



US Army Corps
of Engineers
Construction Engineering
Research Laboratory

USACERL TECHNICAL REPORT N-89/05
March 1989

②

AD-A207 100

Determination of the Environmental Fate of Decontamination Agent C-8 Using Soil Microcosms

by
Bernard A. Donahue
Ray R. Hinchman
Stanley D. Zellmer

The U.S. Army is developing vehicle decontamination agents to counteract the effects of chemical, biological, and nuclear warfare agents. This study investigates decontamination agent C-8, which contains tetrachloroethylene. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) classifies this substance as a priority pollutant; one that is very hazardous to humans. The objective of this study was to investigate the environmental fate of tetrachloroethylene. Simulated decontamination wastewater was applied to microcosms (intact soil cores), followed by four simulated rainfall events. The wastewater effluents, simulated rain effluents, and surface soils were analyzed for tetrachloroethylene. Low concentrations of tetrachloroethylene were found only in the second rainwater effluents, but none was detected in the wastewater effluent or surface soils. The results of this study indicate that tetrachloroethylene moves through the soil more slowly than water and poses a threat of groundwater contamination because it was detected in some effluents.

DTIC
ELECTE
APR 21 1989
S E D

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

089 4 21 090

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official indorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products. The findings of this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents.

***DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED
DO NOT RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR***

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No 0704 0188
Exp Date Jun 30, 1986

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS		
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY			3 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.		
2b DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE					
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) USACERL TR N-89/05			5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION U.S. Army Construction Engr Research Laboratory		6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) CECER-EN		7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) P.O. Box 4005 Champaign, IL 61824-4005			7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION CRDEC		8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Reimbursable Project Order 84-1420, dated September 1984	
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005			10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS		
			PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.
			WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.		
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) Determination of the Environmental Fate of Decontamination Agent C-8 Using Soil Microcosms (Unclassified)					
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Donahue, Bernard; Hinchman, Ray; Zellmer, Stanley					
13a TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b TIME COVERED FROM _____ TO _____		14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 1989, March	
15 PAGE COUNT 21					
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION Copies are available from the National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161					
17 COSATI CODES			18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	decontamination materials environmental impact		
15	06	03	Agent C-8		
			tetrachloroethylene		
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The U.S. Army is developing vehicle decontamination agents to counteract the effects of chemical, biological, and nuclear warfare agents. This study investigates decontamination agent C-8, which contains tetrachloroethylene. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) classifies this substance as a priority pollutant; one that is very hazardous to humans. The objective of this study was to investigate the environmental fate of tetrachloroethylene. Simulated decontamination wastewater was applied to microcosms (intact soil cores), followed by four simulated rainfall events. The wastewater effluents, simulated rain effluents, and surface soils were analyzed for tetrachloroethylene. Low concentrations of tetrachloroethylene were found only in the second rainwater effluents, but none was detected in the wastewater effluent or surface soils. The results of this study indicate that tetrachloroethylene moves through the soil more slowly than water and poses a threat of groundwater contamination because it was detected in some effluents. CAW					
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT ☐ UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED ☒ SAME AS RPT ☐ DTIC USERS			21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL GLORIA WIENKE			22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (217)352-6511 (ext 353)		22c OFFICE SYMBOL CECER-INT

FOREWORD

This research was performed for the Chemical Research Development and Engineering Center (CRDEC), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD under Reimbursable Project Order 84-1420, dated September 1984. Dr. Randy Wentzel was the CRDEC Technical Monitor.

The work was accomplished by Roy R. Hinchman and Stanley D. Zellmer of the Energy and Environmental Systems Division, Renewable Resource Section, Argonne National Laboratory under contract to the U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (USACERL). 2LT Douglas Sarver provided technical assistance. Mr. Bernard A. Donahue of USACERL's Environmental Division (EN) was the contract manager. The Technical Editor was Gloria J. Wienke, USACERL Information Management Office. Dr. R. K. Jain is Chief of USACERL-EN.

COL Carl O. Magnell is Commander and Director of USACERL, and Dr. L. R. Shaffer is Technical Director.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☒
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	



CONTENTS

	Page
DD FORM 1473	1
FOREWORD	3
1 INTRODUCTION	5
Background	
Objective	
Approach	
2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	6
Preparing the Soil Microcosms	
Agent C-8	
Preparing Simulated Wastewater and Simulated Rainwater	
Infiltration of Simulated Wastewater and Rainwater	
and Collection of Effluents	
Sampling and Extraction of Microcosm Soils	
Analysis of Samples for Perchloroethylene	
3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	12
4 CONCLUSIONS	15
REFERENCES	16
APPENDIX: Analysis for Perchloroethylene in Decon Wastewater	17
DISTRIBUTION	

DETERMINATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL FATE OF DECONTAMINATION AGENT C-8 USING SOIL MICROCOSMS

1 INTRODUCTION

Background

In the contemporary U.S. Army, integrated battlefield strategies include the use of various decontamination agents to counteract the effects of chemical, biological, and nuclear warfare agents deployed by the enemy. Because of their need to rapidly penetrate, inactivate, and remove deposits of warfare agents on vehicles and equipment, many decontamination (decon) agents contain organic or inorganic constituents that are toxic or corrosive or both. The use of decon procedures helps to ensure the protection and efficiency of troops and equipment, but it also creates the potential for soil and groundwater pollution problems if decon agents or wastes resulting from their use are accidentally released into the environment. Both Federal and Army environmental regulations place considerable restraints on actual or potential generators of environmental pollution. Army Regulation (AR) 200-2¹ requires research and development command to conduct environmental analysis and documentation for all newly developed materials that may pollute the environment.

One new decon agent, C-8, is being developed for use on military vehicles. It contains a solvent that is very hazardous to humans and animals. Although a nontoxic simulant is used during field-training exercises, the Army needs to analyze and document the environmental effects of agent C-8.

Objective

The objective of this study was to investigate the environmental fate and transport of tetrachloroethylene (perchloroethylene, or PCE), a chlorinated organic solvent, and other constituents of decon agent C-8 in soils.

Approach

Intact cores of clay, loam, and sandy soils were infiltrated with simulated decon washdown water and simulated rainwater. Both the effluent and soil samples were analyzed for PCE and the soils were analyzed for selected physical and chemical characteristics.

¹Army Regulation (AR) 200-2, *Environmental Effects of Army Actions* (Headquarters, Department of the Army [HQDA], 1 September 1981).

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparing the Soil Microcosms

To provide a range of soil types for the microcosms, clay, loam, and sandy soils were identified in northeast Illinois through consultations with county extension agents and the use of county soil surveys. To realistically simulate field conditions, the soil microcosms were constructed using intact soil cores, 10.2 cm (4.0 in.) in diameter and 66 cm (26 in.) long, obtained with a hydraulic soil-coring machine. These intact soil cores have the natural horizons, structures (aggregates, pores and voids, worm holes, root channels, etc.), roots, invertebrates, and soil micro-organisms (bacteria, fungi, protozoans, etc.) characteristic of soils in the field. Aboveground parts of vegetation were clipped at ground level prior to coring, but the soil cores contained all belowground plant parts.

Samples representing the upper and lower halves (33 cm or 13 in.) of the core profile of each soil type were obtained from an additional core taken adjacent to the microcosm cores. These samples were analyzed for pH using standard analytical procedures (1:1 in H_2O), for organic carbon by the Walkley-Black method², and for texture by the hydrometer method.³

Each microcosm column consisted of a section of glass pipe 76.2 cm (30 in.) long with an inside diameter of 10.2 cm (4.0 in.). The soil cores were transferred directly from the coring tube to the glass columns in the field. The bottom of each microcosm column was capped with a glass end cap containing a layer of glass beads topped with Teflon® glass wool (placed next to the soil core), to provide uniform support and prevent clogging of the stopcock.

Four microcosms were prepared with each soil type. Three microcosms of each soil type were used for experimental replicates and received simulated washdown wastewater. One microcosm of each soil type received only distilled water and simulated rainwater, as a control for analytical procedures. The columns were supported by wooden racks inside fume hoods in the laboratory (Figure 1). Prior to application of the washdown wastewater (C-8 solution), the soil microcosms were flooded with water for several days and then drained to settle the soil and to ensure good contact between the soil core and the glass column wall. To avoid reactions between C-8 constituents and the test equipment, all microcosm columns, valves, fittings, collection vessels, and sampling apparatus were constructed of Pyrex® glass, Teflon®, or stainless steel. During the experiment, the temperature of the hoods and the microcosms was maintained at 22 to 24 °C (72 to 75 °F).

²D. W. Nelson and L. E. Sommers, "Total Carbon, Organic Carbon, and Organic Matter, Methods of Soil Analysis," *Argonomy* 9, Part 2, 2nd Edition, A. L. Page, Ed. (American Society of Agronomy, 1982), pp 539-580.

³P. R. Day, "Particle Fractionation and Particle-Size Analysis, Methods of Soil Analysis," *Agronomy* 9, Part 1, C. A. Black, Ed. (American Society of Agronomy, 1965), pp 545-567.




Figure 1. Soil Microcosms.

Agent C-8

A new liquid decon agent, C-8, planned for use primarily on tanks, armored personnel carriers, and other military vehicles, is a mixture of constituents in emulsion form. Agent C-8 contains 15 percent tetrachloroethylene (PCE) and 8 percent calcium hypochlorite as the active ingredients. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) classifies PCE as a priority pollutant (very hazardous to humans) and a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act hazardous waste⁴, because PCE is a chlorinated organic solvent that is toxic to humans and animals and is a suspected carcinogen. In use, the C-8 emulsion is sprayed on the contaminated equipment and is flushed off with water after about 30 minutes.⁵ Depending on the type of contaminant, two coats of C-8 may be sprayed on the equipment before the 30-minute waiting period begins.

Accidental releases of decon agents or decon wastewater to the environment are minimized by conducting the development testing of decon agents over concrete sumps or other containment devices and properly disposing of the wastes. A nontoxic C-8 simulant is used during field-training exercises to prevent soil contamination. However, occasional accidents may occur in which the C-8 decon washdown wastewater may be spilled on, and infiltrate into, the soil. In these cases, it is necessary to be able to predict the transport characteristics of various C-8 constituents in the soil, as well as the likelihood of groundwater contamination by PCE.

The nature and magnitude of environmental impacts from the accidental discharge of decon washdown wastewater into the soil ecosystem depend on many site-specific factors. Some of the more important of these factors include the concentration and volume of toxic constituents in wastewater, the chemical and physical characteristics of the soil, climatic conditions (temperature, humidity, wind, etc.) at the disposal site, the amount and intensity of precipitation following the wastewater spill, and the type and amount of surface vegetation and litter.

Perchloroethylene is quite volatile, but it is very persistent once in the soil.⁶ Although its toxic effects on soil microorganisms are not well known, there is some evidence that chloroethylenes can be slowly degraded by certain bacteria found in soil and groundwater.⁷ However, the rates are such that biological degradation would probably have a negligible effect on wastewater moving through unsaturated soil. Agent C-8 also contains calcium hypochlorite, a source of free chlorine that could have adverse effects on potential PCE-degrading bacteria and other soil organisms. In these studies, it was assumed that removal of PCE from the solution as it moves through the soil profile occurs primarily through volatilization and sorption on soil solids.⁸

⁴Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste, 40 CFR 261.63 (July 1, 1988).

⁵Field Manual (FM) 3-5, NBC Decontamination (HQDA, 24 June 1985).

⁶J. T. Wilson, et al., "Transport and Fate of Selected Organic Pollutants in a Sandy Soil," *Journal of Environmental Quality*, Vol 10 (1981), pp 501-506.

⁷Personal communication. W. Mego, Argonne National Laboratory (1985).

⁸J. T. Wilson, et al. (1981).

Because agent C-8 is still under development, the emulsion was not available and had to be formulated from constituent ingredients. The description of agent C-8 is as follows:

C-8 is a viscous liquid resembling heavy cream. When wet, it has the odor of dry-cleaning solvent (perchloroethylene). When dry, it has the odor of chlorine bleach. The compound is composed of 76-76.5 percent water, 15 percent perchloroethylene, 7.5-8 percent calcium hypochlorite (HTH), and 1 percent emulsifier. The emulsifier is composed of 56.5 percent calcium dodecylbenzene sulfonate, 27 percent polyoxyethylenated alcohol, 10.5 percent isopropanol, and 6 percent water. The emulsion maintains a pH of 11.1 to 11.5.¹⁰

Since no specific information of formulation was available, several trial batches of agent C-8 were prepared before obtaining a mixture that formed a relatively stable emulsion. The emulsion was obtained by combining the indicated percent by weight of calcium hypochlorite and the indicated percent by volume of the liquid ingredients. The ingredients were mixed in a high-speed blender in the following sequence, with about 1 minute blending time between ingredients: water, emulsifier, calcium hypochlorite, perchloroethylene. The resulting creamy liquid had a very strong coating action, almost like thick paint. The PCE and calcium hypochlorite used were technical-grade chemicals.

Preparing Simulated Wastewater and Simulated Rainwater

The use of agent C-8 is still under investigation and it has not been used extensively in training exercises in the United States. Therefore, some parameters have been extrapolated from data on currently used decon agents.¹¹ Simulated decon washdown wastewater was produced by diluting one part C-8 emulsion with 40 parts distilled water. This dilution factor is based on descriptions of multiple-vehicle decon procedures under battle conditions at a "hasty decon site" of about 100 m² (120 sq yd), in which 18.9 L (5.0 gal) of C-8 decon solution are applied to each of five vehicles and washed off with about 755 L (200 gal) of water per vehicle.¹² After standing for several minutes, the simulated wastewater (having the appearance of skim milk) separated into two phases, consisting of a cloudy translucent liquid and a whitish grease-like component that adhered tenaciously to any surface with which the wastewater was in contact.

Simulated rainwater with a pH of 4.6 and containing trace ions to mimic natural rainwater typical of the Midwest was used for the four rainfall events applied to the microcosms. The chemical composition of the simulated rainwater is presented in Table 1.

¹⁰P. J. Benford and D. J. Wentz, *Environmental Overview of Decontaminating Agents* (Draft) (Department of the Army, 1984).

¹¹FM 3-5 (1985).

¹²FM 3-5 (1985).

Table 1

Chemical Composition of Simulated Rainwater

Compound	Concentration (mg/L)
NaCl	0.176
CaSO ₄ • 2H ₂ O	0.709
MgSO ₄ • 7H ₂ O	0.355
K ₂ SO ₄	0.069
NaNO ₃	0.368
(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	0.746
NH ₄ NO ₃	0.243
H ₂ SO ₄ (96.5%)	0.987
HNO ₃ (70%)	0.554

Infiltration of Simulated Wastewater and Rainwater and Collection of Effluents

The total volume of decon wastewater generated for five vehicles at a hasty decon site (3879 L, or 1025 gal) was assumed to infiltrate into about 60 percent of the site (about 60 m², or 72 sq yd) with an average deposition of about 65 L/m² (14.3 gal/sq yd). The remaining 40 percent of the site (about 40 m², or 48 sq yd) was assumed to be either covered by the vehicle (e.g., a tank) being deconned or beyond the "splash zone" of the washdown wastewater. Based on these assumptions, an equivalent wastewater volume per unit of soil surface area of a 10.2-cm (4-in.) microcosm is 550 mL/82 cm² (0.145 gal/12.7 sq in.). This amount of simulated wastewater was permitted to slowly infiltrate into the surface of each microcosm, with the stopcock at the bottom of the column closed. Because of the tendency of the wastewater to separate into two phases, the wastewater was strongly agitated during application to the soil columns.

The simulated wastewater was applied at a rate such that it infiltrated the soil as it was added. In all cases, the volume of wastewater was less than the total pore volume of the soil core, so there was no "head" of liquid at the top of any of the columns after all the wastewater was added. The sand and clay cores were almost completely saturated, and the loam cores were saturated to about half of their length. The wastewater was allowed to remain in the microcosm for 24 hours to permit maximum interaction with the soil. This condition, equivalent to temporary flooding, is not unusual under field conditions. At the end of this period, the stopcocks were opened and the columns were

permitted to drain for 24 hours. The effluent was collected in Teflon® gas-sampling bags to minimize the loss of PCE by volatilization. The tops of the columns were open to the air at all times to simulate field conditions.

Twenty-four hours after collecting the wastewater effluents, the first of four simulated rainwater applications was made. A volume of simulated rainwater (206 mL, 0.055 gal) equivalent to 2.54 cm (1.0 in.) of rain on the soil-core surface area was applied each time and collected separately. The infiltration method, retention time, drainage time, and effluent-collection methods were as described above for the wastewater. The interval between "rains" was a minimum of 48 hours. Aliquots of all column effluent samples were stored in glass vials with Teflon-lined caps prior to gas chromatography (GC) analysis for PCE.

Sampling and Extraction of Microcosm Soils

To determine the amount of PCE bound or remaining in the soil microcosms after rainwater leaching, soil samples were taken at 15.2-cm (6-in.) intervals along the length of the soil core immediately following the collection of the fourth rainwater samples. A 2.54-cm (1-in.) diameter soil probe was pushed into the center of the soil core. Then a 1.2-cm (0.5-in.) diameter x 2.54-cm (1-in.) subsample was taken from the center of the 2.54-cm core to prevent contamination from intervening wet soil layers. Individual subsamples were collected from depths of 0 to 2.54 cm (0 to 1 in.), 15.2 to 17.8 cm (6 to 7 in.), 30.5 to 33.0 cm (12 to 13 in.), 45.7 to 48.3 cm (18 to 19 in.), and 61.0 to 63.5 cm (24 to 25 in.) in each soil microcosm. Three milliliters (0.10 ounce) of chromatography-grade acetone was added to the sample in an extraction vial. The soil and acetone were mixed, and the mixture was allowed to stand to permit extraction of PCE and the separation of the mixture into soil and solvent phases. After several days, the acetone layer was carefully drawn off, filtered, and placed in a sample vial for GC analysis.

Analysis of Samples for Perchloroethylene

Simulated wastewater samples, microcosm effluent samples, and soil extracts were analyzed for PCE using gas chromatography. Details of GC column selection, calibration, preparation of standards, sample and residue extraction, sample analysis, and verification of detected constituents are presented in the Appendix.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 presents the results of the soil analyses for selected physical and chemical characteristics of the three soils used in the microcosms. It should be noted that these soils represent a range of textures, as well as organic carbon content.

The formulation trials for C-8 decon agent resulted in an emulsion that remained stable for several weeks. However, when this formulation was checked after standing for about a month in a sealed container, it had separated and could not be reconstituted by vigorous shaking. The separated mixture did not have the same coating action as the original emulsion; the coating action is necessary for effective decon use. More information is needed on C-8 formulation methods to produce a product that is stable for long periods, as would be necessary under Army distribution and use requirements.

Table 3 lists the PCE concentrations in the simulated C-8 decon washdown wastewater and in microcosm effluents and soils as determined by GC analysis. Because unanticipated sample preparation (solvent extraction of all water and soil samples) and analysis procedures (residue analysis and verification of unknowns by gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy [GC/MS] and gas chromatography/Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy [GC/FTIR]) considerably increased analytical costs, not all of the samples collected could be analyzed for PCE. Several samples of the simulated wastewater applied to the microcosms were analyzed. Wastewater effluents from all three replicate microcosms for each soil type were analyzed, and rainwater effluents from at least one microcosm of each soil type were analyzed for each of the four rain events. One surface soil sample (0 to 2.54 cm) from each microcosm soil type was analyzed as well.

Table 3 shows that the mean concentration of PCE in samples of the simulated wastewater applied to the microcosms was 2350 parts per million (ppm), which is very close to the calculated concentration of 2315 ppm. The simulated wastewater used in the microcosm transport experiments represents wastewater resulting from a "worst case" decon scenario, in which the C-8 emulsion is flushed off a vehicle without the usual 30-minute waiting period, or from a case in which the undiluted emulsion is spilled on the ground and flushed directly into the soil with water.

For comparison, a wastewater sample more likely to occur in actual use was produced by swabbing the inside of a small beaker with 1 mL of C-8 emulsion, allowing it to remain exposed to the air for 30 minutes, and then flushing off the residue with 40 mL of water. This sample contained 64.6 ppm PCE, as compared with 2350 ppm PCE for the wastewater used in the microcosms. The much lower PCE concentration in the "swab/wait/rinse" sample is due to PCE evaporation during the 30-minute waiting period.

Table 3 also shows that most of the microcosm effluents contained no PCE, although two effluents from the second rain contained small amounts of PCE (compared with the amount in the simulated wastewater). On the basis of observations made during the experiments and the results of these PCE analyses, the following interpretation explaining the loss of PCE from the microcosms is proposed. When C-8 is diluted to make the simulated wastewater, the emulsion breaks down the results in the two-phase system (milky liquid and greasy residue) described earlier. Under these conditions, the PCE concentration is higher than its solubility concentration for water (≈ 150 ppm) and is no longer dispersed as tiny, isolated droplets as in the emulsion. Larger droplets of PCE form by coalescence and adhere to, or complex with, the calcium hypochlorite particles to form the tenacious residue that was observed. This residue adheres to various components of the microcosm soil at or near the surface, where the PCE is rapidly

Table 2
Selected Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Soils
Used in Microcosms

Soil Type and Sample Depth	Carbon pH	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Textural (%)	Class
Clay Soil^a						
0-33 cm (0-13 in)	7.4	6.8	41	36	23	Clay
33-66 cm (13-26 in)	6.9	5.9	43	25	32	Clay loam
Loam Soil^b						
0-33 cm (0-13 in)	7.7	2.6	39	37	24	Loam
33-66 cm (13-26 in)	7.8	0.8	29	35	36	Clay loam
Sandy Soil^c						
0-33 cm (0-13 in)	6.5	0.9	89	8	3	Sand
33-66 cm (13-26 in)	6.1	0.3	89	6	5	Sand

^aMarkham-Ashkum complex, Downers Grove, Ill. Soil names from D. R. Mapes, et al., *Soil Survey of DuPage and Part of Cook Counties, Illinois* (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 1979).

^bSawmill silty clay loam, Indian Head Park, Ill.

^cWatseka loamy fine sand, Lansing, Ill.

Table 3
Perchloroethylene Concentrations in Simulated Wastewater
and in Microcosm Effluents and Soils

Soil Type	Simulated Wastewater	Microcosm Effluents				Surface Soil, 0-2.54 cm ^a
		1st Rain	2nd Rain	3rd Rain	4th Rain	
Clay	2350	0	0	0	0	0
Loam	2350	0	0	0.7	0	0
Sand	2350	0	0	6.0	0	0

^aTwenty-one days after application of simulated wastewater.

volatilized. When the PCE concentration in wastewater or column solutions is below its solubility concentration, the PCE behaves as a solute and is transported with the water until its movement is influenced by soil factors.

Table 3 shows that the second rainwater effluent from both the loam and sandy soil contained small amounts of PCE. It is apparent from these data that the PCE is moving through the soil profiles much more slowly than the water in which it was introduced. It is proposed that in these cases the PCE in solution was transported far enough into the wet soil of the microcosms so that it was not subject to rapid volatilization. It has been shown that, compared with volatilization from water, soil inhibits the volatilization of PCE and other chlorinated solvents by an order of magnitude.¹² In the microcosm, the transport velocity of the PCE was retarded by sorption to soil constituents to the extent that the PCE did not emerge until the second rainwater leach. Comparison of the velocity of water with that of PCE in the microcosms results in a retardation factor between 2 and 3 for PCE, which agrees with a value of about 2.5 for PCE reported by Piowoni et al.¹³

It is possible that some unvolatilized PCE moved into the clay soils as well, but because of the higher clay and organic carbon content of this soil (Table 2), the transport of the PCE might have been retarded to a greater extent¹⁴ than in the loam or sandy soils, so that it had not emerged from the microcosm, even with the fourth rainwater leach. If this is the case, the PCE should be found in the soil samples from below the surface in the clay microcosm profile. Unfortunately, soil samples at this depth could not be analyzed.

In these experiments, 10.2 cm (4 in.) of simulated rain was applied to the microcosms within a 2-week period. This resulted in the soil profiles being in a near-saturated condition throughout the experiment. Under field conditions, this amount of rain may take from weeks to months to occur and percolate through the soil profile. In addition, the soil profile may dry to varying depths between rains, providing additional opportunity for volatile compounds (including PCE) to evaporate through open soil pores. Conversely, if a heavy storm (precipitation >2 in.) floods a site shortly after a decon operation, more PCE may be transported into or through the soil than under dry conditions.

¹²J. T. Wilson, et al. (1981).

¹³M. D. Piowoni, et al, "Behavior of Organic Pollutants During Rapid-Infiltration of Wastewater into Soil: I. Process Definition and Characterization Using a Microcosm," *Hazardous Waste and Hazardous Materials Journal*, Vol 3 (1986), pp 43-55.

¹⁴J. T. Wilson, et al. (1981).

4 CONCLUSIONS

These microcosm experiments indicate that even under the "worst-case" conditions, most of the PCE in simulated C-8 decon agent wastewater was lost through volatilization at or near the microcosm soil surface, because a sticky wastewater residue that contained most of the PCE was filtered out by soil particles and other components near the surface of the soil. Low concentrations of PCE were detected only in the second rainwater effluent, indicating that PCE is retarded in its transport through the soil when compared to the movement of water. Only a very small fraction of the PCE present in the C-8 wastewater was detected in these rainwater effluents. Because PCE is considered a pollutant at any concentration, even these small amounts of PCE pose a threat of groundwater contamination. In most cases, actual C-8 wastewater would contain less PCE than the simulated wastewater, and actual field conditions would provide more opportunities for PCE volatilization than did the experimental conditions.

REFERENCES

- Army Regulation (AR) 200-2, *Environmental Effects of Army Actions* (Headquarters, Department of the Army [HQDA], 1 September 1981).
- Benford, P. J., and D. J. Wentz, *Environmental Overview of Decontaminating Agents*, (Draft) (Department of the Army, 1984).
- Day, P. R., "Particle Fractionation and Particle-Size Analysis, Methods of Soil Analysis," *Agronomy 9 Part 1*, C. A. Black, Ed. (American Society of Agronomy, 1965), pp 545-567.
- Field Manual (FM) 3-5, *NBC Decontamination* (Headquarters, Department of the Army [HQDA], 24 June 1985).
- Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste*, 40 CFR 261.63 (July 1, 1988).
- Mapes, D. R., et al., *Soil Survey of DuPage and Part of Cook Counties, Illinois* (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 1979).
- Nelson, D. W., and L. E. Sommers, "Total Carbon, Organic Carbon, and Organic Matter, Methods of Soil Analysis," *Agronomy 9 Part 2*, 2nd Edition, A. L. Page, Ed. (American Society of Agronomy, 1982), pp 539-580.
- Piowoni, M. D., et al., "Behavior of Organic Pollutants During Rapid-Infiltration of Wastewater into Soil: I. Process Definition and Characterization Using a Microcosm," *Hazardous Waste and Hazardous Materials Journal*, Vol 3 (1986), pp 43-55.
- Wilson, J. T., et al., "Transport and Fate of Selected Organic Pollutants in a Sandy Soil," *Journal of Environmental Quality*, Vol 10 (1981), pp 501-506.

APPENDIX:

ANALYSIS FOR PERCHLOROETHYLENE IN DECON WASTEWATER*

SELECTION OF GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY COLUMN AND OPERATING PARAMETERS

Initial work on this project consisted of establishing an analysis method for tetrachloroethylene (perchloroethylene, or PCE). This effort consisted of finding the correct gas chromatography (GC) column, flow rate, and conditions for the analyses. The results are summarized as follows:

GC column	Quadrex 15 m x 0.32 mm MP5 (5.0 μ m film)
Head pressure	14 psi
Injector	HP5880A - type B
Injection	Splitless - split 0.6 min
Initial oven temp.	100 °C
Initial time	5 min
Final oven temp.	260 °C
Final time	2 min
Program rate	20 °C/min

Although the first column tried, 30 m x 0.32 mm DB5 (0.25 μ m film), did not resolve PCE adequately, it was found from the relative peak widths at different starting temperatures that 100 °C is the appropriate starting temperature. The second column tried provided acceptable resolution. A column velocity of 25 to 30 mL/min was used (14 psi). Pure (98 percent) PCE was well resolved from minor contaminants. It was also easily separated from potential solvents: acetone and methylene chloride. Initial work was begun by injecting the water samples neat (unextracted), first using an HP5880A GC equipped with a flame ionization detector and next with a Varian 3700 GC equipped with an electron capture detector. In both cases, the water interfered with the chromatography and made an extraction technique necessary.

Two solvents were tested for the extractions: pentane and hexane. Pentane offers the advantage of easier chromatographic separation from PCE; however, hexane is less volatile and less likely to evaporate. Methylene chloride was not tried, because it would have made future electron capture work impossible. Both hexane and pentane were tried singly and in combination with PCE-saturated water. The simulated wastewater sample was extracted with pentane and hexane as a final test; the hexane worked well and was chosen as the extracting medium for subsequent work.

Sample Analysis Methods

Because partition coefficients were not available, it was necessary to establish the efficiency of extraction. This was done by preparing a water solution that was saturated with PCE and extracting it with hexane. After each extraction, the hexane layer was analyzed. Approximately 97 percent of the PCE was dissolved in the first extract. In terms of area counts, the PCE in the first extract had 4325, compared with 139 in the

*Provided by J. C. Demirgian, Organic Analysis Group, Analytical Chemistry Laboratory, Argonne National Laboratory.

second extract. Hence, only a single extraction would be necessary. An extraction was then done on the sample DDC8S (run 107), and the technique worked well. After the initial method appeared successful, a PCE in hexane standard (PCESTD) was prepared and analyzed. The standard was made by weighing 66.1 mg of PCE and diluting to 10 mL (6.61 mg/mL). Two 10X dilutions were made of this stock solution (661 and 66.1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ [1000 and 100 ppm], respectively). GC analysis showed the response to be linear throughout this concentration range. To test the accuracy of the standard, a second standard was prepared (PCESTD2), consisting of 40.8 mg PCE in 10 mL of hexane. This second standard was diluted 3X to provide a working standard of 1360 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (618 and 61.8 ppm). GC runs were made on both standards to test their accuracy and precision. The data are summarized below:

<u>Standards</u>	<u>Area Counts</u>	<u>Counts/Nanogram Injected</u>
66.1	225	1.70
66.1	246	1.86
661.0	2560	1.94
40.8	141	1.73
1360.0	4595	1.69

Due to the large number of samples, it is both time- and cost-efficient to develop an automated analysis program to control the autosampler, calculate the results, and store the data. An initial test on the reproducibility of the system for the 1360- $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (2060 ppm) standard resulted in area counts of 6695, 6888, and 6888. Reproducibility was excellent. The retention time of PCE is 3.99 minutes.

It was proposed that the low results for the wastewater samples were due to the PCE adhering to the powder residue in the vials. To check this theory, the remaining 10 mL of sample was decanted from the residue and the residue extracted 2X with 2 mL of hexane. The hexane extracts were mixed with the 10 mL of decanted liquid. The hexane was removed and analyzed, and the theory was confirmed; duplicate analyses showed the residue to have significantly more PCE than the liquid.

The presence or absence of PCE in the acetone-extracted soil was not immediately apparent, because there was a major peak with a retention time of 4.45 min, approximately 0.5 minutes longer than that of PCE. By spiking these samples with PCE, it was determined that the unknown peak was not PCE. A GC/MS run was made, and the peak at 4.45 min was identified as diacetone alcohol. The small peak preceding it is mesityl oxide. A GC/FTIR run was made to see if there were any components that contained carbon-chlorine bonds. No halogen-containing material was found. The large acetone solvent peak present was investigated to see if it and PCE were coeluting. By using background subtraction, it was determined that only acetone and water were present.

USACERL DISTRIBUTION

**Chief of Engineers
ATTN: CEIM-SL
ATTN: CERD-L**

**Chemical Research Development and
Engineering Center 21005 (2)**

**Army Chemical School
Fort McClellan 36205**

**HQ, FORSCOM
ATTN: Environmental Officer**

**HQ, TRADOC
ATTN: Environmental Officer**

**HQ, AMC
ATTN: Environmental Officer**

USAEHSC, ATTN: Library 22060

**HSC
Ft. Sam Houston AMC 78234
ATTN: HSLO-F
Fitzsimons AMC 80045
ATTN: HSHG-DEH
Walter Reed AMC 20307
ATTN: Facilities Engineer**

**Defense Technical Info. Center 22314
ATTN: DDA (2)**

Engr Societies Library, NY 10017

**US Govt Print Office 22304
Receiving Sect/Depository Copies (2)**

**US Army Env. Hygiene Agency
ATTN: HSHB-E 21010**

National Bureau of Standards 20899

**19
3/89**