

announce contrare

Disclaimer

 \bullet

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorizing documents.

Distribution Statement

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Blank

 \overline{c}

PREFACE

The work described in this report was authorized under Project No. IC162622A553A, CB Threat Agent Chemistry and Effects. This work was started in July 1989 and completed in November 1989. The experimental data are recorded in laboratory notebook 89-0038.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute an official endorsement of any commercial products. This report may not be cited for purposes of advertisement.

Reproduction of this document in whole or in part is prohibited except with permission of the Commander, U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center (CRDEC), ATTN: SMCCR-SPS-T, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5423. However, the Defense Technical Information Center and the National Technical Information Service are authorized to reproduce the document for U.S. Government purposes.

This report has been approved for release to the public.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Dr. William T. Beaudry, Michael W. Ellzy, Irwin raster, Ronald **J.** Piffath, and Linda **J.** Szafraniec, Research Directorate, CRDEC, for their technical contributions, and Stella C. Matthews for her preparation of the draft manuscript for processing.

Blank

CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

DETERMINATION OF 2-CHLOROVINYLARSONIC ACID IN ENVIRONTENTAL WATERS BY ION CHROMATOGRAPHY

1. INTRODUCTION

Through its hydrolysate 2-chlorovinylarsonous acid (CVAA), lewisite is thought to oxidize gradually in seawater, freshwater, and soil to form the stable and highly water soluble 2-chlorovinvlarsonic acid (CVAOA).¹

> H2 0 [0] **⁰¹** ClCH=CH-AsCl2 **- >** [CICH=CH-As(OH)2] **- >** CI-CH=CHAs(OH)2 Lewisite CVAA CVAOA

Because CVAOA is also a potent blistering agent,² any detection protocol for lewisite should also apply to CVAOA.

The only method currently available for analyzing CVAOA in trace amounts in the environment involves measuring nonspecific arsenic using the atomic absoptinn spectroscopy technique. Although it is sensitive at the parts per billion (ppb) level, this method cannot differentiate an artificially imposed source of arsenic from real-world background levels.

Recently, this laboratory developed a methodology for analyzing CVAA in environmental waters by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).^{3,4} The CVAA peak was identified by the oxidative derivatization (H_2O_2) of CVAA to **CVAOA,** followed by conventional ion-pair reverse phase (IPRP) liquid chromatography.³ Using this same liquid chromatographic technique, the presence of CVAOA was also detected in aged **(>I** yr) laboratory standards of CVAA in deionized water.*

To determine the extent to which lewisite actually converts to CVAOA in the environment, the analytical methodology must be first available for detecting, separating, and quantitating CVAOA in environmental waters. This report describes the development of a new method for directly separating CVAOA from aqueous matrices by both conventional ion exchange (IE) and IPRP ion chromatography using eluent suppression conductivity and ultraviolet (UV) absorption as dual detection modes. The feasibility of this method for detecting and analyzing CVAOA in surface water and seawater is demonstrated.

^{*}Bossle, **P.C., Pleva, S.G.,** and Martin, **J.J., U.S.** Army Chemical **Research, Development and Engineering Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD,** January **1990,** unpublished data.,

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Chemicals.

Water useg for standards in this study was distilled and deionized (10-14 meg/cm) using the Barnstead Nanopure II system (Barnstead/Sybron, Boston, MA). Acetonitrile, which was used as the organic modifier, was HPLC grade (Burdick and Jackson, Muskegon, MI). The ion-pair reagent, HPLC grade tetrapropylammonium hydroxide (TPAOH) (0.10 molar in water), was obtained from Dionex Corporation (Sunnyvale, CA). Analytical grade sodium bicarbonate and sodium carbonate were obtained from Mallinckrodt Chemical Works (St. Louis, MO). Simulated ocean water was prepared from "Instant Ocean" (Aquarium Systems, Incorporated, Mentor, OH) according to directions provided by the supplier. The CVAOA was synthesized using the procedures of Lewis and Stiegler⁵ and gave analytical data consistent with its chemical structure.

2.2 Instrumentation.

Chromatography was performed using a Model 2120i Ion Chromatograph (Dionex Corporation) equipped with both a variable wavelength UV and a $5-yL$ flow-through conductivity detector in series. Samples were introduced by an air-activated valve injector with a 50-µL sample loop. This system was connected to a Nodel 4270 Recorder-Integrator (Dionex Corporation) that measured UV anc conductivity detector response in terms of peak area. The UV spectrum of CVAOA was obtained in deionized water using a Varian 2300 Spectrophotometer (Varian Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA).

2.3 Chromatographic Procedure.

Ion exchange separations were performed under the following conditions: column, Dionex HPIC-AS4A; eluent, 0.75 mf1 sodium bicarbonate/2.2 mH sodium carbonate (Dionex type AFS fiber suppressor); and flow rate, 2 mL/min.

Ion-pairing reverse phase separations were performed under the following conditions: column, Dionex MPIC-NSI; column temperature, ambient; eluent, 2 mM TPAOH, **1** mM sodium carbonate, 1.2% acetonitrile (Dionex type AFS-2 fiber suppressor); and flow rate, **1** niL/min.

The UV detection of CVAOA was carried out at a 215-nm wavelength (0.01-0.05 AUFS). Conductivity detection was determined at a sensitivity of 0.3-3.0 **U** SFS.

A stock solution of **CVAOA** at a concentration of **1,000** uL/mL was prepared **by** adding **100** mg of **CVAOA** to a 100-mL volumetric flask and slowly dissolving the compound with shaking to volume with deionized distilled water. The stock solution of **CVAOA** was injected onto the column(s), and retention times of **9.26** min (IE) and **11.26** min (IPRP) were determined. The **CVAOA** peak fractions of the eluent were collected, evaporated down using a nitrogen stream at ambient temperature, and identified **by** mass spectrometry **(MS).** Calibration curves were obtained **by** injecting a known concentration (200, 400, **1,000,** 4,000, and **10,000** ng/mL) of **CVAOA** in deionized water onto both an **IE** and an IPRP column in trip icate and measuring both the **UV** and conductivity detector responses obtained.

2.4 Sample Preparation.

Both a surface water and a simulated seawater sample were spiked with CVAOA at two concentration levels. For UV detection, the samples were spiked at 1,000 ng/mL. For conductivity detection, being both less selective ard sensitive, the samples were spiked with CVAOA at 4,000 ng/mL. The samples were filtered, along with the unspiked surface water and simulated seawater samples, and injected into the liquid chromatograph.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In environmental analysis, multiple analytical techniques are often required to crcss confirm analyte identity and avoid serious misinterpretation of data. By using both **IE** and IPRP separations, two entirely different physical parameters of CVAO [i.e., acid dissociaton (pKA) and molecular lipophilicity, respectively] are employed as separation modes. The CVAOA, a strong divalent acid, 6 is retained on an **IE** column while nonionic and cationic organic and inorganic species are swept through with the void. Analogously, the lipophilic character of the chlorovinyl moiety gives CVAOA in the IPRP separation mode a strong attraction to the polystyrene-divinyl benzere reverse-phase column and hence an increased retention time.

The use of both conductivity and UV detection makes possible the selective identification of CVAOA both as an anionic and UV absorbing species. However, a suppressor column must be used to decrease the high background conductance and **UV** absorbance of the eluent before conouctivity and UV detection can take place. The result allows UV detection of CVAOA at 215 nm ($E = 4.67$) with moderate selectivity and sensitivity. Unlike lewisite and CVA \hat{A} , 4 the Uv spectrum of CVAOA shows no absorption shoulder in the 225-230-nm spectral range. The CVAOA responded linearly (correlation coefficient >0.99) to both conductivity and UV detection over a range of 10-500 ny of injected CVAOA. Ultraviolet detection, in comparison to conductance, was significantly more sensitive with detection limits being approximately **10** ng (UV) and 50 ng (conductivity), respectively, with a signal-to-noise ratio of 3.

The feasibility of this method to detect CVAOA in environmental waters was demonstrated with spiked surface water and simulated seawater samples using IE and IPRP separations with conductivity and UV detection. Figures 1-4 show the experimental results using the four possible separation-detection combinations.

In all cases (Figures 1-4), the **CVAOA** peak in the chromatograms of spiked surface waters is free of matrix effect.

However, the **CVAOA** peak in the spiked seawater chromatograms of Figures **1** and **3** is totally obliterated **by** matrix effects. This phenomenon results because conductivity detection is nonselective in that it measures all ionic species present in the eluent stream. Therefore, conductance cannot be used to detect **CVAOA** in seawater at the tested concentrations. In Figures 2 and 4, only **UV** absorbing species are selectively measured with the consequence that the **CVAOA** peak in the chromatograms is relatively free of matrix effects.

 $\overline{10}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 \bullet

 $\overline{13}$

4. CONCLUSION

Ion exchange and IPRP ion chromatography with conductivity and UV detection is a rapid and direct method for analyzing 2-chlorovinylarsonic acid (CVAOA) in aqueous matrices in quantities as low as **10** ng. The feasibility of this method for detecting and analyzing CVAOA in surface water and seawater is demonstrated.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Rosenblatt, D.H., Miller, T.H., Dacre, **J.C.,** Muul, **I.,** and Cogley, D.R., Eds; Problem Definition Studies on Potential Environmental Pollutants. **11.** Physiological, Chemical, Toxicological, and Biological Properties of 16 Substances, TR 7509, U.S. Army Medical Bioengineering Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Detrick, MD, 1975, UNCLASSIFIED Report.

2. Cameron, G.R., Carleton, H.M., and Short, R.H.D., "Pathological Changes Induced by Lewisite and Allied Compounds," **J.** Path. Bact. Vol. **58,** pp 411-422 (1946).

3. Bossle, P.C., Determination of Lewisite Contamination in Environmental Waters by High Performance Liquid Chromatography, Abstract of Papers, No. 62, 30th Rocky Mountain Conference, Denver, **CO,** 31 July-5 August 1988.

4. Bossle, P.C., Ellzy, M.W., and Martin, J.J., Determination of Lewisite Contamination in Environmental Waters by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography, CRDEC-TR-042, U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, January 1989, UNCLASSIFIED Report.

5. Lewis, W.L., and Stiegler, H.D., "The Beta-Chlorovinyl Arsines and Their Derivatives," J. Am. Chem. Soc. Vol. 47, pp 2546-2556 (1925).

6. Doak, G.O., and Freedman, L.D., Organometallic Compounds of Arsenic, Antimoney, and Bismuth, pp 26-27, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, 1970.