# DTIC FILE COPY

R-930

August 1990

By J. Franchi

Sponsored By Naval Facilities Engineering Command and Office of Naval Research

NCEL

**Technical Report** 

## FIELD PERFORMANCE OF THREE-PHASE AMORPHOUS METAL CORE DISTRIBUTION TRANSFORMERS AT PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII

ABSTRACT As part of a 3-year project sponsored by the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) and Office of Naval Research (ONR), eight prototype three-phase amorphous metal core distribution transformers (three 75-kVA and five 150-kVA units) were installed at the Public Works Center (PWC) Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. The program objective was to evaluate the electrical performance and operational reliability of the amorphous metal core transformers compared to conventional silicon-steel transformers, and to determine the stability of the transformer core losses over an extended period of time. Three years of test and evaluation of these amorphous transformers has shown no degradation of the initial low core loss. No failures of any kind occurred. More importantly, test results obtained from these transformers indicate no long-term degradation of the low core loss is expected. No-load losses in the 75-kVA transformers tested were reduced by 62.6 percent and in the 150-kVA units by 70.1 percent. Distribution transformers tested were an area where more efficient materials, such as amorphous metal, significantly reduce core losses and help to lower the total losses on the distribution system. These eight relatively small three-phase transformers have been in operation at Pearl Harbor for only a few years, but have already produced energy savings of approximately three thousand dollars.



11 13 140

NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LABORATORY PORT HUENEME CALIFORNIA 93043-5003

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

SE ( )

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

19

Symbol \*÷**8** ë 2 ° ° E ဦ့ပ .s.s.# 및 Ē ъ а ٣ square inches square yards square miles acres Fahrenheit temperature 8 quarts gallons cubic feet cubic yards fluid ounces To Find 8 short tons Approximate Conversions from Metric Measures ounces pounds inches inches feet yards pints 8 miles 8 TEMPERATURE (exact) Ş 9/5 (then add 32) Multiply by 0.035 2.2 1.1 MASS (weight) 0.03 2.1 1.06 0.26 35 1.3 8 0.16 0.04 0.4 3.3 0.6 1.2 0.4 2.5 VOLUME LENGTH AREA 8 Ş square meters square kilometers hectares (10,000 m<sup>2</sup>) 8 c When You Know square centimeters grams kilograms tonnes (1,000 kg) 0 Ŗ cubic meters cubic meters temperature centimeters millimeters kilometers milliliters meters meters Celsius \* 월기 -ခူပ liters liters liters Symbol ы т т т т т т т т т т т ដ្ ទ εεž - 중 -ပ Ē 53 52 ١Z 50 6١ 91 ۹L 13 ۲ı н 101 19 IS 3 81 121 6 Z ່ພວ יויוי 11 'l'|'I .1.1 5 6 4 2 |, 13 18 inches Symbol 5565 \_\_\_\_\_\_E\_\_E в¥ ĒĒĒ ပ ... •1 in = 2.54 (exactly). For other exact conversions and more detailed tables, see NBS square centimeters square kilometers To Find square meters square meters cubic meters cubic meters temperature Approximate Conversions to Metric Measures centimeters centimeters kilometers milliliters kilograms milliliters milliliters hectares meters Celsius tonnes grams liters liters liters liters TEMPERATURE (exact) MASS (weight) 5/9 (after subtracting 32) Multiply by LENGTH VOLUME AREA 5 30 0.24 6.5 0.09 0.8 0.4 0.03 0.76 0.9 1.6 0.45 **\***2.5 0.95 0.47 0.9 3.8 28 When You Know square inches square yards square miles acres temperature teaspoons tablespoons fluid ounces square feet short tons (2,000 lb) cubic yards Fahrenheit gallons cubic feet ounces pounds quarts inches feet yards miles cups pints Symbol tsp Tbsp fl oz ੑੑਸ਼ੑੑ<u>ਸ਼</u>ਗ਼ਗ਼ੵ 드로 핏 Ē ų. 8 <u>a</u>

Misc. Publ. 286, Units of Weights and Measures, Price \$2.25, SD Catalog No. C13.10:286.

6

REPORT DOCUM	MENTATION PAGE		pproved 0704-018
Public reporting burden for this collection of informi gathering and maintaining the data needed, and co collection information, including suggestions for red Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the C	mpleting and reviewing the collection of info ducing this burden, to Washington Headquar	rmation. Send comments regarding this burden es ters Services, Directorate for Information and Repo	timate or any other aspect of this rts, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway,
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES CO	OVERED
	August 1990	Final - FY	87 to FY89
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE FIELD PERFORMANCE OF METAL CORE DISTRIBUTION PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII		IOUS PR - RO371-804-2	212B
6. AUTHOR(S)		WU - DN668114	
J. Franchi			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND	DADORESSE(S)	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Naval Civil Engineering Laborat	ory		
Port Hueneme, CA 93043-5003		TR - 930	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME	(S) AND ADDRESSE(S)	10. SPONSORING/MONITORING	
Naval Facilities Engineering Co Alexandria, VA 22332 and Office of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217	ommand	AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
		······	
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT 12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE			
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Office of Naval Research (O (three 75-kVA and five 150-) Hawaii. The program object amorphous metal core transfe stability of the transformer co these amorphous transformer occurred. More importantly, the low core loss is expected and in the 150-kVA units by als, such as amorphous metal tion system. These eight rela	NR), eight prototype three-pl kVA units) were installed at t ive was to evaluate the electr ormers compared to conventi- ore losses over an extended p rs has shown no degradation of test results obtained from the No-load losses in the 75-kV 70.1 percent. Distribution tr l, significantly reduce core lo atively small three-phase tran ready produced energy saving	Facilities Engineering Command ( hase amorphous metal core distrib the Public Works Center (PWC) F ical performance and operational onal silicon-steel transformers, an eriod of time. Three years of test of the initial low core loss. No fai ese transformers indicate no long- VA transformers tested were reduce ansformers are an area where more sses and help to lower the total lo sformers have been in operation a gs of approximately three thousan	ution transformers earl Harbor, reliability of the ad to determine the and evaluation of dures of any kind term degradation of ed by 62.6 percent re efficient materi- sses on the distribu- tt Pearl Harbor for
14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES
Transformer, amorphous, PCB, electrical power, metal core, energy c		energy conservation,	42
electric utilities, power distribution			
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
Unclassified	Unclassified	Unclassified	UL
NSN 7540-01-280-5500			Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)

## CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	1
INSTALLATION TESTING	2
Ratio TestPolarity TestPolarity TestNo-Load Loss/Exciting Current TestImpedance Voltage/Load Loss TestsPolarityApplied Voltage TestsPolarityInduced Potential TestPolarityAudible Sound Level TestPolarityRadio Influence Voltage (RIV) TestPolarityShort-Circuit TestPolarityTemperature Rise TestPolarity	2 2 3 3 3 3 4 4 4
No-Load Loss Corrected to a Sine-Wave Basis	4 5
Correcting No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis	5 6 8
CONCLUSIONS	9
FUTURE WORK	9
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	10
APPENDIX - Related NCEL Technical Documents on Transformers	A-1

	Acces	sion F	OF
$\frown$	NTIS	GRA&I	
DT16	DTIC	TAB	ā
CHERK	Unann	ounced	
INSPECTRO	Justi	ficati	on
	By		
	Distr	ibutio	n/
	Ava1	labili	ty Codes
		Avail	and/or
	Dist	Spec	ial
	1		1
	121		ł
			1

#### INTRODUCTION

The Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL) has recently completed a test and evaluation program for 75-kVA and 150-kVA amorphous core distribution transformers at the Public Works Center (PWC), Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. Results of these tests compare very favorably with industry projections of 60 to 70 percent savings in transformer no-load losses for amorphous core distribution transformers.

Amorphous metal is produced by a process known as rapid solidification. By spraying molten metal in a cold environment, tiny particles are cooled at the rate of one million degrees a second. This process freezes the atoms in place before they can align in a crystalline lattice, as happens with glass. In glassy form, a metal can possess properties of strength, conductivity, and magnetism that are entirely different from its crystalline form. Rapid solidification creates a new state of matter; metals with new structures; and new properties of magnetism, strength, and stiffness.

The technological progress made possible by this advanced material in the amorphous core distribution transformers at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii resulted in reducing no-load losses by 62.6 percent in the 75-kVA transformers and by 70.1 percent in the 150-kVA units.

#### BACKGROUND

In January 1987, the Public Works Center (PWC), Pearl Harbor, Hawaii initiated a transformer replacement program involving 126 PCB contaminated three-phase pad-mounted indoor and outdoor distribution transformers. The Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory (NCEL), in investigating Navy-wide costs in energy savings, contracted with the General Electric Company to build eight prototype three-phase amorphous core distribution transformers (three 75-kVA and five 150-kVA units) to be included in this transformer replacement program at Pearl Harbor. At the time of installation in 1987, these units were the largest commercial grade amorphous core transformers in the world.

This report chronicles the performance of these transformers over a 2-year period of operation on the utility systems at Ford Island, Barbers Point Naval Air Station, the Naval Shipyard, and the Naval Supply Center at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

The main objectives of these field tests were to determine that there is no long-term degradation of the initial low core loss and exciting current due to aging of the amorphous core under normal operating conditions.

#### INSTALLATION TESTING

NCEL's operating experience with three-phase amorphous core transformers on a distribution system began in October 1987 at the Public Works Center (PWC), Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. The eight amorphous core transformers that were installed at PWC Pearl Harbor were built by the Genera! Electric Company. NCEL monitored the entire manufacturing process of these transformers prior to their shipment to Pearl Harbor, beginning with the design and construction of the amorphous cores at the Hickory, North Carolina facility and culminating with the final assembly of the completed units at the Shreveport, Louisiana plant.

The following commercial tests were conducted by the General Electric Company according to ANSI/IEEE C57.12.90-1980 standards. These tests were conducted in order to determine the transformers electrical performance parameters prior to installation and further field testing at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. All tests were conducted at the Hickory, North Carolina and Shreveport, Louisiana plants.

#### Ratio Test

• <u>Objective</u>: The turns ratio of the transformer is the ratio of turns in the high-voltage winding to turns in the lra-voltage winding. The objective of the ratio test was to demonstrate that the ratio of turns in the high-voltage and low-voltage windings was correct, so that a given impressed high voltage would produce the expected low voltage, according to the ratio of high-voltage winding turns to low-voltage turns.

#### Polarity Test

• <u>Objective</u>: The objective of the polarity test was to demonstrate that the leads and polarity marks on the transformer reflected the actual arrangement of the transformer windings. These data are particularly important when two or more transformers are operated in parallel.

#### No-Load Loss/Exciting Current Test

• <u>Objectives</u>: The objectives of the no-load loss and excitation current tests were to determine: (1) the power loss in the transformer when operating at rated voltage and frequency, but not supplying load; and (2) the excitation current required to maintain the magnetic flux excitation in the transformer core. No-load losses include core loss, dielectric loss, and loss in the windings due to exciting current. Both no-load losses and excitation currents should be determined using sinusoidal sources, or by correcting for the applied source waveforms as described in Section 8 of ANSI/IEEE C57.12.90-1980.

#### Impedance Voltage/Load Loss Tests

• <u>Objective</u>: The objective of the impedance voltage/load loss tests was to determine the voltage required to circulate the rated current under short-circuit conditions, and the associated watt loss when the source was connected to the rated voltage taps. The impedance voltage consisted of an effective resistance component corresponding to the load losses and a reactive component corresponding to the leakage flux linkages of the windings.

#### Applied Voltage Tests

• <u>Objective</u>: The objective of the applied voltage tests was to stress the major components of insulation, and the major insulation between the windings and ground. Two types of applied voltage tests were made: high-to-low-to-iron-to-case (HLIC) applied voltage tests, and low-to-high-to-iron-to-case (LHIC) applied voltage tests. In the HLIC tests, the test voltage was applied to the high-voltage transformer bushings (which were tied together), and the low voltage bushings (which were tied together and grounded). In the LHIC tests, all low-voltage bushings were tied together and connected to the source voltage, and the high-voltage bushings were tied together and grounded. The HLIC tests stressed the insulation of the high-voltage windings. The LHIC tests stressed the low-voltage windings.

#### Induced Potential Test

• <u>Objective</u>: The objective of the induced potential test was to stress interwinding insulation structures, as well as portions of the major insulation. The test applied greater than rated volts per turn to the transformer, so that it was run at higher frequency (400 Hz in this case) to avoid core saturation.

#### Audible Sound Level Test

Objective: The objective of the audible sound level test was to determine the audible sound emitted from the transformer when operated at rated voltage and frequency, and no load. Sound level measurements were significant because excessive sounds from transformers can be an annoyance in residential or other populated areas. Also, excessive sound levels may indicate apparent problems in the transformer core, such as loose or fractured core laminations. A sound level meter with an Aweighting frequency network was used for the measurements, since this type of weighting best represents the ability of a remote listener, with normal hearing, to hear the complex sounds generated by the transformer. The tests were conducted in accordance with the procedures in Section 13 of ANSI/IEEE C57.12.90-1980.

#### Radio Influence Voltage (RIV) Test

• <u>Objective</u>: The objective of the RIV test was to determine the amount of RIV produced by the corona (local overstress) in transformer insulation. RIV, as the name implies, may cause interference to radio communications. Excessive corona may also be an indication of insulation breakdown. The tests were performed with the methods prescribed in NEMA Publication TR 1. Tests were run at 100 percent and 110 percent of rated voltage.

#### Short-Circuit Test

• <u>Objective</u>: The objective of the short-circuit tests was to demonstrate the ability of the transformer to withstand the stresses resulting from a short circuit applied to the transformer's primary or secondary terminals. The tests were conducted by either closing a breaker at the faulted terminal to apply a short circuit to a previously energized transformer, or by closing a breaker at the source terminal to apply energy to a previously short-circuited transformer.

#### **Temperature** Rise Test

• <u>Objective</u>: The objective of the temperature rise test was to determine the maximum temperature rise (above the ambient temperature) of the windings and the insulating fluid in the transformer when the transformer was operated at maximum kVA rating. The temperature rise test was conducted in accordance with the procedures in Section 11 of ANSI/IEEE C57.12.90-1980.

#### No-Load Loss Corrected to a Sine-Wave Basis

Because no-load loss and current are particularly sensitive to differences in waveshape, no-load loss measurements will vary markedly with the waveshape of the test voltage. The correct no-load loss of a transformer shall be determined from the measured value by means of the following equation:

$$P = \frac{P_m}{P_1 + kP_2}$$

This requires both an average and root mean square (rms) responding voltmeter be used to correct the measured no-load losses to a sine-wave basis.

After receiving the eight amorphous core transformers at the Naval Shipyard at Pearl Harbor and prior to their installation on the distribution system, the following tests were conducted by NCEL personnel in order to insure that the transformers were not damaged in any way during their shipment from the Shreveport, Louisiana plant to Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. No-load loss and exciting current tests showed changes of less than 1 percent from the factory test results, while the ratio and polarity tests were exactly the same. Figure 1 shows the complete test setup in Building 166 prior to field installation. When no-load loss, excitation current, ratio, and polarity tests were completed, the amorphous core transformers were loaded on flatbed trucks as shown in Figure 2 and permanently installed at various sites throughout the Pearl Harbor complex. Figure 3 shows the final installation of a 150-kVA amorphous core transformer at the Naval Shipyard, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

#### TEST METHODS

#### Correcting No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis

ANSI Standard C57.12.90 requires that no-load losses be determined based on a sine-wave voltage. Furthermore, it recommends that the average voltmeter method (which requires both an average and rms responding voltmeter) be used to correct the measured no-load losses to a sine-wave basis. Both voltmeters are required because the no-load loss is very sensitive to the waveshape of the test voltage and different waveshapes will result in different losses.

All amorphous transformer testing at Pearl Harbor was accomplished according to ANSI Standard C57.12.90 using a Yokogawa Model 2533 Digital Power Meter, which incorporates both an average and rms responding voltmeter. The correct no-load loss was then determined by means of the following equation:

$$P = \frac{P_m}{P_1 + kP_2}$$

where P = no-load loss (watts) corrected to a sine-wave basis

P<sub>m</sub> = no-load loss measured in test
P<sub>1</sub> = per unit hysteresis loss\*
P<sub>2</sub> = per unit eddy-current loss\*

$$k = \left(\frac{E_{r}}{E_{a}}\right)^{2}$$

where E<sub>n</sub> = test voltage measured by rms voltmeter

 $E_a = test voltage measured by average voltage voltmeter$ 

<sup>\*</sup>If actual percentage values of hysteresis and eddy-current losses are not available, ANSI standard suggests that they be assumed equal, assigning a value of 0.5 per unit:

Figures 4 and 5 show a Yokogawa Model 2533 Digital Power Meter and the different wiring configurations that were used to test the threephase 3-wire and three-phase 4-wire amorphous core transformers at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. Figure 6 shows a schematic diagram of the Digital Power Meter connected to a three-phase 4-wire amorphous core transformer under test at Barbers Point Naval Air Station. This testing procedure was strictly adhered to in testing all amorphous core transformers at Pearl Harbor.

It was necessary to use a generator with multiple output load connections to supply rated voltage to the secondaries of the transformers under test. These load-to-generator connections and the generator connection schematic diagram are shown in Figure 7. The required voltages needed to test the eight amorphous core transformers were:

- 1. Three-phase 4-wire 120/208 WYE.
- 2. Three-phase 3-wire 240-volt delta.
- 3. Three-phase 3-wire 230-volt delta.
- 4. Three-phase 3-wire 480-volt WYE.

These various output voltages are precisely controlled with a potentiometer in the control circuitry of the Onan 7.5-kW generator.

#### Field Site Testing

Field performance was monitored at 1-year intervals over a 3-year period. The purpose of the field tests was: (1) to evaluate core loss and exciting current stability, and (2) to conduct the most widespread field evaluation of three-phase amorphous core transformer performance to date.

NCEL initiated a test program to evaluate the stability of the amorphous core transformer. The following parameters were recorded over the 3-year period:

- 1. No-load losses on each phase (watts)
- 2. Total no-load loss (watts)
- 3. Corrected no-load loss to a sine-wave basis (watts)
- 4. Excitation current (amperes)
- 5. Percent excitation current
- 6. Root mean square (rms) voltage
- 7. Average voltage
- 8. Ambient air temperature

9. Oil temperature inside transformer

10. Maximum oil temperature inside transformer

Following is a list of the stations along with the size and location of each transformer tested on the island of Oahu, Hawaii:

Station	<u>Size</u>	Location
TF-9	75 kVA	Ford Island (B-99)
BP-B169	75 kVA	Barbers Point Naval Air Station (B-169)
TD-10	75 kVA	Ford Island (B-181)
K-28	150 kVA	Naval Supply Center (S-959)
B1dg-166	150 kVA	Naval Shipyard (B-166)
TC-7	150 kVA	Ford Island (S-258)
C-11	150 kVA	Naval Shipyard (B-393)
BP-B91	150 kVA	Barbers Point Naval Air Station (B-91)

These transformers have been in service for over 2 years with little change in no-load loss and excitation current. A summary of the test results of the amorphous core transformers performance on a distribution system measured at intervals over a 3-year period is shown in Tables 1 through 8. Tables 9 and 10 show the results of the same testing procedure used on conventional 150-kVA silicon steel transformers. Table 11 shows the results of a World War II vintage transformer that is still in use today at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. This transformer had noload losses of 888 watts compared to 87 watts for a amorphous core transformer of the same size. The amorphous core transformer showed a 90 percent reduction in the energy consumed by losses in the cores of the distribution transformers. This transformer core loss is power which must be supplied every hour of every day. By locating these amorphous core transformers in critical areas throughout the Pearl Harbor complex, PWC Pearl Harbor has taken full advantage of the power saving opportunities these transformers offer.

When testing amorphous core transformers in the field, the power to the transformer had to be shut down, and all primary and secondary leads removed. The secondary leads were removed to completely isolate the transformer from any other electrical circuits. In every case, this resulted in a complete power outage in the test area. Power outages needed to be incorporated into the testing schedule, and time limits observed when testing the equipment. PWC Pearl Harbor scheduled and provided NCEL with a 4-hour power outage for each transformer tested. Frequently, the testing was accomplished in less than half of that time.

NCEL used the following test procedure for each transformer:

- 1. A high-voltage electrician would shut down the power to the transformer under test.
- 2. All primary and secondary leads were removed from the transformer under test.
- 3. NCEL personnel then tested the transformer.

- 4. All primary and secondary leads to the transformer were then reconnected.
- 5. The high-voltage electrician then switched the high voltage back on the primary of the transformer.

In addition to showing no indications of degradation in performance of magnetic properties, none of these eight amorphous core transformers have required any maintenance or repair. Although the periodic testing of these transformers has been discontinued, they are still in operation today and are expected to continue providing reliable service for the next 20 to 30 years.

#### Equipment Used

The core loss and exciting current performance of the amorphous core transformers required test equipment of known accuracy. This test equipment, as pictured in Figure 8, was periodically calibrated and the calibration results are traceable to the National Bureau of Standards in accordance with MIL-STD-45662.

Because of the very nature of the tests, the transformers being installed at eight different locations around the island of Oahu, required that all the test equipment be portable. This equipment was purposely designed and selected by NCEL for transport by pickup truck since three of the test sites were located on Ford Island and accessible solely by ferryboat.

Two portable generators were used to conduct all installation and later field site testing of the amorphous core transformers. The main power source used throughout the test program was an Onan 7.5-kW 4cycle-2-cylinder vertical in-line, gasoline-driven, air-cooled, alternating current generator (see Figure 9). This generator was selected because it could provide nine different three-phase output voltages, which vary from 120/208 to 277/480 volts in both WYE and DELTA con-The main purpose of this generator was to supply rated figurations. voltage to the secondary of the transformer under test. Its starting source is a 12-volt battery. Figure 10 shows the cover removed from the throat of an amorphous core transformer exposing the secondary tabs where rated voltage is applied during testing. The frequency of the generator was continually monitored and, when required, adjusted to exactly 60.0 Hz for all the different output voltages needed to conduct the tests. A Simpson Model 2726 electronic counter was used to monitor the output frequency of the 7.5-kW Onan generator while it was providing rated voltage to the secondary of the transformer under test. This piece of equipment is a very accurate solid state device with a sixdigit numerical display, which has a frequency range from 5 Hz to 32 MHz with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.001$  percent  $\pm digit$ .

The second generator, a Honda Model EG650-550 watt, four-stroke, one cylinder air-cooled, gasoline-driven generator, was used to provide power for the two test instruments. These instruments include the Simpson Model 2726 electronic counter and the very heart of the testing program, the YOKOGAWA Model 2533 Digital Power Meter (see Figure 11). This power measuring instrument primarily measures voltage, current, and power in single- and three-phase circuits with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$  percent of reading +0.1 percent of range within 44 to 66 Hz, over a frequency range of 10 Hz to 20 KHz. Three values among measured or computed values are simultaneously displayed; voltage, current, and power of single-phase to three-phase 3-wire or three-phase 4-wire circuits.

When testing the three-phase amorphous core transformers using this instrument, it was possible to obtain voltage, current, and power on each phase of the transformer along with the total current and power of the transformer under test. This was especially important since a determination could be made concerning the distribution of the core loss in each phase of the transformer.

A Model HT14K Digital Thermometer was used to monitor the ambient air temperature. It has a range of  $\sim 50$  °F to 140 °F with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.75$  percent to 1 °F.

A complete block diagram of the test program is shown in Figure 12.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The results of testing the three-phase amorphous core distribution transformers at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii confirm the reliability and dependability of these transformers. The 75-kVA three-phase amorphous transformers showed a 62.6 percent reduction in core loss as compared to silicon iron transformers, while the 150-kVA units showed a 70.1 percent reduction (see Table 12).

Some of the new 150-kVA silicon-steel transformers that were installed at Pearl Harbor as part of the transformer replacement program had no-load losses that were 78 percent higher than comparable amorphous core units (Tables 9 and 10). Reductions in core losses as high as 90 percent were observed when comparing the new ultra efficient amorphous core distribution transformers with similar older transformers that are still in operation today at Pearl Harbor Hawaii (see Table 11).

Amorphous metal core distribution transformers are a reliable means of reducing day-to-day operating costs. Although silicon-steel distribution transformers are relatively efficient devices, the total annual energy lost in their use is significant. This core loss is power that must be supplied every hour of every day to these transformers.

Amorphous metals represent a major advance in transformer core technology and the Navy has taken full advantage of this quantum step in efficiency improvement with its test program at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

For further information on transformers, a list of related NCEL technical documents is included as an appendix to this report.

#### FUTURE WORK

The main objective of the amorphous core transformer field tests was to determine if there would be any change in no-load losses and exciting current due to the aging of the amorphous core under normal operating conditions over extended periods of time. Although the periodic testing of these transformers has been discontinued, they are still in service today, and based on the limited data base that has been acquired, are expected to continue performing for the normal expected transformer life of 20 to 30 years.

Three-phase amorphous core transformers have only recently become commercially available and the results of the field tests at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii are the only field performance data that has been acquired by the Navy thus far.

In order to obtain a larger data base from which to better evaluate the performance of these transformers, NCEL would need to extend this program to at least 5 years. This would require further testing in 1990 and 1991 on an annual basis.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the following personnel:

Mr. Gene Fong, Division Director of the Utilities Management Division, Utilities Department, Code 610, Public Works Center, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii for his expert guidance and support throughout the 3 years of the amorphous transformer testing program at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

Mr. Curtis Noborikawa, Supervisor, Electrical Engineering Branch, Utilities Management Division, Utilities Department, Code 612, Public Works Center, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii for his outstanding contribution as a liaison in scheduling the transformer tests and power outages at the Public Works Center.

Mr. Chuck Seminara, Supervisor, Metering and Relay Section of the Systems Maintenance Branch, Electrical Division, Utilities Department, Code 623, Public Works Center, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii and his very dedicated crew of electricians. Their untiring efforts assisting in the preparation of the amorphous core transformers for field testing contributed to a very successful program.

Mr. Steve Yoshita, Supervisor, Systems Operations Branch of the Electrical Division, Utilities Department, Code 625, Public Works Center, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, and his outstanding crew of electricians (switchmen). Mr. Yoshita's invaluable assistance in scheduling the times and dates of the outages and the cooperative efforts of his switchmen in successfully executing these outages were instrumental in the timely completion of the transformer tests at the Public Works Center, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii

## Table 1. 75-kVA Amorphous Transformer Performance on the Distribution System at Ford Island (B-99)

a.	Specificat	ions	
StationTF-9         TypeThree-Phase         Frequency60         Hertz         Class0A         HV12,000         LV230         Temp. Rise65	Impedence4.59% @ 85 °C BIL-HV Winding95 kV BIL-LV Winding30 kV Weight1,950 lb Liquid90 Gallons, Mineral Oil Installed10-21-87		
b.	Test Resu	ilts	
		Test Date	_
Parameters Tested	10-20-87	5-16-88	6-13-89
RMS, Volts	230.43	230.60	230.40
AVG, Volts	230.32	230.40	230.30
No-Load Loss (W)	47.50	46.80	47.30
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	47.48	46.76	47.28
Exciting Current (A)	0.2744	0.2577	0.2536
Exciting Current (%)	0.09	0.07	0.07
Ambient Air Temp.	85 °F	82 °F	82 °F
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	30 °C	28 °C	26 °C
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	30 °C	28 °C	29 °C

Serial No. P180311TVB; Transformer No. LN 3519

#### Table 2. 75-kVA Amorphous Transformer Performance on the Distribution System at Barbers Point Naval Air Station (B-169)

#### Serial No. P180312TVB; Transformer No. LN 4307

#### Impedence.....4.46% @ 85 °C Station....BP-B169 BIL-HV Winding...60 kV Type.....Three-Phase BIL-LV Winding...30 kV Frequency....60 Hertz HV....4,160 Silicone Oil Temp. Rise...65 °C b. Test Results Test Date Parameters Tested 5-19-88 6-15-89 10-20-87 480.10 RMS, Volts 480.03 480.03 478.82 479.00 478.40 AVG, Volts 48.00 48.20 No-Load Loss (W) 48.37 48.27 47.87 48.03 Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W) 0.1881 0.1927 0.1830 Exciting Current (A) 0.12 0.12 Exciting Current (%) 0.12 85 °F 83 °F 85 °F Ambient Air Temp. 28 °C 30 °C 30 °C Oil Temp. Inside Transformer 28 °C 40 °C 30 °C Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)

#### a. Specifications

Table 3.	75-kVA Amorphous Transformer Performance on the
	Distribution System at Ford Island (B-181)

Serial	No.	P180313TVB:	Transformer	No.	LN 3571

a. Specifications	
-------------------	--

StationTD-10         TypeThree-Phase         Frequency60         Hertz         Class0A         HV	Impedence4.60% @ 85 °( BIL-HV Winding95 kV BIL-LV Winding30 kV Weight90 Gallons, Liquid90 Gallons, Mineral Oil Installed10-23-87		
b	. Test Resu	lts	
Parameters Tested		Test Date	
	10-22-87	5-17-88	6-13-89
RMS, Volts	230.20	230.20	230.60
AVG, Volts	230.00	230.00	230.30
No-Load Loss (W)	51.20	49.90	50.30
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	51.16	49.82	50.23
Exciting Current (A)	0.2668	0.2611	0.2569
Exciting Current (%)	0.08	0.08	0.08
Ambient Air Temp.	84 °F	81 °F	85 °F
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	27 °C	28 °C	28 °C
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	27 °C	32 °C	32 °C

## Table 4.150-kVA Amorphous Core Transformer Performance on the<br/>Distribution System at the Naval Supply Center (S-959)

a.	Specificat	cions	
StationK-28 TypeThree-Phase Frequency60 Hertz Class0A HV12,000 LV208Y/120 Temp. Rise65 °C	Impedence4.42% @ 85 ° BIL-HV Winding95 kV BIL-LV Winding30 kV Weight2,700 lb Liquid120 Gallons, Mineral Oil Installed10-19-87		
b.	Test Resu	ılts	
Devenetory Tested		Test Date	
Parameters Tested	10-16-87	5-15-88	6-12-89
RMS, Volts	120.39	120.21	120.37
AVG, Volts	120.39	120.28	120.15
No-Load Loss (W)	86.68	86.50	88.50
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	86.68	86.55	88.34
Exciting Current (A)	0.6185	0.5926	0.6997
Exciting Current (%)	0.09	0.08	0.09
Ambient Air Temp.	86 °F	87 °F	84 °F
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	28 °C	32 °C	30 °C
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	28 °C	32 °C	32 °C

Serial No. P180323TVB; Transformer No. LN 2040

Table 5.	150-kVA Amorphous Core	Transformer Performance on the
	Distribution System at	the Naval Shipyard (Bldg-166)

a.	Specificat	tions		
StationBldg 166         TypeThree-Phase         Frequency60 Hertz         Class0A         HV12,000         LV208Y/120         Temp. Rise65 °C	Impedence4.45% @ 85 °C BIL-HV Winding95 kV BIL-LV Winding30 kV Weight2,800 lb Liquid120 Gallons, Silicone Oil Installed10-25-87			
b.	Test Res	Test Date		
Parameters Tested				
	10-26-87	5-11-88	6-8-89	
RMS, Volts	120.12	120.17	120.60	
AVG, Volts	120.19	120.31	120.70	
No-Load Loss (W)	86.36	85.74	86.80	
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	86.41	85.80	86.88	
Exciting Current (A)	0.6046	0.5859	0.5986	
Exciting Current (%)	0.08	0.08	0.08	
Ambient Air Temp.	87 °F	81 °F	85 °F	
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	27 °C	26 °C	25 °C	
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	27 °C	28 °C	25 °C	

Serial No. P180324TVB; Transformer No. LN 4433

### Table 6. 150-kVA Amorphous Core Transformer Performance on the Distribution System at Ford Island (S-258)

a.	Specificat	tions	
StationTC-7         TypeThree-Phase         Frequency60         Hertz         Class0A         HV12,000         LV208Y/120         Temp. Rise65	BIL- BIL- Weig Liqu	edence HV Winding LV Winding ht Jid talled	95 kV 80 kV 2,700 lb 120 Gallons, fineral Oil
b.	Test Resu	ults	
Devenue Testad		Test Date	
Parameters Tested	10-17-87	5-12-88	6-16-89
RMS, Volts	120.39	120.07	120.00
AVG, Volts	120.33	119.94	119.80
No-Load Loss (W)	91.62	90.30	89.53
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	91.58	90.31	89.36
Exciting Current (A)	0.6634	0.6200	0.6262
Exciting Current (%)	0.09	0.09	0.09
Ambient Air Temp.	87 °F	81 °F	84 °F
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	30 °C	32 °C	32 °C
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	30 °C	34 °C	33 °C

Serial No. P180325TVB; Transformer No. LN 3533

Table 7. 150-kVA Amorphous Core Transformer Performance on the Distributiion System at the Naval Shipyard (B-393)

<b>a</b> .	Specificat	ions	
StationC-11 TypeThree-Phase Frequency60 Hertz ClassOA HV12,000 LV208Y/120 Temp. Rise65 °C	BIL- BIL- Weig Liqu	dence4 HV Winding3 LV Winding3 ht1 id1 N alled1	95 kV 80 kV 2,700 1b 120 Gallons, 4ineral 011
b.	Test Resu		<u></u>
Parameters Tested –	_	Test Date	
	10-17-87	5-14-88	6-10-89
RMS, Volts	120.41	120.28	120.51
AVG, Volts	120.41	120.32	120.38
No-Load Loss (W)	85.64	85.21	84.00
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	85.63	85.23	83.92
Exciting Current (A)	0.6253	0.6306	0.5852
Exciting Current (%)	0.09	0.09	0.08
Ambient Air Temp.	86 °F	81 °F	84 °F
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	26 °C	28 °C	27 °C
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	26 °C	28 °C	28 °C

Serial No. P180326TVB; Transformer No. LN 2016

Table 8.	150-kVA Amorphous Core Transformer Performance
	on the Distribution System at Barbers Point
	Naval Air Station (B-91)

Serial No. P2	180327TVB:	Transformer	No.	LN	4125
---------------	------------	-------------	-----	----	------

a.	Specificat	ions	
StationBP-B91         TypeThree-Phase         Frequency60         Hertz         Class0A         HV4,160         LV480         Temp. Rise65 °C	BIL- BIL- Weig Liqu	dence4 HV Winding6 LV Winding3 ht2 id1 Malled1	0 kV 0 kV 2,550 1b 20 Gallons, lineral 0il
b.	Test Resu	ilts	
Devenetore Tested		Test Date	
Parameters Tested	10-19-87	5-18-88	6-17-89
RMS, Volts	480.20	480.70	480.83
AVG, Volts	480.25	478.83	479.05
No-Load Loss (W)	87.18	88.64	88.43
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	87.21	88.33	88.07
Exciting Current (A)	0.3409	0.3427	0.3465
Exciting Current (%)	0.11	0.11	0.11
Ambient Air Temp.	90 °F	85 °F	86 °F
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	30 °C	32 °C	32 °C
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	30 °C	33 °C	33 °C

~ . . . . .

### Table 9. Conventional 150-kVA Silicone Steel Transformer Spare Unit in Bldg. 166 (Manufactured in 1987)

Serial No. 87-51079-B; Transformer No. Spare

a. Specific	cations
-------------	---------

StationBldg. 166	Impedence4.15%
TypeThree-Phase	BIL-HV Winding95 kV
Frequency60 Hertz	BIL-LV Winding30 kV
Class0A	Weight
HV2,400	Liquid10C Gallons,
LV208Y/120	Silicone Oil
Temp. Rise65 °C	Installed5-19-88

b. Test Results

Parameters Tested	Test Date, 5-19-88
RMS, Volts	120.5
AVG, Volts	120.1
No-Load Loss (W)	405.00
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	404.35
Exciting Current (A)	2.909
Exciting Current (%)	0.23
Ambient Air Temp.	84 °F
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	28 °C
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	28 °C

Table 10. Coventional 150-kVA Silicone Steel Transformer Spare Unit in Bldg. 166 (Manufactured in 1987)

Serial No. 87-51079-E; Transformer No. Spare

a. Specifications		
StationBidg. 166	Impedence4.38%	
TypeThree-Phase	BIL-HV Winding95 kV	
Frequency60 Hertz	BIL-LV Winding30 kV	
Class	Weight2,400 1b	
HV12,000	Liquid	
LV	Silicone Oil	
Temp. Rise65 °C	Installed5-19-88	

#### b. Test Results

Parameters Tested	Test Date, 5-19-88
RMS, Volts	120.1
AVG, Volts	120.0
No-Load Loss (W)	402.00
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	401.68
Exciting Current (A)	1.956
Exciting Current (%)	0.16
Ambient Air Temp.	84 °F
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	30 °C
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	30 °C

Table 11.	Conventional 150-kVA Silicone Steel Transformer
	on the Distribution System at the Naval Shipyard
	(World War II Vintage)

Serial No. 65543; Transformer No. LN 3563-E-09436

\_\_\_\_\_

a. Specifications	
StationE-9 TypeC Frequency60 Hertz HV12,000 LV208Y/120 Temp. Rise55 °C	Impedence3.5% Weight4,770 lb Liquid158 Gallons Core & Coil2,450 lb Tank & Fittings1,150 lb

### b. Test Results

Parameters Tested	Test Date, 9-17-88
RMS, Volts	120.45
AVG, Volts	120.01
No-Load Loss (W)	888.00
Corrected No-Load Loss to Sine-Wave Basis (W)	886.40
Exciting Current (A)	7.974
Exciting Current (%)	0.64
Ambient Air Temp.	87 °F
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer	43 °C
Oil Temp. Inside Transformer (Max)	45 °C

Change from Initial Installation (%)	+ 2. 1 - 1. 8 - 1 - 2. 2 - 1 - 3 - 1. 3 - 1. 7 - 1. 7 - 4 - 1. 7
1989 Core Loss (watts)	88.5 84.0 89.5 88.4 87.3 86.3 86.3 86.8
1988 Core Loss (watts)	86.5 85.2 85.2 85.2 85.6 85.9 85.7 85.7
1987 Core Loss (watts)	86.6 85.6 87.5 87.2 87.2 86.4 86.4
Rating (kVA)	150 150 150 150 75 75 75
Location	NSC S-959 S/Y B-393 Ford Is. S-258 BARPT B-91 Ford Is. B-99 BARBPT B-169 Ford Is. B-181 Ford Is. B-181 Bldg #166
Transformer Number	K-28 C-11 TC-7 BP-B91 TF-9 BP-B169 TD-10 I-3

Table 12. Three-Year Amorphous Transformer Performance Summary at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii

75-kVA Three-Phase Amorphous Transformer versus Silicone Iron Transformer

Change in Core Loss 62.6%
Silicon Iron 131
Amorphous 49
Core Loss (watts)

150-kVA Three-Phase Amorphous Transformer versus Silicon Iron Transformer AmorphousSilicon IronChange in Core LossCore Loss (watts)8729170.1%



Figure 1. Complete test setup in Building 166 prior to field installation.



Figure 2. Loading of an amorphous core transformer on a flatbed truck.



Amorphous core transformer (C-11) after its installation at the Naval Shipyard (B-393) Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. Figure 3.

Model 2533

(vew) MODEL	2533 DIGUAL FOWER METER		
Baarrowe Baarrowe Baarrowe	; ; ;	[ <u>- 4] - 4</u> - 1 : :	
	Function         Function           0 y         1 A         1 W         Bit sums           0.40007         1 3         1 2         1	ndeztelen 1 v 1 ∆ 1 w 1 mille Baserry 1 1 2 1 2 1 Σ 	
			-   

Wiring configuration when measuring voltage, current, and power



This wiring configuration was used to test the three-phase 3-wire amorphous core transformers at the following locations throughout the island of Oahu.

Station	Size	Location
BP-B91	150 kVA	Barbers Point Naval Air Station
8P-B169	75 kVA	Barbers Point Naval Air Station
TF-9	75 kVA	Ford Island
TD-10	75 kVA	Ford Island

Figure 4. Power measurement of three-phase 3-wire system.

_		Model 2533
ſ	( <b>k k W</b> E NAUSE L	S. C. CARELINA HUR HU
	E satistice E converse E management	
╉		
Ľ		





This wiring configuration was used to test the three-phase 4-wire amorphous core transformers at the following locations throughout the island of Oahu.

Station	Size	Location
K-28	150 kVA	Naval Supply Center
C-11	150 kVA	Naval Ship Yard
TC-7	150 kVA	Ford Island
Bidg 166	150kVA	Naval Ship Yard

Figure 5. Power measurement of three-phase 4-wire system.



- 1. Connect test equipment to secondary of transformer to be tested.
- 2. Guard high-voltage terminals of transformer. They will be energized.
- 3. Apply rated secondary voltage to transformer.
- 4. Record voltage indicated by rms responding voltmeter.
- 5. Record voltage indicated by average responding voltmeter.
- 6. Record losses indicated by wattmeter.
- 7. Correct losses to a sine wave basis.
- Figure 6. Measuring the no-load loss of a typical three-phase 4-wire amorphous core transformer at Barbers Point Naval Air Station, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii.

LOAD-TO-GENERATOR CONNECTIONS LOAD-TO-GENERATOR CONNECTIONS LOAD-TO-GENERATOR CONNECTIONS CONNECTIONS CONNECT X1 TO TERMINAL 5 OF PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD FOR 50 Hz. TO TERMINAL 6 FOR 60 Hz.												
3C 53C	120/240 120/240 115/230 110/220	I F I	60 50 50 50	VI V3 V2 V1		240 V		120/240 V	240 V		120/240 V L' LO L2 1	
18 518	120/208 127/220 139/240 110/190 115/200 120/208 127/220	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	60 60 60 50 50 50 50	VI V2 V4 V1 V2 V3 V4	PARALLEL WYE	$ \begin{array}{c}     L_1 \\     T_1 $						
18	240/416 254/440 277/480 220/380 230/400 240/416 254/440	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	60 60 60 50 50 50 50	VI V2 V4 V1 V2 V3 V4	SERIES WYE	ייי לדי ד ללע נס~	$ \begin{array}{c}                                     $					
18	120/240 110/220 115/230 120/240	3 3 3 3	60 50 50 50	VI VI V2 V3	SERIES DELTA	L3737 7111 71	L3T3 TOTAL TI					
18	120/240 110/220 115/230 120/240	1	60 50 50 50	VI VI V2 V3	DOUBLE DELTA		T3 (T)		Y2 Y4 Y7 Y12 Y			
18	120 110 115 120		60 50 50 50	VI VI V2 V3	PANALLEL DELTA			T3 T4 T1 T1 T0 T5 T3 T3 T1 T0 T3 T3 T1 T0 T3 T3 T1 T0 T3 T1 T0 T3 T1 T0 T0 T0 T0 T0 T0 T0 T0 T0 T0				
9x 820c	347/600	3	60	V4	NYE	L1 T1 L2 T0 L0 T1 L3			L1       	L2 L3 L0             T2 T3 T0		

Figure 7. Generator wiring and connection diagrams.







Simpson Model 2726 Electronic Counter

Model HT14K Digital Thermometer



Figure 9. Onan 7.5 JB-18R generator.



Figure 10. Secondary of amorphous core transformer under test.

.



Figure 11. Yokogawa Model 2533 Digital Power Meter.





## Appendix

## RELATED NCEL TECHNICAL DOCUMENTS ON TRANSFORMERS

NCEL Technical Note N-1801	.25-kVA Amorphous Metal-Core Transformer Developmental Test Report
NCEL Techdata Sheet 90-01	.Save Money By Procuring Energy- Efficient Transformer
NCEL Contract Report CR 90.004	.Life Cycle Costs of Non-PCB Distribution Transformer Alternatives
NCEL Contract Report CR 90.010	.Evaluation of a 300-kVA Amorphous Core Transformer

#### **DISTRIBUTION LIST**

AF 438 ABG/DEE (Wilson) McGuire AFB, NJ: 6550 ABG DER. Patrick AFB. FL. 92d CFS DCME: Fairchild AFB, WA: AFIT/DET (Hudson), Wright-Patterson AFB, OH: AFIT DET. Wright-Patterson AFB, OH

AF HQ ESD/AVMS, Hanscom AFB, MA; ESD DEE, Hanscom AFB, MA

AFB 42 CES/DEMU (Drechsel), Loring AFB, ME; 82nd ABG DEMCA, Williams AFB, AZ, HO MAC DI LL, Scott AFB, IL

AFESC DEMM/Ius, Tyndall AFB, FL

ARMY 416th ENCOM, Akron Survey Tm, Akron, OH; CEHSC-FU-N (Krajewski), Ft Belvoir, VA, Ch of Engrs, DAEN CWE-M, Washington, DC; Ch of Engrs, DAEN-MPU, Washington, DC; FESA-EM (Karney), Ft Belvoir, VA; HQ Europe Cmd, AEAEN-FE-U, Heidelberg, GF; HQ, FLAK, TAFF-U-UL.

- Yongsan, Korea; Kwajalein Atoll, BMDSC-RKL-C, Marshall Is; POJED-O, Okinawa, Japan
- ARMY BELVOIR R&D CEN ATSE-DAC-LB. Ft Leonard Wood. MO
- ARMY CERL CERL-ES (DL Johnson). Champaign, IL
- ARMY CORPS OF ENGRS A. Azares, Sacramento, CA
- ARMY DEPOT Letterkenny, SDSLE-EF, Chambersburg, PA: Letterkenny, SDSLE-EN, Chambersburg, PA
- ARMY EHA HSHB-EW, Aberdeen Proving Grnd, MD
- ARMY ENGR DIST LMVCO-A/Bentley, Vicksburg, MS; SAMEN-DS Davis, Mobile, AL
- ARMY ENGR DIV HNDED-SY, Huntsville, AL
- ARMY EWES GP-EC (Webster), Vicsburg, MS: WESGP-E, Vicksburg, MS
- ARMY LMC Fort Lee. VA
- ARMY MISSILE R&D CMD Ch. Docs. Sci Info Ctr. Arsenal, AL
- ARMY MMRC DRXMR-SM (Lenoe), Watertown, MA
- ARMY TRADOC ATEN-FE, Ft Monroe, VA
- ADMINSUPU PWO, Bahrain
- BUREAU OF RECLAMATION D-1512 (GS DePuy), Denver, CO
- CBC Code 10, Davisville, RI; Code 15, Port Hueneme, CA; Code 155, Port Hueneme, CA; Code 430, Gulfport, MS; Code 470.2, Gulfport, MS; PWO (Code 400), Gulfport, MS; PWO (Code 80), Port Hueneme, CA; PWO, Davisville, RI
- CBU 405, OIC, San Diego, CA; 411, OIC, Norfolk, VA
- CG FOURTH MARDIV Base Ops, New Orleans, LA
- CINCUSNAVEUR London, UK
- COMFAIR Med, SCE, Naples, Italy
- COMFLEACT PWO, Kadena, Japan; PWO, Sasebo, Japan
- COMNAVACT PWO, London, UK
- COMNAVAIRSYSCOM AIR-714, Washington, DC; Code 422, Washington, DC
- COMNAVLOGPAC Code 4318, Pearl Harbor, HI
- COMNAVMARIANAS Code N4, Guam
- COMNAVRESFOR Code 08, New Orleans, LA: Code 823, New Orleans, LA
- COMNAVSUPPFORANTARCTICA DET, PWO, Christchurch, NZ
- COMOCEANSYS Lant, Code N9, Norfolk, VA
- DEPT OF STATE Foreign Bldgs Ops, BDE-ESB, Arlington, VA
- DOD DFSC-FE, Cameron Station, Alexandria. VA
- DOE Wind/Ocean Tech Div, Tobacco, MD
- DTIC Alexandria, VA
- DTRCEN Code 4111, Bethesda, MD; Code 4120, Annapolis, MD; Code 42, Bethesda MD; Code 4211, Bethesda, MD
- FAA Code APM-740 (Tomita), Washington, DC
- FCTC LANT, PWO, Virginia Bch, VA
- NSAP Science Advisor SCIAD (G5). Camp HM Smith, HI
- GIDEP OIC. Corona, CA
- GSA Ch Engrg Br, PQB, Washington, DC; Code PCDP, Washington, DC
- LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Sci & Tech Div, Washington, DC
- MAG 16, CO, MCAS Tustin, CA
- MARCORBASE Code 4.01, Camp Pendleton, CA: Code 405, Camp Lejeune, NC: Code 406, Camp Lejeune, NC; MARCORBASE/Facilities Coordinator, Camp Pendleton, CA: Maint Offr, Camp Pendleton, CA,
- PAC, PWO, Camp Butler, JA; PWO. Camp Pendleton, CA; Pac, FE, Camp Butler, JA
- MARCORDIST 12, Code 4, San Francisco, CA
- MCLB Code 555, Albany, GA
- MCAS Code 1JD-31 (Huang), El Toro, CA: Code 3JA2, Yuma, AZ: Code LCU, Cherry Point, NC, El Toro, Code 1JD, Santa Ana, CA; PWO, Kaneohe Bay, HI: PWO, Yuma, AZ
- MCLB Maint Offr, Barstow, CA; PWO, Barstow, CA
- MCRD PWO, San Diego, CA
- MCRDAC AROICC, Quantico, VA: M & L Div Quantico, VA
- NAF AROICC, Midway Island; Dir. Engrg Div. PWD, Atsugi, Japan; PWO, Atsugi, Japan

NALF OIC, San Diego, CA

- NAS Chase Fld, Code 18300. Beeville, TX: Chase Fld, PWO. Beeville, TX: Code 072E, Willow Grove, PA; Code 110, Adak, AK; Code 163. Keflavik, Iceland; Code 183. Jacksonville, FL: Code 1833. Corpus Christi, TX; Code 187, Jacksonville, FL; Code 18700. Brunswick, ME; Code 6234 (C Arnold), Point Mugu, CA; Code 70. Marietta, GA; Code 725, Marietta, GA; Code 8. Patuxent River, MD; Fae Mgmt Offe, Alameda, CA; Memphis, Dir, Engrg Div, Millington, TN; Memphis, PWO, Millington, TN; Miramar, Code 1821A, San Diego, CA; Miramar, PWO, San Diego, CA; NI, Code 183, San Diego, CA; Oceana, PWO, Virginia Bch, VA; PW Engrg (Branson), Patuxent River, MD; PWD (Graham), Lemoore, CA; PWD Maint Div, New Orleans, LA; PWO (Code 182) Bermuda; PWO, Adak, AK; PWO, Cecil Field, FL: PWO, Dallas, TX; PWO, Glenview, 1L: PWO, Keflavik, Iceland; PWO, Key West, FL: PWO, Kingsville TX; PWO, Willow Grove, PA; SCE, Barbers Point, HI; SCE, Cubi Point, RP; SCE, Norfolk, VA; Weapons Offr, Alameda, CA; Whiting Fld, PWO, Milton, FL
- NAVAIRDEVCEN Code 832, Warminster, PA: Code 8323, Warminster, PA

NAVAIRENGCEN Code 1822, Lakehurst, NJ: Code 18232 (Colfier), Lakehurst, NJ; PWO, Lakehurst, NJ

- NAVAIRPROPCEN CO, Trenton, NJ
- NAVAIRTESTCEN PWO, Patuxent River, MD
- NAVAMPHIB BASE Naval Amphib Base LC, Norfolk, VA
- NAVAVIONICCEN PWO, Indianapolis, IN
- NAVAVNDEPOT Code 61000, Cherry Point, NC; Code 640, Pensacola, FL; SCE, Norfolk, VA
- NAVCAMS MED, SCE, Naples, Italy; PWO, Norfolk, VA; SCE, Wahiawa, HI; WestPac, SCE, Guam, Mariana Islands
- NAVCOASTSYSCEN CO, Panama City, FL: Code 423, Panama City, FL: PWO (Code 740), Panama City, FL
- NAVCOMMSTA CO, San Miguel, R.P.; Code 401, Nea Makri, Greece; PWO, Exmouth, Australia
- NAVCONSTRACEN Code D2A. Port Hueneme, CA
- NAVELEXCEN DET. OIC. Winter Harbor, ME
- NAVFAC Centerville Bch, PWO, Ferndale, CA: N62, Argentia, NF; PWO (Code 50), Brawdy Wales, UK; PWO, Oak Harbor, WA
- NAVFACENGCOM Code 03, Alexandria, VA; Code 03R (Bersson), Alexandria, VA; Code 03T (Essoglou), Alexandria, VA; Code 04A, Alexandria, VA; Code 04AI, Alexandria, VA; Code 04B3, Alexandria, VA; Code 051A, Alexandria, VA; Code 083, Alexandria, VA; Code 163, Alexandria, VA; Code 1651, Alexandria, VA; Code 1653 (Hanneman), Alexandria, VA; Code 18, Alexandria, VA
- NAVFACENGCOM CHES DIV. Code 112.1. Washington, DC
- NAVFACENGCOM LANT DIV. Br Oic, Dir. Naples, Italy: Code 111, Norfolk, VA; Code 1632, Norfolk, VA; Code 403, Norfolk, VA; Code 405, Norfolk, VA

NAVFACENGCOM - NORTH DIV. CO. Philadelphia, PA: Code 04, Philadelphia, PA: Code 11, Philadelphia, PA; Code 102, Philadelphia, PA; Code 408AF, Philadelphia, PA

- NAVFACENGCOM PAC DIV. Code 09P. Pearl Harbor, HI; Code 2011, Pearl Harbor, HI
- NAVFACENGCOM SOUTH DIV. Code 04A3, Charleston, SC; Code 1021F, Charleston, SC; Code 403 (S. Hull), Charleston, SC; Code 405, Charleston, SC; Code 406, Charleston, SC
- NAVFACENGCOM WEST DIV. 09P/20, San Bruno, CA; Code 04B, San Bruno, CA, Code 09B, San Bruno, CA; Code 102, San Bruno, CA; Code 405, San Bruno, CA; Code 408.2 (Jeung) San Bruno, CA; Pac NW Br Offe, Code C/42, Silverdale, WA; Pac NW Br Offe, Code C/50, Silverdale, WA
- NAVFACENGCOM CONTRACTS AROICC, Coleville, CA; AROICC, Quantico, VA; Code 922, Everett, WA; DROICC, Lemoore, CA; North Bay, Code 1042.AA, Vallejo, CA; OICC, Guam; OICC/ROICC, Norfolk, VA; OICC/ROICC, Virginia Beach, VA; ROICC (Code 495), Portsmouth, VA; ROICC, Corpus Christi, TX; ROICC, Keflavik, Iceland; ROICC, Point Mugu, CA; ROICC, Twentynine Palms, CA; SW Pac, OICC, Manila, RP
- NAVFUEL DET OIC, Yokohama, Japan
- NAVHOSP Hd, Fac Mgmt, Camp Pendleton, CA; SCE (Knapowski), Great Lakes, IL; SCE, Guam, Mariana Islands; SCE, Newport, RI; SCE, Pensacola, FL; SCE, Yokosuka, Japan
- NAVMAG SCE. Subic Bay. RP
- NAVMARCORESCEN LTJG Davis, Raleigh, NC
- NAVMEDCOM NWREG, Fac Engr, PWD, Oakland, CA; N<sup>17</sup>REG, Head, Fac Mgmt Dept, Oakland, CA; PACREG, Code 22, Barbers Point, HI; SWREG, SCE, San Diego, CA
- NAVOCEANCOMCEN Code EES, Guam, Mariana Islands
- NAVOCEANSYSCEN Code 524 (Lepor), San Diego, CA; Code 811, San Diego, CA
- NAVORDSTA Code 0922B1, Indian Head, MD; PWO, Louisville, KY
- NAVPGSCOL PWO, Monterey, CA
- NAVPHIBASE PWO, Norfolk, VA: SCE, San Diego, CA
- NAVRESCEN Dir, Fam Hsng, Sioux City, IA
- NAVSCSCOL PWO, Athens, GA
- NAVSECGRUACT PWO (Code 40). Edzell. Scotland; PWO. Adak, AK
- NAVSECSTA Code 60, Washington, DC
- NAVSHIPREPFAC SCE, Yokosuka, Japan

NAVSHIPYD CO. Pearl Harbor. HI: Carr Inlet Acoustic Range. Bremerton. WA: Code 308.05. Pearl Harbor. HI; Code 308.3, Pearl Harbor, HI; Code 382.3, Pearl Harbor, HI; Code 420, Long Beach, CA; Code 440, Portsmouth, NH; Code 443, Bremerton, WA; Code 453, Charleston, SC; Code 903, Long Beach, CA; Mare Island, Code 202.13, Vallejo, CA: Mare Island, Code 401, Vallejo, CA: Mare Island, Code 421, Vallejo, CA; Mare Island, Code 453, Vallejo, CA; Mare Island, Code 457, Vallejo, CA; Mare Island, PWO, Vallejo, CA; Norfolk, Code 440. Portsmouth, VA; Norfolk, Code 450-HD, Portsmouth, VA; PWO (Code 400), Long Beach, CA; PWO, Bremerton, WA; PWO, Charleston, SC

NAVSTA CO, Brooklyn, NY; CO, Long Beach, CA; Code 0DA2, San Diego, CA; Code 423, FPBO Guantanamo Bay; Code 423, Norfolk, VA; Code N4214, Mayport, FL; Dir, Engr Div, PWD, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba; Engrg Dir, PWD, Rota, Spain; PWO, Mayport, FL; SCE, San Diego, CA; SCE, Subic Bay, RP; Util Engrg Offr. Rota, Spain; WC 93, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

- NAVSUPPACT CO. Naples. Italy; PWO. Naples. Italy
- NAVSUPSYSCOM Code 0622, Washington, DC
- NAVSWC Code E211 (Miller), Dahlgren, VA; Code W42 (GD Haga), Dahlgren, VA; DET, White Oak Lab, PWO, Silver Spring, MD; PWO, Dahlgren, VA
- NAVTECHTRACEN SCE. Pensacola FL
- NAVWARCOL Code 24, Newport, RI
- NAVWPNCEN AROICC, China Lake, CA; Code 2637, China Lake, CA; PWO (Code 266), China Lake, CA
- NAVWPNSTA Code 092, Concord, CA: Code 092A, Seal Beach, CA: Code 093, Yorktown, VA; Dir, Maint Control, PWD, Concord, CA; Earle, Code 092, Colts Neck, NJ; Earle, Code 0922, Colts Neck, NJ; Earle, PWO (Code 09B), Colts Neck, NJ; PWO, Charleston, SC; PWO, Seal Beach, CA; PWO, Yorktown, VA NAVWPNSUPPCEN Code 0931, Crane. IN; PWO, Crane, IN
- NETC Code 42, Newport, RI; Code 46, Newport, RI; PWO, Newport, RI
- NCR 20, CO
- NEESA Code 111E (McClaine). Port Hueneme, CA; Code 113M, Port Hueneme, CA; Code 113M2, Port Hueneme. CA
- NMCB 3, Ops Offr
- NORDA Code 1121SP, Bay St. Louis, MS
- NRL Code 2511, Washington, DC; Code 2530.1, Washington, DC; Code 4670 (B. Faraday), Washington, DC NSC Cheatham Annex, PWO, Williamsburg, VA: Code 54.1, Norfolk, VA: SCE, Charleston, SC: SCE, Norfolk, VA
- NSD SCE, Subic Bay, RP
- NUSC DET Code 44 (RS Munn), New London, CT: Code 5202 (S Schady), New London, CT
- OCNR Code 1114SE, Arlington, VA: Code 1234, Arlington, VA
- OFFICE OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE OASD (P&L), M. Carr, Washington, DC; OASD, (P&L)E (Wm H. Parker), Washington, DC
- PACMISRANFAC HI Area, PWO, Kekaha, HI
- PHIBCB 1, CO, San Diego, CA: 2, CO. Norfolk, VA
- PWC ACE (Code 110), Great Lakes, IL: ACE Office, Norfolk, VA; Code 10, Great Lakes, IL; Code 101 (Library). Oakland, CA; Code 101. Great Lakes, IL; Code 1011. Pearl Harbor. HI; Code 102, Oakland. CA; Code 110, Oakland, CA; Code 30, Norfolk, VA; Code 400, Great Lakes, IL; Code 400, Oakland, CA; Code 400, Pearl Harbor, HI; Code 412, San Diego, CA; Code 420, Great Lakes, IL; Code 420, Oakland, CA; Code 421 (Reynolds), San Diego, CA; Code 421, San Diego, CA; Code 422, San Diego, CA; Code 423, San Diego, CA; Code 423/KJF, Norfolk, VA; Code 424, Norfolk, VA
- PWC Code 430 (Kyi), Pearl Harbor, HI
- PWC Code 430 (Kyi), Pearl Harbor, HI: Code 4450A (T. Ramon), Pensacola, FL: Code 50, Pensacola, FL; Code 500, Great Lakes, IL; Code 500, Norfolk, VA; Code 500, Oakland, CA; Code 505A, Oakland, CA; Code 600, Great Lakes, IL; Code 610, San Diego, CA; Code 612, Pearl Harbor, HI; Code 615, Guam, Mariana Islands; Code 616, Subic Bay, RP; Code 700, San Diego, CA; PWC, C-422, Pearl Harbor, HI SPCC PWO (Code 08X), Mechanicsburg, PA
- SUBASE Bangor, PWO (Code 8323), Bremerton, WA: SCE, Pearl Harbor, HI
- US DEPT OF HHS FDA (Fishery Rsch Br), Dauphin Island, AL
- US DEPT OF INTERIOR BLM, Engrg Div (730), Washington, DC
- USAFE DE-HFO. Ramstein AB, GE
- USDA For Svc. Tech Engrs. Washington, DC
- USNA Ch, Mech Engrg Dept, Annapolis, MD: Mech Engr Dept (C Wu), Annapolis, MD: Mech Engrg Dept (Power), Annapolis, MD; PWO, Annapolis, MD
- ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY Energy Prog Offc. Phoenix, AZ
- BROOKHAVEN NATL LAB M. Steinberg, Upton, NY
- CALIF MARITIME ACADEMY Library. Vallejo. CA
- CITY OF AUSTIN Gen Sves Dept (Arnold), Austin, TX
- CONNECTICUT Policy & Mgmt, Energy Div, Hartford, CT
- **CORNELL UNIVERSITY** Library, Ithaca, NY
- DRURY COLLEGE Physics Dept, Springfield, MO
- KEENE STATE COLLEGE Sci Dept (Cunningham), Keene, NH
- LAWRENCE LIVERMORE NATL LAB FJ Tokarz, Livermore, CA: Plant Engrg Lib (1-654), Livermore, CA

LONG BEACH PORT Engrg Dir (Allen), Long Beach, CA MAINE Energy Rscs Ofc, Augusta, ME MISSOURI Nat Res Dept, Energy Div, Jefferson City, MO MIT Engrg Lib. Cambridge. MA MONTANA Energy Offc (Anderson), Helena, MT NATL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES NRC, Naval Studies Bd, Washington, DC NEW HAMPSHIRE Gov Energy Ofc. Asst Dir. Concord. NH UNIV OF TENNESSEE CE Dept (Kane), Knoxville, TN UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA Dir Fac Mgmt (Baker), Birmingham, AL UNIVERSITY OF HARTFORD CE Dept (Keshawarz). West Hartford, CT UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE Elec Engrg Dept (Murdoch), Durham, NH UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO NMERI (Leigh). Albuquerque, NM VENTURA COUNTY Deputy PW Dir. Ventura, CA APPLIED SYSTEMS R. Smith, Agana, Guam BECHTEL CIVIL, INC Woolston, San Francisco, CA DILLINGHAM CONSTR CORP (HD&C). F McHale, Honolulu, HI DURLACH, O'NEAL, JENKINS & ASSOC Columbia, SC **GRIDCO Ong Yam Chai, Singapore** SAUDI ARABIA King Saud Univ, Rsch Cen. Riyadh TEXTRON, INC Rsch Cen Lib, Buffalo, NY

TRW INC Rodgers, Redondo Beach, CA

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORP Library, Pittsburg, PA

#### INSTRUCTIONS

The Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory has revised its primary distribution lists. The bottom of the label on the reverse side has several numbers listed. These numbers correspond to numbers assigned to the list of Subject Categories. Numbers on the label corresponding to those on the list indicate the subject category and type of documents you are presently receiving. If you are satisfied, throw this card away (or file it for later reference).

If you want to change what you are presently receiving:

- Delete mark off number on bottom of label.
- Add circle number on list.
- Remove my name from all your lists check box on list.
- Change my address line out incorrect line and write in correction (DO NOT REMOVE LABEL).
- Number of copies should be entered after the title of the subject categories you select.

Fold on line below and drop in the mail.

Note: Numbers on label but not listed on questionnaire are for NCEL use only, please ignore them.

Fold on line and staple

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme. CA 93043-5003

Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300

1

BUSINESS REPLY CARD

FIRST CLASS PERMIT NO. 12503 WASH D.C. POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE



NO POSTAGE NECESSARY IF MAILED IN THE UNITED STATES



Commanding Officer Code L34 Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93043-5003

#### DISTRIBUTION QUESTIONNAIRE

The Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory is revising its Primary distribution lists.

#### **BJECT CATEGORIES**

#### SHORE FACILITIES

- Construction methods and materials (including corrosion control, coatings)
- Waterfront structures (maintenance/deterioratior. control) Utilities (including power conditioning)
- Explosives safety
- **Aviation Engineering Test Facilities**
- Fire prevention and control
- Antenna technology
- Structural analysis and design (including numerical and computer techniques)
- Protective construction (including hardened shelters,
- shock and vibration studies)
- Soll/rock mechanics
- Airfields and pavements

#### ADVANCED BASE AND AMPHIBIOUS FACILITIES

- Base facilities (including shelters, power generation, water supplies)
- Expedient roads/airfields/bridges
- Amphibious operations (including breakwaters, wave forces) Over-the-Beach operations (including containerization,
- material transfer, lighterage and cranes)
- POL storage, transfer and distribution

#### PES OF DOCUMENTS

Techdata Sheets 86 Technical Reports and Technical Notes Table of Contents & Index to TDS

- 28 ENERGY/POWER GENERATION
- 29 Thermal conservation (thermal engineering of buildings. HVAC systems, energy loss measurement, power generation)
- 30 Controls and electrical conservation (electrical systems, energy monitoring and control systems)
- 31 Fuel flexibility (liquid fuels, coal utilization, energy from solid waste)
- 32 Alternate energy source (geothermal power, photovoltaic power systems, solar systems, wind systems, energy storage systems)
- 33 Site data and systems integration (energy resource data. energy consumption data, integrating energy systems)
- 34 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
- 35 Hazardous waste minimization
- 36 Restoration of installations (hazardous waste)
- 37 Waste water management and sanitary engineering
- 38 Oil pollution removal and recovery
- 39 Air pollution

#### 44 OCEAN ENGINEERING

- 45 Seafloor soils and foundations
- 46 Seafloor construction systems and operations (including diver and manipulator tools)
- 47 Undersea structures and materials
- 48 Anchors and moorings
- 49 Undersea power systems, electromechanical cables, and connectors
- 50 Pressure vessel facilities
- 51 Physical environment (including site surveying)
- 52 Ocean-based concrete structures
- 54 Undersea cable dynamics
- 82 NCEL Guides & Abstracts
- 91 Physical Security

Noneremove my name

## NCEL DOCUMENT EVALUATION

You are number one with us; how do we rate with you?

We at NCEL want to provide you our customer the best possible reports but we need your help. Therefore, I ask you to please take the time from your busy schedule to fill out this questionnaire. Your response will assist us in providing the best reports possible for our users. I wish to thank you in advance for your assistance. I assure you that the information you provide will help us to be more responsive to your future needs.

		Mustur R. N. STORER, Ph.D, P.E. Technical Director	
DOCUMENT NO TITL	E OF DOCUMENT:		
Date: Respondent Organ		······································	
Name:	A	ctivity Code:	
Phone:		irade/Rank:	
Category (please check):			
Sponsor User	Proponent	Other (Specify)	
Please answer on your behalf only; not o describes your attitude or feeling toward SA Strongly Agree A A	that statement:		hat most closely / Disagree
	SA A N D SD		SA A N D SD
1. The technical quality of the report is comparable to most of my other sources of technical information.	00000	6. The conclusions and recommenda- tions are clear and directly sup- ported by the contents of the report.	() () () () ()
2. The report will make significant improvements in the cost and or performance of my operation.	() () () () () ()	7. The graphics, tables, and photo- graphs are well done.	() () () () ()
3. The report acknowledges related work accomplished by others.	00000	Do you wish to continue getting NCEL reports?	YES NO
4. The report is well formatted.	00000		

() () () () ()

5. The report is clearly written.

Please add any comments (e.g., in what ways can we improve the quality of our reports?) on the back of this form.

Comments:

#### Please fold on line and staple

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, CA 93043-5003

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300

.

Code L03B NAVAL CIVIL ENGINEERING LABORATORY PORT HUENEME, CA 93043-5003