OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Grant N00014-90-J-1193

TECHNICAL REPORT No. 24

AD-A227 029

Monte-Carlo Simulation of Polarization-Selective Spectral Hole Burning in Fractal Clusters

by

Tapio T. Rantala, Mark I. Stockman and Thomas F. George

Prepared for Publication

in

<u>Scaling in Disordered Materials</u> Edited by J. P. Stokes Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh

Departments of Chemistry and Physics State University of New York at Burfalo Buffalo, New York 14260

September 1990

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE					f
REPORT	DOCUMENTATIO	N PAGE		Form OMB	Approved No. 0704-0188
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		16. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
Unclassified					
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY OF	REPORT	
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		Approved for public release; distribution			
		unlimited			
A REPEOPMING ORCANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	D/C)			0.07 10 10 10 50/6	
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			
UBUFFALO/DC/90/TR-24					
5. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	Sh OFFICE SYMBOL				
Depts, Chemistry & Physics	(If applicable)				
State University of New York					
fr ADDRESS (City State and ZIP Code)		7b ADDRESS (Cit	v State and ZIP Co		
		Chemistry	Program		
Fronczak Hall, Amnerst Campus		800 N. Ou	incv Street		
Buffalo, New York 14260		Arlington	• Virginia 2	2217	
Ba NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING	8b OFFICE SYMBOL	9 PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDEN		MRER
ORGANIZATION	(If applicable)				NIDER
Office of Naval Research		Grant	N00014-90-J-	1193	
8r ADDRESS (City State and ZIP Code)	<u> </u>		UNDING NUMBERS		
Chemistry Program		PROGRAM		TASK	
800 N. Ouincy Street		ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO	ACCESSION NO.
Arlington, Virginia 22217					
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)	<u> </u>				4
Monte-Carlo Simulati	on of Polarizati	on-Selective	Spectral Ho	1e	
Burning in Fractal C	lusters		-		
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)					
Tapio T. Ra	ntala, Mark I. S	tockman and	Thomas F. Ge	orge	
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME CO	DVERED	14. DATE OF REPOR	RT (Year, Month, D	ay) 15. PAGE	COUNT
FROM	TO	Septembe	r 1990		5
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Prepared	for publication	in Scaling	in Disordere	d Material	s.
Edited b	y J. P. Stokes,	Materials Re	search Socie	ty, Pittsb	urgh
	-				5
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse	e if necessary and i	dentify by block	c number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	FRACIAL CLUSIER		PULARI	CALLON SEL	
	CDECTRAL HOLE I	ULATION	COLLOT	DAL COLUTI	N ONC
	SPECIKAL HOLE D			DAL SOLUII	JNS
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block n	umber)			
Theoretical and numeri	ant cimulations	of croatral	hala hurning	in fronts	,
clusters are carried out	cal simulations Selective photof	or spectrar	of fractale	in fracta	1
powerful laser radiation is	concidered as	chearwood in	cilver clust	are in col	y loidal
powerful laser faulation is	considered, as	Observed in	SILVEL CLUSE	ers in cor	IUIUAI
solucions and gers.					
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILARIUTY OF ARSTRACT		21 ABSTRACT SEA			
MUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS R			nclassified		
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		22b. TELEPHONE	nclude Area Code)	22c. OFFICE SY	MBOL
Dr. David L. Nelson		(202) 696-4	410		

	Dr.	David L	. Nelson
DD Form	1473,	JUN 86	

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Scaling in Disordered Materials, Proceedings of Symposium W (Extended Abstracts) Materials kesearch Society 1990 Fall Meeting, edited by J. P. Stokes

MONTE-CARLO SIMULATION DE POLARIZATION-SELECTIVE SPECTRAL HOLE BURNING IN FRACTAL CLUSTERS

TAPIO T. RANTALA". MARK I. STOCKMAN" and THOMAS F. GEORGE

Department of Physics. University of Ouiu. SF-90570. Oulu. Finland

[†] Departments of Physics & Astronomy and Chemistry, Center for Electronic & Electrooptic Materials, 239 Fronczak Hall, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY 14260

: Institute of Automation & Electrometry, Siberian Branch of the USSR Academy of Sciences

INTRODUCTION

Fractals, objects of noninteger dimensionality embedded into usual (three dimensional) space, possess many nontrivial mathematical properties 1.2. There exists a series of physical systems whose geometry can be adequately described as fractal [3-5]. We shall restrict ourselves to the study of one of such physical realizations of fractals, namely fractal clusters [5] (simply called below as fractals). More specifically, we shall consider theoretically the selective photomodification of fractals induced by powerful laser radiation. Experimentally, this phenomenon has recently been observed [6] in silver clusters in colloidal solutions and gels.

The effect of selective photomodification observed in Ref. [6] consists in burning out the spectral hole in the absorption contour of a fractal at the frequency close to that of the exciting laser radiation. The spectral hole exists at times on the order of months or longer and, thus, can be considered as persistent. The primary hole is highly dichroic: it is observed only in the polarization of the probe light coinciding with that of the exciting radiation and is practically absent for the normal polarizations. In solutions this dichroism relaxes with the rotational diffusion times of the clusters, and in gels it does not significantly change for months. The experimental data [6] indicate that the photomodification has a threshold in the intensity of the laser radiation. Below we shall exploit the last feature to develop the theory of the photomodification which should explain its selectivity and give further predictions to stimulate experimental study. THEORY AND NUMERICAL SIMULATION OF SPECTRAL HOLE

BURNING IN FRACTALS

The fractal is modelled as the set of N polarizable particles, called monomers, located at the points \mathbf{r}_i , $i = 1, \ldots, N$. The total size of the fractal R_c is assumed to be much less that the incident light wavelength λ . Therefore the electric field \mathbf{E}^{0} of the light wave can be considered to be the same at the sites of all the monomers. The external plus local electric field (i.e., the integral field of all the other monomers) induce on the *i*th monomer a transition dipole moment d_i oscillating with the light frequency, which obeys the well-known system of equations

$$d_{i\alpha} = \chi_0 E_{\alpha}^{(0)} - \chi_0 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\delta_{\alpha\beta} - 3n_{\alpha}^{(ij)} n_{\beta}^{(ij)} \right] r_{ij}^{-3} d_{j\beta} \quad .$$
 (1)

where the Greek subscripts stand for tensor components (summation over repeated indices is implied), and the Latin indices stand for ordinal numbers of monomers; χ_0 is the dipolar polarizability of an individual (isolated) monomer: $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$; and $\mathbf{n}^{(ij)} = \mathbf{r}_{ij}/\mathbf{r}_{ij}$.

The properties of the monomer enter the system (1) only via the polarizability λ_0 . Taking this into account, we shall consider as the spectral variable not the light

frequency ω , but the quantity $X \equiv \operatorname{Re}\chi_0^{-1}$. As the characteristic of the dissipation in the monomer, we shall use the parameter $\delta \equiv Im\chi_0^{-1}$. To make the connection to experiment. let us specify the relation between X and ω in a model which is realistic, in particular. for clusters obtained by aggregation in colloidal solutions. This model considers the monomer as a macroscopic sphere with radius R_m , consisting of the material with a complex dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon' + i \varepsilon''$. The polarizability of such a sphere is given by the well-known expression $\chi_0 = R_m^3 (\varepsilon - 1) (\varepsilon + 2)^{-1}$. For the metallic sphere, the permittivity is well described by the Drude formula $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 - \omega_p^2 / \left[\omega(\omega + \imath\gamma)\right]^{-1}$, where $\varepsilon_0 = \text{const}$ is the contribution of the interband transitions (e.g., for silver $\varepsilon_0 \approx 5$), ω_p is the electron plasma frequency, and γ is the electron collision frequency. For most metals, in particular, for the noble metals, $\gamma \ll \omega_p$ and, consequently, $\varepsilon'' \ll \varepsilon'$. In this case, from (7) and (25), one can see that $X(\omega)$ turns to zero at the point of the surface plasmon resonance, $\omega = \omega_s$, where $\varepsilon' = -2$. From (26) it follows that $\omega_s \approx \omega_p (\varepsilon_0 + 2)^{-1/2}$. In the vicinity of the surface plasmon resonance, X and δ are simply expressed in terms of the detuning from the resonance $\Omega = \omega - \omega_s$ and the transition dipole moment of the resonance $|d_{12}|^2 = 3R_m^3 \hbar \omega_p / [2(\epsilon_0 + 2)^{3/2}]$, namely $X = \hbar \Omega / |d_{12}|^2$, $\delta = \hbar \gamma / 2 |d_{12}|^2$.

The theory is based on the above mentioned assumption of the threshold character of the photomodification. In addition it is assumed that the photomodification is local. i.e. only those monomers are modified for which the amplitude of the local field E_i exceeds the threshold magnitude E_{th} . The latter depends on the composition of the monomer and the embedding medium, and also on the specific mechanism of the photomodification. Note that the local field is simply expressed through the solution of Eq. (1), $E_i =$ d_i/χ_0 . For the sake of definiteness, we also suppose that the modified monomers do not contribute to the optical absorption. This assumption is suggested by the estimates [6] that the modification mechanism, apparently, consists of melting and evaporation of the monomer material.

The numerical study has been carried out in the following way. Three types of fractals are generated and used in the simulation: random walks (the fractal dimension D = 2), self-avoiding random walks ($D \approx 1.7$) and Witten-Sander clusters ($D \approx 2.5$), where the number of clusters of each type is 1000, with mean number of the monomers in the cluster as $\bar{N} = 50$. The results obtained for all the three fractal types are quite similar, so that we shall give all the numerical illustrations only for the random-walk fractals.

For each individual fractal, the basic system of equations (1) is solved numerically and the factor G_i of enhancement of the local field for the i^{th} monomer is found, $G_i = |\mathbf{E}_i|^2 / (\mathbf{E}^{(0)})^2$. The above-discussed condition of modification is taken in the form

$$G_i \geq G_{th}$$
, $G_{th} \equiv \left(E_{th}/E^{(0)}\right)^2$. (2)

Those monomers for which this condition is met are excluded from the fractal. After that, the system (1) is solved once again for the modified fractals (with the excluded monomers). The optical absorption A per one monomer is determined from the formula $A = \operatorname{Im}\chi_{zz}$, where $\chi_{zz} = \langle d_z \rangle / E^{(0)}$, and the polarization of the exciting wave is, for the sake of definiteness, directed along the z-axis. Note that the absorption cross-section σ_a per one monomer is related to A by $\sigma_a = 4\pi A/3$. It can be shown that the number ΔN of the modified (excluded) monomers is expressed as $\Delta N = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta A(X) dX$, where ΔA is the change of absorption upon the photomodification.

In Ref. [7] we have found the estimate G of the mean of G_i over the ensemble of fractals in the scaling region $(\delta \ll |X| \ll R_0^{-3})$ as

$$G \equiv \langle G_i \rangle \sim Q(R_0^3 |X|)^{d_0 + 1} .$$
(3)

where R_0 is the characteristic separation between the nearest monomers in the fractal. $d_o \approx 0.4$ is the optical spectral dimension, and $Q = (R_0^3 \delta)^{-1}$ is the quality factor of the resonance in the monomer. For many monomer materials, including the noble metals, the Q-factor is large: e.g., for silver, Q = 3 - 30 depending on the defect concentration in the metal determined by the preparation method. Thus, the factor G is large, which means that fluctuations of the local field are much grater than the exciting field $E^{(0)}$. This fact, in the light of Eq. (2), allows us to predict that the photomodification takes place at high values of $G_{th} \sim G$. In the other words, the characteristic light intensity of photomodification of fractals is much smaller (by the factor $\sim G^{-1} \ll 1$) than the threshold intensity of the isolated monomer modification. This is a consequence of large fluctuations of local fields in the nontrivial fractals and, also, of the high Q-factor of the monomer resonance (cf. Eqs. (2) and (3)).

In Fig. 1 we present an example of the dependence of the relative number of modified (removed) monomers $\Delta N/N$ on the threshold parameter G_{th} , with the value X^* of the X-parameter for the modifying radiation as $X^* = 3.0$. One can see that, in accord with the above arguments, the photomodification starts at large values of G_{th} , which correspond to small exciting field intensity $E^{(0)2}$.



Fig. 1. The relative amount of the modified monomers as the function of the threshold parameter G_{th} (2) calculated for Q = 3.

An example of the calculated fractal absorption contour is shown in Fig. 2. One can see that this contour is a broad peak centered at the zero X, corresponding to the frequencies near the surface-plasmon resonance. The differential spectrum of the photoburning, i.e., the difference between the fractal absorption before and after the modification. is shown in Fig. 3 for the two polarizations of the probing field and $X^* =$ -1. It follows from this figure that for the parallel polarization the spectral hole is burned out, centered at the frequency of the exciting radiation $(X \approx X^*)$. For the perpendicular polarization, the spectral hole at $X \approx X^*$ practically disappears, while it emerged at the "mirror" frequency at $X \approx -X^*/2$. This can be understood in the framework of the binary approximation developed in Ref. 8. Thus, in fact, the spectral hole is highly dichroic. As the numerical results show, in accord with the theoretical estimates and Figs. 1 and 2, the width of the hole burned (in the X-variable) is on order of Q^{-1} , while the total width of the fractal contour is on order of unity independently of the Q-parameter. Thus, the total number of holes, which can be burned out in each of the two polarizations, can be estimated as approximately 2Q.



Fig. 2. Absorption spectrum of the clusters calculated for Q = 3



Fig. 3. Difference in the absorption spectrum caused by the photomodification calculated for Q = 3. $X^* = -1$, with the polarization parallel (curve 1) and perpendicular (curve 2) to that of the modifying radiation

CONCLUDING DISCUSSION

The theory presented above describes a qualitative picture of the polarizationselective persistent spectral-holes photoburning in fractals, which has been experimentally observed [6]. The estimate obtained on the basis of the numerical results shows that it is possible to burn out in a given spatial region of a fractal media the number of $\approx 2Q$ frequency- and polarization-resolvable spectral holes. This number may be on order of 10 for the realistic value of Q = 1 - 10. Thus, the fractal cluster media are promising materials for high-density optical recording of information.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the office of Naval Research and the National Science Foundation under Grant CHE-8922288.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. M. Mandelbrot, Fractals, Form, Chance, and Dimension (Freeman, San Francisco, 1977).
- 2. B. B. Mandelbrot, The Fractal Geometry of Nature (Freeman, San Francisco, 1982).
- Ya. B. Zel'dovich and D. D. Sokolov, Uspekhi fiz. nauk 146, 493 (1985) [Translation: Sov. Phys. Usp. 28, 608 (1985)].
- 4. L. Pietroniero and E. Tosatti, Eds., Fractals in Physics (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986).
- 5. B. M. Smirnov, Uspekhi fiz. nauk 149, 177 (1986) [Translation: Sov. Phys. Usp. 29, 481 (1986)].
- A. V. Karpov, A. K. Popov, S. G. Rautian, V. P. Safonov, V. V. Slabko, V. M. Shalaev and M. I. Stockman. Pis'ma ZhETF 48, 528 (1988) [Translation: JETP Lett. 48, 571 (1988)].
- 7. V. A. Markel, L. S. Muratov, M. I. Stockman and T. F. George, in the present Proceedings.
- 8. V. M. Shalaev and M. I. Stockman, ZhETF 92, 509 (1987) [Translation: Sov. Phys. JETP 65, 287 (1987)]; Z. Phys. D 10, 71 (1988).

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST - GENERAL

Office of Naval Research (2) Chemistry Division, Code 1113 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217-5000

Commanding Officer (1) Naval Weapons Support Center Dr. Bernard E. Douda Crane, Indiana 47522-5050

Dr. Richard W. Drisko (1) Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Code L52 Port Hueneme, CA 93043

David Taylor Research Center (1) Dr. Eugene C. Fischer Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Dr. James S. Murday (1) Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375-5000

Dr. David L. Nelson (1) Chemistry Division Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 Dr. Robert Green, Director (1) Chemistry Division, Code 385 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555-6001

Chief of Naval Research (1) Special Assistant for Marine Corps Matters Code 00MC 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217-5000

Dr. Bernadette Eichinger (1) Naval Ship Systems Engineering Station Code 053 Philadelphia Naval Base Philadelphia, PA 19112

Dr. Sachio Yamamoto (1) Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 52 San Diego, CA 92152-5000

Dr. Harold H. Singerman (1) David Taylor Research Center Code 283 Annapolis, MD 21402-5067

Defense Technical Information Center (2) Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314

Ano sert	*		1
, The second		X -	INSPECT
1. 1	1		• • •
ما لُنْ في مال 	0.00 010n		
Ey			
Distr	bution/		
Avai	lability Cod	.08	
Dist	Avail and/o Special	ſ	
H-1			

FY90 Abstracts Distribution List for Solid State & Surface Chemistry

Professor John Baldeschwieler Department of Chemistry California Inst. of Technology Pasadena, CA 91125

Professor Paul Barbara Department of Chemistry University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455-0431

Dr. Duncan Brown Advanced Technology Materials 520-B Danury Rd. New Milford, CT 06776

Professor Stanley Bruckenstein Professor Paul Hansma Department of Chemistry State University of New York Buffalo, NY 14214

Professor Carolyn Cassady Department of Chemistry Miami University Oxford, OH 45056

Professor R.P.H. Chang Dept. Matls. Sci. & Engineering Northwestern University Evanston, IL 60208

Professor Frank DiSalvo Department of Chemistry Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853

Dr. James Duncan Federal Systems Division Eastman Kodak Company Rochester, NY 14650-2156

Professor Arthur Ellis Department of Chemistry University of Wisconsin Madison, WI 53706

Professor Mustafa El-Sayed Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor John Eyler Department of Chemistry University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611

Professor James Garvey Department of Chemistry State University of New York Buffalo, NY 14214

Professor Steven George Department of Chemistry Stanford University Stanford Chemistry Stanford, CA 94305

Professor Tom George Dept. of Chemistry & Physics State University of New York Buffalo, NY 14260

1.

. .

÷

Dr. Robert Hamers IBM T.J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Department of Physics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Professor Charles Harris Department of Chemistry University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

Professor John Hemminger Department of Chemistry University of California Irvine, CA 92717

Professor Roald Hoffmann Department of Chemistry Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853

Professor Leonard Interrante Department of Chemistry Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, NY 12181

Professor Eugene Irene Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, NC 27514

Dr. Sylvia Johnson SRI International 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Zakya Kafafi Code 6551 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375-5000

Professor Larry Kesmodel Department of Physics Indiana University Bloomington, IN 47403

Professor Max Lagally Dept. Metal. & Min. Engineering University of Wisconsin Madison, WI 53706

Dr. Stephen Lieberman Code 522 Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152

. . . .

Professor M.C. Lin Department of Chemistry Emory University Atlanta, GA 30322

Professor Fred McLafferty Department of Chemistry Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853-1301

Professor Horia Metiu Department of Chemistry University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Professor Larry Miller Department of Chemistry University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455-0431

Professor George Morrison Department of Chemistry Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853

Professor Daniel Neumark Department of Chemistry University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

Professor David Ramaker Department of Chemistry George Washington University Washington, DC 20052

Dr. Gary Rubloff IBM T.J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Professor Richard Smalley Department of Chemistry Rice University P.O. Box 1892 Houston, TX 77251

Professor Gerald Stringfellow Professor Gerald Stringfellow Department of Chemistry Dept. of Matls. Sci. & Engineering University of Pittsburgh University of Utah University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

Professor Galen Stucky Department of Chemistry University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Professor H. Tachikawa Department of Chemistry Jackson State University Jackson, MI 39217-0510

Professor William Unertl Lab. for Surface Sci. & Technology University of Maine Orono, ME 04469

Dr. Terrell Vanderah Code 3854 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555

Professor John Weaver Dept. of Chem. & Mat. Sciences University of Minnesota Minneapolis, MN 55455

Professor Brad Weiner Department of Chemistry University of Puerto Rico Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931

Professor Robert Whetten Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor R. Stanley Williams Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024

Professor Nicholas Winograd Department of Chemistry Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802

Professor Aaron Wold Department of Chemistry Brown University Providence, RI 02912

Professor Vicki Wysocki Department of Chemistry Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, VA 23284-2006

Professor John Yates Pittsburgh, PA 15260