	7IED			FILL C	• 140. • . •		$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$
				N PAGE		ON	m Approved 18 No 0704-0188 5. Date: Jun 30, 1986
1a REPOF UNCL	AD-	A223	968 —	1b. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
2a. SECUR 2b. DECLASSIF		UNGRADIN SCHEDU		unlimited.	AVAILABILITY OF or public re ORGANIZATION RE	-	
			U CG				- (3)
ept Hazar	dous Micr	ORGANIZATION coorganisms inology,WRAIR	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) SGRD-UWF-1	Walter Reed	ONITORING ORGAN d Army Insti d Army Medic	tute of R	
5c. ADDRESS ( ashington	City, State, an	d ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (Cit	ty, State, and ZIPC , DC 20307-	Code)	
Ba. NAME OF ORGANIZA RAIR		DNSORING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT IDE	NTIFICATION	NUMBER
Sc. ADDRESS (C	City, State, and	TZIP Code)	<u>I</u>	10. SOURCE OF PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 63763A	FUNDING NUMBER PROJECT NO BM263763D81	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION N 131
2. PERSONAL	St lethal	lassification) Mon dengue infec B.M. Kaufman,	tion (U) P.L. Summers, I				
ice again 12. PERSONAL R.L. Time	St lethal AUTHOR(S) hak. D.S.	dengue infec	tion (U) P.L. Summers, I .H. Eckels	D.R. Dubois, 14. DATE OF REPO	W. Houston ( RT (Year, Month, I	Cohen, M. Day) 15. PA	
1Ce again.	AUTHOR(S) hak. D.S. REPORT	dengue infec B.M. Kaufman, Burke, and K 13b. TIME C FROM	tion (U) P.L. Summers, I H. Eckels OVERED	D.R. Dubois, 14. DATE OF REPO	W. Houston (	Cohen, M. Day) 15. PA	K. Gentry,
1CE again 2. PERSONAL <u>R.L. Timc</u> 3a. TY <sup>-</sup> E OF 6. SUPPLEME	AUTHOR(S) hak. D.S. REPORT	dengue infec B.M. Kaufman, Burke, and K 13b. TIME C FROM TON	tion (U) P.L. Summers, I H. Eckels OVERED	D.R. Dubois, 14. DATE OF REPO 1989	W. Houston ( RT (Year, Month, I September 15	Cohen, M. Day) 15. PA	K. Gentry, GE COUNT
ICE again 2. PERSONAL R.L. Time 3a. TYPE OF 16. SUPPLEMEN	ST Lethal AUTHOR(S) hak. D.S. REPORT NTARY NOTAT	dengue infec B.M. Kaufman, Burke, and K 13b. TIME C FROM TON	tion (U) P.L. Summers, I H. Eckels OVERED TO	D.R. Dubois, 14. DATE OF REPO 1989	W. Houston ( RT (Year, Month, I September 15	Cohen, M. Day) 15. PA	K. Gentry, GE COUNT
1CE again 12. PERSONAL R.L. Time 13a. TYPE OF 13a. TYPE OF 16. SUPPLEMEN 17. 17. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10	AUTHOR(S) hak. D.S. REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATI GROUP (Continue on the monoclo sed to pass ous dengue to the tero serotype tos had de oy anti-mo	dengue infec B.M. Kaufman, Burke, and K 13b. TIME C FROM TON CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary nal antibodie sively protect virus seroty clogous challe Althouh in stectable neut ouse immunoglo	tion (U) P.L. Summers, I H. Eckels OVERED TO	D.R. Dubois, 14. DATE OF REPO 1989 Continue on revers Continue on revers Number) ve against t agginst leth he 5 prM-rea protected ag to virions w ty. The neu ment. Howey	W. Houston ( PRT (Year, Month, I September 15 We if necessary and the prM glyco al challenge ctive monocl ainst challe as readily d tralizing ac er, 4 of the	-proteins with hom onals cro nge with emonstrat tivity co 5 prM Ma	K. Gentry, GE COUNT Mock number) a of DEN-3 an aologous and oss-protected only the ted, only 2 o ould not be abs fixed
1CE again 12. PERSONAL R.L. Time 13a. TYPE OF 13a. TYPE OF 14. SUPPLEMEN 17. FIELD 19. ABSTRACT 19. ABSTR	AUTHOR(S) hak. D.S. REPORT NTARY NOTAT COSATI GROUP (Continue on the monoclo sed to pas ous dengue to the terro serotype tos had de oy anti-mo	dengue infec B.M. Kaufman, Burke, and K 13b. TIME C FROM TON CODES SUB-GROUP reverse if necessary mal antibodic sively protect virus seroty blogous challe a Althouh in tectable neut buse immunoglo s the first r	tion (U) P.L. Summers, I H. Eckels OVERED TO 18. SUBJECT TERMS ( and identify by block r is (Mabs) reaction the mice in vivo opes. Four of the enge, whereas l is vitro binding ralizing activities bulin or complete report of prM-sp	21 ABSTRACT SE UNCLASS	W. Houston ( NT (Year, Month, I September 15 is if necessary and he prM glyco al challenge ctive monocl ainst challe as readily d tralizing ac er, 4 of the that are pro	Cohen, M. Day) 15 PA identify by b -proteins with hom onals cro nge with emonstrat tivity co 5 prM Ma tective d	K. Gentry, GE COUNT Nock number) as of DEN-3 an aologous and oss-protected only the ted, only 2 of ould not be abs fixed in mice.

Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., 41(5), 1989. pp. 576–580 (89-157) Copyright © 1989 by The American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene

# MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES FOR DENGUE VIRUS PRM GLYCOPROTEIN PROTECT MICE AGAINST LETHAL DENGUE INFECTION

# B. M. KAUFMAN, P. L. SUMMERS, D. R. DUBOIS, W. HOUSTON COHEN, M. K. GENTRY, R. L. TIMCHAK, D. S. BURKE, AND K. H. ECKELS Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington, DC

Abstract. Five murine monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) reactive against the prM glycoproteins of DEN-3 and -4 were used to passively protect mice in vivo against lethal challenge with homologous and heterologous dengue virus serotypes. Four of the 5 prM-reactive monoclonals cross-protected mice against heterologous challenge, whereas 1 protected against challenge with only the homologous serotype. Although in vitro binding to virions was readily demonstrated, only 2 of the prM Mabs had detectable neutralizing activity. The neutralizing activity could not be enhanced by anti-mouse immunoglobulin or complement. However, 4 of the 5 prM Mabs fixed complement. This is the first report of prMspecific Mabs that are protective in mice.

Dengue virus is a mosquito-borne flavivirus of great medical importance due to its wide global distribution and the frequency of DEN epidemics.<sup>1</sup> Several experimental live-attenuated vaccines have been tested in humans and appear to be safe and immunogenic.<sup>2,3</sup> Since there are 4 serotypes of dengue, each serotype must be represented in a vaccine to confer complete protection. Future efficacy trials will determine whether these vaccines will protect against natural infection.

In our efforts to characterize dengue epitopes that are important for immune response and protection, we have used monoclonal antibodies (Mabs) directed at immunogenic viral proteins. Using passive protection assays in mice, Mabs specific for the DEN-2 envelope (E) glycoprotein were found to be protective.4 These Mabs had a spectrum of cross-reactivity against other DEN serotypes. In the current studies, we found that another structural protein, prM, also appears to contain protective epitopes. A panel of prM-specific Mabs for DEN-3 and DEN-4 viruses was used to assess homologous and heterologous protection and to characterize the Mabs in various antigen binding and functional assays of reactivity.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Cell cultures and virus

Aedes albopictus clone C6/36 cells were maintained at 28°C in 32 oz glass prescription bottles in Eagle's minimum essential medium (GIBCO, Grand Island, NY) containing 2% fetal bovine serum (M. A. Bioproducts. Walkersville, MD) and supplemented with 100 U penicillin and 100  $\mu$ g streptomycin per ml. We used virus strains DEN-1 (Western Pacific 1974), DEN-2 (PR-159), DEN-3 (H87), and DEN-4 (341750). Cells were infected at multiplicities of 0.1–1.0 and virus harvested at 5–7 day intervals starting on day 5 postinfection.

Viruses were purified by sucrose density-gradient centrifugation and cell lysates prepared as previously described.<sup>4</sup>

### Monoclonal antibodies

The production and partial purification of the anti-DEN Mabs have been described.<sup>5</sup>

# Electrophoresis and immunoblotting

Purified virion preparations and cell lysates were separated on 12% polyacrylamide gels as previously described.<sup>6</sup> The separated proteins were blotted onto nitrocellulose,<sup>7</sup> probed with a 1:100 dilution of Mab, and visualized immunoenzymatically.<sup>8</sup>

# **Determination** of antigen binding activity

Antigen binding activity of the Mabs was determined by an antibody-capture solid phase immunoassay. Polystyrene microtiter plates were



sensitized with goat antisera to mouse IgG diluted in carbonate buffer. After washing with 0.05% Tween-20 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), the plates were blocked with 1% BSA in PBS. Test antibody (cell culture supernatant or ascites fluid) was added for 2 hr, the plate was washed, and test antigen (derived from supernatants of dengue infected mosquito cells) in PBS plus 10% acetone-extracted human serum was added overnight. After washing, the plates received <sup>125</sup>I- or peroxidase-labeled human flavivirus hyperimmune IgG for 2 hr. Normal mouse ascitic fluid was used as a negative control; the broadly flavivirus-reactive Mab 4G2 was used as the positive control.

# ELISA, neutralization assay, and complement fixation assay

The solid-phase enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) used for titration of the Mabs has been described.<sup>4</sup>

Virus neutralization (N) titers of the Mabs were determined by a plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT) as described,<sup>9</sup> using a 0.5 hr incubation time at 35°C.

Complement fixation (CF) titers of the Mabs were determined using a microtiter modification of the procedure of Kent and Fife.<sup>10</sup>

### Mouse protection assay

The in vivo protective ability of the Mabs against DEN-1, -2, and -4 was assayed in BALB/ cJ mice (Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME) as previously described.<sup>4,11</sup> Protection against DEN-3 challenge was assayed in suckling mice: 4-day-old animals were injected ip with 0.05 ml ascites fluid and challenged on the following day with >100 LD<sub>50</sub> DEN-3 virus.

### RESULTS

### Viral protein specificity of Mabs

The viral protein specificities of the anti-DEN Mabs were determined by immunoblotting electrophoretically-separated proteins from virions and dengue infected cell lysates. Five Mabs, 14E9, 4H9, 2H2, 5C9, and 15H5, reacted with an 18– 20 kDa protein present in both virions and cell lysates, which corresponds to prM protein. A representative immunoblot, using Mab 14E9, is



FIGURE 1. Western blot analysis of a DEN-3 infected cell lysate with prM-specific Mab 14E9. DEN-3 infected *Aedes albopictus* clone C6/36 cells were lysed, and the clarified lysate was subjected to SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. The separated proteins were blotted to nitrocellulose, probed with Mab 14E9 and hyperimmune mouse ascitic fluid (HMAF), and developed as described in the text. Lane A contains molecular weight standards (shown in kilodaltons). Lane B, Mab 14E9. Lane C, DEN-3 HMAF. The position of the prM protein is indicated.

shown in Figure 1. The reactivity spectrum of each Mab with each dengue virus serotype was determined using antigen capture RIA or ELISA. Mabs 15H5, 14E9, 2H2, and 5C9 reacted with all members of the DEN complex; Mab 4H9 reacted with only DEN-1 and DEN-4 (Table 1).

### In vitro activities of monoclonal antibodies

Generally, all the Mabs possessed titers of  $\geq 10^5$ when assayed by ELISA against homologous purified virions (Table 1). Although the reactivity of the Mabs with virions was quite high, only 2 Mabs, 2H2 and 5C9, had any neutralizing activity. This low level of neutralizing activity was repeatable in several neutralization assays, but could not be enhanced by the addition of either anti-mouse immunoglobulin or guinea pig or mouse complement (data not shown). However, 4 of the 5 Mabs were able to fix complement in standard CF tests (Table 1).

577

virus 1 day later.

TABLE 1

In vitro immunological characteristics of prM mono

clonal antibodies

Mab	Antigen binding spectra*	Im- munizing virus serotype	Neu- tralizing titer	Virion binding	CF titer
15H5	C	DEN-3	<10	10 <sup>6</sup>	
14E9	C	DEN-3	<10	10 <sup>5</sup>	
2H2	C	DEN-4	10	106	128
5C9	C	DEN-4	10	106	8
4H9	SC	DEN-4	<10	107	8

\* C = Dengue complex-reactive: SC = dengue subcomplex-reactive.

	IABLE	2		
Protection of mice by oclonal antibodies*	passive	administration	of	mon-

Mab	DEN-1 (No. survivo	DEN-2 ors/no. challe	DEN-3 nged with vi	DEN-4 rus serotype
15H5	5/5	4/5	7/7	4/5
14E9	1/5	0/5	7/7	10/10
2H2	5/5	5/5	6/9	10/10
5C9	4/5	5/5	1/8	13/13
4H9	0/5	0/5	0/6	10/10
Normal				
ascites fluid	0/10	0/10	1/8	0/10

Mouse protection assays

Cross-protection by the prM Mabs was assayed by challenging passively immunized mice with both homologous and heterologous virus (Table 2). In all cases, Mabs specific for a given serotype of dengue were able to protect against homologous challenge. Different patterns of crossprotection were demonstrated by the Mabs: Mab 4H9 was serotype specific, 14E9 and 5C9 were sub-complex protective, and 2H2 and 15H5 were complex protective (Table 2). Thus, there appear to be at least 3 epitopes on prM which differ in their protective capacity. These in vivo data appear to conflict with the in vitro antigen binding data which indicate that 4 of the 5 Mabs are complex-reactive (Table 1).

#### DISCUSSION

We and others have previously reported that murine Mabs directed against DEN-2 E-glycoproteins could passively protect mice against lethal DEN-2 challenge.<sup>4,12</sup> Additionally, passive protection has been demonstrated in mice by Mabs against E-glycoprotein of Saint Louis encephalitis virus,13 and yellow fever virus.12,14 Mabs against the 48 K non-structural protein of yellow fever virus and DEN-2 have also been shown to protect mice against lethal challenge.14-<sup>16</sup> In this study, we show that Mabs against the prM glycoprotein of dengue virus can also be protective against challenge with both homologous and heterologous virus. There was a good correlation between in vitro neutralizing activity and passive protection using E-specific Mabs for DEN-2;7 however, we were able to demonstrate significant passive protection in the current studies using Mabs that displayed little or no in vitro neutralizing activity (Tables 1, 2). Others have

reported the failure of neutralizing antibodies to protect against virus challenge,<sup>17</sup> and the relationship between neutralizing activity and protection remains unclear.

Aaskov and others have recently reported that passive administration of Mab 2H2 failed to protect mice against DEN-1 challenge.<sup>18</sup> Even though circulating reactive antibody could be demonstrated, the animals were given only antibodycontaining supernatant fluids from the hybridoma cell line, and the concentration of active antibody may have been too low to elicit protection. Also, Mab 2H2 has been shown to lead to enhancement of infection under some circumstances.<sup>19</sup> Thus, it is possible that a low dose of passively-administered antibody could be nonprotective, or lead to infection enhancement, whereas a higher dose would result in protection as seen in our challenge studies.

The mechanism by which anti-prM Mabs protect against virus challenge is unknown. The general mechanism of virus neutralization by E-glycoprotein-directed Mabs has not been elucidated.20-23 Likewise, little is understood about protection mediated by other means. Gollins and Porterfield<sup>24</sup> have described the ability of polyclonal antisera to interfere with a fusion event between the membrane of endocytosed West Nile virus and a prelysozomal endosome required for viral replication. PrM Mabs 2H2 and 5C9 were not able to prevent DEN virusinduced cell fusion.25 Therefore, the mechanism of fusion, however important this event is to the virus entry process, is not interfered with by dengue prM Mabs. One other possible mechanism of protection may involve the binding of antibodies to prM protein in the infected cell membranes, leading to activation of complement. Four

of the 5 Mabs used in the present study had CF activity (Table 1). Complement activation could then lead to lysis of the virus infected cell by antibody-dependent cytolysis and the abrogation of infection. NS1-specific Mabs for yellow fever 17D virus have been shown to be protective for mice.15 These Mabs, when augmented by the addition of complement, are cytolytic for yellow fever virus-infected target cells. However, 2 of the prM Mabs described (2H2 and 5C9) were not cytolytic in the same type of assay (J. Schlesinger, University of Rochester, NY, personal communication). The role of complement, if any, in the proposed mechanism might be elucidated using decomplemented (i.e., cobra venom factortreated) mice.

Active immunization against DEN-2 nonstructural protein NS1 conferred protection in mice against lethal DEN-2 challenge,16 and immunization of monkeys with yellow fever virus NS1 led to protection against yellow fever-induced hepatitis upon challenge with yellow fever virus.25 However, the protection conferred by the DEN-2 NS1 was not cross-protective: mice challenged with the heterologous DEN-1 virus were not spared.<sup>16</sup> The cross-protective nature of the Mabs against prM (Table 2) indicates that crossreactive epitopes are present on the prM protein. Active immunization of mice with electrophoretically-purified DEN-1 prM failed to confer protective immunity.18 However, we and others26 have found that use of detergent (SDS)-containing proteins as immunogens often results in a lack of protective immunity, even though antibodies can be demonstrated in the immunized animal's serum. We are purifying DEN-2 prM protein, using detergent-removal and other techniques to preserve immunogenicity, to determine whether active immunization with this protein can protect against virus challenge.

Authors' addresses: B. M. Kaufman, P. L. Summers, D. R. Dubois, W. Houston-Cohen, R. L. Timchak, and K. H. Eckels, Department of Biologics Research; M. K. Gentry, Division of Biochemistry; and D. S. Burke, Division of Retrovirology, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Washington, DC 20307-5100.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Halstead SB, 1980. Dengue haemorrhagic fevera public health problem and a field for research. Bull WHO 58: 1-21. UI:80200204
- 2. Bancroft WH, Scott RM, Eckels KH, Hoke CH Jr, Simms TE, Jesrani KD, Summers PL, Dubois

DR, Tsoulos D, Russell PK, 1984. Dengue virus type 2 vaccine: reactogenicity and immunogenicity in soldiers. *J Infect Dis 149:* 1005– 1010. UI:84241242

- Bhamarapravati N, Yoksan S, Chayaniyayothin T, Angsubphakorn S, Bunyaratvej A, 1987. Immunization with a live attenuated dengue-2-virus candidate vaccine (16681-PDK 53): clinical, immunological and biological responses in adult volunteers. Bull WHO 65: 189-195. UI: 87273883
- Kaufman BM, Summers PL, Dubois DR, Eckels KH, 1987. Monoclonal antibodies against dengue 2 virus E-glycoprotein protect mice against lethal dengue infection. Am J Trop Med Hyg 36: 427-434. UI:87154129
- Henchal EA, Gentry MK, McCown JM, Brandt WE, 1982. Dengue virus-specific and flavivirus group determinants identified with monoclonal antibodies by indirect immunofluorescence. Am J Trop Med Hyg 31: 830-836. UI:82254301
- Laemmli UK, 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227: 680-685. UI: 70243363
- Towbin H, Staehelin T, Gordon J, 1979. Electrophoretic transfer of proteins from polyacrylamide gels to nitrocellulose sheets: procedure and some applications. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 76: 4350-4354. UI:80056736
- Sidberry H, Kaufman B, Wright DC, Sadoff J, 1985. Immunoenzymatic analysis by monoclonal antibodies of bacterial lipopolysaccharides after transfer to nitrocellulose. J Immunol Methods 76: 299-305. UI:85132749
- Russell PK, Nisalak A, Sukhavachana P, Vivona S, 1967. A plaque reduction test for dengue virus neutralizing antibodies. J Immunol 99: 285-290. UI:67204503
- Kent JF, Fife EH, 1963. Precise standardization of reagents for complement fixation. Am J Trop Med Hyg 12: 106-116.
- Schmaljohn AL, Johnson ED, Dalrymple JM, Cole GA, 1982. Non-neutralizing monoclonal antibodies can prevent lethal alphavirus encephalitis. *Nature 297*: 70–72. UI:82173237
- 12. Brandriss MW, Schlesinger JJ, Walsh EE, Briselli M, 1986. Lethal 17D yellow fever encephalitis in mice. I. Passive protection by monoclonal antibodies to the envelope proteins of 17D yellow fever and dengue 2 viruses. J Gen Virol 67: 229-234. UI:86114008
- Mathews JH, Roehrig JT, 1984. Elucidation of the topography and determination of the protective epitopes on the E glycoprotein of Saint Louis encephalitis virus by passive transfer with monoclonal antibodies. J Immunol 132: 1533-1537. UI:84112808
- Gould EA, Buckley A, Barrett AD, Cammack N, 1986. Neutralizing (54K) and non-neutralizing (54K and 48K) monoclonal antibodies against structural and non-structural yellow fever virus proteins confer immunity in mice. J Gen Virol 67: 591-595. UI:86142642

- 15. Schlesinger JJ, Brandriss MW, Walsh EE, 1985. Protection against 17D yellow fever encephalitis in mice by passive transfer of monoclonal antibodies to the nonstructural glycoprotein gp48 21. Dimmock NJ, 1984. Mechanisms of neutralizaand by active immunization with gp48. J Immunol 135: 2805-2809. UI:85290866
- 16. Schlesinger JJ, Brandriss MW, Walsh EE, 1987. Protection of mice against dengue 2 virus encephalitis by immunization with the dengue 2 virus non-structural glycoprotein NS1. J Gen Virol 68: 853-857. UI:87139985
- 17. Buckley A, Gould EA, 1985. Neutralization of yellow fever virus studied using monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies. J Gen Virol 66: 2523-2531. UI:86061618
- 18. Aaskov JG, Williams L, Fletcher J, Hay R, 1988. Failure of a dengue 1 sub-unit vaccine to protect mice against a lethal dengue virus infection. Am J Trop Med Hyg 39: 511-518. UI:89060486
- 19. Henchal EA, McCown JM, Burke DS, Seguin MC, Brandt WE, 1985. Epitopic analysis of antigenic determinants on the surface of dengue-2 virions using monoclonal antibodies. Am J Trop Med Hyg 34: 162-169. UI:85119812
- 20. Monath TP, Schlesinger JJ, Brandriss MW, Cropp CB, Prange WC, 1984. Yellow fever monoclonal antibodies: type-specific and cross-reac-

tive determinants identified by immunofluorescence. Am J Trop Med Hyg 33: 695-698. UI: 84304709

- tion of animal viruses. J Gen Virol 65: 1015-1022. UI:84214967
- 22. Della-Porta AJ, Westaway EG, 1978. A multi-hit model for the neutralization of animal viruses. J Gen Virol 38: 1-19. UI:78088606
- 23. Gould EA, Buckley A, Cammack N, Barrett AD, Clegg JC, Ishak R, Varma MG, 1985. Examination of the immunological relationships between flaviviruses using yellow fever virus monoclonal antibodies. J Gen Virol 66: 1369-1382. UI:85263535
- 24. Gollins SW, Porterfield JS, 1986. A new mechanism for the neutralization of enveloped viruses by antiviral antibody. Nature 321: 244-246. UI:86230847
- 25. Summers PL, Houston-Cohen W, Ruiz MM, Hase T, Eckels KH, 1989. Flaviviruses can mediate fusion from without in Aedes albopictus mosquito cell cultures. Virus Res 12: 383--392.
- 26. Takegami T, Miyamoto H, Nakamura H, Yasui K, 1982. Biological activities of the structural proteins of Japanese encephalitis virus. Acta Virol (Praha) 26: 312-320. UI:83071341

ţ

		٦
Accesion	For	4
NTIS C DTIC 1 Unanno Justifica	IAB 🛛 unced 🖸	
By Distribu	ution /	
A	vailability Codes	COPY INSPECTED
Dist	Avail and/or Special	ii ii
A-1	212	

580