

TECHNICAL REPORT ARCCB-TR-92003

WEIGHT REDUCTION OF ISOTROPIC CYLINDERS USING EQUIVALENT COMPOUND CYLINDERS

MARK D. WITHERELL



AD

FEBRUARY 1992



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER close combat armaments center benét laboratories watervliet, n.y. 12189-4050

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED



92 3 23 063

DISCLAIMER

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade name(s) and/or manufacturer(s) does not constitute an official indorsement or approval.

DESTRUCTION NOTICE

For classified documents, follow the procedures in DoD 5200.22-M, Industrial Security Manual, Section II-19 or DoD 5200.1-R, Information Security Program Regulation, Chapter IX.

For unclassified, limited documents, destroy by any method that will prevent disclosure of contents or reconstruction of the document.

For unclassified, unlimited documents, destroy when the report is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT [OCUMENTATION P	AGE	Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188
Public reporting burden for this collection of gathering and maintaining the data needed, collection of information, including suggestic Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, 7A-222	nformation is estimated to average 1 hour per ind completing and reviewing the collection of ns for reducing this burden. to Washington He 02-4302, and to the Office of Management and	response, including the time for revie information. Send comments regardin adquarters Services, Directorate for in Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project	wing instructions, searching existing data sources, ig this burden estimate or any other aspect of this formation Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson (0704-0188), Washington, CC 20503
I. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bla	nk) 2. REPORT DATE February 1992	3. REPORT TYPE AND Final	DATES COVERED
I. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5	. FUNDING NUMBERS
WEIGHT REDUCTION OF USING EQUIVALENT CO	ISOTROPIC CYLINDERS	2 E	MCMS: 6126.23.1BL0.0 PRON: 1A72ZH3HNMSC
. AUTHOR(S) Mark D. Witherell			
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)	8	PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
U.S. Army ARDEC Benet Laboratories, Watervliet, NY 1218	SMCAR-CCB-TL 9-4050	2	RCCB-TR-92003
SPONSORING / MONITORING A	SENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(E	.) []])	D. SPONSORING / MONITORING
U.S. Army ARDEC Close Combat Armame Picatinny Arsenal,	nts Center NJ 07806-5000		AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
1. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at the Ei Honolulu, HI, 15-19 Published in Procee	ghth International Con July 1991. dings of the Conferenc	ference on Composi e.	te Materials,
2a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	STATEMENT	1.	26. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public	release; distribution		
A monoblock isotrop: its outer diameter of resulting compound of pressure) equivalent study of the weight materials to replace study contains a des used to characterize including upper boun is shown that these general categories of includes results free composite systems.	ic cylinder can be red with a lightweight sti cylinder has a bore ra- to that of the origination savings that can be a sisotropic materials rivation of some nondination the effectiveness of ads on the weight savination material parameters for of composite replacements of the application of	uced in weight by ff composite mater dial displacement nal monoblock design chieved by using dist in cylindrical present mensional material the composite as a ngs that can be act orm the basis for ant materials. The the theory to twent	replacing material from ial, such that the (per unit of internal gn. This report is a ifferent composite ssure vessels. The parameters that can be a replacement material, hieved. Furthermore, it the definition of three investigation also ty different isotropic-
4. SUBJECT TERMS Composites, Cylinder	, Jacket, Weight Savin	ngs, Design Methodo	15. NUMBER OF PAGES Dlogy 17 16. PRICE CODE
14. SUBJECT TERMS Composites, Cylinder	, Jacket, Weight Savin	ngs, Design Methodo	15 NUMBER OF PAGES Dlogy 17 16. PRICE CODE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION	1
ANALYSIS	2
CHARACTERIZATION OF REPLACEMENT MATERIALS	9
Non-Replaceable Material (NRM)	9
Partially-Replaceable Material (PRM)	10
Fully-Replaceable Material (FRM)	11
NUMERICAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSION	12
REFERENCES	16

TABLES

I.	MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR ISOTROPIC MONOBLOCK CYLINDERS	12
II.	LAMINATE MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR ALL-HOOP WRAP WITH 60% FVR	13
III.	REPLACEMENT MATERIALS FOR STEEL	14
IV.	REPLACEMENT MATERIALS FOR ALUMINUM	14

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

. M%WR as a function of C _s using IM6/epoxy to replac	for equivalent comp e material from the	ound cylinders outer diameter
 M%WR as a function of C_s using three composite mat outer diameter of a steel 	for equivalent comp erials to replace m	ound cylinders aterial at the
		Augession For
	i	

INTRODUCTION

Composite materials, because of their high specific stiffness, offer the opportunity for weight reduction in many structural components. Over the last thirty years there has been a considerable increase in the use of composites as a reinforcement in pressure vessel applications. Numerous studies have been conducted to characterize the effectiveness of various composite materials in cylindrical geometries, some of which are mentioned in References 1 through 5. Many of those studies were originally motivated by the need to develop lightweight pressure containment, especially for aerospace applications. Considerable attention was given to applications where the composite material was wrapped over a metallic cylindrical liner. For many reasons it is desirable to have a metallic liner, the most common of which are to provide a pressure seal in the event of matrix cracking or crazing and to protect the composite material from the harsh environment that can exist in the pressure transmitting medium. The studies mentioned above have been primarily concerned with the design of lightweight cylinders in which strength is a major design consideration. There are, however, cylindrical applications where stiffness is a major consideration. In recent years, the Army has been interested in using composite materials to lengthen cannon, while still maintaining the inertial characteristics of the shorter cannon. In this application it is important to maintain the same bore displacement per unit of internal pressure in the lightweight design as in the original design. In a previous paper by Witherell and Scavullo (ref 6), a general approach was taken to characterize the effectiveness of a lightweight orthotropic jacket for an isotropic monoblock cylinder (IMC). That work produced an equation that predicts the amount of composite material necessary to provide stiffness equivalent to a given amount of material removed

· 1

from the outer diameter of an IMC. This equation made it possible to determine both the weight savings achieved by each equivalent compound cylinder using jackets of various sizes and the one jacket size that provided the maximum possible weight savings.

Reference 6 mentioned above, however, still left some unanswered questions concerning two types of material for which the analysis breaks down. The first type is a composite that is so poor as a jacket material that it cannot produce a reduction in weight under any circumstances. The second type is a composite that is so good as a jacket material that the maximum weight savings is always achieved by replacing the IMC with a composite monoblock cylinder. The analysis below addresses the criteria for defining these two cases which, in turn, leads to the definition of three general categories of composite replacement materials.

ANALYSIS

An IMC of inner radius 'a' and outer radius 'b₀' can be made lighter by replacing material at its outer diameter with a lightweight stiff composite material such that the resulting compound cylinder has a bore radial displacement (per unit of internal pressure) equivalent to that of the original isotropic design. This equivalent compound cylinder consists of an isotropic liner of inner radius 'a' and outer radius 'b' and a composite jacket of inner radius 'b' and outer radius 'c'. Both the liner and IMC are made of the same isotropic material which is characterized by Young's modulus E_S , Poisson's ratio v_S , and density ρ_S . The composite jacket is constructed from a cylindricallyorthotropic material and has a density ρ_j . In general, an orthotropic material is characterized by nine independent material constants. A material is cylindrically-orthotropic when the principal material directions coincide with

the cylindrical coordinate system of the cylinder. Also, since only internal pressure loading is considered, shear effects are eliminated, and the number of material constants necessary for the analysis reduces to six: three Young's moduli, E_r , E_θ , E_z , and three Poisson's ratios, $\nu_{r\theta}$, $\nu_{\theta z}$, and ν_{zr} . The r, θ , and z subscripts correspond to the radial, hoop, and axial directions in the cylindrical coordinate system. The Poisson's ratio ν_{ij} is defined as the strain ratio ϵ_j/ϵ_i when a stress in the i-direction is applied. The other three Poisson's ratios can be determined by taking account of symmetry in the compliance matrix, e.g., $E_r\nu_{\theta r} = E_{\theta}\nu_{r\theta}$.

The compound cylinder is made equivalent to the original IMC by determining the correct amount of composite necessary to replace the isotropic material that has been removed. This was already done in a previous paper by the author (ref 6) and involved equating the bore hoop strain (or bore displacement) of the compound cylinder to that of the IMC. Lamé's stress solution for an isotropic cylinder (ref 7) was used as input to both the IMC and the liner's bore hoop strain equation. The stress distribution in the composite jacket was given by Lekhnitskii's stress solution for orthotropic cylinders (ref 8) and was necessary to determine the interface pressure between the liner and the jacket. The final result of the analysis was an equation defining the wall ratio of the composite jacket (W_j) necessary to give the compound cylinder a bore hoop strain equivalent to that of the IMC:

$$W_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa A + \frac{(1+R^{2})}{(1-R^{2})} - B \\ \frac{(1+R^{2})}{(1-R^{2})} - B - \kappa A \end{bmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}\vec{K}}$$
(1)

where $R = W_1/W_s$

 $W_1 = b/a$, wall ratio of isotropic liner

 $W_s = b_0/a$, wall ratio of IMC

 $W_j = c/b$, wall ratio of orthotropic jacket

and K is an orthotropic material parameter given by

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{E_z/E_r - v_{zr^2}}{E_z/E_{\theta} - v_{z\theta^2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

For plane-strain boundary conditions ($\epsilon_z=0$) for the IMC, isotropic liner, and orthotropic jacket, the constants A and B are given by

Г

$$A = \frac{E_s}{E_{\theta}} \left[1 - v_{\theta z} v_{z \theta} \right] \frac{1}{\left(1 - v_s^2 \right)}$$
(3)

$$B = E_{s} \left[\frac{(\nu_{r\theta} + \nu_{rz}\nu_{z\theta})}{E_{r}} - \frac{\nu_{s}(1 + \nu_{s})}{E_{s}} \right] \frac{1}{(1 - \nu_{s}^{2})}$$
(4)

Once the equation defining W_j was obtained, it was then possible to determine the weight savings that could be achieved by using the composite to replace isotropic material in the original IMC. The parameter R in Eq. (1) defines the amount of material removed from the outside of the IMC. When R = 1, no material has been removed, the IMC and the liner are the same dimensions, and there is no composite jacket. When R = $1/W_S$, there is no liner (W_1 =1), and the compound cylinder is all-composite jacket. Therefore, for each value of R between 1 and $1/W_S$, there is a compound cylinder equivalent to that of an IMC of wall ratio W_S . The equation defining the percent weight reduction (%WR) using the composite jacket is given by

$$\Re WR = \left[1 - \frac{(W_1^2 - 1) + W_1^2 (W_j^2 - 1)/F}{(W_s^2 - 1)} + 100\right] \cdot 100$$
(5)

where $F = \rho_s / \rho_j$.

If we consider an IMC made of steel and a composite replacement material of an all-hoop wrap IM6/epoxy (60 percent fiber volume ratio), we can determine the %WR of the equivalent compound cylinders as a function of R for a specific W_S . This is shown in Figure 1 for $W_S = 1.255$ and F = 4.621. This curve shows that as material is removed from the IMC (from right to left on the curve) and is replaced with the composite, the %WR goes up until it reaches a maximum at a value of R = 0.866, then it declines to 0 at R = 0.83.



Figure 1. %WR versus R for equivalent compound cylinders using IM6/epoxy to replace material at the outer diameter of steel IMC with W_S = 1.255.

For this value of W_S , the maximum percent weight reduction (M%WR) possible using this composite material is approximately 40 percent. By taking the derivative of the %WR (Eq. (5)) with respect to R (for constant W_S) and setting it equal to

0, it is possible to derive an equation which defines the value of R that produces the maximum value of %WR. This was also done in Reference 6 and is given below:

$$F = (1 - RW_j \frac{dW_j}{d\bar{R}} - W_j^2)$$
(6)

Since F is a known parameter, and W_j is a function of R and material properties, the one real value of R which solves Eq. (6) can be found by iteration.

Once the value of R that gives the M%WR is found (R_{MAX}) , a graph of M%WR versus We can be made. Because We has no upper bound, it is more convenient to graph M%WR as a function of C_s , where $C_s = 1/W_s$ and has values between 0 and 1. This is shown in Figure 2 for the same isotropic and composite materials used to generate Figure 1 with R = R_{MAX} = 0.866. The vertical dashed line at C_{s} = 0.866 in Figure 2 separates the curve into two regions. The portion of the curve to the left of the vertical line is defined by $R = R_{MAX}$, whereas the portion of the curve to the right of the vertical line is defined by $R = C_s = 1/W_s$. The reason for the transition is that when $C_s = R_{MAX}$, the value of W1 must be equal to 1, which means the lightest equivalent compound cylinder is actually an allcomposite cylinder. For C_S larger than R_{MAX} , the lightest equivalent compound cylinders are all-composite cylinders defined by $W_1 = 1$ (W_1 can never be less than 1) and $R = C_s$. Two points of interest on the curve in Figure 2 are the limits for the M%WR as Cs approaches either 0 or 1. Both of these limits indicate how well this composite material performs in replacing steel in large $(C_s=0)$ or small $(C_s=1)$ wall ratio steel IMCs. The value for the M%WR as C_s approaches 1 (Eq. (5) with $R = C_S$) necessitates the use of Hopital's rule and results in the following relation:



Figure 2. M%WR as a function of C_s for equivalent compound cylinders using IM6/epoxy to replace material from the outer diameter of a steel IMC.

For R=C_S, limit M%WR = 100 (1-A/F) (7)
as C_S
$$\rightarrow$$
 1

This is an important equation because it defines the upper limit on the M%WR for a material similiar to that used in Figure 2. The use of the word similiar is defined more precisely later. For the material used in Figure 2, the limit on the M%WR, as given in Eq. (7), is 72 percent. It can also be seen in Eq. (7) that if

 $A \geqslant F \tag{8}$

for an isotropic-composite system similiar to the one used in Figure 2, weight reduction is not possible at all, since the M%WR curve is monotonically decreasing as C_S decreases from 1. It is also observed that the material parameter A is strongly dependent on the ratio of the isotropic modulus to the hoop modulus of the composite (E_S/E_{θ}) . An approximate relation to Eq. (8), which can be used as a rule of thumb, is if

$$E_{s}/E_{\theta} \ge o_{s}/o_{t}$$

no %WR can be attained using this composite for any value of C_S . It will be seen later that there are materials that do not produce a positive slope at $C_S =$ 1 for the type of curve shown in Figure 2, but are actually monotonically decreasing for increasing C_S . Therefore, it is useful to determine the sign and magnitude of the slope for the curve in Figure 2 as C_S approaches 1. The result of this limit, which necessitates the use of Hopital's rule twice, is given below:

For R = C_S, limit dM%WR/dC_S =
$$\frac{100 \text{ A}(\text{A}+\text{B}-1)}{\text{F}}$$
 (10)
as C_S \rightarrow 1

Equation (10) shows, since A is always positive, that if

$$A + B > 1 \tag{11}$$

the slope of the M%WR curve at $C_S = 1$ is positive. From experience it has been found that a positive slope at $C_S = 1$ results in a positive slope at all points on the curve. If A + B < 1, then the curve has a negative slope at $C_S = 1$, and higher weight reduction is possible at smaller values of C_S . The other point of interest in Figure 2, referred to earlier, is the limit of the M%WR equation (Eq. (5) with R = RMAX) as C_S approaches 0. The result of taking this limit is given below:

For R = R_{MAX}, limit M%WR = 100
$$\begin{bmatrix} R^2(F+W_j^2-1) \\ 1 - ----F \end{bmatrix}$$
 (12)
as C₅ - 0

For the material used in Figure 2, Eq. (12) produces a value for M%WR of about 15 percent at $C_s = 0$.

Finally, it should be mentioned that the slope of the M%WR curve as C_s approaches 0 is always 0.

CHARACTERIZATION OF REPLACEMENT MATERIALS

The analysis of the previous section enabled us to calculate the value and slope of the M%WR at values of C_s equal to 0 and 1. These findings, along with an investigation of various isotropic-composite systems, led to the discovery of three general material categories which define a composite material's ability to replace material in an IMC. Although it was not always possible to rigorously prove the conditions which define each of the three categories due to the complexity of some of the equations, the author has yet to find a realistic material that violates these conditions. The three categories are explained below, with examples of two of these categories given in Figure 3.



Figure 3. M%WR as a function of C_S for equivalent compound cylinders using three composite materials to replace material at the outer diameter of a steel IMC.

Non-Replaceable Material (NRM)

An NRM is an orthotropic material for which there is no value of C_S between 0 and 1 that can produce weight savings when it is used to replace material at the outer diameter of an IMC. This class of material is defined by

One observation that has been made of orthotropic materials for which an R_{MAX} does exist is that the slope of the M%WR curve for C_S smaller than R_{MAX} is always positive. We also know the equation that predicts the M%WR at $C_S = 1$, and that if A \geq F, this value is less than or equal to 0. As mentioned earlier, there are materials that produce a negative slope for the M%WR curve for C_S greater than R_{MAX} . This can be seen in Figure 3 for curve 2. Now if the material for which R_{MAX} equals 1 can be determined, there will be no value of M%WR greater than at $C_S = 1$, as is given in Eq. (7). The result of setting $R_{MAX} = 1$ in Eq. (6) is that A = F. Therefore, if A \geq F, the M%WR will always be less than or equal to 0 because its largest value, at $C_S = 1$, is less than or equal to 0.

Partially-Replaceable Material (PRM)

A PRM is an orthotropic material which always produces a positive M%WR for values of C_S between 0 and 1 and has a value of R_{MAX} , as determined from Eq. (6), between 0 and 1. For values of C_S less than R_{MAX} , the M%WR is always achieved with R = R_{MAX} , and for values of C_S greater than R_{MAX} , the M%WR is always achieved with R = C_S (see Figure 2). The word partially appears in the name because the cylinders that achieve the M%WR for C_S less than R_{MAX} are partially isotropic and partially orthotropic as defined by R_{MAX} . A PRM is defined by the following two conditions:

$$(A + B \ge 1 \tag{14b})$$

The first condition is necessary due to the constraint already discussed for NRMs. The second condition is arrived at as a consequence of obtaining the conditions for an FRM as discussed below. Figure 3 shows two PRMs with a negative and positive slope at $C_s = 1$ (curves 2 and 3).

Fully-Replaceable Material (FRM)

An FRM is an orthotropic material for which the M%WR is always positive for values of C_S between 0 and 1 and is achieved by fully replacing the isotropic material (see curve 1 in Figure 3). This implies that the equivalent compound cylinders that produce the M%WR are actually all-composite cylinders defined by $R = C_S$. This material type is also characterized by a limit on the M%WR as $C_S \rightarrow 0$ of 100 percent. An FRM is defined by the following two conditions:

$$KA + B < 1$$
 (15b)

Again, the first condition is due to the constraint already discussed for NRMs. For FRMs there is no liner (W_1 =1), and the R that maximizes the weight savings is a variable given by R = C_S. Also, we know that the M%WR is always greater than 0, and by observation of Eq. (12), goes to 100 percent as C_S goes to 0. However, if C_S goes to 0, R also goes to 0. By setting W_1 = 1 and R = 0 in the %WR equation, we arrive at the following equation:

$$\Re WR = \left[1 - \frac{(W_{j}^{2} - 1)}{F(W_{s}^{2} - 1)}\right]$$
(16)

Since C_s going to 0 implies W_s going to infinity, the above equation only needs to have a real value of W_j greater than 1 and less than infinity to have a value of 100 percent. The W_j equation with R = 0 is given below:

$$W_{j} = \left[\frac{1}{1} - \frac{KA}{(\overline{K}\overline{A} + \overline{B})}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}\overline{K}}$$
(17)

Recalling that K and A are always positive, the only way W_j can have a value greater than 1 and less than infinity is to have KA + B < 1. Consequently, any material that is neither an NRM nor an FRM must be a PRM and have as one of its conditions KA + B \ge 1.

NUMERICAL RESULTS AND CONCLUSION

The theory of the preceding section was applied to twenty different isotropic-composite systems. Two isotropic materials, steel and aluminum, were considered for the IMCs. Their properties are given in Table I and consist of Young's modulus (E_S), Poisson's ratio (ν_S), and density (ρ_S). Two matrix materials (epoxy and aluminum) and five types of fibers (S-glass, Kevlar, IM6, Pitch-75, and boron) were used to construct the ten different composite replacement materials to be used in the jackets. The laminate material properties for these ten different composite materials are given in Table II. Each of the laminates was considered to be an all-hoop wrap layup with a 60 percent fiber volume ratio. The material properties are given for a cylindrical system and consist of the hoop modulus (E_{θ}), radial and axial moduli (E_r, E_Z), two Poisson's ratios ($\nu_{\theta Z}, \nu_{Zr}$), and density (ρ_j). The laminate properties given in Table II are the average of five different micromechanics models. The Poisson's ratio $\nu_{r\theta}$ is equal to $\nu_{Z\theta}$, where $\nu_{Z\theta}$ can be determined from Eq. (2).

Name	E _s (GPa)	ν _s	ρ _s (g/cm ³)
Steel	206.8	0.30	7.600
Aluminum	72.4	0.33	2.723

TABLE I. MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR ISOTROPIC MONOBLOCK CYLINDERS

Name	E ₀ (GPa)	E _r ,E _z (GPa)	νθz	νzr	ρ _j (g∕cm³)
Sg/Ep	52.9	12.9	0.258	0.358	1.982
Kev/Ep	79.4	5.8	0.350	0.395	1.368
IM6/Ep	175.1	8.9	0.259	0.362	1.645
P75/Ep	311.6	6.7	0.259	0.349	1.645
8/Ep	241.3	14.3	0.258	0.365	2.063
Sg/A1	80.5	81.4	0.253	0.257	2.584
Kev/Al	107.0	24.4	0.340	0.320	1.970
IM6/A1	202.8	33.6	0.261	0.272	2.247
P75/A1	339.3	26.5	0.265	0.288	2.247
B/A1	268.9	186.8	0.251	0.319	2.665

TABLE II. LAMINATE MATERIAL PROPERTIES FOR ALL-HOOP WRAP WITH 60% FVR

***FVR:** Fiber volume ratio

Tables III and IV contain the results of applying the analysis to the twenty isotropic-composite systems. The results of the composite replacement materials for steel and aluminum IMCs are given in Tables III and IV, respectively. The first column in the tables gives the name of the fiber and matrix used to form the composite material. The nondimensional material parameters K, F, A, B and the nondimensional geometry parameter R_{MAX} are also shown and are defined by the equations discussed earlier. As is shown in the tables for NRMs, R_{MAX} does not exist, and for FRMs, $R_{MAX} = C_S$. The values for W_j are calculated with R = R_{MAX} . For FRMs, W_j is a variable because R_{MAX} is a variable. The M%WR at $C_S = 1$ and $C_S = 0$ is also given, along with the slope of the M%WR curve at C_S = 1. The last column tells which of the three classes of replacement materials this composite falls into.

TABLE III. REPLACEMENT MATERIALS FOR STEEL

Comp	K	E	Δ	B	P	Wj P-P	M%WR	M%WR	Slope	Mat.
comp.	N.				MAX	R-RMAX	<u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>	US-1	^U S ⁼¹	
Sg/Ep	1.911	3.835	4.224	1.149	-		-	_	-	NRM
Kev/Ep	3.429	5.557	2.836	1.019	0.951	1.180	3.2	49.0	145.7	PRM
IM6/Ep	4.151	4.621	1.293	0.052	0.866	1.282	14.6	72.0	9.6	PRM
P75/Ep	6.391	4.621	0.728	-0.163	0.818	1.254	24.8	84.2	-6.8	PRM
B/Ep	3.828	3.684	0.938	-0.085	0.791	1.343	23.8	74.5	-3.7	PRM
Sg/A1	0.994	2.941	2.637	0.477	0.976	1.066	0.2	10.3	189.6	PRM
Kev/A1	2.011	3.858	2.071	0.509	0.906	1.260	5.5	46.3	84.8	PRM
IM6/A1	2.374	3.382	1.110	-0.087	0.747	1.480	24.6	67.2	0.8	PRM
P75/A1	3.434	3.382	0.667	-0.219	0.639	1.496	44.3	80.3	-10.9	PRM
B/A1	1.167	2.851	0.803	-0.131	C _s	Wj	100.0	71.8	-9.2	FRM

ABLE IV. REPLACEMENT MATERIALS FOR ALUMIT

						Wj	M%WR	M%WR	Slope	Mat.
Comp.	ĸ	F	A	В	RMAX	R=RMAX	C _s =0	C _S =1	C _S =1	Class
Sg/EP	1.911	1.374	1.510	0.071	-	-	-	-	-	NRM
Kev/Ep	3.429	1.991	1.014	0.025	0.833	1.242	11.7	49.1	2.0	PRM
IM6/Ep	4.151	1.656	0.462	-0.321	0.532	1.443	53.2	72.1	-24.0	PRM
P75/Ep	6.391	1.656	0.260	-0.397	0.365	1.411	78.7	84.2	-17.9	PRM
B/Ep	3.828	1.320	0.335	-0.370	C _s	₩j	100.0	74.6	-26.3	FRM
Sg/A1	0.994	1.054	0.943	-0.169	°s	₩j	100.0	10.5	-20.2	FRM
Kev/A1	2.011	1.382	0.740	-0.158	0.510	1.726	36.7	46.4	-22.4	PRM
IM6/A1	2.374	1.212	0.397	-0.370	C _s	Wj	100.0	67.3	-31.9	FRM
P75/A1	3.434	1.212	0.238	-0.418	°s	₩j	100.0	80.3	-23.2	FRM
B/A1	1.167	1.022	0.287	-0.386	Cs	Wj	100.0	71.9	-30.9	FRM

Table III shows that Sg/Ep cannot be used at all to save weight in steel cylinders, whereas Sg/Al can provide about a 10 percent weight reduction at values of Cs close to 1. Similiarly, Sg/Ep cannot save weight in an aluminum cylinder, whereas Sg/Al can save about 10 percent in weight at values of C_s close to 1 and even greater weight reduction at smaller values of C₅. Tables III and IV both show that for the five fibers considered, aluminum is a better matrix material for weight reduction than epoxy. It is also seen in Tables III and IV that, in general, Kevlar, IM6, and Pitch-75 fibers perform better at larger values of C_S when replacing either steel or aluminum. It is also interesting to note that B/Ep is a PRM for replacing steel, but it is an FRM for replacing aluminum, even though the specific stiffnesses of steel and aluminum are almost the same. This difference is primarily due to the higher radial stiffness of the steel relative to aluminum which allows for more effective load transfer in the steel at the larger wall ratio cylinders. Many other interesting comparisons can be made of different fibers, matrix materials, and isotropic materials by using data presented in a form similiar to that found in Tables III and IV.

In summary, a convenient method has been developed for investigating the effectiveness of any orthotropic composite material which is to be used as a replacement material at the outside diameter of an IMC.

REFERENCES

- J. R. Faddoul, "Structural Considerations in Lightweight Glass-Fiber Composite Pressure Vessels," <u>Proceedings of the Second International</u> <u>Conference on Pressure Vessel Technology</u>, ASME, New York, 1973, pp. 561-572.
- 2. S. V. Kulkarni and H. Zwel, eds., <u>Composites in Pressure Vessels and</u> <u>Piping</u>, ASME, New York, PVP-PB-021, 1977.
- 3. E. E. Morris, "Kevlar Aramid Composites in Pressure Vessels/Tanks," T/C Publications, El Segundo, CA, 1980.
- E. E. Morris, M. Segimoto, and V. Lynn, "Lighter Weight Fiber/Metal Pressure Vessels Using Carbon Overwrap," <u>Proceedings of the 22nd Joint</u> <u>Propulsion Conference</u>, AIAA-86-1504, 1986.
- 5. E. E. Morris and R. C. Haddock, "High-Pressure, High-Performance Filament-Wound Carbon/Epoxy Pressurant Tanks With Seamless Aluminum Liners," <u>Tomorrow's Materials: Today</u>, Vol. 34-II, SAMPE, 1989, pp. 1545-1555.
- 6. M. D. Witherell and M. A. Scavullo, "Stress Analysis and Weight Savings of Internally Pressurized Composite-Jacketed Isotropic Cylinders," <u>ASME</u> <u>Journal of Pressure Vessel Technology</u>, Vol. 112, 1990, pp. 397-403.
- 7. S. P. Timoshenko and J. N. Goodier, <u>Theory of Elasticity</u>, 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1970, pp. 68-71.
- S. G. Lekhnitskii, <u>Theory of Elasticity of an Anisotropic Elastic Body</u>, Holden-Day Inc., San Francisco, 1963, pp. 243-257 (English translation of 1950 Russian Edition).

TECHNICAL REPORT INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	NO. OF Copies
CHIEF, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING DIVISION	
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-DA	1
-00	1
-01	1
	1
-US (SYSTEMS)	1
CHIEF, ENGINEERING SUPPORT DIVISION	
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-S	1
-SD	1
-SE	1
CHIEF, RESEARCH DIVISION	
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-R	2
-RA	1
-RE	1
- RM	1
-RP	1
-RT	1
TECHNICAL LIBRARY	F
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL	C
TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS & EDITING SECTION	2
ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL	3
OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE	
ATTN: SMCWV-ODP-P	L
DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT DIRECTORATE	
ATTN: SMCWV-PP	1
DIRECTOR, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE	-
ATTN: SMCWV-QA	1

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY DIRECTOR, BENET LABORATORIES, ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

1

1

1

1

2

1

2

NO. OF NO. OF COPIES COPIES ASST SEC OF THE ARMY COMMANDER RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL ATTN: DEPT FOR SCI AND TECH ATTN: SMCRI-ENM 1 THE PENTAGON ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-5000 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310-0103 DIRECTOR US ARMY INDUSTRIAL BASE ENGR ACTV ADMINISTRATOR DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CENTER ATTN: AMXIB-P 12 ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-7260 ATTN: DTIC-FDAC CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304-6145 COMMANDER US ARMY TANK-AUTMV R&D COMMAND COMMANDER ATTN: AMSTA-DDL (TECH LIB) US ARMY ARDEC WARREN, MI 48397-5000 ATTN: SMCAR-AEE 1 SMCAR-AES, BLDG. 321 COMMANDER 1 US MILITARY ACADEMY SMCAR-AET-0, BLDG. 351N 1 SMCAR-CC 1 ATTN: DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS SMCAR-CCP-A 1 WEST POINT, NY 10996-1792 SMCAR-FSA 1 SMCAR-FSM-E 1 US ARMY MISSILE COMMAND SMCAR-FSS-D, BLDG. 94 REDSTONE SCIENTIFIC INFO CTR 1 SMCAR-IMI-I (STINFO) BLDG. 59 2 ATTN: DOCUMENTS SECT, BLDG. 4484 PICATINNY ARSENAL, NJ 07806-5000 REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35898-5241 DIRECTOR COMMANDER US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY US ARMY FGN SCIENCE AND TECH CTR ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T, BLDG. 305 ATTN: DRXST-SD 1 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005-5066 220 7TH STREET, N.E. CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901 DIRECTOR US ARMY MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTV COMMANDER ATTN: AMXSY-MP US ARMY LABCOM 1 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005-5071 MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY LAB ATTN: SLCMT-IML (TECH LIB) COMMANDER WATERTOWN, MA 02172-0001 HQ, AMCCOM ATTN: AMSMC-IMP-L 1 ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299-6000

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND ENGINEERING CENTER, US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET LABORATORIES, SMCAR-CCB-TL, WATERVLIET, NY 12189-4050, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (CONT'D)

	D. OF DPIES	NO. OF COPIES
COMMANDER US ARMY LABCOM, ISA ATTN: SLCIS-IM-TL 2800 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHI, MD 20783-1145	COMMANDER AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LABORATORY 1 ATTN: AFATL/MN EGLIN AFB, FL 32542-5434	1
COMMANDER US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE ATTN: CHIEF, IPO	COMMANDER AIR FORCE ARMAMENT LABORATORY ATTN: AFATL/MNF 1 EGLIN AFB. FL 32542-5434	1
P.O. BOX 12211 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-221	11 MIAC/CINDAS PURDUE UNIVERSITY	
DIRECTOR US NAVAL RESEARCH LAB ATTN: MATERIALS SCI & TECH DIVISION CODE 26-27 (DOC LIB)	2595 YEAGER ROAD WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47905 1 1	1
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20375 DIRECTOR US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: SLCBR-IB-M (DR. BRUCE BURNS)	Y1	

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND ENGINEERING CENTER, US ARMY AMCCOM, ATTN: BENET LABORATORIES, SMCAR-CCB-TL, WATERVLIET, NY 12189-4050, OF ANY ADDRESS CHANGES.