TTC FILE CORY

MTL TR 89-39

AD

# THE BAUSCHINGER EFFECT IN 6-6-2 TITANIUM ALLOY AND ITS INFLUENCE ON ADVANCED ARTILLERY PROJECTILES

89

0

Ċ.

# AD-A209 355

HEMEN RAY MECHANICS AND STRUCTURES BRANCH

April 1989

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.





04

U.S. ARMY MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY Watertown, Massachusetts 02172-0001

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION	PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
MTL TR 89-39		
4. TITLE (and Subride)	·· <b>···································</b>	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
THE BAUSCHINGER EFFECT IN 6-6-2 TI AND ITS INFLUENCE ON ADVANCED A	TANIUM ALLOY RTILLERY	Final Report
PROJECTILES		8. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
Hemen Ray		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory Watertown, Massachusetts 02172-0001 SLCMT-MRS		
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Laboratory Command		April 1989
2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi Maguland 20783-1145		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Offi	ce)	3D 15 SECURITY CLASS (of this move)
		Unclassified
		15a DECLASSIFICATION/DOWINGRADING
		SCHEDULE
Approved for public release; distribution unlir	nited.	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fro	m Repon)	· <u>····································</u>
18 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on more side if necessary and identify by block womba	+)	
Bauschinger effect Titanium alloys Projectiles Artillery ammunition	·	
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)	<u> </u>	
(SEE	REVERSE SIDE)	
DD FORM EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE		
	4	SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Enternd)

#### UNCLASSIFIED

Block No. 20

# ABSTRACT

In this report, the Bauschinger effect in 6-6-2 titanium alloy is evaluated and an illustration is given to demonstrate its importance in the design and reliability of the Army's advanced artillery projectiles. The tension-compression specimens, fabricated from the recovered projectiles, are loaded to compression to a specified plastic range, followed by tension after unloading. The experimental results indicate that the original yield strength of the material is greatly reduced due to reverse loading. Keywoods Troom to determine, fillen main there diverting. XM-725 project

tiles.



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

# NOMENCLATURE

BEF	Bauschinger effect factor
С	Compression
E	Young's modulus
P	Load
R	Residual
Т	Tension
х, у	Rectangular coordinates
ε¥	Strain at yield in compression
σ <sub>x</sub>	Normal stress in x direction
σ <sub>y</sub>	Normal stress in y direction
$\sigma_{ m Y}$	Yield stress in tension or compression





iii

# CONTENTS

		-9
NOMENCLATURE	i	ii
INTRODUCTION		1
DESCRIPTION OF THE MATERIAL		1
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE		2
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		9
CONCLUSIONS		1
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		2
APPENDIX		3

# Page

## INTRODUCTION

The Bauschinger effect may be defined as a phenomenon by which plastic deformation of a polycrystalline material causes a reduction in yield strength upon reloading in a direction opposite to the original direction. This characteristic of plastic flow results from the inhomogeneity of plastic flow on the microscopic level.

Milligan et al.,<sup>3</sup> reported that a number of investigators have shown, experimentally, the Bauschinger effect to exist in single crystals of ferrous and nonferrous materials. Welter<sup>4,5</sup> did extensive study on Bauschinger effects of various alloys subjected to dynamic torsional and axial loadings. Milligan<sup>6</sup> reported the influence of the Bauschinger effect on reverse yielding of thick cylinders used for gun tubes. Applications arise in military weapons where the Bauschinger effect in materials is of considerable importance. For example, in manufacturing gun tubes, they are prestressed into the plastic range to induce beneficial residual compressive stress. In artillery projectiles, the cylindrical walls are subjected to severe compressive stress in the gun barrel, followed by tensile stress at barrel exit. Most projectiles are fabricated from structural steel with a relatively simple design configuration. Some advanced projectiles are fabricated from 6-6-2 titanium alloy with more complicated geometry where local plastic deformation is possible.

The Army's advanced artillery projectiles, made of 6-6-2 titanium alloy, contain many locations of high stress concentrations such as holes and abrupt changes in sections. These critical locations could be subjected to severe compressive stress beyond the elastic range in the gun barrel followed by tensile stress at barrel exit. As a result of the reverse loading, there is a possibility that some of these critical locations in a projectile could be stressed beyond the tensile yield strength. To date, no data is available concerning the Bauschinger effect in this 6-6-2 titanium alloy specifically developed for the Army's advanced artillery system. In this report, the Bauschinger effect in 6-6-2 titanium alloy is evaluated, and an illustration is given to demonstrate its importance in the design and reliability of the Army's advanced artillery.

# DESCRIPTION OF THE MATERIAL

The material used for testing was 6-6-2 titanium alloy in the form of recovered projectiles XM-785.\* The chemical composition and the mechanical properties of the material<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

\*Fabricated by Chamberlain Manufacturing Corp., Waterloo, Iowa.

†Processed by Oregon Metallurgical Corp. (ORMET), Albany, Oregon.

1. POLAKOWSKI, N. H., and RIPLING, E. J. Strength and Structure of Engineering Materials. Ch. 6, Prentice-Hall, 1966.

2. MARGOLIN, H., HAZAVEH, R., and YAGUCHI, H. The Grain Boundary Contribution to the Bauschinger Effect. Scripta Metallurgica, v. 12, 1978, p. 1141-1145.

3. MILLIGAN, R. V., KOO, W. H., and DAVIDSON, T. E. The Bauschinger Effect in a High-Strength Steel. J. Basic Engineering, Trans. ASME, June 1966, p. 480-488.

4. WELTER, G. Dynamic Torsion of Metals and Alloys Used in Aircraft Construction. Metallurgica, Part I, February 1949, p. 188-190; Part II, March 1949, p. 253-256; Part III, April 1949, p. 313-315.

5. WELTER, G. Micro- and Macro-Deformations of Metals and Alloys Under Longitudinal Impact Loads. Metallurgica, Part I, September 1948, p. 287-292; Part II, October 1948, p. 328-330; Part III, November 1948, p. 13-17.

6. MILLIGAN, R. V. The Influence of the Bauschinger Effect on Reverse Yielding of Thick-Walled Cylinders. WVT-7036, Benet R&E Lab., Watervliet Arsenal, October 1970.

	Weight (%)							
AI	v	Sn	Fe	Cu	С	N	H <sub>2</sub>	02
5.50	5.48	1.97	0.702	0.494	0.027	0.016	0.0013	0.18
	Yttrium			Others Total			Ti	
	Less Than 0.003	ļ		Less Than 0.3			Balance	

#### Table 1. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION (LOT A4, HEAT NO. D9840)

#### Table 2. TENSILE TEST (LOT A4, HEAT NO. D9840)

	Manufacturer	Present Test
Yield Strength (0.1% offset) (psi)	170,000	168,000
Ultimate Strength (psi)	178,900	177,000
Young's Modulus (psi)		18.2 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
Reduction of Area (%)	31.1	24
Elongation (%)	11	11
Strain at 0.1% Offset Stress		0.01

The specimen used by the manufacturer for testing was fabricated from the same material, Lot A4, Heat No. D9840, with the same heat treatment condition as in the projectiles, whereas the specimen used for the present testing was machined from the recovered projectile from the same material lot. Figures 1 and 2 show the specimen configuration used for testing. The photomicrograph of the material is shown in Figure A-1 of the Appendix. Sample graphs of the tensile test are shown in Figures A-2 and A-3 of the Appendix. A description of the strain gages, fixtures, and testing is given in the Experimental Procedure Section.

# EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The specimens used for testing were machined from the motor body of recovered XM-785 projectiles. Their configuration and dimensions are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The portion of the motor body used for preparing the specimens is shown in Figure 3. This nonstandard specimen has been used to test various materials at the U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory (MTL) for many years. It is to be noted that this is the largest specimen that can be prepared from the walls of most types of artillery projectiles.

Three separate strain gages (EP-08-062AQ-350 from Micro-Measurements Division, Measurements Group, Inc.) were bonded to each specimen by epoxy adhesive (EPY-150 from BLH Electronics). The gages, placed at equal distance (120 degrees) around the midcircumference of the specimen, were used to record normal strains individually along the longitudinal axis of the specimen. These gages have special annealed constantan foil with tough, high elongation polymide backing. They are used primarily for measurements of large, postyield strains. The maximum range of strain for the gages used in the present test is  $\pm 10\%$ .

A set of special grips<sup>\*</sup> and load-alignment fixtures were used to apply an axial load to the specimens by the testing machine (MTS, 20,000 lb capacity, hydraulic closed loop).

<sup>\*</sup>The grips were provided by the Materials Dynamics Branch, Materials Reliability Division, MTL.





- NOTES: 1. FOR BERYLLIUM ONLY: ETCH TO FINISH DIMENSIONS 0.004/0.005 PER SURFACE ALONG LENGTH SHOWN ONLY. DO NOT ETCH TAPER.
  - 2. MARK APPLICABLE SPECIMEN IDENTIFICATION NUMBER III 1/8" CHARACTERS WITH BLACK EPOXY INK OR ELECTRO-ETCH.
  - 3. HOLD SYMMETRICAL WITH RESPECT TO ENDS REGARDLESS OF LENGTH DIMENSIONS.
  - 4. FILLET RADII MUST BE TANGENT, NOT UNDERCUT.
  - 5. 32 RMS UNLESS SPECIFIED.

Figure 2. The tension-compression specimen.



Figure 3. The portion of the motor body from XM-785 projectile used for preparing specimen.

Figure 4 shows the exploded view of the grips with a strain-gaged specimen next to it. The split collet "a," has a taper of included angle of 30 degrees, which transmits axial load to the specimen during tensile loading. The solid cylinder "c," and the pair of wedges "d," transmit axial load to the specimen during compressive loading. One of the threaded collet holders "b," is attached to the crosshead of the machine through a load cell recording axial loads. The other collet holder is attached to the hydraulic ram of the machine through a special load-alignment fixture (figure not shown). Figure 5 shows a load-alignment fixture used in Ref. 3 where provision for alignment exists for both ends of the specimen. The alignment fixture used in the present test is similar to that in Ref. 3 with the exception that the alignment is provided only at one end (bed of the testing machine) of the specimen. The function of this fixture is to prevent the hydraulic ram from moving sideways so that the transverse motion of the specimen is minimized.

Initial load alignment was accomplished with a split test specimen. One of the specimens was cut at the middle into two pieces for this purpose and secured by the special grips. Then, the eccentricity between the axes of loading was minimized by adjusting the attachments of the testing machine, load cell, and load-alignment fixture. Final load alignment was accomplished with a test specimen containing three strain gages. The readings from the three separate strain gages on each specimen were used to adjust and monitor the eccentricity in loading.

A set of three specimens was tested to record the loads versus strains. The loading was compressive to a specified plastic range, followed by tensile after unloading. The graphs for testing and alignment check are shown in Figures A-4 through A-19 in the Appendix. The mechanical properties and the Bauschinger effect factor (BEF) calculated from those graphs are shown in Tables 3 through 5. It is to be noted that the values of strain and Young's modulus in the tables are neither used nor discussed in the report. They are reported here for documentation only. The readings by the three separate strain gages mounted on each specimen were noticeably different, especially in the plastic range. These were probably caused partly by gage mounting error, and mostly due to growing eccentricity during compressive loading; so, for each test, an average of three gage readings was computed to obtain the desired quantities. Several additional specimens were tested; because of either gage failure or very large eccentricity in loading, they are not reported here.



Figure 4. The special grips to hold the specimen showing (a) split collet, (b) threaded collet holder, (c) solid cylinder, (d) pair of wedges, and (e) specimen.



Figure 5. Load-alignment fixture (Ref. 3).

TABLE 3. THE TEST DATA AND BAUSCHINGER EFFECT FACTOR FOR SPECIM	EN NO. 1	
---	----------	--

	Gage #1	Gage #2	Gage #3	Average
σϡ (0.1%) (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )	176,000	179,000	177,000	177,000
ත් (0.2%) (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )	179,000	182,000	179,000	180,000
ε <sup>ς</sup> (0.1%)	0.011	0.011	0.0103	0.011
<i>ε</i> ళ్ (0.2%)	0.012	0.012	0.0115	0.012
σγ¯ (0.1%) (lb/in.²)	63,000	61,000	67,000	64,000
ơ <sup>T</sup> (0.2%) (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )	86,000	85,000	83,000	85,000
Compressive Plastic Prestrain	0.023	0.017	0.026	0.022
E <sup>c</sup> (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )	18 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	19 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	19 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	18.7 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
BEF (0.1%)	0.356	0.339	0.366	0.35
BEF (0.2%)	0.48	0.467	0.463	0.47

Motor Body A4-30, Specimen No. 1, Specimen Diameter = 0.251 inches

# Table 4. THE TEST DATA AND BAUSCHINGER EFFECT FACTOR FOR SPECIMEN NO. 15

Motor Body A4-30, Specimen No. 15, Specimen Diameter = 0.253 inches

	Gage #1	Gage #2	Gage #3	Average
σξ (0.1%) (Ib/in. <sup>2</sup> )	Gage #1 was Debonded	177,000	181,000	179,000
σ <sup>6</sup> γ (0.2%) (Ib/in. <sup>2</sup> )		188,000	185,000	183,000
ε <sup>ç</sup> (0.1%)		0.011	0.011	0.011
ε <sup>ξ</sup> (0.2%)		0.012	0.012	0.012
σΫ́ (0.1%) (lb/in.²)		64,000	66,000	65,000
ơv (0.2%) (lb/in.²)		87,000	90,000	88,000
Compressive Plastic Prestrain		0.0165	0.009	0.013
E <sup>c</sup> (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )		18.2 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	19.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	19.1 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
BEF (0.1%)		0.359	0.363	0.36
BEF (0.2%)		0.478	0.484	0.48

#### Table 5. THE TEST DATA AND BAUSCHINGER EFFECT FACTOR FOR SPECIMEN NO. 16

	Gage #1	Gage #2	Gage #3	Average
<i>σ</i> ξ (0.1%) (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )	180,000	184,000	182,000	182,000
<i>ං</i> ዮ (0.2%) (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )	185,000	187,000	186,000	186,000
<i>ε</i> γ (0.1%)	0.0125	0.011	0.01075	0.0114
εų (0.2%)	0.01375	0.01225	0.012	0.0127
σ <sup>Τ</sup> γ (0.1%) (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )	70,000	72,000	72,000	71,000
o∓ (0.2%) (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )	90,0003	100,000	94,000	95,000
Compressive Plastic Prestrain	0.01	0.0065	0.0075	0.008
E <sup>c</sup> (lb/in. <sup>2</sup> )	18.3 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	18.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	18.9 x 10 <sup>6</sup>	18.7 x 10 <sup>6</sup>
BEF (0.1%)	0.389	0.391	0.396	0.39
BEF (0.2%)	0.486	0.534	0.505	0.51

Motor Body A4-30, Specimen No. 16, Specimen Diameter = 0.252 inches

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The BEF is defined as the ratio of the yield stress in tension  $(\sigma_Y^T)$  after unloading to the yield stress in compression prior to unloading  $(\sigma_Y^c)$ . Referring to Figure 6, the BEF (0.1%) is given by

BEF (0.1%) = 
$$\frac{\sigma_Y^4(0.1\%)}{\sigma_Y^6(0.1\%)}$$
 (1)

The notation (0.1%) is used to indicate 0.1% offset yield stress.

The BEF for various compressive plastic prestrain are shown in Tables 3 though 5. Figure 7 shows the plot of BEF versus compressive plastic prestrain for 0.1% and 0.2% offset yield stress. It indicates a decrease of the BEF with increasing amount of compressive plastic prestrain. The BEF decreases very rapidly at the initial stages of the compressive plastic prestrain, but appears to remain constant after 2.0% of compressive plastic prestrain.

The practical implications of the Bauschinger effect in 6-6-2 titanium alloy is evident from this figure. For example, a compressive plastic prestrain of 2.0% results in a tensile yield strength which is about 25% of the initial 0.1% offset compressive yield strength. For 0.2% offset yield strength, this is about 47%.

When initiation of yielding is one of the modes of failure in a structural component, loss in yield strength could greatly reduce the load-carrying capability of the component upon



reverse loading. In order to demonstrate the influence of the Bauschinger effect on the loadcarrying capability of a structural component, a simple illustration is given below.

Figure 6. Diagram of stress versus strain to illustrate the definition of the Bauschinger effect factor.



Consider a 0.2-inch-thick, butt-welded plate fabricated from 6-4 titanium alloy by gas tungsten-arc welding (Figure 8a). The alloy has a yield strength of 131 ksi, ultimate strength of 136 ksi, and elongation of 13.5%. The residual stresses caused by welding has been determined experimentally by Robelotto et al.<sup>7</sup> The residual normal stress in the longitudinal direction (x direction)  $\sigma_x^R$ , and in the transverse direction (y direction)  $\sigma_y^R$ , is shown in Figure 8b. These residual stresses are evaluated for the center of the plate to its edge in y direction. It is observed that at the center of the plate, the residual stress  $\sigma_y^R$  in the transverse direction is negligible compared to the residual stress  $\sigma_x^R$  in the longitudinal direction.

If a uniformly distributed tensile load of total magnitude P lb is now applied at the two edges, AB and CD, of the plate in x direction, then the condition for failure by yielding at the center of the plate is

$$[\mathbf{P}/(7.0 \ge 0.2) + \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{R}}] = \sigma_{\mathbf{Y}} .$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>7.</sup> ROBELOTTO, R., LAMBASE, J. M., and TOY, A. Residual Stresses in Welded Titanium and Their Effects on Mechanical Behavior. Welding Research, Supplement, July 1968, p. 289S-298S.

Substituting the values of the yield stress of the material and the residual stress at the center of the plate into Equation 2, the magnitude of load P to initiate yielding becomes 99,400 lb. In the absence of the residual stress, the magnitude of load P required to initiate yielding is 183,400 lb. Therefore, the presence of residual stress reduces the elastic load-carrying capability of the plate to 54% of the load that a residual stress-free plate could carry.



(a) The Butt-Welded Plate (Ref. 3)

(b) The Residual Stress Distribution in the Welded Plate (Ref. 3)

#### Figure 8.

Similar severe residual stresses could develop in artillery projectiles due to torsional, compressive, and bending loads generated when the projectile is in the gun barrel. As a result, the load-carrying capability of the projectile could be greatly reduced upon barrel exit. Moreover, if the projectiles containing flaws in the critical locations are used at subzero temperatures, the failure could occur by brittle fracture.<sup>8</sup>

#### CONCLUSIONS

Experimental results indicate that the BEF in 6-6-2 titanium alloy specimens, prepared from recovered projectiles XM-785, decreases with increasing amount of compressive plastic prestrain. The BEF decreases very rapidly at the initial stages of the compressive plastic prestrain, but appears to remain constant after 2.0% of compressive plastic prestrain. A compressive plastic prestrain of 2.0% results in a tensile yield strength which is about 35% of the initial 0.1% offset compressive yield strength.

The load-carrying capability of advanced artillery projectiles, fabricated from 6-6-2 titanium alloy, could be greatly reduced upon barrel exit due to severe reverse loading (Bauschinger effect) in the gun barrel.

8. BORESI, A. P., and SIDEBOTTOM, O. M. Advanced Mechanics of Materials. Ch. 3-1, John Wiley and Sons, 1985.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is grateful for the support and encouragement provided by Jiro Adachi of Massachusetts Institute of Technology Liaison Program, Cambridge, MA [formerly of Materials Reliability Division, U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory (MTL)], Carmine Spinelli, Jack Sacco, Harry Smith, and Bob Wilgus of Picatinny Arsenal, and Roger Gagne of Materials Exploitation Division, MTL. The author also deeply appreciates the assistance provided by the Materials Testing and Evaluation Branch of MTL. APPENDIX.



Figure A-1. Photomicrograph of 6-6-2 titanium alloy taken from recovered projectile, Mag. 1000X.



Figure A-2. Tension test (specimen no. 13).

14











Figure A-5. Bauschinger effect test (specimen no. 15, gage, no. 3).

17

























Figure A-11. Alignment check (specimen no. 1, gage no. 1).



















Figure A-16. Bauschinger effect test (specimen no. 16, gage no. 3).











Figure A-19. Alignment check (specimen no. 16. gage no. 3).

# DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. Copi	of es To
1	Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, The Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301
1	Commander, U.S. Army Materiel Command, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-0001 ATTN: AMCLD
1	Commander, U.S. Army Laboratory Command, 2800 Powder Mill Road, Adelphi, MD 20783-1145 ATTN: AMSLC-IM-TL
2	Commander, Defense Technical Information Center, Cameron Station, Building 5, 5010 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22304-6145 ATTN: DTIC-FDAC
1	Metals and Ceramics Information Center, Battelle Columbus Laboratories, 505 King Avenue, Columbus, OH 43201
1	Commander, Army Research Office, P.O. Box 12211, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211 ATTN: Information Processing Office
1	Commander, U.S. Army Electronics Technology and Devices Laboratory, Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703-5000 ATTN: SLCET-DT
1 1	Commander, U.S. Army Missile Command, Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5247 ATTN: AMSMI-RD-ST Technical Library
2	Commander, U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command, Dover, NJ 07801 ATTN: SMCAR-TDC
1	Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center, Natick, MA 01760 ATTN: Technical Library
1	Commander, U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command, Warren, MI 48397-5000 ATTN: AMSTA-R
1	Commander, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, P.O. Box 631, Vicksburg, MS 39180 ATTN: Research Center Library
1	Director, U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005 ATTN: SLCBR-DD-T (STINFO)
1	Director, Benet Weapons Laboratory, LCWSL, USA AMCCOM, Watervliet, NY 12189 ATTN: AMSMC-LCB-TL
1	Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, 220 7th Street, N.E., Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396 ATTN: AIAST-RA-ST
1	Director, Eustis Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory, Fort Eustis, VA 23604-5577 ATTN: SAVDL-E-MOS (AVSCOM)
1	Director, Langley Directorate, U.S. Army Air Mobility Research and Development Labratory, NASA-Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665 ATTN: Aerostructures Directorate
1	Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375 ATTN: Code 5830
1	Office of Naval Research, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217-5000 ATTN: Mechanics Division, Code 1132-SM

No. Copi	of es To
1	Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, PA 18974-5000 ATTN: Code 6064 AVCSTD/6043
1	U.S. Navy David Taylor Research Center, Bethesda, MD 20084 ATTN: Code 172
1	U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Bolling Air Force Base, Washington, DC 20332 ATTN: Mechanics Division
1	Commander, U.S. Air Force Materials Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433 ATTN: AFWAL/MLLN
1	National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812 ATTN: EH01, Dir, M&P lab
1	Committee on Marine Structures, Marine Board, National Research Council, 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20418
2 1	Director, U.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory, Watertown, MA 02172-0001 ATTN: SLCMT-TML Author

Laboratory AD 02172-0001 AD 07 IN 6-6-2 UNLIMITED DISTRIB INFLUENCE ON Kay Words	April 1989, 35 pp- Titanium alloys Projectiles	ffect in 6-6-2 titanium alloy is evaluated and an illustra ince in the design and reliability of the Army's advanc apression specimens, fabricated from the recovered p on to a specified plastic range, followed by tension af utits indicate that the original yield strength of the ma i loading	Laboratory AD 02172-0001 UNCLASSIFIED 071 N 6-6-2 INLLIMITED DISTRIB INFLUENCE ON OJECTILES - Key Words April 1989, 35 pp- Bauschinger effect Titanium alloys Projectiles	ffect in 6-6-2 titanium alloy is evaluated and an illustra ince in the design and reliability of the Army's advanc pression specimens, fabricated from the recovered p on to a specified plastic range, followed by tension at utts indicate that the original yield strength of the ma i loading
U.S. Army Materials Technology I Watertown, Massachusetts THE BAUSCHINGER EFFEC TITANIUM ALLOY AND ITS II ADVANCED ARTILLERY PR Hemen Ray	Technical Report MTL TR 89-39, / iilus-tables, D/A Project:	In this report, the Bauschinger eff given to demonstrate its importar lery projectiles. The tension-com jectiles, are loaded to compressic unloading. The experimental res is greatly reduced due to reverse	U.S. Army Materials Technology I Watertown, Massachusetts THE BAUSCHINGER EFFEC TITANIUM ALLOY AND ITS II ADVANCED ARTILLERY PRC Hemen Ray Technical Report MTL TR 89-39, <i>i</i> illus-tables, D/A Project:	In this report, the Bauschinger eff given to demonstrate its Importar lery projectiles. The tension-com jectifes, are loaded to compressic unloading. The experimental resi is greatly reduced due to reverse
AD UNCLASSIFIED UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION Køy Words	Bauschinger effect Titanium alloys Projectiles	loy is evaluated and an lilustration is eliability of the Army's advanced artil- abricated from the recovered pro- c-range, followed by tension after iginal yield strength of the material	AD UNCLASSIFIED UNLIMITED DISTRIBUTION Kay Words Bauschinger effect Titanium alloys Projectiles	loy is evaluated and an illustration is sublity of the Army's advanced artil- cicated from the recovered pro- crange, followed by tension after iginal yield strength of the material
I.S. Army Materials Technology "aboratory Watertown, Massachusetts 02172-0001 THE BAUSCHINGER EFFECT IN 6-6-2 TITANIUM ALLOY AND ITS INFLUENCE ON ADVANCED ARTILLERY PROJECTILES - Hemen Ray	echnical Report MTL TR 89-39, April 1989, 35 pp- illus-tables, D/A/ Project:	i this report, the Bauschinger effect in 6-6-2 titanium all iven to demonstrate its importance in the design and re ry projectiles. The tension-compression specified plastic cities, are loaded to compression to a specified plastic nloading. The experimental results indicate that the ori greatty reduced due to reverse loading	.S. Army Materials Technology Laboratory Watertown, Massachusetts 02172-0001 THE BAUSCHINGER EFFECT IN 6-6-2 TITANIUM ALLOY AND ITS INFLUENCE ON ADVANCED ARTILLERY PROJECTILES - Hermen Ray schnical Report MTL TR 29-39, April 1989, 35 pp- illus-tables, D/A Project:	this report, the Bauschinger effect in 6-6-2 titanium alk iven to demonstrate its importance in the design and <i>r</i> ; vp projectiles. The tension-compression specified plastic ctiles, are loaded to compression to a specified plastic noading. The experimental results indicate that the ori greatly reduced due to reverse loading

•

.