CONTRACTOR REPORT BRL-CR-630				
3RL	AD-A222 563			
AN APPROXIMAT A LINEAR S HIGH	FION TO THE EIGENVALUES OF STABILITY PROBLEM FOR REYNOLDS NUMBER			
	PHILIP HALL DTIC ELECTE JUN 12 1990			
	MAY 1990 B			
APPROVED FOR	PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.			
U.S. ARMY	LABORATORY COMMAND			
BALLISTIC F	RESEARCH LABORATORY			

20 06 1 005

١

4

1

•

NOTICES

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. DO NOT return it to the originator.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report does not constitute indorsement of any commercial product.

	UNCLASSIFIED					
REPORT	DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	Form Approved OME No. 0704-0188			
Public reparang burgen for the collection of proheming and maintaining the data needed, patientian of unformation, including supports	I information is estimated to average 1 her and completing and reviewing the collection are for reducing this burden, to Washingto	or of information. Sond comments in In readjusters forman, Directory	Freitung sta berten esterding enterg det			
Dens regimery, Sure 1204, Arlington, VA 22	202-1302, and to the Office of Managemer (ank) 12, REPORT DATE	t and Budget, Paperwark Auduction	Project (\$7844188), Washington, DC 20163			
	MAY 90	Contract, Nov 8	8 - Apr 90			
An Approximation to the Eige	envalues of a Linear Stability	Problem for	S. PUNDING NUMBERS			
High Reynolds Number			C: DAAL03-86-D-0001			
6. AUTHOR(S) Hall, Philip*	······································					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIO REPORT NUMBER				
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A US Army Ballistic Research I ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T	GENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRES	S(ES)	10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER			
Aberdeen Proving Ground, M	D 21005-5066		BRL-CR-630			
12e. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited						
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT Approved for public release;	Y STATEMENT distribution unlimited	····	125. DISTRIBUTION CODE			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT Approved for public release; (13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wo The spectrum of a sixth ord The eigenvalue problem inve cylinder. It is shown that th the required eigenvalues. Maximum	y STATEMENT distribution unlimited der differential operator is ex estigated arises in the study ie approximation procedure d	amined asymptotically in of the fluid motion in a erived at high Reynolds	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE the high Reynolds number lim a coning and rotating fluid fille numbers predicts very accurate			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT Approved for public release; The spectrum of a sixth ord The eigenvalue problem inve cylinder. It is shown that th the required eigenvalues.	y STATEMENT distribution unlimited	amined asymptotically in of the fluid motion in a erived at high Reynolds	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE the high Reynolds number lim a coning and rotating fluid fille numbers predicts very accurate			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT Approved for public release; 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wc The spectrum of a sixth or The eigenvalue problem inve cylinder. It is shown that th the required eigenvalues. M	y STATEMENT distribution unlimited	amined asymptotically in of the fluid motion in a erived at high Reynolds	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE the high Reynolds number lim a coning and rotating fluid fille numbers predicts very accurate			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT Approved for public release; 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wc The spectrum of a sixth or The eigenvalue problem inve cylinder. It is shown that th the required eigenvalues.	y STATEMENT distribution unlimited	amined asymptotically in of the fluid motion in a erived at high Reynolds	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE the high Reynolds number lim a coning and rotating fluid fille numbers predicts very accurate			
 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT Approved for public release; 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 wc The spectrum of a sixth ord The eigenvalue problem inve cylinder. It is shown that th the required eigenvalues. Maximum the requ	y STATEMENT distribution unlimited	amined asymptotically in of the fluid motion in a erived at high Reynolds	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE the high Reynolds number lim coning and rotating fluid fills numbers predicts very accurate which products very accurate very sta			
 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT Approved for public release; 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we The spectrum of a sixth ord The eigenvalue problem inve cylinder. It is shown that th the required eigenvalues. He 14. SUBJECT TERMS Differential equation stability, Reynolds in method. 	y STATEMENT distribution unlimited der differential operator is ex- estigated arises in the study the approximation procedure d and the study of the study is a structure to the study is a structure	amined asymptotically in of the fluid motion in a erived at high Reynolds factor, factor of f and the fluid motion in a erived at high Reynolds factor, factor of f and the fluid motion in a factor of the fluid motion in a	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE the high Reynolds number lim a coning and rotating fluid fills numbers predicts very accurate active predicts very accurate accurate accurate very accurate active			
 12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILIT Approved for public release; 13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 we The spectrum of a sixth ord The eigenvalue problem inve cylinder. It is shown that th the required eigenvalues. He the required eigenvalues. He 14. SUBJECT TERMS Differential equation stability', Reynolds in method. 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNICE ASSUMED 	<pre>y STATEMENT distribution unlimited der differential operator is ex estigated arises in the study re approximation procedure d inter a constant Kanada in the study of the study is a study re approximation procedure d inter a constant Kanada in the study of the study is a study inter a study operator is ex- estigated arises in the study is a study operator is a study is a study operator is a study is a study operator is a study is a study ope</pre>	amined asymptotically in of the fluid motion in a erived at high Reynolds and a symptotically in erived at high Reynolds and a symptotically in the fluid motion in a erived at high Reynolds asymptotically in a symptotically in a symptoticall	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE the high Reynolds number lim a coning and rotating fluid fills numbers predicts very accurate active predicts very accurate accurate accurate active active predict			

•

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	THE SPECTRUM AT HIGH REYNOLDS NUMBERS	2
2a. 2b.	Inner boundary-layer problem	8 9
3.	SOME OBSERVATIONS ON THE USE OF THE METHOD	9
4.	REFERENCES	13
	DISTRIBUTION LIST	15



Acces	sion For	
NTIS	GRALI	
DTIC	TAB	ā
Unann	ounced	ā
Justi	fication_	·····
By Distr	ibution/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Avai	la' ility (Codes
0182 A-1	Avail and Special	/0Г

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge the invaluable help that he received from Dr. Raymond Sedney and Mr. Nathan Gerber of the Ballistic Research Laboratory.

1 Introduction and motivation

It is well-known that there can be a significant difference in behavior in flight between liquid-filled and solid-filled projectiles. The difference is caused by the motion of the liquid inside the spinning projectile. This motion causes forces to act on the projectile that can ultimately cause the flight of the projectile to be prematurely terminated by instability. The initial motion of the projectile necessarily causes the fluid motion in the cylinder to be time-dependent; later it can be assumed that the flow is steady. The destabilizing motion of the projectile induced by the forces exerted by the fluid is of small amplitude in its initial stages.

Several methods are available to find the fluid motion in a cylindrical container performing small amplitude oscillations; here we mention two of these approaches. First, a finite difference approach to the equations of motion can be used¹. In this formulation, the Navier-Stokes equations are marched forward in time until an equilibrium state is reached. This is not a time-accurate method, however. The method is most economical at relatively small Reynolds numbers and prohibitively expensive² at Reynolds numbers of the order of 10,000.

Secondly, a spatial eigenvalue procedure has been developed by Hall, Sedney, and Gerber³. This procedure can be used to determine inexpensively the forced fluid motion at Reynolds numbers up to about 2000. The method produces results in excellent agreement with experimental observations and agrees with the finite difference results in most situations. A solution is obtained with this method by expressing the velocity and fluid pressure fields in terms of the eigenfunctions that describe the linear instability of solid-body rotation. However, because we are concerned with flows in cylinders of finite length, we can allow the axial wavenumber of the perturbations to be complex while the frequency of the perturbations is real. The eigenvalue problem associated with this type of perturbation has an infinite number of eigenvalues, which can be numbered in some way, for example, by counting the number of internal zeros of the eigenfunctions. Essentially it is found that eigenvalues can be naturally split into groups of three with the eigenvalues within each group now being ordered on the basis of the number of zeros of the eigenfunctions. The major computational task associated with the spatial eigenvalue approach is to determine the eigenvalues. Most of the calculations performed by the present authors were carried out by using initial guesses for the eigenvalues from interpolation in a table of eigenvalues⁴ obtained by extrapolation from the eigenvalues at a Reynolds number of 10. In order to perform calculations over a wider range of parameter values it was necessary to find an alternative (and quick) way of obtaining initial guesses for almost all of the eigenvalues. This report demonstrates the most useful such method, which is based on the WKB method.

In this report we explain the implementation of the WKB method to find the eigenvalue spectrum for solid-body rotation. The reader is referred to References 3 and 4 for discussions of the spatial eigenvalue approach. Here the approach is outlined for the completely filled cylinder problem; the extension of the approach to the 'inner-rod' or 'partially-filled' case is straightforward.

2 The spectrum at high Reynolds numbers

As mentioned above, the motivation for this work comes from our previous study of forced motions in finite rotating/nutating cylinders³. We first present a brief description of the physical problem that motivates the present study. Consider a cylinder of radius a and height 2c, rotating about its axis with angular velocity Ω . The cylinder is filled with an incompressible fluid of density ρ and kinematic viscosity ν . After transient effects (spin-up) have died out, the fluid motion in the absence of gravity is solid body rotation. If the cylinder is made to cone at constant rate τ with respect to an inertial reference frame, the motion is a perturbation on solid body rotation. In coning motion, the axis of the cylinder moves on a cone; for convenience, the vertex of the cone is taken to be at the geometrical center of the cylinder. The angle between the cylinder and the cone axis is K_0 . We define the Reynolds number Re by

$$Re = \frac{(\Omega + \tau \, \cos K_0)a^2}{\nu}$$

and the nondimensional perturbation frequency by

$$f = \frac{\tau}{\Omega + \tau \, \cos K_0}$$

For the linearized problem, $\cos K_0 = 1$. Time, length, velocity and pressure are made nondimensional by $(\Omega + \tau)^{-1}$, a, $(\Omega + \tau)a$ and $\rho a^2 (\Omega + \tau)^2$, respectively. Our starting point, therefore, is the linearized stability equations for a three-dimensional time-dependent disturbance to solid body rotation in a circular cylinder. We restrict our attention to the mode with azimuthal wavenumber unity and axial wavenumber k. This wavenumber is taken to be complex while the frequency of the disturbance is real; notice that at finite values of the Reynolds number, solid body rotation is stable so that no real eigenvalues k can exist. At infinite Reynolds numbers the flow is neutrally stable so that for high values of the Reynolds number we expect to find eigenvalues k with small imaginary part. For the inertial reference frame, the appropriate stability equations are:

$$[Re^{-1}(\Delta_{1} - r^{-2}) - iM]u + \left(2 + \frac{2iRe^{-1}}{r^{2}}\right)v - p_{r} = 0$$

$$[Re^{-1}(\Delta_{1} - r^{-2}) - iM]v - \left(2 + \frac{2iRe^{-1}}{r^{2}}\right)u + \frac{ip}{r} = 0$$

$$[Re^{-1}(\Delta_{1}) - iM]w + kp = 0$$

$$(ru)_{r} - iv + krw = 0$$

Here r denotes the radial variable with the cylinder located at r = 1 while u, v, w denote the radial, azimuthal, and axial perturbation velocities and p denotes the perturbation pressure. The operator Δ_1 is given by

$$\Delta_1 \equiv \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr} - \{k^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\},\tag{2.2}$$

and M = f - 1 with f equal to the perturbation frequency. If we are concerned with the case where there is no inner cylinder then (2.1) must be solved for k = k(Re, f) such that

$$u - iv = w = p = 0, \quad r = 0$$

 $u = v = w = 0, \quad r = 1$ (2.3*a*, *b*)

The first of these conditions ensures that the velocity and pressure fields of the disturbance are regular at the origin and the second condition is the no-slip condition for a viscous fluid. Notice that if we were investigating the 'inner rod' problem or the 'two-fluid 'problem, then the inner boundary conditions would be altered and applied instead at a finite value of r. Our aim therefore is to determine the infinite spectrum of eigenvalues at a given value of f at high values of Re. From our previous experience (e.g., see Reference 3) we know that for positive values of the real part of k, there are three distinct branches of eigenvalues. Two of these branches, I and III, are in the first quadrant while a third branch, II, is in the fourth quadrant. We know that on any one of these branches the asymptotic expansion of any eigenvalue takes the form

$$k = k_0 + Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}k_1 + Re^{-1}k_2 + \cdots$$
 (2.4a)

or the form

$$k = Re^{\frac{1}{2}}k_0 + Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}k_1 + \cdots.$$
 (2.4b)

The eigenfunction associated with k_0 in (2.4a) can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions and k_1 depends on the mode number m (basically the number of zeros) of the eigenfunction. In fact, for large m it can be shown that $k_1 \sim m$, so that the first two terms in (2.4a) will formally break down when $m \sim Re^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this situation the radial derivatives will formally be of size $Re^{\frac{1}{2}}$ so that the basic assumptions leading to (2.4a) no longer apply. It is this regime that we will now concentrate on since our previous experience has shown that (2.4a,b) are only useful in predicting accurate approximations to the first few eigenvalues on the different branches.

For modes with wavenumbers of size $0(Re^{\frac{1}{2}})$ the disturbance varies on a length scale $0(Re^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ over most of the flowfield; we anticipate this structure by seeking WKB type solutions of (2.1) with

$$\{u, v, w, p\} = \exp\{iRe^{\frac{1}{2}} \int^r \theta(\tilde{r})d\tilde{r}\} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\underline{u}_n, \underline{v}_n, \underline{w}_n, Re^{\frac{-1}{2}} \underline{p}_n)Re^{-\frac{n}{2}}.$$
 (2.5)

At this stage the viscous derivatives in the radial direction are of size 0(Re) while diffusion in the axial direction will be of size $0(k^2)$. Thus in order to balance the diffusion of vorticity in the radial and axial directions we must take $k = 0(Re^{\frac{1}{2}})$ so that (2.4a,b) must now be replaced by the expansion

$$k = \sqrt{Re} k_0 + k_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Re}} k_2 + \cdots$$
 (2.6)

The WKB phase function θ appearing in (2.5) is determined by substitution for $\{u, v, w, p\}$ from (2.5) into (2.1) and retaining only the dominant terms in the limit $Re \to \infty$. We obtain

$$-[\theta^{2} + k_{0}^{2} + iM]\underline{u}_{0} + 2\underline{v}_{0} = i\theta\underline{p}_{0}$$

$$[\theta^{2} + k_{0}^{2} + iM]\underline{v}_{0} + 2\underline{u}_{0} = 0$$

$$-[\theta^{2} + k_{0}^{2} + iM]\underline{w}_{0} + k_{0}\underline{p}_{0} = 0$$

$$i\theta\underline{u}_{0} + k_{0}\underline{w}_{0} = 0,$$

$$(2.6b)$$

and these equations have a consistent solution if

$$(\theta^2 + k_0^2 + iM)^2(\theta^2 + k_0^2) + 4k_0^2 = 0, \qquad (2.7)$$

so there are six possible values for θ for a given value of k. If the expansion (2.5) is to be valid over a 0(1) length in r then only real values of θ are acceptable. Thus we insist that (2.7) has two real roots, in which case it can be shown that the other four roots are complex. Without any loss of generality we can suppose that $\pm \theta_1$ are the two real roots of (2.7) while the remaining (complex) roots are denoted by $\pm \theta_2, \pm \theta_3$. Hence our expansion (2.5) must now be written down as a sum over the two acceptable real solutions of (2.7); alternatively these exponential solutions can be combined in terms of trigonometric functions.

At next order the linear equations to determine $(\underline{u}_1, \underline{v}_1, \underline{w}_1, \underline{p}_1)$ are obtained but are found to be forced by the zeroth order function $(\underline{u}_0, \underline{v}_0, \underline{w}_0, \underline{p}_0)$ and their derivatives with respect to the slow scale r. The consistency of these equations leads to a first order differential equation for \underline{u}_0 that can be solved to give

$$\underline{u}_{0} = \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\{i\tilde{k}_{1}r\}$$
(2.8)

in which the constant \tilde{k}_1 is given by

$$\tilde{k}_1 = \frac{-k_0 k_1}{\theta} \bigg\{ 1 + \frac{4}{(L^2 + 2L(\theta^2 + k_0^2))} \bigg\}.$$
(2.9)

The quantity L is defined by

$$L = \theta^2 + k_0^2 + iM. (2.10)$$

A few comments are in order before completing our asymptotic solutions for k in the large wavenumber limit. First, we note that the phase function θ determined above is constant so that the 'fast' dependence of the perturbed flow could equivalently be expressed in terms of the variable $r^* = Re^{\frac{1}{2}}r$. Thus an alternative and possibly more instructive method to solve the asymptotic problem for k would be to use a multiple scale approach using the variables $Re^{\frac{1}{2}}r$, r, $Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}r$,.... Secondly, we notice that the slow dependence of \underline{u}_0 (and hence that of $\underline{v}_0, \underline{w}_0$ and \underline{p}_0) on r takes the usual WKB form for a second order differential equation in that it is proportional to $\{\int^r \theta(\tilde{r})d\tilde{r}\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. The extra exponential factor is introduced because the differential system under consideration here is of sixth order. We now introduce the following nomenclature:

$$u_n = \underline{u}_n \exp(i \ Re^{1/2} \ \theta \ r), \ n = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

with similar expressions for v_n , w_n , and p_n .

The solution u_0 is singular in the limit of $r \to 0$ so there must be an inner viscous boundary layer at the origin in order to smooth out the singularity. We have seen that the only acceptable roots of (2.7) are θ_1 and $-\theta_1$ since the complex roots will lead to exponential growth when r increases or decreases. Thus for r = 0(1), the appropriate forms for u_0, v_0 and w_0 , determined by (2.6b) and (2.8) are

$$-u_{0} = \frac{L_{1} \sin\{Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1}r + \tilde{k}_{1}r\}}{2r^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{NL_{1} \cos\{Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1}r + \tilde{k}_{1}r\}}{2r^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$v_{0} = \frac{\sin\{Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1}r + \tilde{k}_{1}r\}}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{N \cos\{Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1}r + \tilde{k}_{1}r\}}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$-k_{0}w_{0} = \frac{-\theta_{1}L_{1} \cos\{Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1}r + \tilde{k}_{1}r\}}{2r^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{L_{1}N\theta_{1} \sin\{Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1}r + \tilde{k}_{1}r\}}{2r^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$(2.11a, b, c)$$

Here the constant $L_1 = \theta_1^2 + k_0^2 + iM$, while N is a constant to be found.

We can see from (2.11) that we cannot choose θ_1 and N, the two constants at our disposal, in order to satisfy the no-slip condition directly at r = 1. The remedy is to allow for a viscous boundary layer at r = 1 in which, in effect, the exponentially decaying WKB solution can be used to satisfy the condition. In fact, it is easiest to simply use a boundary layer variable

$$\xi = Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\{1-r\}$$

and note from (2.11) that the r = 0(1) core solution at $r \to 1$ can be expressed as

$$v_{0} \to \sin \theta_{1} \xi \left[N \sin(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1} + \tilde{k}_{1}) - \cos(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1} + \tilde{k}_{1}) \right] + \cos \theta_{1} \xi \left[\sin(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1} + \tilde{k}_{1}) + N \cos(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_{1} + \tilde{k}_{1}) \right]$$
(2.12)

together with similar expressions for u_0, w_0 .

In order to match with the above limiting form of the core solution, we must expand (u, v, w, p) in the $\xi = 0(1)$ wall layer in the form

$$(u, v, w, p) = (\tilde{u}_0(\xi), \tilde{v}_0(\xi), \tilde{w}_0(\xi), Re^{\frac{-1}{2}}\tilde{p}_0(\xi)).$$
(2.13)

If the above expansions are substituted into (2.1) and like powers of $Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are equated we find that

$$\left\{\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - k_0^2 - iM\right\}\tilde{u}_0 + 2\tilde{v}_0 = -\tilde{p}'_0$$
(2.14a)

$$\left\{\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - k_0^2 - iM\right\}\tilde{v}_0 - 2\tilde{u}_0 = 0$$
 (2.14b)

$$\left\{\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - k_0^2 - iM\right\}\tilde{w}_0 = -k_0\dot{p}_0$$
 (2.14c)

$$-\tilde{u}_0' + k_0 \tilde{w}_0 = 0. \tag{2.14d}$$

If we eliminate $\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{w}_0, \tilde{p}_0$, we find that

$$\left[\left(\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - k_0^2 - iM\right)^2 \left(\frac{d^2}{d\xi^2} - k_0^2\right) - 4k_0^2\right] \tilde{v}_0 = 0$$
 (2.15)

so that the solution for \tilde{v}_0 that satisfies the no-slip condition at r = 1 is

$$\tilde{v}_0 = \alpha [\sin \theta_1 \xi + A \cos \theta_1 \xi + B e^{-i\theta_2 \xi} - (A+B) e^{-i\theta_3 \xi}]$$
(2.16)

Here A, B, α are constants to be determined and θ_2, θ_3 are the roots of (2.7) that have negative imaginary parts. The functions $\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{w}_0, \tilde{p}_0$ can then be found from (2.14) and the application of the no-slip condition on \tilde{u}_0, \tilde{w}_0 shows that

$$B = -\frac{iL_{1}\theta_{1}}{-L_{3}\theta_{3} + L_{2}\theta_{2} + \frac{L_{3}\theta_{3}(L_{2}-L_{3})}{L_{1}-L_{3}}}$$

$$A = \frac{B(L_{3} - L_{2})}{L_{1} - L_{3}}$$
(2.17a, b)
7

while the matching with the coreflow requires that

$$\alpha = N \sin(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_1 + \tilde{k}_1) - \cos(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_1 + \tilde{k}_1)$$
$$A\alpha = \sin(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_1 + \tilde{k}_1) + N \cos(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_1 + \tilde{k}_1)$$

Solving these equations for A yields

$$A = \frac{\tan(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_1 + \tilde{k}_1) + N}{N \tan(Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_1 + \tilde{k}_1) - 1}.$$
 (2.17c)

Since A is already known, it remains for us to determine the constant N and then (2.17c) will constitute an eigenvalue problem for k_0 and k_1 . This constant can only be determined by matching the coreflow solution with the solution of the inner boundary layer problem. 2a Inner boundary-layer problem

Here, we define $\eta = Re^{\frac{1}{2}}r$ and write

$$u = Re^{\frac{1}{4}} \bar{u}_{0}(\eta) + Re^{-\frac{1}{4}} \bar{u}_{1}(\eta) + \dots,$$

$$v = Re^{\frac{1}{4}} \bar{v}_{0}(\eta) + Re^{-\frac{1}{4}} \bar{v}_{1}(\eta) + \dots,$$

$$w = Re^{\frac{1}{4}} \bar{w}_{0}(\eta) + Re^{-\frac{1}{4}} \bar{w}_{1}(\eta) + \dots,$$

$$p = Re^{-\frac{1}{4}} \bar{p}_{0}(\eta) + \dots.$$
(2.18)

We have anticipated in (2.18) that the disturbance in the center layer is $Re^{\frac{1}{4}}$ larger than in the core; this increase in size is necessary because of the dependence of the core solution on $r^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. The expansions (2.18) are substituted into (2.1) and like powers of $Re^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ are equated. Surprisingly, we find that $(\bar{u}_0, \bar{v}_0, \bar{w}_0, \bar{p}_0)$ satisfy the full equations (2.1), but with r replaced by η , k by k_0 , and Re = 1.

Hence, the solution cannot be completely determined analytically in the core so (2.1) must be solved numerically with Re = 1. However, for larger values of η we can show from (2.1) with Re = 1 and r replaced by η that a large η solution of (2.1) is

$$\bar{v}_0 \sim \frac{\sin\theta_1\eta}{\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{a\cos\theta_1\eta}{\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{be^{-i\theta_2\eta}}{\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{ce^{-i\theta_3\eta}}{\eta^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \qquad (2.19)$$

together with similar expressions for \bar{u}_0, \bar{w}_0 and \bar{p}_0 . Here the constants a, b and c can only be found by integrating (2.1) numerically. This was done by first calculating three independent solutions of (2.1) in $(0, \eta_{\infty})$ where η_{∞} is some suitably large value of η . These three solutions are then multiplied by constants such that $(\bar{u}_0, \bar{v}_0, \bar{w}_0, \bar{p}_0, \bar{v}'_0, \bar{w}'_0)$ determined in this way is continuous at η_{∞} with the same function determined asymptotically for large η . This can be achieved by a suitable choice of these three constants and a, b, c, in (2.19). Clearly, no iteration is necessary so (2.1) is solved numerically only three times in this procedure. The matching of the core and the center layer solutions then requires that N = a, so that (2.17c) yields

$$Re^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_1 + \tilde{k}_1 = n\pi + \tan^{-1}\left\{\frac{N+A}{NA-1}\right\}$$
(2.20)

which determines k_0 and \tilde{k}_1 . In fact, since Re >> 1, (2.20) reduces to

$$R\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta_1 = n\pi, \quad \tilde{k}_1 = \tan^{-1}\left\{\frac{N+A}{NA-1}\right\},$$
 (2.21)

where n, an integer, is formally $0(Re^{\frac{1}{2}})$. We can take \tilde{k}_1 to be defined by the principal value of $\tan^{-1}[(N+A)/(NA-1)]$ since adding 2π to \tilde{k}_1 can easily be shown to be formally equivalent (when Re >> 1) to a different choice of n in the equation for θ_1 .

We now see how the eigenvalues k_n are to be determined for Re >> 1. First, we choose a large integer n and define

$$\theta_1=\frac{n\pi}{Re^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Secondly, we solve (2.7) for the three possible values of k_0 in the half plane $R\{k_0\} > 0$. For each of these values of k_0 , we solve (2.1) to find a = N, then k_1 is found from (2.9) and (2.21).

2b A comparison with exact eigenvalues

In Figure 1 we present plots of the imaginary part vs. real part of k for both the present approximations and the exact values for the case Re = 1000, f = 0.1. The two sets of data are seen to be in close agreement.

3 Some observations on the use of the method

1. It should be noted that the method is formally justified when the core variation of the disturbance is on the fast $Re^{\frac{1}{2}}$ lengthscale. In effect, this means that the eigenfunctions must have many zeros in the domain of interest. Notwithstanding this observation, in the



Figure 1. Plot of K_I vs. K_R for Re = 1000, f = 0.1 ($k = K_R + i K_I$).

spirit of asymptotics we can now ignore this word of caution and apply the method for the case $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$. Though it is formally valid for the higher values of n, we can reasonably expect to get useful answers at small values too. However, since the formal requirement for the validity of the method is $n = 0(Re^{\frac{1}{2}})$, the method could well be perverse enough to give better guesses for the lower eigenvalues at the lowest values of Reynolds number used.

2. The order in which the eigenvalues come out of the WKB solution is related to the three branches we have discussed elsewhere. Essentially the eigenvalues fall into groups of three, one from each of the families. No sensible comment about the nature of the branch relationships for the first few eigenvalues predicted by the method can be made since inherently the method is being used out of its range of validity; all that one can say with any confidence or credibility is that if you go far enough down the series the eigenvalues predicted by the WKB method will fall out in groups of threes, one from each branch.

3. The method can be easily modified to predict eigenvalues in the presence of an inner rod. There are really just two cases worth considering. If the rod is of thickness $Re^{\frac{-1}{2}}$, the above formulation holds, but the inner equations now have to be solved subject to appropriate boundary conditions at a scaled value of r. Much more realistically, though, the usual situation will correspond to the case where the inner boundary is at a finite value of r. Suppose then that there is such a boundary at r = q. The boundary can be either a free surface or another rigid rod. In either case a boundary layer of thickness $Re^{\frac{-1}{2}}$ is now set up at the inner boundary in which the azimuthal velocity field will again satisfy (2.15) but with some appropriate variable replacing ξ . A solution corresponding to (2.16), but appropriate to the inner boundary condition, is then written down. Matching with the coreflow then gives the eigenrelation. Now, however, there is no inner problem to be solved numerically, basically because r is not small enough for the terms like $\frac{1}{r}$ to be comparable with derivatives with respect to r in the radial direction.

4. REFERENCES

- Strikwerda, J.C., and Y.M. Nagel, "A Numerical Method for the Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations in Three-Dimensional Cylindrical Geometry," Journal of Computational Physics, Vol. 78, pp. 64-78, 1988. Also, Strikwerda, J.C., and Y.M. Nagel, "A Numerical Study of Flow in Spinning and Coning Cylinders," CRDC-SP-86007, Proceedings of the 1985 Scientific Conference on Chemical Defense Research, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, April 1986.
- 2. Nusca, M.J., "Computational Fluid Dynamics Methods for Low Reynolds Number Precessing/Spinning Incompressible Flows," BRL-MR-3657, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, April 1988. (AD A193891).
- 3. Hall, P., R. Sedney, and N. Gerber. "Fluid Motion in a Spinning, Coning Cylinder via Spatial Eigenfunction Expansion," BRL-TR-2813, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, August 1987. (AD A190758), Also to appear in <u>AIAA</u> Journal.
- 4. Murphy, C.H., J.W. Bradley, and W.H. Mermagen, Sr. "Side Moment Exerted by a Spinning, Coning, Highly Viscous Liquid Payload," BRL-TR-3074, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, December 1989.

No of

Copies Organization

- Office of the Secretary of Defense OUSD(A)
 Director, Live Fire Testing ATTN: James F. O'Bryon
 Washington, DC 20301-3110
- 2 Administrator Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22304-6145
- 1 HQDA (SARD-TR) WASH DC 20310-0001
- Commander US Army Materiel Command ATTN: AMCDRA-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333-0001
- Commander US Army Laboratory Command ATTN: AMSLC-DL Adelphi, MD 20783-1145
- 2 Commander US Army, ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-IMI-I Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- Commander
 US Army, ARDEC
 ATTN: SMCAR-TDC
 Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- 1 Director Benet Weapons Laboratory US Army, ARDEC ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189-4050
- Commander US Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command ATTN: SMCAR-ESP-L Rock Island, IL 61299-5000
- Commander US Army Aviation Systems Command ATTN: AMSAV-DACL 4300 Goodfellow Blvd. St. Louis, MO 63120-1798

- No of Copies Organization
 - 1 Director US Army Aviation Research and Technology Activity Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035-1099
 - Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R (DOC) Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5010
 - 1 Commander US Army Tank-Automotive Command ATTN: AMSTA-TSL (Technical Library) Warren, MI 48397-5000
 - 1 Director US Army TRADOC Analysis Command ATTN: ATAA-SL White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002-5502
- (Class. only) 1 Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD (Security Mgr.) Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
- (Unclass. only) 1 Commandant US Army Infantry School ATTN: ATSH-CD-CSO-OR Fort Benning, GA 31905-5660
 - 1 Air Force Armament Laboratory ATTN: AFATL/DLODL Eglin AFB, FL 32542-5000
 - Aberdeen Proving Ground
 - 2 Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: AMXSY-D AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen 1 Cdr, USATECOM
 - ATTN: AMSTE-TD 3 Cdr, CRDEC, AMCCOM
 - ATTN: SMCCR-RSP-A SMCCR-MU SMCCR-MSI 1 Dir, VLAMO
 - ATTN: AMSLC-VL-D

- 1 Commander Armament RD&E Center US Army AMCCOM ATTN: SMCAR-AET-A Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000
- Commander
 US Army Armament, Munitions
 and Chemical Command
 ATTN: AMSMC-IMP-L
 Rock Island, IL 61299-7300
- 1 Commander, USACECOM R&D Technical Library ATTN: ASQNC-ELC-I-T, Myer Center Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5301
- Director
 US Army Missile and Space
 Intelligence Center
 ATTN: AIAMS-YDL
 Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5500
- 2 Director
 Sandia National Laboratories
 ATTN: Dr. W. Oberkampf Mr. W.O. Wolfe
 Albuquerque, NM 87185
- Commander
 US Army Research Office
 ATTN: Dr. R.E. Singleton
 Dr. Jagdish Chandra
 P.O. Box 12211
 Research Triangle Park, NC
 27709-2211
- Commander
 David W. Taylor Naval Ship
 Research & Development Command
 ATTN: H.J. Lugt, Code 1802
 S. de los Santos
 Bethesda, MD 20084-5000
- 1 Commander Naval Surface Warfare Center ATTN: DX-21 (Library Branch) Dahlgren, VA 22448

No. of Copies Organization

- Commander Naval Surface Warfare Center Applied Aerodynamics Division ATTN: M. Ciment A.E. Winklemann W.C. Ragsdale Silver Spring, MD 20910
- 1 Director Office of Naval Research ATTN: Richard Whiting, Code 123 800 Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217
- 2 AFWAL ATTN: J.S. Shang W.L. Hankey Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
- 1 Aerospace Corporation Aero-Engineering Subdivision ATTN: Walter F. Reddall El Segundo, CA 90245
- 5 Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Ames Research Center ATTN: D.R. Chapman W.C. Rose B. Wick P. Kutler Tech Library Moffett Field, CA 94035
- 4 Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center ATTN: E. Price J. South J.R. Sterrett Tech Library Langley Station Hampton, VA 23365
- Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center ATTN: MS 60-3, Tech Library 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135-3127
- 1 AVCO Systems Division ATTN: B. Reeves 201 Lowell Street Wilmington, MA 01887

- 1 Calspan Corporation ATTN: A. Ritter P.O. Box 400 Buffalo, NY 14225
- 3 Aerospace Corporation ATTN: H. Mirels R.L. Varwig Aerophysics Lab P.O. Box 92957 Los Angeles, CA 90009
- 2 Director Jet Propulsion Laboratory ATTN: L. Strand, MS 512/102 Tech Library 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91109-8099
- 3 Arnold Research Org., Inc. ATTN: J.D. Whitfield R.K. Matthews J.C. Adams Arnold AFB, TN 37389
- Boeing Commercial Airplane Company ATTN: R.A. Day, MS 1W-82 P.E. Rubbert, MS 3N-19 J.D. McLean, MS-3N-19 Seattle, WA 98124
- Lockheed-Georgia Company ATTN: B.H. Little, Jr. G.A. Pounds, Dept 72074, Zone 403
 86 South Cobb Drive Marietta, GA 30062
- General Dynamics ATTN: Research Library 2246 P.O. Box 748 Fort Worth, TX 76101
- 2 Grumman Aerospace Corporation ATTN: R.E. Melnik L.G. Kaufman Bethpage, NY 11714
- Hughes Aircraft ATTN: Dr. John McIntyre, Mail Code S41/B323 P.O. Box 92919 Los Angles, CA 90009

No. of Copies Organization

- Lockheed Missiles and Space Company ATTN: Tech Info Center
 3251 Hanover Street
 Palo Alto, CA 94304
- 1 Martin-Marietta Corporation ATTN: H. Obremski 1450 S. Rolling Road Baltimore, Md 21227
- 1 Arizona State University Department of Mechanical and Energy System Engineering ATTN: G.P. Neitzel Tempe, AZ 85281
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology ATTN: H. Greenspan 77 Massachusetts Ave Cambridge, MA 02139
- North Carolina State University Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering Department ATTN: F.F. DeJarnette J.C. Williams Raleigh, NC 27607
- Notre Dame University Department of Aero Engineering ATTN: T.J. Mueller South Bend, IN 46556
- Northwestern University Department of Engineering Science and Applied Mathematics ATTN: Dr. S.H. Davis Evanston, IL 60201
- 1 Ohio State University Department of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Dr. T. Herbert Columbus, OH 43221
- 2 Ohio State University Department of Aeronautical and Astronautical Engineering ATTN: S.L. Petrie O.R. Burggraf Columbus, OH 43210
- 2 Polytechnic Institute of New York ATTN: G. Moretti Tech Library Route 110 Farmingdale, NY 11735

- 3 Princeton University James Forr stal Research Center Gas Dynamics Laboratory ATTN: S.M. Bogdonoff S.I. Cheng Tech Library Princeton, NJ 08540
- 1 Purdue University Thermal Science & Prop Center ATTN: Tech Library W. Lafayette, IN 47906
- 1 Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Department of Math Sciences ATTN: Tech Library Troy, NY 12181
- Rutgers University Department of Mechanical, Industrial, and Aerospace Engineering ATTN: R.H. Page New Brunswick, NJ 08903
- Southern Methodist University Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering ATTN: R.L. Simpson Dallas, TX 75272
- 1 Southwest Research Institute Applied Mechanics Reviews 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, TX 78228
- San Diego State University Department of Aerospace Engineering and Engineering Mechanics College of Engineering ATTN: K.C. Wang San Diego, CA 92115
- Harvard University Division of Engineering and Applied Physics ATTN: GJ. Carrier Cambridge, MA 01238
- Stanford University Department of Aeronautics/Astronautics ATTN: M. Van Dyke Stanford, CA 94305
- 1 Texas A&M University College of Engineering ATTN: R.H. Page College Station, TX 77843

- 1 University of California Davis ATTN: Dr. Harry A. Dwyer Davis, CA 95616
- 1 University of California Berkeley Department of Aerospace Engineering ATTN: M. Holt Berkeley, CA 94720
- University of California San Diego Department of Aerospace Engineering and Mechanical Engineering Sciences La Jolla, CA 92037
- State University of New York Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering ATTN: Dr. Peyman Givi Buffalo, NY 14260
- 1 University of Colorado Department of Astro-Geophysics ATTN: E.R. Benton Boulder, CO 80302
- 2 University of Maryland ATTN: W. Melnik J.D. Anderson College Park, MD 20740
- University of Maryland Baltimore County Department of Mathematics ATTN: Dr. Y.M. Lynn 5401 Wilkens Avenue Baltimore, MD 21228
- 2 University of Southern California Department of Aerospace Engineering ATTN: T. Maxworthy P. Weidman Los Angeles, CA 90007
- 2 University of Michigan Department of Aeronautical Engineering ATTN: W.W. Wilmarth Tech Library East Engineering Building Ann Arbor, MI 48104
- University of California Santa Barbara Department of Mechanical and Environmental Engineering ATTN: J.P. Vanyo Santa Barbara, CA 93106

- 4 University of Virginia Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering ATTN: H.G. Wood R.J. Ribando R. Krauss Prof. Ira Jacobson Charlottesville, VA 22904
- 1 University of Tennessee Department of Physics ATTN: Tech Library Knoxville, TN 37916
- University of Washington Department of Mechanical Engineering ATTN: Tech Library Seattle, WA 98105
- 1 University of Wyoming ATTN: D.L. Boyer University Station Laramie, WY 82071
- University of Wisconsin Madison Center for Mathematical Sciences ATTN: John C. Strikwerda 610 Walnut Street Madison, WI 53706
- Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute ATTN: J.A. Whitehead Woods Hole, MA 02543
- Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
 Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics
 ATTN: Tech Library
 Blacksburg, VA 24061
- 1 Fluid Dynamics International ATTN: Dr. Simon Rosenblat 1600 Orrington Avenue Suite 505 Evanston, IL 60201
- Rockwell International Science Center ATTN: Dr. S. Chakravanhy 1049 Camino Dos Rios P.O. Box 1085 Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

No. of <u>Organization</u>

- ICASE ATTN: Prof. Philip Hall, Mail Stop 132-C NASA Langley Research Center Hampton, VA 23665
- 1 Mr. Harold Vaughn 7709 Gladden N.E. Albuquerque, NM 87110

Aberdeen Proving Ground

2 Commander, CRDEC, AMCCOM ATTN: SMCCR-MU, Mr. W. Dee SMCCR-RSP-A, Mr. M. Miller

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. BRL Report Number BRL-CR-630 Date of Report MAY 90

2. Date Report Received

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.)

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.)

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate.

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.)

Name

CURRENT ADDRESS Organization Address City, State, Zip Code

.

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the New or Correct Address in Block 6 above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

Name

OLD ADDRESS

Address

Organization

City, State, Zip Code

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and mail.)

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 2108 -5066 OFFICIAL BUSINESS

BUSINESS REPLY MAIL FIRST CLASS PERMIT No 0001: APG, MD

O PORTAGE

I THE

ED

ED STATES

-----FOLD HERE------

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

Director U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-9989

-----FOLD HERE-----