NCLASSIFIED						(T
ECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	,					
	REF	PORT DOCUM	ENTATION PAGE	<u>ئ</u> ار	Tin	
a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION			16. RESTRICTIVE MARKIN	GS 🔰	11, 11	LE COPY
			3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILAE	BILITY OF REPOR	T.	
			Approved for public rel	lease; distributi	on is unlimit	ed.
AD-A211 70	67 [*]				The second s	
AD ALLI A	R(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZ	ATION REPORT	NUMER	
		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	TCTE N
	ON	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (1 applicable)	7a. NAME OF MONITORIN	G ORGANIZAT	N	12) 1089 🕅
aval Ocean Systems Center c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)	- ,	NOSC	7b. ADDRESS (City, State and Z	IR Codel		
					J O	See.
an Diego, CA 92152-5000						han
a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING OR		86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT INSTR			8
		(if applicable)				
Diffice of Naval Technology c. ADDRESS (City, State and ZIP Code)		ONT	10. SOURCE OF FUNDING	NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	AGENCY ACCESSION NO.
Office of Chief of Naval Research					RS34	
Arlington, VA 22217			0602234N	CDB8	H20	DN309 119
1. TITLE (include Security Classification)						
COMPARING CONDITIONAL PRO	OBABILITY	MATRICES				
2. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)						,
). E. Bamber						
Professional Paper	IME COVERE		14. DATE OF REPORT () August 19		15. PAGE COU	NT
6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION	<u>ا</u> ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	<u> </u>		<u>L</u>		
			······································			
7. COSATI CODES		18. SUBJECT TERMS	(Continue on reverse if necessary and ide	entify by black number)		
ELD GROUP SUB	-GROUP	- man-machine in		- ··· ·		
	-	operator function	, decision making, decisi as	ion aids		
		teleoperation	- <u> </u>		supervisory	control
 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and if In a wide variety of tasks, a personal sector of tasks in the sector of tasks in the			cterized by a conditional	probability ma	triv having o	ne row for
ach possible stimulus, one column fo	r each poss	ible response, and w	ith each cell entry being	a conditional j	probability of	the form
(response/stimulus). This paper desc	ribes a met	hod for measuring h rix in another condi	ow close one person's contribution, or to the matrix pr	edicted by a mo	ability matrix odel.	is either to
nother person's matrix, to the person				-	. 1	
nother person's matrix, to the person		roduct on the energy	of all SyP matrices. Th		of two north	and'
We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu	ires their tri	al-by-trial response	agreement. This inner p	roduct induces a	a distance m	etric on the
We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu pace of all SXR matrices. We then de uner-product estimates, a distance est	ires their tri escribe a me	al-by-trial response thod for empirically	agreement. This inner p	roduct induces a	a distance m	etric on the
We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu pace of all SxR matrices. We then de iner-product estimates, a distance est	ires their tri escribe a me timate may	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated.	agreement. This inner pro estimating the inner pro	roduct induces a duct of two per	a distance me sons' matric	etric on the es. From
We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu bace of all SxR matrices. We then de ner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a	res their tri escribe a me timate may ctical even i population of	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 10	agreement. This innet pro estimating the inner pro wmber of stimuli is very ²⁴⁰ potential stimuli. Thu	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese s, a person's c	a distance me sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr	etric on the es. From ole of a task obability
We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu bace of all SxR matrices. We then de ner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a atrix has approximately 10 ²⁴⁰ rows a	res their tri escribe a me timate may ctical even i population o ind, consequ	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 10 bently, it is infeasibl	agreement. This inner pro estimating the inner pro umber of stimuli is very ²⁴⁰ potential stimuli. Thu e to empirically estimate	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese is, a person's c every condition	a distance mo sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr nal probabilit	etric on the es. From the of a task obability y contained in
We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu bace of all SxR matrices. We then de ner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a atrix has approximately 10 ²⁴⁰ rows a person's matrix. Nevertheless, it is	res their tri escribe a me timate may ctical even i population o ind, consequ	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 10 bently, it is infeasibl	agreement. This inner pro estimating the inner pro umber of stimuli is very ²⁴⁰ potential stimuli. Thu e to empirically estimate	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese is, a person's c every condition	a distance mo sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr nal probabilit nal probabilit	etric on the es. From the of a task obability y contained in y matrices of
we describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu bace of all SxR matrices. We then de ner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a atrix has approximately 10 ²⁴⁰ rows a person's matrix. Nevertheless, it is	res their tri escribe a me timate may ctical even i population o and, consequ quite feasibl	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 10 ⁴ lently, it is infeasibl le to empirically esti	agreement. This innet pro estimating the inner pro umber of stimuli is very ²⁴⁰ potential stimuli. Thu e to empirically estimate mate the distance betwee	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese is, a person's c every condition in the condition	a distance mo sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr nal probabilit nal probabilit	etric on the es. From le of a task obability y contained in
mother person's matrix, to the person We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu bace of all SXR matrices. We then de iner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a hatrix has approximately 10 ²⁴⁰ rows a person's matrix. Nevertheless, it is we persons.	res their tri escribe a me timate may ctical even i population o and, consequ quite feasibl	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 10 ⁴ lently, it is infeasibl le to empirically esti	agreement. This innet pro estimating the inner pro umber of stimuli is very ²⁴⁰ potential stimuli. Thu e to empirically estimate mate the distance betwee	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese s, a person's c every condition in the condition n in signal dete	a distance mo sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr nal probabilit al probabilit ction tasks.	etric on the es. From ble of a task obability y contained in y matrices of
Nother person's matrix, to the person We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu bace of all SxR matrices. We then de ner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a atrix has approximately 10 ²⁴⁰ rows a person's matrix. Nevertheless, it is to persons. We have used the technique to stu	res their tri escribe a me timate may ctical even i population o and, consequ quite feasibl udy people's	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 10 ² uently, it is infeasible to empirically esti- s integration of mult	agreement. This innet pro estimating the inner pro umber of stimuli is very ²⁴⁰ potential stimuli. Thu e to empirically estimate mate the distance betwee	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese s, a person's c every condition in the condition n in signal dete	a distance mo sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr nal probabilit nal probabilit	etric on the es. From the of a task obability y contained in y matrices of
hother person's matrix, to the person We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu hace of all SxR matrices. We then de ner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a atrix has approximately 10 ²⁴⁰ rows a person's matrix. Nevertheless, it is to persons. We have used the technique to stu- ublished in the Journal of Mathema	tical Psycho	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 10 ² uently, it is infeasible to empirically esti- s integration of mult	agreement. This innet pro estimating the inner pro umber of stimuli is very ²⁴⁰ potential stimuli. Thu e to empirically estimate mate the distance betwee	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese is, a person's c every condition in the condition in in signal dete 8	a distance mo sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr nal probabilit al probabilit ction tasks.	etric on the es. From ble of a task obability y contained in y matrices of
Nother person's matrix, to the person We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu hace of all SXR matrices. We then de ner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a atrix has approximately 10 ²⁴⁰ rows a person's matrix. Nevertheless, it is to persons. We have used the technique to stru- ublished in the Journal of Mathema D. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABST	tical Psycho	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 10 ² uently, it is infeasible to empirically esti- s integration of mult	agreement. This inner pro estimating the inner pro umber of stimuli is very ²⁴⁰ potential stimuli. Thu e to empirically estimate mate the distance between iple pieces of information 89	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese is, a person's c every condition in the condition in in signal dete 8	a distance mo sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr nal probabilit al probabilit ction tasks.	etric on the es. From ble of a task obability y contained in y matrices of
Nother person's matrix, to the person We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu bace of all SXR matrices. We then de ner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a atrix has approximately 10 ²⁴⁰ rows a person's matrix. Nevertheless, it is to persons. We have used the technique to str ublished in the Journal of Mathema D. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABST UNCLASSIFIED/UNILIMITED SAM	tical Psycho rest Psychol rest Psychol rest Psychol rest Psychol rest Psychol rest Psychol	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 104 iently, it is infeasible to empirically esti s integration of mult logy, August 1989.	agreement. This innet pro estimating the inner pro umber of stimuli is very ²⁶⁰ potential stimuli. Thu e to empirically estimate mate the distance between iple pieces of information 89	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese is, a person's c every condition in the condition in in signal dete 8	a distance mo sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr nal probabilit al probabilit ction tasks.	etric on the es. From the of a task obability y contained in y matrices of 071
Nother person's matrix, to the person We describe a method for definin onditional probability matrices measu bace of all SXR matrices. We then de ner-product estimates, a distance est Surprisingly, this technique is pra- here the stimuli are sampled from a atrix has approximately 10 ²⁴⁰ rows a person's matrix. Nevertheless, it is to persons. We have used the technique to stu- cublished in the Journal of Mathema D. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABST	tical Psycho rest Psychol rest Psychol rest Psychol rest Psychol rest Psychol rest Psychol	al-by-trial response thod for empirically be calculated. in tasks where the n of approximately 104 iently, it is infeasible to empirically esti s integration of mult logy, August 1989.	agreement. This inner pro estimating the inner pro umber of stimuli is very ²⁰⁰ potential stimuli. Thu e to empirically estimate mate the distance between iple pieces of information 89 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY UNCLASSIFIED	roduct induces a duct of two per large. We prese is, a person's c every condition in the condition in in signal dete 8	a distance mo sons' matric ent an examp onditional pr nal probabilit nal probabilit ction tasks	etric on the es. From the of a task obability y contained in y matrices of 071

٠

•

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

•

DD FORM 1473, 84 JAN

UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Call for Papers for the Twenty-Second Annual Meeting of The Society for Mathematical Psychology

University of California. Irvine

August 3-5, 1989

The Twenty-Second Annual Mathematical Psychology Meeting will be held at the University of California. Irvine, August 3-5, 1989. The program committee is composed of William Batchelder. Tarow Indow, Geoffrey Iverson, R. Duncan Luce, Louis Narens, and John I. Yellott, Jr.

Papers may be submitted by regular members, student members, and non-members. Any one person may present only one paper but may be a coauthor of other papers, or may be an invited speaker or symposium participant. Papers will be limited to those in which mathematical, statistical, and simulation methods play a significant role in the development of psychological ideas or in the interpretation of results. Purely theoretical developments should clearly relate to some psychological issue or contribute to methodologies of obvious use in psychology. Experimental results should bear directly on some mathematical or simulation model.

Program of past meetings appear in the <u>Journal of Mathematical Psychology</u> and may be consulted for ideas concerning symposia as well as for ideas about areas that have not recently been covered. All society members are welcome to make suggestions for symposia and invited speakers as soon as possible to the program committee. Abstracts of papers to be presented at this meeting must be received by **April 24, 1989**.

Papers to be read at the meeting are accepted on the basis of their quality and suitability and not according to the author's affiliation with the Society. Presentation time for each paper will be limited to 25 minutes including 5 minutes for discussion. Paper sessions will be strictly timed. When submitting a paper, an author should include the following on a single sheet of paper:

- 1. Name(s) and institutional affiliation(s) of author(s)
- 2. Membership status in the Society (member, student member, or nonmember)
- 3. Title of the paper (less than 72 characters including spaces)
- 4. Category of the paper. Choose the most appropriate from the following list: (a) physiology including connectionist modeling, (b) psychophysics. (c) sensation and perception. (d) measurement and scaling, (e) learning and memory, (f) information processing and performance, (g) judgment, decision, and choice, (h) cognition and language. (i) social psychology, (j) methodology and statistics. or (k) other (e.g. signal detection. artificial intelligence).
- 5 An abstract of no more than 250 words.

Abstracts, symposium outlines, suggestions for invited speakers, and questions regarding local arrangements should be addressed to:

William H. Batchelder School of Social Sciences University of California Irvine, California 92717

Specific information regarding travel and accommodations will be sent in late spring to members of the Society for Mathematical Psychology; others should contact:

Dee Yox School of Social Sciences University of California Irvine, California 92717 Telephone: (714) 856-6336

Remember: Abstracts must be received by April 24, 1989.

Authors	Member?
Donald Bamber	Yes
Ramon Hershman	No
Jerry Kaiwi	Not Yet

Institution: Naval Ocean Systems Center

- Address/Phone: Code 442 Naval Ocean Systems Center San Diego, CA 92152-5000 (619) 553-9219
 - ARPAnet: bamber@NOSC.MIL

Title: Comparing Conditional Probability Matrices

Category: Methodology & Statistics

Abstract

In a wide variety of tasks, a person's performance may be characterized by a conditional probability matrix having one row for each possible stimulus, one column for each possible response, and with each cell entry being a conditional probability of the form P(response | stimulus). This paper describes a method for measuring how close one person's conditional probability matrix is either to another person's matrix, to the person's own matrix in another condition, or to the matrix predicted by a model.

Accession For GRAŁI

Justification

193 10

٦,

NTIS

Ev. Distril

DTIC TAB Upannounced

We describe a method for defining an inner product on the space of all S×R matrices. The innner product of two persons' conditional probability matrices measures their trial-by-trial response agreement. This inner product induces a distance metric on the space of all S×R matrices. We then describe a method for empirically estimating the inner product of two persons' matrices. From inner-product estimates, a distance estimate may be calculated.

Surprisingly, this technique is practical even in b_1 by where the number of stimuli is very large. We present an example of a task where the stimuli are sampled from a population of approximately 10²⁴⁰ potential stimuli. Thus, a person's conditional probability matrix has approximately 10²⁴⁰ rows and, consequently, it is infeasible to empirically estimate every conditional probability contained in a person's matrix. Nevertheless, it is quite feasible to empirically estimate the distance between the conditional probability matrices of two persons.

We have used the technique to study people's integration of multiple pieces of information in signal detection tasks.

Requested Time: 25 minutes (including 5-minute discussion)