Naval Research Laboratory

Washington, DC 20375-5000



NRL Memorandum Report 6867



Exact Result for the Grazing Angle of Specular Reflection from a Sphere

ALLEN R. MILLER AND EMANUEL VEGH*

Battle Management Technology Information Technology Division

*Identifications Systems Branch Radar Division

August 9, 1991





Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

C. Ť

REPORT D	OCUMENTATION P	AGE	Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 nour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this purgen. To washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for information Deparations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Artington, VA 222024302, and to the Office of Management and Budget Paperwork, Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington. DC 20503				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blan	k) 2. REPORT DATE 1991 August 9	3. REPORT TYPE AM	Jan 91-May 91	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		ricinor diridum	5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
EXACT RESULT FOR THE GRAZING ANGLE OF SPECULAR REFLECTION FROM A SPHERE			62111N N0001991W	
6. AUTHOR(S)				
Allen R. Miller and Eman	uel Vegh*			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	
Naval Research Laboratory 4555 Overlook Avenue			NRL Memorandum	
Washington, DC 2037	5-5000		Report 6867	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Air Systems Command			10. SPONSORING / "IONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
Washington, DC 2036				
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
*Identifications Systems F	tranch Radar Division			
Iden inclutions bystems i				
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY	TATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
Approved for public r	elease; distribution	unlimited.		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word	;)	<u></u>	l	
An exact formula is g sphere by displaying	jiven for the grazing the zeros of a certa	angle of specul in self-inversiv	ar reflection from a e quartic polynomial.	
14. SUBJECT TERMS grazing angle spher specular reflection	ical earth quartic self-inversive polyno	polynomial pmial exact solu	15. NUMBER OF PAGES 17 Utions 16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 1	B. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFI	CATION 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	
UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED	UP ABSTRACT	SAR	

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	PRELIMINARIES	4
3.	THE ROOTS OF THE QUARTIC	7
4.	THE GRAZING ANGLE	8
5.	SPECIAL CASES	9
6.	CONCLUSIONS	12
7.	REFERENCES	13



PARI	
-	
1.	
ം വാനർ	n
".e. 19 n _	·
·	
ibution/	
Lability (്പ്ടെ
Avail and	/or
Special	
1	
	button/ lbutton/ lability lvali amu Special

EXACT RESULT FOR THE GRAZING ANGLE OF SPECULAR REFLECTION FROM A SPHERE

1. Introduction

When the angle of incidence and the angle of reflection of a wave or signal are equal, we say that we have specular reflection. The angle of specular reflection or grazing angle from a perfectly flat surface is easy to compute, but this same angle from a perfect sphere is computed with some difficulty and no exact formula for it is presently known. The problem for the sphere goes back almost half a century to W.T. Fishback [1] who gave an approximation for the grazing angle.

Let r be the radius of a sphere, h_1 and h_2 respectively the perpendicular heights of an observer and source above the sphere and ϕ the angle (measured from the center of the sphere) between the observer and source. If we trace a wave from source to observer (or vice versa), let ψ be the specular angle of reflection from the sphere (see Figure 1). Miller and Vegh [2] gave an easy iterative method for computing the grazing angle to any degree of accuracy. They also showed that in principle a formula for the grazing angle can be found by computing the roots of the self-inversive quartic polynomial equation:

$$\alpha z^4 + \beta z^3 + \gamma z^2 + \bar{\beta} z + \bar{\alpha} = 0 \tag{1}$$

;

where

$$\alpha = e^{i\phi}(e^{i\phi} - k_1k_2)$$

$$\beta = k_1^2 + k_2^2 - 2k_1k_2e^{i\phi}$$

$$\gamma = 2(k_1^2 + k_2^2 - k_1k_2\cos\phi - 1)$$

and

$$k_i = r/(r+h_i)$$
, $i = 1, 2$
 $0 \le \phi \le \cos^{-1}(k_1) + \cos^{-1}(k_2) \le \pi$

If the latter inequality does not hold, reflection cannot occur and no grazing angle exists.

Further, if z_{\bullet} designates any of the roots of equation (1) and

$$\psi_{\bullet}=\frac{1}{2} \cos^{-1}(\operatorname{Re} z_{\bullet})$$

Manuscript approved June 18, 1991.

they showed that the unique value ψ of ψ , that satisfies

$$\phi + 2\psi = \cos^{-1}(k_1 \, \cos \psi) + \cos^{-1}(k_2 \, \cos \, \psi) \tag{2}$$

is the grazing angle.

Recently, Secrest proved the conjecture of Miller [3] that the roots of equation (1) have absolute value one. Moreover, Secrest showed that for

$$0 < k_1, k_2 < 1, \quad k_1 \neq k_2, \quad 0 < \phi < \pi$$
 (3)

four distinct roots of equation (1) exist. It was noted there also that the roots themselves are unknown in general.

In this paper, we shall use the fact that equation (1) has distinct roots of absolute value one when the inequalities (3) hold to factor the quartic polynomial, thus giving an explicit formula for the grazing angle. When $k \equiv k_1 = k_2$ the grazing angle is given by

$$\psi = \tan^{-1}(\cot \phi/2 - k \operatorname{cosec} \phi/2)$$



Figure 1. Geometry of spherical specular reflection.

2. Preliminaries

In equation (1) we make the transformation

$$z = (\bar{\alpha}/\alpha)^{1/4} s = e^{-(1/2)i \arg \alpha} s \tag{4}$$

thereby rotating the roots thru an angle of $(-1/2) \arg \alpha$. Thus we arrive at

$$s^4 + as^3 + bs^2 + \bar{a}s + 1 = 0 \tag{5}$$

where

$$a = \beta(|\alpha|\alpha)^{-1/2}$$
$$b = \gamma/|\alpha| .$$

Since equation (1) has distinct roots of absolute value one, then so does equation (5) which we factor into two quadratics:

$$(s^{2} + u_{1}s + e^{2i\theta})(s^{2} + u_{2}s + e^{-2i\theta}) = 0$$
 (6)

where u_1, u_2, θ are to be determined. Writing equation (6)

$$(e^{-i\theta}s^2+u_1e^{-i\theta}s+e^{i\theta})(e^{i\theta}s^2+u_2e^{i\theta}s+e^{-i\theta})=0$$

since each quadratic factor has zeros on the unit circle, we must have

$$u_1 = \mu_1 e^{i\theta} , \quad u_2 = \mu_2 e^{-i\theta} \tag{7}$$

where μ_1, μ_2 are real and $|\mu_1| \le 2$, $|\mu_2| \le 2$. Now comparing the coefficients of like powers of s in equations (5) and (6) and using equations (7) we obtain

$$a = \mu_1 e^{i\theta} + \mu_2 e^{-i\theta} \tag{8}$$

$$b = e^{2i\theta} + e^{-2i\theta} + \mu_1 \mu_2 .$$
 (9)

Solving equation (8) for μ_1 and μ_2 we deduce

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re} a}{\cos \theta} + \frac{\operatorname{Im} a}{\sin \theta} \right)$$
(10)

$$\mu_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re} a}{\cos \theta} - \frac{\operatorname{Im} a}{\sin \theta} \right) ; \qquad (11)$$

and defining

$$t \equiv \cos^2 \theta$$
, $0 < \theta < \pi/2$

we find from equation (9) that θ is determined by the zeros of the cubic monic polynomial

$$f(t) \equiv t^3 - \frac{b+6}{4}t^2 + \frac{8+4b+|a|^2}{16}t - \frac{\mathrm{Re}^2 a}{16}$$

Assuming that Re a and Im a are different from zero we readily see that

$$f(0) = -\frac{\mathrm{Re}^2 a}{16} < 0$$
$$f(1) = \frac{\mathrm{Im}^2 a}{16} > 0$$

so that f(t) has at least one zero in the interval 0 < t < 1. In fact, f(t) has three distinct zeros in the interval 0 < t < 1. To see this, let s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4 be the distinct roots of equation (5) which we may write

$$[(s - s_1)(s - s_2)][(s - s_3)(s - s_4)] = 0$$
$$[(s - s_1)(s - s_3)][(s - s_2)(s - s_4)] = 0$$
$$[(s - s_1)(s - s_4)][(s - s_2)(s - s_3)] = 0$$

Hence we may factor equation (5) into two quadratics in three different ways, so that in equation (6) we would expect three distinct triples (u_1, u_2, θ) . Further, since the cubic equation f(t) = 0 has three distinct real roots, its discriminant is positive and we can easily write formulas for these three roots (see for example [4, p. 125]). Thus if we let T be any zero of f(t) we have from equations (10) and (11)

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re} a}{\sqrt{T}} + \frac{\operatorname{Im} a}{\sqrt{1 - T}} \right) \tag{12}$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Re} a}{\sqrt{T}} - \frac{\operatorname{Im} a}{\sqrt{1-T}} \right)$$
(13)

where 0 < T < 1. In particular, setting

$$c_{2} = -\frac{b+6}{4}, \quad c_{1} = \frac{8+4b+|a|^{2}}{16}, \quad c_{0} = -\frac{\text{Re}^{2}a}{16}$$
$$p = c_{1} - c_{2}^{2}/3, \quad q = (2c_{2}^{3} - 9c_{1}c_{2} + 27c_{0})/27$$

$$\omega = \frac{1}{3}\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{3q}{2p}\sqrt{\frac{-3}{p}}\right)$$

the zeros of f(t) are

.

$$2 \sqrt{\frac{-p}{3}} \cos \omega$$
, $2 \sqrt{\frac{-p}{3}} \cos(\omega + \frac{2}{3}\pi)$, $2 \sqrt{\frac{-p}{3}} \cos(\omega + \frac{4}{3}\pi)$

and we may choose T to be any one of the latter expressions.

3. The Roots of the Quartic

Noting equation (7) we write the four roots of equation (6):

$$s_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\mu_{1} \cos \theta - \sqrt{4 - \mu_{1}^{2}} \sin \theta\right) + \frac{i}{2} \left(-\mu_{1} \sin \theta + \sqrt{4 - \mu_{1}^{2}} \cos \theta\right)$$

$$s_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\mu_{1} \cos \theta + \sqrt{4 - \mu_{1}^{2}} \sin \theta\right) + \frac{i}{2} \left(-\mu_{1} \sin \theta - \sqrt{4 - \mu_{1}^{2}} \cos \theta\right)$$

$$s_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\mu_{2} \cos \theta + \sqrt{4 - \mu_{2}^{2}} \sin \theta\right) + \frac{i}{2} \left(\mu_{2} \sin \theta + \sqrt{4 - \mu_{2}^{2}} \cos \theta\right)$$

$$s_{4} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\mu_{2} \cos \theta - \sqrt{4 - \mu_{2}^{2}} \sin \theta\right) + \frac{i}{2} \left(\mu_{2} \sin \theta - \sqrt{4 - \mu_{2}^{2}} \cos \theta\right);$$

and now applying the rotation equation (4) we obtain the four distinct roots of equation (1):

$$z_k = \exp\left[i(\arg s_k - \frac{1}{2}\arg \alpha)\right], \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, 4)$$
 (14)

where

$$\arg \alpha = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sin 2\phi - k_1 k_2 \sin \phi}{\cos 2\phi - k_1 k_2 \cos \phi} \right)$$
(15)

and

$$\arg s_1 = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\mu_1 \sqrt{1-T} + \sqrt{4-\mu_1^2} \sqrt{T}}{-\mu_1 \sqrt{T} - \sqrt{4-\mu_1^2} \sqrt{1-T}} \right)$$
(16.1)

$$\arg s_2 = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\mu_1 \sqrt{1-T} - \sqrt{4-\mu_1^2} \sqrt{T}}{-\mu_1 \sqrt{T} + \sqrt{4-\mu_1^2} \sqrt{1-T}} \right)$$
(16.2)

$$\arg s_3 = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\mu_2 \sqrt{1-T} + \sqrt{4-\mu_2^2} \sqrt{T}}{-\mu_2 \sqrt{T} + \sqrt{4-\mu_2^2} \sqrt{1-T}} \right)$$
(16.3)

$$\arg s_4 = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\mu_2 \sqrt{1-T} - \sqrt{4-\mu_2^2} \sqrt{T}}{-\mu_2 \sqrt{T} - \sqrt{4-\mu_2^2} \sqrt{1-T}} \right)$$
(16.4)

In equations (16) μ_1 and μ_2 are given respectively by equations (12) and (13) and T (0 < T < 1) is any zero of the cubic polynomial f(t). We remark that the signs of the numerator and denominator of the argument of the inverse tangent function in equations (15) and (16) must not be disturbed by, for example, simplification of these equations.

4. The Grazing Angle

From equation (14) we now find the four angles:

$$\psi_1 = \frac{1}{2} (\arg s_1 - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha)$$

$$\psi_2 = \frac{1}{2} (\arg s_2 - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha)$$

$$\psi_3 = \frac{1}{2} (\arg s_3 - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha)$$

$$\psi_4 = \frac{1}{2} (\arg s_4 - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha)$$

and the unique value of ψ_i that satisfies equation (2) is the grazing angle ψ . We observe that since ψ must be in the interval $(0, \pi/2)$, we can reject immediately any of the ψ_i not in this interval.

It is interesting to note that if we choose the zero of f(t) to be

$$T=2 \ \sqrt{rac{-p}{3}} \ \cos\left(\omega+rac{4}{3}\pi
ight)$$

extensive numerical computations indicate that the grazing angle is given by

$$\psi = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (\arg s_1 - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha), & 0 < \phi < \pi/2 \\ \frac{1}{2} (\arg s_2 - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha), & \pi/2 \le \phi < \pi \end{cases}$$

Although the authors cannot prove this conjecture analytically, it is certainly true; and applied workers should not hesitate to use it, since it is a simple matter to check numerically by substitution whether ψ is in fact the unique root of equation (2).

5. Special Cases

Since

Re
$$a = \left|\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right| \cos(\arg \beta - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha)$$

Im $a = \left|\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right| \sin(\arg \beta - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha)$

we have

Re
$$a \operatorname{Im} a = \left|\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right|^2 \sin(2 \arg \beta - \arg \alpha)$$

so that Re a or Im a vanish provided that

$$2 \arg \beta = \arg \alpha + n\pi , \quad (n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots) .$$

Taking the tangent of both sides of this equation gives

$$\frac{\operatorname{Im} \alpha}{\operatorname{Re} \alpha} = 2 \frac{\operatorname{Re} \beta \operatorname{Im} \beta}{\operatorname{Re}^2 \beta - \operatorname{Im}^2 \beta}$$

which yields after a little computation the result that Re *a* or Im *a* vanish provided that k_1, k_2, ϕ satisfy the equation:

$$\cos\phi = \frac{1}{2} k_1 k_2 \left[\left(\frac{k_1^2 - k_2^2}{k_1^2 + k_2^2} \right)^2 + \frac{4}{k_1^2 + k_2^2} \right] . \tag{17}$$

For $0 < k_1, k_2 < 1, k_1 \neq k_2$ the right side of equation (17) is bounded by one so that ϕ always exists in the interval $(0, \pi/2)$.

Numerical computations show that when equation (17) holds, then Re a = 0 and Im a < 0; it appears then that Im a never vanishes. Nevertheless, for completeness, we shall discuss below the two special cases Re a = 0 and Im a = 0, since we have not proved the latter assertion but only indicated that its truth is supported by numerical evidence.

In the case when a is real, then it is easy to show from equations (6)-(9) that the roots of equation (5) are given by

$$rac{1}{2}(-\mu_1+i\,\sqrt{4-\mu_1^2})\;,\ rac{1}{2}(-\mu_1-i\,\sqrt{4-\mu_1^2})\;,$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(-\mu_2+i\sqrt{4-\mu_2^2}),$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(-\mu_2-i\sqrt{4-\mu_2^2}),$$

where

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(a + \sqrt{a^2 - 4b + 8}) \tag{18.1}$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}(a - \sqrt{a^2 - 4b + 8}); \qquad (18.2)$$

and in the case $a \ (= ic, c \text{ real})$ is purely imaginary the roots of equation (5) are

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}(-\mu_1 i + \sqrt{4-\mu_1^2}) ,\\ &\frac{1}{2}(-\mu_1 i - \sqrt{4-\mu_1^2}) ,\\ &\frac{1}{2}(-\mu_2 i + \sqrt{4-\mu_2^2}) ,\\ &\frac{1}{2}(-\mu_2 i - \sqrt{4-\mu_2^2}) \end{aligned}$$

where now

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4b + 8}) \tag{19.1}$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}(c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4b + 8}) . \qquad (19.2)$$

Therefore, if $0 < k_1, k_2 < 1$, $k_1 \neq k_2$, $0 < \phi < \pi$ are such that equation (17) holds, then in the case a is real we have

$$\psi_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 - \mu_{1}^{2}}}{-\mu_{1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha \right]$$
$$\psi_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\sqrt{4 - \mu_{1}^{2}}}{-\mu_{1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha \right]$$
$$\psi_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{4 - \mu_{2}^{2}}}{-\mu_{2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha \right]$$
$$\psi_{4} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\sqrt{4 - \mu_{2}^{2}}}{-\mu_{2}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha \right]$$

where $\arg \alpha$ and μ_1, μ_2 are given respectively by equations (15) and (18); and in the case where a = ic (c real) we have

$$\psi_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\mu_{1}}{\sqrt{4 - \mu_{1}^{2}}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha \right]$$
$$\psi_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\mu_{1}}{-\sqrt{4 - \mu_{1}^{2}}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha \right]$$
$$\psi_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\mu_{2}}{\sqrt{4 - \mu_{2}^{2}}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha \right]$$
$$\psi_{4} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{-\mu_{2}}{-\sqrt{4 - \mu_{2}^{2}}} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \arg \alpha \right]$$

where μ_1 and μ_2 are given by equations (19).

6. Conclusions

In the present paper we have shown that it is possible to exhibit the grazing angle of specular reflection as one of four angles derived from the zeros of a certain self-inversive quartic polynomial whose coefficients are complex. Thus the grazing angle may now be found deterministically, whereas heretofore it had to be computed either by approximations or numerical procedures.

The preceeding analysis shows further that factoring, in a useful way, a quartic polynomial whose complex coefficients depend on even a small number of parameters, is not always a trivial matter, even though the methods of Cardan and Ferrari have been known for centuries.

References

- W.T. Fishback, Simplified methods of field intensity calculations in the interface region, MIT Radiation Laboratory Report 461, 1943.
- A.R. Miller and E. Vegh, Computing the grazing angle of specular reflection, Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol. 21, 271-274, 1990.
- 3. D. Secrest, Problem 6602: Roots on the unit circle, Amer. Math. Monthly 98, 176-177, 1991.
- 4. S. Borofsky, "Elementary Theory of Equations", Macmillan, 1950.