 1, the 370-Gallon Drop Tank Build-Up task, it was performed only four times with the interventions performed on the third and fourth iterations.

The subjects were 14 Air Force F-16 maintenance technicians with Air Force specialty codes appropriate to the tasks that they performed. Eleven of the subjects were 5-level technicians (less experienced but fully qualified) and three subjects were 7-level technicians (more experienced). The technician's experience ranged from 3 months to 5 years 2 months on the F-16 aircraft (average 26 months). The average time the subjects had spent in the CW jacket, pants, and boots was 518 hours, but an average of only 174 hours and 172 hours had been spent in the CW gloves and CW mask respectively.

The temperature ranges during Phase III were between 53° and 84° F (12° and 29° C). The task and timing of task performance were temporarily stopped if early signs of

heat stress were detected. The technicians were permitted to remove the mask and hood and to have a brief rest period.

During the last two trials for each task, the following four interventions were introduced: (1) training; (2) workaround procedures; (3) modification to aircraft, aerospace ground equipment (AGE), and tools; and (4) changes to the Job Guides. These interventions were introduced to mitigate the performance degradation caused by wearing CW-protective combat clothing and to reduce compromises to the CW ensemble. The training interventions consisted of providing the subjects with training handouts concerning how to work safely in the CW ensemble. Task-specific training handouts were also given to some subjects. The non-training interventions listed above were described in detail for each task.

The times to perform each task for each iteration were recorded by two observers. The observers also recorded observations concerning dropped items and difficulties in performing the task. Following the completion of each task the observers checked the CW ensemble for integrity and the subjects were interviewed concerning task performance and the need for potential changes.

The observers also interviewed subject matter experts (SMEs). Prior to the test the SMEs had indicated that they believed many maintenance tasks were impossible to perform while wearing the CW ensemble. They also indicated that the time to perform the tasks would be prohibitive. The average time estimates by SMEs prior to the test exceeded by 390 percent the average time observed during Phase II when tasks were performed wearing the CW ensemble.

The time to perform each task for the first iteration was compared with the time to perform the last iteration. All tasks were performed faster during the final iteration. The improvement in performance time ranged from 30 percent to 63 percent with an average improvement of 52 percent. (For task 10, we chose to use the fourth iteration data since the fifth and sixth iterations were conducted under more difficult conditions than the first iteration.) These data are for a single technician for six tasks and a team of two technicians for four tasks.

There are several difficulties in interpreting these data. The data present clear evidence that task performance improves with repeated task performance while wearing the CW ensemble (training effect), but the training effect is confounded with interventions. Since no stable baseline was established for these subjects' task performance in fatigues
prior to testing in the CW ensemble, the effect of learning to perform the task, particularly with two-person teams, is confounded with the training effect of performing the task in the CW ensemble with the interventions, and with learning to work as a team for the two-person tasks.

In an attempt to parse these effects, Phase II average times and Phase II first times wearing fatigues were compared with Phase III first times and Phase III best times wearing the chemical ensemble. These comparisons are presented in Table III-16.

By examining Table III-16 one can see that the task times for three of the conditions are generally about the same. It should be noted, however, that for seven of the tasks the best CW times are equal to or less than the average fatigue times. The technicians wearing the CW ensemble had performed the task from four to six times while the fatigue times represent an average of two times performed by different technicians or different teams of technicians. Nine of the CW best times were less than the first fatigue times.

	Phase II Tasks	Phase III CW 1st Time (min)	Phase III CW Best Times (min)	Phase II Average Fatigue Time (min)	Phase II 1st Time Fatigue Time (min)
1.	370 Gallon Drop Tank Build-up	614	296	279	244
2.	Main Generator/Constant Speed Drive Remove and Replace	175	69	107	82
3.	Ejection Seat Raise and Tilt, Rotate and Lower	85	53	44	58
4,	Jet Fuel Starter Remove and Replace	63	23	47	73
5.	Angle-of-Attack Transmitter Remove and Replace	197	71	96	127
6.	Nosewheel Steering Feedback Potentiometer Remove and Replace	34	18	26	28
7.	Environmental Control System Turbine Remove and Replace	71	50	53	83
8.	Jettison Remote Interface Unit Remove and Replace	97	52	51	66
9.	Fire Control Radar Antenna Remove and Replace	115	66	106	84
10.	Hydraulic Pressure Transmitter Remove and Replace	31	12	12	16

Table III-16.	Comparison	of Phase	III CW .	Times and	i Phase	11 Fatigue	Times
(Data	from Shipton,	Chenzoff,	Joyce	, Deibel,	and W	elmer, 198	3)

These data reinforce the previous conclusions concerning performance decrements produced by the CW ensemble and concerning the benefits of practicing the task when wearing the CW ensemble. Since no data were collected concerning performing the task more than once in fatigues, one can not determine the percent performance decrement produced by the CW ensemble nor the extent to which task practice in the CW ensemble reduces the performance decrement.

The interventions reduced the number of compromises of the CW ensemble per task performance by 48 percent.

The results of the study indicated that the CW gloves are easily compromised when performing maintenance tasks. Thirteen of 14 technicians compromised the gloves during the test. The authors recommend that CW gloves for maintenance technicians be made of more durable material. The authors also recommended modification to the CW mask, suit, and overboot.

For each task, a number of problems were noted, e.g., tool mounting hardware, electrical connector, component positioning, space around the component, and technical manual job guide; the authors suggested solutions for each deficiency.

Of the three interventions, training appeared to have the greatest impact on increasing performance. The study concluded that Air Force maintenance technicians are not adequately trained in the proper way to don and doff the CW ensemble nor in the proper way to recognize hazards to the ensemble and how to avoid them.

c. Medical Unit Training Exercises

Carter and Cammermeyer (1985a) distributed questionnaires to 105 members of the 352nd Evacuation Hospital (rescue unit) following a unit training exercise performed while wearing MOPP 4. They found that 48 percent of the respondents had not worn MOPP 4 previously and another 23 percent had only worn MOPP 4 once. The time in MOPP for the exercise varied from 1 to 2 hours. Sixty-nine percent reported developing biopsychological symptoms while wearing MOPP 4. The most frequently reported symptoms were rapid breathing (N = 33, 48 percent); shortness of breath (N = 33, 48 percent); and loss of side vision (N = 33, 48 percent). Twenty respondents reported anxiety, 20 reported claustrophobia, and 20 reported visual disturbance (29 percent); 25 reported sweating (36 percent). The authors emphasized the need to provide appropriate training in the use of MOPP 4 equipment.

Carter and Cammermeyer (1985b) report case studies from five heat stress casualties which occurred during a mandatory annual 3-day simulated chemical warfare field training exercise (FTX) involving 195 members of the 352nd Evacuation Hospital. The most frequent biopsychological symptoms were excessive heat, rapid breathing, and shortness of breath. During the FTX they found that unit personnel expecting or receiving chemical casualties appeared to be under the most stress. They also found that unit personnel were less organized under the conditions of a simulated chemical attack, when compared to "conventional" warfare conditions.

Carter and Cammermeyer (1989) reported biopsychological symptoms of 182 military personnel participating in a simulated chemical defense warfare scenario, Wounded Warrior II, a major medical field training exercise conducted in 1985 at Camp Roberts, Cal. The simulated CW exercise lasted about 1 hour. Nineteen subjects (11 percent) failed to complete the 1-hour exercise. Thirteen of the 19 casualties (68 percent) reported shortness of breath, 13 reported rapid breathing (68 percent), 11 (58 percent) reported feeling hot and nine (47 percent) reported visual problems. For the entire sample, 58 percent reported feeling hot, 68 percent reported shortness of breath, 68 percent reported rapid breathing and 56 percent reported visual problems. Eighty-five percent of the total sample reported some biopsychological symptoms during the exercise. The subjects were unacclimatized reserve personnel with minimal prior training in MOPP 4. The casualties reported that managing the CW-protective combat clothing was the most difficult part of the exercise. The authors recommend that reserves be trained in CW-protective combat clothing for longer periods of time.

d. Shipboard CW Training Exercise

Garrison, Knudsen and Waskom (1982) reported a shipboard chemical warfare training exercise that could be used to evaluate readiness and level of training of ships involved in refresher training. Two ships, a tank landing ship (LST) and a frigate, were involved in the exercise which consisted of an attack with a chemical warfare simulant from a threat aircraft. Measurements were made of vapor and surface contamination and a personnel degradation study was conducted.

Following air attack on the LST, questionnaires were distributed to members of the survey and decontamination teams; 11 questionnaires were returned. The results indicated: (1) an adequate number of masks, suits and gloves, but not boots; (2) 8 of 10 surveyed were familiar with the chemical agent detector kit; (3) 5 of 11 were familiar with the symptoms produced by chemical agents; (4) visual problems were reported by 7 of the

11 team members; (5) 5 reported masks fogging problems and communication problems;
(6) 2 reported breathing problems; (7) 4 of 7 reported that the suit caused problems in task performance; and (8) 6 of 7 reported they were extremely hot in CW suits.

Performance degradation in the Combat Information Center (CIC) was investigated. The task investigated was the interaction between a radarman and a chartman. The radarman observed the radar and called out positions while the chartman charted the positions to determine the location of the ship. Ten geographic positions were charted with both masked and unmasked personnel. For unmasked personnel, the average time required to chart a position was 2.6 minutes compared to 3.6 minutes with masked personnel; we calculated percent time degradation $(D_T) = -38$ percent. For unmasked personnel an average of 0.4 positions had to be repeated compared to an average of 3.5 repeated positions for masked personnel; we calculated percent (almost an 8 fold increase in repeated messages). Performance degradation in the CIC was also investigated following an alerted attack on the LST. The average time to chart a position was 2.5 and 3.1 minutes for unmasked and masked personnel, respectively $(D_T = -24 \text{ percent})$; the average repeated number was 0.5 and 3.4 for unmasked and masked personnel ($D_A = -580\%$). The findings essentially replicated the findings of the earlier attack.

e. Amphibious Shipboard CW Exercise

Carson, Moskal and White (1989) reported a two phase approach to determine the degradation of tasks performed shipboard during amphibious operations which resulted from wearing CW-protective combat clothing. The first phase involved identifying mission critical tasks, the perforance of which was expected to be degraded during CW conditions. The second phase involved collecting data during a Navy amphibious exercise, Kernel Blitz, which was conducted off the coast of Camp Pendleton, Cal., August 1986.

Phase I involved the use of subject matter experts to: (1) identify a CW-threat scenario; (2) determine critical combat missions; (3) compile a list of tasks; (4) determine critical tasks; and (5) select 5 tasks for detailed analysis. The following tasks were selected:

- 1. Use of fog agents, extinguishing agents or water
- 2. Engage/disengage water
- 3. Maintain/replace equipment

- 4. Fuel/defuel aircraft
- 5. Splice line

During Phase II, observations of task performance in CW-protective combat clothing were conducted and the subjects were interviewed. The five tasks selected in Phase I were not scheduled to be performed during the exercise so SMEs selected the following watch stations:

> Bow Thruster Bow Thrust Operator Line Handler, Bow Ramp Petty Officer in Charge, Stern Anchor Block Line Handler Line Petty Officer, Boat Deck Line Handler, Boat Deck Flight Deck Officer Flight Deck Crewman Landing Signalman, Enlisted Two Line Handlers, Well Deck

The tasks were performed on a Tank Landing Ship (LST) and on an Amphibious Transport Dock Ship (LPD). Each subject was observed by a Navy SME and by an experimenter who later interviewed the subjects. Thirteen Navy enlisted men served as subjects; each subject performed all tasks associated with his watch station. For 9 of the 13 subjects, task performance was observed on at least one BDU trial and one MOPP 4 trial; generally the BDU condition preceded the MOPP 4 condition. For four subjects, no trials were conducted in BDU, but one or two trials were conducted in MOPP 4. After each trial a questionnaire was administered using an interview format.

Most of the observations made by SMEs and researchers during MOPP 4 trials related to problems with communications or problems caused by CW-protective combat clothing. Communications were described as distorted (10 observations), unsuccessful (4 observations), repeated (3 observations), and hand signals had to be used (2 observations). In comparison to the 19 negative comments concerning communications in MOPP 4, only 4 negative observations were made concerning communications

during BDU trials. The CW ensemble negative observations involved the masks (12 observations), the two-piece overgarment (11 observations), the helmet (10 observations), gloves (8 observations) and boots (4 observations). Two line handlers began to pass out due to heat while one phone talker experienced drowsiness.

Task performance times in BDU and MOPP 4 were collected by the experimenters but variability prevented analysis of the data. There was no attempt to reach a stable performance level in BDU before the tasks were performed in MOPP 4. In some cases the data indicated the effects of learning to perform the tasks.

The questionnaire data indicated that the subjects experienced difficulty with communications while performing the tasks. No communication problems were reported by 12 of 12 responding after BDU trials. Communciation problems were reported by 12 of 12 responding after the first trial in MOPP 4. Visibility problems were reported after performing tasks in MOPP 4 (13 out 15 responding) but not in BDU (9 of 9 responding). Of 11 subjects responding, all except 1 indicated that training to perform the task in MOPP 4 would improve task performance.

While it is clear that problems were experienced in performing the various tasks in MOPP 4, Carson et al. (1989) reported that all amphibious operations observed were completed successfully during the exercise. Although there were significant problems with communications, they reported that these seemed to be amenable to training solutions. One option suggested was to use hand signals instead of verbal communications. The observers and the subjects recommended that increased training on mission-relevant tasks in MOPP 4 was needed.

f. Unopposed Armor Field Test

The U.S. Army Combat Developments Experimentation Command (1981a) conducted an unopposed field test to evaluate current tank warfare doctrine, procedures, and modeling under various CW-protective combat clothing postures. A hatch-open/ no-protective-clothing posture was used as the baseline condition to compare performance degradation (time) under various MOPP levels. Seventeen tank crews served as subjects and the test was conducted over a period of 15 days. The following six levels of CW-protective combat clothing were used:

- Baseline (hatch open, BDU)
- MOPP 1

- MOPP 3
- MOPP 4
- Buttoned (hatch closed, BDU)
- MOPP 4/Buttoned (hatch closed, MOPP 4).

Each of the 17 crews was tested over 5 different terrain "loops," each of which consisted of two similar phases. For each loop, approximately half of the crews completed both phases in the baseline posture and the remaining half completed one phase in baseline and one phase in one of five MOPP conditions. Prior to data collection, each crew wore MOPP 4 for at least 2 hours.

Analysis of the data derived from the field test consisted only of means and standard deviations. Without further analysis, no conclusions can be drawn from the study.

The U.S. Army Combat Developments Experimentation Command (1981b) conducted a field test designed to enhance current doctrine, procedures, and equipment required for reconnaissance through chemically contaminated areas. The reconnaissance team consisted of three persons; an infantry team of three persons also moved through the contaminated area. There was no analysis of the data presented in the report.

5. Development Tests

a. DT II of XM30 Protective Mask

Barnes, Hanlon, Harrah, and Merkey (1983) reported the results of the Development Test (DT II) of the XM30 Protective Mask. When compared with the M17A1, there was no difference in the mean performance time of 12 soldiers on the Mobility/Portability and Obstacle Course portion of the test. Drinking time for 250 ml of water was significantly less for the XM30 (mean of 61.7 seconds) compared to the M17A1 (mean of 79.7 seconds) but the authors concluded that the difference may not have tactical significance. Of 23 soldiers who slept while wearing the masks for one night, 7 were detected without their masks. Interviews the following day indicated that the subjects did not remember taking off the masks. In a CW-battlefield condition, these 7 soldiers would have been casualties (30 percent).

For rifle firing, performance for the no-mask condition was compared to the M17A1 and XM30. For 11 unskilled marksmen subjects, the results indicated no significant differences among the conditions.

b. XM40 Protective Masks and Rifle Firing

Merkey and Harrah (1986) investigated the effect of three XM40 prototype CWprotective masks on rifle firing performance. The prototype masks were compared to the standard M17A1 CW-protective mask and the no-mask condition. Ten subjects received training in firing at 10 silhouette targets from a foxhole position to an accuracy criterion of 14 hits out of 20 targets. The no-mask condition was used during training. During testing, the order of presentation of conditions was counter balanced and each subject (final N = 7) fired under 10 conditions. At the completion of the test each subject filled out a questionnaire.

An ANOVA was performed upon hit percentage and reaction time data and a Tukey's Multiple Comparison Analysis and contrasts were performed to determine which mask condition contributed to the significant effects. Significant main effects for masks and targets were found for hit percentage and reaction time. Contrasts indicated the significance was due to better performance of the no-mask conditions compared to the combined mask conditions. In addition, performance for the XM40-1 prototype condition was significantly better than the M17 conditions. The target main effect was due to better performance on targets at 75 meters compared to 100 meters and better performance on the center target compared to those left and right of center. There was also a significant mask X target interaction. We calculated the accuracy decrement (D_A) for the no-mask conditions and the three prototype mask conditions. The results indicated from -22 to -25 percent accuracy decrement for the three prototype masks. The DA for the M17A1 condition was -27 percent. Questionnaire data indicated a slight preference for the XM40-1 prototype since the M16 did not have to be canted as much with this prototype as with the other masks. As a result of the analysis, the XM40-1 was ranked best of the three prototypes and firing accuracy performance was not significantly different than the no-mask condition. The XM40-1 was significantly better than the M17A1 for both hit and reaction time data.

The questionnaire data indicated that all subjects had to tilt their heads to obtain a sight picture. The tilt angle was less severe for the XM40-1 than the other three masks. No differences were found in terms of ranking the masks.

c. Cockpit Compatibility of the XM-43 Protective Masks

Foster, Adams, Williamson, and Nowicki (1986) summarized a field test of the cockpit compatibility of the XM-43 Protective Mask with the OH-58 and the UH-60 helicopters. The test evaluated crew performance during operational missions flown in a simulated operational environment. Five pilots were used for each helicopter to evaluate the following areas: aircraft control, communications, field binocular compatibility, night vision goggles, extended wear with the SPH-4 helmet, and Aviation Life Support Equipment. The results indicated no performance degradation for any subsystem that would cause the mission to be aborted. For the extended wear test (12-hour duty day), effective flight was defined as adequate aircraft control, not undeteriorated personnel skill.

6. Database and Model Development

a. Performance DBase System

Wick (1988) described procedures that permit performance decrements resulting from wearing CW-protective combat to be determined. A performance DBase system was developed, which provides a method to extract from a database the correction factors (the additional time required to perform a task in MOPP 4 compared to BDU) and probable range of these factors for a number of tasks and subtasks. Results from the field trials described earlier in the areas of maintenance, night reconnaissance, HAWK missile operations, armor operations, and radio teletypewriter operations were included in the database. Ten Human Ability Codes have been developed and correction factors can be extracted from the DBase system for each of the codes. Determining correction factors by scenario is obtained by a combination of task and human ability codes. Wick noted that "Attempts to extrapolate the performance data to unit operations, and in particular to large unit operations are not well-founded at this time, because of the many additional variables and complete absence of reliable data" (Wick, 1988, p. 3). He recommended that the default correction factor of 1.5, the average correction factor for all tasks currently in the DBase, be used for force structure questions.

Wick (1988) noted that frequently analysts are asked to calculate the number of additional battalions needed for operations conducted in a CW-environment. Current data in the performance DBase system are not adequate to provide this information. Field data need to be collected for unit operations operating under a standard scenario, which includes operations in a CW environment as one of the variables. He described a Force-on-Force algorithm which uses the Performance DBase System to estimate the effect of wearing CW- protective combat clothing on battalion-size units. The algorithm provided a priority index for battalion-size units on the battlefield according to the unit's immediate contribution to the battle.

In a later report, Davis, Wick, Salvi, and Krah (1990) described the database which had been expanded to include performance decrement factors obtained from field studies for 756 military tasks. The performance decrement factor is the time required to perform a task in MOPP 4 compared to BDU. These decrements are determined by regression analysis as described previously.

Table III-17 provides a matrix of the 756 tasks distributed among 10 human ability codes and three ranges of Performance Decrement Factor ranges. Sixty-nine percent of the tasks fall into the Performance Decrement Factor range of 1.16 to 1.85, compared to 1.0 for performance in BDU. Davis et al. (1990) reported that the average Performance Decrement Factor for the 756 tasks was 1.5. The authors classified the three ranges as follows: 0-1.15 (average 1.0), not degraded; 1.15-1.85, (average 1.5), slightly degraded; and 1.85 or greater, moderately degraded. It should be noted that the range boundaries and the classification descriptions are arbitrary. Further, the Performance Decrement Factors for the 756 tasks are based on regression analysis of data from trials in which tasks were performed in BDU and MOPP 4 repeatedly. The regression analysis assumed that all learning due to repeated trials took place on the first trial. Evidence from an analysis of some of these data by Montgomery (1987) indicated that this was not a valid assumption. Thus the Performance Decrement Factors are all in error by an unknown quantity related to learning that takes place on all trials following the first trial.

. .

		Performance Decrement Factor (PDF) ^a Range			
		PDF	PDF	PDF	
Human Ability Codes	Number	0-1.15	1.15-1.85	1.85- Greater	
		Percent			
Communication	188	2	96	2	
Numerical Data	12	50	50	0	
Decision Making	9	11	67	22	
Precision Control	210	52	29	19	
Movement and Coordination	148	10	80	10	
Attention and Quickness	27	4	90	0	
Visual Pattern	87	3	91	6	
Manual Control	71	30	56	14	
Strength and Stamina	3	0	100	0	
Vision	1	0	100	0	
Total % of Tasks/Range Category		21	69	10	
Total Number of Tasks	756	159	522	76	

Table III-17. Matrix of Percentage of Tasks for Ten Human Ability Codes and Three Ranges of Degradation (Adapted from Davis, Wick, Salvi, and Kash, 1990)

Performance Decrement Factor is the decrement in time when performing the task in MOPP 4 compared to BDU. Regression analysis was used to calculate the Performance Decrement Factor.

b. Human Utilization Model and Analytic Network (HUMAN)

Gawron, Lloyd, and Travole (1986) describe two models, the Human Performance Data Base and the Human Utilization Model and Analytic Network (HUMAN), that may be used to predict human performance in the CW-threat environment. The HUMAN model combines multiple data sets and provides a single prediction of human performance on complex tasks. Three categories of input data called vectors will define the CW-threat environment. Environmental, operator, and task variables are assigned to the three vectors. After the vector inputs are complete, in order to use HUMAN, the user has input skill(s) (from a menu of 10 skills) needed to perform the task. The model outputs are time to complete the task, the probability of completion, and percent errors.

Mills, Meyer, and Dunlosky (1983) reported the development of a chemical defense benchmark for human performance assessment. The objective of the benchmark was to quantify the effects of chemical defense stressors on human performance and mission effectiveness and to determine the utility of chemical defense equipment. The following four methods of quantifying the effects of CW stressors are discussed: (1) chemical protection tests; (2) part task simulations (anthropometric/ergonomic tests); (3) full scale man-in-the-loop simulation; and (4) computer modeling. They recommend that the first three methods be used to establish a human performance assessment data base which will be used to develop and validate task, mission, and force effectiveness computer models. These models will subsequently be used as predictive tools to evaluate the need to conduct simulations on new CW equipment and to project expected test results.

C. LABORATORY STUDIES

In a review of research on the effects of heat on human performance, Kobrick and Fine (1983) concluded that the three major deficiencies of the studies were: (1) the use of artificial tasks to assess performance decrements; (2) inadequate training on the tasks; and (3) insufficient exposure to heat stress. They argue that assessment of human performance decrements due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing should be conducted with well-trained subjects using a realistic military scenario for a sustained period of at least 6 hours (Fine and Kobrick, 1987).

1. Fire Direction Center Tasks (Males)

Fine and Kobrick (1987) conducted a study to assess the effects of wearing CWprotective combat clothing on sustained performance of individual soldiers who performed aspects of tasks performed by fire direction center (FDC) forward observers and communication personnel. Twenty-three male soldiers were trained for 2 weeks on the tasks followed by 4 days of data collection for 7 hours/day. Four performance measures were used:

- Computation of "site," which is a correction for an artillery round
- Receiving and decoding grid coordinates on a map
- Receiving and decoding messages
- Plotting targets on maps and determining range and deflection.

The first three tasks were paced by the rate and frequency of radio message transmissions under the control of the experimenter. The target plotting task was under subject control. The following four experimental conditions were used on four separate days:

- BDU-Control 1 21.7° C, 35 percent relative humidity (rh) with the subjects dressed in BDU.
- MOPP-Control 12.8° C; 35 percent rh, MOPP 4 worn over BDU.
- BDU-Control 2 Repeat of condition 1.
- MOPP-Heat Stress 32.8° C, 61 percent rh, MOPP 4 worn over BDU.

It had been determined in a previous study that conditions 1, 2, and 3 were equivalent from the standpoint of heat load. Data from 20 of the 23 subjects were analyzed. For the MOPP-Heat Stress condition, two subjects were removed from the test due to medical reasons prior to test completion, but their data were included in the analysis for the first three tasks; their scores were the maximum possible omission errors for these tasks.

The results indicated that there were no significant differences on any of the three experimenter-controlled tasks between the MOPP-Heat Stress and the two BDU-Control conditions after the first and third hours. The mean error, however, after 7 hours for MOPP-Heat Stress was significantly different (17-23 percent decrement) from the other three conditions on the three experimenter-controlled tasks (i.e., computation of site, receiving and decoding grid coordinates on a map, and receiving and decoding messages). The number of targets plotted for the sixth hour was also significantly less (40 percent) for the MOPP-Heat Stress condition than any of the three control conditions, but accuracy of plotting was not significantly affected. Almost all of the decrements were due to increased errors of omission.

2. Fire Direction Center Tasks (Females)

Fine (1987) conducted an exact replication of the Fine and Kobrick (1987) study with the exception that 18 women served as subjects. Data analysis was performed on 17 subjects.

During the MOPP-Heat stress condition, 10 subjects terminated the study for medical reasons. Two terminated during the third hour, three during the fourth hour, and five during the fifth hour. None of the subjects was terminated due to hyperthermia; only one subject's rectal temperature reached 102.2° F. There was no significant difference between the average rectal temperature of the seven subjects who completed the study (100.4° F) and those who terminated (100.8° F). The 10 subjects who terminated, however, reached the core temperature of 100.8° F considerably faster than those who completed the study, which indicates that the casualties were storing heat more rapidly than

those who completed the study. All casualties passed out, indicated that they were about to pass out, or were judged by the medical officer to be incapable of continuing the study. The seven subjects who completed the study showed no significant deleterious effects of heat stress on the performance of any task.

Due to the large number of subjects who terminated the study during the MOPP-Heat Stress condition, the decrements for the fifth and seventh hours were significantly different from all other conditions on all tasks. There was significant decrement for all hours for the MOPP-Control compared to the two BDU-Control conditions for codebook performance and for number of targets plotted; performance on the codewheel task was significantly different for hours 1 and 3.

When comparing this study with Fine and Kobrick (1987) the effect of the MOPP-Heat Stress condition was dramatically different. The 18 of the 20 male subjects performing the same tasks under identical experimental conditions completed the 7-hour exposure to the MOPP-Heat Stress condition, but only 7 of 17 women completed the 7-hour exposure.

The MOPP-Control Condition (12.8°C; 35 percent rh, MOPP 4 worn over BDU) for the Fine and Kobrick (1987) and the Fine (1987) studies used a metabolic rate for active rather than inactive soldiers to calculate thermal comfort equivalent between MOPP and BDU controls. Fine (1990) reported that this was in error and resulted in an inappropriately low ambient temperature for the MOPP-control conditions. Revised calculations used 16.3°C for males and 19.2°C for females vice 12.8°C. According to Fine, the subjects in both studies, "appear to have been exposed to mild-to-moderate cold stress" (Fine, 1990, p. 5). This is offered as a "reasonable explanation" of performance decrements during the "MOPP-Control" condition particularly for the females since they were exposed to colder temperatures than males.

3. Speech Intelligibility

Johnson and Sleeper (1985) used the Modified Rhyme Test to assess the impact of thermal stress and chemical protective combat clothing on speech intelligibility. Twentytwo male soldiers served as subjects. Six practice sessions were conducted to familiarize the subjects with the 300-word test set. The Modified Rhyme Test consisted of administering a subset of 50 words at 5-sec intervals. The dependent measure was the percent of words correctly identified. An audiometric test with and without the M17A1 mask indicated significantly increased thresholds for the mask condition for the following frequencies: 500 Hz, 4000 Hz, and 6000 Hz.

The subjects were administered the Modified Rhyme Test three times during a 7hour test day (at hours 2, 4, and 6) on four consecutive experimental days. The ambient temperature and clothing conditions as well as the subjects were the same as those in Fine and Kobrick (1985):

- BDU-Control 1 21.7° C, 35 percent rh
- MOPP 4-Control 12.8° C, 35 percent rh
- BDU-Control 2 21.7° C, 35 percent rh
- MOPP 4-Heat Stress 32.8° C, 61 percent rh.

A two-by-three (conditions by hours) analysis of variance indicated significant main effects for conditions and hours. In addition, there were significant interactions. Twenty multiple comparisons indicated the conditions main effect was due to heat stress (about a 14 percent decrement); the hour main effect was due to decrements during hour 6. The MOPP 4-Heat Stress for hour 6 was significantly different from all other comparisons.

4. Effects of CW Masks on SPH-4 Aviator Helmet

Mozo and Peters (1984) investigated the effects of chemical protective masks on the hearing, protective, and communication aspects of the SPH-4 aviator helmet. Three CW masks (M-24, XM-33 and the UK AR-5) and two oxygen masks (P/Q and MBU-13) were evaluated to determine their effects on speaker intelligibility, listener intelligibility, and attenuation. Ten subjects were presented phonetically balanced words to determine intelligibility. The test condition simulated a UH-60A noise environment. The results indicated that the XM-33 is very deficient in terms of speech intelligibility. The M-24, AR-5, and P/Q improved the intelligibility of the SPH-4 helmet. All CW masks degrade speech intelligibility when used by a listener wearing SPH-4. The M-24 and XM-33 masks, with and without the protective hood, degrade the attenuation characteristics of the SPH-4 helmet.

5. Effects of XM-40 Masks on Attenuation and Speech Intelligibility of SPH-4 Helmet

In an additional test, also using 10 subjects and similar methodology, Nelson and Mozo (1985) investigated the effects of the XM-40 CW mask on attenuation and speech intelligibility of the SPH-4 aviator helmet. There was little difference in the attenuation of the SPH-4 with and without the mask at all frequencies except 2000 Hz, 6300 Hz, and 8000 Hz, significant differences in attenuation were found for these frequencies. Nelson and Mozo (1985) indicated that the results indicate that high frequency attenuation is compromised significantly when the XM-40 mask is used with the SPH-4 helmet. They found that the XM-40 CW mask significantly reduces speech intelligibility for a listener. Tests using three XM-40 CW masks, which differed with respect to the configuration of the microphone within the mask, indicated that each significantly reduced speech intelligibility of the SPH-4.

6. Visual Perception

Kobrick and Sleeper (1986) investigated the effect of wearing CW-protective combat clothing on visual perception. Sixteen male subjects were exposed to two environmental conditions, i.e., 91°F/61 percent rh and 55°F/35 percent rh while wearing MOPP 4. A control wearing the BDU and exposed to 70°F/35 percent rh was also used. Visual stimuli were presented along three axes from central visual to the peripheral limit of the visual field (90°). Following 2 weeks of training, the subjects were exposed to three experimental conditions over 4 days. The first day was 55°F/35 percent rh (MOPP 4); the second day was control (70°F/35 percent rh) in BDU; the third day was 91°F/61 percent rh (MOPP 4 plus heat stress) and the fourth day was a repeat of control (day 2). The results indicated that mean response times increased for all three experimental conditions as the stimulus presentation was systematically moved from central to peripheral vision. The means for MOPP 4 and MOPP 4 plus heat stress were greater for all stimulus locations when compared to the means for the two control conditions, but no statistical test was conducted. The overall group means for the two experimental conditions were greater than the two control conditions but no statistical test was conducted. The overall mean and the individual stimulus location means for the second control day were less than the first control day, indicating a performance improvement. These differences were not tested statistically. The results indicated a serious limitation to vision by MOPP 4.

7. Manual Dexterity

Johnson and Sleeper (1986) reported the results of the use of two standard psychomotor tests, the O'Connor Fine Finger Dexterity Test and the Purdue Pegboard Assembly Test, to determine the effects of chemical protective clothing (butyl rubber gloves and gas mask and hood) on manual dexterity. Twenty-two male soldiers served as subjects. The tests were conducted over a period of 5 days under the following four conditions:

- (1) control bare-handed and bare-headed
- (2) bare-handed and wearing gas mask with hood
- (3) bare-headed and wearing gloves
- (4) wearing gas mask with hood and gloves.

A three-way analysis of variance was used to analyze the performance results (time to complete) on each test. For each analysis, gloves produced a significant decrement in manual dexterity but wearing the mask with hood had no effect on dexterity. There was also a significant "days" main effect and a significant days X glove interaction. Twenty multiple comparison tests indicated that the subjects reached performance asymptote on the O'Connor test at day 4 when wearing gloves and day 3 when bare-handed, and on the Purdue Pegboard Test at day 3 when gloved and test day 1 when bare-handed. The authors concluded that it takes longer to become proficient at manual dexterity tasks when wearing gloves than when bare-handed. On the fifth day dexterity while wearing gloves was significantly different from the bare-handed conditions. At asymptote for both conditions, it took subjects three times as long to perform the Purdue Pegboard Test when wearing gloves compared to the bare-handed condition.

Johnson and Sleeper (1986) recommended that soldiers be given intensive training in job-specific tasks while wearing CW-protective combat clothing, especially if the task requires an appreciable amount of manual dexterity. We calculated from their data that practice over 5 days results in an improvement of approximately 33 percent on both the O'Connor Finger Dexterity Test and the Purdue Pegboard Test when gloved.

8. Tactility of CW Gloves When Performing Medical Tasks

King and Frelin (1984) compared the ability of medical specialists to perform nine basic medical tasks while wearing the BDU or MOPP 4 with the standard butyl rubber glove and MOPP 4 with a prototype glove designed to increase tactility sensitivity. In each clothing condition, nine subjects performed the nine basic medical tasks, which were selected to emphasize dexterity and coordination for 6 consecutive days. The nine medical tasks were to: (1) administer emergency care to a CW casualty, (2) immobilize a fracture, (3) apply a splint to a lower extremity, (4) initiate a field medical card, (5) apply a dressing, (6) apply a tourniquet, (7) administer morphine by syrette, (8) administer first aid for a sucking chest wound, and (9) initiate an intravenous infusion. Time to accomplish the tasks and errors were recorded. On day 1, testing occurred first in fatigues. The order of each day's activities was determined randomly, subject to the constraint that each of the six test sequences occur once.

For day 1, the mean time to complete each of the nine tasks was longer for the fatigue condition (first test condition) than for MOPP with the prototype glove or for MOPP 4. For the first day the time to complete each of the day's tasks was longer in MOPP 4 than MOPP with the prototype glove.

Generally the mean performance time decreased during the 6-day test for all three conditions. The largest improvement for each of the nine tasks was between day 1 and 2 for the fatigue condition. Also for all tasks, performance in MOPP 4 improved over the 6 days. On the last day (day 6) all tasks were performed significantly more slowly in MOPP 4 than in fatigues. For day 6, performance was also slower for MOPP 4 compared to MOPP with the prototype glove for seven of the nine tasks; performance for MOPP with the prototype glove was slower for seven of nine tasks as compared to performance with fatigues. Errors were not significantly different across the three clothing conditions.

When checked for flaws after day 3, 10 of 18 of the prototype gloves had flaws and after day 6, 15 of 18 gloves had flaws; none of the standard butyl rubber gloves developed flaws.

It is important to note that all subjects were able to accomplish all tasks on all days while dressed in MOPP 4 and the MOPP 4 with the prototype glove conditions. Performing these tasks took longer due to the use of CW-protective gloves. The authors emphasize that practice in CW-protective combat clothing is important since performance using MOPP 4 improved for all tasks. Practice did not, however, eliminate the performance decrement. For day 6, the percent decrement (D_T) for MOPP 4/Fatigues for the nine tasks ranged between -27 and -94 percent and the mean percent decrement (D_T) was -53 percent. The authors concluded that basic medical tasks can be accomplished in MOPP 4 if troops are trained to perform in the protective ensemble.

9. Psychological Effects

Hamilton and Zapata (1983) investigated the psychological effects of wearing CWprotective combat clothing. Twenty-four subjects, 12 males and 12 females, were used for the test; 12 subjects wore flight suits and 12 wore CW ensembles. All subjects were administered, before and after wearing the CW ensemble for 6 hours, a mood scale and the following four cognitive subtests of the Walter Reed Performance Assessment Battery (PAB): Serial Math, Target Detection, Logical Reasoning, and Reaction Time.

Percent of change scores as described by Hamilton, Simmons, and Kimball (1982) were used as the dependent measure. Some subjects had large percent of change scores, which was assumed to be a learning effect. Percent of change scores above 50 percent were eliminated from the analysis. For each subtest the measures were the number attempted, number correct, reaction time correct, and reaction time error. Two-factor ANOVAs (ensemble and sex) were computed for Serial Math, Target Detection, Logical Reasoning, and Reaction Time. The ANOVA for Serial Math resulted in a significant difference for reaction time correct; the female CW ensemble was significantly less than the other three conditions. The ANOVA for Target Detection resulted in significant differences for sex and a sex by ensemble interaction. For the Logical Reasoning ANOVA, the only significant measure was reaction time correct for ensemble (CW ensemble deficit for both male and female). No significant differences were found for Reaction Time for any of the four conditions.

There was a lack of statistical reliability of the variables tested. There were few measures of performance across either sex or ensemble that were significantly different, and there were large standard deviations for all subtests. The ambient Wet Bulb Globe Temperature (WBGT) for males and females differed. Only females experienced temperatures in excess of 24°C. There was significant evidence that the subjects were bored by the experimental conditions, (i.e., the 6-hour period during which the subjects wore the CW ensemble or flight suit) since there was no organized activity during this time. Due to the lack of statistical reliability, it was impossible to draw conclusions from the test.

10. Cognitive Problem Solving

Rauch, Witt, Banderet, Tauson, and Golden (1986) conducted a study to determine the effects of wearing chemical combat protective clothing on cognitive problem solving over a 24-hour period of continuous operations. Nine subjects were given three paper and pencil tests of cognitive performance at seven scheduled times throughout each 24-hour period of testing. The three tests were: (1) Math Computation, i.e., the addition of three 2-digit numbers arranged vertically; (2) Pattern Recognition, i.e., recognition of the correct list among eight alternatives; and (3) Number Comparison, i.e., comparing two horizontally arranged 3- to 9-digit numbers. Six subjects were randomly assigned to each of three crews. Each crew performed artillery Fire Support Team (FIST) operations for three 24-hour periods under the following three clothing conditions: (1) BDU, (2) MOPP 2, and (3) MOPP 4 (order was randomized).

The experimental design was a 3×7 repeated measures analysis of variance on two dependent variables, i.e., percent of problems completed and percent of problems wrong. Significant main effects of MOPP and of time of day were found for all three cognitive tests for percent completed. A Scheffe test indicated that MOPP 4 performance was significantly degraded for each of the three cognitive tests when performance in BDU was contrasted with MOPP 4. From the raw data presented in Table 1 of the Rauch et al. (1986) report, we calculated the following time degradation (D_T) comparing MOPP 4 to BDU:

- Percent Computation Attempted/Min, -13 percent
- Percent Pattern Recognition Attempted/Min, -15 percent
- Percent Number Comparison Attempted/Min, -17 percent

The contrast between BDU and MOPP 2 was not significant.

We also computed the following percent accuracy degradation (D_A) comparing MOPP 4 to BDU:

- Percent Computation Error/Min, -14 percent
- Percent Pattern Recognition Error/Min, -22 percent
- Percent Number Comparison Error/Min, -59 percent.

Thus we found both accuracy and time degradation when MOPP 4 was compared with BDU.

In a later study, Rauch and Tharion (1987) reported the effects of wearing the chemical protective mask and gloves on cognitive problem solving. The study investigated the effect of the following four conditions: (1) wearing CW-protective gloves; (2) wearing the CW-protective mask; (3) wearing both the mask and gloves; and (4) wearing no gloves and no mask. Eight female and seven male volunteers served as subjects. The cognitive test consisted of a paper and pencil test of math computation (adding three 2-digit numbers arranged vertically). The experimental design consisted of a two-factor between-subjects

(SEX) and a four factor within-subjects (conditions) repeated measures analysis of variance (order of conditions was randomized for each subject). Alternate test forms were used for each condition and each subject was given 12 practice problems prior to each test which was 2 minutes in duration. The dependent measures were the number of problems completed in 2 minutes and the number of problems wrong.

A significant main effect was found for the number of problems completed. No other significant differences were found. A least-significant difference test was performed which indicated a significant contrast between wearing both the CW-glove and mask and the no glove/no mask conditions ($D_T = -10\%$) and between wearing the CW-glove and the no glove/no mask conditions ($D_T = -8\%$). The authors concluded that differences were due to limitations on manual dexterity and on sensory-perceptual capabilities.

11. Training Procedure For Donning Masks

Driskell, Carson, and Moskal (1986) examined the effectiveness of the chemical simulation training procedure for Navy recruits. As a part of the training, the recruits don gas masks, enter a chamber contaminated with CS, a riot control gas, and remove the mask before leaving the chamber. The subjects were 362 male and female recruits. A 20-item questionnaire designed to determine the following five factors was administered:

- (1) Perceived skill or ability to perform task
- (2) Anticipate mastery in a specific situation
- (3) Willingness to perform in the environment
- (4) Confidence in equipment
- (5) Subject matter knowledge as a result of classroom training.

The trainces were divided into three groups (three conditions):

- (1) Questionnaire prior to any chemical warfare training
- (2) Questionnaire after classroom training but prior to chamber training
- (3) Questionnaire at the completion of training.

To determine the differences between the three conditions, t-tests were run and resulted in the following findings:

• Trainee knowledge scores increased as a result of classroom instruction and remained stable following the chamber exercise (p < .001).

• There was a significant decline in performance expectations as a result of the chamber exercise (p < .001). The subjects who formed high performance expectations reported significantly less stress during the chamber exercise than those of low expectations. Inability to wear glasses (for those who normally wear them) and a poor mask seal both produced poor performance expectations and induced greater stress.

12. Performance Effects of Drug Antidote and Heat Stress

Kobrick, Johnson, and McMenemy (1988; 1990a; 1990b) investigated the effects of nerve agent antidote and heat stress on performance while wearing chemical combat protective clothing. Two studies, one in BDU and one in MOPP 4, were conducted. For the BDU study, 15 male soldiers served as subjects and for the MOPP 4 study, eight male soldiers served as subjects. Each group was trained for 6 hours/day for 5 days on the following tasks: visual accuity, phoria, stereopsis, contrast sensitivity, speech intelligibility, arm-hand steadiness, muscle tremor, gross dexterity, one-hand and two-hand fine dexterity, body mobility, simple and choice visual reaction time, simulated rifle marksmanship, verbal reasoning, digit-symbol substitution, eye-hand coordination, and tapping. Following training, each group of subjects were tested on the above tasks in three, 2-hour tests (total of a 6-hour period) for 4 test days. For BDU, the following conditions were used: (1) control (saline; 70°F, 30%rh); (2) drug (2 mg atropine, 600 mg 2-PAM; 70°F, 30%rh); (3) ambient heat stress (saline; 95°F, 60%rh); (4) drug and ambient heat stress (2 mg atropine, 600 mg 2-PAM; 95°F, 60%rh). For MOPP 4, the conditions remained the same (trained and tested in MOPP 4) except for the control and drug the condition was 55°F, 30%rh to offset the heat load due to wearing MOPP 4. In addition to the above tests, the Environmental Symptoms Questionnaire (ESQ) and the Profile of Mood States (POMS) were administered at the end of each test day and the Brief Subjective Rating Scale (BSRS) was given at the end of each 2-hour test period. Separate three-way analyses of variance with repeated measures were conducted for BDU and for MOPP 4 for each performance task.

For the BDU subjects significant drug main effects were found for a number of the performance and visual variables but only the significant heat stress main effects will be discussed. The significant main effects for heat stress were altered steadiness and rifle marksmanship. ANOVAS for the POMS and BSRS showed that the subjects were significantly hotter and more uncomfortable and tense at 95°F, 30%rh. For the ESQ under

the heat stress condition, the subjects were "warm, sweating," and for the drug and heat stress condition," headache and light headedness" were reported.

For the MOPP 4 subjects, the heat stress was so severe that only one of eight subjects completed the second 2-hour testing cycle, and no subjects started cycle 3. For the heat stress and for the heat stress and drug conditions, four subjects were removed by the medical monitor and four withdrew. A t-test of the mean exposure time was conducted. The findings indicated a significant difference between the mean exposure times of heat stress (183.63 min) and the heat stress and drugs (149.25 min). Thus a single dose of atropine and 2-PAM effectively reduced the endurance time of soldiers in MOPP 4.

The worst scores possible for the test condition were assigned where subjects withdrew or were removed by the medical monitor and three-way analysis of variance $(drug \times temperature \times cycle)$ with repeated measures was computed. Significant effects were found for all performance tasks for the heat stress condition. For POMS, significant main effects for heat stress were found for tension, depression, fatigue, and confusion. For BSRS, significant heat stress main effects were found for tiredness, discomfort, and warmth. For the ESQ, the authors also reported the MOPP 4 resulted in "far more numerous symptoms being reported than for the same testing conditions under BDU." These included bodily discomfort symptoms and mood effects.

While wearing MOPP 4 under the heat stress condition resulted in a disruption of performance, it should be emphasized that subjects were able to remain operational under the control condition (55° F, 30 percent rh) while wearing MOPP 4. The authors also note that there was no evidence of claustraphobia and/or anxiety reaction due to encapsulation.

13. Rifle Marksmanship

Johnson, McMenemy, and Dauphinee (1990) and Johnson (1991) evaluated the effects of rifle marksmanship under the following three combat clothing conditions: BDU, the fighting load (BDU plus helmet, web gear and full canteen), and MOPP 4. An M-16 simulator, the Weaponeer Marksmanship Trainer, was used to collect performance data. The subjects were 30 male soldier volunteers; 10 subjects, matched on marksmanship ability, were assigned to each group. Following 4 days of practice on the simulator, the subjects' marksmanship on pop-up targets was assessed in rifle supported and unsupported conditions. A 3×2 (clothing \times rifle support) ANOVA was used to evaluate the pop-up target data (total number of targets hit) and a Kruskal-Wallis one-way (clothing) analysis of variance by ranks was used to analyze the number of attempts before a tight shot group was

obtained. The results indicated a significant main effect for clothing and for rifle support. Newman-Keuls tests indicated that rifle marksmanship was significantly poorer under MOPP 4 (mean of 22.1 hits) when compared to the BDU (27.4 hits) or the fighting load (26.0 hits). D_A scores comparing MOPP 4 and BDU and MOPP 4 and fighting load clothing conditions were -19.3 percent and -14.9 percent, respectively.

14. Pilot Performance

Thornton and Caldwell (1991) conducted a study in the UH-60 simulator to determine the effect of CW-protective combat clothing on pilot performance. Sixteen male Army aviators who were qualified in the UH-60 helicopter served as subjects. Two pilots (one left seat and one right seat) were involved in each flight. Pilot performance for two clothing conditions, flight suit and MOPP 4 (Aircrew Uniform Integrated Battlefield) and M43 mask, was investigated. The simulated tactical flight profile consisted of a 1-hour tactical low level navigation exercise followed by an hour of upper air maneuvers. The automatic flight control system was disabled during the latter half of the upper air phase to increase the workload. In order to obtain performance data for the two pilots, each controlled the helicopter simulator at predetermined intervals during the flight.

The flight profile was divided into nine separate maneuver types and each maneuver was scored for several different parameters which varied with the maneuver. There were 69 separate flight maneuvers per test day with up to 5 parameters each. RMS error was computed from data recorded on a 16-channel flight data recorder.

A week of training on the flight profile was conducted and was followed by a test week. The environmental conditions consisted of mild and hot conditions measured as dry bulb temperatures as follows: T_1 (mild) = 21.6°C, rh 50% and T_2 (hot) = 35.9°C, rh 50%. The experimental design during the testing week was as follows:

Monday baseline, flight suit, T₁ Tuesday flight suit, T₂ Wednesday MOPP 4, T₁ Thursday MOPP 4, T₂ Friday flight suit, T₁

7

An analysis of variance was performed on the RMS error values and Duncan's multiple range test was used for posteriori comparisons.

Pilot performance showed a marked improvement between the first and second training sessions but was stable following the second session. For the test week, comparisons were made across the four conditions: (1) baseline; (2) flight suit, hot; (3) MOPP 4, mild; (4) MOPP 4, hot. There were 19 maneuver parameter comparisons for which the MOPP 4 hot performance value (RMS error) was significantly greater than at least one of the other groups. For MOPP 4, mild and flight suit hot, four comparisons were greater and for baseline, two comparisons were greater. The authors interpret the lack of consistency across the data to indicate that the degree of performance decrement was minor. When the automatic flight control system was disabled, the RMS error was greater for most maneuver parameters when compared to the maneuver parameters with the system's normal operation.

15. Pursuit Tracking

Barba, Stamper, Penetar, and Molchany (1987) investigated the effects of wearing the M17A2 protective mask on pursuit tracking performance. They found that wearing the protective mask significantly reduced the ability to detect, acquire, and track moving targets. Tracking data were collected under two ambient light conditions; the dim condition approximated dawn/dusk. All subjects were trained for 2 days without the protective mask. For 3 test days 20 trials a day, divided equally between the two light conditions, were conducted for a control group (no mask) and an experimental group (protective mask). A between-subjects design was used and vertical and horizontal data were used to compute the following scores: Percent Time-on-Target Root Mean Square Error (RMS) and Maximum Absolute Error. Percent time-on-target decreased significantly when the protective mask was worn under both the bright light (8 percent) and the dim light (21 percent) conditions.

From the raw data presented by Barba et al. (1987), we calculated percent accuracy decrement (D_A) for RMS and found the vertical and horizontal components to be -39 percent and -29 percent, respectively, for the dim light condition. The D_A for the maximum absolute error for the vertical and horizontal components for the dim light condition was -68 percent and -35 percent, respectively. The authors concluded that soldiers using direct-view optics such as TOW and GLLD could experience severe difficulties when wearing the protective mask due to the decreased field of view and inability to visually scan the scene (horizontal component). They also concluded that "training with the M17A2 protective mask would provide tracking strategies.... [W]ithout

this training the success of the mission could be compromised" (Barba, et al., 1987, pp. 15-16).

16. Tactical Computer Terminal Operations

Lussier and Fallesen (1987) evaluated the capability of a Tactical Computer Terminal (TCT) operator to accomplish 11 tasks while wearing MOPP 4. Performance of the tasks (time and errors) was measured while the subjects were dressed in BDU and in MOPP 4. Half of the 12 subjects performed the task first in BDU and half in MOPP 4; for the second trial the clothing conditions were reversed. None of the subjects had prior training in operating the TCT while wearing MOPP 4, but all had attended a 2-week new equipment training course 7 months prior to the test. The results indicated that the subjects were able to perform the 11 tasks under the two clothing conditions. The overall degradation for the MOPP 4 condition for the 11 tasks was -8 percent (+12 percent to -36 percent).

17. Scanning Time with XM40 Mask

Harrah (1984a) conducted a study to determine the performance decrement due to wearing CW-protective combat masks. The time required to scan an area using the M17 mask and three versions of the XM40 mask was compared to scanning without a mask. The field of view with M19 binoculars in the no-mask condition was 6.6° compared to 2.8° to 3.1° field of view wearing masks. Mean scan time for the no-mask condition was 8.6 sec compared to between 44.6 and 48.4 sec for the mask conditions. All masks that were tested significantly degraded visual scan time. There were no significant differences among masks for scan time.

In a later report, Harrah (1985) found that, compared to a no-mask condition (mean scan in sec = 26.34), the time required to scan a target was significantly degraded for the M17A1 protective mask (43.94 sec.) and for three versions of the XM40 prototype protective masks (range 44.57 to 50.23 sec.). No difference in time to scan a target was found between the standard and the prototype masks. The percent time performance degradation (D_T) (calculated from Harrah, 1985 data) ranged between -67 percent for the M17A1 and -91 percent for one of the XM40 prototype masks.

18. Missile Maintenance Performance

Waugh and Kilduff (1984) conducted a laboratory study to determine if the performance of missile maintenance personnel wearing CW-protective combat clothing would experience degradation in the performance of representative maintenance tasks. Eleven enlisted males and one female served as subjects (two of these were alternates). Two tasks were chosen, an easy task and a difficult task. The easy task was performance of the TOW self-test routine. The difficult task was to remove and replace a motor-driven rotating mirror assembly deep in the tracker portion of the Dragon night sight. The following experimental conditions were used: (1) BDU; (2) BDU with protective mask and hood; (3) BDU with protective gloves with cotton liners; (4) MOPP 4. The two repair tasks were performed by each subject under each experimental condition. The experiment was replicated two times. The order of experimental conditions was randomized. A twoway ANOVA (conditions X subjects) design was used to test for differences among the experimental conditions with the three replications combined. A two-way ANOVA (conditions X replications) design was used to test for differences for each replication. Separate analyses were performed on the easy and the difficult tasks. Time to complete the tasks was the dependent variable. One subject withdrew from the test late in the trials. The data set was based on nine subjects.

The results indicated no differences in the mean times among conditions for the easy task, but there was a decrease in mean times by replications. For the difficult task, the mean time was lowest for BDU and highest for MOPP 4 for all replications. Improvement in mean times was found for each replication for each condition with the exception of BDU replications 2 and 3.

A two-way ANOVA (conditions X replications) for the easy task was significant for replication but not condition. The ANOVA for the difficult task indicated a significant difference for conditions and for replications.

Duncan Multiple Range tests indicated that the first replication for the easy task was significantly different from replications 2 and 3. For the difficult task, these tests indicated a significant contrast between the first replication and replications 2 and 3; a significant contrast between BDU and MOPP 4; and with condition 2 BDU and mask/hood and condition (BDU and glove) no mask/hood and gloves; and for MOPP 4 and mask/hood and gloves.

For the difficult task, learning was found for BDU and MOPP 4. Performance was degraded an average of 18 percent for mask/hood and for gloves when compared to BDU.

19. Effects of CW Gloves on Digital Terminal Performance

Peterson (1986) reported on a test of the TA-954, a non-secure digital terminal used in TRI-TAC communication systems, to determine if a soldier's ability to use the terminal is degraded when wearing MOPP gloves/cold weather gloves. Three types of CWprotective gloves and the arctic mitten were worn by 31 soldiers. The CW-protective gloves were 7-mil (0.007 inch), 14-mil, and the standard 22-mil butyl rubber. The subjects were told that accuracy was more important than speed. The results in terms of character accuracy rate and message error rate indicated no significant difference in character accuracy rate or the message error rate between the no-glove baseline condition and any of the CW-protective gloves. There were, however, significant differences between the baseline and CW-protective gloves when worn with cold weather gloves.

20. Survey of CW Common Defense Skills

Moskal, Driskell, and Carson (1987) conducted a study to assess the common skills required for chemical, biological, and radiological warfare defense. A 37-item knowledge questionnaire was administered to 333 naval personnel on 16 ships. Almost 50 percent of those surveyed had not been involved in any shipboard chemical defense exercise; two-thirds of the remainder reported that they had participated in one to three exercises. Two-thirds reported that they had worn CW-protective combat clothing (on any occasion) for a maximum of zero to 60 min. Forty percent reported that the last chemical defense training occurred in recruit training, generally 1 year prior to taking the questionnaire.

The mean score for correct answers was 12.6 (34 percent) and the range of correct responses was from 9 to 60 percent. Only 7.5 percent of the personnel tested were able to answer the 11 items on the questionnaire considered critical to survival in the event of chemical attack. One hundred eighty-two of the subjects (54.7 percent) failed to answer even one of the 11 critical items correctly.

The large sample of subjects was found to have had very little prior practice performing the common chemical defense skills. The number of shipboard exercises completed, the length of time that CW-protective combat clothing had been worn and the recency of chemical defense training were all positively related to high scores on the questionnaire. Knowledge of donning and doffing procedures for CW-protective combat clothing was the poorest of all 11 categories. The authors concluded that further training in the common skills area was crucial.

IV. DISCUSSION

It is well known that wearing CW-protective combat clothing may protect the wearer but it also results in impairment of human performance. Many studies including combined arms exercises, field trials, and laboratory studies reviewed in this paper and in previous papers (Taylor and Orlansky, 1986, 1987) have documented the degradation of both individual and unit performance. Banderet (1991a) has suggested that the various performances should be differentiated rather than using "performance" as a generic construct. Most of the studies reviewed, however, failed to differentiate performance.

Heat stress, due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing, seriously degrades performance (under some conditions within an hour); this finding is supported by many studies reviewed in this paper and in previous papers by Taylor and Orlansky (1986, 1987). Heat stress effects have been accurately modelled using laboratory data collected on males.

Two laboratory studies, which used identical exper...nental procedures to assess the effects of wearing CW-protective combat clothing on performance of fire direction center tasks, found that performance under the "heat stress" condition produced performance decrements. In one study, 18 of 20 male subjects completed the 7-hour test but showed performance decrements. In a second study involving females, 7 of 17 female subjects completed the 7-hour test while 10 of 17 females terminated the study for medical reasons; none of the terminations was due to normal indications of heat stress. It is important to note, however, that casualties appeared to be suffering classic symptoms of heat stress, e.g., syncope (Cadarette, 1991). All casualties passed out, indicated that they were about to pass out, or were judged to be incapable of continuing by medical personnel. The seven survivors showed no significant performance deterioration. These results suggest that females perform better than males up to the point of being unable to continue the test, but fewer were able to complete the test as compared to males.

The above laboratory studies, which compared male/female performance differences on fire direction center tasks, suggest differences in physiological tolerance to heat stress, with female tolerance being lower. We constructed Table IV-1, a two-by-two contingency table, to test the hypothesis of no differences between males and females in completing the test.

Completed Test	Males	Female	Total	
Yes	18	7	25	
No	2	10	12	
Total	20	17	37	

Table IV-1. Contingency Table of Males and Females Who Completed Test

The exact X^2 probability for the arrangement in contingency table was computed assuming fixed marginal frequencies and using the formula (Walker and Lev, 1953):

(a+b)!(c+d)!(a+c)!(b+d)! N!a!b!c!d!

The exact X^2 probability was P = < .002 thus indicating a significant difference between males and females in completing the test.

Nunneley (1978) has reviewed the physiological responses of women to heat stress. The review indicated that females (drawn from the general population) are less heat tolerant than their male counterparts. Differences in body size, with a lower body weight and surface area but a higher surface-area-to-mass ratio for women was discussed. Cadarette (1991) pointed out that the generally smaller stature of females compared to males would result in the weight of the CW-protective combat clothing imposing a more severe strain on females as compared to males. He also indicated that females would have a smaller plasma volume and consequently could be expected to have a greater incidence of syncope when wearing MOPP 4 as a result of "blood pooling in the periphery from vasodilation in an attempt to increase heat loss from the core" (Cadarette, 1991). Nunneley (1978) also reported that end points of heat stress, such as maximum core temperature and heart rate, are the same for males and females. She found that the following factors contributed to the limited heat stress tolerance in women: (1) small size; (2) high fat content; (3) low work capacity; and (4) lack of acclimatization. She reported that all factors show large individual variation and that male-female differences in response to heat stress are not found when women are physically trained and/or heat acclimatized.

Data from Shapiro, Pandoff, Avellini, Pimental, and Goldman (1981) suggested that men and women have qualitatively similar heat balance and heat transfer characteristics. They reported that the femalc's higher surface-area-to-mass ratio is an advantage for hotwet environments, but in hot-dry environments women have an increased skin temperature.

In 1981, Rakaczky noted that no field tests had been reported in which females were involved. This report reviews one field test conducted in a tropical environment whose purpose was to evaluate male/female differences. Some evidence was presented that indicated differences between male and female tolerance to heat stress as measured by core temperature (females were lower) but the limited number of subjects in each group (an N of 5) prevented statistical analysis. The experimental data comparing male and female differences in performance to heat stress while wearing CW-protective combat clothing is extremely limited. In view of the increased numbers of females involved in combat support roles and the potential of females being involved in combat situations in which a substantial CW threat exists, this area warrants increased research emphasis.

Many of the studies conducted under the $P^2 NBC^2$ program indicated inability of volunteer subjects to complete a variety of sustained combat missions in moderate or hot environments. In most cases the subjects elected to leave the study prior to being medically removed due to elevated core temperature or high heart rate. Studies indicated that the combat unit was judged to be "combat ineffective" due to the loss of personnel prior to completing the continuous operation scenario. In some cases the continuous operation scenario could not be completed in BDU. In all cases in which BDU and MOPP 4 were compared, the MOPP 4 condition produced more "casualties" and caused the combat unit to become combat ineffective in a shorter time when compared to BDU.

These studies investigated continuous operations in MOPP 4 in the following areas: armor, mechanized infantry, artillery, and aviation. Ellis et al. (1986) reported that tank crews in a tank turret trainer had difficulty completing a 24 hour period of continuous operations in MOPP 4 even with a work/rest cycle of 2 hours of work in MOPP 4 and 1 hour of rest in BDU. When an ammunition loading exercise was added to the scenario and the rest cycle eliminated, no crew lasted longer than 9 hours of a 24-hour extended operations period. Ellis et al. (1986) found that no armor crew completed continuous combat operations in a stationary tank (M60A3 or M1) for more than 11 hours of a 24-hour scenario. The average crew member's endurance was 8.73 (M60A3) and 5.25 hours (M1), respectively. In a subsequent 24-hour test involving a realistic tactical scenario against an opposing force, Ellis et al. (1986) found that the average crew endurance time for the M60A3 was 7.83 hours. "The average crew endurance time for the M1 was 7.81 hours, while the average crew endurance time for the M1A1 with microclimate cooling was 16,94 hours." Clearly the microclimate cooling system of the M1A1 extended the endurance time of the crews. The authors reported that the "volunteer agreement gave the test directorate very little leverage in persuading test subjects to push themselves to their limit" (Ellis et al., 1986, p. 1-8). Glumm (1988) also found that the range of endurance for tank crews wearing MOPP 4 (36 crew members) was between 9.8 and 23.5 hours out of a 72-hour simulated tactical scenario for the M1 tank. The mean staytime was 13.6 hours. For four crews in BDU (16 crew members) the range of endurance times was 16.5-32.3 hours. The mean staytime was 22.4 hours. The staytime for individual crew members for both MOPP 4 and BDU was between 3 and 32 hours. The mean withdrawal time was 14.5 hours. None of 12 tank crews involved in the field trial completed the 72-hour field trial. The staytime ranged between 3 and 32 hours and the mean crew staytime was 14.5 hours. A rectal temperature of 39.2°C (102.5°F), which equates to a 25 percent risk of heat exhaustion if corrective measures are not taken, has been used in most laboratory and field trials as the temperature at which to medically remove a subject from the study. Indeed, Redmond, Leu, Papp, Hall, Galinsky, and Gutierrez (1991) reported that "subjective symptoms of stress often occur well before objective signs of injury. These symptoms are quite enough to limit the subject's capacity to continue and may impede his/her ability to perform tasks safely." Core temperature measurements indicated that heat stress was not a factor. Knox et al. (1987) conducted a field trial in which 12 armor crews (with four crewmen each) in BDU, MOPP 4, and MOPP 4 with microclimate cooling and coping strategies and materiel fixes to CW-protective combat equipment defended a ridge line against an opposing force during 48 hours of continuous operations. None of the crews completed the 48 hours of operations. One crew wearing MOPP 4 with microclimate cooling and using coping strategies and materiel fixes completed 16.41 hours and one crew wearing BDU completed 15.01 hours without microclimate cooling. The average staytime for crews without microclimate cooling was about 6 hours. Performance measures were not reported.

Headley et al. (1988) and Rauch, Banderet, Tharion, Munro, Lussier and Shukitt (1986) found that none of the three, 9-man howitzer crews wearing MOPP 4 was able to complete a 72-hour fire mission (250 rounds). The first casualty occurred after 1.3 hours and the three crews were combat ineffective after 3.8, 1.9, and 2.1 hours, respectively. A crew dressed in BDU completed the 72-hour scenario.

Mitchell, Knox, and Wehrly (1987) reported that none of the mechanized infantry crews wearing MOPP 4 while operating BIFV and Mil3Al vehicles in a 72-combat

scenario (3.9-mile track with combat related tasks) was able to complete the test, which was terminated after 32 hours. The average staytime of crew members was 18.9 hours. There were 11 casualties out of 26 test subjects.

Mitchell et al. (1986) reported that 6 aviators operating for 6 consecutive days (MOPP 4 for 12 hours and MOPP 1 for 12 hours) terminated 12 of 20 missions when flying without microclimate cooling. Hamilton et al. (1982) reported that only 1 of 4 subjects dressed in MOPP 4 was able to complete six missions (2/day for 3 days with a day of rest between the flight days); two subjects in MOPP 4 were removed due to elevated core temperature.

The data related to staytime in tests of combat arms raise serious questions concerning sustained combat unit performance while wearing CW-protective combat clothing even when heat exhaustion is not a factor. It is difficult to determine precise physiological end points from the above data, but what has been determined is that crews dressed in MOPP 4 in temperate climate conditions will have difficulty sustaining effective combat operations under CW conditions for extended periods of time.

Wearing CW-protective combat clothing results in a significant and important increase in serious dehydration. Previous reviews have reported that, during hot conditions, dehydration will occur within 1 hour or less when the individual is engaged in physical activity, so enforced drinking is mandatory for health maintenance (Taylor and Orlansky, 1987). Wearing CW-protective combat clothing during the nuclear/chemical segment of CANE I produced clinical dehydration in almost one-fifth of the soldiers after 72 hours (Draper and Lombardi, 1986). During this same period 83 percent showed significant signs of dehydration (equivalent to 24 hours without fluid intake). It is important to note that the 20 percent who were seriously dehydrated were not aware of their dehydrated condition. An evaluation of Army aviators on extended helicopter operations for a period of 6 continuous days (12 hours in MOPP 4 and 12 hours in MOPP 1) indicated that an average of 5 liters of water intake per 24 hours was required.

Even when heat stress was not an important factor, the performance of many combat and combat support tasks in all combat arms was degraded when CW-protective combat clothing was worn. The performance degradation was due to reduced manual dexterity, reduced vision, reduced communication, respiratory stress, and psychological stress. This conclusion is supported by a number of studies reviewed in the present paper as well as previous reviews (Taylor and Orlansky, 1986, 1987).

A paper by Taylor and Orlansky concluded that "detection of targets and the accuracy of fire are significantly degraded when protective masks are worn" (Taylor and Orlansky, 1987, pp. IV-1 and IV-27). As reviewed in the present paper, platoon performance for almost all measures of close combat, heavy, was degraded during combined arms exercises (CANE I) when CW-protective combat clothing was worn (Draper and Lombardi, 1986). The number of targets detected, engaged, and killed was significantly degraded. Direct fire engagements were degraded by 52 percent for all weapons and engagements for the M16 decreased by 59 percent; battle intensity (shots fired per minute in attack) was degraded by 69 percent; engagement range for the M60 machine gun was increased by 52 percent; the engagement of friendly forces was increased by 360 percent. In a series of studies involving armor crews, the time of target engagement increased during continuous operations in MOPP 4 (Ellis et al., 1986; Glumm, 1988). Ellis et al. (1986) reported that target engagement times increased in two studies in a tank turret trainer, in a study involving a combat scenario in a stationary tank, and in a combat scenario involving a platoon of four tanks operating against opposing force. The time increased was found to be linear.

During a combined arms exercise, the duration of battle was increased 82 percent and the loss-exchange ratio (killed attackers/killed defenders) was increased by 66 percent from 2.40 in baseline to 3.99 in the nuclear/chemical environment--an unacceptable level according to current Army doctrine. Army doctrine states that a 3:1 ratio is usually necessary to conduct a successful attack.

Command and control during combined arms exercises was significantly degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing (Draper and Lombardi, 1986). Commander casualties increased 34 percent during attack and the time to replace commanders increased by 343 percent. It was more difficult to direct fire, maneuver the unit, and maintain unit orientation at the platoon level.

In a review paper, Taylor and Orlansky (1987) reported that CW-protective combat clothing degraded communication but the magnitude of the effect had not been adequately documented. Combined arms exercises reviewed in the present paper indicate that communications were significantly degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing (Draper and Lombardi, 1986). Both the frequency and duration of radio messages increased about 50 percent. Calls for indirect fire increased over 200 percent.

A combined arms exercise demonstrated that minimum training of the combat platoon in decontamination procedures prepares the platoon to assist a dedicated chemical decontamination squad to decontaminate vehicle and platoon equipment. Decontamination of platoon personnel and personal equipment was accomplished without assistance of the chemical decontamination squad. This finding provided a test of a change in Army doctrine regarding decontamination and reduced the workload for chemical decontamination combat support with a resultant force structure reduction. There was a need for some additional training of the combat platoon with agent stimulants since some of the platoon would have received skin contamination.

A previous review (Taylor and Orlansky, 1987) also indicated that manual dexterity tasks such as disassembly/assembly of small arms are significantly degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing (masks and gloves). During a series of field studies involving Army personnel, performance on maintenance tasks requiring both gross and fine motor skills was significantly degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing. Montgomery (1987) estimated that gross motor skills were degraded by about 30 percent while fine motor skills were degraded by about 60 percent. Using Montgomery's data, the percent time decrement (D_T) for seven maintenance tasks while wearing CW-protective combat clothing ranged from -26 to -39 percent. Shipton, Beilstein, Chenzoff, Pitzer, and Joyce (1988) also conducted a field study using Air Force personnel to evaluate the performance degradation of maintenance tasks due to CW-protective combat clothing. Seven of 26 tasks were severely degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing. The average percent time degradation (D_T) for the 26 tasks was -30.7 percent. The experienced maintenance technicians preferred 7-mil or 14-mil gloves which they reported to provide better tactility and finger dexterity than the standard 22-mil glove. It was noted, however, there were some compromises which ranged from small punctures to large cuts and holes in the new gloves. Laboratory studies on standard psychomotor tests indicated that the CW-protective butyl rubber gloves significantly reduced manual dexterity. A laboratory study also indicated that medical specialists wearing CW-protective gloves had performance decrements when compared to BDU.

During a field trial, HAWK missile operations were significantly degraded due to wearing MOPP 4. Lack of prior training on the tasks by the assault fire units limited interpretation of the data. There was a compounding of learning to perform the task and adapting to wearing MOPP 4.

Two field trials to determine the effects of wearing MOPP 4 on the performance of night reconnaissance missions were reviewed. In one study there was insufficient data to conduct a statistical analysis. Ordering the data by trials indicated that there was an
improvement over trials but the effects of learning the task and learning to adapt to MOPP 4 were confounded. In the second study, a regression analysis indicated that it required 10-50 percent longer to accomplish the tasks in MOPP 4 than in BDU. In both studies, stealth was totally lacking due to high noise, slow movement, poor spacing, and poor use of protective cover.

In investigating the psychological effects of sustained operations under simulated chemical warfare conditions, a number of field studies $h_{\rm b}$ found that symptom intensity increased during the test and there was a general deterioration of mood. During field tests, subjects have been categorized (post hoc) as casualties and survivors. Casualties are defined as subjects who voluntarily withdrew or who were withdrawn by the medical team. Significant differences on a series of psychological tests were found between the two post hoc groups in the intensity of perceived symptoms and on mood factors (clear thinking, fatigue, friendliness, and dizziness). Discriminant analysis indicated that based on psychological test scores, a symptom-based classification routine correctly identified 94 percent of the subjects as members of their respective post hoc groups. The coping-based classification correctly identified 98 percent of the cases.

When heat stress is not a significant factor, Taylor and Orlansky (1987) reported that, with training in CW-protective combat clothing, individuals and crews will modify procedures to reduce performance decrements caused by MOPP 4. Studies reviewed in the present paper also indicate that training in CW-protective combat clothing can reduce the amount of performance decrement of individuals and units performing combat and combat support tasks. Draper and Lombardi (1986) reported that the amount of prior CW-defense training of the platoon leader was an important variable affecting overall platoon performance in a simulated CW condition. This variable was important even when the other members of the platoon had little CW-defense training.

Taylor and Orlansky (1987) reported that:

... while many of the studies reviewed in this report have emphasized the need for increased training in the use of chemical protective clothing, the Grand Plot III field trial was the only study specifically designed to directly measure performance improvement as a result of training. This study was concerned with twelve chemical defense tasks common for all soldiers. It is important that additional studies and field trials be conducted in order to determine more precisely the effects of training on mission effectiveness in simulated chemical environments. These trials are needed not only to determine deficiencies in current training, but also to determine ways to increase current combat readiness.

-- Taylor and Orlansky, 1987, pp. III-13

IV-8

Montgomery's analysis (1987) indicated that degradation on maintenance tasks involving gross motor skills could be reduced by about a third, from 30 to 20 percent, through regularly scheduled training in MOPP 4. He also reported that degradation on fine motor skills used in performing maintenance tasks could be reduced from 60 to 50 percent or less through training in MOPP 4. He indicated that "adaptation to and functioning in MOPP improved with each additional try" (Montgomery, 1987). We calculated from data by Johnson and Sleeper (1986) that practice of manual dexterity tasks for 5 days while wearing CW-protective combat clothing produced an improvement of 33 percent on two standardized psychomotor tests. Six days of practice of performing medical tasks in MOPP 4 by medical specialists, resulted in substantial improved performance. This result, however, was confounded with learning to perform the tasks. Taylor and Orlansky (1987) reported that training increased proficiency on tasks required for personal survival in a CWdefense situation. For many tasks the knowledge and skill needed to adequately use CWprotective combat clothing can be acquired during brief refresher training. They reported one study which found that as little as 2 days' training significantly improved performance.

Taylor and Orlansky (1987) reported that only one of 21 studies reviewed was designed to quantify the effects of training in reducing the degradation due to wearing CWprotective combat clothing. One of the objectives of a number of the field studies reviewed in the present paper was to determine the impact of training in MOPP 4 on reducing the performance decrement caused by wearing CW-protective combat clothing (Wick and Morrissey, 1987; Parker, Stearman and Montgomery, 1987; Parker and Stearman, 1987; Ramachandran and Montgomery, 1987; Montgomery, 1987; and Wick, Morrissey and Klopcic, 1987). In none of the studies, however, was a stable baseline performance established in battle dress uniform prior to determining performance decrements due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing. None of the teams and/or individuals was well trained in the tasks to be performed. The tasks in all of the studies were repetitive and performance improved with repeated practice for both BDU and MOPP 4 trials.

In a number of the studies meaningful comparisons could not be made between BDU and MOPP 4 due to lack of data For example, Ramachandran and Montgomery (1987) reported that:

... it was intended that the testing would provide valuable information on the efficiency of the performance of troops in chemical protective gear, however, the data obtained were not sufficient to make any conclusive interpretations, primarily because there was insufficient trials to subject the results to statistical evaluation. (p, 4)

They further indicated that

... since learning and adaptation occurred each time a team performed a task, it was impossible to identify statistically the specific performance degradation due to MOPP 4. However, such an analysis could have been possible if the trials had been repeated with more soldiers who were familiar with the performed tasks. (p. 5)

They found that the "time required to perform both movement and skill tasks improved when experience was gained in MOPP 4 gear" (p. 7) and "... as the teams become familiar with the tasks and the course, the time taken for the completion of each task became shorter and shorter irrespective of the attire" (p. 7). In others, there were not enough subjects to permit inferential statistics. In all of the above studies, extensive field experiments were conducted without an appropriate experimental design. Consequently, the results of the studies are difficult to interpret in terms of the two principal objectives: (1) the amount of performance decrement due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing, and (2) the effect of training in reducing performance decrement.

Shipton, Chenzoff, Joyce, Deibel and Weimer (1988) also demonstrated that practice in performing 10 F-16 maintenance tasks while wearing CW-protective combat clothing improved performance on the tasks (training effect). An earlier study by Shipton, Beilstein, Chenzoff, Pitzer and Joyce (1988) had found that the CW ensemble seriously degraded performance of these tasks. Since data concerning baseline performance in fatigues was not stable, the data concerning the training effect while wearing CW clothing were confounded with the effect of learning to perform the task as individuals. The same conclusion can be drawn for the four tasks performed by two-person teams. The training effect was further confounded by "interventions," i.e., wotkaround procedures; modifications to aircraft, AGE, and tools; and job guide changes.

The studies reviewed by Taylor and Orlansky (1987) and in the current paper clearly indicated a wide variation of the amount of performance degradation due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing depending on the combat or combat support tasks. Nevertheless, a general finding was one of significant performance degradation of individual and unit performance.

A growing body of evidence indicates that there is inadequate training in the use of CW-protective combat clothing. In 1987 Knapp and Orlansky reported that:

 \dots (a) the effectiveness of CWD training is not adequate, raising doubt that the armed forces could survive and accomplish their assigned missions in a chemically contaminated environment, and (b) the limited amount and extent

IV-10

 $e^{-\beta}$

of CWD training is due primarily to the relatively low priority given to CWD training by military commanders at all levels. (p. 51)

Ramachandran and Montgomery (1987) recommended that "all military exercises should be tested in a simulated chemically contaminated environment. Each task should be repeated until no further improvements in efficiency can be maintained" (p. 16). Johnson and Sleeper (1986) recommended that soldiers be given intensive training in job-specific tasks while wearing CW-protective combat clothing, especially if the task requires a significant amount of manual dexterity.

A Navy survey was conducted by Moskal, Driskell, and Carson (1987) to determine the knowledge level of Navy personnel with regard to chemical defense. The results indicated that almost 50 percent of the 333 Navy personnel from 16 ships to which the questionnaire was administered had not been involved in any shipboard chemical defense exercise. Only 7.5 percent of the personnel tested were able to answer the 11 items of the questionnaire that were considered critical to survival under chemical warfare conditions. Forty-eight percent reported that they had not participated in any shipboard chemical defense exercise. Two-thirds reported that they had worn the mask during the past 3 months. Seventy-two percent reported that the last time they wore the mask was on board ship. Only 17 percent reported that they had worn CW-protective combat clothing for longer than 60 minutes at any time during their career. One of the Navy's operating standards requires that proficiency be demonstrated in performing General Quarters watch station duties while wearing CW-protective combat clothing and equipment continuously for at least 6 hours or longer (if feasible). The data indicated that less than 5 percent of the personnel surveyed had ever worn full CW-protective combat clothing for more than 3 hours. The number of personnel who have worn CW-protective combat clothing while standing watch under General Quarters was not determined by the survey but was estimated to be about 5 percent.

The report concludes that a critical need exists for further training of CW common skills, i.e., the skills that all personnel need to know to protect themselves independent of the special skills that may be needed because of a particular job. At that time (1987) the Navy had no training site with the responsibility for training all hands in CW common skills. During recruit training, a 3-hour period is devoted to chemical defense familiarization, but it does not cover training of CW common skills. Subsequent to recruit training, the vast majority of Navy personnel receive no further formal CW training. Certain ratings received additional training and there is a 5-day Basic CBR Defense Course which has the equivalent of 1.7 days of chemical defense-related training. The input for this course in FY1986 was 2,382 (Knapp and Orlansky, 1987, p. 20).

According to the report by Moskal, Driskell, and Carson (1987), Navy enlisted personnel do not possess the knowledge of CW basic defense procedures needed to perform common survival skills. With 285,000 Navy combat personnel in 1987, the throughput for the Basic CBR Defense Course would have to be increased by 100-fold over the FY1986 input to provide CW common skills training for Navy combat personnel in 1 year. Without this knowledge among its enlisted personnel, one must conclude that the Navy would be unable to accomplish its assigned mission under chemical defense conditions. A significant deficiency appears to exist in the state of readiness for a chemical attack against U.S. Navy ships.

The growing availability of networked simulators, such as SIMNET, provides an opportunity to conduct battalion-level, two-sided engagements under experimental conditions (Orlansky and Thorpe, 1991). Thus, it now becomes possible to collect reliable data on performance in simulated combat arms exercises, wearing CW-protective combat clothing, that can significantly extend what is now known reliably only in laboratory studies. Since networked simulation provides such data as weapons killed and exchange ratios used in most combat models, one should be able to estimate more precisely the military value (and/or penalty) attributable to wearing CW-protective combat clothing and of training to achieve maximum benefit of its use.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the studies reviewed in this paper, the following conclusions are warranted:

- Heat stress, due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing, seriously degrades human performance. This finding is supported by many studies reviewed in this paper and in previous papers by Taylor and Orlansky (1986, 1987). Under some conditions, performance will degrade significantly within 1 hour. Endurance can be extended, if ambient work-place temperatures are not severe, by enforced drinking of water and by frequent rest periods. Heat stress effects have been modeled by Goldman and associates at the U.S. Army Institute for Environmental Medicine (USARIEM). The model accurately predicts (± 10 percent) the human metabolic heat production of soldiers when revel of encapsulation, environment, physical condition of troops, combat mission (including duration), physical activity and work/rest cycles, can be accurately specified.
- 2. Even when heat stress is not a significant factor, the performance of many combat and combat support tasks in all combat arms is degraded when CW-protective combat clothing is worn. This degradation is due to reduced manual dexterity, reduced vision, reduced communication, respiratory stress, and psychological stress. This finding is supported by a number of studies reviewed in the present paper as well as previous reviews by Taylor and Orlansky (1986, 1987).
- 3. Field studies on endurance of combat crews in armor, mechanized infantry, artillery, and aviation operating in CW-protective combat clothing and involved in continuous operations have indicated that crews will have difficulty sustaining effective combat operations even when heat exhaustion is not a significant factor. In most of the field studies many crews of combat units have become operationally ineffective due to voluntary withdrawal of individual crewmembers from the test.
- 4. During a combined arms exercise, the duration of battle was increased 82 percent and the loss-exchange ratio (killed attackers/killed defenders) was increased by 66 percent, from 2.40 in the baseline condition to 3.99 in the CW environment. This loss-exchange ratio is unacceptable according to current Army doctrine.

- 5. The detection of targets, the engagement times and the accuracy of fire are significantly degraded when CW-protective combat clothing is worn. This finding is substantiated by a number of studies reviewed in this paper including combined arms exercises, and continuous operations field trials. In a number of studies involving armor crews, increased target engagement times are a linear function of time of operations in MOPP 4.
- 6. Command and control, and communications are significantly degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing. This finding has been substantiated in recent combined arms exercises.
- 7. Wearing CW-protective combat clothing results in a significant and important increase in serious dehydration. During hot conditions dehydration will occur within 1 hour or less when the individuals are engaged in physical activity, so enforced drinking is mandatory for health maintenance.
- 8. Manual dexterity tasks requiring both gross and fine motor skills are significantly degraded by wearing CW-protective combat clothing (masks and gloves). This finding is substantiated by studies involving disassembly/ assembly of small arms and involving a substantial number of maintenance tasks. The use of 7-mil or 14-mil gloves instead of the standard 22-mil glove provides better tactility and finger dexterity, but these thinner gloves appear to be more prone to compromise.
- 9. Wearing CW-protective combat clothing produces a variety of psychological effects including increased symptom intensity and general deteriation of mood. These findings are supported by a number of laboratory and field studies.
- 10. Recent laboratory studies suggest differences between males and females in physiological tolerance to heat stress with female tolerance being lower, but this finding has not been established incontrovertibly.
- 11. The studies reviewed previously (Taylor and Orlansky, 1987) and in the current paper clearly indicated that the amount of performance degradation due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing varies widely with the combat, combat support, or combat service support task to be performed. A general finding, however, was a significant performance degradation of individual and unit performance.
- 12. With training in CW-protective combat clothing, individuals and crews learn to modify procedures and consequently reduce the negative effects of wearing CW-protective combat clothing when heat stress is not a significant factor. A number of otudies has indicated that training in CW-protective combat clothing can reduce the amount of performance decrement of individuals and crews performing combat, combat support, and combat service support tasks.

- 13. In a simulated CW-combined arms exercise the amount of prior CW-defense training of the platoon leader was an important variable affecting overall platoon performance even when other platoon members had little CW-defense training.
- 14. Many studies reviewed in this and previous papers (Taylor and Orlansky, 1986, 1987) have emphasized the need for increased training in the use of CW-protective combat clothing. Only one study of the 21 reviewed by Taylor and Orlansky (1987) was designed to quantify the benefits of training under simulated CW conditions.
- 15. An objective of a number of field studies reviewed in the present paper was to determine the impact of training in MOPP 4 on reducing the performance decrements caused by wearing CW-protective combat clothing. These studies failed to achieve this objective since none established stable baseline performance in the battle dress uniform prior to determining performance decrements due to wearing CW-protective combat clothing. In addition, none of the teams and/or individuals was well trained in the tasks to be evaluated. Consequently, performance improved with repeated practice for both BDU and MOPP 4 trials.
- 16. For a number of studies reviewed, meaningful comparisons between BDU and MOPP 4 could not be made due to lack of data, an insufficient number of subjects, or poor experimental design.
- 17. A growing body of evidence indicates that there is inadequate training in the use of CW-protective combat clothing. This is supported by a review by Knapp and Orlansky (1987) and a Navy survey conducted by Moskal, Driskell and Carson (1987). The latter report concludes that a critical need exists for further training of CW common skills, i.e., the skills that all personnel need to know to protect themselves under CW conditions.
- 18. The growing availability of networked simulators, such as SIMNET, provides an opportunity to conduct battalion-level, two-sided engagements under experimental conditions. Thus, it now becomes possible to collect reliable data on performance in simulated, combat arms exercises, wearing CW-protective combat clothing, that can significantly extend what is now known reliably only in laboratory studies. Since networked simulation provides such data as weapons killed and exchange ratios used in most combat models, we should be able to estimate more precisely the military value (and/or penalty) attributable to wearing CW-protective combat clothing and of training to achieve maximum benefit of its use.

K

VI. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the studies reviewed and on the conclusions, the following recommendations are made:

- In 1987, Taylor and Orlansky recommended "since only one study, the Grand Plot III field trial was designed to measure the amount of performance improvement due to training, it is recommended that future studies and field trials directly investigate the benefits of training. It is important to develop a more extensive documentation of the effects of training on mission effectiveness in simulated CW environments" (Taylor and Orlansky, 1987, pp. V-1). While a number of recent studies have had as an objective to determine the effects of training on improving individual and crew effectiveness in CW environments, methodological problems have limited the effectiveness of these studies. Consequently, this recommendation remains valid.
- 2. For studies designed to determine the effects of training on improving individual and crew effectiveness in CW environments, the individuals and crews should be well trained in the tasks to be performed. A stable baseline (in BDU) should be established prior to the introduction of CW-protective combat clothing (MOPP 4). Enough subjects should be used or trials conducted to permit statistical comparisons between baseline and experimental conditions, and to permit inferential statistics with enough "power" to enable the results to be used with confidence. After introducing the experimental condition, enough trials should be conducted in CW-protective combat clothing to determine the effect of training in MOPP 4.
- 3. Performance decrements due to severe conditions are unavoidable but their impact can be delayed as well as reduced by appropriate training. The Desert Storm experience, not examined in this study, can provide important data on the performance of troops wearing CW-protective clothing on their arrival in theater and the effect of training in improving their performance. We strongly urge that the lessons learned there about the effect of wearing CW-protective clothing and the effectiveness of training in its use be carefully reviewed.
- 4. The experimental data comparing male and female differences in performance to heat stress while wearing CW-protective combat clothing is extremely limited. In view of the increased numbers of females involved in combat support and combat service support roles and the potential of females being involved in combat situations in which a substantial CW threat exists, this area warrants increased research emphasis.

REFERENCES

AF Regulation 355-8, Disaster Prepardness Mission-Oriented Protective Postures, May 1987.

Banderet, L.E., Memorandum to: Chief Military Performance and Neuroscience Division, USARIEM, Natick, MA, Subject: Review of Institute for Defense Analyses Report, Attachment to letter to J. Orlansky from M.Z. Mays, May 24, 1991a.

Banderet, L.E., "Varied Approaches to Assessing the Effects of the Chemical Protective Ensemble," *Proceedings of the 33rd Military Testing Association*, San Antonio, TX, 1991b (In press).

Barba, C.A., Stamper, D.A., Penetar, D.M., and Molchany, J.W., The Effects of the M17A2 Protective Mask on Human Pursuit Tracking Performance, LAIR Institute Report No. 250; Letterman Army Institute of Research, Presidio of San Francisco, CA, November 1987.

Barnes, J.A., Hanlon, W.E., Harrah, D.M., and Merkey, R.P., Human Factors Development Test (DTII) of the XM30 Protective Mask Series, HEL Technical Memorandum 4-83, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, March 1983.

Barnes, J.A., Bruno, R.S., Hanlon, W.E., Harrah, D.M., Hickey, C.A., Merkey, R.P., Randall, R.B., and Shoemaker, C.M., XM30 Engineering Design Test-Government (Human Factors), Technical Memorandum 5-83, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1983.

Barry, A.A., Stack, G.B., Henry, B.C., Enright, J.J., and Welch, D.C., Final Report: Troop Performance Degradation in Mission Oriented Protective Posture Level 4, Army Operations I, DPG-FR-86-909, U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, UT, June 1987.

Berlin, H.M., Stroschein, L., and Goldman, R.F., A Computer Program to Predict Energy Costs, Rectal Temperature, and Heart Rate Response to Work, Clothing, and Environment, ED-SP-75011, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, 1975.

Blewett, W.K. "Field Studies: Assessing How Well Military Personnel Perform Their MOS in MOPP 4," *Proceedings of the 33rd Military Testing Association*, San Antonio, TX, 1991 (In Press).

Brooks, F.R., Ebner, D.G., Xenakis, S.N., and Balson, P.M., "Psychological Reactions During Chemical Warfare Training," *Military Medicine*, 1983, 148, pp. 232-235.

Brunc, R.S., Speech Intelligibility Evaluation of the XM-29 Chemical-Biological Protective Mask and Hood, Technical Memorandum 10-79; U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1979.

Cadarette, B.S., Memorandum for Chief, Military Performance and Neuroscience Division, SGRD-UE-EMT, USARIEM, Natick, MA, April, 17 1991, attachment to letter to J. Orlansky from M.Z. Mays, May 24, 1991.

Carr, J.L., The Effects of the Use of CB Decontamination Equipment and Procedures on Military Performance, Technical Memorandum 11-82, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, June 1982.

Carr, J.L., Corona, B.M., and Jackson, S.E., The Effects of the Use of CB Decontamination Equipment and Procedures on Military Performance: A Preliminary Review, Technical Memorandum 1-81, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, January 1981.

Carr, J.L., Corona, B.M., Jackson, S.E., and Bachovchin, V.L., The Effects of Chemical Biological Clothing and Equipment on U.S. Army Soldiers' Performance: A Critical Review of the Literature (A Preliminary Survey), Technical Memorandum 12-80, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, July 1, 1980.

Carr, J.L., Kershner, R.L., Corona, B.M., and Jackson, S.E., The Effects of CB Clothing and Equipment on U.S. Army Soldiers' Performance: A Critical Assessment of Performance Testing, Technical Memorandum 25-80, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, November 1980.

Carson, R., Moskal, P.J., and White, V.T., Amphibious Shipboard Task Degradation Attributable to Chemical, Biological and Radiological Defense (CBR-D) Gear, Naval Training Systems Center Technical Report 89-013, Orlando, FL, April 1989.

Carter, B.J., and Cammermeyer, M., "Biopsychological Responses of Medical Unit Personnel Wearing Chemical Defense Ensemble in a Simulated Chemical Warfare Environment," *Military Medicine*, 150, 1985a, pp. 239-249.

Carter, B.J., and Cammermeyer, M., "Emergence of Real Casualties During Simulated Chemical Warfare Training Under High Heat Conditions," *Military Medicine*, 1985b, 150, pp. 657-665.

Carter, B.J., and Cammermeyer, M., "Human Responses to Simulated Chemical Warfare Training in U.S. Army Reserve Personnel," *Military Medicine*, 1989, 154, pp. 281-288.

Davis, E.G., Wick, C.H., Salvi, L., and Kash, H.M., Soldier Performance of Military Operational Tasks Conducted While Wearing Chemical Individual Protective Equipment (IPE): Data Analysis in Support of the Revision of the U.S. Army Field Manual on NBC Protection (FM 3-4), Technical Report BRL-TR-3155, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, December 1990.

Draper, E.S., and Lombardi, J.J., Combined Arms in a Nuclear/Chemical Environment Force Development Testing and Experimentation, CANE FDTE Summary Evaluation Report, Phase I, U.S. Army Chemical School, Fort McClellan, AL, 1986. Driskell, J.E., Carson, R., Moskal, P.J., Stress and Human Performance Final Report, NAVTRASYSCEN TR86-022, Naval Training Systems Center, Orlando, FL, December 1986.

Eifried, G., GRAND PLOT III Evaluation Report, 76-GP III-002. U.S. Army Ordnance Center and School, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, September 1976 (Secret-NFD).

Ellis, S.L., Elliot, M., Johnson, M.A., Pimental, N.A., Rauch, T.M., and Smith, H.R., Concept Evaluation of MIEI NBC 72-Hour Test, U.S. Army Armor and Engineer Board, TRADOC TRMS No. 4-CEP195 (AD-B1001557), Fort Knox, KY, January 1986.

Fine, B.J., The Effect of Heat and Chemical Protective Clothing on the Ability of a Group of Female Soldiers to Sustain Performance of Military Cognitive Tasks, USARIEM Report T7-88, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, December 1987.

Fine, B.J., Effects of Mild-to-Moderate Ambient Cold and Chemical Protective Clothing (MOPP-IV) on Cognitive Performance of Male and Female Soldiers: An Addendum to Technical Report T11-85 and T7-88, USARIEM Report No. T10-90, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, July 1990.

Fine, B.J., and Kobrick, J.L., "Effect of Heat and Chemical Protective Clothing on Cognitive Performance," Avia. Space Environ. Med., 1987; 58, 149-154. Also published as: Fine, B.J., and Kobrick, J.L., Assessment of the Effects of Heat and NBC Protective Clothing on Performance of Critical Military Tasks, USARIEM Report No. T11-85, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, June 1985.

FM 21-40, C1 NBC Defense, Headquarters, Department of the Army, Washington, DC, 14 October 1977.

Foster, T.E., Adams, C., Williamson, R.J., and Nowicki, J.E., Customer Test of the XM-43 Aircrew Protective Mask Cockpit Compatibility, Final Corrected Letter Report, TRADOC.TRMSNO 86-0000720 (AD-B105334), U.S. Army Aviation Board, Ft. Rucker, AL, 1986.

Garrison, J.M., Knudsen, D.R., and Waskom, W.M., Shipboard Chemical Warfare Training Exercise, NSWC TR82-431, Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren, VA, December 1982.

Gawron, V.J., Lloyd, M.M., and Travole, D.J., "Predicting Human Performance in the Chemical Threat Environment," *Proceedings of the American Defense Preparedness* Associations. Amphibians Operations in a Chemical Environment, Naval Training Center, San Diego, CA, 15-17 April 1986.

Glumm, M.M., Physiological and Psychological Effects of the NBC Environment and Sustained Operations on Systems in Combat (P²NBC²): Tank Systems Climate-Controlled Trials (IRONMAN), U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Technical Memorandum 9-88, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, December 1988.

Goldman, R.F., Environment, Clothing and Personal Equipment, and Military Operations, Army Science Conference, Vol. I, 371-384, U.S. Military Academy, West Point, NY, August 1974. Goldman, R.F., Production of Heat Strain Revisited, 1979-1980, Proceedings: NIOSH Workshop on the Heat Stress Standard, Cincinnati, OH, September 1979.

Goldman, R.F., and Staff of the Military Ergonomics Division, USARIEM, CW Protective Clothing: the Nature of its Performance Degradation and Some Partial Solutions, Presented at 13th Commonwealth Conference on Operational Combat Clothing and Equipment, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, October 1981.

Goldman, R.F., and Staff of the U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, "Microclimate Cooling for Combat Vehicle Crewmen," *Proceedings: Army Science Conference, U.S. Military Academy*, West Point, NY, 1982.

Gonzalez, R.R., and Stroschein, L.A., "Computer Modeling: Predicting the Soldier's Heat Transfer and Work Capabilities in MOPP," *Proceedings of the 33rd Military Testing Association*, San Antonio, TX, 1991 (In Press).

Grether, W.F., "Human Performance at Evaluated Environmental Temperatures," Aerospace Medicine, 1973, 44(b), pp. 747-755.

Hala, S.F., Chevalier, J.R., and Unfried, K.A., *The Chemical Defense Data Base User's Guide*, Armstrong Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, June 1986.

Hamilton, B.E., Folds, D., and Simmons, R.R., Performance Impact of Current United States and United Kingdom Aircrew Chemical Defense Ensembles, USAARL Report No. 82-9, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, AL, September 1982.

Hamilton, B.E., Simmons, R.R., and Kimball, K.A., *Psychological Effects of Chemical Defense Ensemble Imposed Heat Stress on Army Aviators*, U.S. AARL Report No. 83-6, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, AL, November 1982.

Hamilton, B.E., and Zapata, L., Psychological Measurements During the Wear of the U.S. Aircrew Chemical Defense Ensemble, USAARL Report No. 83-7, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, AL, February 1983.

Hanlon, W.E., Jones, R.D., and Merkey, R.P., Preliminary Assessment of the Effects of CB Protective Ensembles MOPP IV Posture on the Performance of Sedentary and Moderately Active Soldiers in a Tropical Environment, Technical Note 5-82, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1982.

Harrah, D.M., Binocular Scanning Performance for Soldiers Wearing Protective Masks, Technical Memorandum 3-84, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1984a.

Harrah, D.M., Wear Evaluation II of Prototype Chemical Protective Overgarments, Technical Note 4-84, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1984b.

Harrah, D.M., Binocular Scanning Performance for Soldiers Wearing Protective Masks-II, Technical Memorandum 14-85, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, September 1985. Headley, D.B., "Effects of Atropine Sulfate and Parlidoxizine Chloride on Visual, Physiological, Performance, Subjective, and Cognitive Variables in Man: A Review," *Military Medicine*, Vol. 147, 1982, pp. 122-132.

Headley, D.B., Brecht-Clark, J., and Whittenberg, J.A., Continuous Operations of Artillery Crews in NBC and Non-NBC Environments, Army Science Conference, Fort Monroe, VA, 1988a.

Headley, D.B., Brecht-Clark, J., Feng, T.D., and Whittenburg, J.A., The Effects of the Chemical Defense Ensemble and Extended Operations on Performance and Endurance of Combat Vehicle Crews, Technical Report 811, U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences, September, 1988b.

Hinch, J.H., *Performance of Airbase Personnel in Chemical Protective Clothing*, Tactical Support Division, ALS/SA, United States Air Force Assistant Chief of Staff, Studies and Analysis, November 1982.

Johnson, R.F., "Rifle Firing Simulation: Effects of MOPP, Heat and Medications on Marksmanship," *Proceedings of the 33rd Military Testing Association*, San Antonio, TX, 1991 (In Press).

Johnson, R.F., McMenemy, D.J., and Dauphinee, D.T., "Rifle Marksmanship with Three Types of Combat Clothing," *Proceedings of the Human Factors Society; 34th* Annual Meeting, 1986, pp. 1529-1532.

Johnson, R.F., and Sleeper, L.A., "Effects of Thermal Stress and Chemical Protective Clothing on Speech Intelligibility," *Proceedings of the Human Factors Society*, 29th Annual Meeting, 1985, pp. 541-545.

Johnson, R.F., and Sleeper, L.A., "Effects of Chemical Protective Handware and Headwear on Manual Dexterity," *Proceedings of the Human Factors Society; 30th Annual Meeting*, 1986, pp. 994-997.

King, J.M., and Frelin, A.J., "Impact of the Chemical Protective Ensemble on the Performance of Basic Medical Tasks," *Military Medicine*, 149, 1984, pp. 496-501.

Knapp, M.I., and Orlansky, J., with contributions by Apple, J.G., and Ferguson, J.T., Sr., *Chemical Warfare Defensive Training in the U.S. Armed Forces*, IDA Paper P-2045, Institute for Defense Analyses, Alexandria, VA, December 1987.

Knox, F.S., Mitchell, G.W., Edwards, R.R., and Sanders, M.G., Results of *Physiological Monitoring from the 1985 P²NBC² Tests at Fort Sill, Oklahoma*, USAARL Report No. 89-14, United States Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, AL, July 1989.

Knox, F.S., III, Simmons, R., Christiansen, R., and Siering, G., Results of *Physiological Monitoring from the 1985 P²NBC² Test at Fort Knox, Kentucky*, USAARL Report No. 87-6, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, AL, April 1987.

Kobrick, J.L., Johnson, R.F., and McMenemy, D.J., Nerve Agent Antidotes and Heat Exposure: Summary of Effects on Task Performance of Soldiers Wearing BDU and MOPP-IV Clothing Systems, USARIEM Report No. T1-89, U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, July 1988. Also reported as: "Subjective Reactions to Atropine/2-PAM Chloride and Heat While in Battle Dress Uniform and in Chemical Protective Clothing," Military Psychology, 2, 1990a, pp. 95-111. Also reported as "Effects of Nerve Agent Antidote and Heat Exposure on Soldier Performance in the BDU and MOPP-IV Ensembles," Military Medicine, 155, 1990b, p. 159-162.

Kobrick, J.L., and Sleeper, L.A., "Effect of Wearing Chemical Protective Clothing in the Heat on Signal Detection Over the Visual Field," Avia. Space Environ. Med., 57, 1986, pp. 144-148.

Kobrick, J.L., and Fine, B.J., "Climate and Human Performance," In: Oborne, D.J., and Gruneberg, M.M., Eds., *Psychology and Productivity At Work: The Physical Environment*, London, Wiley, 1983, pp. 69-107.

Lussier, J.W., and Fallesen, J.J., Operation of the Tactical Computer Terminal in Mission Oriented Protective Posture 4 Clothing, ARI Field Unit Report, Fort Leavenworth, KS, May 1987.

Merkey, R.P., and Harrah, D.M., *Rifle Firing Performance with Three Prototype XM40 Protective Masks*, HEL Technical Memorandum 5-86, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, July 1986.

Mills, R.G., Meyer, E.G., and Dunlosky, A.N., A Chemical Defense (CD) Benchmark for Human Performance Assignment, TM-HU-577/000/00, System Development Corporation in Coordination Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH, October 1983.

Mitchell, G., Knox, F.S., III, Edwards, R., Schrimsher, A., Siering, G., Stone, L., and Taylor, P., *Microclimate Cooling and the Aircrew Chemical Defense Ensemble*, USAARL Report No. 86-12, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, AL, May 1986.

Mitchell, G.W., Knox, F.S., III, and Wehrly, D.J., Results of Physiological Monitoring from the 1985 P2NBC2 Tests at Ft. Benning, Georgia, USAARL Report No. 87-5, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Ft. Rucker, Al, April 1987.

Montgomery, J.R., Maintenance Operations in a Mission-Oriented Protective Posture, DPG/TA-88103 (ADB 118294), U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, UT, September 1987.

Morrissey, J.A., and Wick, C.H., Armor Operations in Mission Oriented Protective Posture IV (MOPP IV), Technical Report BRL-TR-2990, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, April, 1989a.

Morrissey, J.A., and Wick, C.H., Degradation of Hawk Air Defense Units Operating in a Hot/Humid Chemical Environment, Technical Report BRL-TR-3053, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, November, 1989b.

Morrissey, J.A., and Wick, C.H., Degradation of Hawk Assault Fire Unit (AFU) Operating in a Chemical Environment, Technical Report BRL-TR-3057, Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, December, 1989c.

Moskal, P.J., Driskell, J.E., Carson, R., Preliminary Assessment of the Navy's Chemical, Biological, and Radiological Defense Common Skills, NAVTRASYSCEN TR87-031, Naval Training Systems Center, Orlando, FL, November 1987.

Mozo, B.T., and Peters, L.J., Effects of Chemical Protective and Oxygen Masks on Attenuation and Intelligibility When Worn With the SPH-4 Helmet, USAARL Report No. 84-5, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, AL, March 1984.

Munro, I., Rauch, T.M., Banderet, L.E., Lussier, A.R., Tharion, W.J., and Shukitt, B.C., *Psychological Effects of Sustained Operations in a Simulated NBC Environment on M1 Tank Crews*, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Report No. T26-87, Natick, MA, July 1987.

Munro, I., Rauch, T.M., Tharion, W., Banderet, L.E., Lussier, A.R., and Shukitt, B., Factors Limiting Endurance of Armor, Artillery, and Infantry Units Under Simulated NBC Conditions, Army Science Conference, West Point, NY, June 1986.

Nelson, W.R., and Mozo, B.T., Effects of XM-40 Chemical Protective Mask on Peal-Ear Attenuation and Speech Intelligibility Characteristics of the SPH-4 Aviator Helmet, USAARL Report No. 85-2, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory, Fort Rucker, AL, February 1985.

Nunneley, S.A., "Physiological Responses of Women to Thermal Stress: A Review," *Medicine and Science in Sports*, 10, 1978, pp. 250-255.

Nunneley, S.A., "Design and Evaluation of Clothing for Protection from Heat Stress: An Overview," *Environmental Ergonomics*, Makjovic, I., Banister, E., and Morrison, J., Eds., 1987, London, Taylor and Francis, pp. 89-98.

Nunneley, S.A., "Heat Stress in Protective Clothing: Interactions Among Physical and Physiological Factors," Scand. J. Work Environ. Health, 1989, 15 (Suppl. 1), pp. 52-57.

Nunneley, S.A., Antunano, M.J., and Bromalosky, S.H., Skin-Core Temperature Convergence Often Well Tolerated, Fourth International Conference on Environmental Ergonomics, 1990 (In Press), pp. 136-137.

Orlansky, J., and Thorpe, J., "SIMNET - An Engagement Training System for Tactical Warfare," Journal of Defense Research, 20, 1991, pp. 774-783.

Pandolf, K.B., and Goldman, R.F., "Convergence of Skin and Rectal Temperatures as a Criterion for Heat Tolerance," Aviation, Space and Environmental Medicine, 49, 1978, pp. 1095-1101.

Pandolf, K.B., Stroschein, L.A., Drolet, L.L., Gonzalez, R.R., and Sawka, M.N., "Prediction Modeling of Physiological Responses and Human Performance in the Heat," *Comput. Biol. Med.*, 6:319-329, 1986. Parker, D.T., and Stearman, R.L., Troop Performance Degradation in Mission-Oriented Protective Posture Level 4, Hawk Missile Operations, DPG/TA-88/04, U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, UT, December 1987.

Parker, D.T., Stearman, R.L., and Montgomery, J.R., Assessment of Performance of Tasks by Personnel Dressed in Chemical Protective Clothing, DPG/TA-87/15, U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, UT, June 1987.

Peterson, H.L., Test on the Use of the Approved Report of Innovative TA-954(V) 11TT with Digital Non-Secure Voice Terminal, and Without MOPP Gear, (TRADOC TRMS No. 861500022), Letter Report, Headquarters U.S. Army Communications Electronics Board, Ft. Gordon, GA, 1986.

Project Team I, U.S. Army Combat Developments Experimental Command and Braddock, Dunn and McDonald, Scientific Support Laboratory, *Grand Plot III (Vol. 1) Final Report*, Exp FC 021 Final Report Vol 1, U.S. Army Combat Developments Experimental Command, Fort Ord, CA, March 1975 (CONFIDENTIAL).

Rakaczky, J.A., The Effect of Chemical Protective Clothing and Equipment on Combat Efficiency, Technical Report #313 (AD A108575), U.S. Army Material Systems Analysis Activity, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, November 1981.

Ramachandran, C.K., and Montgomery, J.R., Night Reconnaissance Operations in a Mission-Oriented Protective Pasture, Technical Report DPGTA-87/22, U.S. Army Dugway Proving Grounds, Dugway, UT, November 1987.

Rauch, T.M., Witt, C., Banderet, L.E., Tauson, R., and Golden, M., The Effects of Wearing Chemical Protective Clothing on Cognitive Problem Solving, USARIEM Report No. T18/86, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, October 1986.

Rauch, T.M., Banderet, L.E., Tharion, W.J., Munro, I., Lussier, A.R., and Shukitt, B., Factors Influencing the Sustained Performance Capabilities of 155 MM Howitzer Sections in Simulated Conventional and Chemical Warfare Environments, USARIEM Report No. T11-86, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, April 1986.

Rauch, T.M., Munro, I., Tharion, W., and Banderet, L.E., "Subjective Symptoms, Human Endurance, and Cognitive Interventions," *Proceedings Psychology in the* Department of Defense, USAFA-TR-86-1, Colorado Springs, CO, April 1986.

Rauch, T.M., and Tharlon, W., The Effects of Wearing the Chemical Protective Mask and Gloves on Cognitive Problem Solving, USARIEM Report T 20-87, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, May 1987.

Redmond, D.F., Leu, J.R., Popp, K.A., Hall, S.W., Galinsky, C., and Gutierrez, P.E., "Medical Monitoring: Assessment of Physiological Changes in Military Personnel During Field Studies," *Proceedings of the 33rd Military Testing Association*, San Antonio, TX, 1991 (In Press). Shapiro, Y., Pandolf, K.B., Avellini, B.A., Pimental, N.A., and Goldman, R.F., "Heat Balance and Transfer in Men and Women Exercising in Hot-Dry and Hot-Wet Conditions," *Ergonomics*, 24, 1981, pp. 375-386.

Shipton, D.L., Beilstein, K.R., Chenzoff, A.P., Pitzer, R.L., and Joyce, R.P., Effects of Chemical Warfare Defense On Airbase Maintenance Operations/Phase II Report, AFHRL-TR-87-32 (AD B118843), Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH, 1988.

Shipton, D.L., Chenzoff, A.P., Joyce, L.P., Deibel, A.E., and Weimer, R.E., Effects of Chemical Warfare Defense on Airbase Maintenance Operations - Phase III Report, AFHRL-TP-88-18, Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH, 1988.

Stack, G.B., and Sager, H.W., Troop Performance Degradation in Mission Oriented Protective Posture Level 4, Communication Operation, DPG-FR-88-701, U.S. Army Dugway Proving Ground, Dugway, UT, January 1988.

Taylor, H.L., and Orlansky, J., The Effects on Human Performance Due to Wearing Protective Clothing for Chemical Warfare: A Review of Studies Conducted by TTCP Countries (U), TTCP Ad Hoc Study Group 108, The Technical Cooperation Program, July 1986 (CONFIDENTIAL).

Taylor, H.L., and Orlansky, J., The Effects on Human Performance Due to Wearing Protective Clothing for Chemical Warfare: Implications for Training (U), IDA Paper P-2026, Institute for Defense Analyses, December 1987 (CONFIDENTIAL).

Taylor, H.L., and Orlansky, J., "Discussion: Approaches Which Assess the Consequences of Wearing the Chemical Protective Ensemble," *Proceedings of the 33rd Military Testing Association*, San Antonio, TX, 1991 (In Press).

Tharion, W.J., Rauch, T.M., Munro, I., Lussier, A.R., Banderet, L.E., and Shukitt, B., *Psychological Factors Which Limit the Endurance Capabilities of Armor Crews Operating in a Simulated NBC Environment*, USARIEM Report No. T14-86, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, May 1986.

Thornton, R. and Caldwell, J.L., "Effects of NBC Protective Equipment Upon UH-60 Pilot Performance," *Proceedings of the 33rd Military Testing Association*, San Antonio, TX, 1991 (In Press).

Toner, M.M., White, R.E., and Goldman, R.F., Thermal Stress Inside the XM-1 Tank During Operations in an NBC Environment and Its Potential Alleviation by Auxiliary Cooling, USARIEM Technical Report T4/81, U.S. Army Research Institute for Environmental Medicine, Natick, MA, 1981.

USACACDA FC50-12 Extended Operations in Contaminated Areas, U.S. Army Combined Arms Combat Development Activity, March 1986.

U.S. Army Combat Developments Experimentation Command, Chemical Warfare Protection Posture Performance Test (CWP 3) Letter Report, U.S. Army Combat Developments Experimentation Command, Fort Ord, CA, January, 1981a. U.S. Army Combat Developments Experimentation Command, Mobility Through Contaminated Areas Test (MOCAT) Letter Report, United States Army Combat Developments Experimentation Command, Fort Ord, CA, January 1981b.

U.S. Army Combat Developments Experimentation Center, Combined Arms in a Nuclear/Chemical Environment Force Development Test and Experimentation (CANE FDTE) Phase I, Volume I, CDEC-TR-84-002A (AD B080684), Fort Ord, CA, January 1984.

Walker, H.M., and Lev, J., Statistical Inference, Holt, Rinchart, and Winston, New York, NY, 1953.

Waugh, J.D., and Kilduff, P.W., *Missile Component Repair While Wearing NBC Protective Clothing*, U.S. Army Human Engineering Laboratory, Technical Memorandum 1-84 (AD A137315), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1984.

Wick, C.H., Performance Estimates for Operations Conducted While Wearing Individual Protective Equipment: User Manual, Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3647, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, January 1988.

Wick, C.H., and Morrissey, J.A., Maintenance Operations in Mission Oriented Protective Posture Level IV (MOPP IV) Part II, Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3630, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, October 1987.

Wick, C.H., and Morrissey, J.A., Radio Teletype Operations in Mission Oriented Protective Posture, Technical Report BRL-TR-3046, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, October, 1989.

Wick, C.H., Morrissey, J.A., and Klopcic, J.T., Night Reconnaissance Operations in Mission Oriented Protective Posture, Memorandum Report BRL-MR-3628, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, October 1987.

Wissler, E.H., An Evaluation of Human Thermal Models: Workshop Report Part A, University of Texas at Austin, December 13-15, 1982.

APPENDIX A

MISSION DEGRADATION TROOP TEST

Source: Carr, Kreshner, Corona, and Jackson (1980)

ACCOUTADDA FlactionFLACTFLACTONFL	N.	Year	j	Mission	Temperature	Location	MOPP	Results
Under the state of the state	JACIFOT	1956 1	48 Indiate	Typical tasts	61-93 F	R. McCleffan	Fullopp	Shenuous activity cannot be performed in 2 layer CC-2 impegnated clothing, suit, glowes and hood without 40% casually rate from heat extraustion. With protonged wear, additional casualities come from friction and abrasion rashes.
Working (with the problem of th	(Including PROTECT 1)	19 2 9	Individuals and 2-man beens	10 : 11: 10: 10	84-100 F	R. McCullan	40M ki adu	There is no danger to health while wearing permeable protective ensemble unless safeguards are taken. Troops can perform in full protection if they pace themselves. Use of protective equipment degrades performance. Full protective outilit can be worn for 3 days if there are unprotected breaks for food, water, and efficientiation.
VCIMorrison <td>ROND VOL I Battalion</td> <td>1963</td> <td>Individual up to Mech BN</td> <td>Individual tests up to Mach BN opras (altect, defend, retrograde)</td> <td>Various WCBT ranges 30.90 F</td> <td>Rod</td> <td>Up to full MOPP</td> <td>Operating in a toxic environment for a protonged period results in progressive unit ineffectiveness; CB protective equipment itself produces no significant reduction in performance unit physical and mental degradation occur; stay-times (times a unit can remain in buck environment) vary with energy expenditure and climatic conditions; there is a need for a realistic toxic stimulant.</td>	ROND VOL I Battalion	19 63	Individual up to Mech BN	Individual tests up to Mach BN opras (altect, defend, retrograde)	Various WCBT ranges 30.90 F	Rod	Up to full MOPP	Operating in a toxic environment for a protonged period results in progressive unit ineffectiveness; CB protective equipment itself produces no significant reduction in performance unit physical and mental degradation occur; stay-times (times a unit can remain in buck environment) vary with energy expenditure and climatic conditions; there is a need for a realistic toxic stimulant.
WHUS65WHUSFortisTextorLUCHE16Contained and another	NOL I	Mech Hile S	Defend				APC	It is feasible to use APC for rest, CP, aid station.
Warticki96'ForusiReviewHow LottedReviewRe	SAMPLES	1961 13	Individuals (selected from 3 comparies)	Tactical exercises, assead, and bieouse		R. NcCialian	K ast	Improvement in use of masks is attainable by concentration on human factors such as leadership, motivation, and training.
HEUCE160HeinersoMaria HeuroHAUCPCensue for holds of experimentation6-109-10BenefineMaria HeuroBenefineBenefineBenefine to dependent version synthemic strategy operation indequents6-109-10BenefineSepart Set Ferdent Set Ferden	Interim Toxic Environment	1967	Forward observer and 7-man inf Bernert	Taget detection fire adjustment	Low 50's- High 90's F	Humber-Liggett	Mast, Hood, Glowes	Mask, hood, and gloves impair performance.
6-10166Landon <td>METOXE</td> <td>1969 1969</td> <td>Reinforced Mech Fille Co.</td> <td>Allact. defend, rehograde</td> <td>59-55 F</td> <td>R Cason</td> <td>FulmOPP</td> <td>Dismounted mobility degraded unacceptably, existing organization inadequate for CBR operation; doctrine, techniques, procedures for decontamination unacceptable; XMS1 streker effective but degraded unit effectiveness; there is need for realistic training agent.</td>	METOXE	1969 1969	Reinforced Mech Fille Co.	Allact. defend, rehograde	59-55 F	R Cason	FulmOPP	Dismounted mobility degraded unacceptably, existing organization inadequate for CBR operation; doctrine, techniques, procedures for decontamination unacceptable; XMS1 streker effective but degraded unit effectiveness; there is need for realistic training agent.
METORE1971MarteraneSupport TasksSo CFR MayFull MOPDepartation counts in proceeding under basic conditions.GWUD IO1975ManyWaches, andWOFMane-LippedMonotors in proceeding under basic conditions.GWUD IO1975ManyWaches, andWood IFMonotors in proceeding under basic conditions.GWUD IO1975ManyWaches, andWood IFMonotors in proceeding under basic conditions.UL WUD971TaskadBN TOC actions.Wan and dyRemainsUp to MaUL WUD971Careforons.Wan and dyRemainsUp to MaUp to MaUL WUD973Wan and dyUp to MaUp to MaUp to MaUp to MaUL WUD107	68-10	1969	Baltalion Landing Teams	Ampinitious Assault	82-89 F	kste de Vieques, P.R.	Fulmorp	Amphibious assault is not leasible in full MOPP in tropics.
Hum PIO 975 Interval events	METOXEI	1761	Maintanace Elements	Support Tasks	50-82 F	R. Riley	Full MOPP less overboots	Degradation occurs in representative maintenance tast; training is essential for performance in MOPP, protective glove unsuitable; well trained maintenance personnel can perform in protective clothing under toxic conditions.
LL WND 1971 Tactical EN TOC actions, Vaim and dy R Benning Use of MS1 WOPP degrades performance. MS1 provides satisfactory work environment for various Center (TOC) Poerations relocate to hot and bess vs. MI MOPP TOC. XM-29 1978 Helcopter Various WBGT Index R. A.P. Hi Mask Some degradation occurs; pilots prefer XM29. XH-15 Pilots Mask 70429, M29. (2029, M29) (2029, M29)	GRAND FLOT 111	57 01	Infantry squads; avators	Marches, attack defense, fire mission	WBGT range 70-84.9 F	Hunter-Liggett	Up to full MOPP	Degradation increases with MOPP .
XXI-29 1978 Helicopter Various WBGT Index FLA.P.Hill Mask Some degradation occurs; pilots prefer XX29. AH-15 Pilots Maneuvers 70-84.9.F (XXX9, M24)	CNUM TH	1977	Tactical Operations Center (TOC)	BN TOC actions, relocate	Warm and dry to hot and humid	R Berring	Use of M51 vs. full MOPP less overboots	MOPP degrades performance. MS1 provides salistactory work environment for TOC.
	XNI-29 Aht 15	8/61	Helicopter Pilots	Various Maneuwers	WBGT Index 70-84.9 F	R.AP.HI	Wask (poweg, wea)	Some degradation occurs; pilots prefer XM29.

"MOPP: Mission Oriented Protective Posture. Full MOPP

A-3

APPENDIX B

OPERATIONAL DEGRADATION DUE TO CHEMICAL PROTECTION IN FIELD TRIALS WITHOUT HEAT STRESS

Source: Goldman (1981)

	E	POWER		u	žom na krieto	쏊	Mob			Support	
dON.	_	=	3	_	=	≡	1	111	-	I	111
INFANTR	Y										
	Hou	nds per Hit		Messages u	pananen		Road march time		Time to get m		
M-14	54	59	8.6	4	•	9	•	727			270%
(3 6)	•	Ø	8	Time to inst	al wires		March rate		M-14 mainten		
14 60	õ	57	10.7	15.	ŝ		¥	Ŕ			ž
(96)	•	5	£	Cetting spa	cific man		Assault time		CBR recon		
82-31	53	30	1.0	12	ł	14 14 14		>100%	4 Añ		9.5 min.
(9 6)	ı	ę	38	Voice versu	s hand signal	.10	platbon leader	X.Y	Ymmo resupp	*	
							Fistman	1974	13 min. 307	-	ţ
							last man	102%			
ARKOR:	NB-MOP III a	diso required									
dosed in	f	I									
	Roun	with the main the		Target Iden	i Testor		Road march time	76	Boresight		1 min.
173	•		•	missed			March rate	13%		Combin	
N.A.	ı	ł	1005	-	7	8	Attack time	202	Gloves:	33%	
		2								*12	bading
5	3	0.3	0.6	13%	K e	121	Alack difficulty	XE	Mask Hood:	202	vision
	Zero II	nên gin		of hansmis	Succ				CBH suit:	222	Inoverners
	1	Í	3.0	I	ţ	19					
				duration of 1	Lansmissions						
				•	122	54					
ABTRLLE	BY:										
Time from (eceipt at FDC) to ballary ord	ž	In firing sec	fions		Last Unit		Filing sandba	5	
Area	•	12	137%	responses			across sp	192%	22 min	ŝ	ЗС
adjust											
Registra-	•	100%		XO 93	11%	24%	Clear hash	16	Wre splicing		
, io							position		55 min 77	5. 04	
Transfer	ı	Ner	ž	Accuracy			Enter-to-all-				
				> 95%		X 2	ready	31%			
Target Loc	alion										
F.O. id. to				F.O. id. be	and of mission	_					
	90 sec	114 sec	183 sec	27 min	1	40 min.					
	oed reper, br	dge building, o	Jenomon – N	SU; VOICE COIT	nadan shuku	0 2 Y 2 D					
		-	# OCH	Onterfine one	solution with a	in the head	hert hood NO		nadabel with ma	set thread	and almost

DEGRADATION DUE TO CHEMICAL PROTECTION IN FIELD TRIALS WITHOUT HEAT STRESS ŝ 3

MOP # Protective ensembles wen masus or gloves, and with all apertures open Normal contrat clothing.

5 MOP HE Fully encapsurated was mass, more any MOP HE corresponds to current MOPP 4.

B-3

APPENDIX C

EMPIRICAL STUDIES OF THE EFFECT OF CW-PROTECTIVE COMBAT CLOTHING ON PERFORMANCE

APPENDIX C-EMPHRICAL STUDIES OF THE EFFECT OF CW-PROTECTIVE COMBAT CLOTHING ON PERFORMANCE

0

- 1

. Lai

formes	Datper and Lombar5 (1986).
Cifical Comments	 (From Daques and London) 1. More instrumented data for 3 of 8 pitatoons tost. 2. The 3 to 1 attactue to defender ratio was not antimized. 3. Increationed. Data of questioned evaluators were used. Data of questioned evaluators were used. Data of questioned to guelly. 5. Weapones effects simulation not enfreity neekstic.
Fistings and Paccemendations	Comparison of Baseline (2001) with MOFF 4 (a) Cheve Constat, Navey. (b) Baseline suppresents decreased SPX: (c) Baseline suppresents decreased SPX: (c) Baseline suppresent decreased SPX: (c) Baseline suppresent decreased (c) Amountion expenditions (brigger path) decreased (c)X, (c) Amountion (c) (c) Amountion (c) Amountion expenditions (brigger path) decreased (c)X, (c) (c) Amountion (c) Amountion of thema (c)
Type of Mansures	 (a) Chose combe, interviewer, inter
Confirms of Chanvelore	April: Non Scenic Comparison d BDU (Beerley an MOFP 4. Extended and benchmind it shypthone approximation it shypthone approximation in the second and it shypthol banks using a series of boxto-on-force field the second and it shypthol banks in the second and it shypthol banks field the second and it shypthol bank at second and it shypthol contracts in the second and approximation decision and second approximation and the section and second approximation and second approximation and the section and second approximation and second approximation and second decision and second approximation and second ap
Protective Equipment	800 and A 100 to 1
Type of Smith	1. Constants Arms Flammary Hannary Plantant

Study	Wick and Monrissey (1987): Parker, Stearman and Mont- gomery (1987) and (1987). (1987).
Collical Comments	Traines and/or individuals were not well barined in the tasks to be performed. A stable performance basefine in BOU was not established prior to the introduction of MOPP 4. For some tasks, back of data permented statistical analysis. For nome of the tasks were enough triabs conducted after the introduction of MOPP 4 to determine the effect of training in MOCPP 4 althrough this was an objective of the field trial.
ų	Dematrical Soft 2016 Incl dema Soft 2016
Facings and Percensen	Idd I. HIRI MEDNO Tark Power Pact Freeword Internation I. Run Markon Hacking Besechbioch G. Rapair of MEON Machine Can G. Rapair of MEON Machine Transman Machine Can J. Rupair of FADAC Cloud
Type of Measure	
Confitment of Observationes	April: Non- Sciencia: Tana lar maintenano based to accomptend for BOU and MOCPP. A. The anticomment and applies MINOP Back prove pack: (1) secone and replace MINOP Back prove pack: (2) secone and replace MINOP Back Percention: (3) secone and replace MINOP Back Percention: (4) secone and replace Anti- secone and secone and secone propriete antiby operational in the appropriate antibility Back and July and May 1986. Contra model and the propriate antibility Back and July and May 1986. Contra model and the propriate antibility Back and July and May 1986. Contra model and antibility (MOS): Contra metabolic State for the section of MINOP 4. Addition of contrastion antibility Back (MOS): Contra metabolic State for the section of MINOP 4. Addition of contrastion antibility Back (MOS): Contra metabolic State for the section of MINOP 4. Addition of contrastion antibility Back (MOS): Contra metabolic State for the section of MINOP 4. Addition of contrastion and antibility Back (MOS): Contra metabolic State for the section of MINOP 4. Addition of contrastion antibility Back (MOS): Contra metabolic State for the section of the section of MINOP 4. Addition of contrastion and antibility Back (MOS): Contra metabolic State for the section of the section of MINOP 4. Addition of contrastion and antibility Back (MOS): Contra metabolic State for the section of the section of the section of the section
Type of Protection Continue Contract	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Type of Study	2. Faul Triat (Maintennos Trada)

i L' 1

Study	Rams. and Mont- wick, Worksey, Klopcic, (1987).
Critical Comments	Fallue to achieve statife performance on tright recommissions task prior to the use of MOPP 4 resulted in installiby to determine decrement due to MOPP 4. Design was also inadequate to determine the effect of training on reducing decrement to MOPP 4.
Findings and Peccemendations	The data forms the work hash were localized to profere stratical andyres. Learning to profere a stratical andyres and a the profere are best and adaptation to MOPP 4 confirme conserved on each of the three way. Due to the design, the results of using and adaptation each of the three the results by histily condition (DUU and MOPP 4) presented a meth employed to method that the dimension (DUU and MOPP 4) presented a meth employed the method for the dimension (DUU and MOPP 4) presented a meth employed to method that the dimension (DUU and MOPP 4) presented a method and the method for the dimension in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in MOPP 4 (amenge of inco present longer than in BOU. Preformance in BOU improved over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in MOPP 4 (amenge of inco method in the indicated the head build- op unsite in attord over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in MOPP 4 (amenge of inco method in the indicated the head build- op unsite in attord over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in MOPP 4 (amenge of inco method in the indicated the head build- protein in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kinds by attord (EXMut represent in the method over 3 kin
	e files e -1.
Type of Me	
and the state	Tarboort Tarboo
d Obervation Type of Mean	The bound BDU with MOCP is the formation of BDU with MOCP is the formation of the formation
Conditions of Observation Type of Mean	Martl. Name. Time to comparison of BOU with MOPP Sciencific Comparison of BOU with MOPP 4 during a simulated right ecconnication activity. Time to comparison (1) mathematication (1) mathematication (1) mathematication (1) mathematication (1) mathematication (2) and (2) and (2) and (2) (2) and (2) and (2) (3) and (2) and (2) (3) and (2) and (2) (4) a
Type of Protective Equipment Conting Conditions of Observation Type of Mean	EULerst Apert. Mone. There is comparison of BOU with MORPY & Semantic Comparison of BOU with MORPY 4 where # dering a simulated right recommission (1) mean # formation BOU with the mean factor (2) photo of a photo of

	Protective					
Tree of Study	Equipment Ciciling	Confilient of Observation	Tren of Measures	Findinges and Precommendations	Critical Comments	Study
A Feb Tial	BDU and	April: None.	(1) Temesto	Kristal Walls test	The subjects in the	Partier and
XMM	MOPP 4	الله من من من من من من المالية (A) من	grindlat state	indicated a significant	study were not trained to	Steaman
(agaza)		<u>אנהווניה.</u> לטקאומטנו ען המהמחונגי וו נוגלי מוע מערך דינו וונוגנים מנועום שו אוווי היידיניים (האמר 18-14 המנוימים 1- היינימילים לה 2012 מנויים ביווי ביווי	equipment and	difference in	a stable baseline prior to	(1967).
			the trials to	performance times for	testing in MOPP 4.	
		לימליקוקונים או אני אניסאמוניויי - ואמה מאוצ אי אנים מרכאוואוייי - גאוווי אוא רעוואל גראוויי	perform the tasks	34 di 42 tasis al	•	
		Environment	for each piece of	Chemy Point and 39 of		
		Vans 40. 70 f with some of 67 to 60 f. Al 60% (1) and manine designed to	aquipment:	42 at Yume. The		
			(a) Platoon	ANOVAs indicated a		
			Command Post.	significant difference		
		<u>Cherry Port</u> : Carly moring /0" F increasing to 90" F or above before accir; FH 100%	(b) High Power	related to (1)		
		early monetal conservation to out a by moon. Legisland	Reminator Radar,	conditions for 5 tasks		
		<u>Duzitos:</u> Three consecutive days.	(c) Continuous	at Chemy Point and 9		
			Wave Acquisition	tasks at Yuma;		
		<u>Validation</u> van meente exercise het under 3 escel from Terma (n = 20) end vanny from 	(radar).	(2) Units for 10 tasks		
		(N=Z).	(d) Laumcher,	at Chemy Point and 13		
		$\underline{0.cirr}$. The order of performing the operation for the three units at each site is us	(e) Putto	at Yumar (3) inter-		
		follows:	Acquisition Radar	action between		
			(42 hotal tasks).	conditions and units		
				for 22 tasks at Cherry		
			(2) lota lare d Oneration (not	Point and Yuma.		
		1 BOU MOPP4 MOPP4	cumulative time	"An examination of the		
			for each task).	interaction of the times		
			(1) (Tennetin of	to pedanti shours a		
		3 MOPP4 MOPP4 BDU	p internet	relationship between		
		Kurstaal Walls-1-S-sample test used to test for differences among the mine cells for	cquipment.	kingest lines and first		
		each of the 42 tasks conducted at each site. The howary analysis of variance		performance		
		(ANCVA) trummed a mixes of the remainsteal (and have condition). When Whitew U	(4) Ouestionnaire	regardless of		
		test used to compare means for statistically significant factors.	outpedar	conditions.		
			operating in			

-

Si ngh	Barry. Stack, Emight, and Welch (1907).
Critical Comments	No basefine in BOU was established. Two plateoms conducted the trials in BOU first and the third plateon conducted the trials in BOU for the third thial. Small data set.
Findings and Recommendations	Placens were alle to complete all tasks encept bounded overwatch and boresigning of rectime gan without fine degradation. Aufhors recommended that armor units should emphasize training under CN conditions.
Types of Measures	Time to complete 15 tasks in BOUF MOPP 4.
Conditions of Observation	Acert: None Scenaric: Conducted during a echeddid forming annotice. Comparison of mission performance in BOU (parentine) and MOPP 4 for: (1) planning and proportion for detense: (2) movement from assembly area to bettle positions; (3) energy engegment; and (4) consolidation. (3) energy engegment; and (4) consolidation. (5) endergy engegment; (5) endergy engegment; (5) endergy engegment; (6) endergy engegment; (7) e
Type of Protective Equipment/ Clothing	MOP 4
Yype of Study	5. Fadd Trief (Armon)

•

0

۲

•

.

Study	Stack and Sager (1988).
Califical Comments	A stable baseline was not established for performance in BDU. Consequently the effects of learning to perform the task are compounded with the effects of training in MOPP 4.
Findings and Recommendations	Cf the fixed tasks 4 were significantly degraded during the first day of MOFF 4. These are: (1) hextation and camouflage of radio withopenulae station: hextation tasks (1) hextation and camouflage of radio withopenulae station: hype word fists down camouflage red obgardation factor 1.3; (2) Communication: they would fist- degradation: factor 1.3; (3) Rebusine: factor 1.3; (3) Rebusine: factor 1.3; (4) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (5) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (4) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (5) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (5) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (6) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (7) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (3) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (4) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (5) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (6) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (1) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (2) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (3) Rebusine: factor 1.4; (4) Rebusine: factor
Type of Meesure	Time to complete traits.
Conditions of Observation	Acct: None Scenatic: During a schedded field training centrice, tarfical teams ont up and body down communication equipment and thy typed word field to an excerpt down communication equipment and the BOU and MOPP. The scenario consisted of three tests (1) install and camoulage a radio wertypenetry attack. (2) communications. (3) addression of the statica. (3) addression of the statica. (3) addression of the statica. (3) addression of the statica. (3) addression of the statica. (4) addression of the statica. (5) communications. (3) addression of the statica. (4) addression of the statica. (5) communications comparing, from the first and second Maxim Division. (5) addression of the to perform tests in ROUMOPP 4. Statical analysis used Maxm. Whileny U Test.
Type of Protective Equipment/ Cluthing	
Type of Study	6. Field Trial (Communica- tions) tions)

7

	Study	Ellis, Johnson, Primental, Rauch, and Smith (1996).	Same as IA
	Critical Commertits		
	Findings and Recommendations	Accuracy and allocided: tanget engagement times increased throughout the test (fineal); accurate information processing throughout test, significant decrement in speed after 7 thous; one crew completed the 24-hour period. Second crew terminated after 13.08 hours.	Target engagment some as M. neither crew tasted knger than 9 hours due to loader (7 hours and 9 hours respectively): accuracy on psychological tests maintained, speed decreased, significant difference after 24 hours.
	Type of Measures	Accuracy data mocordial via video cameras for gumery tastis; target engagement mess; speed and accuracy to process information. Observers.	Same as K
	Confitions of Observation	Arent: None Scenario: Work-rest cycle of 2 hours in MOPP 4 and 1 hour in ood emforment without MOPP 4. Work tasis were target magnement, decode message, idently whickes and withen psychological tests. Environment. Duration: 24 hours Subjects: Two three-person orevs Training: Unknown Design: Test endurance	Socitado: Same as M except rest period was eliminated and an ammunition loading exercise (63 rounds) added (al other conditions same as M)
Type of Protective	Clubrant Clubring	MOPP 4 and CVC hearer	Same as M
	Type of Stedy	7a. Fedd Tiad (Armon) Phase IA	8 85 1

Study	Same as K	Same as M
Cifical Communis	Small population size for statistical analysis.	
Fielding and Percenterchices	Taget acyclement lines increased throughout the last [parkmence deteriorded]. Functions we lines. Significant diffuences between two cast systems in house of larget acyclement frame (P < 005). A comparison between tark systems of one methor endommon indicated bet core members last a significantly large endomin frame in the MBMA (P < 02). The endomin frame is the MBMA (P < 02). The endomin gravitant loss frame, i.e., the frame fra- shift systems wave judged to be indicated wit systems wave judged to be indicated with systems frame it no MI or MDMA core plate MOPP 4. or mesk only) was able to complete 11 branes of the 2.4 betwee actions (P the indications and best rates wave stylety higher under MOPP 4. fram muck core while its operational frame for these of the six balant is operational frame for these of the six	The anarge endurance fines of the MHE1 (% CB hours) is significantly gender: than the energie ME0V3 finns; (7.25); (P.OF)) and the MH finns; (6.95); (P.COF). The MEDR3, MH and MHE1 corres lacked an anergy of 7.83, 7.81 and 16.94 hours respectively. The MEES anarge lift and/o for the MHE1 was bur finns; the daing sight defense and two finns; then daing dy defense than buffs in MOCPP 4. Of 86 condust mission tasks: (1) for the daing dy defense than buffs in MOCPP 4. Of 86 condust mission tasks: (2) for 44 kicks the collection was portially met (29%; and (29)or 25 tasks the collection was key whiled to wasning CW-protective combut defining
Type of Identified	Semant Plane A	MLES and b measure devices and genery. Performed frampf for sight as in Plane M and Islamp
Conditions of Observations	Acad: None Scannin: Branka Tanker, Sakinary Li and MRONO Tank. Company parlamenco in antionary MRDNS and MT tank systems with instatase closed. Londrop test increased i.e., Add Instictood of semantics (St. reasts for MRDNS, SS reasts for MH). Encircatest Frid solar bank. WRDNS, SS reasts for MH).	Aperti: None Scenario: McON3 tast with Intelest scenario. McON3 tast with Intelest chose (MOPP 4 must only); MI Intelest chose (MOPP 4 or BOU4. Opposing true platoon of the MCG1 Sharitan tasks. Environment: Desting: 72 hours StriktCi: Tueles 4 person cores (Into MEM3, One M1, One M1E1) Itaning: 1 week Desting: 1 week
Protection Control	MORP 4.	MORP 4. Mask only MORP 4 vs. MORP 4 vs. MIE1 mh over clands vesti.
Type of Study	7ª. Contract	11 12 12

r.

Study	Clumm, (1588). Murro, Rauch, Banderel, Lussier, Tharion and Shukit (1987).
Critical Comments	No statistical analysis of the effects of the lest conditions on crew performance and enforcements was possible to small sample size and confounding of many variables.
Findings and Recommendations	No corrent member on it of a completed fruit fragment (constructions infinited level stress was not a factor). Stay time for corrent members manyed batteren 3.32 hours, means stay frine of 14.5 hours (SYX withdrew bottments of 14.5 hours (SYX withdrew bottments of restance. Channe (1988, p. 4) concluded that interactions decread in MOCP 4 performing in the process decread determing decreases; fungues, 200% increases. Cancered determination of mood, thermaly of steepiness, decriments and underpriness increased with a appreciation, the model of the function of mood.
Type of Mersees	Targat megagaman, targat mediag and decoding direct and decoding direct and held, memory and the memory and the memory periodical pe
Conditions of Observations	Amer. Non Scenic: Polymer and advance of cores using MOPP 4 with minuted access damag vector access budge for the condition. (1) Beschen, and the polymer and Mi bellen, access training and doding conduct of the polymer and Mi bellen, access training and doding (2) Estimolitation, model proceders. (3) Estimolitation, model proceders. (3) Estimolitation, model proceders. (3) Estimolitation, model proceders. (3) Estimolitation, model proceders. (3) Estimolitation, model proceders. (4) Condition description and proceders. (4) Condition description and proceders. (5) Model to access the proceders and proceders. (5) Model to access the proceders activity to access the proceders access the proceders access the proceders acc
Protection Equipment	44-049
Type of Saudy	AB Fed Tar (Manon Flass V (Florence)

•

C-11

Study	Mitchell, Krox, and Wehning (1997), Headling Headling Headling Feng, and Whillien- berg (1998b),	
Critical Comments	Report tails to decreas the implications of the number of casualties in Phase B during mid to modecthe climate conditions. Termination dats to both Phase M and B were found only in the abstract.	
Farfings and Processes of first	Fire consider, fire discuss and two with turns mathem (one for high adds temporture who later advance). Figures from of the 72-hour test wave completed. Commission commend intervent 13–46.4 hour. Preference between hDPP 1 and 4 to fire test, hDDPP 4 had a longer too.	The BFU covers manifeed in the test for 31.4 and 33.3 hours. The Mit13 cover eranised in the test for 37.9 hours. These wave 11 corrections of a 6 subjects, more due to high rectal temperature. Note corrulties wave members of the diamount team and into uses manifers of the vehicle team. The correlities occurred between 0 and 31.5 hours piecen 18.9 hours; motion 14 hours).
Conditions of Otmorration	Annel: Non Scennis: Four Budley Interny Fighting Weicher (BFV), For ensk? Athour public a Shor conclut scennis of dating a bet correct on the transmission of dating a bet correct on the transmission of dating a bet and a bet of the transmission of dating are each correction, The bush 6 four prior and to GPP 4 was accomplished and the for the transmission prior and the four transmission prior and transm	ore a 2 week period Schieds: Four BFV each will a 3 person which here and 6 percent where it (56 surjects). Idining: Constructed on these days. Accharacten NAOFP 4 and tealing was a total of 23 heres. Desire: Desire: Scenario: Two BEV and one MIT3M Scenario: Two BEV and one MIT3M Scena
Type of Protection Contract	MOP 1 and	4 dd0m
Type of Study	A. Faid Tial (Macdanana) Intenty Press M.	a S

6

.

Study	ox, mmons, d Siering 887): sadley, ng, and mBenberg 9886).
Critical Comments	No statistical analyses were portormed due to K the small manufor of subjects and the manufor of of comflicens trested. No appropriate an experimental dessign. T T T T
Tackings and Recommendations	None of the tank comes completed the 45-bare operation. The average come embanato was 6 hours. One come particomed for 16,41 hours with coding come come million coding wasning the coding come come million coding wasning to the coding come come and coding was by come members detected to home. No major demonstrates detected to home. No major demonstrates detected to home. No major demonstrates detected to home. Therewy sin additional come members detected to home. No major demonstrates detected to home. No major demonstrates detected to home. No major demonstrates detected to home. Therewy sin additional come members detected to home. Therewy sin additional demonstrates detected to home. There are additional demonstrates demonstrates demonstrates of the sin demonstrates demon
ancent peck	Entherman, model hermonanes performance maximum
Conditions of Channelion	Acrist. Now Scenario: Test comes (1.9 mbc) with statement for marked instances (n.9 prychological tectors, anomatical prychological tectors, anomatical prychological tectors, anomatical contrasts to set up and defend intype for Opposing forces anomatical approach of anthone being detected or Mind. Semiched anthry explosives added meters. These phenes (non benefica- acid). Plass 0. BUU (11 hours) Plass 0. BUU (11 hours) Plass 1. Beschen (AOCPP 4. Plass 1. Beschen (AOCPP 4. Plass 1. Beschen (AOCPP 4. Plass 1. BLOCPP 4. the anthrid and proaches changes (from Cherne, 1900) and compression in MIMI. Flass 8. Microchaste condeg in MICONO and compression in MIMI. Entitiers: Flady acclematical Deficiers: 27 subjects. Schieds: 27 subjects.
Type of Freedom	Plase 0, EDU Plase 0, A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
Type of Sudy	A Fad Tin (Amon)

۲

Ö

Ő

Q

6

	Protective					
Type of Seedy	C	Conditions of Othervations	Type of Measure	Frederige and Photomosciclations.	Critical Comments	Study
(Amond) (Amond)		Annal. None Sconaig: Strend and faulter: Comparing BOU. MOPP 4, MOPP 4, MO effer and Copies grandwight (FO) Environment Environment Schiedt: Transformation (FO) Environment Schiedt: Transformation (FO) Deficie Schiedt: Transformation (FO) Deficie Schiedt: Transformation (FO) Deficie Schiedt: Transformation (FO) Deficie Commission (The Text. Provided Formation Additional Community, Schiedt (Schiedt Schiedt: Deficient Community (Photos semation), and semicors (Photos semation).	Paydooptal Teat	For MOPP 4, cascading had greater dispressive tendencies and lower soft acrimination than services, and cascadings had more interne tenings of sterpiness or dispinese, compared to services on CMS.		Tharrior, Rauno, Marroo, Lassier, Banderet and Shukit (1986).

Q
(jane of Study		Conficans of Observation	Type of Mexane	Findings and Proceedings	Critical Comments	Study
(A find the set of the	Amy but and the second	Anne. More. Scenarie Comparison of BDU with MOPP 4 for sustained 24% a selecy operation. Environment: Het - 9x - 100° F average texperature for the MOPP 4 groups. The highest anticient impostance for the BDU propress 65. If (2019° C). Derive: 24 boxes. Selficity: Total of 35 selficity: whe attripts each for four 155 and both attripts attribute attribute attripts each for four 155 and both attribute attribute attribute attripts for an each at the four 155 attribute attribute at the state of an each attribute at the state attribute at the state of an each attribute at the state at the state attribute at the state at	Destination of the second seco	Banks BU group completed 24 ht bat, more of the BUU group failed to complete the test faile BUU group failed to complete the test Endermon composition 1 ht 20 mits to the BUU group failed to complete the test Endermon composition 1 ht 20 mits to the BUU group failed to complete the test Endermon complete the family of groups, Branness Interest of groups, and control on interest on the complete product on prime interest on the advance endermon in MOFP 4. Denotop product prime interest on the advance endermon in MOFP 4. Denotop product prime interest on the advance endermon in MOFP 4. Denotop	 a. Failed to analyze pre-test difference between casculates and survivors (to predict potential casculates). b. Andriant temperatures for the three MOPP 4 groups were significantly higher than for the BDU group. 	Rauch, Bandent, Thanion, Mumo, Lussier, and Shukit (1966): Headky, Brecht-Clark and (1988): Khox, Mitchell, Edwards, and Samlers (1988): Headky, Bercht-Clark, Khox, Mitchell, Feng. (1988): Headky, Headky, Headky, Headky, (1988): Headky, (1988): Headky, Headky, (1988): Headky, H

Study	Goldman (1981) Tomer, White, and Goldman (1981)
Ciárd Commuts	
Fieldings and Percementations	MOPP 3, lasts open-completed accessio. MOPP 4, lasts cheed. Jammand after 80 mir; aftiby to perform estimated between 20-75%, annua wilk: 20 mir. MOPP 4, hasts cheed, annikry condep- completed accessing a trader y condep- completed accessing a trader y condep- completed accessing a trader y condep- completed and search Combat which and the barrier of the mission when WBGT 22-55°C.
Type of Measures	Radial bangandan (m), din bank cat. Other for host consulter for host consulter back part of 100 back part of 100 back part of 100 back part of 100 by consult diffy b
Con Tions and Observations	Acet: RA Scene: Day 1, NOP 1, hack gen Day 2, NOP 2, hack gen Day 4, NOP 2, hack gen Day 6, NOP 2, hack dend, and y coding Dry 6, NOP 2, hack dend, and y k dender of a bar 2, but y R, a dender of a bar 2, but y Califier 1, but and the complex control or a bar 1, and the complex score to ender a prevent a condition.
Type of Protection Equipment of Clothing	A 990M as the second se
Type of Study	11. Field E-Freedom (11. Field (2004-11. Field (2004-0) Control

ī

	Type of Protective Equipment					
Type of Study	Cluthing	Conditions and Communitors	Type of Measures	Findings and Recommendations	Critical Comments	Study
2. Field Tail Nethicle Mr113610 Nessand	LLS. MCRPA. MCRP	America None Scenario 1990 and control control of 2004 an accurate Segments competition of ULS and UK MOPP 4 chancing defense and UK MOPP 4 chancing defense and UK MOPP 4 chancing defense and the base of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of the transmission of th	Time to samplete assault course, rectat forgentation.	Undrike ho une fine as dependent measures. US:MORP.4. For the writch pre-ascend, then of the markes had needed inseptember which accorded 1027 and some personal dependent according 1027 and some personal dependent to retrain a personal, four of fare makes completed the accord, four of fare makes completed the accord, con a second deb to according a second, con a second deb to according a second, con a second deb to according a second and subsequent accurd. Significant differences between male and the deb cone temperatures (farends howe), these test stress with UK ensemble compared with the deb to perspected active produced und according according to a second with the pre- tool accurd active the second and stress the deb to be accurding to a second bit is the test stress with UK ensemble compared with the deb to be accurding the second bit is the test stress with UK ensemble compared with the deb to be accurding the second bit is the test stress with UK ensemble contraction on the deb to be accurding to a MITMI protocline mask flores.	Repetiend measures design completely compromised. It is statisfical completely and BDU comfilmes. Under the second US, UK, and BDU comfilmes. I be evaluate amount of workhold produced by assault since no lines were produced by assault since no lines were produced by assault since in property.	Harlon, Jones, and Merkey (1982)
	gear.					

Study	Mitchell, Knon: Schrim- Schrim- Stering, Taylor (1986). (1986).	
Critical Comments	Small exponential group permitted only summary statistical analysis. Use of t test with six anhyschs is questionable	
Fadings and Paccementalities	For 12 of 20 ministion politics from without cooling wath, five ministion wate forminated doe to machinal politions (80%). Overall staffings and comments from safety platos indicated that all subjects could by safety in MOCPP 4 and generally perform to Amay standards.	
Type of Menu	Alternational School and Alternational School and Alternational Alternat	
Contra do	Accel: Now Scenic: Four fight minimum of 91 minutes and which included here been experience, any of the mean fight, content as and other totical opposition Fights performed with and without cooling wests. Endinanes: Hu - cooling Wild opposition wests. Endinanes: All - cooling Wild opposition wests. Endinane: All - cooling Wild opposition 20.6 - 37.9°C. Includes: Six connection dept, 12 hours in MOPP 4, 12 hours in MOPP 1. Selficit: Six connection dept, 12 hours in MOPP 4, 12 hours in MOPP 1. Selficit: Six connection dept, 12 hours in MOPP 4, 12 hours in MOPP 1. Selficit: Six and the analysis of the first in MOPP 4, 12 hours in MOPP 1. Selficit: Six and total of the analysis in MOPP 4, 12 hours in MOPP 1.	
	MCRP V MCOrdende MCordende In 16236 mession profiles.	
Type of Steely	13. Freed Ted Southained Antialitien Opeontformer	

Study	Hamilton, Folds, and (1982); Hamilton, Simmons, and Kimbal (1982).
Critical Comments	Small number of subjects limited the power of the lest.
Findings and Processor defines	Automated data available for only 4 of 6 explorts. Only 1 of 4 aufjocts completed fla- air bardions in MOPP 4; 3 of 4 aufjocts completed six handlows in UK CM encomplete of 4 aufjocts completed flas six handlows in standard fight and. The module SD bandlop encoded data. Now of the subtests of the Polynemics Automated Ballery was significant.
Type of Menu	he fight dan recording Prychological men. Wahar Rand Preference Langet Recorder Logical Recorder Social Max, and Recton Ten.
Conditions of Channelines	Area: None Scenariz: These Eights (one is each cutting condition). Each Eight correised of a precision Eight profile (head for all that, airspeed and inne) learned for all set areas to be the theorem there and 39 both how (1-hour period). Land, wateria areas to 520 minute. Land, and an areas to 520 minute. Land, and an areas to 520 minute. Land, and an areas to 520 minute. Endoneers Report above wild 1 hours alpha. Endoneers Cont 1-hour fights for 3 days. Strikeds: Six Amy avides. Laiding: None Design for recorded date. Randomized thost ANOVA for period optical tasks.
fame s in sett	14. Field Trial (Helicopher)

•
:
•
Ϊ.
r.
ι. ,
÷
•
•
Ę
ř
ż
2
•
ŕ
•
::
È,
ť

	Type of Protective					
Type of Study	Cothing Clothing	Conditions of Observations	Type of Meesure	Findings and Peccemendations	Crifical Comments	Study
15. Field Teld (Aucraft Ground Crows)	MOTPA: MITAN MITAN MARK Buy Attest Buy Attest MOTPA MOTPA	Apert: None Scannin: Two pound comes performing quick turns in F.4. Emicroment: Duration: Subjects: Two pround come. Limiting:	Proficiency Loss	Proticiancy lose on 9 quick two tests for MOPP 4 support true 22% 51%; for M17A1 suesk, budy rubber gloves and bodies ranged trom 9% 44%; for MOPP 4 plus heather gloves ranged from 17%.51%.		Hinch (1982).
		Desing: Compare 3 combinations of CW-				

protective combat clothing

Study	Shipton, Beitstein, Chenzoff, Joyce (1988). (1988).
Critical Comments	
Findings and Recommendations	Findings: (1) Wearing the CW ensemble increased the fine required to perform avaintenance tasks; (2) The average percent time degradation due to CW-protective combat clothing was 30.7%; (3) The gloves were compromised during 15 tasks; the CW ensemble was compromised; (4) Seema 2.5 tasks were identified as the most difficult to perform in CW-protect are combat clothing. Recommentations: (1) Training to head a sharp chjects while wearing CW gloves; (2) Improved taaiing on wear and care of CW ensemble; (3) Increased use of CW ensemble in operational situations such as pirase inspections: (4) Use training aircraft for practicing tasks in CW ensemble; (5) include avaintenance tasks in CW exercises.
Type of Measure	Time to pealorm maintenance tasks, percent degradiation, compromises in CW- ensemble; subjective task difficulty; assessment of component accessibility, mounting.
Conditions of Observations	Agenti: None Scenario: Comparison of maintenance technicians' performance on 26 bashs. Mice in tatigues, and twice in MOPP 4. The first and bast iteration was performed in lafigues. Emigrament: Hahn AB, FRG. Emigrament: Hahn AB, FRG. Emigrament: Hahn AB, FRG. Duration: October and November 1986. Subjects: Fonty 4- and 7-stal level technicians. Litability: Litability: Desity: Collect data on performance, assess the impact of CW-protective combat dothing on performance, improvements to reduce performance times.
Protective Equipment	Fatigues and MCPP 4 (MCU-2P musk and 7- or 14-mi gloves).
Type of Study	16.a. Faeld Trial (Aurcraft Maintenance Operations) Phase II

Study	Shipkon, Chenzoff, Joyra, Weinner (1988).	
Critical Comments		
Fradings and Recommendations	Endings: When the time to complete the balos on the first stirt was compared to the fame for the last triat, the average firms for that completion was reduced on the average SCK (comp 38.64%).	
Type of Meesure	Time to portona maintenance tasks, number of compromises in CW creentla.	
Conditions of Observations	Aperf: None Sciencific: Maintennos technicians performenta con 10 F-16 maintennos tastis pedormed ain finnes (haist 1 only performent 1 times (haist 1 only performent; Haim AFB, FFB, 12* to 29* C(57* to 84* F). Dirzjicz: Haim AFB, FFB, 12* to 29* C(57* to 84* F). Dirzjicz: Fourteen subjects with 3 months to 5 years? anortha experience on the F-16 aircraft. Trajicz: Before last two trials 5 reconied training benchest concerning how to work selety in the chemical ensemble. Diszing: Collect data on effect of MOPP 4 on performance, assess the offect of practice and interventions.	
Type of Protective Equipment	MOPP 4 MCU-29P 7- or 14 mil gloves).	
Type of Study	160. Field Trial (Aircraft Mainterence Operations) Phese M	

Study	Carther and Commer- meyer (1986a)
Critical Comments	
Findings and Photometrications	 Facings: Most frequent symptoms: Repút transfing (n = 33, 40%) Stronness of breach (n = 33, 40%) Loss of side vision (n = 23, 40%) Loss of side vision (n = 23, 20%) Chestrophotin (n = 20, 20%) Chestrophotin (n = 20, 20%) Unual distrubence (n = 20, 20%) Strending (n = 20, 20%)
Type of Mocoue	Precontage of subjects reporting trippychological symptome.
Conditions of Observations	Accif. None Sconarie: Ouesformeires fotoming und training envotes in MOPP 4. Efferiormetat: Discrite: Other the North 4. Subjects: NOS members of fina 3020 of Exactation Hospital. Exact Activities and not work. MOPP 4 previously, another 23% had only worn MOPP 4 once. Desire: Assess the member of subjects reporting biopsychological symptomet.
Type of Protective Equipment Clothing	1 ddom
Type of Study	17a. Field Trial Training Exercise)

	Type of Protective					
Type of Study	Equipment	Conditions of Observations	Type of Measure	Findings and Recommendations	Califical Comments	Study
17b. Field Trial	MOPP 4	Agent: None	Finquency of	1. Most frequent biopsychological symptoms:		Carter and
(Medical Unit		Scenario: Case study of five heat stress	biopsychological 	a. Encessive heat		meyer
Exercise)		cusualies during simulated CW field training generics (FTX)		b. Rapid treathing		(1985b)
				c. Shotness of breath.		
		Duration: 3 days.		 Personnel expecting or receiving chenkical caracteries under most stress. 		
		<u>Subjects</u> : 195 mentions of the 352md Evacuation Hospital.		 Personnel less aganized under conditions of simultant CW attack. 		
		Leinig.				
		Desion				

۰.

Study	Cather and Cammer- T (1989) (1989)
Critical Comments	
Fadings and Recommendations	Finkton 1. Bropsychological responses. a. Feeling Int, 50% b. Sholmen di Intah, 60% c. Rapit bendhing, 60% d. Vanal partinens, 50% 2. Some liktopychological responses reported by 60% of salijeck. 3. Carendes accomment/9 subjects taked boompheb FTX (17%) a. Sholmens (17%) b. Rapit bendhing (n = 13, 60%) c. Feeling Int (n = 11, 50%) d. Vanal partinens (n = 9, 47%) Recomments to trained in CW production combut defining for langue printeds of film.
Type of Measures	Frequency of biopsychological symptoms: analysis of connects.
Conditions of Observation	Aust: None Scanding: Curstionaries tabaning a similated CN medical FTX. Entromest: Darafing: 1 bow. Subjects: 122 million processes. Limitat: Desire: Assess the medical symptoms: subject exceeding.
Type of Protective Equipment	4404
Type of Study	176. Field Unit (Medical Unit Training Emercine)

۲

•

0

0

.

S	Garrison, Krudsen Waskom (1982). (1982).
Citizal Commute	
Findings and Paccementintions	 Fuels of Sector Consultancian Sector Sector Sector Sector Tel 11 spaced sector Sector Sector<
Type of New York	flagmenes to four sections of annurg. 1) general, 2) annuf. 3) and, 4) job tanks.
Confitons of Chenevelion	And Contract and A

April	Garrison, Kruutsen and Waskom (1982).
Citizal Comments	
Facings and Recommendations	Reals: 1. Time to chert a position was 2.6 minutes emerged and 3.6 minutes masted. We catch that $0_T = -30$ K. 2. 0.4 positions had to be repeated emmasted and 3.5 positions had to be repeated emmasted and 3.5 positions had to be repeated for masted. We catched $0_{h} = -77.5$ K (8 fold increase in repeated messages).
Type of Macros	Time to cheat a position.
Conditions of Observations	Agent: None Scenario: Interaction between and annual pool as chosened. Performent pool as chosened. Performent pool of the second and an and annual Environment. Combast Interaction Control Design: Comparison of them to check a pool of an analysis of an and and a pool of an analysis of an and and and and and and and and an analysis.
Type of Protective Equipment Clothing	Restance of the second of the
Type of Seet;	1991. Fault Taul Shirthound Chemical Mechanical Franking Franking Mechanical Mechanical Control

orditions of Observations Type of Measure Findings and Recommendations Califical Comments Study	Observation by an end of the product secretify densitions operations observed. The data unuscidated due to unconducted SMEST Time by an end of signose Observation by SMEST Time by and stations Table by SMEST Time by and stations The data unuscidated due to unconducted stations Cases (1000) Determine and stations Determine of signose performance of signose and stations Determine of signose performances and stations Determine of signose de	Recommendation: Subjects recommended increased training on mission relevant tasks in
Conditions of Observ	Agent: None Scenatic: Determine effects (confing on parlommence of s tasks. Weach standing on LS during amplithious ensored BOU and MOPP 4. Environment: Between 60° a operations encopt causary temporature was between 60° see condition was calar, with the boty. Duration: Performance time ranged from 7.40 minutes. Subjects: Thriteen Nary end Itaking: Experience 2.36 m Itaking: Experience 2.36 m raings. For a raings. For	
Type of Protective Equipment	BDU and MOPP 4	
Type of Study	19. Fed Trian (Amphitus Shipboard CW Enercia	

Study	Barnes. Handon, Methery (1983)
Critical Comments	
Fadings and Recommendations	 a. No differences on and hypoteching and obtained country. b. Distriking firms for XMCO cignificantly lass when compared to M17A1. c. Seare of 23 solidines removed marks at highs interviews indicated that flary did not mark the high compared to M17A1. d. No differences in the firmg.
Type of Menues	
Conditions of Observation	Contract: None Scenarie: Comparison of no meck, M:7A1 and XMCO. Enricornent: Decision: 12 and an or Michilly Portality and Chetacle Course: 23 solders was must consumpt; 11 undfield and home address of MiTA1 Limits: Design: ANOVA(3 wear), no meak, MiTA1 and XMCO.
Type of Protection Contrast	Mr17A1 and 20030 Protective Mack
Type of Sect	20. Fleid Teal (DT # d Xakto Protective Masch)

	Study	Mentery and Harrah (1986)
	Critical Comments	Small number of S _s (7 firs).
	Findings and Recommendations	 Endino: Significant main effects for macks and targets for his percentage and mackins for contracts inficuent (in significance was due to better performance of no mask compared to mesk conditions. Performance with X040- 1 was best. All problypes were befter from the lif / conditions. Target main effect due to better performance of 75M compared (b 10004, and better performance of conter target compared to left and fight. We calculated D_A for no mack and 3 problype masks. The results indicated a -224 to -25% reduction in hill accuracy decrement for the three problype macks-D_A for M17A1 was -27%. The questionnaire results indicated for subjects had to in their heads at an angle to dutin a sight picture. The X040-1 should be masks. Becommendation: X0400-1 should be considered first for use by intariny soldies.
	Type of Measures	Hit percentage (file films. Ouessiconnaires
	Continue of Cheneration	Acett: None Scenario: Compared protrype mastis to the MH7A1 and no exast condition. Environment: Duration: Your mast for about 15 minutes for each trial. Total duration 1 V2 days. Subjects: Ten soldiens. Liainio: 200 nounds of ammenicon. Design: ANOVA-Tutory's Melipte Comparison Mushysis.
Type of Protective	Equipment	MIT/A1, and three XMAO masks
		21. Field Teal (20440 Protective Mask and Pille Fring)

Study	Fostler, Adams, Williamson, and Nowriddi (1986)
Cititud Comments	
Findings and Processeederfices	 No patomance degrafation sufficient to boot animiza.
Type of Measures	frishructor Pilot Ratings
Confisions of Observation	Accept: rione Scenario: Evoluate crew performence during operational existinan from in the during operational existinan delication compatibily, might vision peoples, extended wear with SPHU helmet, and Aniation Like Support Equipment. Environment Environment Unation: Seldication Ticilitien
rype o Protective Equipment Clothing	The second se
Type of Study	22. Feek Trink (Codypit Compatibility of XM-43 Protective Massi)

of Saudy	Protective Equipment Clothing	Conditions of Chronosica	Type of Moasures	Findings and Reconscinutions	Celical Commonts	Study
	MOPT 4	Accel: None Scatter: Composition of BDU with MOPP 4 Control and SOPP 4 Hant Stress while preforming fine direction context tasks. Environment: BDU at 21. YC, 30% At: MOPP 4 context: Twenty there much soften volumenes, ages 19.27 (and/an = 21). Entition: Twenty there much soften volumenes, ages 19.27 (and/an = 21). Entition: Twenty there and task of File Direction: Center (FDC), formered docerness and army communicative precommed (first accuracy them strend of a postomenation of the strends for the in MOPP 4, spread over 5 days. Design (context). Least Strends and to the ence somes. Main effects experimental confitters: depend hours: interact on Design (context). Least Strends and to work within confittors and between conditions for four elapsed hours of work (1, 3, 5, 7).	Encors for four tests:: (1) Computation of corrections for adding pre- monthly and according pre- monthly and decording pre- per and pre- per and pre- per and per a	 PENKX ANOMAS for comparison of SITE, CODENCE, significant b, <0.0000, and MMP R.OTTING for expensional combines were significant b, <0.0000, and MMP R.OTTING for expensional combines were significant b, <0.0000, and MMP P. <	Order effects in data are likely. Pedemenca of 830.0.2 befor from 800.1 on number of langets plankot, MOPP Haal Seess condition resulted in less errors fran MOPF control for CODEMNEEL and CODEBOOK for hours 1 and 3. Exponential design russ inadequate in testing order effects.	Fire and Kobrick (1985) 1985)

Study	Fare (1987).	
Crifical Comments	Design dal nut permit analysis of other effects.	
Facings and Recommendations	Basilia: Tra of 17 arbitrat invarianted study prior to completion of 7 hour duration during MOPP- Heat stress condition. Remaining schools theread are deterioration on any least. MOPP- Heat Stress conditions on ad tables the law 5 and 7 date to the large matcher of the law 5 and 7 date to the large matcher of the law 5 and 7 date to the large matcher of the law 5 and 7 date to the large matcher of the law 5 and 7 date to the large matcher of the matcher. MOPP-Control was significantly officenet from BOU control for all hours for COOBBOOK and matcher of targets primed, and for hours 1 and 3 for the COOMBERED to A.	Recommendation: Train ander the most medicitic conditions possible in MOPP 4.
seening padk.	Same at Fire and Kobick (1987, 1985).	
Conditions of Observation	Same as Fine and Kubick (1980, 1985) eroopt subjects. Subjects: Eighneen hemale subler voluntees ages 20.34 (median = 22).	
Type of Protective Equipment	BDU and MOPP 4	
Type of Sector	24. Laboratory Study (Fan Disection Connec Tastia, Fanadary	

C

Study	Johnson and Steeper (1965).
Critical Comments	
Fadings and Paconmendations	Readic: Autometric Datz: Mast has a significant dived at the following inequencies: 500 Hz; 4000 Hz; and 6000 Hz. Autometric and a provide a second stress significantly reduced speech integrity over BDU control days. MOPP control not diving 61 than 21 or dit. Performance of 6h for MOPP heat stress significantly different than all other data points.
Type of Messans	1. Automatic Test 2. Percent connect words.
Conditions of Observation	Actif. Non. Scattaria: Testing to determine the effects of mask and NOPP 4 under various levels of antient temporatures and clothing conditions. Embinoment: Same as Fine and Kobrick (1987, 1985). Stury done in conjunction with this study. Databate: Four days. Thatday. Statistics: Twenty three ands soliders ages 18.36. Intaining: One week. Practice with 200 words, 50 of which are best words on Modified Rhyme Test. Sci tests conducted in bare-treaded condition. Design: Two week. Practice with 200 words, 50 of which are best words on Modified Rhyme Test. Sci tests conducted in bare-treaded condition. Design: Two week. MOVA (Treatment x Hou) N = 21, on Modified Rhyme Test scores (hours 2, 4, 6).
Type of Process of Contrast	BDU and MOPP 4
Type of Study	25. Latouratory Study (Speech Intelligibility)

ı

	Study	Mozo and	(1984)						
	Critical Comments	-							
	Findings and Recommendations	1. XM33 very deficient in intelligibility.	2. M-24 improves intelligibility of SPH-4 holmet.	 Al CW-masks degrade speech intelligibility when a listener is wearing SPH-4. 	4. M-24 and XM-33 with and without protective	hood degrade the alternation characteristics			
	Type of Measures								
	Conditives of Otherwaiion	Agest: None	<u>Sertario</u> : Evaluate mastes on speaker	intelligibility, listener intelligibility, and attenuation.	Environment: UH-60A noise environment.	Duration:	Subjects. Ten subjects.	<u>Teining</u> :	<u>Desing:</u> Percent phonetically belanced words.
Type of Protective	Equipment/ Clothing	M-24, XM-	30 and UK	masks.					
	Type of Study	ZF. Laboratory	Study (CM-	SPH 4 SPH 4 Aviator	Heimet)				

. С.

.

Ŵ

6.

ķ

Study	Murcon and Murco (1985).
Critical Consments	No ad hoc contrasts were made to determine the locus of the difference between expensential conditions. The authors concluded that the significant speech instightly was due bA and C compared to the STH-4 Dut failed to best this with contrasts.
Findings and Recommendations	Eindings: Significantly less alterution: was found for the mast condition for 2000, 6300, and 8000 Hatz. The XM-40 mest was found to seprificantly degrade the schift to helmet without the mast. The SFH-4 without a mest that higher mean speach intelligibility scores when compared to the helmet with these prototype media. These differences were significant. Becommendations: Further efforts should be made to improve the mast compatibility with the SFH-4 helmets and careful afterition should be given to microphone placement within the must.
Type of Meesures	Threeholds of suddility for sterustion. Percent correct scores of phonetically betterrood works for speech intelligibility.
Conditions of Observation	Agent: None Scenario: Determine the effects of 3 prototypes versions of the X04-40 Missk on version was tested for effected by Core version was tested for effected by Core version was tested for effected by Core version was tested for effected by Effected: Darkins: Darkins: Linconnoi: Leasts comparing meet and no muck were computed for each frequency for effection. A repeated measures on- way analysis of vertices was performed on the intellighting acores.
Type of Protective Equipment/ Clothing	A MARKET A
Type of Study	27. Laboratory Saudy (204-40 Mecnuation and Speech Inselfgblady d SPH-4 Hahmet)

	Study	Kobnick and Sleeper (1986) (1986)
	Critical Comments	
	Findings and Pacommandations	 Suprimizant main effects for peripheral bocation of stimulas inge, anis, days, hours not significant. Major change is signal detection due to MOPP 4 and MOPP 4 Heat Shees. Mann RTS increased systematically with simular locations in the superior and interior visual fields. Attimutic means for 4 days were computed MOPP 4 and MOPP 4 heat shees had higher neoponee times than the two control days.
	Type of Meesures	Plasports limes, computed a threat. Mean.
	Conditions of Observation	Alart: None Scenatic Comparison of BOU with MOPP 4 and MOPP 4 heat arcses while detecting visual signals. Enrironment: MOPP 4 heat arcses while detecting visual signals. SSMr, and MOPP 4 heat arcses 91°F (22.8°C) 61%Ar, BOU 70°F (21.1°C), 35%rh. Buration: Four days. Subjects: Tour days. Subjects: Tour arch study). Liaibing: Two wools. Design: Two wools. Design: Two wools. Design: Two wools. Design: Two wools.
Type of Protective Equipment/	Clothing	BDU / MOPPA
	Type of Study	28. Laboratory Study (Visual Perception)

i

Study	Johnson and Sleeper (1986).
Critical Comments	Failed to calculate the effects of training in contrat protective cotting.
Findings and Recommendations	Reads: Wearing build addres gloves produces significant performance decrement. Takes longer to reach asymptote wearing gloves. Mask and brod produced no decrement in manual desterby. Training for 5 days improves performance while wearing glowes about 30%. Recommendations: Intensive training in job-specific tasks while wearing chemical protective clothing.
Type of Measures	Time to complete the test.
Conditions of Observation	Agent: Nc. e. Scenario: Comparison menual deadenity on two startandized tests under various conditions of gloves and gas mask and hood. Environment: NvA Enviro: Five darys. Subjects: Twenty-two make actions. Inaining: None. Design: Three way ANOVA on two tests.
rype o Protective Equipment Clothing	Bury nuther gloves and M17A1 gas mask with hood
Type of Study	29. Laboradory Study (Manual Dexterity)

	Sturty	King and (1984)
	Ceilical Comments	No base fire in taijues was established prior to fire experiment.
	Findings and Recommendations	Besults: All subjects were able to perform: all tasts in MOPP 4 and in MOPP with prototype give. There was substantial improvement over time, for all r. antificions. Practice does not dimint's yenomence docrement in MOPP 4 or MOYP with prototype give. So required 30.2% biouger to perform tasts in MOPP 4. So in taligues performed 7 of 9 tasts faster than in MOPP with prototype give end subjects with the prototype give performed 7 of 9 tasts faster than in MOPP 4. Becommendations: Weddical personnel should receive periodic intensive training in MOPP 4. Manning patterns under CW conditions should be studied. Adopt prototype givee for tasts requiring tigh treets of deatently.
	Type of Measures	 Evaluation of the formance of a task using basks' conditions' standards. Response time. Errors.
	Conditions of Observation	Acert: None. Scenario: Performed nine besic medical tasts in true clothing conditions for 6 days. Emistement: Air conditioned, indoor. Duration: Six days. Duration: Six days. Subjects: Nine subjects from A1st Combat Supjects: Nine subjects from A1st Combat Vertiance.
Type of Protective	Equipment	Faigue MOPP 4. MOPP 4. With tadical grove.
		30. Laboratory Study (Tacility of CW Gloves When Performing Medical

۲

•

۲

•

Study	Hamilton and Zapata (1963)	
Critical Comments	Ambient WBFT temperatures for males and lemales (one of the factors) were different. Subjects were bored.	
Findings and Recommendations	Besuits: Lact: of statistical reliability of the variable lected. Few performance measures across sex or ensemble were significant. These were large standard deviations for all tests. No conclusions were drawn from the lests.	
Type of Measures	Test scores on four sublests of PAB. Change Scores (before and after wearing CW ensemble) computed.	
Conditions of Observation	Agent: None Scenaria: Comparison of performance on psychological tests after wearing CW ensemble. Emicromet: WBGT temperature was 19.8°C at the beginning of the test period and 22.2°C at the end. Fernades than males (20.2°C-20.8°C for males, than males (20.2°C-20.8°C for males, 19.4°C-23.5°C for fernades). Duration: Six hours. Schiects: Twelve males and 12 females. Inaiding: None.	
Type of Protective Equipment Clothing	Fight suit and U.S. Ancrew CW Ensemble	
Type of Study	31. Laboratory Study Cal Effects) cal Effects)	

Study	Rauch, Witt, Banderet, Tauson, and Golden (1986).
Critical Comments	Small number of subjects.
Fadings and Placommendations	Besults: Significant main effects for three cognitive tests for percent complete and for three cognitive tests, for time of day. Significant contasts were between MOPP 4 and MOPP 2, and MOPP 4 and BDU. Accuracy not affected.
Type of Measures	Percent problems completed and percent wrong for Math Computation. Pattern Humber Comparison for seven time periods.
Conditions of Observation	Agent: None. Scanatic: Comparison of BDU, MOPP 2 and MOPP 4 using cognitive performance tests. Environment: Command Post Vehicle 575°F. Duration: Twenhy-four hours continuous operations for each condition (total 3 days). Subjects: Six male soldlers Subjects: Six male soldlers. Iraining: Trained on cognitive tests for 1 week. 12 bials in vehicle during 3 dohing conditions. Design: 3 x 7 repeated measures, ANOVA for percent problems completed and percent wrong for each cognitive test. Schafte comparisons. Main effects were MOPP level and time of day.
Type of Protective Equipment Clothing	80U. MOPP 4 4
Type of Study	223. Laboratory Study (Cognitive Problem Solverg)

•

ł	Apros	and Thanion (1987).
	Critical Comments	
	Findings and Recommendations	There was a significant main effect for CW conditions on the number of problems compliated but not on the number of problems worg. There were no differences between male and termine subjects for either the number of problems worgs. A basis significant of freemens wergs. A basis significant of freemens wergs. A basis significant of freemens worgs. A basis significant of freemens wergs. A basis significant of the number of problems wergs. A basis significant of freemens wergs. A basis significant of the differences to the substant of the differences to the substant of the substant such and no substant denterly and sensory perceptual capabilities.
	Type of Measures	Number of problems completed and the number of problems wrong (2-min test).
	Conditions of Observation	Acett: None. Secretic: Using a paper much best, comparison of effects of gloves, mash, mash, mash. Environment: 72°F. Environment: 72°F. Duration: Testing sension was hoo minukes for each condition. Subjects: Eight females and seven males. Iracing: Each subject practical heelo math problems in each of the four conditions prior to the test. Design: The experimental design was a 2 factor between subjects (SCM) by 4 factor swithin-subjects (SCM) by 4 factor swithin-subjects (SCM) by 4 factor swithin-subjects (SCM) by 4 factors within-subjects (SCM) by 4
Protective Fratective	Colhing	and and Societies Societies
	Type of Study	32b. Laboratory Suudy (cognitive Prublem Sorving)

	Study	Lunsken, and (1986).
	Critical Comments	·
	Findings and Recommendations	 (1) Kannukadige scores increased as a result of classroom training. Remained statile for chamber experience. (2) Significant decline in performance expectations as a result of chamber exercise.
	Type of Measures	 (1) Knowledge Test (2) Questionnaire on expectations.
	Conditions of Observation	Agent: CS. Segnatis: Comparison of effectiveness of neval recruit chamical detense training. Environment: Chamber. Duration: Subjects: 362 male and female recruits. Subjects: 362 male and female recruits. Itaining: Test was part of chemical simulation training proceedure. Recruits chamber and remove macks. present, and remove macks. Design: Three phases of training. Hests- Hests- (1) Phior to training (1) Phior to training (1) Phior to training (2) After classroom CD training (3) After classroom CD training (3) After classroom CD training mask in the presence of CS.
Type of Protective	Equipment/ Clothing	Mastes
	Turne of Stude	33. Laboratory Study (Training for Doming Mastus)

Ö

Ö

•

•

•

•

٠,

٩,

nts Study	studies were Kohrich, dudies were Kohrich, and McMen- st each of which and NcMen- st each of which hand 1990b). P. R appears (1988: me of which hand 1990b). P. R appears (1988: decret is and the environmental try. Cycles: and the following the environmental up: cycles: and the environmental try. S the environmental try. If the environmental the environmental environmental the environmental e
Crifical Comme	Two separate experimental s reported: (1) BDU with 15 subjects: (2) MOPP 4 with 8 subjects: was exposed to the sam conditions using multipl measures (18 listed, so more than one measures that a none economical have provided a clearce impact of the treatment BDU vs. MOPP 4, HEM Stress. Dug vs. MOFP 4, HEM Stress. Dug vs. MOPP 4, HEM a 3 way ANOVA using a clear were used. The design to determine from the re data were analyzed, or were used. The design to determine from the re data were analyzed, or were used. The design to determine from the re data were analyzed, or were used. The design does nd effects of oner lobe an hare been helput so de correlations of the vari- measures. The effects of heat stress with measures. The effects of the heat stress been predicted prior to the s been done fre variables cout and using an economical statistic
Firdings and Recommendations	 (a) BOU Study (b) Desgeneration filme. (c) one-all rate of verbal mesconing. (d) one-all rate of verbal mesconing. (e) acuty and photia on some measures. Summary-size dom reaction, vision. (f) rate: (a) reduce body coordination, vision. (c) rate in the fighting. (d) sycles: (e) statient completed the 2nd and 3nd cycle of the test for the test stress and combined drug and line and stress. (f) MORP 4 Study (f) No surject completed the 2nd and 3nd cycle of the test for the test stress and combined drug and line at stress. (f) MORP 4 Study (f) Mostriget a stress: containing drug performance variables. M performance variables: M performance variables. M performance variables. M performance variables. M performance variables. N performance variables. N performance variables. N performance variables were significant: the most scores possible were significant: a fib messures. Intervention of the last stress. (c) The fuburing drug performance messioned to al variables. N performance variables. N performance variables were significant: a fib messures. Intervention of the last stress.
Type of Measures	The tubbeing tasks were used: (1) Visual acuty, (2) Phoria. (3) Sensoposi, (4) Contrast sensitivity. (5) Neutrary muscle tremot, (7) Voluntary muscle tremot, (9) Fine deuterity. (9) Fine deuterity. (10) Exercition task. (11) Body mutility. (11) Body mutility. (12) Simple visual reaction task. (13) Volutary reaction task. (14) Rite matks and reaction task. (15) Vethal reaction task. (15) Vethal substitution. (17) Eye trandi- substitution. (17) Eye trandi- substitution. (17) Eye trandi- substitution.
Conditions of Observation	Adent: Nom. Scenario: Comparison of BOU and MOPP 4 Control, Drug, Heat Sheess and Drug and heat stress. Emisconnent: BOU: (1) Conhol at 70%, H H 30%, (2) Drug at 70%, RH (4) Drug and Heat Sheess at 95%, 60%, RH (4) Drug and Heat Sheess at 95%, 60%, RH (3) Drug at 55%, 30%, RH (3) Heat Sheess at 95%, 60%, RH (3) Heat Sheess at 95%, Cycles for 2 studies (1) BOU, Cycles for 2 studies (1) BOU, (2) MoPP 4 which used different subjects 4 hest days (3) Heat Sheess only (4) Drug and Heat Sheese combined.
Type of Protective Equipment Clothing	BDU and MOPP 4
Type of Study	34. Laborationy Study (Effects of Drug Amtidote and Heat Stress)

Study	Johnson, Mc- Menemy, and Dau- phritee (1991) (1991)
Critical Comments	
Findings and Recommendations	The nearbs of target pop-up marksmanchip inficiated a significant main effect for cholling and for rifle support. Neurona Konds tests inficated first rifle marksmanthip was significantly poorer for MOOP 4 than either the BDU ($D_a = -19.3\%$) or the lighting load ($D_a = -15.0\%$). File marksmanthip was significantly better when the mark supported by santbags than when it was supported by santbags than when it was supported by santbags than when it was supported by santbags than when it was the portunatore docrements. Wearing the gas masks requires that the targets.
Type of Measures	Total number of targets hit, and the number of alternyts before a fight shot group was altained.
Conditions of Observation	Acett. None. Scenario: Comparison of the effects on the markemanship in a rike fre simulator, on types of clothing conditions. Environment: Environment: Subject: 30 male solders matched on the macksmanship ability. Ten were ssigned to each of the three clothing corricions. Igiliang: For four training days, each assigned to each of the three clothing corricions. Igiliang: For four training days, each subject fired a set paced series of 9 strots until the thad a tight shot group, then he received practice firing at 22 randomly presented pop-up targets under two constitions - rike supported with sandbags and rike unsupported. Design: The accuracy of firing data was analyzed using a 2A2 (dothing x rike support) analysis of variance between subjects design: the schot group hythress was analyzed using a 2A2 (dothing x rike support) analysis of variance between subjects design: the schot group hythress was analyzed using a 2A2 (dothing x rike support) analysis of variance between subjects design: the schot group hythress was analyzed using a 2A2 (dothing x rike support) analysis of variance between subjects design: the schot group hythress was analyzed using a 2A2 (dothing x rike support) analysis of variance between subjects design: the schot group hythress was randyneed by rands.
Type of Protective Equipment Clothing	a. BOU. Fighting Fighting MoRP 4. MOPP 4.
Type of Surby	25. Laboratory Study (Fille Mathsman- ship) ship)

Study	Thomson and (1991)
Crifical Comments	No analysis of variance results were reported but Duncarts multiple Range Test Contrasts were presented.
Findings and Recommendations	Dimant's Multiple Hange Test confasts indicated that the MOCP? 4 hot condition had 19 out of 55 mannover parameter data sets for which the RMIS error was significantly greater than for all bass one of the other three dominyment conditions. For the MOCP? 4 and and the fight suit, hot conditions, 4 RMIS error were greater when compared to the other three conditions. Finally for the baseline, 2 RMIS error was greater for Automatic File RMIS error was greater for Mot the File RMIS error was greater for Mutomatic File RMIS error was been with indicated that File RMIS error was been with the file File RMIS error was fully a restrict the following the file File RMIS error was file RMIS error was been with the file File RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was prevented to the file RMIS error was fil
Type of Measures	PMS enor was computed for 69 different fight mannemens' parameters. Data were automuticativ were automuticativ econded mice a second on a fight data recorder.
Conditions of Observation	Agent: None. Scenarie: Comparison of pild performances using a simulated tactical flypt profile while wearing a flight suit and WOPP 4. Enniconnect: Dry bulb temperatures wear Try: 21.6°C, and 50%th and T ₂ : 35.9°C. 50% th Duration: Three flights of two hours/day for fine days. Let hour - upper air many arised on the hight suit and the days. Let hour - upper air maneures. Subjects: Stateon Anny aviadors who were qualified in the UH-60. Itaining: A week of training on the flight suit and from in MOPP 4. Duration: Beseline, flight suit, Tr, thue: Hight suit, T ₂ Wed: MOPP 4, T ₂ Men. Beseline, flight suit, T ₄ . Ture: Flight suit, T ₂ Men. MOPP 4, T ₂ Ffii: Flight suit, T ₃ frii: Flight suit, T ₃ frii: Flight suit, T ₃ frii: Flight suit, T ₃
Type of Protective Equipment Clothing	Fightsuit, MOPP 4 MOPP 4 Unitom Integrated and MC3 Mask)
Type of Study	36. Laboratory Study (Plat Perform- ance)

Study	Barba, Stamper, and Met chany (1987).
Critical Comments	The lack of significance of days indicated that overall performance did not change over the course of the study, but several inertations were significant. This indicates that changes in intracting strategies were taking place. An analysis of the effect of day for the mask group was needed.
Findings and Recommendations	Bestlik: The Time On-Target MOVAs were significant for both the bright and the dim fight conditions: the RMS ency ANOVAs were significant for bright fight, worked axis and dim fight horizontal axis. Only the maximum absolute ency ANOVAs were significant for bright fight horizontal axis and dim fight horizo, bright fight horizontal axis and dim fight horizontal axis. The test days ANOVAs were not significant for any subsort. The results suggest that soldies using dimed, wire wearing the protective mack. Recommendiators: The authors recommend training TOM and GLID operators with the protective mack.
Type of Meesures	Raw scores, welcal and horizontal traching, were used to determine floot Mean Square error Target, and Muciener abordue error.
Conditions of Observation	Acrit. None. Scenario: Comparison of mesthron mest pursuit trading performance in a pursuit tracting simulator. Bright and dan fight; wentical and horizontal trading. Enriconnert: NN. Burafion: Three test days-20 triable day:10 bright/10 dan. Subjects: Stateon solding. Subjects: Stateon solding. Indiana and dan light for each of the three dependent messures to test the effects of masthron muscle and test days.
Type of Protective Equipment Clothing	Protective Mark M17A2
Type of Study	37. Laboratory Swuby (Punsuat Tracking)

•

	Study	ud Mesen 987).				
	Critical Comments	Time of test was too brief to determine if the Lidothing conditions performance could be all sustained over a significant period of time. Figurational period of time.				
	Findings and Recommendations	Subjects were able to perform all tacks under both clutining conditions. No significant differences for time to perform any tacks. Decrements due to MOPP 4 ranged from +12% to -36%. Modification in how a tack was performed was observed.	Narrow field of view was accommodated by subjects' lequent head movements.			
	Type of Measures	Time to perform tacks and errors.				
	Conditions of Observation	Agent: None. Scenariz: Comparison of BOU with MOPP 4 while operating the Tactical Computer Terminal (TCT). Environment: WA.	<u>Duration</u> : 35-minute test period for one clothing condition, 15 minute break, 35- minute test period for the second condition.	<u>Subieds</u> : Twelve soldiers.	<u>Iraining:</u> 2 weeks new equipment course; no training in operating the TCT in MOPP 4.	<u>Design</u> : Within subjects design-ANOVAs computed for each task.
Protective	Clothing Clothing	BDU vs. MOPP 4				
	Type of Study	38. Laboratory Studies (Tackical Computer)				

Study	Harrah (1965).
Critical Comments	Failed to complete Latin Square design.
Firdings and Recommendations	Significant main effect masks (č is to mask- no-mask). No difference in standoff distance. Field of view in no-mask condition was 6.6° and was reduced to 2.8°-3.1° for masts.
Type of Measures	1. Field of wire 2. Scan Time 3. Stand Off Distance
Condetons of Observation	Aderti: Norve. Scenauo Comparison of meets and no- masts conditions to scan an area 56 degrees wide by 21 degrees high using M19 binocutans M19 binocutans Eminomett. NA. Burgioon N/A Subactis: 14 solders Trainino. Six traits with no mask.
Type of Protective Equipment	Projective Match M172 20 * AG
	35 Lacoratory Study (Scanning Tene with X(M40 Mask)

, ,

0

Design, ANOVA for time to scan.

Study	88 900 - 10 900 - 10 9000 - 10 900 - 10 9000 - 10 9000 - 10 9000 - 10 9000 - 10 9000 - 10 9000 - 10 90
Critical Comments	Small number of subjects for the complex W design. The description of the randomization ar of the presentations for conditions by tasks. Ki by subjects tails to indicate the specific. (1) analysis that was performed. It is clear, howwer, that performance changes over however, that performance changes over however, that performance changes over homover, that performate the hard task. Laarning to perform while wearing different CW protective combat clothing conditions.
Findings and Recommendations	Bealds: A 3-way ANOVA (condition X trial X task) showed that all three main effects were significant and a condition X tesk interaction was also significant for the easy task. Two-way ANOVA indicated that biaks were significant but not dothing conditions. For the hand task the Duncan Multiple Range Test indicated that the first three and BOU fitness were significantly different from this two of three and BOU with the Current Multiple Range Test indicated that MOPP 4 times were significantly aborter than. The Our three and BOU fitness were significantly different from the other three. For the hand task, the Duncan Multiple Range Test indicated that MOPP 4 times were significantly aborter than the other three. For the hand task, the OU with reast and hood and BOU with edden them the other three.
Semenary of Menutrics	Time to complete each task.
Conditions of Observation	April: None. Sciencia: Easy and difficult mu.:Monance testa: Easy task with Tow and Past: difficult task was remove and replace motor driven rotating animur assembly in Dregon right sight backwir. Enriconnerd: Constant temperature included test section. Database included test section. Database Schindt: 11 autijack (meth) and wave graduates of Tow/Dregom Program Advecced Induktual Training (ATT) methemence course. Idibidit tasks with replications of each, and while subst with replications of each.
Type of Protective Equipment Clothing	BDU BDU Bard Dag BBU BDU BBU BBU BBU BBU BBU BBU BBU BBU BBU BB
Type of Study	40. (Jaboratory Study Maritemenos Pertom- ence)

triels design was used. Duncan's Muhipie Range Tests were performed.
Study	Peterson (1996).
Critical Comments	
Findings and Recommendations	Besults: No significant difference in character and message accuracy between beseline and CW gloves (neither standard, 7 and or 14 mil). No significant difference between conditions when operating in the static mode. Message accuracy rate and character accuracy rate degraded when cold vestitier glove is added to CW-glove conditions.
Type of Measures	1. Characher accuracy, La, correct digits - total digits - total digits - rale, La, correct messages (7 digits) - total messages
Contitions of Observation	Actrif. None. Secondry: Determine I wearing CW mast and various contrinations of CW glowes (standard, 7 ml and 14 ml glowes) and cold weather glowes depade performance on a tactical key type terminal. A static mode and a mobile mode were tested. Instructions were that accuracy was more important than time. Instructions were that accuracy was more important than time.
Type of Protective Equipment	Three hypes of CMF. CMF. I.e., 7, mil. 14-mil. and C5-mil. and C5-
Type of Study	4.1. Laboratory Study (Effect on digital terminal performance)

C-51

Study	Moskal, Driskell, and (1987).
Critical Comments	
	₹ Z
Findings and Pisconmendations	 Poor state of training About 50% had received no shipboard errencise About 23 had worn probective ciding 0-60 minutes duration at any one time. Fonty percent reported last training was neovid training was neovid training which generally occurrent types price. Poor Incovelede Mean correct score 12.6 items (34%). B. Dunning and dofing procedures had th provest score of 11 categories (9% of sit items correct). None of the 333 subjects answered all six items correctly. 2.2.2% were able to answer one category correctly. 3. Recommendations: 2.2.2% were able to answer one category correctly. 3. Recommendations: 4. Expand cumiculation of 2-day dasses to include common skills, increase training throughout. Begutarly conduct skills, increase training throughout.
Type of Measures	Percent correct on knowledge items.
Condifices of Observation	Acet: None. Scenario: 37-iem questionnaire assessing the status of chemical detense common stalls brounkedge. Einnicritert: NA. Ducation: NA. Ducation: NA. Subjects: 333 entisted personnel aboard 16 strips. Liainin: NA. Itainin: NA. Design: Descriptive stalistics of percent correct for 11 anajor categories. Contlational analyses with biographical data.
Type of Protective Equipment	≨
Type of Stedy	A. C. Currentino Ration Communication Defenses Statisty

C-52

••

APPENDIX D

•

ä

MAINTENANCE TASKS

(Montgomery, 1987)

APPENDIX D MAINTENANCE TASKS

(Montgomery, 1987)

TASK 1

"Remove and Replace M60A3 Power Pack," was performed by four-person crews, each of whom performed the task once in BDU and once in MOPP 4. Six of the crews first performed Task 1 in BDU and four in MOPP 4. One of the six crews failed to perform the task in MOPP 4 due to heat stress which left only nine crew comparisons. The mean time for the six groups that first performed Task 1 in BDU was 141 minutes or an average of 27 minutes less than the mean time, 168 minutes, for the four groups that first performed Task 1 in MOPP 4 (see Table 2). Examination of the mean time for the second trial indicates the same relationship, i.e., the mean time for the four groups that performed the task second in BDU was less (34 minutes average); for the second trial, the BDU mean time was 73.2 minutes, compared to the MOPP 4 mean time of 107.2 minutes.

In all cases except one, each group performed the task faster on the second trial regardless of whether BDU or MOPP 4 was worn on the first trial. It is not surprising to find that the time decreased on the second trial since none of the crew members had previous experience in performing the task with each other. Montgomery reported a 35 percent \pm 20 degradation due to MOPP 4.

Montgomery (1987) did not compute difference scores between the first and second trials but the available raw data permitted the computation of such scores. We computed difference scores and algebraic means for the BDU first trial group (N of 5) and the MOPP 4 first trial group (N of 4). Table D-1 presents the raw data, the means and the standard deviations from Montgomery (1987) and also presents algebraic difference scores and algebraic percentage changes that we computed. The mean difference between the first and second trial for the group of teams which first performed Task 1 in BDU was 38.9 minutes compared to the mean difference of 95.2 minutes when the first trial was performed in MOPP 4. Next, percentage differences between the first and second trial were computed and averaged (algebraically) for the BDU first group and the MOPP 4 first group.

The MOPP 4 first group showed a 53 percent decrease in time to accomplish the task on the second trial (in BDU) while the BDU first group showed only a 27 percent decrease in the mean time to accomplish the task on the second trial (in MOPP 4).

		Tri	al						
	Battle Unifo (BD	Dress orm U)	Mission-Oriented Protective Posture (MOPP 4)		Differenc	e Scores	Percent Change		
Team	1	2	1	2	BDU First	MOPP 4 First	BDU First	MOPP 4 First	
1a*	115.5	**		126	+10.5		+9	••	
28	**	80	148			- 68	••	- 46	
3a	230		••	142	- 88		- 38	••	
48		76	271	••		-195		-72	
58	165			100	- 65		- 05		
1b	135.7			91.3	- 38.4		- 28		
2b	109.6***			MD***					
3b		80.8	140.4			-59.6		-42	
4b		55.8	114.0	-16-		- 58.2		~ 51	
5Ь	89.9	••		76.5	-13.4		-15		
Total	736.1***	292.6	673.4	535.8	- 194.3	-380.8	-137	-211	
x	147.2***	73.2	168.4	107.2	- 38.9	- 95.2	- 27.4	- 52.8	
SD	50.5***	11,8	70.0	26.5	**			••	
N	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	

Table D-1.Time (min) to Remove and Replace M60A3 Power Pack
(Adapted from Montgomery, 1987)

a – trials in April, 1984; b – trials in July 1985.

** Dash indicates opposite uniform group provided data for this trial.

*** Missing Data for second trial. First trial data not included in the analysis (did not recompute SD).

The analyses support the following conclusions:

(1) Regardless of dress, all groups perform the task better on the second trial (with one exception).

D-4

(2) Performing in MOPP 4 increased the performance time as compared to BDU. The best estimate of the magnitude of the increase is the mean percentage difference between the MOPP 4 first group and the BDU first group--a difference of 25.4 percent. Montgomery estimated a 35% ± 20 degradation based on regionalized statistics (1987).

It cannot be determined from this task if repeated practice in MOPP 4 improves performance since no group performed the task in MOPP 4 more than once.

TASK 2

"Remove and Replace M60A3 Transmission" was performed by two-person crews; one member was experienced and the other was a student. Eight crews performed the task once in BDU and once in MOPP 4. The two remaining crews performed the task three times. One of these performed the task in the following order: first, MOPP 4; second, BDU; third, MOPP 4; the order of task performance for the others was MOPP 4, BDU, BDU.

Four crews first performed Task 2 in BDU; the mean time to perform the task was 125.7 minutes (see Table D-2). Six crews first performed Task 2, in MOPP 4; the mean time to perform the task was 157.8 minutes. One of these teams performed the task only in MOPP 4; their task performance time was 307 minutes--154 percent higher than the next lowest time. If this time is excluded from the data base, the mean times for the first tries are comparable across the two groups, i.e., 125.7 minutes for BDU first, and 120.7 for the MOPP 4 first.

The effect of MOPP 4 is clearly seen when the second trial data are examined. The mean time on the second trial for the BDU "second group" was 73.5 minutes; the mean time for the MOPP 4 "second group" was 125.9. It is clear from the means that those teams dressed in BDU for the second trial all reduced the time required to complete the task compared to the first trial, which was performed in MOPP 4. Only one of the four teams dressed in MOPP 4 for the second trial reduced the time and three teams required increased times. In order to quantify this effect we computed difference scores and percentage change from the raw data. The raw data, the means, and the standard deviations from Montgomery (1987) plus the algebraic difference scores and the percentage change scores are presented in Table D-2. For the MOPP 4 first group, the mean difference score on the second trial was -47.2 minutes, or a 36.1 percent reduction for the second trial in BDU. The BDU first group mean showed little change, +0.2 minutes (+2.7 percent), in the time

to complete the task on the second trial in MOPP 4. The percentage decrement associated with MOPP 4 can be calculated from the percentage change scores to be 38.8 percent. Montgomery (1987) calculated a 50 percent degradation resulting from MOPP 4 based on a regionalized statistical approach. The same conclusions drawn from the results of Task 1 can be drawn from the findings of Task 2.

	Trial									
	Bat	Sattle Dress Mission-Oriented Uniform Protective Posture (BDU) (MOPP 4)				Mission-Oriented Protective Posture (MOPP 4)			Percent	Change
Team	1	2	3	1	2	3	BDU First	MOPP 4 First	BDU First	MOPP 4 First
1 a *	197	**			173		- 24	**	- 12	••
2a		94	••	200				106%	••	- 53
Зв	127				141		+14	••	+11	
4 a		68.5		96		73		- 27.5	**	-28
5a		97	••	139	••			- 42	••	-30
1b		MD***	••	307***			**		••	
2b	97.4			••	104.5		+7.1	••	+7.3	
35		59.6	46.8	102.0			••	-42.4	**	- 41
45	81.4				85.1		+3.7		+4.5	
5b		48.6	••	66.7				-18.1	* =	- 27
Total	502.8	367.7	46.8	603.7***	503.6	6.73	+0.8	-236	+10.8	-18
×	125.7	73.5	46.8	120.7***	125.9	73	+0.2	- 47.2	+2.7	- 36
SD	51.1	21.3		88.8***	39.0		••		**	
N	4	5	1	5***	4	1	4	5	4	5

Table D-2. Time (min) to Remove and Replace M60A3 Transmission(adapted from Montgomery, 1987)

* a = trials in April, 1984; b = trials in July 1985.

** Dash indicates opposite uniform group provided data for this trial.

*** Missing Data for second trial. First trial data not included in the analysis (did not recompute SD).

TASK 3

"Remove and Replace M109 Howitzer Breechblock" was performed by two-person crews; one member was experienced and the other was a student. The task was performed from 4 to 8 times each by the 10 crews. Data are presented in Table D-3 (revised from Montgomery, 1987). Most of the initial three trials were performed by crews wearing MOPP 4 (25 out of 28 trials). Examination of the data for the first four trials for the MOPP 4 condition indicates that substantial learning is taking place. The mean time for the MOPP 4 condition for trial 1 was 29.8 minutes, for trial 2, 16.9 minutes, for trial 3, 13 minutes, and for trial 4, 12.1 minutes. Examination of Table D-3 indicates that trial 4 provides the best estimate of the deficit due to MOPP 4. For trial 4, six crews in BDU performed the task with a mean time of 9.6 minutes; four crews performed the task in MOPP 4 with a mean time of 12.1 minutes. This results in an average difference of 2.5 minutes or a 26 percent time decrement between BDU and MOPP 4. Using a regionalized statistical approach, Montgomery (1987) found a 25 percent \pm 25 percent decrement.

The data for this task indicate that the teams were able to perform the task about as well in MOPP 4 as in BDU, but the performance in MOPP 4 took about 26 percent longer. Performance improved substantially with repeated practice in MOPP 4, but based on the experimental design, the limited data set, and the lack of equal data sets for each trial for BDU and MOPP 4, the increased efficiency of performing the task as a team and the improvement due to adaptation to MOPP 4 cannot be determined. Some improvement in performance continued between trials 5 and 8 for both the BDU and the MOPP 4 condition.

TASK 4

"Recovery of M60A3 Tank" was performed by four-person crews. The task was performed from 3 to 6 times (9 of 10 crews performed at least 4 trials); the data from Montgomery (1987) are reproduced in Table D-4.

Seven of 10 crews performed the first try in BDU while eight, ten and seven of the crews performed trials 2, 3, and 4 respectively in MOPP 4. It is clear that performance improved with practice, but the experimental design and the lack of data for BDU after the first trial make meaningful comparison between BDU and MOPP 4 impossible to compute.

	Trials										
T a a m	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			
i eam			Batt	le Dress U	niform (BD	U)					
1a*	*		P.0	9	**	••		6			
2a	18							7			
За				9	9		••				
4 a				13							
58				10			10				
1b	**no				0						
2b				8							
3b		15.0									
4b		••		8.5	12.0						
5b	20.1										
Total	38	15	0	57.5	2	0	10	13			
×	19.1	••		9.6	10.5			6.5			
SD		••		1.8							
N	2	1	0	6	2	0	1	2			
		Mis	sion Orier	ited Protec	ctive Postu	Ire (MOPF	9 4)	·			
1a*	17	20	12.5		10	10	7.5				
2 a		16.5	14	12	11	9	8	••			
3 a	30	9	11			9	10	9			
4 a	34	29	18			1					
5a	25	15	14		18	11		ļ			
1b		21	14.9	10.1				ļ			
26	35	18.1	12.3				1	ſ			
35			11.8	15.5	10.1	Į i	l I				
4b	38	8.4	9.1			l i					
55	•	14.9	12.0	10.8	8.4		1				
Total	179	151.9	129,6	48.4	57.5	37	15.6	9			
×	29.8	16.9	13	12.1	11.5	9.8	5.2				
SD	7.7	6.3	2.4	2.4	3.7	1.0					
N	6	9	10	4	5	4	3	1			

Table D-3. Time (min) to Remove and Replace M109 Breechblock
(from Montgomery, 1987)

🛛 = Average

SD = Standard Deviation

N = Number of Cases

* Dash indicates crew wore other uniform

**no = no data

			Tria	als						
Team	1	2	3	4	5	6				
i edili	Battle Dress Uniform (BDU)									
1a*	23.3									
2a	42.4			••						
3 a	28.1									
4 a	13.6									
5a				11.9						
1b	25.6	28.4		••						
25	**				8.0	5,4				
35	16.7									
4b		••		9.5	8.3					
5b	19.1	12.5								
Total	168.8	30.9	0	21.4	16.3	5,4				
x	24.1	15.4								
SD	9.5	4.2		**		••				
N	7	2	0	2	2	1				
	Mis	sion-Orien	ted Protec	tive Postu	Ire (MOPP	4)				
1a*		18.6	13							
2a		38.2	29	31						
3 a		17.0	15	13.6						
4a		14	15	14,9						
5a	37	19	19.7							
1b			12.8	10.6	13					
25	16.4	17.1	10.1	11.8						
ЗЬ		16.2	13.0	12						
4b	15.5	12.3	8							
55		••	7.9	7.5	7.8					
Total	68.9	152.4	143.5	101.4	20.8	0				
×	23	19.1	14.4	14.5		••				
SD	12.2	8,1	6.2	7.6						
N	3	8	10	7	*•	0				

Table D-4. Time (min) to Recover M60A3 Tank
(from Montgomery, 1987)

🛛 🖬 Average

SD = Standard Deviation

N = Number of Cases Dash indicates crew

Dash indicates crew wore other uniform

D-9

.

TASK 5

"Repair of M60 Machine Gun" was performed by one soldier; 12 subjects performed between 8 and 13 trials. A total of 36 trials were conducted in BDU while 60 trials were conducted in MOPP 4. Thirteen of the 36 trials performed in BDU were conducted during the first two trials. Table D-5 provides the data (reproduced from Montgomery, 1987) for this task.

The mean time to repair the M60 machine gun for trial 1 was smaller for MOPP 4 (11.9, N of 4) than for BDU (14.3, N of 7). For the second trial, however, the mean for BDU is smaller, 7.7 (N = 6) than MOPP 4, 10.1 (N = 5). The performance improvement for BDU vs. MOPP 4 from trial 1 to trial 2 was substantially greater, 14.3 to 7.7 (46 percent change) to 11.9 to 10.1 (15 percent change). Comparison between the two groups is difficult for later trials due to the lack of data for BDU. There is very little performance improvement for the BDU condition from the second through the fifth trials for MOPP 4, but a comparison of the weighted average of these trials 11.5 (N = 30) to the weighted average of the sixth through the ninth trials, 8.8 (N = 22) shows a 23 percent improvement. A weighted average for the first three trials for BDU and MOPP 4 indicates no difference in performance (BDU X = 10.7, N = 16; MOPP 4 X = 10.6, N = 17).

TASK 6

- A

"Repair of M901 Traverse Mechanism" was performed by one soldier. Ten subjects performed at least 4 trials, 4 performed 5 trials, and 1 subject performed 6 trials. The data in Table D-6 are reproduced from Montgomery (1987).

For Task 6, the mean repair times were computed for trials 1-4 without regard to clothing type. The results are shown in Figure D-1.

As can be seen by the results in Figure D-1, the mean repair times showed a monotonic decrease from trials 1-4 regardless of clothing type. For trials 2 and 3, all subjects were dressed in MOPP 4; for trials 1 and 4, half of the subjects were dressed in BDU and half in MOPP 4. The dress type for all subjects was changed from Trial 1 for Trial 4.

	Trials												
Taam	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
					Battle	Dress l	Jniform (BDU)		<u></u>	<u> </u>		Å
1.8*	16	7											
28	17	6					5	6					
3a			-					6.2	5	5.8	5.B	5	
4a	5.4	5.1	4.6	5			-			3	3.2		3.1
5a			.				-			5	4.3	4.3	3.3
16	22.9		10.4				-			6.5			
26		7.4	-				-		5.0	4.4			
36	8,5	5.1	-			4.6							
46	••				4,8	4,2							
5b	16.5	15.6						1					
6b	13.8	••	9.2										
Total	100.1	46.2	24.2	5.0	4,8	8.8	5	12.2	10.0	19.8	13,3	9.3	6.4
x	14,3	7.7	8.1	5.0	4,8	4,4	5.0	6.1	5.0	4.9	4.5	4.6	3.2
SD	5,8	4.0	3.1			0,3		0.1		1.1	1.3	0.5	0.1
N	7	6	3	1	1	2	1	2	2	4	3	2	2
			A	Mission	-Oriente	d Prote	ctive Po	sture (M	OPP 4)				
14*			7	. 7	11	7	6	4					
2a	-	-	12	9	17	11			13	11,8			
3a	9,2	11.2	14.3	9.2	10.1	7.0	8.8	-		9,0	••		
4a			-		6	8	6,8	6	6.7			5,8	
5a	14	7	9	10.8	7.4	6,8	7.1	11	5		••		
15	••	9,3	-	13,4	10.0	8.9	16.8	11.8	7.4	••			
25	13.9	••	8.3	13.4	17.8	11.9	16.4	5.5			5,3		
35			10.6	22.0	6.9	-							
45	10.5	7.6	11.2	14.7				1					
55		••	10.4	11.6	13.7								
65	••	15.4	-										
Total	47	50.5	82.8	111.1	99.9	60.6	61,9	38.3	32.1	20.8	5.3	5.8	
×	11.9	10.1	10.4	12.5	11.1	8.7	10.3	7.7	8.0	10.4	5.3	5,8	••
SD	2.4	3,4	2.3	4.6	4.3	2.1	5.0	3.5	3.5	2.0	••		••
N	4	5	8	8	9	7	6	6	4	2	1	1	
MOPP 4 Less BDU	-2.4	2.4	2.3	7.5	6.3	4.3	5.3	1.6	3.0	5.5	0.8	1.2	
Change as a % of BDU	-17	31	28	150	131	98	106	28	60	112	18	26	

Table D-5. Time (min) Required to Repair M60 Machine Gun When in BDUor in MOPP 4 (from Montgomery, 1987)

🐱 📼 Average

•

ć

۲

SD = Standard Deviation

N = Number of Cases

Note: A dash indicates that data for this try were obtained by the crew attired in the alternate uniform.

4

D-11

		_	Tria	als						
Team	1	2	3	4	5	6				
(ean)	Battle Dress Uniform (BDU)									
1a	21									
2a	*			(37)	29					
3a	25					12				
4a				16						
5a			••	13						
1b	77.5				25.5					
2ა				21.9	-					
Зb	57.1									
4b				18.4						
5b	52.6									
Total	233.2			106.3	54.5	12				
2	46.6			21.3	27.3					
SD	23.6		••	3.7		••				
N	5	0	0	4	2	1				
	Mis	slon-Orier	nted Protec	tive Postu	ire (MOPP	4)				
1a		24	50	25						
2a	80	58	32							
3a		48	31	29	21					
4a	40	31	29							
5a	78	44	31							
16		86.0	38.5	37.7						
25	93.5	40.2	45,5							
Зb		55.6	43.7	46.6	30.5					
46	46.0	33.5	26.0							
5b		42.4	(36.4)	30.5						
Total	337.5	462.7	326.7	168.8	51,5					
x	67.5	46.3	36.3	33.8	25.8					
SD	23.2	17.5	8.4	8.5						
N	5	10	9	5	2					

Table D-6. Time (min) Required to Repair M901 Traverse MechanismWhen in BDU or in MOPP 4 (from Montgomery, 1987)

¥

Y

ą

■ Average ■ Standard Deviation SD

N = Number of Cases

Note: A dash indicates that data for this try were obtained by the crew attired in the alternate uniform (BDU versus MOPP 4).
 () Values in parentheses are interpolated from row and column means.

D-12

.••



Figure D-1. Time (min) by Trials to Repair M901 Traverse Mechanism Without Regard to Clothing Type

Figure D-2 clearly indicates the effect of wearing MOPP 4. For the first trial, the BDU first group (N = 5) required a mean of 46.6 minutes to complete the repair, while the MOPP 4 first group required 67.5 seconds (a difference of 20.9 minutes or a decrement of 31 percent for the MOPP 4 first group). For trial 4 with the clothing conditions changed for both groups, the BDU first group (MOPP 4 condition) required 33.8 minutes while the MOPP 4 first group (BDU condition) required only 21.3 minutes (a difference of 12.5 minutes or decrement of 37.3 percent for the MOPP 4 condition). A comparison of the means for trial 2 and trial 3, during which subjects were in MOPP 4 (trial 2 X = 46.3, N = 10, trial 3 X = 36.3, N = 9) indicates a 22 percent improvement in performance. This improvement is a combination of learning to accomplish the task and learning to accomplish the task in MOPP 4. Unfortunately the results of these two effects are confounded.

Using regionalized statistics, Montgomery (1987) found a 50 percent \pm 30 percent decrement for the MOPP 4 condition.



Figure D-2. Comparison of BDU and MOPP 4 for Trials 1 and 4

TASK 7

"Repair of FADAC Printed Circuit Board" was performed by one soldier; a total 5 subjects performed the task both in BDU and MOPP 4. All subjects performed at least 6 trials; of those a total of 5 trials were performed in BDU and 24 trials were performed in MOPP 4. The data in Table D-7 are reproduced from Montgomery (1987).

The performance improvement between the second and the sixth trial for MOPP 4 was substantial. The mean time for trial 2 was 32 min (N = 5) and for trial 6 the mean time was 22 min (N = 5), an improvement of 31 percent. The lack of data for the BDU condition prevents meaningful comparisons.

	Trials												
Team	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	Battle Dress Uniform (BDU)												
1.	(21)	-	. 20										
2a	35						11			6			
3a	••	PB.		23	••								
42	29						21						
5a				7	**		6.9	17	••	••			
Mean	32	(26)	20	15	(14)	(14)	13	17		6			
Deviation	4.2			11.3	•=		7.2						
N	2	0	1	5	0	0	3	1	0	1			
	Mission-Oriented Protective Posture (MOPP 4)												
1a		36		28	19	21							
28		20	22	19	16	23	'ee	12	11				
3a	55	68	34		25	29							
4a		19	20	22	24	22.8							
5 a	32.3	19	. 24	**	23	15		**	14	12			
Mean	44	32	25	23	21	22	(16)	12	12	12			
Deviation	16.1	21.2	6.2	4.6	3.8	5.0		••	2.1	**			
N	2	5	4	3	5	5	0	1	2	1			
X MOPP 4 Less X BDU	12	6	5	8	7	8	3	5		6			
Change as a % of BDU	37	23	25	53	50	57	23	-29		100			

Table D-7. Time (min) Required to Repair FADAC Printed Circuit BoardWhen in BDU or in MOPP 4 (from Montgomery, 1987)

Note: Dash indicates that data for this try were obtained by the crew attired in the alternate uniform (BDU versus MOPP 4).

() - Values in parentheses are interpolated.