A



# TECHNICAIL FOR THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

USA-BRDEC-TR // 2519

# Extending the Service Life of Urethane Fuel Tanks

Paul E. Gatza Paul Touchet Henry O. Feuer Alian R. Teets



Report Date
March 1992

Distribution unlimited; approved for public release.

92-08300



United States Army

Belvoir Research, Development and Engineering Center
Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060-5606

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

The citation in this report of trade names of commercially available products does not constitute official endorsement or approval of the use of such products.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Service, Direction of Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Devis Highway, Suite 1204, Artington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget Reduction Project (0704-0188).

waanington, oc 2000.	' ilons and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite	·		
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		3. REPORT TYPE	AND DATES C	OVERED
	March 1992	Final		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE  Extending the Service Life	of Urethane Fuel Tanks (U)		5. FUNDING	NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S)		_		
	et, Henry O. Feuer, and Allan R	. Teets		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA	me(s) and address(es) ment & Engineering Center			MING ORGANIZATION NUMBER
Product Assurance and Eng Advanced Technology Div Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-56	gineering Directorate ision, STRBE-TVU			2519
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			ORING/MONITORING Y REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				* .
POC: Paul E. Gatza, (703	3) 704-1984			•.
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY S	TATEMENT		12b, DISTR	IBUTION CODE
Distribution unlimited; ap	proved for public release.			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words	)		***************************************	
fabrics, used in collapsible f	opment of an extraction/immers fuel storage tanks. Also include th govern procurement of these t	d are recommended ch		
14. SUBJECT TERMS				15. NUMBER OF PAGES
collapsible fuel tank	coated fabrics ure	thanes		35
			:	16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFIC OF ABSTRACT	WOITA	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified		Unlimited

Report Number 2519

# Extending the Service Life of Urethane Fuel Tanks

by
Paul E. Gatza
Paul Touchet
Henry O. Feuer
Allan R. Teets



US Army Belvoir RD&E Center Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060-5606

**March 1992** 

Distribution unlimited; approved for public release.

# **Preface**

his report details further investigations conducted and results obtained in a continuing effort to improve the service life of urethane-based collapsible fuel storage tanks. Earlier work has established a correlation between service life and the susceptibility to extraction of stabilizers—additives incorporated in the coating compound to inhibit UV and hydrolytic degradation. An extraction/immersion test procedure, designed to provide comparative data relative to expected performance of candidate urethane coatings, has been evaluated and found to be effective. Proposed changes to two typical fuel tank specifications, incorporating this procedure, are outlined in the appendix to this report.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I DTIC TAB Unamnounced Just Freation	
By	
 Agail	
DIEC V	



# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Section I	Background1
Section II	Investigation3
	Materials Used3
	Tests Conducted3
	Results3
Section II	Discussion7
	Coating Compounds7
	Coated Fabrics8
	Seams8
Section IV	Interpretation and Implementation10
	Extraction/immersion Test Procedure10
	Application to Military Specifications10
Section V	Conclusions12
Appendix	of TablesA-1
Figures	
1	Tensile and Elongation Retention Diesel/
	JP-8 immersion4
2	Tensile and Elongation Retention
	Water Immersion5
3	Seal Peel Adhesion and Breaking Strength
	Coated Fabrics6

# Section I

# Background

ollapsible fuel tanks, fabricated from urethane-coated nylon fabric, were first introduced into petroleum, oil, and lubricants (POL) field systems during the Vietnam conflict. Their performance then and now—particularly in any humid tropic environment—has been less than satisfactory. Unless formulated and produced according to stringent limitations, urethane-based fabric coatings are extremely susceptible to ultra-violet and hydrolytic degradation, polyesters being more vulnerable than polyethers to hydrolysis. Advancements have been made in understanding the mechanism of the degradative process, and most urethanes now contain chemical stabilizers which, under normal conditions of usage, have proven to be effective. A lingering problem has been the deterioration and ultimate failure of external tank surface areas and seams, even when protective agents have been incorporated into the finished coated fabric. This negative factor has limited the effective service and shelf life of these fuel tanks to one and five years, respectively.

Recently, the US Army Troop Support Command (TROSCOM) issued a directive that these tanks would no longer be used by the Army for long term storage of gasoline fuels. This change in policy allows a shift in emphasis from fuel-resistant coatings to more hydrolytically stable materials; thus, polyethers can now be given more consideration as fabric coatings. Concurrently, Belyoir Research, Development & Engineering Center (BRDEC) Report No. 2488, "Failure Mechanism of Urethane Elastomer Coated Fabric Collapsible Fuel Tanks," by Henry O. Feuer, Jr., and Paul Touchet, dated March 1990, shed a new and highly relevant light on the causes of coating and seam failures on external tank surfaces. Studies by the authors demonstrated unequivocally that these failures were attributable to the leaching out of protective stabilizers by unintentional contact with the contained fuel. The leaching action occurs regardless of the fuel type, but is particularly severe in the case of diesel fuel. Because of this fuel's low volatility and relatively slow evaporation rate, any small spills on the tank surface prolong the extraction process, resulting in more extensive damage.

Further substantiation of the findings contained in the above-cited report can also be found in the paper, "Effects of Extracting Hydrolytic Stabilizers on Urethane Performance," by the same authors, presented at the semi-annual meeting of the Rubber Division-American Chemical Society, October 1990, in Washington, D.C. In a series of controlled tests, samples of urethane coating compounds, wherein the presence or absence of stabilizers was known, were subjected to fuel and water immersion. In some cases, samples were extracted in fuel at room temperature or 70°C prior to immersion in water. Water-aging tests were conducted on extracted and non-extracted specimens for periods as long as 42 days at 70°C. Diesel fuel, conforming to Mil\_F-46162C, was chosen for immersion testing, and JP-5/JP-8, conforming to MIL-T-5624N, was chosen for the combined extraction/immersion procedure. Requirements cited in these documents are very tightly controlled, enhancing credibility as "reference fuels." A direct correlation was established among the factors: type of urethane, type of fuel, stabilizer content, and whether a fuel extraction was conducted prior to immersion in water.

Heretofore, military specifications for fuel tanks have merely based requirements for hydrolytic stability on the urethane's ability to retain a certain percentage of original tensile properties after immersion in distilled water at 70°C for 14 and 42 days. While this testing does serve to ascertain the material's hydrolytic stability, no assessment is made of the combined effects of both fuel and water. As emphasized in the above-cited work, this can be accomplished by inserting, in relevant tank specifications, an extraction/immersion test conducted at 70°C to simulate the extreme (humid tropic) environment encountered inservice. By extracting specimens in an agreed-upon reference fuel (such as JP-5/JP-8 ST) prior to the 14- and 42-day water immersion, a more exact indication of expected in-service performance is obtained. Additionally, urethanes that are inadequately stabilized can be screened out, thus reducing the possibility of acceptance of inferiorcoated fabrics while assuring procurement of tanks with longer shelf and service life.

This report summarizes additional testing performed on urethane coctings, coated fabrics, and seams to further substantiate the need for a combined extraction/immersion procedure. Also, to exemplify how the procedure can be implemented in fuel tank specifications, revised performance criteria for two such documents are presented herein.

# Section !!

# Investigation

### **MATERIALS USED**

Twelve urethane compounds and five urethane-coated fabrics were selected for evaluation and comparison of performance characteristics. Some of these materials were known to be poor choices for use in fuel tanks, but were intentionally included to further demonstrate the relevance, interrelationship, and effect on performance of factors, such as type of urethane and presence or absence of stabilizers. Certain industrial suppliers, although willing to provide sample materials, were reluctant to divulge compositional information. Therefore, rather than infringe on proprietary rights, all samples were coded and no sources are disclosed herein. Limited structural details are included within generated data tables.


### TESTS CONDUCTED

Table 1 (page A-2) lists the tests conducted on the coating compound and coated fabric specimens, and the applicable test procedures employed. Certain aspects of the test plan, such as prolonged immersions for 14 and 42 days and drying in a vacuum oven at reduced pressure, deviate from ASTM or FTMS 191 recommendations. However, such modifications are cited in current fuel tank specifications, and were deemed necessary here to extract data which would more precisely demonstrate the relationship between material composition factors and comparative hydrolytic stability. End item specifications contain a much more extensive variety of physical and mechanical materials qualification tests. The abridged test plan used was designed to address specific issues.

## **RESULTS**


Table 2 (page A-4) summarizes results obtained for all tests conducted on the twelve urethane coating materials. Table 3 (page A- 5) contains similar data as generated during testing of the five candidate urethanecoated fabrics. Figures 1, 2, and 3, are graphical representations of selected data presented to aid interpretation and highlight material performance factors.

# TENSILE RETENTION DIESEL/JP-8 IMMERSIONS



Immersed 14 Days at 160 F.


# ELONGATION RETENTION DIESEL/JP-8 IMMERSIONS



Immersed 14 Days at 160 F.


Figure 1. Tensile and Elongation Retention Diesel/JP-8 Immersion

# TENSILE RETENTION AFTER WATER IMMERSION



Immersed in Water at 160 F.


# **ELONGATION RETENTION** AFTER WATER IMMERSION



Immersed in Water at 160 F.


Figure 2. Tensile and Elongation Retention Water Immersion

# SEAM PEEL ADHESION



All immorations at 160 F.

# SEAM BREAKING STRENGTH



All Immersions at 160 F.

Figure 3. Seal Peel Adhesion and Breaking Strength Coated Fabrics

# Section III

# Discussion

### COATING COMPOUNDS

As indicated in the heading of Table 2 (page A-4), the twelve compounds selected represent a good cross-section of materials currently provided by industry suppliers—ethers, esters, and blends thereof, some with and some without stabilizers. All have relatively high tensile strength, elongation, and 200% moduli. Retention of original properties after immersion in diesel fuel or JP-8 was found to be acceptable for most, regardless of whether ether or ester-based. As depicted graphically in Figure 1, results were mixed, and the extent of property loss was essentially equal for both diesel fuel and JP-8. Only compounds C-3, C-4, and C-5 would be considered as definitely unacceptable. Ironically, compound C-7 performed well despite having a volume swell of over 20% in each fuel. Unwashed and heptanewashed existent gum content was judged excellent or all materials, limits usually being less than 20 and 5 gms/ml, respectively.

A dramatic illustration of the positive effects of the presence of stabilizers in urethane coating compounds is evident when the data generated from 14- and 42-day immersions in distilled water of unextracted and JP-8-extracted specimens are examined. Figure 2 highlights this graphically. The ether-based compound C-3 exhibited poor water resistance after only 14 days immersion. Unstabilized compounds, such as C-1 and C-8, exhibit marked and parallel declines in tensile and elongation retention after 42 days exposure, while C-4 (of unknown composition) displayed volume swells in excess of 40%. The subsequent decline in tensile retention after extended immersion of stabilized compounds C-2, C-6, and C-9, appears sufficient to indicate a slight to moderate amount of extraction of their respective additives. Conversely, the performance of compound C-10, under all conditions of exposure, was judged excellent and indicative that little or no stabilizer extraction took place. In general, the fuel extraction procedure does not seem to adversely affect the water resistance of properly stabilized ester or unstabilized ether urethanes, and can apparently provide a better estimation of expected long-term performance.

### COATED FABRICS

Data generated in testing of the five candidate coated fabrics contained in Table 3 (page A-5) is supplemented by Figure 3, a graphical representation of comparative performance of fabricated seams in peel and shear breaking strength tests. The relatively low weight (33 to 36 ounces per square yard) and high breaking strength of these materials coincide with known advantages of urethanes as coatings and laminates; namely, low density without sacrificing ability to withstand weathering and abrasion. In order to attain equivalent weight to breaking strength ratios using other conventional coating materials, such as nitrile or epichlorohydrins/ethylene oxide (ECO), one would have to resort to heavier base fabrics and/or thicker coatings. Coating adhesion tests normally require specially prepared samples having a reinforcing backup strip. These specimens could not be obtained from material suppliers, thus the test could not be conducted. Tear strength and puncture resistance of all candidates were excellent, and those that were ester-based tended to display slightly higher values. The seemingly anomalous high warp tear strength of CF-4 (99 pounds) is probably attributable to an unusual base fabric weave. JP-8 diffusion rates were low (considering the fuel's high volatile content), and well within limits imposed in current fuel tank specifications.

# **SEAMS**

The seam structures furnished by coated fabric suppliers were lap joint and heat-sealed, rather than adhesive-bonded. When employed properly, heat-sealing can provide acceptable joints. Heat-sealing is often preferred because it is less labor-intensive and more expeditious. All seam peel adhesion failures occurred between the coating and the nylon fabric, underscoring the importance of coating adhesion. Peel adhesion of unaged specimens ranged from 43 to 63 pounds per inch, and dropped to 19 to 44 pounds per inch after aging in diesel fuel or JP-8. Here, performance in either fuel was essentially the same. Two of the seam structures (CF-2 and CF-5) are currently being used in fabrication of collapsible water tanks. They both demonstrated excellent peel adhesion (>38 pounds per inch) after fuel extraction and long-term water immersion. All others displayed adequate adhesion after extraction and immersion for 14 days, but values dropped to 10 pounds per inch or less after further water aging for 42 days. This can be seen in the first graph of Figure 3.

Seam shear breaking strength data of Table 3, and as shown graphically in the second portion of Figure 3, again indicates preference for the two ether-based materials, CF-2 and CF-5. Values here, even after JP-8 extraction and 42 days of distilled water immersion, were still essentially equal to those obtained initially from unaged specimens. Ester-based CF-1 also displayed good property retention after extraction/immersion, while CF-3 and CF-4 (ester and ether-based, respectively), evidenced moderate strength losses. None of the seams failed the dead load slippage test, conducted at 180°F under a stress of 50 pounds per inch. These findings substantiate the need for extraction/immersion testing and emphasize that a candidate urethane-coated fabric's potential as a fuel tank material cannot be judged merely on the basis of urethane type and stabilizer content.

# Section IV

# Interpretation and Implementation

# EXTRACTION/IMMERSION TEST PROCEDURE

It is readily apparent from the previous discussion that an extraction/ immersion test procedure would predict the performance potential of collapsible fuel tanks constructed of urethane-coated fabric. A simple test performed on candidate materials prior to end item fabrication would tell the fabricator whether any potential exists for catastrophic tank failures in the field induced by accidental fuel spillage on exposed surfaces. As proposed for inclusion in relevant specifications, the procedure is as follows:

- 1. Immerse the specimens in JP-5/JP-8 ST fuel conforming to MIL-T-5624 for 7 days at  $160^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$ F.
- 2. Remove specimens from fuel and blot with paper towels.
- 3. Place specimens in a vacuum drying oven for 16 hours  $\pm 2$  hours at  $120^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$ F, at a reduced pressure of 20 inches of Mercury.
- 4. Immerse specimens in distilled water for the specified period, usually 14 and 42 days, at  $160^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}F$ .
- 5. Determine physical properties—as applicable to coating compounds, coated fabrics, or seams—on the aged specimens.

### APPLICATION TO MILITARY SPECIFICATIONS

Implementation of the new test procedure in relevant fuel tank apecifications has already been initiated. Modifications to the currently active versions of two such documents, MIL-T-52983E, "Tank, Fabric, Collapsible, 3,000, 10,000, 20,000, and 50,000 Gallon, Petroleum," and MIL-T-53066, "Tank, Fabric, Collapsible, 5,000 Barrel Petroleum," have been drafted. Suggested changes included not only those related to the extraction/immersion procedure, but others deemed necessary on the basis of additional information generated in this and other in-house fuel tank materials investigations. Adjustments have been made to preclude imposition of excessive demands (overdesign), and provide an equitable and attainable balance of

properties. Some instances are encountered where a proposed requirement is less stringent than that now cited. Tables 4 through 7 (pages A-6 through A-9), contain the recommended replacements for Tables I through IV of MIL-T-52983, and Tables 8 through 11 (pages A-10 through A-13), contain corresponding replacements for Tables II through V of Mill-T-53066E. Footnotes detailing deviations from normal practices and/or explicit directives are included for each table. Drafts of the proposed changes to each specification have been submitted to the documents' custodian.

Significant benefits would be derived from further application of the extraction/immersion test procedure. It is recommended that all specifications covering fuel containment and storage tanks wherein urethane-coated fabrics are used be reviewed and, if applicable, similar changes implemented. It is quite probable that tank service life could be extended from one to five years, and the storage life from five to ten or twenty years.

# Section V

# Conclusions

- 1. Current specifications for collapsible fuel storage tanks, based on urethane-coated fabrics, do not contain effective materials requirements to preclude inadequate resistance of the coatings to the deteriorative effects of ultra-violet and hydrolysis.
- 2. Even though a urethane-coated fabric is purported to contain ultraviolet and hydrolytic stabilizers, resistance of these chemical additives to surface extraction by incidental contact with fuels must be verified.
- 3. Use of separately conducted fuel and water immersion tests to ascertain the acceptability of a urethane-coated fabric falls short of providing meaningful information regarding expected performance.
- 4. A procedure which employs initial extraction in JP-5/JP-8 ST, followed by immersion in water at 160°F for a minimum of 42 days, will effectively screen out unsuitable andidate urethane coating compounds. The end item coated fabrics must be highly stable in order to withstand the demanding and deteriorative effects of the environment.
- 5. The proposed extraction/immersion procedure should be incorporated in all military specifications wherein urethane-coated fabrics are utilized.
- 6. Implementation of the cited procedure in future urethane fuel tank procurement actions could potentially provide end items having double the shelf and storage life of those currently in service.

A STATE OF THE STA

# **Appendix of Tables**

Table	Caption	Page
1	Tests and Procedures	A-2
2	Properties of Coating Compounds for Collapsible Fuel Tanks	A-4
3	Properties of Polyurethane-Coated Fabrics for Collapsible Fuel Tanks	A-5
4	Proposed Replacement for Table I of MIL-T-52983E	A-6
5	Proposed Replacement for Table II of MIL-T-52983E	A-7
6	Proposed Replacement for Table III of MIL-T-52983E	A-8
7	Proposed Replacement for Table IV of MIL-T-52983E	A-9
8	Proposed Replacement for Table II of MIL-T-53066	A-10
9	Proposed Replacement for Table III of MIL-T-53066	A-11
10	Proposed Replacement for Table IV of MIL-T-53066	A-12
11	Proposed Replacement for Table V of MIL-T-53066	A-13

Table 1. Tests and Test Procedures

		10010 11 10000 0000 1100	
	TES	эт	TEST PROCEDURES
A.	Coa	ating Compounds	
	1.	Tensile Strength, Initial	ASTM D 412
	2.	Elongation, Initial	ASTM D 412
	3.	200% Modulus, Initial	ASTM D 412
	4.	Properties after Fuel Immersion in MIL-F-46162 Diesel or MIL-T-5624 JP-5/JP-8 ST Fuels for 14 Days at 160°F	ASTM D 471
		Tensile, Elongation, Modulus, and Volume Swell	ASTM D 471 & ASTM D 412
	5.	Properties after Immersion in Distilled Water at 160°F for 14 and 42 Days	ASTM D 477 & ASTM D 412
		Tensile, Elongation, Modulus, and Volume Swell	
	6.	Properties after Fuel Extraction in JP-8 for 7 Days at 160°F, then Dried in a Vacuum Oven at 120°F and 20 inches of Mercury for 16 Hours	
		a. Water Immersion 14 and 42 Days at 160°F	AS' M D 471 & AS' M D 412
		<ol> <li>Tensile, Elongation, Modulus, and Volume Swell</li> </ol>	
	7.	Fuel Contamination	
		a. Existent Gum	Para 4.5.2.11 of MIL-T-52983
		b. Heptane Washed Guni	Para 4.5.2.9 of MIL-T-53066
В.	Co	ated Fabrics	
	1.	Original	
		a. Weight	Meth 5641 FTMS 191
		b. Diffusion Rate of JP-8	Para 4.5.2.10 of MIL-T-53066 or Para 4.5.2.12 of MIL-≖T-52983
		c. Tear & Breaking Strength	Meth 5134 & 5102 of FTMS 191
		d. Puncture Resistance	Meth 5120 FTMS 191

Table 1. Tests and Test Procedures (continued)

	TES	ST	TEST PROCEDURES
C.	Sea	ams	
	1.	Original	
		a. Breaking Strength	Meth 8311 FTMS 191
		b. Seam Peel Adhesion	ASTM D 413, Machine
	2.	After Immersion in Diesel and JP-8 Fuels for 14 Days at 160°F	ASTM D 471
		a. Breaking Strength	Meth 8311 FTMS 191
		b. Seam Peel Adhesion	ASTM D 413, Machine
	3.	After Fuel Extraction and Dried, then Immersed in Distilled Water for 14 and 42 Days at 160°F	ASTM D 471
		a. Breaking Strength	Meth 8311 FTMS 191
		b. Seam Peel Adhesion	ASTM D 413, Machine
	4.	Dead Load Slippage	Para 4.5.2.16 of MIL-T-53066 Para 4.5.2.19 of MIL-T-52983D

Table 2. Froperties of Coating Compounds for Collapsible Fuel Tanks

Table 3. Properties of Polyurethane-Coated Fabrics for Collapsible Fuel Tanks

д<del>а.</del> Д

MA ST

MATIERIALS ID CODE COATING TYPE, INTERIOR/EXTERIOR	CF-1 EsvEth	CF-2 Eth/Eth	CF-3 EsVEst	CF-4 Eth/Eth	CF-5 Eth/Eth
COATED FABRICS Original Properties					
Weight, oz/są yd Breaking strength, It/in	8	36	83	33	35
Warp	76	738	752	817	740
Tea Wength to	290	572	560	752	562
Warp	29	52	. 22	39	76
	8	37	. <del>4</del>	3	33
Puncture Strangth, Ib	261	223	242	227	523
Diffusion with JP-8, oz/sq yd/ 24 hours	;				
	9.03	0.043	0.097	0.037	0.048
Jr-4 EXUACIOO + / Days at -25°F	0.074	990'0	0.104	0.045	0.058
SEAK MATERIALS					
Peel Achesion, Ib/in					
Criginal	<b>₹</b>	56	SS.	45	63
After Immersion in Diesel 14 Days at 160°F	52	0.7	ဗ္ဗ	: E	98
After Immersion in JP-8 14 Days at 160°F	19	44	35	28	40
After Extraction in JP-8 and Dried				ł	?
Immersion in Water 14 Days at 160°F	31	8,	88	25	40
Inmersion in Water 42 Days at 160°F	o o	39	ş	10	38
Shear Breaking Strength, Ibnn					
Original	472	629	558	674	499
After Immersion in Diesal 14 Days at 160°F	438	568	419	629	335
After Immersion in JP-8 14 Days at 160°F	435	548	556	584	329
Immersion in Water 14 Days of 180°E	***	00	į	ļ	
Immorphis Motor to Dans at 1001	177	466	476	553	377
minnessor in water 42 Days at 160°F	363	573	282	408	485
Dead Load Slippage, inches	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
					•

NOTE: Coating achesion samples could not be obtained. Seam peel adhesion failures occurred between coating and fabric.

# Table 4. Proposed Replacement for Table I of MiLT-52983E

TABLE I. CHARACTURISTICS OF COATING COMPOUNDS. 1/

TEST PROPERTY	REQUIREMENTS (ALL TANK SIZES)	TEST PARAGRAPH AND ASTM TEST METHODS
ORIGINAL PROPERTIES		
TENSILE STRENGTH, PSI. (MIN)	1500 (1500)	D 412
ULTIMATE ELONGATION, % (MIN)	300 (300)	O 412
PROPERTIES AFTER FUEL IMMERSION		D 471 (PARA 14 1,
IN TEST FLUID 2/ AT 160 F FOR 14 DAYS		14 2, &10.1)
TENSILE STRENGTH RETAINED.% (MIN)	80 (65)	
ELONGATION RETAINED, % (MIN)	80 ()	•
VOLUME SWELL % (MAX)	25 ()	
PROPERTIES AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION,		D 471 (PARA 14 1,
DRIED, AND THEN IMMERSED IN		14 2, 810 1)
DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F FOR		& 4 5.2.XX 9/
THE FOLLOWING DURATIONS: 3/		
14 DAYS		
TENSILE STRENGTH RETAINED,% (MIN)	75 ()	
ELONGATION RETAINED.% (MIN)	80 ()	1
VOLUME SWELL,% (MAX)	10 ()	
42 DAYS		i
TENSILE STRENGTH RETAINED.% (MIN)	70 ()	
ELONGATION RETAINED,% (MIN)	75 ()	•
VOLUME SWELL.% (MAX)	10 ()	1
RESISTANCE TO LIGHT AFTER		!
1500 HOURS ACCELERATED WEATHERING		D 750 b/ OR
AT 10% ELONGATION 4/.		D 2565 1
TENSILE STRENGTH RETAINED,% (MIN)	80 (80)	!
fuel contamination: 5/		
EXISTENT GUM, UNWASHED, MG/100ML (MA	20 (20)	4.5.2 11
HEPTANE WASHED GUM, MG/100 ML (MAX)	5 (5)	4 5 2.11
OZONE RESISTANCE	NO CRACKS	D 1149 8/
	UNDER 7X LENS	

### Notes for Table I

- 1 ASYM test slabs shall be of same composition and cure as coating compounds.
- 2 immersion test fluid shall be diesel fuel conforming to MIL-F-46162.
- 3 JP-5/JP-8 ST conforming to MIL-T-5624N will be used as extraction media.
- Applicable to all exterior coating compounds. That is, all coating compounds between the nylon cloth and the outside of the tank.
- 5 Applicable to all interior coating compounds and seam covering materials. That is, coating compounds between nylon cloth (including any coatings or seam covering tapes) and the inside of the tank.
- 6 Alternate Corex D filters in place.
- <sup>7</sup> ASTM Method D 2565, Xenon Light, Procedure A, inner and outer borosilicate filters; delonized water (20  $\pm$  3°C); cycle: 690 minutes light exposure, 30 minutes light and gray; black panel temperature of 63  $\pm$  3°C; relative humidity (45  $\pm$  5%).
- <sup>8</sup> Test Method A specimen shall be conditioned for 14 days at a temperature of 104  $\pm$  3.6°F (40  $\pm$  2°C) having a partial pressure of ozone of 50 milipascals.
- <sup>9</sup> (Proposed new paragraph) 4.5.2.XX

Fuel Extraction. Test specimens shall be prepared in accordance with the tests to be performed in Tables I – IV and then submitted to the following extraction procedure.

- a. Immerse the specimen in JP-5/JP-8 ST fuel conforming to MIL-T-5624N, for 7 days at 160 ± 2°F.
- b. Remove specimen from fuel and blot with paper towels.
- c. Place specimens in a vacuum oven for drying for  $16\pm2$  hours at  $120\pm2^{\circ}F$  at 20 inches of Mercury.
- d. Samples will then be immersed in distilled water as required in Tables I-IV.

March the the transfer of the march they girly bear and what he shall an in from the age-maintenance

Table 5. Proposed Replacement for Table II of MIL-T-52983E

TABLE II CHARACTERISTICS OF COATED FABRIC.

	REQUIREMENTS TANK CAPACITY (GALLONS)	TEST PARAGRAPH TEST METHOD OF
TEST		FED-STD-:31 OR
PROPERTY	3.000 10.000 20.000 50.000	ASTM TEST METH
WEIGHT (OZ/SQ YD)	30 MIN-62 MAX (30 MIN-62 MAX)	5041
DIFFUSION RATE 🗗		i
FL OZ/SQ FT/24 HR. MAX.	12 (.1) .12 (.1) .12 (.1) .12 (.1)	4.5.2.12
TEAR STRENGTH, W & F		1
LB., MINIMUM	30 (25) 30 (25) 40 (35) 40 (35)	5134
BREAKING STRENGTH,		5102
W & F, L8/IN, MIN	400 (350) 400 (350) 550 (500) 550 (500)	1
PUNCTURE RESISTANCE	200 (110) 200 (110) 200 (150) 200 (150)	4.5.2.14/5120
LBS . MINIMUM	·	
WEATHERING RESISTANCE		58044 D 25655
1500 HAS EXPOSURE & 5%		AND 5102
ELONGATION, WARP & FILL		į
BREAKING STRENGTH	:	i
RETENTION, %, MIN	80 (80) 80 (80) 80 (80) 80 (80)	
LOW TEMPERATURE CREASE		i
RESISTANCE 1/		
APPEARANCE	NO CRACKING, PEELING, OR	4.5.2.15
	DELAMINATION UNDER 7X LENS	-
DIFFUSION RATE	0	
FL OZ/SQ FT/24 HRS, MAX	12 (.1) .12 (.1) .12 (.1) 12 (.1)	4.5.2.12
FUNGUS RESISTANCE	NO CRACKING, BLISTERING.	5762 Q
APPEARANCE	OR DELAMINATION OF COATING	& 5102
BREAKING STR. RETAINED		
WARP & FILL, %, MIN	80 () 80() 80 ()	
RLOCKING	SEPARATE WITHIN 5 SECONDS	4.5.2.16
COATING ADHESION	00 (00) 00 (00) 00 (00)	4.5.2.17 &
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	30 (20) 30 (20) 30 (20) 30 (20)	4.5.2.17.1
AFTER FUEL IMMERSION 2		D 471
FOR 14 DAYS AT 160 F	Ţ.	4.3 2.17 &
LB/IN, MIN	[20 (10) 20 (10) 20 (10) 20 (10)	4.5.2 17.1
CB/II4, IVIII4	20 (10) 20 (10) 20 (10)	4.3.2 17.1
AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION 1/2	1	D 471, 4.5.2.17,
DRIED, AND IMMERSION IN	!	4 5.2.17.1
WATER AT 160 F FOR		& 4.5.2.XX 3
14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	20 () 20 () 20 ()	- 1.0
42 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	15 () 15 () 15 ()	

### Notes for Table II

Fuel Extraction. Test specimens shall be prepared in accordance with the tests to be performed in Tables I - IV and then submitted to the following extraction procedure.

- a. Immerse the specimen in JP-5/JP-8 ST fuel conforming to MIL-T-5624N, for 7 days at 160 ± 2°F.
- b. Remove specimen from fuel and blot with paper towels.
- c. Place specimens in a vacuum oven for drying for 16  $\pm$  2 hours at 120  $\pm$  2°F at 20 inches of Mercury.
- d. Samples will then be immersed in distilled water as required in Tables I-IV.
- Alternate Corex D filters in place. Coated fabric specimens shall have exterior coating (outside of tank) facing the carbon arc.
- 5 ASTM Method D 2535, Xenon Light, Procedure A, inner and outer borosilicate filters; deionized water (20 ± 3°C); cycle: 690 minutes light exposure, 30 minutes light and gray; black panel temperature of 63 ± 3°C; relative humidity (45 ± 5%). Coated labric specimens shall have exterior coating (outside of tank) facing the light.
- Except that the specimens shall be prepared per Method 5102 of FED-STD-191 and the number of specimens shall be reduced from 40 to 5 warp and 5 fill. Leaching of specimens is unnecessary. The specimens shall be exposed to the soil for eight weeks.

<sup>1</sup> JP-5/JP-8 ST conforming to MIL-T-5624N will be used as extraction media.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Immersion test fluid shall be diesel fuel conforming to MIL-F-46162.

<sup>3 (</sup>Proposed new paragraph) 4.5.2.XX

# Table 6. Proposed Replacement for Table III of MIL-1-52983E

### TABLE III CHARACTERISTICS OF SEAMS

TENT	TANK	_	QUIREMEN Y (GALLON:		TEST PARAGRAPH OR TEST METHOD OF
TEST PROPERTY	3.000	10 200	20,000	50,000	FED-STO-191 OR ASTM TEST METHOD
BREAKING STRENGTH,	:				
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	400 (35	0) 400 (35	0) 550 (500	) 550 (500)	D 751, МЕТН В <b>1</b> /2. — 4 5.2.18
AFTER IMMERSION IN FUEL 2/ AT 160 F					O 751, METH B, 4.5 2.18.
FOR 14 DAYS, LS/IN, MIN	290 (31	5) 290 (31	5) 400 (400	) 400 (400)	& D 471 (PAR 15 2)
AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION Å/, DRIED, AND IMMERSION IN DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F FOR					4.5 2.XX4/, 4 5.2.18. D 471 (PAR 15 2), & D751 METH B
14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	1325 (	-) 325 (	-) 450(	-) 450 ()	a D/31 ME1H B
42 DA (S. LB/IN, MIN	1 .		•	) 400 ()	
DEAD LOAD SHEAR RESISTANCE UNDER 50 LB/IN STRESS AT 180 F FOR 8 FOURS		,	SLIPPAGE	,	4 5 2 19
SEAM PEEL ADHESION	;			, , ,	
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	30 (20)	30 (20)	30 (20)	30 (20)	D 413 MACHINE METHOD
AFTER FUEL IMMERSION 2/ FOR 14 DAYS AT 160 F LB/IN, MIN	20 (10)	20 (10)	20 (10)	20 (10)	D 471 (PAR 15.2). D 413 MACHINE METHOD
AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION $\frac{3}{6}$ , DRIED, AND IMMERSION IN DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F FOR FOLLOWING DURATIONS:					D 413 MACHINE METH, D4 5 2 18, D 471 (PAR 15 2), 4 5 2.XX 47.
14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	20 ()	20 ()	20 ()	20 ()	
42 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	15 ( 📺	15 ()	15 ()	15 ()	i

### Notes for Table III

Fuel Extraction. Test specimens shall be prepared in accordance with the tests to be performed in Tables I ~ IV and then submitted to the following extraction procedure.

- a. Immerse the specimen in JP-5/JP-8 ST luel conforming to MiL-T-5624N, for 7 days at 160  $\pm$  2°F.
- b. Remove specimen from fuel and blot with paper towels.
- c. Place specimens in a vacuum oven for drying for 16 ± 2 hours at 120 ± 2°F at 20 inches of Mercury.
- d. Samples will then be immersed in distilled water as required in Tables ! IV.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All specimens must break in the coated fabric. Failure of any specimen in a seam area shall constitute failure of the test.

 $<sup>{</sup>f 2}$  Immersion test fluid shall be diesel fuel conforming to MIL-F-46162.

<sup>3</sup> JP-5/JP-8 ST conforming to MIL-T-5624N will be used as extraction media.

<sup>4 (</sup>Proposed new paragraph) 4.5.2.XX

# Table 7. Proposed Replacement for Table IV of MIL-T-52983E

TABLE IV. CHARACTERISTICS OF BONDED FITTINGS.

	ĺ	HEC	DUIREMEN	ITS	TEST PARAGRAPH OF
	TANK	CAPACITY	(GALLONS	<b>3</b> )	TEST METHOD OF
TEST	!				FED-STD-191 OR
PROPERTY	3,000	10,600	20,000	50,000	ASTM TEST METHOD
ALUMINUM TO COATED FABRIC	:				
BOND, BREAKING STRENGTH,	i				
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	400 (350)	400 (350)	550 (500	550 (500)	4 5.2.20 &
	1	, (		, (,	4.5.2 20.1
AFTER IMMERSION IN FUEL 1/	ļ				
AT 160 F	:				D 471(PAR 15.2)
FOR 14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	290 (315)	290 (315)	400 (400	400 (400)	4.5.2.20 &
		()		,,	4.5.2.20.2
_					
AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION 2/1					D 471(PAR 15.2)
DRIED, AND IMMERSION IN					4.5.2.20 ,
DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F FOR	i				4 5.2.20.2 &
	1				4.5.2.XX <b>3</b> /
14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	[325 ( <b></b> -)	325 ()	450 (	) 450 ()	Ì
42 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	290 ()	290 ()	400 (	400 ()	
	1			,	
DEAD LOAD SHEAR RESISTANCE	1				{
JNDER 50 LB/IN STRESS AT	İ				
80 F FOR 8 HOURS	l	0.125 IN S	LIPPAGE (	(MAX) (.1)	4.5.2.19 &
	-				4.5.2.20.3
PEEL ADHESION OF ALUMINUM	ļ				1
STRIP TO COATED FASRIC	1				<b>\</b>
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	30 (20)	30 (20)	30 (20)	30 (20)	D 429, METHOD B
			, ,	, ,	AND 4.5.2.21
AFTER FUEL IMMERSION 1	ì				}
FOR 14 DAYS AT 160 F	1				D 471(PAH 15.2),
LB/IN, MIN	20 (10)	20 (10)	20 (10)	20 (10)	D 429, METHOD B
	, , ,	( /	( -/	( -/	4.5.2.21, &
	1				4.5.2.21.1
AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION 2/.	i				
DRIED, AND IMMERSION IN	:				D 471(PAR 15.2),
DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F	1				D 429, METHOD B.
FOR FOLLOWING DURATIONS:	Í				4.5.2.21,
	i				4.5.2.21.1,
14 DAYS, LE/IN, MIN	20 ()	20 ()	20 ()	20 (10)	& 4.5.2.XX 3/
42 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	15 ()	15 ()	15 ()	15 ()	

# Notes for Table IV

Fuel Extraction. Test specimens shall be prepared in accordance with the tests to be performed in Tables I -- IV and then suumitted to the following extraction procedure.

- a. Immerse the specimen in JP-5/JP-8 ST fuel conforming to MiL-T-5624N, for 7 days at 160  $\pm$  2°F.
- b. Remove opecimen from fuel and blot with paper towels.
- c. Place specimens in a vacuum oven for drying for 16  $\pm$  2 hours at 120  $\pm$  2°F at 20 inches of Mercury.
- d. Samples will then be immersed in distilled water as required in Tables I IV.

<sup>1</sup> Immersion test fluid shall be diesel fuel conforming to MIL-F-46162.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  JP-5/JP-8 ST conforming to MIL-T-5624N will be used as  $^\circ\text{xtraction}$  media.

<sup>3 (</sup>Proposed new paragraph) 4.5.2.XX

# Table 8. Proposed Replacement for Table II of MILT-53066

TABLE II CHARACTERISTICS OF COATING COMPOUNDS. \$\frac{1}{2}\$

TEST PROPERTY	REQUIREMENTS	TEST PARAGRAPH AND ASTM TEST METHODS
ORIGINAL PROPERTIES		
TENSILE STRENGTH, PSI (MIN)	1500 (1500)	O 412
ULTIMATE ELONGATION, % (MIN)	300 (300)	D 412
PROPERTIES AFTER FUEL IMMERSION	:	D 471 (PARA 14 1.
N TEST FLUID 2/ AT 160 F FOR 14 DAYS		14 2, 810 1)
TENSILE STRENGTH RETAINED, % (MIN)	80 (60)	•
ELONGATION RETAINED, % (MIN)	80 ()	•
VOLUME SWELL, % (MIN)	25 ()	1
PROPERTIES AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION.	1	D 471 (PARA 14.1,
ORIED, AND THEN IMMERSED IN	,	14 2, &10.1)
DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F FOR	•	& 4 5.2.XX 9/
THE FOLLOWING DURATIONS. 3/		<u> </u>
14 DAYS	· ·	
TENSILE STRENGTH RETAINED, % (MIN)	. 75 ()	
ELONGATION RETAINED, % (MIN)	80 ()	
VOLUME SWELL, % (MIN)	10 ()	:
42 DAYS		:
TENSILE STRENGTH RETAINED, % (MIN)	70 ()	· I
ELONGATION RETAINED, % (MIN)	75 ()	
VOLUME SWELL. % (MIN)	10 ()	I
RESISTANCE TO LIGHT AFTER		1
500 HOURS ACCELERATED WEATHERING	; i	D 750 W OR
T 10% ELONGATION 4/		D 2565 1/
TENSILE STRENGTH RETAINED, % (MIN)	80 (80)	1
UEL CONTAMINATION: 5/		T
EXISTENT GUM, UNWASHED, MG/ML (MAX)	20 (20)	4 5.2.9
HEPTANE WASHED GUM, MG/ML (MAX)	5 (5)	4.5.2.9
ZONE RESISTANCE	NO CRACKS	D 11498/
	UNDER 7X LENS	1 0

# Notes for Table II

- <sup>1</sup> ASTM test slabs shall be of same composition and cure as coating compounds.
- <sup>2</sup> Immersion test fluid shall be diesel fuel conforming to MIL-F-46152.
- 3 JP-5/JP-8 ST conforming to MIL-T-5624N will be used as extraction media.
- 4 Applicable to all exterior coating compounds. That is, all coating compounds between the nylon cloth and the outside of the tank.
- <sup>5</sup> Applicable to all interior coating compounds and seam covering materials. That is, coating compounds between nylon dotti-(including any coatings or seam covering tapes) and the inside of the tank.
- <sup>6</sup> Alternate Corex D filters in place.
- 7 ASTM Method D 2565, Xenon Light, Procedure A, inner and outer borosilicate filters; deionized water (20  $\pm$  3°C); cycle; 690 minutes light exposure, 30 minutes light and gray; black panel temperature of 63  $\pm$  3°C; relative humidity (45  $\pm$  5%).
- <sup>8</sup> Test Method A specimen shall be conditioned for 14 days at a temperature of 104 ± 3.6°F (40 ± 2°C) having a partial pressure of ozone of 50 milipascals.
- 9 (Proposed new paragraph) 4.5.2.XX

Fuel Extraction. Test specimens shall be prepared in accordance with the tests to be performed in Tables I – IV and then submitted to the following extraction procedure.

- a. Immerse the specimen in JP-5/JP-8 ST fuel conforming to MiL-T-5624N, for 7 days at 160  $\pm$  2°F.
- Remove specimen from fuel and blot with paper towels.
- c. Place specimens in a vacuum oven for drying for 18 ± 2 hours at 120 ± 2°F at 20 inches of Mercury.
- d. Samples will then be immersed in distilled water as required in Tables I IV.

# Table 9. Proposed Replacement for Table III of MIL-T-53066

TABLE III. CHARACTERISTICS OF COATED FABRIC.

TEST	REQUIREMENTS	TEST PARAGRAPH.
PROPERTY	•	FED-STD-191 OR
:	!	ASTM TEST METHOD
WEIGHT (OZ/SQ YD)	40 MIN, 62 MAX	5041
DIFFUSION RATE 1/	1	
:FL OZ/SQ FT/24 HR, MAX.	.12 (.1)	4.5.2.10
TEAR STRENGTH, WARP & FILL	r L	1
LB., MINIMUM	50 (50)	5134
BREAKING STRENGTH,	600 (600)	5102
WARP & FILL, LB/IN, MIN	l	
PUNCTURE RESISTANCE	225 (170)	4.5.2.11/5120
: WEATHERING RESISTANCE 1500	1	5804 4 OR D 25655/
HRS AT 5% ELONG, W & F		AND 5102
BREAK 3 STRENGTH	1	
RETENTION, %, MIN.	80 (80)	, 
LOW TEMPERATURE CREASE	1	
RESISTANCE 1		1
APPEARANCE AFTER UNFOLDING	1	4.5.2.12
	OR DELAMINATION UNDER 7X LENS	<u>!</u>
DIFFUSION RATE	: ONDER /X LENS	İ
FL OZ/SQ FT/24 HRS. MAX	.12 (.1)	4.5.2.10
FUNGUS RESISTANCE	NO CRACKS, BLISTERS.	5762 2/
APPEARANCE	OR DELAMINATION	& 5102
İ	OF COATING	
BREAKING STRENGTH, RETAINED		
WARP & FILL, %, MIN	80 (50)	
BLOCKING	SPECIMENS TO SEPARATE	4.5.2.13
COATING ADHESION		4.5.2.14 &
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	30 (35)	4.5.2.14.1
AFTER FUEL IMMERSION 2/	1	D 471, 4.5.2.14
FOR 14 DAYS AT 160 F		& 4.5.2,14.1
LB/IN, MIN	20 (25)	
AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION 3/,		O 471, 4.5.2.14,
DRIED, AND IMMERSED IN		4.5.2.14.1,
WATER AT 160 F FOR:	201	& 4.5.2.XX 3/
14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	20 (~~)	
42 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	15 ()	99

## Notes for Table III

Fuel Extraction. Test specimens shall be prepared in accordance with the tests to be performed in Tables I - IV and then submitted to the following extraction procedure.

- a. Immerse the specimen in JP-5/JP-8 ST (uel conforming to MiL-T-5624N, for 7 days at 160 ± 2°F.
- b. Remove specimen from fuel and blot with paper towels.
- c. Place specimens in a vacuum oven for drying for 16  $\pm$  2 hours at 120  $\pm$  2°F at 20 inches of Mercury.
- d. Samples will then be immersed in distilled water as required in Tables I IV.
- Alternate Corex D filters in place. Coated fabric specimens shall have exterior coating (outside of tank) facing the carbon arc.
- 5 ASTM Method D 2565, Xenon Light, Procedure A, inner and outer borosilicate filters; delonized water (20 ± 3°C); cycle: 690 minutes light exposure, 30 minutes light and gray; black panel temperature of 63 ± 3°C; relative humidity (45 ± 5%). Coated febric specimens shall have exterior coating (outside of tank) facing the light.
- Except that the specimens shall be prepared per Method 5102 of FED-STD-191 and the number of specimens shall be reduced from 40 to 5 warp and 5 fill. Leaching of specimens is unnecessary. The specimens shall be exposed to the soil for eight weeks.

<sup>1</sup> JP-5/JP-8 ST conforming to MIL-T-5624 will be used for diffusion and extraction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Immersion test fluid shall be diesel fuel conforming to MIL-F-46162.

<sup>3 (</sup>Proposed new paragraph) 4.5.2.XX

# Table 10. Proposed Replacement for Table IV of MIL-T-53066

TABLE IV. CHARACTERISTICS OF SEAMS

7509	550,055,050	YCOT 13 1 10 10 10 10 1
TEST	REQUIREMENTS	TEST PARAGRAPH.
PROPERTY		TEST METHOD OF
I ,		FED-STD-191 OR
		DCHT9M TEST MTCA
BREAKING STRENGTH, 1/		i
	600 (600)	D 751 METH B 1/
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	600 (600)	D/SIMEIRB4
AFTER IMMERSION IN FUEL 2/		4 5.2.15.
AT 160 F		D 471 (PARA 15.2).
FOR 14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	450 (450)	& D 751 METH B
, 1		į
: AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION 3/,		4.5.2.15,
DRIED, AND IMMERSION IN	!	D 471 (PARA 15 2)
DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F FOR		4 5 2.19, &
14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	450 (450)	D 751 METH B
<del>!</del>	i i	
42 DAYS, LB/IN. MIN	400 (400)	
; DEAD LOAD SHEAR RESISTANCE	1 <b>1</b>	ļ
UNDER 60 LB/IN STRESS AT	ı	i
180 F FOR 8 HOURS	0.125 IN SLIPPAGE (MAX)	4 5.2.16
180 F FOR 8 ROOMS	U.125 IN SLIFFAGE (WAX)	4 3.2.10
SEAM PEEL ADHESION		· ·
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	30 (35)	D 413 MACHINE
		METHOD
AFTER FUEL IMMERSION 2/	 	
FOR 14 DAYS AT 160 F		D 471 (PARA 15.2)
LB/IN, MIN	20 (25)	& D 413 MACHINE
	)	METHOD
AFTER FUEL LYTRACTION 3/,		
DRIED, AND IMMERSION IN		D 471 (PARA 15.2)
DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F		D 4:3 MACHINE METH
FOR FOLLOWING DURATIONS:		4.5.2.XX */
14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	20 ()	
42 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	15 ()	
	L	<u> </u>

## Notes for Table IV

Fuel Extrection. Test specimens shall be prepared in accordance with the tests to be performed in Tables I – IV and then submitted to the following extraction procedure.

- a. Immerse the specimen in JP-5/JP-8 ST fuel conforming to MIL-T-5624N, for 7 days at 160 ± 2°F.
- b. Remove specimen from fuel and blot with paper towels.
- c. Place specimens in a vacuum oven for drying for 16  $\pm$  2 hours at 120  $\pm$  2°F at 20 inches of Mercury.
- d. Samples will then be immersed in distilled water as required in Tables I IV.

<sup>1</sup> All specimens must break in the coated fabric. Failure of any specimen in a seam area shall constitute failure of the test.

<sup>2</sup> Immersion test fluid shall be diesel fuel conforming to MIL-F-46162.

<sup>3</sup> JP-5/JP-8 ST conforming to MiL-T-5624N will be used as extraction media.

<sup>4 (</sup>Proposed new paragraph) 4.5.2.XX

# Table 11. Proposed Replacement for Table V of MIL-I-53066

TABLE V. CHARACTERISTICS OF BONDED FITTINGS.

YEST	REQUIREMENTS	TEST PARAGRAPH.
PROPERTY	REQUIREMENTS	TEST METHOD OF
		FED-STD-191 OR
		ASTM TEST METHOD
		ASTM TEST METAUL
ALUMINUM TO COATED FABRIC		4.5.2.17 &
BOND, BREAKING STRENGTH,		4.5.2.17.1
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	600 (600)	
AFTER IMMERSION IN FUEL 1/		4.5.2.17 &
AT 160 F		4.5.2.17.2
FOR 14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	450 (450)	4.5.2.17.2
, 611 14 671 6, 6671 7, 1117	430 (430)	
AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION 2/.		4.5.2.17.
DRIED, AND IMMERSION IN		4.5.2.17.2.
DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F FOR		& 4.5.2.19
14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	450 ()	
	, ,	
42 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	400 ()	
DEAD LOAD SHEAR RESISTANCE		
UNDER 60 LB/IN STRESS AT		Ì
1PJ F FOR & HOURS	0.125 IN SLIPPAGE (MAX)	4.5.2.17.3
PEEL ADHESION OF ALUMINUM		D 429, METHOD B.
STRIP TO COATED FABRIC		4.5,2.18 &
INITIAL, LB/IN, MIN	30 (40)	1 4.5.2.18.1
HALLING! PRIMA! MINA	30 (40)	4.5.2.10.1
AFTER FUEL IMMERSION 1/		D 429, METHOD B.
FOR 14 DAYS AT 160 F		4.5 2.18 &
LB/IN, MIN	20 (25)	4.5,2,18,1
AFTER FUEL EXTRACTION 2/,		D 429, METHOD 8,
DRIED, AND IMMERSION IN		4.5.2.18, 4.5.2.18.1
DISTILLED WATER AT 160 F		AND 4.5.2.XX 3/
FOR FOLLOWING DURATIONS:		
14 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	20 ()	
42 DAYS, LB/IN, MIN	15 ()	
	L	<u> </u>

# Notes for Table V

3 (Proposed new paragraph) 4.5.2.XX

Fuel Extraction. Test specimens shall be prepared in accordance with the tests to be performed in Tables I – IV and then submitted to the following extraction procedure.

- a. Immerse the specimen in JP-5/JP-8 ST fuel conforming to MIL-T-5624N, for 7 days at 160  $\pm$  2°F.
- b. Remove specimen from fuel and blot with paper towels.
- c. Place specimens in a vacuum oven for drying for  $16\pm2$  hours at  $120\pm2^\circ F$  at 20 inches of Mercury. d. Sample, will then be immersed in distilled water as required in Tables I IV.

<sup>1</sup> Invariant test fluid shall be diesel fuel conforming to MIL-F-46162.

<sup>2</sup> JP-5/JP-8 ST conforming to MIL-T-5624N will be used as extraction media.

# Distribution for Report No. 2519

# Department of the Army

Defense Technical Information Center

2 ATTN: DTIC-FDAC Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145

HQDA/SARDA

1 ATTN: DALO-TSE (COL Holley)
1 ATTN: DALO-TSZ-B (Mr. Kowalczyk)
1 ATTN: SABD TP (Mr. Vornucci)

1 ATTN: SARD-TR (Ms. Vannucci) Washington, DC 20310-0561

Commander, US Army Materiel Command

1 ATTN: AMCDE-SD (Mr. Falchetta)

1 ATTN: AMCSM-SP (Mr. Cupurdjia)

5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001

Commander, US Army Tank-Automotive Cmd

1 ATTN: AMSTA-RG (Mr. McClelland)

1 ATTN: AMSTA-RGP 1 ATTN: AMSTA-U

1 ATTN: AMSTA-M

ATTN: AMSTA-Z (Mr. Farkus) Warren, MI 48397-5000

Commander, US Army Tank-Automotive Cmd Project Manager, Light Armored Vehicle

1 ATTN: AMCPM-LA Warren, MI 48397-5000

Commander

US Army Laboratory Command
1 ATTN: AMSLC-TP-PB (Mr. Gaul)
Ade hi, MD 20783-1145

Commander

US Anny Annament RD&E Command

1 ATTN: SMCAR-LC

1 ATTN: SMCAR-SC

1 ATTN: SMCAR-ESC-S

Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

Commander

US Army Depot Systems Command

i ATTN: AMSDS-RM-EFO Chambersburg, PA 17201 Commander

US Army Watervliet Arsenal

1 ATTN: SARWY-RDD Watervliet, NY 12189

Commander

AMC Materiel Readiness Support Activity

1 ATTN: AMXMD-MO Lexington, KY 40511-5101

Commander, US Army LEA

1 ATTN: LOEA-PL New Cumberland Army Depot New Cumberland, PA 17070-5007

HQ, US Army Test and Evaluation Command

ATTN: AMSTE-TE-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5006

Project Manager

Petroleum and Water Logistics

1 ATTN: AMCPM-PWL 4300 Goodfellow Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander

US Army Troop Support Command

1 ATTN: AMSTR-ME

1 ATTN: AMSTR-S 4300 Goodfellow Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

Commander

US Army General Materiel & Petroleum Activity

1 ATTN: STRGP-F

1 ATTN: STRGP-FT

1 ATTN: STRGP-FE, Bldg 85-3 (Mr. Gary Smith) New Cumberland, PA 17070-5008

1 Commander

US Army General Materiel & Petroleum Activity ATTN: STRGP-PW (Mr. D. Eccleston)

Bldg. 247. Defense Depot Tracy

Tracy, CA 95376-5051

Commander, US Army Armament, Munitions & Chemical Command

1 ATTN: AMSAR-LEM

1 ATTN: AMSMC-PCW-WB

Rock Island Arsenal, IL 61299-6000

Commander

US Army Combat Systems Test Activity

ATTN: STECS-EN-T

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5059

Commander

US Army Yuma Proving Ground

1 ATTN: STEYP-MT-TL-M Yuma, AZ 85364-9130

Commander

US Army Europe and Seventh Army

1 ATTN: AEAGG-FMD

1 ATTN: AEAGD-TE APO New York 09403

Commander

US Army Tank-Automotive Command Program Executive Officer—Heavy Forces Modernization

- 1 ATTN: AMCPEO-CCV-S, APEO Systems
- 1 ATTN: AMCPM-ABMS, PM Abrams
- 1 ATTN: AMCPM-BFVS, PM BFVS
- i ATTN: AMCPM-M9, PM M9 ACE
- 1 ATTN: AMCPM-IRV, PM Imp Rec Veh

Program Executive Officer—Combat Support

- 1 ATTN: AMCPM-TVL, PM Light Tact. Veh
- 1 ATTN: AMCPM-TVM, PM Med Tact. Veh
- i ATTN: AMCPM-TVH, PM Heavy Tact. Veh Warren, MI 48397-5000

Commander, US Army Training and Doctrine Command

1 ATTN: ATCD-SL-5 Fort Monroe, VA 23651-5000

> US Army Tank Automotive Command Project Manager, M113 FOV/M60 FOV

- 1 ATTN: AMCAM-M113
- 1 ATTN: AMCAM-M60 Warren, MI 48397-5000

HQ, US Army Armor Center

- 1 ATTN: ATSB-CD-ML
- 1 ATTN: ATSB-TSM-T Fort Knox, KY 40121

Commander

US Army Quartermaster School

ATTN: ATSM-CDM

ATTN: ATSM-PWD

Fort Lee, VA 23801

Commander

US Army Combined Arms & Support Command

ATTN: ATCL-CD

1 ATTN: ATCL-MS

Fort Lee, VA 23801-6000

Commander

US Army Field Artillery School

1 ATTN: ATSF-CD

Fort Sill, OK 73503-5600

Commander, US Army Tank-Automotive Cmd Product Manager—Commercial Construction

Equipment/SMHE

1 ATTN: AMCPM-TVC Warren, MI 48397-5000

Commander

US Army Ordnance Center & School

1 ATTN: ATSL-CD-CS

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

1 Chief, US Army Logistics Assistance

Office, LAO-CONUS

ATTN: AMXLA-CO

Fort McPherson, GA 30330-6000

2 Director

Belvoir Fuels & Lubricants Research Facility

(SwRI)

ATTN: Mr. S. J. Lestz

Post Office Drawer 28510

San Antonio, TX 78284

Commander, III Corps Artillery

- 10 ATTN: AFVI-HD
  - Fort Sill, OK 73503

1 Commander, First Battalion 17th Field Artillery

Fort Sill, OK 73503

Commander, Second Battalion

18th Field Artillery

Fort Sill, OK 73503-6011

1 Commander, Third Battalion

18th Field Artillery

Fort Sill, OK 73503

1 Commander, Fifth Battalion 18th Field Artillery Fort Sill, OK 73503

Commander
US Armament, Munitions,
and Chemicals Command

1 ATTN: AMSMC-MAW

1 ATTN: AMSMC-MAW-EF Rock Island Arsenal, IL 61299-6000

Chief

US Army Logistics Assistance Office

1 ATTN: AMXLA-C-SL (LTC Tolson) P. O. Box 33069 Fort Sill, OK 73503-0069

Headquarters
USAFACS, Directorate of Logistics

1 ATTN: ATZR-LOL Fort Sill, OK 73503-5100

Commander
US Army Armament RD&E Command

1 ATTN: SMCAR-ESM Rock Island, IL 61299-7300

Commander
US Army Armament, Munitions & Chemical Cmd

1 ATTN: SMCAR-FSA-S (J. Adriance) Building 61N Picatinny Arsenal., NJ 07806-5000

Commander
Letterkenny Army Depot

1 ATTN: SDSLE-MMS (Dave Shively) Chambersburg, PA 17201-4150

Commander

200th Theater, Army Materiel Management Center 1 ATTN: AEAGD-MMC-BT-Q (Mr. Rothhaar)

APO New York 09052-5356

## Department of the Air Force

Commander

US Air Force Wright Laboratories
AeroPropulsion & Power Laboratory

1 ATTN: POSL (Dr. Canters)

1 ATTN: MLBT (Mr. Snyder) 1 ATTN: MLSE (Ms. Reid)

Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433-6523

Commander

San Antonio Air Logistics Center

1 ATTN: SAALC/SFT (Mr. Makris) Kelly Air Force Base, TX 78241

Commander

Warner Robins Air Logistics Center

1 ATTN: WRALC/MMVR (Mr. Perazzola) Robins Air Force Base, GA 31098

## Department of the Navy

2 Commander Naval Air Propulsion Center ATTN: PE-33 (Mr. D'Orazio) P.O. Box 7176 Trenton, NJ 06828-0176

 Office of Chief of Naval Research ATTN: OCNR-123 (Dr. Roberts) Arlington, VA 22217-5000

David W. Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center ATTN: Code 2830 (Mr. Singerman) Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Department of the Navy HQ, US Marine Corps

1 ATTN: LPP-2 (MAJ Nicholl) Washington, DC 20380

 Joint Oil Analysis Program Technical Support Center Building 780 Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL 32508-5300

 Deputy Commanding General USMC Research, Development & Acquisition Command ATTN: Code CBAT (LTC Varela) Quantico, VA 22134

1 Commanding General USMC Research, Development & Acquisition Command ATTN: Code SS Washington, DC 20380-0001

# Research Institutes

 National Institute for Petroleum and Energy Research
 P.O. Box 2128
 Bartlesville, OK 74005

# **Belvoir RD&E Center**

# Circulate

- 1 Technical Director STRBE-ZT Assoc Tech Dir (E&A) STRBE-ZTE Assoc Tech Dir (R&D) STRBE-ZTR
- 1 STRBE-T
- 1 STRBE-TV
- 25 STRBE-TVU
- 3 Tech Reports Ofc ASQNK-BVP-G
- 3 Security Ofc (for liaison officers) STRBE-S
- 2 Technical Library STRBE-BT
- 1 Public Affairs Ofc STRBE-I
- 1 Ofc of Chief Counsel STRBE-L
- 1 STRBE-FM