F. H. Start WIRE

(4)

ARL-AERO-R-177

AR-005-530



# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE

# DEFENCE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANISATION AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

MELBOURNE, VICTORIA

AD-A216 200

Aerodynamics Report 177

THE SPINNING OF AIRCRAFT – A DISCUSSION OF SPIN PREDICTION TECHNIQUES INCLUDING A CHRONOLOGICAL BIBLIOGRAPHY (U)

by

C. Martin

Approved for public release.



(C) COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA 1988

89 12 29 058

This work is copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of study, research, criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission. Copyright is the responsibility of the Director Publishing and Marketing, AGPS. Inquiries should be directed to the Manager, AGPS Press, Australian Government Publishing Service, GPO Box 84, CANBERRA ACT 2601.

Į

THE UNITED STREED NATION L THE UNITED STREED NATION TECHNICAL NEUMATION TECHNICAL REPORT IS AUTHORISED TO IS AUTHORISED TO REPRUDUCE AND SULL THIS REPORT THE UNTED STATES WATSAN L

AR-005-530



### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE DEFENCE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANISATION AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

Aerodynamics Report 177

# THE SPINNING OF AIRCRAFT - A DISCUSSION OF SPIN PREDICTION TECHNIQUES INCLUDING A CHRONOLOGICAL BIBLIOGRAPHY (U)

by

### C. Martin

### SUMMARY

Aircraft spinning is an important area of design for general aviation and military aircraft, and has been so, since the early days of aviation. In many of the major aeronautical laboratories in the world, aircraft spinning has been the subject of intensive periods of research. However, the resulting design criteria are still only adequate for predicting gross trends in aircraft spin behaviour. To enable flight testing to proceed with confidence and to minimise modifications during flight development most major aircraft development programmes include extensive scale-model spin testing. In this paper, the development of these techniques and their application for spin prediction will be discussed. In current military aircraft stability augmentation systems add further considerations for high angle-of-attack and spin behaviour. These and future considerations of thrust vectoring for aircraft control at high angles-of-attack are outside the scope of this paper.

Sugard Dutin pin Narrington Mant. \\_\_ Francis Con

### (C) COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA 1988

POSTAL ADDRESS: Director, Aeronautical Research Laboratory, P.O. Box 4331, Melbourne, Victoria, 3001, Australia

### CONTENTS

## NOTATION

INTRODUCTION 1.

,

THE NATURE OF THE SPIN 2.

HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF SPIN RESEARCH 3.

SPIN DESIGN AND PREDICTION TECHNIQUES

4.

RECENT RESEARCH AT ARL

5.

6.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

FIGURES

REFERENCES

CHRONOLOGICAL BIBLIOGRAPHY OF SPIN RESEARCH REPORTS

# NOTATION

	NOTATION	
Ъ	Wing span	
ē	Wing mean aerodynamic chord	
g	Acceleration due to gravity	
m	Aircraft mass	
р	Body-axes roll rate	
q	Body-axes pitch rate	
r	Body-axes yaw rate	
C <sub>D</sub>	Drag coefficient	
$c_L$	Lift coefficient	
C <sub>R</sub>	Total force coefficient $\sqrt{C_L^2} + C_D^2$	
Cl	Rolling moment coefficient, Rolling Moment / (1/2 positive clockwise looking forward	$2\rho v^2 s_w^{b}$
C <sub>m</sub>	Pitching moment coefficient, Pitching moment / (1 positive nose up	$1/2\rho v^2 s_w^{-}$
C <sub>n</sub>	Yawing moment coefficient, Yawing Moment / (1/ positive nose to the right	2 <sub>P</sub> V <sup>2</sup> S <sub>w</sub> b)
$I_{X}, I_{Y}, I_{Z}$	Moments of inertia about the X, Y and Z body axes	, respectively
$R_{S}$	Spin radius measured from spin axis to aircraft cen	ter of gravity
S	Wing area	Aggession For
v	Free-stream velocity	HEIS GRAAI
α	Angle of attack, deg.	Unannounced
β	Angle of slideslip, deg.	Justineation
δa	Aileron deflection	By Distribution/
δe	Elevator deflection	Availability Codes
δ <sub>r</sub>	Rudder deflection	Dist Special
ρ	Air density	A~1
σ	Inclination of flight path to the vertical	

Ω Angular velocity about spin axis, rad/sec, positive for clockwise rotation when looking into the relative wind.

• •••• ·•••

 $\Omega b/2V$  Spin coefficient, same sense as  $\Omega$ 

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Aircraft spinning is an important area of design for general aviation and military aircraft, and has been so, since the early days of aviation. In many of the major aeronautical laboratories in the world, aircraft spinning has been the subject of intensive periods of research. However, the resulting design criteria are still only adequate for predicting gross trends in aircraft spin behaviour. To enable flight testing to proceed with confidence and to minimise modifications during flight development most major aircraft development programmes include extensive scalemodel spin testing. In this paper, the development of these techniques and their application for spin prediction will be discussed. In current military aircraft stability augmentation systems add further considerations for high angle-of-attack and spin behaviour. These and future considerations of thrust vectoring for aircraft control at high angles-of-attack are outside the scope of this paper.

Following a discussion of the nature of the spin, a summary of the historical development of spin research is presented. The methods currently available to the aircraft designer for spin prediction are discussed and some recent research at the Aeronautical Research Laboratories (ARL) in the modelling of the flight dynamics of the aircraft spin will be described.

### 2. THE NATURE OF THE SPIN

### 2.1 Spin Phases

The spin manoeuvre has traditionally been divided into four stages (Figure 1): Spin entry, incipient spin, steady spin, and spin recovery. Spin entry from unstalled flight may be deliberate - usually as a training rather than an operational manoeuvre - or inadvertent - occurring usually during low speed manoeuvres.

A deliberate spin is initiated by slowing the aircraft towards the stall and then at the point of stall generating a rate of yaw by applying full rudder deflection. The yawing motion promotes stalling and a large loss of lift due to increased flow incidence on the rearward travelling wing, while maintaining attached flow due to reduced flow incidence on the forward travelling wing. The resulting differential lift produces a rolling moment in the direction of the rearward travelling wing, and initiates the spin manoeuvre with a large rate of roll.

Aircraft with high 'spin resistance' generally require vigorous and precise control movements to initiate the spin. In contrast, inadvertent 'spin entry' can result with aircraft which are susceptible to spinning either during steep turns at low speeds, or during the low speed portions of aerobatic manoeuvres such as at the top of a loop or barrel roll. The 'incipient spin' is the transition between 'spin entry' and the 'steady spin'. Recovery from an inadvertent spin is most effectively achieved in this phase, so it is important for pilots to be able to recognise the manoeuvre and to apply appropriate recovery action. The incipient phase is considered to end when the airspeed has become steady and a vertical trajectory has been reached. For practical purposes, the 'steady spin' is reasonably well established after two to three turns.

During the 'incipient spin' the aircraft flight path changes from horizontal to vertical, the angle-of-attack increases to well beyond the stall value, and the rotation in yaw increases to match or frequently exceed that in roll.

In the 'steady spin' or 'equilibrium spin' the aircraft describes a steep spiral motion about a vertical axis, in which spin rate, angle-of-attack, sideslip angle and vertical velocity are constant. In many cases the motion does not reach a steady equilibrium state, but may exhibit an oscillation about the nominal equilibrium point, with a frequency higher than the spin rate.

"Spin Recovery" for conventional low-speed aircraft is achieved primarily by the use of full rudder deflection to arrest the large rate of yaw. A standard technique taught during flight training for spin recovery is to centralise the ailerons, in conjunction with application of full recovery rudder and then to move the elevator control forward to regain flying speed.

Quite large variations on this technique may occur for aircraft of different inertia distribution and aerodynamic design. For modern combat aircraft in which the pitch inertia is much greater than the roll inertia application of in-spin aileron and aft elevator control results in an inertia yawing moment which favours recovery. Conversely for wing-heavy aircraft in which the roll inertia exceeds the pitch inertia the use of out-spin aileron favours recovery.

### 2.2 THE STEADY SPIN

The 'steady spin' phase is of particular importance since it represents a stable equilibrium flight condition from which recovery may be impossible. Because the motion is steady, it is also more tractable to analysis than the other phases.

Some aircraft exhibit more than one 'steady spin' condition or mode, in which case the sequence of control movements applied during the entry and incipient phases will determine which of the modes is reached. However, the characteristics, of the mode depend only on the aircraft aerodynamic and inertia characteristics and on the control settings. There is also a dependency on air density and hence altitude, but this will not be discussed here.

From stability considerations, the 'steady spin' may be referred to as a point of stable equilibrium similar to a trimmed condition in level flight. Figure 2 shows this condition and also another stable equilibrium, the 'deep stall'.

All these cases are in equilibrium since in each there is a balance of forces and moments about all axes; the steady spin is the most complex in that the balance occurs in the presence of large angular rotations about the roll and yaw axes.

The key to spin recovery is to design the aircraft with sufficient control power to unlock this stable condition.

The dynamics of the 'steady spin' were understood and described in detail many years ago. A comprehensive description is given by Gates and Bryant in Reference 1 in 1926 (also bibliography 1920-1929/8) As with other branches of flight dynamics, the most difficult problems associated with an analysis of the spin arise not from the system dynamics, but from the complexity of the aerodynamic forces. The more important aerodynamic forces acting in the steady spin are briefly described below.

### 2.3 THE BALANCE OF FORCES AND MOMENTS

Figure 3 from Ref. 1 shows that the balance of forces in a 'steady spin' is such that the drag is equal to the weight and the lift is equal to the centrifugal force. In the steady spin, the spin radius is only of the order of a few feet, the resultant force is almost normal to the wing and acts approximately at the wing semi-chord, and the normal acceleration is low.

In practice the actual balance is slightly more complex in that aerodynamic sideforces exist such that the lateral axis is not necessarily horizontal but may be tilted. The amount of tilt is directly related to the spin helix angle and to the angle of sideslip adopted in the spin. The sideslip is determined primarily by the rolling moment characteristics as will be explained later.

To illustrate the balance of moments in a 'steady spin' the primary aerodynamic contributions will be discussed. Rotary-balance data measured on a low - speed basic training aircraft with standard layout will be used to illustrate the discussion. The moments are referred to aircraft body axes. Because of the large variation in onset flows over a spinning aircraft, the choice of axis system has little significance. The less important aerodynamic contributions are neglected in this discussion but are described in detail in Ref.1.

Equilibrium of pitching moments is reached when the nose-down aerodynamic moment is equal to the large nose-up inertia moments, as shown in figure 4. The aerodynamic contributions are from the wing normal force which, for a stalled wing, acts at the wing semi-chord and from the tailplane normal force. The equations of motion for a steady spin show that the inertia moment is proportional to the square of spin-rate and reaches a maximum at 45 degrees angle-of-attack. The balance of pitching moments at low angles-of-attack occurs at low spin rates and at high angles-of-attack with high spin rates. This is the reason for the typical characteristics of 'slow steep' spins and 'fast flat' spins. Movement of the elevator adds an increment to the aerodynamic curve as shown in Fig.5. but normally, this is not of sufficient magnitude to unlock the balance of pitching moments. Of prime importance for roll equilibrium is the balance of the aerodynamic contributions due to roll rate and due to sideslip. The inertia moment may be positive or negative depending on wing tilt angle - having a zero value for zero tilt. Figure 6 shows the typical variation of aerodynamic rolling moments with spin rate and sideslip for a given angle-of-attack. Note that, for a significant change in spinrate, the rolling moments can be balanced by a modest change in sideslip angle. Movement of the aileron adds an increment to the rolling moment curve but the magnitude is normally insufficient to unlock the balance of rolling moments. Aileron deflection will result in a change in the equilibrium sideslip angle and may contribute a yawing moment, both of which will affect the spin and spin recovery.

The two largest aerodynamic yawing moment contributions for the lowspeed aircraft of this example are due to spin-rate and rudder deflection, as shown in Figure 7; by comparison the contribution due to sideslip is small, and, for the case of zero wing tilt, the inertia contribution is zero. Since the rudder can alter the yawing moment curve appreciably, the key to unlocking the balance of moments in a spin for the example aircraft is therefore, to generate a large yawing moment with the rudder.

In order to highlight the major aerodynamic contributions, the wing tilt has been taken to be zero. The equations of motion for a steady spin show that in this case the rolling and yawing inertia contributions will be zero. Tilt angles -usually leading wing down - of five degrees can occur in a steady spin. Consequently the rolling and yawing moment balance will be modified and so, in any detailed analysis, the inertia contribution must be included.

Consideration of the balance of moments has shown for the low-speed basic training aircraft example that the spin rate and spin angle-of-attack are closely related and are determined essentially by the balance of pitching moments; that the sideslip is determined by the balance of rolling moments, and that although all three control surfaces may be effective in changing the balance of moments- and hence spin conditions- the rudder is the most effective means of unlocking this balance. For aircraft of substantially different inertia loading and layout this emphasis may change.

### 2.4 INCIPIENT SPIN AND SPIN RECOVERY

These two phases are characterised by the transition between two extremely different flight conditions. Upon entry the aircraft has low angular velocity, moderate linear velocity, constant potential energy, and is flying at low angles-of-attack. The transition through to the 'steady spin' involves an initial increase in roll rate followed by an increase in yaw rate giving a large resultant angular rotation; a small change in linear velocity and a constant reduction in potential energy, with the angle-of-attack increasing to large values.

The aerodynamic changes are equally dramatic and involve changes from attached to separated flow over large areas of the aircraft surfaces with consequent unsteady flow behaviour. During 'spin recovery' these changes are reversed with additional transients occurring due to the dissipation of angular momentum. Although some progress has been made towards understanding the aerodynamic behaviour occurring during the spin, reliable methods for spin prediction do not yet exist. Even the methods for the prediction of steady spin behaviour only yield gross trends and so scale model testing is generally carried out where possible, in order to reduce project risks and to provide a basis for the flight development phase.

A discussion of the methods currently available for aircraft spin prediction including scale model testing is presented in Section 4.

### 3. HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF SPIN RESEARCH

The following summary is based, in the main, on research publications from the U.K. and U.S.A. and in consequence may not give due recognition to developments in other countries. The summary emphasises the continuous efforts in spin research since the early days of flight and highlights the major developments in research methods. However space does not permit a discussion of the results and design information produced by those methods.

One of the earliest written reports on the spin is contained in the August 31st edition of Flight Magazine for 1912. The article refers to a manoeuvre carried out by Lieutenant Parke of the Royal Navy, and witnessed by Mr Berriman, the editor of "FLIGHT" and Mr Short of the Royal Aircraft Factory. The manoeuvre was referred to as Parke's dive.

Following this event only two spin accidents were reported prior to the First World War.

It is generally agreed that the first pilot to demonstrate a method of recovery from the spin was Harry G. Hawker, the son of a blacksmith from Moorabbin in Victoria, Australia. Sopwith, Hawker, and Sigrist launched the Sopwith Aviation Company at Brooklar' in the U.K. in 1912.

The earliest scientific measurements were carried out by Lindemann, Glauert and Harris at Farnborough and were reported in the British Aeronautical Research Committee publication series 'Reports and Memoranda' R&M 411 dated March 1918. (Bibliography 1915-1919/1). F.A. Lindemann later became Professor of Experimental Philosophy at Oxford University, then during World War II was scientific advisor to Winston Churchill. Lindemann noted that "the stresses are not dangerous in a proper spin" and also "Analysis and Experimental results indicate that this is a stable form of motion".

Leonard Bairstow, whose text book' Applied Aerodynamics' was published in 1919, suggested that "the manoeuvre known as spinning might be <u>imitated in a wind</u> channel by mounting an aerofoil so that it may be free to rotate about a horizontal axis". This technique was implemented by Ernest Relf and the results were reported together with a comparison with estimates using strip theory in R & M 618 (bibliography 1920-1929/3). A summary of the status of spin knowledge was made by the Stability and Control Panel in 'R&M 1000' in 1925, (bibliography 1920-1929/4). In 1926 Professor B.M. Jones and Miss A. Trevelyan published a 'R&M' 999, (bibliography 1920-1929/5) entitled 'Step by step calculations upon the asymmetric movements of stalled aeroplanes'. The text reads "the detailed study of the few seconds during which an aeroplane descends from steady flight to a spin has been made in one instance and employed a skilled calculator for many months". We can guess that the calculations were carried out at about 0.1 Floating Point Operations per Second (FLOPS), which may be compared with current scientific computer speeds of 6 Mega FLOPS. A further indication of the tedious procedure is noted in the comment "At about midway through the calculation (about 1 second) a further series of wind tunnel tests had been completed and better aerodynamic data became available".

In October 1926 Gates and Bryant published a comprehensive survey on the 'Spinning of Aeroplanes' in which the equations required for calculating equilibrium spins were presented. (bibliography 1920-1929/8) also (Reference 1)

A major development in spin research was carried out by Irving and Batson at the N.P.L. between 1925 and 1935. They developed and used a continuous rotation balance in the 7 ft. No. 2 tunnel. These test provided aerodynamic coefficient data and a good insight into aircraft spinning.

A.V. Stephens, later to become the first Professor of Aeronautics at Sydney University, was involved during this period in full-scale and scale-model flight testing, at R.A.E. Farnborough.

Stephens early model experiments involved launching dynamically scaled models from a height of 80 ft in the Balloon Sheds at Farnborough. Later under the direction of McKinnon Wood he was concerned with the development of the 12 ft dia. vertical wind tunnel at Farnborough for testing dynamic models. The tunnel began operation in 1932 and a large number of configurations were tested in the facility.

In 1935 a third continuous rotation balance was commissioned at the N.P.L. However a somewhat disconsolate note appeared in the associated report. It states that "The Spinning Panel advised that theoretical work, could with advantage, be postponed in favour of the generation of more ad-hoc design data". Only one major study using the rotary balance appears to have been carried out at N.P.L. following this report.

At the Langley Research Center in the U.S.A. a simple spinning balance was fitted to a 5 ft vertical tunnel in 1931 and tests were carried out on wing autorotation during the 1930's. A 15 ft diameter vertical wind tunnel for testing dynamic models was commissioned in 1936 and the earliest results reported in 1939. This tunnel was replaced in 1941 by the current 20 ft diameter vertical spin tunnel.

The introduction of the dynamic model technique using vertical windtunnels permitted the testing of a wide range of configurations. Unfortunately it led to the termination of the more basic investigations possible with the rotating balance. The dynamic model technique provided data for the development of empirical design criteria upon which preliminary design estimates can be made.

6

Beginning in the mid 1970's a number of rotating balances were commissioned throughout the world to investigate aircraft high angle-of-attack and spin behaviour. These developments have led to a greater understanding of the aerodynamic behaviour of aircraft in these flight regimes. A brief discussion of these facilities and of current spin prediction techniques follows.

### 4. SPIN DESIGN AND PREDICTION TECHNIQUES

Figure 8, taken from bibliography 1980-1983/11 summarises the recommended approach to the prediction of aircraft stall and spin characteristics. The figure shows that stall and spin behaviour are still very important design considerations in general aviation and military aircraft and indicates that a large and varied amount of testing is required to predict, with confidence, full scale aircraft behaviour.

Figure 9 summarises the major spin prediction techniques currently available, their range of application, and the location of some of the major facilities.

Empirical design criteria for spin recovery would generally be augmented by more detailed information if available, on similar configurations, to improve the level of reliability. Although its application must be strictly qualified it still remains the only technique which does not require experimental test data.

As discussed in Section 3, the dynamic model and rotating model techniques were developed in the U.K. and USA during the 1920's and 30's. These are the methods most commonly used today for aircraft development programmes and were selected for use on the Australian Basic Trainer development programme.

Only two facilities are available in the Western World for dynamic spin model testing. These are located at the NASA Langley Research Center and at IMF Lille in France. The technique involves launching a dynamically scaled model into a vertical airstream and then recording on video the steady spin behaviour and spin recovery following actuation of controls. Analysis of the recording gives rate of spin, angle-of-attack and sideslip and spin recovery times for the given combination of pro-spin and spin-recovery control settings.

Rotary balances have been commissioned at a number of wind-tunnel facilities as indicated in Figure 9. At the Langley Research Facility and at !MF Lille the balances are both installed in the vertical spin tunnels and both dynamic-model and rotary balance programmes are carried out in the same facility. At other organisations the balances have been installed in conventional horizontal windtunnels. These balances have been used mainly for studying the high angle-of-attack departure problems of combat aircraft.

An unsuccessful attempt was made in 1950 to resurrect the rotary-balance technique, but the method did not become viable until the late 1970's when developments in instrumentation, data logging and computer analysis provided the required data rate and accuracy.

The capability to calculate 'steady spin' conditions from rotary balance data was revived by Dr. Bazzochi of Aeromachi in 1975, (bibliography 1970-1979/15) and by Tischler and Barlow in 1980 (bibliography 1980-1989/3), although the general procedure had been foreshadowed by Gates and Bryant in 1926 and had been employed by Bamber, Zimmerman and House at the La. gley Research Center in 1935. This latter formulation was programmed by Bihrle in 1980 at the Langley facility. (bibliography 1980-83/15)

The merits of rotary balance testing for spin prediction are: Firstly that it provides aerodynamic force and moment data in coefficient form. Secondly, the model can be tested with components removed enabling their direct and interference effects to be investigated. Thirdly, the effects of modifications on the forces and moments can be determined. Finally, once the aerodynamic information is available the steady spin conditions for a wide range of centre of gravity, inertia, and altitude changes cen be calculated using the steady spin equations of motion. Although the rotary balance technique does not provide all the information required to predict spin recovery, an indication of recovery control effectiveness can be determined and this, coupled with the insight afforded by the method, enables estimates of the recovery capability to be made.

The remaining four techniques listed in Figure 9 involve free-flight testing of scale-models. The drop-model technique using approximately 1/4 scale-models has been employed recently in the U.K. and U.S.A. for investigating the high angleof-attack behaviour of combat aircraft, and has the potential to cover all phases of the spin. However, because of cost and substantial manpower requirements it only becomes viable for major projects.

While spin design requirements are extremely important for many aircraft, it is clear from this brief survey that spin testing facilities and spin research activities are confined to a small number of aeronautical establishments.

## 5. RECENT RESEARCH AT ARL

In 1984 ARL initiated a research task aimed at developing a mathematical model of the spin behaviour of a basic training aircraft design. This task is embraced within longer term aims of studying the dynamic behaviour of combat aircraft at high angles-of-attack.

Early computer models of spin behaviour were extensions of the conventional flight dynamic models which used static and oscillatory wind-tunnel data. A common problem in these models was their inability to reach steady spin conditions.

In 1954 an alternative formulation of the flight dynamic model was proposed by Scher (bibliography 1950-59)/13) which allowed for the inclusion of rotary-balance data. Since the rotary-balance data is measured during steady rotations representing steady spinning conditions the model gives more accurate predictions of the steady spin. As with a conventional model, this alternative formulation requires data for the forces due to oscillations about the steady conditions. However experimental methods for determining chese oscillatory contributions in the presence of steady rotations are still being developed. Because of this deficiency and the poor quality of the initial rotary balance data the alternative formulation has not been widely used.

In 1983 a comprehensive set of rotary balance data was measured on a basic training aircraft design in the NASA Langley Spin Research Facility in support of an Australian aircraft development programme. These data are being used in a flight dynamic model for the simulation of aircraft spinning.

In addition to the steady rotation data, information is also required on the aerodynamic forces occurring during the non-steady spin-entry and spin-recovery manoeuvres. Wind-tunnel methods for the measurement of these forces are not yet available and so simple aerodynamic estimation techniques have been investigated.

Figure 10 shows the span-wise wing-loading for a straight wing under steady rolling conditions. The results have been calculated using a simple bound vortex representation of lift and a discrete line-vortex representation of the wingwake. Bound vortex strength is obtained from experimentally determined twodimensional lift data and this together with the corresponding drag information enables the spanwise load distribution to be calculated. The model can be used to determine the variation in aerodynamic coefficients due to small disturbance about the steady rotation condition.

To provide additional insight into the nature of the flow at the tail of an aircraft during spinning a joint ARL/NASA wind-tunnel program has been conducted in the NASA spin test facility at Langley Research Center to determine the pressure distribution on the tail, fin and fuselage of a model during steady rotation.

An example of the test results is shown in Fig. 11. This programme provides aerodynamic details not previously available on the flow in the important regions of the tail of a spinning aircraft.

### 6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Early research into aircraft spinning led quickly to an understanding of the main dynamic characteristics of spin behaviour but also identified the need for a greater understanding of the complex nature of the aerodynamic forces. The complexity arises from the large aircraft angular rates and hence large changes in onset flow conditions, and from the large areas of flow separation. Facilities were developed as early as 1926 for measuring aerodynamic forces on models during steady rotation, but these were abandoned in favour of the dynamic-model spin tunnel technique in an attempt to obtain more tangible design data. The dynamicmodel technique has been extended to include a range of free-flight techniques, all of which provide information directly on the model dynamic behaviour, but provide very little insight into the aerodynamic characteristics. Since the mid 1970's new facilities have been developed to measure the aerodynamic forces on rotating models and efforts are being made to parallel these experimental results with theoretical and numerical analysis. With the rapid developments in computational aerodynamic design methods, the prospect of more reliable spin design prediction techniques can be foreseen.

REFERENCES

1. GATES, S.B. AND BRYANT, L.W.; The Spinning of Aeroplanes. R&M No. 1001; 1926.

### CHRONOLOGICAL BIBLIOGRAPHY OF SPIN RESEARCH REPORTS

### 1915-1919

- 1. Lindemann, Glauert and Harris.; The Experimental and Mathematical Investigation of Spinning. R&M No.411, March 1918.
- 2. Relf and Lavender.; The Auto-rotation of Stalled Aerofoils and its Relation to the Spinning Speed of Aeroplanes. R&M No. 549, October 1918.
- 3. Glauert.; The Investigation of the Spin of an Aeroplane. R&M No. 618, June 1919.

### 1920-1929

- 1. Relf E.F.; The Lateral Stability of S.E.5a in Gliding Flight. R&M No. 846, Aug. 1922.
- 2. Bradfield F.B. and Coombes, L.P.; Autorotation Measurements on a Model Aeroplane With Zero Stagger. R&M No. 975, April 1925.
- 3. Relf E.F.; Measurement of the Rotary Derivative Mq on the 1/5th Scale Model Bristol Fighter in the Duplex Wind Tunnel. R&M 978, June 1925.
- 4. Jones B.M.; Chairman of the Stability and Control Panel; The Lateral Control of Stalled Aeroplanes. General Report by the Stability and Control panel R& M 1000, Sept. 1925.
- 5. Jones B.M. and Trevelyan A.; Step by Step Calculations Upon the Asymmetric Movements of Stalled Aeroplanes. R&M 999, Oct. 1925.
- 6. Irving H.B. Batson A.S., Townend H.C.H. Kirkup T.A.; Some Experiments on a Model of a B.A.T. "Bantam" Aeroplane With Special Reference to Spinning Accidents. R&M 976 Nov. 1925.
- 7. Bradfield H.B. and Hartshorn, A.S.; Effects of Sideslip on Rolling and Yawing Moments. R&M 1439, 1926.
- 8. Gates and Bryant.; The Spinning of Aeroplanes. R&M No. 1001, Oct 1926.
- Irving H.B. and Batson A.S.; The Effects of Stagger and Gap on the Aerodynamic Properties of Bi-planes at Large Angles of Incidence. R&M 1064, May 1927.
- Irving H.B. and Batson A.S.; Further Experiments on a Model of the "Bantam" Aeroplane With Special Reference to the "Flat" Spin. R&M 1107, June1927.

(11)

- 11. Irving H.B. and Batson A.S.; Experiments on a Model of a Single Seater Fighter Aeroplane in Connection With Spinning. R&M No. 1184, May 1928.
- 12. Irving H.B. and Batson A.S.; Rolling Experiments on an Aerofoil of RAF32 Section. R&M 1182, Sept 1928.
- 13. Irving, H.B. Batson A.S. and Maidens A.L.; Rolling and Sideslip Experiments on a Model Slotted Bi-plane of RAF 31 Section. R&M 1240, Feb. 1929.
- 14. Wright K.V. Experiments on the Spinning of a Bristol Fighter Aeroplane. R&M 1261, May 1929.
- 15. Batson, A.S.; Irving M.B. and Gates S.B.; Spinning Experiments on a Single Seater Fighter. R&M 1278, August 1929.

- 1. Irving, H.B. Batson H.S.; Spinning of a Model of the Fairey 111F Seaplane. R&M 1356, June 1930.
- 2. Gates, S.B.; Measured Spins on Aeroplane H. R&M 1403, April 1931.
- Stephens, A.V.; Free Flight Spinning Experiments With Several Model. R&M 1404, April 1931.
- 4. Irving H.B.; Addition of Rolling Moments Due to Roll and Sideslip. R&M 1418, June 1931.
- Irving H.B. Batson A.S. and Stephens A.V.; Spinning of a Single Seater Fighter With Deepened Body and Raised Tailplane. R&M No. 1421, Dec. 1931.
- 6. Irving H.B. and Batson A.S. and Stephens A.V.; Spinning Calculations on Some Typical Cases. R&M 1498, Feb. 1932.
- Bryant L.W. and Jones M.W.; Recovery From a Spin. R&M No. 1426, March 1932.
- 8. Jacobs E.N.; Characteristics of Two Sharp-Nosed Airfoils Having Reduced Spinning Tendencies. NACA TN 416, April 1932.
- 9. Scudder N.F. and Miller M.P.; The Nature of Air Flow About the Tail of an Airplane in a Spin. NACA TN No. 421, May 1932.
- 10. Bryan L.W. Jones M.W., Pawsey G.L.; Lateral Stability of an Aeroplane Beyond the Stall. R&M 1519, June 1932.
- 11. Stephens A.V.; Spinning of a Bristol Fighter, R&M 1515, July 1932.
- 12. Batson A.S. and Gadd A.G.; Further Experiments on a Model Fairey 111F Seaplane. R&M 1564, Aug 1932.

12

- 13. Gates S.B. Ormerod A. Fairthorne, R.A. Stephens A.V., Irving H.B., Batson A.S.; Experiments on the Hawker Hornbill Bi-plane. R&M 1422, Aug. 1932.
- 14. Stephens A.V. and Cohens J.; Spinning of Pterodactyl Mark IV. R&M No. 1576, Feb. 1933.
- 15. Irving H.B. Batson A.S. and Badd A.G.; Spinning of High and Low Wing Monoplanes; R&M 1534, Feb. 1933.
- 16. Irving, H.B.; Simplified Presentation of the Subject of Spinning of Aeroplanes. R&M No. 1535, March 1933.
- 17. Stephens A.V.; Effect of Ailerons on Spinning of Bristol Fighter. R&M 1555, June 1933.
- 18. Scudder, N.F.; A Study of Factors Affecting the Steady Spin of an Airplane. NACA TN 468, August 1933.
- 19. Stephens A.V. and Francis R.H.; Model spinning tests of an interceptor fighter. R&M 1578, Oct 1933.
- 20. Allwork P.H.; Continuous Rotation Balance for Measurement of Yawing and Rolling Moments in a Spin. R&M 1579, Nov. 1933.
- 21. Bamber, M.J. and Zimmerman.C.H.; Effect of Stabilizer Location Upon Pitching and Yawing Moments in Spins as Shown By Tests With the Spinning balance. NACA TN 474 Nov. 1933.
- 22. Scudder N.F.; A Flight Investigation of the Effects of Mass Distribution and Control Setting on the Spinning of the XN2Y-1 Airplane. NACA TN 484, 1934.
- 23. Gates S.B. and Francis R.M. Effect of Mass Distribution on Spinning Equilibrium. R&M 1644, Sept 1934.
- 24. Gates S.B. and Stephens A.V.; Air Density Effect in Spinning. R&M 1663, October 1934.
- Scudder N.F. and Seidman O.; A Flight Investigation of the Spinning of the F4B-2 Biplane With Various Loads and Tail Surfaces. NACA Tech Report No. 529, 1935.
- 26. Scudder N.F.; The Forces and Moments Acting on Parts of the XN2Y-1 Airplane During Spins. NACA Tech. Report No. 559, 1935.
- 27. Bamber, M.J. and Zimmerman, C.H.; The Aerodynamic Forces and Moments on a Spinning Model of the F4B-2 Airplane as Measured by the Spinning Balance. NACA TN 517, February 1935.
- Irving H.B. Batson A.S. and Warsap J.H.; Spinning of a Bristol Fighter Model Including Effect of Wing Tip Slots and Interceptors. R&M 1654, Feb. 1935.

- Bamber M.J.; Spinning Characteristics of Wings 11 Rectangular Clark Y Biplane Cellule 25 Per cent Stagger 0<sup>o</sup> Decalage Gap/Chord 1.0. NACA TN 526, April 1935.
- Irving H.B. Batson A.S. Warsop J.H.; The Contribution of the Body and Tail of an Aeroplane to the Yawing Moment in a Spin. R&M No. 1689, Nov. 1935.
- 31. Francis R.H.; Interim Report on Systematic Model Research in Free Spins: Low Wing Monoplanes. R&M No. 1714, Jan 1936.
- 32. Alston R.P. and Cohen B.A.; An Analytical Comparison of Model and Full Scale Spinning Experiments on a Bristol Fighter. R&M No. 1726, 1936.
- 33. Zimmerman C.H.; Effect of Changes in Tail Arrangements Upon the Spinning of a Low-wing Monoplane Model. NACA Tech Note No. 570, 1936.
- 34. McAvoy W.H.; Piloting Technique for Recovery From Spins. NACA Tech Note No. 555, February 1936.
- 35. Batson A.S., Warsap J.M. Gummer H.J.; Rolling Experiments on a "Puss Moth" Model. R&M No.1743, May 1936.
- 36. Finn E.; Analysis of Routine Tests of Monoplanes in the Royal Aircraft Establishment Free Spinning Tunnel. R&M No.1810, 1937.
- 37. Bamber M.J. House R.O.; Spinning Characteristics of Wings III A Rectangular and a Tapered Clark Y Monoplane Wing With Rounded Tips. NACA Technical Note No. 612, 1937.
- Irving H.B. Batson A.S. Warsap J.H. AND Gummer H.J.; Some Aerodynamic Characteristics of Tapered Wings Fitted With Flaps of Various Spans. R&M 1796, Feb. 1937.
- 39. Bamber M.J. and House R.O.; Spinning Characteristics of Wings IV Changes in Stagger of Rectangular Clark Y Bi-plane Cellules. NACA TN 625, Dec. 1937.
- 40. Bamber M.J. and House R.O.; Spinning Characteristic of Wings V NACA 0009, 23018 and 6718 Monoplane Wings. NACA TN 633, Jan 1938.
- 41. Seidman O. and Neilhouse A.I.; Free-Spinning Wind Tunnel Tests of a Low-Wing Monoplane With Systematic Changes in Wings and Tails IV Effect of Centre-of-Gravity Location. NACA Report No. 672, 1939.

- 1. Pringle B.E.; The Difference Between the Spinning of Model and Full-Scale Aircraft. R&M No. 1967, May 1943.
- 2. Neilhouse A.I. Lichtenstein J.H. Pepoon P.W..; Tail Design Requirements for Satisfactory Spin Recovery. NACA TN 1045, April 1946.

- 3. Neilhouse A.I.; The Effect of Variations in Moments of Inertia on Spin and Recovery Characteristics of a Single-Engine Low-Wing Monoplane With Various Tail Arrangements Including a Twin Tail. NACA TN No. 1575, May 1948.
- 4. Klinar W.J. and Snyder T.L.; Influence of Tail Length Upon the Spin-Recovery Characteristic of a Trainer-Type-Airplane model. NACA 1764, December 1948.

- 1. Neihouse A.I. and Peopon P.W.; Dynamic Similitude Between a Model and a Full-Scale Body for Model Investigation At Full-Scale Mach Number. NACA 2060, 1950.
- 2. Berman T.; Comparison of Model and Full-Scale Spin Test Results For 60 Airplane Designs. NACA TN 2134, 1950.
- 3. Harper D.H.; Influence of Rolling Moments on Spin Recovery As Observed in Model Spinning Tests. RAE Aero 2365, April 1950.
- 4. Stone W.R. Sanger M.B. Bihrle W.; The Aerodynamic Forces and Moments on a 1/10 Scale Model of a Fighter Airplane in Spinning Attitudes as Measured on a Rotary Balance in the Langley 20 Foot Free Spinning Tunnel. NACA Tech Note 2181, Sept 1950.
- 5. Bisgood P.L.; Model Spinning on Percival T/16/48, With an Investigation Into Certain Aerodynamic Characteristics During the Spin. RAE-Aero-TN 2410, Feb. 1951.
- 6. Klinar W.J.; Spin Tunnel Investigations of the Effects of Mass and Dimensional Variations on the Spinning Characteristics of a Low-Wing Single-Vertical-Tail Model Typical of Personal-Owner Airplanes. NACA TN 2352, May 1951.
- 7. Pringle, G.E. and Harrier D.J.; The Spinning of Model Aircraft and Prediction of Full Scale Spin and Recovery Characteristics. R.A.E. Aero 2456, March 1952.
- Kerr T.H. Pilot Escape From a Spinning Aircraft. Aero T/N 2199, Dec. 1952.
- 9. Kerr T.H. and Dennis D.R.; Model and Full Scale Spinning Tests on a Conventional Straight Wing Aircraft (Balliol Mk 2). RAE Report Aero 2480, 1953.
- 10. Dennis D.R.; Flight Measurements of Spin of a Naval Fighter. RAE Tech. Note Aero 2230, March 1953.

- 11. Stone R.W. Garner W.G. and Gale L.J.; Study of Motion of a Model of a Personal-Owner or Liaison Airplane Through the Stall and Into the Incipient Spin by Means of a Free-Flight Testing Technique. NACA Tech Note 2923, April 1953.
- 12. Kerr, T.H.; A Criterion for the Prediction of the Recovery Characteristics of Spinning Aircraft. RAE Tech Note Aero 2251, Aug 1953.
- 13. Scher S.H.; An Analytical Investigation of Airplane Spin-Recovery Motion by Use of Rotary-Balance Aerodynamic Data. NACA TN 3188, 1954.
- 14. Harper D.J.; The Influence of Rolling Moments on Spin Recovery as Observed in Model-Spinning Tests. R&M No. 2831, 1954.
- 15. Kerr T.H.; An Investigation of Spin and Recovery Characteristics of a Conventional Straight Wing Aircraft. R.A.E. Aero Report 2509, April 1954.
- 16. Burk S.M.; Analytical Determination of the Mechanism of an Airplane Spin Recovery With Different Applied Yawing Moments By Use of Rotary Balance Data. NACA TN 3321, Dec 1954.
- 17. Bowman J.S.; Free-Spinning Tunnel Investigation of Gyroscopic Effects of Jet-Engine Rotating Parts (or of Rotating Propellers) on Spin and Spin Recovery. NACA TN 3480, 1955.
- 18. Kerr T.H. A New Standard for the Prediction of Full Scale Spin and Recovery Characteristics From Model Tests. R&M No. 3130, May 1955.
- 19. Kerr T.H.; Full Scale Spinning Tests on the Percival Provost Mk 1 Including the Inverted Spin. ARC CP 240, August 1955.
- 20. Pringle G.E. Harper D.J.; The Spinning of Model Aircraft and the Prediction of Full-Scale Spin and Recovery Characteristics. R&M No. 2906, 1956.
- 21. Marx A.J.; Some Results of Comparison of Model and Full Scale Spinning Tests. AGARD-Report-26, Feb. 1956.
- 22. Scher S.; Analysis of a Spin and Recovery From Time Histories of Altitudes and Velocities as Determined for a Dynamic Model of a Contemporary Fighter Airplane in the Free Spinning Tunnel. NACA TN 3611, 1956.
- 23. Kerr T.H.; Full Scale Spinning Tests on the Percival Provost Mk.2. Including the Inverted Spin. ARC CP 240, 1956.
- 24. Gough M.N.; Notes on Correlation of Model and Full-Scale Spin and Recovery Characteristics. AGARD Report 27, Feb. 1956.
- 25. Kerr T.H.; A New Standard For the Prediction of Full Scale Spin and Recovery Characteristics From Model Tests. AGARD Report 25, Feb. 1956.

- 26. Dennis D.R.; Model Spinning Tests on a Jet Trainer (Macchi MB 326); R.A.E. Tech Note Aero 2489, December 1956.
- 27. Scher S.H. Anglin E.L. Lawrence G.F.; Analytical Investigations of Effect of Spin Entry Technique on Spin and Recovery Characteristics For 60 Deg. NACA TN D-156, December 1959.

- Neihouse A.I. Klinar W.J. and Scher S.H.; Status Of Spin Research For Recent Airplane Designs. NASA TR-R-57 1960 - Supersedes classified 1957 report.
- 2. Dennis D.R.; Model Spinning Tests on a Jet the Research Aircraft. R.A.E. Tech Note Aero 2684, April 1960.
- 3. Scher S.H.; Post-Stall Gyrations and their Study on a Digital Computer. AGARD Report 359, 1961.
- 4. Anglin E.L. and Scher S.H.; Analytical Study of Aircraft-Developed Spins and Determination of Moments Required For Satisfactory Spin Recovery. NASA TN-D 2181, 1964.
- Anglin E.L.; Analytical Study of Effects of Products of Inertia on Airplane Spin Entries, Developed Spins and Spin Recoveries. NASA TN-D-2754, 1965.
- 6. Grantham W.D. and Grafton S.B.; Effects of Aircraft Relative Density on Spin and Recovery Characteristics of Some Current Configurations. NASA TN-D-2243, 1965.
- 7. Bowman J.S.; Spin Entry Characteristics of a Delta Wing Airplane As Determined By a Dynamic Model. NASA TN D-2656, March 1965.
- 8. Grafton S.B.; A Study to Determine Effects of Applying Thrust on Recovery From Incipient and Developed Spins for Four Airplane Configurations. NASA TN D-3416, June 1966.
- 9. Pound T.N.; Some Notes on the Aircraft Spin Problem With Particular Reference to an ARL Investigation. ARL Tech Memo ARL/A246, 1968.
- 10. Wilson R.; Analytical Investigation of Spinning Behaviour and Recovery From the Developed Spin of a 60 Deg Delta Wing Aircraft Configuration Using a High Speed Digital Computer. WRE Technical Note HSA 137. Sept. 1968.
- 11. Chambers J.R. Bowman J.S. and Anglin E.L.; Analysis of the Flat-Spin Characteristics of a Twin-Jet Swept-Wing Fighter Airplane. NASA TN D-5409, Sept 1969.

### 1970-1979

1. Milner J.R.; A-7D Limited Spin Tests. AFFTC-TR-70-14, May 1970.

- 2. McElroy C.E. Sharp P.S.; Stall/Near Stall Investigation of the F-4E Aircraft. Substantiating document No. 70-30, October 1970.
- 3. Determination of the Spinning Characteristics of Aacchi MB 326-H Aircraft, ARDU Report, No TS 1596, August 1971.
- 4. Rutan B.; Fighter Testing Spin Tests or Spin Prevention Tests? USAF FTC Edwards, AFB. Aeronautical Journal, 15 Sept 1970.
- 5. Bihrle W.; Influence of the Static and Dynamic Aerodynamic Characteristics on the Spinning Motion of Aircraft. J. of Aircraft. Vol. 8, No. 10, Oct 1971.
- 6. Symposium; Stall/Post-stall/Spin 15-17 December 1971 Sponsored by Aeronautical Systems Division, Air Force Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio.
- Bowman J.S. Jr; Summary of Spin Technology as Related to Light General-Aviation Airplanes. NASA TN D-6575, December 1971.
- Stinton D. Burns S.; Anatomy of Spinning. Flight International, 23 March 1972.
- 9. Burk S.M. Summary of Design Considerations For Airplane Spin-Recovery Parachute Systems. NASA TN D-6866, Aug. 1972.
- 10. Young J.W. and Adams W.M.; Analytic Prediction of Aircraft Spin Characteristics and Analysis of Spin Recovery. AIAA Atmospheric Flight Mechanics Conference, Sept. 1972.
- 11. Adams W.M.; Analytic Prediction of Airplane Equilibrium Spin Characteristics. NASA TND-6926, Nov. 1972.
- 12. Simpson G.J.; Macchi MB326-H Spinning Characteristics Comparison of In-Flight Trials Data With Spinning Theory. ARDU Report No. TN Aero/56, August 1973.
- 13. Bihrle W., Barnhart B.; Effects of Several Factors on Theoretical Predictions of Airplane Spin Characteristics. NASA-CR-1325, 21 Aug 1974.
- 14. Young J.W. Optimal and Sub-Optimal Control Technique For Aircraft Spin Recovery. NASA TN D-7714, Oct. 1974.
- 15. Bazzocchi E.; Stall Behaviour and Spin Estimation Methods By Use of Rotating Balance Measurements. Aer Macchi Paper (originally presented at AGARD CP 199), 1975.
- 16. AGARD Conference Proceedings No. 199. Stall/Spin Problems of Military Aircraft. AGARD-CP-199, Nov 1975.
- 17. Kroeger R.A.; and Feistel T.W.; Reduction of Stall-Spin Tendencies Through Wing Aerodynamic Design. SAE Paper 760481, April 1976.

- 18. Department of Trade-Accident Investigation Branch; Avions Pierre Robin HR 200/100 G-BECO Report on the Accident South-East of Sywell Aerodrome, Northamptonshire, 27 March 1976.
- Bihrle, W.; Correlation Study of Theoretical and Experimental Results for Spin Tests of 1/10th - Scale Radio Control Model. NASA CR 144995, July 1976. (Prepared by Grumman Aerospace Corporation for NASA).
- Clarkson, M.H., Valcolm, G.N. and Chapman, G.T.; Experimental Post Stall-Rotary Aerodynamic Coefficients for Airplane-Like Configurations. J. of Aircraft Vol 13, No 8, August 1976.
- 21. Bihrle, W; F-14A High-Angle-of-Attack Characteristics. Journal of Aircraft Vol 13 No 8, Aug 1976.
- 22. Ward, D.T.; Poststall Characteristics of an LK-10A Sailplane. Journal of Aircraft Vol 13, No 8, Aug 1976.
- 23. Valtorta, E.; Estimated Spinning Characteristics of Macchi MB-339H Aircraft. Aeronautica Macchi SpA Report No 2066, Jan 1977.
- 24. Matthews, A.W.; The 5.5m Low Speed Wind Tunnel Rotary Deviative Rig. BAC Report No AXR 52 Part 1, March 1977.
- 25. Stuart, J.L., Segal, B.D. and Bowser, C.H.; Conduct and Results of YF-16 RPRV Stall/Spin Drop Model Tests. AFF TC-TR-76-42, April 1977.
- 26. Shrager, J.; Analysis of Selected General Aviation Stall/Spin Accidents. FAA-RD-77-41, April 1977.
- 27. Matthews, A.W.; Rotary Derivative Testing Technique Development Using Model JA2 in the 5.5m Low-Speed Wind Tunnel. BAE Report AXR52 Part 2, May 1977.
- 28. L. Beaurain; General Study of Light Plane Spin, Aft Fuselage Geometry Part 1. NASA TTF-17, 446, June 1977.
- 29. Ranavdo, R.J.; Exploratory Investigation of the Incipient Spinning Characteristics of a Typical Light General Aviation Airplane. NASA TM X-73671, July 1977.
- 37. Burk, M.S. Jr; Bowman, J.S.; White, W.L.: Spin-Tunnel Investigation of the Spinning Characteristics of Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Airplane Designs I - Low-Wing Model A Effects of Tail Configuration. NASA-TP-1009, September 1977.
- 31. Burk, Sanger M., Jr. Bowman, James S., Jr. and White, William L.; Spin-Tunnel Investigation of the Spinning Characteristics of Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Designs, I ~ Low-Wing Model A: Tail Parachute Diameter and Canopy Distance for Emergency Spin Recovery. NASA TP-1076, Nov 1977.

- 32. Adams, W.M.; Spineq: A Program for Determining Aircraft Equilibrium Spin Characteristics Including Stability. NASA TM 78759, 1978.
- 33. CT4-A Airtrainer Preliminary Determination of Spinning Characteristics. ARDU Report No TI 539. April 1978.
- Matthews, A.W.; Rotary Derivative Testing Technique Development Using Model JA2 in the 5.5m LSWT - Second Phase, May 1978. Report No AXR 52 Part 3.
- 35. Crabbe, E.N.; Simple Theory of Spinning with Particular Reference to the HS Gnat trainer. Aeronautical Journal, May 1978.
- Bihrle, W., Barnhart, B., Pantason, P.; Static Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Typical Single-Engine Low-Wing General Aviation Design for an Angleof-Attack Range of -8 to 90. NASA CR 2971, July 1978.
- 37. Bihrle, W., Hultberg, R.S., Mulray, W.; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single-Engine Low-Wing General Aviation Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 30 to 90. NASA CR 2972, July 1978.
- Langham, T.F.; Correlation of Experimental and Theoretical Steady-State Spinning Motion for a Current Fighter Airplane Using Rotation-Balance Aerodynamic Data. AEDC-TR-77-126, 1 July 1978.
- 39. Anderson, Seth. B.; A Historical Overview of Stall/Spin Characteristics of General Aviation Aircraft. AIAA Conference on Air Transportation: Technical Perspectives and Forecasts. August 1978.
- 40. Bowman, James S., Jr. Stough Harr, P. Burk, Sanger M., Jr., and Patton, James M., Jr.; Correlation of Model and Airplane Spin Characteristics for a Low-Wing General Aviation Research Airplane. AIAA Paper No. 78-1477, August 1978.
- 41. Feistel, T.W.; Anderson, S.B. and Kroeger, R.A.; A Method for Localizing Wing Flow Separation at Stall to Alleviate Spin Entry Tendencies. AIAA Aircraft Systems and Technology Conference. Paper 78-1476, August 1978.
- 42. Devereux, T.E. Borowiec, R.R. and Greene, S.D.; Navy Spin Evaluation of the T-34C Airplane Final Report. AT-32R-78, Sept 1978.
- 43. Butler, R.W., Langham, T.F.; Sensitivity of Aircraft Spinning Motion to Dynamic Cross-Coupling and Acceleration Derivatives. AEDC-TR-78-12, October 1978.
- 44. AGARD Conference Proceedings No 247 High Angle of Attack Aerodynamics, Oct 1978.
- 45. Anglin, E.L.; Aerodynamic Characteristics of Fighter Configurations During Spin Entries and Developed Spin. J. of Aircraft Vol 15 No 11, Nov 1978.

1

20

- 46. Vanmansart, M. and Beurain N. L.; Influence du Couple Gyroscopique de L'Helice sur la Vrille D'Avions Legers. Operation IMFL No 8125.2, Nov 1978.
- 47. Beaurain L. Etude Generale de la Vrille D'Avions Legers Parameters Massiques et Geometriques Effect Moteur Vrille Dos Operation. IMFL No 7234, Dec 1978.
- 48. Valtorta, E.; MB-339 Analist Delle Prive in Galleria Con Bilancia Rotante e Ricerca Della Zone de Equilibrio in Vite. Macchi Report 340-39X-13, Jan 1979.
- 49. Verbrugge, R. and Marchand M.; Wind Tunnel and Free Flight Model Identification Experience. Agard Lecture Series No 104, 1979.
- 50. Patton, James M., Jr.; General Aviation Stall/Spin Research Program. Paper presented at Society of Experimental Test Pilots, European Section, 1979 Symposium, Bristol, England, April 1979.
- 51. Patton, James M., Jr.; Stough, H. Paul, III and DiCarlo, Daniel J.: Spin Flight Research Summary. SAE Business Aircraft Meeting and Exposition, Paper No. 790565, Wichita, Kansas, April 1979.
- 52. Anderson, S.; Historical Overview of Stall/Spin Characteristics of General Aviation Aircraft. Journal of Aircraft, July 1979.
- 53. Pantason, P. and Dickens, W.; Rotary Balance Data for a Single Engine Trainer Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8 to 90. NASA CR 3099, August 1979.
- 54. Wolowicz, C.H., Bowman, J.S., Gilbert, W.P.; Similitude Requirements and Scaling Relationships as Applied to Model Testing. NASA TP 1435, August 1979.
- 55. Tischler, M.B. and Barlow, J.B.; Application of the Equilibrium Spin Technique to a Typical Low Wing General Aviation Design. AIAA Paper 79 1625 Aug 1979.
- 56. DiCarlo, Daniel J. and Johnson, Joseph L. Jr.; Exploratory Study of the Influence of Wing Leading-Edge Modifications on the Spin Characteristics of a Low-Wing Single-Engine General Aviation Airplane. AIAA Paper No. 79-1837, AIAA Aircraft Systems and Technology Meeting, New York, NY, August 1979.
- 57. Saini, Jugal Kishore; An Experimental Investigation of the Effects of Leading-Edge Modification on the Post-Stall Characteristics of an NACA 0015 Wing. Master of Science Thesis, University of Maryland, August 1979.
- 58. Stough, H. Paul, III and Patton, James M., Jr.; The Effects of Configuration Changes on Spin and Recovery Characteristics of a Low-Wing General Aviation Research Airplane. AIAA Paper No. 79-1786, AIAA Aircraft Systems and Technology Meeting, New York, NY, August 1979.

- 59. Bihrle, W., Hultberg, R.S.; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8 to 90. 1
  High-Wing Model B. NASA CR 3097, 1979.
- Bihrle Associates; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8 to 90<sup>0</sup> II -Low-Wing Model B. CR-3098, September 1979.
- 61. Bihrle Associates; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8 to 90<sup>°</sup> II -High-Wing Model A. CR-3101, September 1979.
- 62. Tischler, Mark B.; Equilibrium Spin Analysis with an Application to a General Aviation Design. Master of Science Thesis, Dept. of Aerospace Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD., October 1979.
- 63. Vanmansart, M. and Tristrant, D.; Quelques Nouveaux Axes de Recherche dans le Domaine de la Vrille. Association Aeronautique et Astronautique of France. Colloque D'Aerodynamique Appliquee Lille 13-15 Nov 1979.
- 64. Verbrugge, R.; Balance Rotative de L'IMF Lille et Techniques Experimentales Associees. Colloque D'Aerodynamique Appliquee Lille 13-15 Nov 1979.
- 65. Vanmansart, M.G. and Tristrant. D.R.; Etat De L'Art et Perspectives Nouvelles Relatives a L'Etude de la Perte de Controle et des Vrilles. Agard Conference Proceeding No 319 Paper 16, Nov 1979.
- 66. Verbrugge, R. and Charon, W.; Nouvelle Technique D'Essais sur Maquettes Libres en Laboratoire Pour La Determination de Characteristiques Aerodynamiques. Agard CP No 235 Paper 2, 1979.
- 67. Bihrle Associates; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8 to 35<sup>0</sup> III -Effect of Wing Leading-Edge Modifications Model A. CR-3102, November 1979.
- 68. Bihrle Associates; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle-of Attack Range of 8 to 90<sup>0</sup> II -High-Wing Model C. CR 3201, November 1979.
- 69. Moul, Thomas M.; Wind-Tunnel Investigation of the Flow Correction for a Model-Mounted Angle-of-Attack Sensor at Angles of Attack from -10° to 110°. NASA TM-80189, November 1979.
- 70. Staff of Langley Research Centre; Exploratory Study of the Effects of Wing-Leading-Edge Modifications on the Stall/Spin Behaviour of a Light General Aviation Airplane. NASA Technical Paper 1589, December 1979.
- 71. Bradshaw, C.F.; and Stough, H.P.; Design and Development of a Spin-Recovery Parachute System for Light General Aviation Aircraft. Paper presented at SAE Association 17th Annual Symposium, December 1979.

- 72. Stough, H.P., III DiCarlo, D.J.; and Patton, J.M., Jr.: Spinning for Safety's Sake. Paper presented at SAE Association 17th Annual Symposium, December 1979.
- 73. Winkelmann, A.E., and Barlow, J.B.; The Effect of Aspect Ratio on Oil Flow Patterns Observed on a Wing Beyond Stall. TR AE - 79-4, Dept. of Aerospace Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, December 1979.
- 74. Nguyen, Luat T.; Control System Techniques for Improved Departure/Spin Resistance for Fighter Aircraft. Paper No. 791083, SAE Aerospace Meeting, December 3-6, 1979.

- 1. L. Beaurain; Influence of Light Aircraft Architecture on its Spin Characteristics. Univ De Science et Techique de Lille, 1980.
- Winkelmann, A.E. Barlow, J.B. Saini, J.K. Anderson, J.D., Jr. and Jones, E.; The Effects of Leading-Edge Modifications on the Post-Stall Characteristics of Wings. AIAA 18th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, January 1980.
- 3. Tischler, M.B. and Barlow, J.B.; Determination of the Spin and Recovery Characteristics of a Typical Low-Wing General Aviation Design. AIAA Paper No. 80-0169, 1980.
- 4. Hultberg, R.S. and Mulcay W; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8° to 90° I Low-Wing Model A NASA CR 3100, Feb 1980.
- 5. Vanmansart, M. Tristram, D.; Quelques Nouveaux Anes De Recherche Dans Le Domain De La Ville. Association Aeronautique et Astronautique de France. Note Technique No 80-12, April 1980. (Studies at the IMFL).
- Bradshaw, C.F.; A Spin-Recovery Parachute System for Light General-Aviation Airplanes. NASA TM 80237, April 1980.
- 7. Bement, Laurence J.; Emergency In-Flight Egress Opening for General Aviation Aircraft. NASA TM 80235, April 1980.
- 8. Bement, Laurence J.; Emergency In-Flight Egress Opening for General Aviation Aircraft. NASA CP-2127, 14th Aerospace Mechanisms Symposium, May 1980.
- Bradshaw, Charles F.; A Spin-Recovery Parachute System for Light General Aviation Airglanes. NASA CP-2127, 14th Aerospace Mechanisms Symposium, May 1980.
- O'Bryan, T.C. Goode, M.W. Gregory, F.D. and Mryo, M.H.; Description of Experimental (Hydrogen Peroxide) Rocket System and its Use in Measuring Aileron and Rudder Effectiveness of a Light Airplane. NASA TP-1647, May 1980.

(23)

- 11. Chambers, J.R.; Overview of Stall/Spin Technology. AIAA Paper 80-1580, Aug 11-13, 1980.
- 12. Schiff, L.B. Tobak, M., Malcolm, G.N.; Mathematical Modeling of the Aerodynamics of High-Angle-of-Attack Manoeuvres. AIAA Paper 80-1583, Aug 1980.
- 13. K. Iliff; Stall/Spin Flight Results for the Remotely Piloted Spin Research Vehicle. AIAA Paper 80-1563, Aug 1980.
- 14. Tischler, M.B., Barlow, J.B.; A Dynamic Analysis of the Motion of a Low-Wing General Aviation Aircraft about its calculated equilibrium Flat Spin Mode. AIAA Paper 80-1565, Aug 1980.
- 15. Bihrle, W., Barnhart, B.; Spin Prediction Techniques. AIAA Paper 80-1564, Aug 1980.
- 16. Young, J.W., Schy, A.A., Johnson, K.G.; Pseudosteady State Analysis of Nonlinear Aircraft Manoeuvres. AIAA Paper 80-1600. Aug 1980.
- 17. Mehra, K., Carroll, J.; Bifircation Analysis of Aircraft High Angle-of-Attack Flight Dynamics. AIAA Paper 80-1599, Aug 1980.
- Winkleman A.E. and Barlow, J.B.; Flowfield for a Rectangular Planform Wing Beyond Stall. AIAA Journal Volume 18 No 8, August 1980.
- DiCarlo, D.J. Stough, H.P., III and Patton, J.M., Jr.; Effects of Discontinuous Drooped Wing Leading-Edge Modifications on Spinning Characteristics of a Low-Wing General Aviation Airplane, AIAA Paper No. 80-1843, August 1980.
- 20. Moul, Thomas M. and Taylor, Lawrence W., Jr.; Determination of an Angle-of-Attack Sensor Correction for a General Aviation Airplane at Large Angles-of-Attack as Determined from Wind-Tunnel and Flight Tests. AIAA Paper No. 80-1845, AIAA Systems and Technology Meeting, Anaheim, CA., August 1980.
- 21. Johnson, J.L. Newson, W.A. and Satran, D.R.; Full-Scale Wind-Tunnel Investigation of the Effects of Wing Leading-Edge Modifications on the High Angle-of-Attack Aerodynamic Characteristics of a Low-Wing General Aviation Airplane. AIAA Paper No. 80-1844, August 1980.
- 22. General Aviation Stall/Spin Workshop. NASA Langley Research Centre, Sept 1980.
- Mulcay, W.J. & Rose, R.A.; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8 to 90.
  Low-Wing Model C. NASA CR 3200, October 1980.
- Hultberg, R.S., Chu J and Dickens W.L.; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8<sup>0</sup> to 90<sup>0</sup>.
  II High-Wing Model C. NASA CR 3201, Oct 1980.

- 25. Bement, Laurence J.; Emergency In-Flight Egress Opening for General Aviation Aircraft. Paper to be presented at 18th Annual SAFE Symposium, October 1980.
- 26. Mulcay, W.J.; Rotary Balance Data for a Single Engine General Aviation Design having a High Aspect Ratio Canard for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 30 deg to 90 deg. NASA-CR-3170, Dec 1980.
- 27. Ross, A.J.; A Comparison of Analytical Techniques for Predicting Stability Boundaries for some Types of Aerodynamic or Cross-Coupling Nonlinearities. R.A.E. TM Aero 1936, 1980.
- 28 Feistal, T.W., Anderson, S.B.; Alleviation of Spin Entry Tendencies through Localisation of Wing-Flow Separation. Journal of Aircraft Vol 18 No 2, Feb 1981.
- 29. McCormick, B.W.; Equilibrium Spinning of a Typical Single-Engine Low-Wing Light Aircraft. J. of Aircraft, March 1981. AIAA 81-4076.
- 30. AGARD LSP-114. Dynamic Stability Parameters March 1981.
- Fischler, M.B. and Barlow, J.B.; Determiniation of the Spin and Recovery Characteristics of a General Aviation Design. Journal of Aircraft, April 1981.
- 32. Imbrie, A.P.; A Geometric Study of the Steady-State Spin For a Typical Low-Wing General Aviation Aircraft. Journal of Aircraft Vol 18 No. 6, June 1981.
- 33. Pinkser, W.J.G.; The Theory of Autorotational Rolling and Spinning. R.A.E. TR 81076, June 1981.
- Moul, T.M., Taylor, L.W.; Determination of an Angle-of-Attack Sensor Correction for a Light Airplane. Journal of Aircraft Vol 18 No. 10, Oct 1981.
- Bihrle, W. and Bowman, J.S.; Influence of Wing, Fuselage, and Tail Design on Rotational Flow Aerodynamics Beyond Maximum Lift. AIAA 80-0455R. Journal of Aircraft, November 1981.
- White, W.L., Bowman, J.S.; Spin-Tunnel Investigation of a 1/13 Scale Model of the NASA AD-1 Oblique-Wing Research Aircraft. NASA TM 3236, 1982.
- 37. Barnhart, B.; Analysis of Rotary Balance Data for the F-15 Airplane Including the Effect of Conformal Fuel Tanks. NASA CR 3479, 1982.
- Stewart, E.C.; Suit, W.T., Moul T.M. and Brown P.W.; Spin Tests of a Single Engine, High Wing Light Airplane. NASA TP 1927, 1982.
- 39. Tischler and Barlow; Dynamic Analysis of the Flat Spin Mode of a General Aviation Aircraft; J. of Aircraft Vol 19 No 3, March 1982.

(25)

- 40. Winklemann, A.E. and Tsao, C.P.; A Colour Video Display Technique for Flow Field Surveys. AIAA-82-0611-CP, March 1982.
- 41. Barnhart, B; Rotary Balance Data for an F-15 Model With Conformal Fuel Tanks for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8° to 20° NASA CR 3516, May 1982.
- 42. Barnhart B.; F-15 Rotary Balance Data for an Angle of Attack Range of 8<sup>o</sup> to 90<sup>o</sup>. NASA CR 3478, May 1982.
- 43. Newsom, W.A. Satran, D.R. Johnson, J.L.; Effects of Wing-Leading-Edge Modifications on a Full-Scale, Low-Wing General Aviation Airplane. NASA TP 2011, June 1982.
- 44. Taylor, L.W.; Applications of Parameter Estimation in the Study of Spinning Airplanes. AIAA-82-1309, Aug 1982.
- 45. Pamadi, B.N. Taylor, L.W.,; An Estimation of Aerodynamic Forces and Moments on an Airplane Model Under Steady State Spin Conditions. AIAA-82-1311, Aug 1982.
- 46. Kalviste, J.; Use of Rotary Balance and Forced Oscillation Test Data in a Six Degree of Freedom Simulation, AIAA-82-1364, Aug 1982.
- 47. Barnhart, B.; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle-of-Attack Range of 8° to 90° II Influence of Horizontal Tail Location for Model D NASA CR 3247, Nov 1982.
- 48. Ralston J.N.; Rotary Balance Data for a Typical Single-Engine General Aviation Design for an Angle of Attack Range of 8<sup>o</sup> to 90<sup>o</sup>. Influence of Airplane Components for Model D. NASA CR 3246, March 1983.

- FIG.1 THE SPIN MANOEUVRE
- FIG.2 LONGITUDINAL TRIM CONDITIONS
- FIG.3 BALANCE OF FORCES
- FIG.4 PITCHING MOMENT CONTRIBUTIONS
- FIG.5 EFFECT OF ELEVATOR ON PITCHING MOMENTS
- FIG.6 BALANCE OF ROLLING MOMENTS
- FIG.7 BALANCE OF YAWING MOMENTS
- FIG.8 PREDICTION OF STALL/SPIN CHARACTERISTICS
- FIG.9 SPIN PREDICTION TECHNIQUES
- FIG.10 WING LOADING DURING STEADY ROLL
- FIG.11 PRESSURE LOADING ON THE FIN OF A ROTATING MODEL - NON-DIMENSIONAL SPIN RATE - 0.5, ANGLE-OF-ATTACK 50 DEG.



# FIG. 1 THE SPIN MANOEUVRE



i

# FIG. 2 LONGITUDINAL TRIM CONDITIONS

Se 1.



# FIG. 3 BALANCE OF FORCES



# FIG. 4 PITCHING MOMENT CONTRIBUTIONS

,



FIG. 5 EFFECT OF ELEVATOR ON PITCHING MOMENTS



# FIG. 6 ROLLING MOMENTS



FIG. 7 YAWING MOMENTS









# FIG. 8 PREDICTION OF STALL/SPIN CHARACTERISTICS

Technique	Spin entry	Incipient spin	Steady spin	Spin recovery
Empirical design criteria				
Dynamic model in spin tunnel			NASA Langley	IMFL Lille
Rotary balance technique	NASA I RAE., IN	_angley/Ames, MFL Lille	Aeromacch	i B. Aerospace
WIND TUNNEL (FREE FLIGHT)	NASAI	angley		
Catapult model technique	NASAI	_angley, IMFL Li	lle	
Helicopter drop model	NASA,	RAE, ARL		
Powered radio controlled model	NASA,	Aeromacchi		

# Spin prediction techniques

FIG. 9 SPIN PREDICTION TECHNIQUES

----

Line vortex model of rolling wing



Wing loading in steady roll using experimental section-data

## FIG. 10 WING LOADING DURING STEADY ROLL



FIG. 11 PRESSURE LOADING ON THE FIN OF A ROTATING MODEL – NON-DIMENSIONAL SPIN RATE – 0.5, ANGLE-OF-ATTACK 50 DEG.

.

### DISTRIBUTION

### AUSTRALIA

### Department of Defence

Defence Central

Chief Defence Scientist Assist Chief Defence Scientist, Operations (shared copy) Assist Chief Defence Scientist, Policy (shared copy) Director, Departmental Publications Counsellor, Defence Science (London) (Doc Data Sheet Only) Counsellor, Defence Science (Washington) (Doc Data Sheet Only) S.A. to Thailand MRD (Doc Data Sheet Only) S.A. to the DRC (Kuala Lumpur) (Doc Data Sheet Only) OIC TRS, Defence Central Library Document Exchange Centre, DISB (18 copies) Joint Intelligence Organisation Librarian H Block, Victoria Barracks, Melbourne Director General - Army Development (NSO) (4 copies) Defence Industry and Materiel Policy, FAS

Aeronautical Research Laboratory

Director Library Chief - Aeropropulsion & Aerodynamics Division Head - Aerodynamics Branch File - Aerodynamics Author: C. Martin R.A. Feik J.S. Drobik M.I. Cooper S.H. Hill

Materials Research Laboratory Director/Library

Defence Science & Technology Organisation - Salisbury Library

WSRL

Maritime Systems Division (Sydney)

Navy Office

Navy Scientific Adviser 3 copies (Doc Data sheet only) Aircraft Maintenance and Flight Trials Unit RAN Tactical School, Library Director of Naval Aircraft Engineering Director of Naval Air Warfare Superintendent, Aircraft Maintenance and Repair

Army Office Scientific Adviser - Army (Doc Data sheet only) US Army Research, Development and Standardisation Group Air Force Office Air Force Scientific Adviser (Doc Data sheet only) Aircraft Research and Development Unit Scientific Flight Group Library Technical Division Library L'irector General Aircraft Engineering - Air Force Director General Operational Requirements - Air Force HQ Operational Command (SMAINTSO) HQ Support Command (SLENGO) Department of Transport & Communication Library Flight Standards Division Statutory and State Authorities and Industry Aero-Space Technologies Australia, Manager/Librarian (2 copies) Australian Airlines, Library **Qantas Airways Limited** Ansett Airlines of Australia, Library Hawker de Havilland Aust Pty Ltd, Victoria, Library Hawker de Havilland Aust Pty Ltd, Bankstown, Library Rolls Royce of Australia Pty Ltd, Manager Universities and Colleges Adelaide Barr Smith Library Flinders Library La Trobe Library Melbourne Monash Monash Hargrave Library Newcastle Library New England Library Sydney **Engineering Library** Prof G. Bird, School of Aeronautical Engineering

### NSW

Physical Sciences Library Professor D. Archer, Aeronautical Engineering Library, Australian Defence Force Academy

Queensland Library

# Tasmania

Engineering Library

# Western Australia

Library Professor B.J. Stone, Head Mechanical Engineering

### RMIT

Library Mr R. Dengate

University College of the Northern Territory Library

# CANADA

CAARC Coordinator Aerodynamics CAARC Coordinator Structures International Civil Aviation Organization, Library

NRC

Aeronautical & Mechanical Engineering Library Division of Mechanical Engineering Library

Universities and Colleges Toronto Institute for Aerospace Studies

### CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Aeronautical Research and Test Institute (Prague), Head

## FRANCE

ONERA, Library Dr R.A. Verbrugge I.M.F. Lille., France

### GERMANY

Fachinformationszentrum: Energie, Physic, Mathematik GMBH

### INDLA

CAARC Coordinator Aerodynamics Defence Ministry, Aero Development Establishment, Library Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd, Library National Aeronautical Laboratory, Information Centre

### INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE ON AERONAUTICAL FATIGUE Per Australian ICAF Representative (25 copies)

#### SRAEL

Technion-Israel Institute of Technology Professor J. Singer

### **TALY**

Professor Ing. Guiseppe Gabrielli Ing. P. Mezzanotte Ing. E. Valtorta Aeronautica Macchi, Varese, Italy

### JAPAN

National Aerospace Laboratory

<u>Universities</u> Kagawa University Professor H. Ishikawa

### NETHERLANDS

National Aerospace Laboratory (NLR), Library

### NEW ZEALAND

Defence Scientific Establishment, Library RNZAF Transport Ministry, Airworthiness Branch, Library

<u>Universities</u> Canterbury Library Professor D. Stevenson, Mechanical Engineering

### SWEDEN

Aeronautical Research Institute, Library Swedish National Defence Research Institute (FOA)

### SWITZERLAND

Armament Technology and Procurement Group F+W (Swiss Federal Aircraft Factory)

### UNITED KINGDOM

Ministry of Defence, Research, Materials and Collaboration CAARC Coordinator Aerodynamics Royal Aircraft Establishment Bedford, Library Farnborough Dr A.J. Ross, Aerodynamics Department Commonwealth Air Transport Council Secretariat National Engineering Laboratory, Library British Library, Document Supply Centre

Aircraft Research Association, Library

Rolls-Royce Ltd, Aero Division Bristol, Library

British Aerospace Kingston-upon-Thames, Library Brough, Library, Brough N. Humberside, UK Hatfield-Chester Division, Library Mr Denis Leyland, Warton, Lancashire UK British Hovercraft Corporation Ltd, Library Short Brothers Ltd, Technical Library Mr D. Stinton, Royal Aeronautical Society, 4 Hamilton Pl. London WIVOBQ

# Universities and Colleges

Bristol Engineering Library

Cambridge Library, Engineering Department Whittle Library

London Professor G.J. Hancock, Aero Engineering

Manchester Professor, Applied Mathematics Professor N. Johannessen, Fluid Mechanics

Nottingham Science Library

Southampton Library

Liverpool Fluid Mechanics Division, Dr J.C. Gibbings

Strathclyde Library

Cranfield Inst. of Technology Library

Imperial College Aeronautics Library

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NASA Scientific and Technical Information Facility Mr J.S. Bowman (Langley) Mr J. Chambers (Langley)

Boeing Company Mr W.E. Binz Mr J.C. McMillan

United Technologies Corporation, Library Lockheed-California Company Lockheed Georgia McDonnell Aircraft Company, Library Bihrle Applied Research

Universities and Colleges Chicago

> Florida Aero Engineering Department Professor D.C. Drucker

Iowa State Dr G.K. Serovy, Mechanical Engineering

Iowa Professor R.I. Stephens

Princeton Professor R.F. Stengel

Massachusetts Inst. of Technology MIT Libraries

Maryland

Professor J. Barlow, Aerospace Engineering Department

SPARES (10 copies) TOTAL (165 copies)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE		PAGE CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED PRIVACY MARKING	
18. AR NUMBER 15. ESTABLISHMENT NUMBER ARL-AERO-R-177 AR-005-530	2. DOCUMENT AUGUST 1	DATE 988	3. task number DST 86/052
4. TITLE THE SPINNING OF AIRCRAFT - A DISCUSSION OF SPIN PREDICTION TECHNIQUES INCLUDING A CHRONOLOGICAL BIBLIOGRAPHY	5. SECURITY (PLACE APPR IN BOK(S) I RESTRICTED U DOCUMENT	CLASSIFICATION OPRIATE CLASSIFIC E. SECRET (S), CO (R), UNCLASSIFIED U TITLE AB	ATTON NW.(C) (U)). STRACT
8. AUTHOR(S) C.A. Martin	9. DOWINGRAD Not app]	ing/delimiting in icable.	STRUCTIONS
10. CORPORATE AUTHOR AND ADDRESS AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY P.O. BOX 4331, MELBOURNE VIC 3001	11. OFFICE/ SPONSOR SECURIT DOWNGRA APPROVA	POSITION RESPONSI D' Y DING LD	ELE FOR: ST
12. SECONDARY DISTRIBUTION (OF THIS DOCUMENT) ADDROVED FOR PUBlic release. OVERSEAS ENQUIRIES OUTSIDE STATED LIMITATIONS SHOULD BE REFERRED THROUGH DOCUMENT EXCHANGE CENTRE, DEFENCE INFORMATION SERVICES BRANCH, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE, CAMPBELL PARK, CANBERRA, ACT 2601. 13a. THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE ANNOUNCED IN CATALOGUES AND AWARENESS SERVICES AVAILABLE TO No limitations.			
13b. CITATION FOR OTHER PURPOSES (IE. CASUAL ANNOUNCEMENT) MAY BE	Xu	RESTRICTED OR	AS FOR 13a.
14. DESCRIPTORS Spin stabilization Yaw Spinning (motion) Spin dynamics			15. DRDA SUBJECT CATEGORIES 0051A
16. ABBITRACT Aircraft spinning is an important area of design for general aviation and military aircraft, and has been so, since the early days of aviation. In many of the major aeronautical laboratories in the world, aircraft spinning has been the subject of intensive periods of research. However, the resulting design criteria are still only adequate for predicting gross trends in aircraft spin behaviour. To enable flight testing to proceed with confidence and to minimise modifications during flight development most major aircraft development programmes include extensive scale- model spin testing. In this paper, the development of these			

PAGE CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
PRIVACY MARKING	

,

THIS PAGE IS TO BE USED TO RECORD INFORMATION WHICH IS REQUIRED BY THE ESTABLISHMENT FOR ITS OWN USE BUT WHICH WILL NOT BE ADDED TO THE DISTIS DATA UNLESS SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED.

----

.....

16. ABSTRACT (CONT.) techniques and their application for spin prediction will be discussed. In current military aircraft stability augmentation systems add further considerations for high angle-of-attack and spin behaviour. These and future considerations of thrust vectoring for aircraft control at high angles-of-attack are outside the scope of this paper.

17. IMPRINT

# AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORY, MELBOURNE

18. DOCUMENT SERIES AND NUMBER	19. COST CODE	20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD
Aerodynamics Report 177	525026	COVERED

21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED

23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REQUIRED)

22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF.(S)