KONRAD ADENAUER'S MILITARY ADVISORS

CPT(P) JENNIFER R. POURNELLE HQDA, TAPA (DAPC-OPA-E) 200 STOVALL STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22332

FINAL REPORT 13 FEB 89

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A



A THESIS PRESENTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE GRADUATE SCHOOL OF CORNELL UNIVERSITY IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ARTS

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188 Exp. Date: Jun 30, 1986	
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
UNCLASSIFIED		NONE				
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY NOT APPLICABLE		3. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT				
2b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE NOT APPLICABLE		DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A				
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(5)				
FINAL REPORT 13 FEB 89		FINAL REPORT 13 FEB 89				
63 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION HQDA, TAPA	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)		MONITORING ORGANIZATION			
	DAPC-OPA-E	HQDA, TAI				
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)				
200 STOVALL ST., ALEXANDRIA, VA 22332		200 STOVALL ST., ALEXANDRIA, VA 22332				
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			TON NUMBER	
HQDA, TAPA	PAPC-OPA-E					
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROJECT TASK WORK UNIT				
200 STOVALL ST., ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22332	PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO.	
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification)			L	<u>. </u>		
KONRAD ADENAUER'S MILITARY	ADVISORS (UN	NCLASSIFIED))			
12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)						
CPT(P) JENNI	FER R. POURNE					
13a. TYPE OF REPORT M.A. THESIS FROM		14. DATE OF REPO 890213	RT (Year, Month,		PAGE COUNT 7 incl. frt mt	
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION						
Master of Arts Thesis, Department of Germanic Studies, Cornell University 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)						
17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse	e ir necessary and	identity	by block number;	
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	4					
	·					
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) The question: Why did Konrad Adenauer appoint former Wehrmacht generals						
Hans Speidel and Adolf Heus	inger as√his	military a	dvisors?	is us	ed to examine	
the political relationships	between the	army offic	er corps,	state	e, and society	
in Western-occupied Germany immediately following the Second World War, and to are conclusions about the nature of the relationship between political and						
nilitary power.	nature of the	relations	hip between	en po	litical and	
This examination indic	ates long ter	r socio-no	litical w	: f+~ .	within the Com	
nan Army Officer Corps, and	shows that A	denamer's	elevation	of ma	wrenth the Ger-	
action represented by Spei	del and Heusi	nger was p	art of the	a lar	der process by	
hich elements of the Chris	tian Democrat	ic Union (Germany's	conse	ervative party)	
consolidated political pow	er from 1945-	1948.	_			
I further argue that A	denauer's act	ions were	intertwine	ed wit	th and success-	
full because of his factio	n's participa	tion of po	stwar West	ern s	security poli-	
cies, especially vis-a-vis 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT		nion 21 ABSTRACT SEC UNCLASSII	CURITY CLASSIFICA	ATION		
Z2a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL CPT(P) JENNIFER R. POURNEL:		0211-43-1-) 22c. O	FFICE SYMBOL	
	PR edition may be used ur	itil exhausted.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CLASSIEIC	ATION OF THIS PAGE	

KONRAD ADENAUER'S MILITARY ADVISORS

A Thesis

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of Cornell University

in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Master of Arts

by

Jennifer René Pournelle

May, 1989

② Jennifer René Pournelle 1989 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

ABSTRACT

This thesis poses the question: Why did Konrad Adenauer appoint former Wehrmacht generals Hans Speidel and Adolf Heusinger as his military advisors? The question is used to examine the political relationships between the army officer corps, state, and society in Western-occupied Germany immediately following the Second World War, and to draw conclusions about the nature of the relationship between political and military power.

To answer this question, I have historiography of the German postwar period; twentieth-century military historiography; historical and sociological examinations of politico-military relationships in general; and political science analyses of postwar German domestic and foreign policy issues, especially as they relate to those of the Western occupying powers.

In the narrowest sense, I conclude that Adenauer picked these particular men to be his military advisors because they were technically competent and highly qualified; trusted by and acceptable politically to the United States, France, and key members of his own and the opposition political parties; and shared an interrelated set of political and moral convictions which were nearly identical to his own.

More broadly, I show that consideration of this shared world view reveals the socio-political basis of

long term rifts within the German army officer corps, and that Adenauer's elevation of men from the particular army faction represented by Speidel and Heusinger to positions of international prominence was part of the larger which process by West German liberal-democratic parliamentarians in general, and centrist elements of Adenauer's Christian Democratic Union in particular consolidated domestic political power. argue that these actions were part of a conscious effort on Adenauer's part to prevent politically reactionary elements of the ex-military from ever regaining political dominance, and show that this effort both intertwined with and successful because of his faction's participation in the formulation of postwar Western security policies vis-a-vis the Soviet Union.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Captain Jennifer R. Pournelle was born 1 May, 1955 in Seattle, Washington, and attended public schools in Washington, California, Louisiana, and Indiana. In 1977 she was awarded the Bachelor of Science degree (With Honor) from the Michigan State University Honors College, and thereafter continued graduate study of Anthropology.

Her work was interrupted by financial and family difficulties, and in 1978 she enlisted as a Medical Specialist in the United States Army. After completing Officer's Candidate School in 1979, she was commissioned as a Second Lieutenant, U.S. Army Military Intelligence.

Captain Pournelle's military education includes the Intelligence Officer's Basic and Advanced Military Courses; the Air Defense Officer's Advanced Course; the (Tactical Surveillance) and Image Interpretation Warfare Staff Officer Courses: Electronic the Combined Arms and Staff Services School. She has served staff officer in military commander and as intelligence, air defense, and artillery units with the XVIIIth Airborne Corps, Ft. Bragg, North Carolina and the Vth (U.S.) Corps, Federal Republic of Germany.

On completion of Cornell University's Master of Arts program in German Area Studies in February, 1989, she will begin aide-de-camp duties for the Joint Defense Delegate to the Conventional Stability Talks in Vienna, Austria.

DEDICATION

To the memory of Stephen

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to Major William and Mrs. Ann McConnell, for encouraging me to enter this program; and to Colonel Roger A. Brown and Lieutenant Colonel Michael L. Warner, for helping to make that possible;

Chaplain (Lieut. Col.) Don and Mrs. Della Peterson, Father David and Mrs. Laura Neri, the Chaplaincy and membership of The Episcopal Church at Cornell, and the Hostmistress and Brothers of Mt. Savior Monastery, for spiritual guidance, moral support, and generous hospitality throughout the process;

Brigadier General Ross Crossley, Dr. George brampton, Dr. Peter Honendahl, Dr. Peter Katzenstein, and Dr. Herbert Deinert for their mentorship, as well as for stimulating my efforts and thoughts more than they or I had realized at the time;

The United States Army Foreign Area Officer Branch and Education Requirements Board, for generously ensuring full funding;

Mr. Peter Campbell and the Desk and Reference Staff of Olin Graduate Library of Cornell University, for their forbearance in the face of my ignorance, irreverence, and unorthodoxy;

The United States Army Student Potachment Soldier Support Center, Ft. Benjamin Harrison, Indiana; Major

Andrew Loersch; and the ROTC Department of Cornell University, for sharing and minimizing the inevitable administrative burdens;

Ruth Mendum, Dan Purdy, and Rahel Sterling, for proofreading and helpful critical comments;

My many faithful friends and family;

And most especially to Dr. Isabel V. Hull, for providing conscientious guidance and assistance above and beyond the call of duty of a minor committee member, and for doing so under exceptionally trying circumstances.

J.R.P.

Ithaca, NY

January, 1989

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	V
INTRODUCTION: The German Army in Society and State Since 1945	
Why Did Konrad Adenauer Need Military Advisors?	8
Why Did He Choose Adolf Heusinger and Hans Speidel?	16
Continuity and Change in the Politics of the German Army 1918-1950	28
Power Politics, Command Authority, and Military Ideology in the Founding of the West German Federal Republic	30
CONCLUSION: The Military Polity	41
BIBLIOGRAPHY:	44
Primary Sources	44
English German	
Memoirs and Diaries	46
English German	
Secondary Sources	47
"German Militarism"; "German Nationalism";	47 48 48
Military Affairs	49
Diplomatic, political, and social history The army, army officer corps, and para- military organizations Intelligence organizations Officer resistance to National Socialism Denazification and war-crimes trials Neo-nationalism; neo-Nazism; Nazi hunting	

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)	
BIBLIOGRAPHY (Continued)	
Secondary Sources (Continued)	
West German Security Policy, Alliance Formation, and Rearmament	
The Marshall Plan NATO	
German	
References	57 61
Diplomatic, political, and social history The army and army officer corps Resistance to National Socialism War crimes trials; neo-Nazism; Nazi-hunting	
West German (Postwar) History	
Organized support for and opposition to	

NATO
The Bundeswehr

had entered Capernaum When they centurion came up to ask his help. 'Sir,' he said, 'a boy of mine lies at home paralyzed and racked with pain.' Jesus said, 'I will come and cure him.' But the centurion replied, 'Sir, who am I to have you under my roof? You need only say the word and the boy will be I know, for I am myself under orders, with soldiers under me. I say to one, "Go", and he goes; to another, "Come here", and he comes; and to my servant, "Do this", and he does it.' Jesus heard him with astonishment, and said to the people who were following him, 'I tell you this: nowhere, even in Israel, have Many, I tell you, will I found such faith. come from east and west to feast with Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob in the kingdom of Heaven. But those who were born to the kingdom will be driven out into the dark, the place of wailing and grinding of teeth.

Then Jesus said to the centurion, 'Go home now; because of your faith, so let it be.' At

that moment the boy recovered.

Matthew 8: 5-13 The New English Bible Second Corrected Edition

INTRODUCTION

The German Army in Society and State Since 1945

It is difficult to compare the rearmament of Germany after 1949 to German rearmament after the Treaty of Versailles. It is also difficult to compare the role played by the Federal German Army (Bundesheer) within its parent society to that played by the armies of the Wehrmacht in the Third Reich, the Reichswehr in the Weimar Republic, or the Army of the Confederation in the Wilhelmian Empire. In all cases, Stunde Null (Zero Hour) intrudes.

Lurking behind Stunde Null--the idea that 8 May 1945 (the date of Germany's surrender after the Second World War) does or should or could represent a total break with the German past1--are fears that the events leading to that fateful hour could be repeated, or that some of the persons responsible for those events could be either exonerated or indicted. As a result, few historians

Ulrich Albrecht, et.al. (Hg.), Zusammenbruch oder Befreiung: Zur Aktualität des 8. Mai 1945 (Berlin, 1986).

As has been debated ad nauseum by West German historians and politicians over the past half-decade in Historikerstreit. Konrad what has been termed the misinterprets this 'discussion' Jarausch intergenerational methodological dispute in "Removing the Nazi Stain? The Quarrel of the German Historians" (German Studies Review, May 88: 285-301). Richard J. Evans hits "For nearer the real heart of the problem: West Germany's] position and reputation in the world, [whether Auschwitz was unique or not] is very relevant. This is, no doubt, why conservative historians in the Federal Republic are so anxious to deny [its] singularity...and to suggest that other nations have committed similar crimes." "The New Nationalism and the Old History:

have attempted to bridge this barrier between prewar and postwar Germany, ³ and analytic categories such as "militarism" or "nationalism" have not been used to consider events preceding 1945 in the same way that they

Perspectives the West German Historikerstreit" on (Journal of Modern History Dec 87: 782). I would add that it is especially important for 'the position and reputation in the world' of West Germany's conservative political parties, who need continuing justification for the postwar decision not to rigidly pursue denazification or prosecution of 'lesser' war criminals. Further, for conservative pro-capitalists, it is necessary to show Hitler's rise was not 'caused' by the financial backing he received from a powerful circle of investment bankers and heavy industrialists; for liberal social democrats, it is equally necessary to defend against the conservative charge that the Soviet Stalinist experience was 'caused' by 'Marxist-socialist materialism'. Michael Foot touches on this debate outside Germany in his tribute to A.J.P. Taylor ("Alan Taylor") in: Warfare, Diplomacy, and Politics: Essays in Honor of A.J.P. Taylor (London, 1986: 3-13).

Examples are legion. Of book-length works, three in that they are otherwise indispensable out stand treatments of postwar history as it relates to military policy. Among popular surveys targeting the educated but scholarly reader, Geschichte der Bundesrepublik Deutschland (Karl Dietrich Bracher, Hg.; Stuttgart, 1981) Published in five volumes, it begins is noteworthy. with Theodor Eschenburg's Jahre der Besatzung: 1945-1949 and focuses on "the problem of the national and civic identity of the West German state" [David Gess, Peace and Stanford, 1985: 6] without considering pre-Survival; 1945 tradition. In VomReich zur Bundesrepublik 1980), Hanz-Peter considers (Stuttgart. Schwarz relationship of domestic economy to the development of foreign policy in Western-occupied Germany from 1945-He does convincingly show 'constants of Konrad Adenauer's political world view' (pp. 423- 442) beginning in 1919, but the rest of his lengthy study is devoted solely to the occupation period. Among works which specifically consider security issues, Gerhard Wettig, Entmilitarisierung und Wiederbewaffnung in Deutschland 1967) derives Federal German security policy (München, from that of allied and superpower politics beginning in 1941, but he does not consider domestic German security issues over the same period.

have been used toward events which follow it.4

These particular terms are in any case inconsistently or poorly defined, but when applied to German security policies and the German military, very often in addition they are muddled together. 5 Because

On the changing definition of militarism and the problems of its use in historiography, see Detlef Vogel, "Militarismus--unzeitgemässer Begriff oder Hilfsmittel?" historisches (Militärgeschichtliche Mitteilungen 1/86: 9-35, esp. pp. 22ff.), and Volker R. Berghahn, Militarism: The History of an International Debate 1861-1979 (Leamington Spa, Warwickshire 1981). The related problem of the change in how 'militarism' is both defined and used before and after 1918 is addressed by Michael Geyer in his introduction to Aufrüstung oder Sicherheit: Die Reichswehr in der Krise der Machtpolitik 1924-1936 (Wiesbaden, 1980: 1-15), and Geoff Eley, "Some Militarism" Militär in: on German Militarismus in der Weimar Republik, Hg. v. Klaus-Jürgen Müller and Eckardt Opitz (Düsseldorf, 1978: 223-304). German Nationalism Louis L. Snyder, Roots of (Bloomington, 1978) is a good example of the redefinition of 'nationalism' following the 'clean break in history' within a single work. Frank Ninkovich, after 1945 Germany and the United States: The Transformation of the German Question Since 1945 (Boston: Twayne, 1988) deals with "The German Question since 1945" as a fuzzy blend of militarism, National Socialism, reunification, nationalism. Kurt P. Tauber, Beyond Eagle and Swastika: Since (Middletown, 1945 Nationalism similarly equates 'resurgent nationalism' with 'the antiliberal tradition', rearmament, and 'resurgent National Socialism'. On recent problems associated with use of 'nationalism' in modern concept of German historiography, see Evans, "The New Nationalism": 761-797.

Emil Oberman, Soldaten, Bürger, Militaristen: Militär und Demokratie in Deutschland (Stuttgart, 1958) commits all these errors. In Der preussisch-deutsche Militarismus. Ein Kulturkomplex im sozialen Wandel (Köln, 1984) Emelio Willems not only fails to adequately define militarism, but confuses it with rearmament. 1977 Beitrage eines contributors to the May, Symposiums internationalen an der Hochschule Bundeswehr Hamburg (Müller and Opitz, Militär und Militarismus) consider this problem.

explanations for a constellation of issues on either side of 1945--- the German Problem', National Socialism, the Cold War, the Berlin Wall, 'the German Question', the Pax Atomica--- also have been assembled around some admixture of 'militarism' and 'nationalism' (however defined), 6 the German military is inevitably dragged into the mess. This tendency is especially prevalent in any discussion of the Bundeswehr.

The Wehrmacht was defeated, disarmed, and disbanded in 1945; the Bundeswehr was not activated until a decade later. It is therefore easy to limit an assessment of change in the politics of Germany's armed forces over the course of the twentieth century to a superficial argument that the Bundeswehr marks a total break with dangerous German military tradition. On the other hand,

"The first intake into the...Bundeswehr... consisted of more than 150,000 volunteers. There were 40,613 former officers of the Wehrmacht, 87,089 former NCO's and men and only some 10,000 who had no previous experience in

As is discussed vis-a-vis National Socialism by Juergen Kocka in "German History before Hitler: The Debate about the German Sonderweg" (J. of Contemporary History, 23:1 Jan 88: 3-16). See also Evans, "The New Nationalism". Marc Cioc explores the relationship among all these issues in: Pax Atomica: The Nuclear Defense Debate in West Germany During the Adenauer Era (New York, 1988).

One of the earliest examples: Fritz Rene Allemann, Bonn is Nicht Weimar (Köln/Berlin, 1956: 368-410). Eric Waldman, The Goose Step is Verboten: the German Army Today (Glencoe, 1964) is another popular survey with little analytical value focusing on opinion polls and official statements.

the army. Of 38 generals, 31 had been in [Hitler's] general staff..."8

So it is equally easy to purport a specific kind of continuity: that the *Bundeswehr* is a dangerous resurrection of some previous German army. 9 Both approaches largely skip over the decade from 1945-1955. Neither approach produces penetrating analysis.

All military institutions are systematically organized, giving them outward appearance an of uniformity. Furthermore, by design they enable whomever them to concentrate, mobilize, and exercise coercive force as an instrument of political power. result, their leadership--the officer corps--is often assumed to constitute en bloc both a polity and political system. 10 Its attitudes and actions are

⁸ Martin Kitchen, A Military History of Germany from the Eighteenth Century to the Present Day (Bloomington, 1975: 338).

John Laffin, Jackboot: The Story of the German Soldier (London, 1965) is a good example of viewpoint sensationalized. Hajo Herbell, Saat Verderbens (Berlin, 1961) provides it from the East German side. Kitchen's treatment (A Military History: 330-365) is more intelligent, but he does not distinguish between differing conservative traditions or demonstrate mechanism of command authority, and ultimately does not show the precise connections necessary to support his argument. The whole debate has been summarized neatly by Wilfred von Bredow: "It is not at all easy to get the information you want because it is frequently wrapped in lots of rubbish." Ouoted from: "The Ordeal of Tradition in the German Militaries" in: The Military, Militarism and the Polity: Essays in Honor of Morris Janowitz, ed. by Michael Martin and Ellen McCrate (New York, 1984: 187-199).

A polity is a group of people constituted as a cohesive political body; this is a unitary definition. A political system is the method of such a constitution;

usually traced as if they were always those of a unified political party, with a predictable party line. 11 The Kapp Putsch of 1920, an example of differing army factions pledging loyalty to differing political factions, showed that this has not always been true, even in Germany. 12 Careful study of officer resistance to Hitler's regime has also shown officer corps factionalism along ideological lines. 13 Whether these divisions are related, have continued, or have had significance after the war would be interesting to know in detail, for it is certainly true that the leadership of the German armies played a prominent role in twentieth-century German politics up to 1945, and that its position has been diminished since that time. 14

this is a relational definition.

¹¹ Karl Demeter commits this error when he perfunctorily reviews the attitudes and social origins of officers of the armies of Saxony, Bavaria, and Wüttemberg and labels their deviations from the Prussian model as aberrant to "the natural run of things." The German Officer-Corps in Society and State 1650-1945 (New York, 1965: 33).

¹² Gordon A. Craig, The Politics of the Prussian Army 1640-1945 (London, 1955: 375-380).

¹³ Peter Hoffman's most recent work, "Colonel Claus von Stauffenberg in the German Resistance to Hitler: Between East and West" (The Historical Journal 31:3, 629-650), makes convincing arguments in this regard which will be further discussed later in this paper.

¹⁴ Craig, Politics of the Prussian Army; Demeter, The German Army; Kitchen, A Military History; Isabel V. Hull, The Entourage of Kaiser Wilhelm II 1888-1918 (New York/London, 1981); Eric Waldman, Soldat im Staat: Der Staatsbürger in Uniform: Vorstellung und Wirklichkeit (Boppard a. Rhein, 1963); Carl-Gero von Ilseman, Die Bundeswehr in der Demokratie Zeit der Inneren Führung

To discover how and why this happened, I focused on the very particular role played by Konrad Adenauer's military advisors Adolf Heusinger and Hans Speidel during the years 1945-1949. These men are interesting for several reasons. First, Adenauer picked them at least a year before he became Chancellor in 1949. and they still enjoyed his favor upon his retirement. 15 Second, they were the first two general officers sworn into the Bundesheer on its activation in 1955. 16 years earlier, the Chancellor had made them responsible for its organization and manning, 17 and both went on to long and distinguished Bundesheer and NATO careers. 18 In this regard, their influence over military affairs outlived that of their patron. Finally, like his, their spanned the decades and governments Kaiserreich to Bundesrepublik--proving a survivability and adaptability that of itself demonstrates a continuity of some sort. The nature and meaning of that continuity is what we shall attempt to find.

⁽Hamburg, 1971).

¹⁵ Hans-Peter Schwarz, Die Ära Adenauer 1949-1957 (Geschichte der Bundesrepublik Deutschland, Bd. 2; Wiesbaden, 1981: 298); Hans Speidel, Aus unserer Zeit: Erinnerungen (Berlin/Frankfurt a.M./Wien, 1977: 252 ff., 412 ff.).

¹⁶ Waldman, *Goose Step*: 97.

¹⁷ Walter Henry Nelson, Germany Rearmed (New York, 1972: 30).

¹⁸ Schwarz, Die Ära Adenauer: 526 and 532.

Why Did Konrad Adenauer Need Military Advisors?

Asking why Konrad Adenauer chose his military advisors is really a refinement of the more global question: Why was Germany rearmed? At the outset, not only did rearmament appear to be economically unfeasible, but opposition to such a course was broadly based and well organized.

Upon Germany's surrender in 1945, "transportation and communications were disrupted, factories were closed, credit institutions had ceased to function[;] economic life had apparently come to an end..."19 Specifically, North German harbors and the Rhein network waterways were choked with wreckage and rendered nearly inoperable by the destruction of docking facilities, dams, and locks. Transshipment stock was at a premium: 77% of barges, 68% of locomotives, and 27% of railroad cars were destroyed or required extensive repairs. The railways were additionally crippled by the destruction of 22% of all tracks and thousands of bridges. Attempts to cope efficiently with this chaos were hampered by virtual cessation of mail and telephone service. 20 these woes, at a time when Germany desperately needed to repair of conserve industrial capacity to lines

Lucius D. Clay, Decision in Germany (New York, 1950): 185-186.

²⁰ *Ibid*.: 186-188.

communication, Allied pressures for extraction of war reparations led to the immediate onset of industrial dismantling. 21 This additionally hampered the country's ability to produce export goods, and hence to procure foreign exchange credits. 22 At the same time, the nation's coal production fell 60% below the 1938 level, and much of what was produced was diverted to Allied use, further limiting industrial production. With the onset of harsh winter weather and 50-80% of pre-war dwellings average citizen's daily destroyed, the caloric requirement went up at precisely the time when there was no heat, no organized construction industry, no coal for fertilizers production of artificial to boost agricultural output, no money to purchase limited capacity foodstuffs from abroad, and distribute what food was available. At the same time, refugees and expellees poured in from the East. eventually adding twelve million people to the population and swelling the ranks of the unemployed, who could not be put to work in idled and dismantled factories. The results were predictable: by fall of 1946, the mortality rate was up 35%; 50% of school-aged children had been exposed to tuberculosis: and Adenauer's medical statistician alleged a 62% drop in school performance, which he attributed to malnutrition. Hundreds of

²¹ Konrad Adenauer, Memoirs 1945-53 (Chicago, 1965): 58-59.

²² Clay, Decision: 196.

thousands suffered hunger edema, and average body weight among men and the elderly had dropped respectively to 13% and 20% below normal.²³

Under these conditions, it is not surprising that in 1950, when mention of the possibility of a German contribution to a West-bloc security force was first made public, outcry was immediate and virtually universal. no popular basis for rearmament profoundly war-weary population, which rallied to express its opposition to any notion of compulsory service in the movement. 24 so-called "Ohne Mich" (without me) Professional soldiers, angered by what they viewed as unfair scapegoating of their brethren at Nuremberg. refused to serve unless their reputations rehabilitated. 25 The SPD feared that West rearmament would jeopardize any hope of future German reunification, and Kurt Schumacher's vehement opposition on those grounds is well known. 26 Even within Adenauer's

Adenauer, Memoirs: 58-63.

A. J. Ryder, Twentieth-Century Germany From Bismarck to Brandt (New York, 1973): 502; Schwarz, Die Ära Adenauer: 119-126.

Ryder, Twentieth-Century Germany: 503; Heinz-Ludger Borgert, Walter Stürm and Norbert Wiggershaus, Dienstgruppen und Westdeutscher Verteidigungsbeitrag: Vorüberlegnungen zur Bewaffnung der Bundesrepublik Deutschland (Boppard a. Rhein, 1982).

Udo F. Löwke, Für den Fall, dass...Die Haltung der SPD zur Wehrfrage 1949-1955 (Hanover, 1969); Ryder, Twentieth-Century Germany: 496; Lothar Wilker, Die Sicherheitspolitik der SPD 1956-1966: Zwischen Wiedervereinigungs- und Bündnisorientierung (Bonn, 1977).

own coalition, the churches, sensitized by charges that they had not done enough to oppose Hitler's rise, were doubly concerned to resist rearmament on theological grounds. This sentiment ran so deep that Gustav Heineman, Adenauer's Interior Minister, resigned and quit the CDU, threatening to split the party.²⁷ The BHE (Refugee's and Expellee's Party) had the same fears as the SPD. and even FDP and right wing CDU banking interests were opposed: they did not believe that rapid economic recovery could continue if scarce steel and coal stocks were diverted into production of military Ironically, despite the influx of refugees, materiel. they were also dismayed by a shortage of men; apparently integration of women into armed services or the labor considered, despite the successful force was not American, British, and Russian wartime experience. 28 And the occupying Allies certainly had no support at home for any action perceived as boding a militaristic German revival.²⁹

The idea that rearmament could have been accomplished democratically in the face of such

Ryder: 503; Johanna Vogel, Kirche und Wiederbewaffnung: Die Haltung der Evangelischen Kirche in Deutschland in den Auseinandersetzungen um die Wiederbewaffnung der Bundesrepublik 1949-1956 (Göttingen, 1978); Schwarz, Die Ära Adenauer: 122.

²⁸ Schwarz, Die Ära Adenauer: 110-112 and 119-126.

²⁹ Ryder, Twentieth-Century Germany: 457; 502.

widespread opposition is so paradoxical as to appear impossible, and many have struggled to explain how it Badstübner's Marxist view could have happened at all.. is that capitalism leads inevitably to militarism, and hence to rearmament, although he does not then explain why rearmament was done in East Germany. 30 Similarly, Homze and Lider argue that a German military-industrial complex exists, which in the plodding pursuit of its own interests never developed a conceptual self-possessed framework to justify rearmament, but simply occupied itself with the practicalities of achieving it, producing an inevitable outcome. They do not, however, show why this outcome is inevitable. 31 Albrecht claims rearmament was accomplished as the result of a coalition between the German military and a U.S. 'hardliner' faction; 32 Allen similarly charges that Adenauer, his advisors, and John Foster Dulles (U.S. Secretary of State) were members of an international power elite which ruthlessly pursued its own program, irrespective of popular wishes. 33

Rolf Badstübner, Das Wiedererstehen des Militarismus in Westdeutschland (1945-1960) (Dokumente und Materielen) (Berlin, 1962).

Business and World Military-Industrial Complexes ed. by Benjamin Franklin Cooling (London, 1981). Julian Lider, Problems of Military Policy in the Konrad Adenauer Era (1949-1966) (Helsinki, 1984).

³² Ulrich Albrecht, Die Wiederaufrustung der Bundesrepublik: Analyze und Dokumentation (Köln, 1980).

³³ Charles R. Allen, Heusinger of the Fourth Reich (New York, 1963).

Kitchen provides a line of reasoning which brings these trains of thought together. He argues that in order to insure "that West Germany was fully integrated into the American-dominated anti-communist and capitalist bloc" Adenauer reinstalled the same industrial military elite which was responsible for the rise of Nazism, and that rearmament was never perceived by them as a military necessity, but was done to win a place for Germany among the Western Powers. He states that "the remilitarization of Germany was the golden opportunity for those who were threatened by the general trend towards a thorough-going democratization of society, and was the keystone of the restorative policies of the postwar epoch."34 Tauber agrees, identifies the war veterans used to staff the Bundeswehr as unrepentant alte-Nazis, and views their reinstatement as dangerous. 35 More sympathetically, Prittie argues that rearmament was the only means by which Adenauer's government could guickly reestablish German sovereignty. 36 Like the harsher critics of the far left, Calleo's more penetrating analysis does not see this, or 'German militarism' in general, as being different from Great Power politics anywhere. 37

³⁴ Kitchen, A Military History: 331.

³⁵ Tauber, Beyond Eagle and Swastika.

³⁶ Terence Prittie, The Velvet Chancellors: A History of Postwar Germany (London, 1979).

David Calleo, The German Problem Reconsidered

More recently, Fursdon argued that the failure of France, Italy, West Germany, Belgium, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands to resolve intractable domestic differences over the purpose of the proposed continental European Defense Community (France favoring a 'defense Germany'; the Benelux favoring a 'defense from the Soviet Union') was the catalyst for U.S./U.K. entry into the Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO). supposedly assuaged French fears sufficiently to cause that country to drop its objections to German rearmament. Implicit in this argument is the notion that a defensive which included a rearmed West Germany was alliance necessary, although he does not explain why. 38 had previously attributed these events to a triumph of a liberal-economist 'Europeanist' attitude, although with less attention paid to how this happened. 39 The extreme of this logic argues that West German integration into NATO was forced by international and especially U.S. pressure; Kaplan attempts to show that the Soviet threat was perceived as real by the U.S., who as a result saw West European integration into NATO as a necessity. 40

⁽London, 1978).

³⁸ Edward Fursdon, The European Defense Community: A History (New York, 1980).

³⁹ Nelson, Germany Rearmed.

⁴⁰ Lawrence S. Kaplan, A Community of Interests: NATO and the Military Assistance Program, 1948-1951 (Washington, 1980).

Recent work challenges this notion by asserting that weaker nations, especially Great Britain, in fact exerted influence to overcome American hesitation toward entering the alliance.⁴¹

The most extreme position in support of West German rearmament holds that Soviet Russian expansionism made any other course unthinkable. As early as 1966, Richardson argued that contemporary Western opinion greatly exaggerated the importance of adventurism and irredentism in Germany, and equally underestimated German concern for external security. Recent work defends the view that many West German decision-makers did perceive the Soviet threat as real, and that their security concerns were genuine. 44

Nicholas Henderson, The Birth of NATO (Boulder, "Die Jürgen C. Hess and Friso Wielenga, 1983); Niederlande und die Wiedervereinigung Deutschlands; Ein Beitrag zur Debatte um die »Verpassten Gelegenheiten« im Jahr 1952," Vierteljahrshefte für Zeitgeschichte 35:3 349-384; "Dominanz Gunther Mai, (Juli 87): Kooperation im Bündnis? Die Sicherheitspolitik der USA Verteidigungsbeitrag Europas 1945-1956," der 246:2 (April 88): 327-364. Historische Zeitschrift Martin H. Folly, "Breaking the Vicious Circle: Britain, The United States, and the Genesis of the North Atlantic Treaty, Diplomatic History 12:1 (Winter 88):59-77 provides the most recent summary of this trend in historiography.

⁴² Karl Bower, Deutsche Verteidigungspolitik: 1945-1963 Dokumente und Kommentare (Eine Auswahl) (Boppard a. Rhein, 1964).

James L. Richardson, Germany and the Atlantic Alliance: The Interaction of Strategy and Politics (Cambridge, 1966).

Norbert Wiggershaus, "Bedrohungsvorstellen Bundeskanzler Adenauers nach Ausbruch des Korea-Kriegs," Militärgeschichtliche Mitteilungen 1/79: 79-122; Roland

Still, none of these arguments of themselves explains how these perceptions and aims overcame the opposition, were translated into political decisions, and were eventually impressed upon the voters. And the fact remains that not only was the Pleven Plan ratified by the Bundestag, but the government which signed it was reelected by a clear, if not overwhelming, majority. 45

Why Did Adenauer Choose Adolf Heusinger and Hans Speidel?

Careful consideration of the particular circumstances leading to Adenauer's appointment of Hans Speidel and Adolf Heusinger as his military advisors makes this process much clearer. Both men were known to the Americans as members of the officers' resistance to Hitler. In May, 1944 Heusinger, then Director of the Wehrmacht Military Operations Branch and Deputy Chief of the General Staff, had been identified by Allen Dulles (then OSS representative in Bern) as having helped convince Chief of General Staff Zeitzler to go along with

G. Foerster et. al., Von der Kapitulation bis zum Pleven-Plan, Anfänge westdeutscher Sicherheitspolitik 1945-1956 1, hg. v. Militärgeschichtlichen Forschungsamt (München, 1982); Manfred Overesch, "Senior West German Politicians and their Perception of the German Situation 1945-1949," Dietrich Staritz Sywottek, "The International Political Situation as seen by the German Linksparteien (SPD, SED, and KPD) between 1945 and 1949," and Wilfried Loth, "German Conceptions of Europe during the Escalation of the East-West Conflict, 1945-1949," all contained in Power in Europe? Great Britain, France, Italy, and Germany in a Postwar World 1945-1950, ed. by Joseph Becker and Franz Knipping (Berlin/New York, 1986).

⁴⁵ Ryder, Twentieth-Century Germany: 503.

General Ludwig Beck's April offer to attempt an ouster of the Nazi regime in exchange for a separate peace with the Western Allies. 46 Speidel, also a member of the Beck-Goerdeler faction, had played a similar role convincing Field Marshall Erwin Rommel, whom he had served as Chief of Staff at Rommel's personal request, to go along with the resisters' plans. Speidel had been arrested by the Gestapo following the July assassination attempt, had shared a prison cell with Adenauer's future personal assistant Ernst Wirmer, and in 1945 was liberated by the French. 47 In addition, he had several other French connections dating from his 1933 appointment as military attaché in Paris, the most notable among them being André François-Poncet, French Ambassador to Germany. 48 Further, Heusinger had served as an expert and material witness for the U.S. prosecutor at Nuremberg. 49

⁴⁶ Foreign Relations of the United States (Washington, 1944 v.I); Magruder to Warren: 512-513.

Peter Hoffmann, The History of the German Resistance 1933-1945 (Cambridge, 1977): 351-354; Nelson: 26-27.

⁴⁸ Hans Speidel, Aus unserer Zeit: Erinnerungen (Berlin/Frankfurt a.M./Wien, 1977: 54).

Allen, Heusinger; Joachim Krüger and Joachim Schulz, Kriegsverbrecher Heusinger: 45 Jahre im Solde des deutschen Imperialismus (Berlin, 1960); Hellweg Oley, Der 20. Juli und der Fall Heusinger (Berlin, 1960). All three of these sensational works are part of the Sovietled outcry against Heusinger's appointment as Inspector-General of the Bundeswehr. Understandably, they make much of Heusinger's close proximity to Hitler on the day of the 20 July assassination attempt, his OKH (Army High

Both Speidel and Heusinger were intelligent. technically and tactically proficient, and had established brilliant professional reputations in performance of their highly-placed staff duties. It was therefore not surprising that the Occupation Army would attempt to benefit from their expertise, as it did from that of many ex-Wehrmacht officers. 50 At war's end, both men were quickly assigned to work under the Americans: Speidel with the Army Historical Office, Heusinger with Gehlen Organization.⁵¹ the so-called Their added reputation as Nazi resisters made the precise nature of their duties -- or more specifically, Heusinger's duties -especially significant.

In 1945, the United States had no established

Jodl, Command) service under and especially operations planning of the eastern campaign and his awareness of orders directing the brutal anti-partisan activities conducted by the Waffen-SS, over whom the OKH had no direct command authority. While they do well to question the cleanliness of the hands of a man who did not initiate, but did relay such orders, they also downplay or ignore both Heusinger's ultimate dismissal by Hitler for consistently and vociferously opposing those anti-partisan policies, and his full cooperation with the Nuremberg prosecution. In any event, while charging Heusinger as a 'Nazi war-criminal', they introduce no facts which were not already known by the Allies at the original war crimes trials.

⁵⁰ Georg Meyer, "Zur Situation der deutschen Militärischen Führungsschicht im Vorfeld des Westdeutschen Verteidigungsbeitrages 1945-1950/51" in Foerster et.al., Von der Kapitulation: 674-684.

⁵¹ Speidel, Aus unserer Zeit: 239; Heinz Höhne and Hermann Zolling, The General Was A Spy: The Truth About General Gehlen and His Spy Ring (New York, 1972: 8-9, 71). (Despite the sensational title of the English translation, this is a well documented study and remains to date the definitive account of Gehlen's work.)

intelligence gathering capability in the territory its soon-to-become-intransigent controlled by Therefore, when Reinhard Gehlen, the senior allies. intelligence officer of the Abwehr's Fremde Heere Ost (Foreign Armies East, the directorate controlling all intelligence operation in Eastern Europe), offered to deliver wholesale to the United States his intelligence staff, files, and agent network--in exchange for prosecutorial immunity--William Donovan, Director of the OSS, urged and won U.S. acceptance. 52 Gehlen had received his intelligence and staff training under Heusinger, and immediately recruited his old boss to work in this reactivated operation. 53 While the exact nature of the intelligence estimates developed by these men is a matter for conjecture, Speidel's have been published, and he claims to have based them upon material supplied him by Gehlen, and to have discussed them later with his old friend and colleague Heusinger. 54 Speidel and Heusinger had been close since their 1929 General Staff College davs.55 is reasonable to assume that Speidel's It

⁵² Höhne: 59-62; Meyer: 684; Leonard Mosley, Dulles: A Biography of Eleanor, Allen, and John Foster Dulles and Their Family Network (New York, 1978: 229-236).

⁵³ Höhne: 71.

⁵⁴ Roland G. Foerster, "Innenpolitische Aspekte der Sicherheit Westdeutschlands 1947-1950" in Foerster et.al., Von der Kapitulation: 414; Speidel, Aus unserer Zeit: 249, 255.

⁵⁵ Ibid.: 41.

estimates were not substantively different from those passed to the Americans by the Gehlen Organization.

The first of these, formally prepared in June, 1948, was in essence a standard military intelligence forceratio comparison. It demonstrated that the massive numbers of Soviet troops and tanks within the occupied eastern areas could not be countered with conventional Western Allied forces remaining in Europe. In this comparison, it also assumed hostile, expansionist Soviet intentions. 56 Such an extrapolation of intentions from capabilities is to be expected from a military intelligence estimate, especially one prepared by senior officers who had recently completed a hard-fought campaign against the forces in question. To the eyes of German veterans of the eastern battlefields, any Soviet military presence must have appeared hostile--the Soviets had already been defined by war experience as 'the' enemy. The significance is that, at the time, there was no non-German intelligence service available to provide a competing estimate of Soviet intentions, rather than capabilities -- and any Soviet action thereafter would thus come to be interpreted in light of those original estimates. 57 It is not then surprising that those

⁵⁶ Ibid.: 454-465.

⁵⁷ The OSS was disbanded in 1945; the CIA activated in 1948. Significantly, it was headed by Allen Dulles, but required several years to gain influence and reputation.

American voices which had always decried any attempt to deflate the Soviet Bolshevist threat to Western Europe should have gained in strength.

Strongest among these had been Donovan himself; his emphasis however, had been tempered by both President Franklin D. Roosevelt and Allen Dulles, neither of whom shared his uncompromising attitude. When Roosevelt died, Donovan was determined both to save the OSS and to press his anti-Soviet stance upon presidential successor Harry S. Truman, and he attempted to use Gehlen to further both His plans backfired. On September 20th, these aims. day Truman signed the Executive Order 1945. the disbanding the OSS, Reinhard Gehlen and the remnants of staff landed in Washington. 58 By 1946, listening to several of Gehlen's debriefings and being depressed by rising reports from the field of Russian atrocities 'anti-democratic' and 'politically and intolerant' actions. Allen Dulles became disillusioned and began to shift his support away from the Soviets -- as he later argued to his brother Secretary of State John He agreed that the U.S. should accept Gehlen's Foster. offer. But both Dulles and Donovan were outmaneuvered by Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson. Gehlen and his service were accepted and fully funded--but attached to Army G-2, not to the tiny Central Intelligence Group

⁵⁸ Mosley, Dulles: 236; Thomas F. Troy, Donovan and the CIA: A History of the Establishment of the CIA (Washington, 1981: 269).

which was activated in the summer of 1946. Gehlen and his staff returned to Germany and began work under Lucius D. Clay, the American Proconsul. The effect of the Military Governor's reliance upon raw, unanylized Gehlen-Organization-generated estimates became clear in the March, 1948 "war scare", when the Army's panicked assessment of Soviet intentions following the February coup in Czechoslovakia won out over the more considered evaluation of the newly-established Central Intelligence Agency.⁵⁹

Against this backdrop, on 23 May, 1948 a group of Southwestern Germans met quietly at Prince Max Fürstenberg's Schloss Heiligenberg south Ulm of Württemberg. This `Laupheimer Circle' Württemberg-Hohenzollern Deputy State President, Justice Minister, and future Bundestag President Carlo Schmidt (SPD); former Württemberg-Baden Minister of Culture, FDP Chairman Bundespräsident and future Theodor Heuss: Württemberg-Hohenzollern State President and CDU Chairman, and future Baden-Württemberg Minister-President Gebhard Müller; Württemberg-Hohenzollern State Finance Secretary Paul Binder (CDU); Württemberg-Hohenzollern economics advisor and Deputy Minister of the Interior, University of Tübingen Lecturer, and future historian and Professor of Political Science Eschenburg; Theodor

⁵⁹ Mosley: 227-229, 237-243; Troy: 269; Meyer, ref. fn. 50: 684.

University of Freiburg Historian Gerhard Ritter: Frankfurt lawyer and former Prussian Finance Minister Otto Klepper; journalist and future Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung co-editor Benno Reifenberg and his colleague, Die Gegenwart co-editor Friedrich Sieburg; political scientist, former publisher, and future Christ und Welt editor Klaus Mehnert; Baron von Stauffenberg; Margrave Berthold von Baden. and Adenauer's close associate Otto Lenz (CDU), among others. 60

This influential group had met partly out of genuine concern for the future security of their homeland. the time, Western Allied contingency plans called for the drawing of a defensive line at the Rhein--leaving Württemberg, along with most of Germany, totally undefended should the Soviet Union choose to attack. Speidel, invited at the behest of his old Württemberg-Hohenzollern Minister Eberhard Wildermuth, offered the group his technical assessment of the situation and recommendations for the military reestablishment of a German armed service, integrated with that of the Western Allies, which would make possible establishing defensive lines further east .61

Speidel's views were no secret, and predated this

G. Foerster, "Innenpolitische Aspekte der Sicherheit Westdeutschlands 1945-1950" in Foerster et. al.. Von der Kapitulation: 432-433; Eschenburg, Jahre der Besatzung: 589, 595, 597, 601-604, 606, 627; Wettig, Entmilitarisierung: 244.

⁶¹ Foerster: 433-434; Speidel: 238-250.

invitation. After the Ministers from the Soviet Zone staged a walkout of the Munich Minister Presidents' conference in June, 1947, Wildermuth had asked Speidel to apply a military-technical analysis to the situation to overthrow "pacifist illusions".62 Speidel had also discussed these ideas with many others, including Carlo Schmidt, Würrtemberg Bishop Theophil Wurm, and faculty discussion groups at the University of Tübingen. 63 addressing the Laupheimer Circle, Speidel was lending technical detail to what was already for that audience the prevalent world view of a Russian threat necessity for a West European orientation. 64 Indeed, his position vis-a-vis the circle was truly that of a 'favored son': Speidel was himself a Swabian, educated in Stuttgart, and his father had been a member of the Tübingen faculty.65

Speidel was called back the following month to present the June, 1948 memorandum, which he had reinforced with Gehlen's material. It was after this meeting, following which Speidel's presentation was apparently blessed, that his work reached the attention of Konrad Adenauer's staff. This was done at the recommendation of another of Speidel's old acquaintances,

⁶² Foerster: 414.

⁶³ Speidel: 235-244.

⁶⁴ Overesch, ref. fn. 44: 119-124.

⁶⁵ Speidel: 13-20.

Colonel Truman Smith. These two had met when Smith was American Military Attaché in Berlin, and reestablished contact after the war through the Lindbergh family, with whom they were mutually friendly. At Speidel's invitation, Charles Lindbergh attended one of the Tübingen panel sessions and addressed the student body on security issues; within days Speidel's memorandum was in the hands of Alexander Böker, one of Adenauer's co-workers. 66

On December 7, ten days before Adenauer, as CDU Chairman and member of the Parliamentary Council, was to meet with the Western Military Governors to discuss their requirements for a draft constitution, Speidel contacted by Rolf Pauls, assistant to Adenauer's foreign affairs advisor Herbert Blankenhorn. Pauls had served under Speidel in France, and when asked by Adenauer "if he knew of any former Generals competent to address security issues", immediately named his old boss; then asked Speidel whether Speidel could be prepared to brief his position to Blankenhorn and Adenauer on the 14th. Speidel did so, and after the NATO pact was ratified the following April, he and Heusinger were jointly consider the military by Pauls to commissioned implications of the alliance for Germany.67

In light of this, it can be said that in the

⁶⁶ Speidel: 244, 252; Foerster: 433-435.

⁶⁷ Ibid.

strictest sense, Adenauer did not "choose" Speidel and Heusinger at all; they were offered to him. He was not without other offers. By 1950, British High Comissioner Lord Robertson, displeased with Adenauer's reliance upon Speidel and Heusinger, suggested that Adenauer appoint noble, Prussian Count Gerhard von Schwerin as military advisor. What Adenauer referred to deprecatingly as the "von Schwerin experiment" did not last long: reactionary, outspoken, tactless von Schwerin leaked West German security intentions to the press. Adenauer wanted stringent control of 'public education' regarding these issues. He fired his 'advisor' immediately, showing that he neither felt it necessary to bend to British pressure inconsistent with his own interests, nor was willing for a moment to tolerate insubordination on the part of the leadership of his fledgeling military. 68 However, this event may have had even deeper significance.

In order to understand that significance, one would wish to make clear whether it was Speidel's acceptability to the Württembergers, or Speidel and Heusinger's mutual acceptability to the Americans (and, in the case of Speidel, to the French) which bore greater weight in Adenauer's ultimate decision. Like Adenauer himself, of the Laupheimer Circle Heuss, Wildermuth, Wurm, and Eschenburg were all included on the December, 1944 Allied

Rautenberg, in Foerster, et. al.: 786; Nelson: 26.

Expeditionary Force "White List" of persons in Germany believed to be anti-Nazi or non-Nazi, and Adenauer was known for capitalizing upon this 'clean bill of health' without making much distinction between those who had been genuinely anti-National Socialist, and those who had merely (as stated in the White List entry for his long time friend, Cologne banker Robert Pferdemenges) "made only absolutely necessary concessions to Nazis."69 he genuinely wished to avoid incorporating officers with questionable political leadings -- that is, questionable in terms of their having slavishly executed National Socialist war aims--he could have chosen from officers who did not have any connection to these particular men: officers who had been recognized by their peers as 'the cream of the Wehrmacht'; who had been Soviet, rather than Western, prisoners of war; he could have chosen officers who had joined the German Officers' League in Moscow and the eastern communist-socialist resistance efforts of the Free Germany National Committee. 70 In short, he could have chosen from officers who, unlike Heusinger (and behind him Gehlen), bore no trace of responsibility for wittingly waging aggressive war, relaying genocidal antipartisan orders to the Waffen-SS, or conducting the

⁶⁹ Henric L. Wuermeling, Die Weisse Liste: Umbruch der politischen Kultur in Deutschland 1945 (Berlin, 1981): 282-285, 291.

⁷⁰ Hoffmann, "Colonel Claus von Stauffenberg": 633; History of the German Resistance: 232.

intelligence operations that made generation of those orders possible--actions which, in the cases of other officers, had been prosecuted as criminal. Consideration of the context of Speidel's rise (especially as compared to von Schwerin's fall) provides some enlightening clues as to why, beyond Superpower interests, he would not select from among these alternatives.

Continuity and Change in the Politics of The German Army
1918-1950

Speidel and Heusinger's views toward the Soviet Union represent but one part of a world view these men shared and which long predated the war experience. The full expression of this socio-political outlook articulated by Wilhelm Groener beginning in 1918. his fellow Swabian Speidel, Groener was pro-French, anti-Prussian. pro-American, anti-Soviet, vaguely prorepublican, and stringently anti-communist. He urgently supported calm and order; was willing to work with the socialists, but ultimately preferred the conservative right-wing anti-liberal policies of the Centrists as represented by Heinrich Brüning, the last Chancellor of the Weimar Republic. 71

⁷¹ Craig, Politics: 347, 349-350, 361, 363, 365-367, 369-370, 373, 436, 438. See also Sebastian Haffner, Failure of a Revolution: Germany 1918-1919 (Chicago, 1969) and Gerhard Rakenius, Wilhelm Groener als erster Generalquartiermeister: Die Politik der Obersten Heeresleitung 1918/19 (Boppard a. Rhein, 1974).

From the confusion following the monarchy's fall in 1918, the Majority Socialist leader Friedrich emerged as Chancellor of the newly declared Republic. Groener pledged him the army's support -- a decision which possibly represented majority opinion within the ranks, but was opposed by a large faction of the officer corps.⁷² The strength and nature of that opposition became apparent during the 1920 Kapp Putsch. used the government's decision to deactivate two elite brigades outside Berlin as an excuse to march on the capital. The East Prussian putschists did not actually command significant forces, but to the shock of both Groener and Reichswehr Minister Gustav Noske, senior army commanders represented by Hans von Seeckt refused to commit local troops to suppress these diehard monarchists. 73 In comparison to Groener, the Prussian Seeckt was not only ambivalent about republicanism, but was pro-Russian and anti-French. Rather than sharing Groener's view that the legitimacy of military power ultimately rested upon the legitimacy of a Seeckt claimed that the army constituted government, was above politics and constituted a state within the eternal state, not to be confused with the transitory government in power. 74

⁷² Ibid.: 348.

⁷³ Ibid.: 376-377.

⁷⁴ Ibid.: 388, 409, 412, 420.

Against Seeckt, only Chief of Army Command Walter Reinhardt unambiguously supported Groener and the Social Democratic cabinet. His stand is significant, for like Groener he was a native son of Württemberg. Reinhardt's compatriots, the regimental commanders of Stuttgart's Military District V, stood fast along with him--so it was to Stuttgart that Ebert and his ministers fled. Reinhardt was installed as Commander-in-Chief of the District when Speidel, then serving as a young aide-decamp, first met him. Speidel later remembered him as a true "Swabian democrat".75

This early Württemberger stand against the Prussian monarchists was followed by Groener's later spirited fight, from his position as Reichswehr Minister, against the National Socialists. Beginning in 1928, he struggled once more against members of his own officer corps fraternity; again, his adversaries were reactionary Prussians, this time led by Groener's own protegé Kurt von Schleicher. Groener did not meet with success. By 1932, Schleicher had forced his resignation. 76

Speidel very clearly saw himself as the inheritor of an exceptionally 'democratic' army tradition. He was vague about precisely what he meant by this, but the troops of Württemberg, he felt, were always especially close to the people, and among the pantheon of Prussian

⁷⁵ *Ibid*.: 377, 379; Speidel: 32-34.

⁷⁶ *Ibid*.: 428-453.

generals, Speidel looked only to the liberal reformers Scharnhorst and Gneisenau. The liberal reformers corps of Württemberg was the inheritor of a far more egalitarian tradition than that of Prussia: in the late nineteenth century, when Prussian officers were drawn overwhelmingly from the nobility, two-fifths to three-quarters of those of Württemberg were of middle-class origin. By 1909, that figure had increased: in some engineer and artillery units, to one hundred per cent. The school of the sound of the

Speidel's assessment notwithstanding, the world view characteristic of these officers cannot be deduced solely from their Swabian origens: it was shared by many Catholic Centrists, including Adenauer Rheinland himself.80 However, the potential for amalgamating officers of this tradition with a base of political support outside their own corps had not been realized before Hitler attained power in 1933. The National banned the Center Party, along with the Socialists parties, Democrats minor and Social and other subsequently ejected all Catholic Centrists from public office.81 Adenauer for example, then Lord Mayor, was

⁷⁷ Speidel: 30, 41, 44.

⁷⁸ Demeter, The German Army: 46.

⁷⁹ F.C. Endres, The Social Structure and Corresponding Ideologies of the German Officers' Corps Before the World War (New York, 1937): 19.

⁸⁰ Hans-Peter Schwarz, Vom Reich zur Bundesrepublik: 425-442.

driven from Cologne. The following year, while hiding in Berlin, he was to witness a brutal object lesson in 'civil management' of military forces: Schleicher's murder at the hands of Himmler's SS during the "Night of the Long Knives". 82

Some time would pass before concerted opposition to Hitler's government would arise from within the officer corps--but when it did, one of its leaders was both a Württemberger and General of Artillery, Ludwig Beck. Although his formal efforts at organizing an effective opposition date from his resignation following the 1938 Czech crisis, Beck first expressed his displeasure in 1935, and in 1937 made his pro-French and anti-Nazi position clear to his younger relative Hans Speidel, whom he recruited for the resistance.83 In this context, it is not at all surprising that Beck (and, for that matter, observed Schleicher's fate without Adenauer) had comment.84 Repugnant though he found the National Socialists, he could hardly have been expected to mourn the passing of the man who had brought the downfall of the very people he proposed to defend. In the following

⁸¹ Ryder, Twentieth-Century Germany: 304-307.

Paul Weymar, Adenauer: His Authorized Biography (New York, 1957): 107.

⁸³ Speidel: 70-71; Peter Hoffmann, The History of the German Resistance 1933-1945 (Cambridge, 1977): 38; Harold C. Deutsch, Hitler and His Generals: The Hidden Crisis January-June 1938 (Minneapolis, 1974): 418.

⁸⁴ Here I disagree with Hoffmann, History of the German Resistance: 26.

years, the lines demarcating the East-oriented Prussians from the West-oriented Swabians became clearer, for even when united in opposition to Hitler, they were so divided between themselves that Colonel Claus von Stauffenberg could not bring himself to tell Beck that he had shifted his allegiance to the opposing view. Beck's search for American assurances that if he succeeded in overthrowing Hitler's regime, his own Western-Front forces would be allowed to redeploy against the Russians in the east, echoed Gröner's self-deluded 1918 optimism that France and Great Britain, backed by the United States, were on the verge of requesting German assistance to launch a counter-revolutionary war against the Bolsheviks in the Soviet Union.85

In 1918, Groener had offered to wed this non-noble, educated middle-class, West-oriented army faction to the service of Ebert's Socialist government. However, at the time neither had possesed the political wisdom necessary to consummate the union. Groener had failed to understand the deep disunity within his own officer corps: he assumed loyalty from officers whose social origins, world view, and political ambitions were vastly different from his own. And Ebert, representing a party profoundly ambivalent toward re-institutionalizing a force capable of prosecuting offensive war, had no

⁸⁵ Hoffmann, "Colonel Claus von Stauffenberg": 634-637, 647; Craig: 364-365.

experience with military leadership. He foisted too much responsibility onto his Defense Minister, and did not take care to ensure that the senior generals were in fact under his control. Rather than immediately helping Groener to consolidate command authority, he countenanced Noske's increasing use of the most reactionary and least legitimate elements available—and compounded the error by confirming Seeckt as Commander in Chief of the Reichswehr. Adenauer, and the political coalition he represented, did not repeat this error.

Power Politics, Command Authority, and Military Ideology in the Founding of the West German Federal Republic

When Hans Speidel arrived at Konrad Adenauer's office in 1948, he did so not merely as the representative of a very small faction of the officer corps, but as a "technical expert" who carried strong, broad-based regional backing. This was important, for Adenauer had a number of political needs at that moment.

First, he needed an issue which would quickly unite the seemingly intractable Western Allies and make drafting a constitution possible. The Americans were pushing for particular formulations of federalism in the proposed Basic Law; the British wished a more centralized government with a socialist economy and nationalized

⁸⁶ Craig: 379-381.

industry; the French were particularly concerned to protect their security interests and permanantly 'demilitarize' the Saarland, Rhineland, and industrial Ruhr. Adenauer not only wanted a quick return of sovereignty to his country, but he needed speedy elections. Without both, he reasoned, he could not place the country on a solid financial footing—and he was sure that continuing widespread misery would cost votes lost to the socialists and communists.

Second, Adenauer needed an issue which would provide him a working coalition. Win or lose, he wanted backing for his economic policies—and related to these, he needed an issue which would help him to end industrial dismantling and payment of war reparations. His longer term goal was to produce economic prosperity—not only for reasons already stated, but also to gain CDU popularity for subsequent elections.

Finally, Adenauer needed to secure the loyalty of all the potentially destabilizing forces of the right which had so quickly undermined the Weimar government: the Hannoverian 'blood and soil' loyalists of the German Party (DP), so many of whom had flocked to the National Socialist banner; the nationalist, Pan-german, authoritarian and big-business remnants of the Harzburg Front gathered under the heterogenous FDP; the noisily völkisch interregnist elements of the BHE; as well as the fractious members of the Waffen SS and Wehrmacht, whose

advocacy organs demanded rehabilitation and 'fair' postwar treatment for their wartime-draftee membership. 87 While he found the 'materialistic atheism' of the Socialist Democrats abhorrent, he did not fear them as a 'loyal opposition', for like the CDU they could not command a majority vote. Since the SPD would under no circumstance form a coalition with the right-wing parties, even if the CDU were to lose by a narrow margin, the SPD would be forced to take on Adenauer's party in an ensuing coalition government.

Thus, Speidel's memorandum provided a solution to American attention--thanks at least in many problems. part to Gehlen--was already focused on the Soviet Union; Adenauer was convinced that the British and French would If the Western Powers believed that the follow suit. Soviet Union posed a greater threat to European security than Germany, then they would consider a defensive If Germany were to contribute to such an alliance. alliance, then the country could hardly be expected to go on with dismantling and reparations. If dismantling could be stopped, then economic recovery could begin. Germany was poor; it was not underdeveloped.

Ultimately, these policies would appeal to the BHE; the refugees had suffered much at the hands of the Russians. The economic and business interests interests

⁸⁷ Tauber, "Renaizification of Restoration?," Beyond Eagle and Swastika, 879-936.

of the FDP would be overjoyed with resulting Westoriented trade policy. And finally, all those who had either actively supported or merely 'gone along with' the National Socialists would be glad of diversion of attention away from their own past crimes, whether of commission or omission.⁸⁸

Adenauer claims to have foreseen all this; in any case, he was not disappointed in his predictions. By August, 1949 NATO was formed; the Federal constitution was ratified with provisions allowing the Republic to contribute to an international security force; and Adenauer's Christian Democratic Union had emerged victorious in national elections on the strength of its liberal-economic, pro-Western, pro-Christian platform. 89

Within weeks, Adenauer appointed Ernst Wirmer and Hans Speidel as his personal advisers. This was followed by his formal 1950 appointment of Speidel and Heusinger as military Berater to Defense Minister-to-be Theodor Blank. 90 In promoting these men to key positions, Adenauer was taking the first step toward consolidating governmental control over future armed forces. However, his reform measures did not stop with ensuring the

⁸⁸ Konrad Adenauer, Memoirs 1945-1953 (Chicago, 1966): 42-266.

⁸⁹ Ryder: 497-503.

⁹⁰ Hans-Jürgen Rautenberg, "Zur Standortbestimmung für künftige deutsche Streitkräfte," in Foerster, et. al.: 786.

devotion and kindly intentions of his top leadership. They included structural changes in the chain of command authority, of which two were especially significant. The first was in the wartime power of Supreme Command, which was invested in the Chief Executive—the Chancellor—and not in the Head of State—the President. This completed the trend begun in 1918: the position of commander—in—chief had then moved from Kaiser to President; under Hitler the positions of President, Chancellor, and Commander—in—Chief were consolidated; and finally under the Basic Law the transition to parliamentary control was achieved. 91

The second major change reflected a trend begun under Bismarck--that of federalization of the armed In 1868 the armies of the separate German states to the Army forcibly subordinated The resulting command structure rendered Confederation. either a separate attack against Prussia, or resistance to the Emperor's war declarations, virtually impossible. In the Reichswehr the separate armies were eliminated, and traditional units were totally immersed in a unified command authority, although they did continue to recruit from and serve within their own regional bases. Wehrmacht these distinctions were obliterated: soldiers

⁹¹ Telford Taylor, Sword and Swastika: Generals and Nazis in the Third Reich (New York, 1952): 383-405; Trevor-Nevitt Dupuy, A Genius for War: the German Army and General Staff 1807-1945 (Englewood Cliffs, 1977): 315-327.

were recruited and served without regard to their homes of origin. Finally, after meticulous consideration and planning by a carefully selected and vetted group of exgenerals (including Speidel and Heusinger), the Bundeswehr-a unified all-German force--was federated into the NATO structure, making it impossible for Germany to launch any declaration of war either against or separate from other members of the alliance, without first rupturing this relationship and thus providing long-in-advance warning of aggressive intentions. 92

As a pair, Speidel and Heusinger represented the strengths of the CDU itself: the extroverted, diplomatic, well-educated, devout Catholic--Speidel, contrasted to the reserved, taciturn, technocratic, devout Lutheran--Heusinger. Speidel, the son of a Swabian forestry expert: Heusinger, the son of a Hannoverian minister; both working for Adenauer, the son of a Cologne District Court Secretary; all highly regarded by the French for being rational and by the Americans for being Nazi resisters; and all supported politically and in some instances financially by Cologne and Stuttgart bankers. 93

It was a mini-demographic profile of an upper-middle--

⁹² Dietrich Genschel, Wehrreform und Reaktion: Die Vorbereitung der Inneren Führung 1951-1956 (Hamburg, 1972): 347-352; Nelson: 105-107.

⁹³ Ibid.: 755-878. Pferdemenges lent both Adenauer and the CDU considerable assistance both during and after the war; their association dated to Adenauer's early years the civil service, when his banking firm first manged Adenauer's portfolio.

class constellation which finally--if by some reckonings a hundred years too late--had come to power.

That Adenauer himself never genuinely feared a Soviet attack, but rather recognised this incorporation of a 'new elite' in the officer corps as part of a political process, was to become clear in the snail's pace with which his government actually proceeded with rearmament. With ultimate irony, fully ten years after the Bundesrepublik was to have completed the fielding of its contribution to the NATO security force structure, the Bundeswehr came under fire from the SPD and leftliberal press for its demonstrated lack of preparedness during NATO exercises. Adenauer commented upon supposed shortage of manpower and equipment 'essential' for West German security with customary wry wit. "If you need more brigades," he said with a wave of the hand, "just pin some more of those little flags on the map."94 The nearly fifty-year-old threat of Bolshevist revolution and Soviet invasion had long since accomplished its purpose; CDU aims had been met. Adenauer had been

The aftermath of this "Spiegel Affair" resulted in Adenauer's retirement and Defense Minister Franz Joseph Strauss's resignation, although not because of this comment. Strauss, a second-term cocession to the Bavaian CSU who was not cut of the same diplomatic cloth as his predecessor Theodor Blank, handled investigation of allegations that Der Spiegel's article was based upon classified information in a blatantly ham-handed fashion, which left him open to charges that the government had adopted "Gestapo tactics." Ronald F. Bunn, German Politics and the Spiegel Affair: A Case Study of the Bonn System (Baton Rouge, 1968): 10-36, 187-215

Chancellor for fourteen years, and his generals--Speidel and Heusinger--had served him faithfully for the full term. Additional troops were to him irrelevant.

CONCLUSION: The Military Polity

What are we to conclude from all this? That ex-Wehrmacht generals 'caused' the United States to adopt an anti-Soviet policy, thus fueling the Cold War? certainly too simplistic. That Adenauer picked only 'safe', 'clean', 'democratic', reformed anti-Nazis, and thus purged the armed forces of any dangerous tradition? That is certainly too naiive. That Wehmacht alte-Nazis, such as Heusinger and Gehlen, infiltrated the new officer corps and there linger, preparing the coming of a Fourth Reich? That is rediculously alarmist. That Adenauer's reconstruction of an armed force 'proves' conservative authoritarianism? That ignores the obvious influence upon these events of persons who are manifestly neither conservative nor authoritarian. If the question, "Why did Konrad Adenauer choose Adolf Heusinger and Hans Speidel to be his military advisors?" really were a good refinement of the question, "Why was Germany rearmed?", we would not be left so flat in our attempt to draw conclusions about the real meaning and nature of the continuities across Stunde Null as represented by these In fact, it is not ultimately a very good

refinement. It tells us more about tactics than about strate; It reveals a bit of the decision-making 'undertaken by men doing a great many things in a great hurry', to paraphrase what Micheal Foot calls A.J.P. Taylor's "non-theory" of history. At best, it shows us that at the moment of their selection, Adenauer's generals were merely useful in helping him to solve many of his critical problems: guaranteeing success of his own political party; establishing (West) German sovereignty; promoting economic recovery; actual security.

Still, that this is the case is of itself revealing--perhaps not in terms of grand theory, but certainly in terms of the middle ground upon which debate about the Bundeswehr has raged. To restate the case simply, the problem with analysis to date is that it has focused upon whether or not there were ex-Nazis in Bundeswehr, and upon whether the Bundeswehr is or is not therefore a successor to monarchist Prussian military That Adenauer may have perceived another, tradition. non-noble, non-Prussian, primarily southwestern German tradition upon which to draw has not been explored fully--and so to do is beyond the scope of this paper. Without thorough sociological, institutional, such biographical exploration, no firm conclusion can be reached as to whether that tradition was inherently 'more democratic' than the Prussian; what sort of 'democracy' it may or may not have represented; or whether the

inposition of that alternate tradition upon the ideology of the Bundeswehr of itself had a positive impact upon 'the progress' (or lack of progress) of 'democracy' in Germany.

What the answer to this question does tell us is that to formulate an analytical framework distinguishes, to quote one title, 'the military, militarism, and the polity' as conceptual entities drives a misleading wedge between 'the military' and 'the polity'. For 'the polity' coincides in form and limits with the maximum spread of the institutional framework within which power and authority are distributed and public regulation obtains. Even without the details of the internal discussion within Adenauer's own circles, what we see in this short consideration of the tactical maneuverings which led to Speidel's and Heusinger's appointments--maneuverings which were intrinsic to the establishment of postwar institutions for public regulation -- is that in the sense defined 'the polity' includes 'the military'; that 'the military' itself is a mechanism of 'the polity', not an alternative to it or a force outside of it. To come to terms with the 'meaning' of the Bundeswehr's continuation of a military presence after 1945, we must first unite this erroneous division, and examine more closely the existanc eof divisions cross-cutting 'the polity', which may be represented in divisions within 'the military' itself.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

Outline of works cited, used, or reviewed. Entries asterisked (*) are both rigorous methodologically and central to the period and issues considered. English-language works are listed separately from the German. I have kept classificatory catagories as similar as possible between the two languages, but differences in scholarly emphasis between the two corpi dictated some variations.

Primary Sources

English

- Clay, Lucius D. Papers: Germany 1945-1949. 2 Vols. ed. by Jean Edward Smith. Bloomington/London: Indiana University Press, 1974.
- Federal German Government. Press and Information Office.

 Germany Reports. 2d ed. Wiesbaden: Presse und
 Informationsamt, 1955.
- Ruhm von Oppen, Beate. Documents on Germany Under Occupation 1945-1954. London/New York/Toronto: Oxford University Press, 1955.
- Smith, Bradley F. The American Road to Nuremberg: The Documentary Record 1944-1945. Stanford: Hoover Institution Press, 1982.
- U. S. Department of State. Foreign Relations of the United States. Washington: GPO;
 - 1944 Volume I: General, 1966.
 - 1945 Volume III: European Advisory Commission; Austria and Germany, 1968.
 - 1948 Volume II: Germany and Austria, 1973.
 - 1949 Volume III: Council of Foreign Ministers; Germany and Austria, 1974.
 - 1949 Volume IV: Western Europe, 1975.
- U.S. Department of State. Office of Public Affairs.

 Germany 1947-1949: The Story in Documents. Washington:

 GPO 1950.

German

- Schwarz. Stuttgart: Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt, 1975.
- . Rhöndoerfer Ausgabe. Hans-Peter Schwarz and

- Rudolf Morsey, Hg. Vol. 1, Briefe 1945-1947 hg. v. Hans Peter Mensing. Berlin: Siedler Verlag, 1983. Vol. 2, Briefe 1949-1951, . 1985.
- Albrecht, Ulrich. Die Wiederaufrustung der Bundesrepublik: Analyse und Dokumentation. Köln: Pahl-Rugenstein, 1980.
- Badstübner, Rolf. Das Wiedererstehen des Militarismus in Westdeutschland (1945-1960) (Dokumente und Materielen). Berlin: Volk und Volkseigener Verlag, 1962.
- Bower, Karl. Deutsche Verteidigungspolitik: 1945-1963:

 Dokumente und Kommentare (Eine Auswahl). Boppard am
 Rhein: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1964.
- Bracher, Karl Dietrich, Rudolf Morsey and Hans-Peter Schwarz, ed. Quellen zur Geschichte des Parlamentarismus und der politischen Partein. Bd. 3, Auftakt zur Ära Adenauer: Koalitions-verhandlungen und Regierungsbildung 1949, hg. v. Udo Wengst. Düsseldorf: Droste Verlag, 1985.
- Fischer, Erika J. and Heinz-D. Fischer. John J. McCloy und die Frühgeschichte der Bundesrepublik Deutschland: Presseberichte und Dokumente über den Amerikanischen Hochkommissar für Deutschland, 1949-1952. Köln: Verlag Wissenschaft und Politik, 1985.
- Jacobsen, Hans-Adolf (Hg.). Spiegelbild einer Verschwörung: Die Opposition gegen Hitler und der Staatsstreich vom 20. Juli 1944 in der SD Berichterstattung. Geheime Dokumente aus dem ehemaligen Reichssicherheitshauptamt. Stuttgart: Seewald, 1984.
- Noelle, Elizabeth and Erich Peter Neumann (Hg.). Jahrbuch der Öffentlichen Meinung 1947-1955. Allensbach am Bodensee: Verlag für Demoskopie, 1956.
- Raven, Wolfram von (Hg.). Armee gegen den Krieg: Wert und Wirkung der Bundeswehr. Degerloch: Seewald Verlag, .
- Schüle, Adolf; Ulrich Scheuner and Hans-Heinrich Jescheck.

 Bundeswehr und Recht. Köln/Berlin/Bonn/München: Carl
 Heymanns Verlag, 1965.
- Steininger, Rolf. Deutsche Geschichte 1945-1961:

Darstellung und Dokumente in zwei Bänden. Frankfurt a.M.: Fischer Taschenbuch Verlag, 1983.

Memoirs and Diaries

English

- Adenauer, Konrad. Memoirs 1945-53. Beate Ruhm von Oppen, transl. Chicago: Henry Regnery, 1966.
- *Clay, General Lucius D. Decision in Germany. Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1950.
- Dulles, Allen Welsh. Germany's Underground. New York: MacMillan, 1947.
- Dulles, Eleanor Lansing. Chances of a Lifetime: A Memoir. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1980.
- Thayer, Charles W. The Unquiet Germans. New York: Harper and Bros., 1957.
- Troqueet, Thomas F.(ed.). Wartime Washington: The Secret OSS Journal of James Grafton Rogers 1942-1943.

German

	Konrad. <i>Erini</i> gart: Verlags-			Deutsche
•	Erinnerungen	1955-1959.		, 1967.
1968.	Erinnerungen	1959-1963:	Fragment	e,

- Gehlen, Reinhard. Der Dienst: Erinnerungen 1942-1971.
 Mainz/Wiesbaden: V. Hase & Köhler, 1971.
- Heusinger, Adolf. Befehl im Widerstreit: Schicksalsstunden der deutschen Armee 1923-1945. Tübingen/Stuttgart: Rainer Wunderlich Verlag, 1950.

Speidel, Hans. Aus unserer Zeit: Erinnerungen. Berlin/ Frankfurt a.M./Wien: Propyläen, 1977.

Secondary Sources

English

References

- Buse, Dieter K. and Jürgen C. Dörr. German Nationalisms: A Bibliographic Approach. Canadian Review of Studies in Nationalism No. 5. ed. by Thomas Spira. New York/ London: Garland Publishing, 1985.
- Norton, Augustus Richard et. al. NATO: A Bibliography and Resource Guide. New York/London: Garland Publishing, 1985.
- Showalter, Dennis E. German Military History, 1648-1982; a Critical Bibliography. New York: Garland Press, 1984.
- "Military History in Germany, An Overview of Periodical Literature." Military Affairs:

```
"1982-1983" 48:2 (APR 84):78-80.
```

- "1986-1987" 52:3 (JUL 88):147-149.
- , and Calvin L. Christman. "Doctoral Dissertations in Military Affairs". Military Affairs:

```
"Supplement IX"
                      45:1 (FEB 81): 35-40.
```

General

- Hanrieder, Wolfram and Graeme Auton. The Foreign Policies of West Germany, France and Britain. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1980.
- Kennedy, Paul. The Rise and Fall of the Great Powers. New York: Random House, 1987.

[&]quot;1983-1984" 49:2 (APR 85):85-87.

[&]quot;1984-1985" 50:2 (APR 86):93-95.

[&]quot;1985-1986" 51:2 (APR 87):79-81.

[&]quot;Supplement IX" (sic) 46:1 (FEB 82): 29-34.
"Supplement XI" 47:1 (FEB 83): 31-36.

[&]quot;Supplement XI" 47:1 (FEB 83): 31-36.
"Supplement XII" 48:1 (FEB 84): 29-35.
"Supplement XIII" 49:1 (JAN 85): 23-29.
"Supplement XIV" 50:1 (JAN 86): 32-39.
"Supplement XV" 51:2 (APR 87): 82-88.
"Supplement XVI" 52:2 (APR 88): 85-90.

- Laqueur, Walter. Europe Since Hitler. New York: Penguin, 1982.
- Steiner, Jürg. European Democracies. New York: Longman, 1986.
- Taylor, A.J.P. The Origens of the Second World War. 2d. ed. New York: Fawcett Premier/Ballantine 1983.
- The Military, State, and Society
- Evans-Pritchard, E.E. The Nuer: A Description of the Modes of Livelihood and Political Institutions of a Nilotic People. New York/Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1969.
- Homze, Edward M. "The German MIC." In War, Business, and World Military-Industrial Complexes, ed. by Benjamin Franklin Cooling. Port Washington/London: National University Publications, 1981: 51-83.
- Janowitz, Morris and Jacques Van Doorn, eds. On Military Ideology. Vol. 3, Contributions to Studies on Military Sociology. Rotterdam: Rotterdam University Press, 1971.
- Martin, Michel Louis and Ellen Stern McCrate. The Military, Militarism, and the Polity: Essays in Honor of Morris Janowitz. New York: The Free Press; London: Collier Macmillan, 1984.
- Niebuhr, Reinhold. Moral Man and Immoral Society: A Study in Ethics and Politics. 2d. ed. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1960.
- Perlmutter, Amos and Valeria Bennett. The Political Influence of the Military: A Comparative Reader. New Haven, CN/London: Yale University Press, 1980.
- Wakin, Malham M., ed. War, Morality, and the Military Profession. 2d. ed. Boulder/London:Westview Press, 1986.
- "German Militarism"; "German Nationalism"; "The German Question"; "The German Problem"
- Berghahn, Volker R. Militarism: The History of an

- International Debate 1861-1979. Leamington Spa: Warwickshire Press, 1981.
- Calleo, David. The German Problem Reconsidered. London/ New York/Melbourne: Cambridge University Press, 1978.
- Eley, Geoff. "Some Thoughts on German Militarism." In Militar und Militarismus in der Weimar Republik hg. v. Klaus-Jürgen Müller and Eckardt Opitz. Düsseldorf: Droste Verlag, 1978: 223-304.
- Evans, Richard J. "The New Nationalism and the Old History: Perspectives on the West German Historikerstreit."

 Journal of Modern History 59 (Dec 87): 761-797.
- Gress, David. Peace and Survival: West Germany, the Peace Movement, and European Security. Stanford: Hoover Institution Press, 1985.
- Jarausch, Konrad. "Removing the Nazi Stain? The Quarrel of the German Historians." German Studies Review. (May 88): 285-301.
- Kocka, Jürgen. "German History before Hitler: The Dabate about the German Sonderweg." Journal of Contemporary History 23:1 (Jan 88): 3-16.
- Ninkovich, Frank. Germany and the United States: The Transformation of the German Question Since 1945. Boston: Twayne, 1988.
- Scolnick, Joseph M. "How Governments Utilize Foreign Threats." Conflict Vol. 8:12-22.
- Smith, Anthony D. Theories of Nationalism. 2d. ed. New York: Holmes & Meier, 1983.
- Snyder, Louis L. Roots of German Nationalism. 2d. ed. Bloomington/London: Indiana University Press, 1981.
- New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1976.
- Sowden, J.K. The German Question 1945-1973: Continuity in Change. London: Bradford University Press, 1975.
- Continuity and Change in German Politico-Military Affairs
- Diplomatic, political and social history
- Blackbourn, David and Geoff Eley. The Peculiarities of

- German History. New York/Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1984.
- Craig, Gordon A. From Bismarck to Adenauer: Aspects of German Statecraft. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Press, 1958.
- . and Felix Gilbert (eds.). The Diplomats 1919-1939. New York: Atheneum, 1977.
- Dahrendorf, Ralf. Society and Democracy in Germany. New York: Norton, 1967.
- DePorte, A.W. Europe Between the Superpowers: The Enduring Balence. 2d. ed. New Haven/London: Yale University Press, 1986.
- Frye, Bruce B. Liberal Democrats in the Weimar Republic: The History of the German Democratic Party and the German State Party. Carbondale/Evansville, IL: Southern Illinois University Press, 1985.
- Haffner, Sebastian. Failure of a Revolution: Germany 1918-1919. Chicago: Banner Press, 1969.
- Hamilton, kichard F. Who Voted For Hitler?. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1982.
- Jonas, Manfred. The United States and Germany: A Diplomatic History. Ithaca/ London: Cornell University Press, 1984.
- Jones, Kenneth Paul (ed.). U.S. Diplomats in Europe 1919-1941. Santa Barbara, CA/Oxford: ABC-Clio, 1981.
- Prittie, Terence. The Velvet Chancellors: A History of Postwar Germany. London: Frederick Muller, 1979.
- Ryder, A.J. Twentieth-Century Germany from Bismarck to Brandt. New York: Columbia University Press, 1973.
- Wagner, Helmut. "West German Foreign Policy Objectives."

 Millennium: Journal of International Studies 17:1 (JAN 88): 43-59.
- Weymar, Paul. Adenauer: His Authorized Biography. New York, E.P. Dutton, 1957.
- The army, army officer corps, and paramilitary organizations
- *Craig, Gordon A. The Politics of the Prussian Army 1640-

- 1945. 2d. ed. London/Oxford/New York: Oxford University Press, 1964.
- Demeter, Karl. The German Officer-Corps in Society and State 1650-1945. New York/Washington: Praeger, 1965.
- Diehl, James M. Review of: The Black Corps: The Structure and Power Struggles of the Nazi SS by Robert Lewis Koehl. Journal of Modern History 57:1 (MAR 85): 172-174.
- Dupuy, Trevor Nevitt. A Genius for War: the German Army and General Staff, 1807-1945. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1977.
- Endres, F.C. The Social Structure and Correponding Ideologies of the German Officer's Corps Before the World War. Project Report No. 165-97-6999-6027. S. Ellison, transl. New York: Works Progress Administration and Columbia University, 1937.
- Hull, Isabel V. The Entourage of Kaiser Wilhelm II 1888-1918. New York/London: Cambridge University Press, 1981.
- Jenkins, Philip. "Policing the Cold War: The Emergence of New Police Structures in Europe 1946-1953". The Historical Journal 31:1 (MAR 88): 141-157.
- Kitchen, Martin. A Military History of Germany, from the Eighteenth Century to the Present Day. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1975.
- Laffin, John. Jackboot: The Story of the German Soldier. London: Cassel, 1965.
- Large, David Clay. "Reckoning Without the Past: The HIAG of the Waffen-SS and the Politics of Rehabilitation in the Bonn Republic, 1950-1961." Journal of Modern History 59:1 (March 87): 79-113.
- Nelson, Walter Henry. Germany Rearmed. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1972.
- Rosinski, Herbert. The German Army. New York: Praeger, 1966.
- Taylor, Telford. Sword and Swastika: Generals and Nazis in the Third Reich. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1952.
- Tuten, Jeff M. "Germany and the World Wars." In: Female Soldiers--Combatants or Noncombatants? Historical and

- Contemporary Perspectives ed. by Nancy Loring Goldman. Westport, CN/London: Greenwood, 1982.
- Waldman, Eric. The Goose Step is Verboten: the German Army Today. Glencoe: Free Press, 1964.
- Wheeler-Bennett, John W. The Nemesis of Power: The German Army in Politics 1918-1945. London: MacMillan & Co.; New York: St. Martin's, 1956.
- Ziemke, Earl F. The U.S. Army in the Occupation of Germany 1944-1946. Washington: U.S. Army Center of Military History, 1975.
- Intelligence organizations
- Brown, Anthony Cave. Bodyguard of Lies. New York/San Francisco/London: Harper & Row, 1975.
- Casey, William. The Secret War Against Hitler. Washington: Regnery Gateway, 1988.
- Dunlop, Richard. Donovan America's Master Spy. Chicago: Rand McNally 1982.
- Höhne, Heinz and Hermann Zolling. The General Was a Spy: The Truth About General Gehlen and His Spy Ring. New York: Coward, McCann & Geoghegan, 1972.
- Mosley, Leonard. Dulles: A Biography of Eleanor, Allen, and John Foster Dulles and Their Family Network. New York: The Dial Press/James Wade, 1978.
- Persico, Joseph E. Piercing the Reich: The Penetration of Nazi Germany by American Secret Agents during World War II. New York: Viking, 1979.
- Troy, Thomas F. Donovan and the CIA: A History of the Establishment of the CIA. Washington: Alethea Books, 1981.
- U.S. War Department, Military Intelligence Division. German Military Intelligence, 1939-1945. Frederick, MD: University Publications, 1984.
- Officer resistance to National Socialism
- *Baranowski, Shelley. "Consent and Dissent: The Confessing Church and Conservative Opposition to National Socialism." Journal of Modern History 59 (MAR 87): 53-78.
- Deutsch, Harold C. Hitler's General's: The Hidden Crisis,

- January-June 1938. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota, 1974.
- *Hoffmann, Peter. "Colonel Claus von Stauffenberg in the German Resistance to Hitler: Between East and West". The Historical Journal 31:3 (SEP 88):629-650.
- * _____. The History of the German Resistance 1933-1945.
 Richard Barry, tranl. from the 2d. (1970) German ed.
 Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1977.
- . Hitler's Personal Security. Cambridge, MA/London: The MIT Press, 1979.
- Kitterman, David H. "Those Who Said 'No!': Germans Who Refused to Execute Civilians During World War II."

 German Studies Review XI:2 (MAY 88): 241:254.
- Ritter, Gerhard. The German Resistance: Carl Goerdeler's Struggle Against Tyranny. New York: Praeger, 1958.
- Schramm, Wilhelm von. Conspiracy Among Generals. R.T. Clark, transl. and ed. London: George Allen and Unwin/Ruskin House, 1956.
- Denazification and war crimes trials
- Conot, Robert E. Justice at Nuremberg. New York, Harper and Row, 1983.
- Hoffmon, J. H. "German Field Marshalls as War Criminals? A British Embarrassment". Journal of Contemporary History 23:1 (JAN 88): 17-35.
- Kätz, Barry M. "The Criticism of Arms: The Frankfurt School Goes to War." Journal of American History 59 (SEP 87): 439-478.
- Maser, Werner. Nuremberg: A Nation on Trial. New York: Scribner's Sons, 1979.
- Neave, Airey. On Trial at Nuremberg. Boston/Toronto: Little, Brown, 1978.
- Smith, Bradley F. The Road to Nuremburg. New York: Basic Books, 1981.
- Steinert, Marlis G. "The Allied Decision to Arrest the Dönitz Government". The Historical Journal 31:3 (SEP 88):651-663.
- Thompson, H.K. and Henry Strutz (eds.). Doenitz at

- Nuremberg: A Reappraisal. War Crimes and the Military Professional. New York: Ambler Publishing, 1976.
- Neo-nationalism; neo-Nazism; Nazi hunting
- Allen, Charles R. Heusinger of the Fourth Reich. New York: Marzani & Munsell, 1963.
- Beyme, Klaus von. "Right-Wing Extremism in Post-War Europe." West European Politics 11:2 (APR 88): 1-18.
- Lord Russel of Liverpool. Return of the Swastika? London: Robert Hale, 1968.
- Sinha, R.K. and M. Rajagopalan. Downfall and Resurrection of Fascism. New Delhi: Nav Hind, ca. 1963.
- Stöss, Richard. "The Problem of Right-Wing Extremism in West Germany." West European Politics 11:2 (APR 88): 34-46.
- Tauber, Kurt P. Beyond Eagle and Swastika: German Nationalism Since 1945. Middletown: Wesleyan University Press, 1967.
- West German Security Policy, Alliance Formation, and Rearmament
- *Becker, Josef and Franz Knipping (eds.). Power in Europe? Great Britain, France, Italy and Germany in a Postwar World, 1945-1950. Berlin/New York: Walter de Gruyter, 1986.
- Bunn, Ronald F. German Politics and the Spiegel Affair: A
 Case Study of the Bonn System. Baton Rouge: LSU Press,
 1968.
- Capitanchik, David and Richard C. Eichenberg. Defense and Public Opinion. Chatham House Papers 20. London/Boston: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1983.
- *Cioc, Marc. Pax Atomica: The Nuclear Defense Debate in West Germany During the Adenauer Era. New York: Columbia University Press, 1983.
- Gess, David. Peace and Survival. Stanford: Hoover Institute, 1985.
- Lider, Julian. Problems of Military Policy in the Konrad Adenauer Era (1949-1966). Essays on West German

- Military Thought #3. Research Report No. 13. Helsinki: Utrikespolitiska Institutet, 1984.
- *Speier, Hans. German Reamament and Atomic War: The Views of German Military and Political Leaders. Evanston, IL/ White Plains, NY, 1957.

The Marshall Plan

- Burk, Kathleen. "Britain and the Marshall Plan." In: Warfare, Diplomacy and Politics: Essays in Honor of A.J.P. Taylor. Chris Wrigley, ed. London: Hamish Hamilton, 1986: 210-230.
- Gordon, Lincoln. "Recollections of a Marshall Planner."

 Journal of International Affairs 41:2 (Summer 88): 233245.
- Leffler, Melvyn P. "The United States and the Strategic Dimensions of the Marshall Plan." Diplomatic History 12:3 (Summer 88): 277-306.
- Matthews, Fred. "The Coming of the Marshall Plan: A Case Study in the Analysis of Interpretive Conflict among Historians." Annals of Scholarship 5:2 (Winter 88): 143-167.

NATO

- Folly, Martin H. "Breaking the Vicious Circle: Britain, The United States, and the Genesis of the North Atlantic Treaty." Diplomatic History 12:1 (Winter 88): 59-77.
- Fursdon, Edward. The European Defense Community: A History.
 New York: St. Martin's Press, 1980.
- Haftendorn, Helga. "The State of the Field: A German View."

 International Security 13:2 (Fall 88): 178-182.
- Henderson, Sir Nicholas. The Birth of NATO. Boulder, CO.: Westview Press, 1983.
- Horne, Allistair. Back Into Power. London: Max Parrish, 1955.
- Jordon, Robert S., ed. Generals in International Politics. Lexington, KY: The University Press, 1987.
- Kaplan, Lawrence S. A Community of Interests: NATO and the Military Assistance Program, 1948-1951. Washington: Office of the Secretary of Defense Historical Office, 1980.

	The	Rationale	for	NATO:	European	Collective
--	-----	-----------	-----	-------	----------	------------

- Security--Past and Future. Stanford: Hoover Institution Press, 1973.
- Karanowski, Stanley M. The German Army and NATO Strategy. Washington: National Defense University Press, 1982.
- Kennedy, Thomas J. NATO Politico-Military Consultation: Shaping Alliance Decisions. Washington: National defense University, 1984.
- *Keohane, Robert O. "Alliances, Threats, and the Uses of Neorealism." International Security 13:1 (Summer 88): 169-176.
- Lerner, Daniel and Raymond Aron, eds. France Defeats the EDC. New York: Praeger, 1957.
- NATO Information Service. Aspects of NATO. Paris, 1961.
- Organization. Paris: NIS, 1962.
- . North Atlantic Treaty Organization Facts and Figures. Brussels, 1981.
- Office of Public Reports. NATO: Its Development and Significance. Washington: International cooperation Administration, 1955.
- Osgood, Robert Endicott. NATO The Entangling Alliance. Chicago/London: University of Chicago Press, 1962.
- Richardson, James L. Germany and the Atlantic Alliance: The Interaction of Strategy and Politics. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1966.
- Sherwen, Nicholas. NATO's Anxious Birth: The Prophetic Vision of the 1940's. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1985.

German

References

- Boberach, Heinz and Hans Booms (eds.). Aus der Arbeit des Bundesarchivs: Beiträge zum Archivwesen, zur Quellenkunde und Zeitgeschichte. Schriften des Bundesarchivs 25. Boppard a.R.: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1977.
- Haberkern. Eugen and Joseph Fiedrich Wallach.

 Hilfsworterbuch für Historiker: Mittelalter und

- Neuzeit. 2d. ed. Berlin/München, Francke Verlag, 1964.
- Kurowski, Franz. Deutsche Offiziere in Staat, Wirtschaft und Wissenschaft: Bewährung im Neuen Beruf. Herford/Bonn: Maximilian Verlag, 1967.
- Schnitter, Helmut. Militärwesen und Militärpublizistik: Die Militärische Zeitschriftenpublizistik in der Geschichte des Bürgerlichen Militärwesen in Deutschland.
 Militärhistorische Studien 9, Neue Folge. Berlin: Deutscher Militärverlag, 1967.
- The Military, Militarism, and Society
- Berghahn, Volker R. (Hg.). Militarismus. Köln: Kiepenheuer & Witsch, 1975.
- Brühl, Reinhard. Militärgeschichte und Kriegspolitik: Zur Militärgeschichtsschreibung des preussisch-deutschen Generalstabes 1816-1945. Berlin: Militärverlag der Deutschen Demokratischen Republik, 1973.
- Heyden, G.; M. Klein and A. Kosing. Philosophie des Verbrechens: Gegen die Ideologie des deutschen Militarismus. Berlin: VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, 1959.
- Horn, Hannelore. "Die deutsche Frage im Spektrum europäischer Linker." Deutschland Archiv 21:6 (JUN 88): 634-646.
- Müller, Klaus-Jürgen and Eckardt Opitz (Hg.). Militär und Militarismus in der Weimarer Republik: Beiträge eines internationalen Symposiums an der Hochschule der Bundeswehr Hamburg. Düsseldorf: Droste Verlag, 1978.
- Oberman, Emil. Soldaten, Bürger, Militaristen: Militar und Demokratie in Deutschland. Stuttgart: J.G. Cotta'sche Verlag, 1958.
- Vetterlein, Thomas-G. Militärische Leistung und demokratische Industrie-gesellschaft. Stuttgart-Degerloch: Seewald Verlag, 1974.
- Vogel, Detlef. "Militarismus--inzeitgemässer Begriff oder modernes historisches Hilfsmittel?" Militärgeschichtliche Mitteilungen 1/86: 9-35.
- Willems, Emelio. Der Preussisch-deutsche Militarismus. Ein

- Kulturkomplex im Sozialen Wandel. Köln: Verlag Wissenschaft und Politik, 1984.
- Zoll, Ralf (Hg.). Sicherheit und Militär: Genese, Struktur und Wandel von Meinungsbildern in Militär und Gesellschaft. Ergebnisse und Analyseansätze im internationalen Vergleich. Opladen: Westdeutscher Verlag, 1982.
- Economic Policy and Defense Spending
- Bielfeldt, Carola. Rüstungsausgaben und Staatsinterventionismus: Das Beispiel der Bundesrepublik Deutschland 1950-1971. Frankfurt/New York: Campus Verlag, 1977.
- Beutenmüller, Jörg. Die Entwicklung der Militärausgaben in Deutschland von der Reichsgründung bis zur Gegenwart und ihr Einfluss auf das Wirtschaftswachstum.
 (Dissertation, Universität Freiburg/Schweiz) Reinheim: E. Lokay, 1971.
- Köllner, Lutz. Militär und Finanzen: Zur Finanzsoziologie von Militärausgaben in Deutschland. München: Bernard & Graefe Verlag, 1982.
- Lesch, Manfred. Die Rolle der Offiziere in der Deutschen Wirtschaft nach dem Ende des Zweiten Weltkriegs. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, 1970.
- Vilmar, Friz. Rüstung und Abrüstung im Spätkapitalismus: Eine sozioökonomische Analyse des Militarismus in unserer Gesellschaft. 4th. ed. Frankfurt a.M.: Europäische Verlagsanstalt, 1969.
- Continuity and Change in German Politico-Military Affairs
- Diplomatic, political and social history
- Billstein, Reinhold (Hg.). Das andere Köln: Demokratische Traditionen seit der Französischen Revolution. Köln: Pahl-Rugenstein, 1979.
- Huber, Wolfgang and Johannes Schwertfeger (Hg.). Kirche zwischen Krieg und Frieden. Stuttgart: Ernst Klett, 1976.
- Köhler, Henning (Hg.). Deutschland und der Westen: Vorträge

- und Diskussionsbeiträge des Symposiums zu ehren von Gordon A. Craig. Berlin: Colloquium Verlag, 1984.
- The army and army officer corps
- Bald, Detlef. Vom Kaiserheer zur Bundeswehr: Sozialstruktur des Militärs: Politik der Rekrutierung von Offizieren und Unteroffizieren. Frankfurt a.M./Bern: Peter D. Lang, 1981.
- Bradley, Dermot and Ulrich Marwedel (Hg.). Militärgeshichte, Militärwissenschaft und Konfliktforschung: Eine Festschrift für Werner Hahlweg. Osnabrück: Biblio Verlag, 1977.
- Busch, Eckart. Der Oberbefehl: Seine rechtliche Struktur in Preussen und Deutschland seit 1848. Boppard a. Rhein: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1967.
- Dade, Peter. Fahneneid und Feierliches Gelöbnis: Zur militärischen Verflichtungsform in der deutschen Wehrgeschichte, insbesondere zur geltenden Regelung für die soldaten der Bundeswehr. Darmstadt: Wehr und Wissen Verlagsgesellschaft, 1971.
- Erhardt, Manfred. Die Befehls- und Kommandogewalt: Begriff, Rechtsnatur und Standort in der Vefassungsordnung der Bundesrepublik Deutschland. Berlin: Duncker & Humblot, 1969.
- Förster, Gerhard; Helmut Otto; and Helmut Schnitter. Der preussisch-deutsche Generalstab 1870-1963: Zu seiner politischen Rolle in der Geschichte. Berlin: Dietz Verlag, 1964.
- Geyer, Michael. Aufrüstung oder Sicherheit: Die Reichswehr in der Krise der Machtpolitik 1924-1936. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner, 1980.
- Hermann, Carl Hans. Dautsche Militärgeschichte: Eine Einführung. Frankfurt a.M.: Bernard & Graefe, 1968.
- Hofmann, Hanns Hubert. Das deutsche Offizierkorps 1860-1960. Deutsche Führungsschichten in der Neuzeit, Bd. 11, hg. v. Günther Franz. Boppard a. Rhein: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1980.
- Meier-Welcker, Hans. Deutsches Heerwesen im Wandel der Zeit: Ein Überblick über die Entwicklung vom Aufkommen der stehenden Heer bis zur Wehrfrage der Gegenwart. Frankfurt a.M.: Bernard & Graefe, 1956.

- Handbuch zur deutsche Militärgeschichte, 1648-1939. München: Bernard & Graefe, 1978.
- Model, Hansgeorg. Der deutsche Generalstabsoffizier: Seine Auswahl und Ausbildung in Reichswehr, Wehrmacht und Bundeswehr. Frankfurt a.M.: Bernard & Graefe, 1968.
- Müller-Hillebrand, Burkhart. Das Heer 1933-1945: Entwicklung des organisatorischen Aufbaues. 3 vols. Darmstadt: E.S. Mittler, 1954-69.
- Rakenius, Gerhard W. Wilhelm Groener als Erster Generalquartiermeister: Die Politik der Obersten Heeresleitung 1918/19. Boppard a. Rhein: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1974.
- Tessin, Georg. Formationsgeschichte der Wehrmacht 1933-1939: Stäbe und Truppenteile des Heeres und der Luftwaffe. Boppard a. Rhein: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1959.
- Welcker, Ingrid and Fritz F. Zelinka. Qualification zum Offizier? Eine Inhaltsanalyse der Einstellungs-voraussetzungen für Offiziere vom Kaiserheer zur Bundeswehr. Frankfurt am Main/Bern: Peter Lang, 1982.
- Westphal, Siegfried. Der deutsche Generalstab auf der Anklagebank: Nürnberg 1945-1948. Mainz: Hase & Koehler Verlag, 1978.
- Resistance to National Socialism
- Buchheit, Gert. Soldatentum und Rebellion: Die Tragödie der deutschen Wehrmacht. Rastatt/Baden: Grote, 1961.
- Donate, Claus. Deutscher Widerstand gegen den Nationalsozialismus aus der Sicht der Bundeswehr: Ein Beitrag zum Problem der »Vergangenheitsbewältigung«. Bamburg: difo-druck, 1976.
- Ministeriums Für Nationale Verteidigung. Sie Kämpften für Deutschland: Zur Geschichte des Kampfes der Bewegung »Freies Deutschland«. Berlin, 1959.
- Vogl, Friedrich. Widerstand im Waffenrock: Österreichische Freiheitskämpfer in der deutschen Wehrmacht 1938-1945. Wien: Europaverlag, 1977.
- War crimes trials; neo-Nazism; Nazi-hunting
- Hirsch, Kurt. Kommen die Nazis Wieder? Gefahren für die Bundesrepublik. München: Kurt Desch, 1967.
- Krüger, Joachim and Joachim Schulz. Kriegsverbrecher Heusinger: 45 Jahre im Solde des deutschen

- Imperialismus. Berlin: Verlag des Ministeriums Für Nationale Verteidigung, 1960.
- Oley, Hellweg. Der 20. Juli 1944 und der Fall Heusinger. Berlin: Verlag der Nation, ca. 1960.
- West German (Postwar) History
- Albrecht, Ulrich et. al. (eds.). Zusammenbruch oder Befreiung: Zur Aktualität des 8. Mai 1945. Berlin: Europäische Perspektiven, 1986.
- Badstübner, Rolf and Siegfried Thomas. Entstehung und Entwicklung der BRD: Restauration und Spaltung 1945-1955. 2d. ed. Köln: Pahl-Rugenstein, 1979.
- Bänsch, Dieter (ed.). Die Fünfziger Jahre: Beiträge zu Politik und Kultur. Tübingen: Gunter Narr Verlag, 1985.
- Binder, Gerhart. Geschichte im Zeitalter der Weltkriege 1945 bis Heute. Bd. 2. Stuttgart: Seewald, 1977.
- Blumenwitz, Dieter et. al. (Hg.). Konrad Adenauer und seine Zeit: Politik und Persönlichkeit des ersten Bundeskanzlers. Bd II: Beiträge der Wissenschaft. Stuttgart: Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt, 1976.
- Eschenburg, Theodor. Jahre der Besatzung: 1945-1949.

 Geschichte der Bundesrepublik Deutschland Bd. 1, hg. v,
 Karl Dietrich Bracher et.al. Stuttgart: Deutsche
 Verlags-Anstalt; Wiesbaden: Brockhaus, 1983.
- Gerst, Wilhelm Karl. Bundesrepublik Deutshland unter Adenauer. Berlin: Verlag der Wirtschaft, 1957.
- Glaser, Hermann. Kulturgeschichte der Bundesrepublik Deutschland Zwischen Grundgesetz und Grosser Koalition 1949-1967. München/Wien: Carl Hanser Verlag, 1986.
- Koerfer, Daniel. Kampf ums Kanzleramt: Erhard und Adenauer. Stuttgart: Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt, 1987.
- *Krieger, Wolfgang. General Lucius D. Clay und die Amerikanische Deutschlandpolitik 1945-1949. Stuttgart: Klett-Cotta, 1987.
- Hillgruber, Andreas. Deutsche Geschichte 1945-1982: Die »deutsche Frage« in der Weltpolitik. 5th. Ed. Stuttgart/Berlin/Köln/Mainz: Verlag W. Kohlhammer, 1984.
- Netzer, Hans-Joachim (Hg.). Adenauer und die Folgen:

- Siebzehn Vorträge über Probleme unseres Staates. München: Verlag C.H. Beck, 1965.
- Salzmann, Rainer. Die CDU/CSU im Parlamentarischen Rat: Sitzungsprotokolle der Unionsfraktion. Forschungen und Quellen zur Zeitgeschichte Bd. 2., hg. v. Klaus Gotto et. al. Stuttgart: Klett-Cotta, 1981.
- Schwarz, Hans-Peter. Die Ära Adenauer: Gründerjahr der Republik; 1949-1957. Geschichte Der Bundesrepublik Deutschland Bd. 2, Karl Dietrich Bracher et. al. eds. Stuttgart: Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt; Wiesbaden: Brockhaus, 1981.
- *_____. Vom Reich zur Bundesrepublik: Deutschland im Widerstreit der aussenpolitischen Konzeptionen in den Jahren der Besatzungsherrschaft 1945-1949. 2d. ed. Stuttgart: Klett-Cotta, 1980.
- Schweigler, Gebhard. Nationalbewustsein in der BRD und der DDR. Düsseldorf: Bertelsmann Universitätsverlag, 1973.
- Wehler, Hans-Ulrich (Hg.). Die moderne deutsche Geschichte in der internationalen Forschung 1945-1975. Göttingen, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1978.
- Wengst, Udo. Staatsaufbau und Regierungspraxis 1948-1953: Zur Geschichte der Verfassungsorgane der Bundesrepublik Deutschland. Düsseldorf: Droste Verlag, 1984.
- Wuermeling, Henrie L. Die Weisse Liste: Umbruch der politischen Kultur in Deutschland 1945. Berlin/Frankfurt a.M./Wien: Ulstein 1981.
- West German Security Policy, Alliance Formation and Rearmament
- Baring, Arnulf. Aussenpolitik in Adenauers
 Kanzlerdemokratie: Bonns Beitrag zur Europäischen
 Verteidigungsgemeinschaft. Schriften des
 Forschungsinsttuts der Deutschen Gesellschaft Für
 Auswärtige Politik E. V., Bd. 28. München/Wien: R.
 Oldenbourg Verlag, 1969.
- Bielfeldt, Carola and Peter Schlotter. Die Militärische Sicherheitspolitik der Bundesrepublik Deutschland: Einführung und Kritik. Frankfurt/New York: Campus Verlag, 1980.
- *Foerster, Roland G. et. al. Von der Kapitulation bis zum Pleven-Plan. Anfänge Westdeutscher Sicherheitspolitik 1945-1956, Bd. 1. hq. v. Militärgeschichtlichen

- Forschungsamt. München/Wien: R. Oldenbourg Verlag, 1982.
- Geyer, Michael. Deutsche Rüstungspolitik 1860-1980. Frankfurt a.M.: Suhrkamp, 1984.
- Guggisberg, Hans R. "Neue Historische Literatur:
 Amerikanische Assenpolitik 1950-1960 zum Quellenwerk
 Foreign Relations of the United States." Historische
 Zeitschrift 245:1 (AUG 87): 107-203.
- Hess, Jürgen C. and Friso Wielenga. "Die Niederlande und die Wiedervereinigung Deutschlands: Ein Beitrag zur Debatte um die »Verpassten Gelegenheiten« im Jahr 1952." Vierteljahrshefte für Zeitgeschichte 35:3 (JUL 87): 349-384.
- Kopp, Fritz. Chronik der Wiederbewaffnung in Deutschland: Daten über Polizei und Bewaffnung 1945-1958. Köln: Markus Verlag, 1958.
- Mai, Gunther. "Dominanz oder Kooperation im Bündnis? Die Sicherheitspolitik der USA und der Verteidigungsbeitrag Europas 1945-1956." Historische Zeitschrift 246:2 (APR 88): 327-364.
- Messerschmidt, Manfred et. al. (eds.). Militärgeschichte:
 Probleme--Thesen--Wege. Beiträge zur Militär- und
 Kriegsgeschichte, Bd. 25 hg. v. Militärgeschichtlichen
 Forschungsamt. Stuttgart: Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt,
 1982.
- Nolte, Ernst. Deutschland und der Kalte Krieg. München/ Zürich: R. Piper & Co. Verlag, 1974.
- Rautenberg, Hans-Jürgen und Norbert Wiggershaus. "Die »Himmeroder Denkschrift« vom Oktober 1950: Politische und militärische Überlegungen für einen Beitrag der Bundesrepublik Deutschland zur westeuropäischen Verteidigung." Militärgeschichtliche Mitteilungen 21:1 (JAN 77): 135-206.
- Schubert, Klaus von. Wiederbewaffnung und Westintegration:
 Die innere Auseinandersetzung um die militärische und
 aussenpolitische Orientierung der Bundesrepublik 19501952. Schriftenreihe der Vierteljahrshefte für
 Zeitgeschichte, Nr. 20. Stuttgart: Deutsche VerlagsAnstalt, 1970.
- Tönnies, Norbert. Der Weg zu den Waffen: Die Geschichte der Deutschen Wiederbewaffnung 1949-1957. Köln: Markus Verlag, 1957.
- Wettig, Gerhard. Entmilitarisierung und Wiederbewaffnung in

- Deutschland 1943-1955: Internationale Auseinandersetzungen um die Rolle der Deutschen in Europa. München: R. Oldenbourg Verlag, 1967.
- Organized support for and opposition to security policies
- *Borgert, Heinz-Ludger, Walter Stürm and Norbert
 Wiggershaus. Dienstgruppen und Westdeutscher
 Verteidigungsbeitrag: Vorüberlegnungen zur Bewaffnung
 der Bundesrepublik Deutschland. Militärgeschichte seit
 1945, Bd. 6, hg. v. Militärgeschichtlichen Forschungsamt. Boppard a. Rhein: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1982.
- Brill, Heinz. Bogislaw von Bonin: Opposition gegen Adenauers Sicherheitspolitik. Hamburg: Verlag Neue Politik, 1976.
- Hübner, Werner. Die Gesellschaft für Wehrkunde und Ihre Rolle im System der Militarisierung Westdeutschlands (1952-1968). Militärhistorische Studien 13; Neue Folge. Berlin: Deutsche Militärverlag, 1970.
- Kempe, Martin. SPD und Bundeswehr: Studien zum Militärischindustriellen Komplex. Köln: Pahl-Rugenstein, 1973.
- Lichtenberg, Hans-Jürgen. Sicherung der Freiheit: Die Haltung der CDU/CSU zum Soldatentum und ihre Sicherheits- und Wehrpolitik in den Jahren 1945-1952. (Dissertation, Universität zu Köln). Köln: Walter Kleikamp, 1979.
- Löwke, Udo F. Für den Fall, dass...Die Haltung der SPD zur Wehrfrage 1949-1955. Hannover: Verlag Für Literatur und Zeitgeschehen, 1969.
- Vogel, Johanna. Kirche und Wiederbewaffnung: Die Haltung der Evangelischen Kirche in Deutschland in den Auseinandersetzungen um die Wiederbewaffnung der Bundesrepublik 1949-1956. Göttingen: Vandenhoek & Ruprecht, 1978.
- Wilker, Lothar. Die Sicherheitspolitik der SPD 1956-1966: Zwischen Wiedervereinigungs- und Bündnisorientierung. Bonn/Bad Godesberg: Verlag Neue Gesellschaft, 1977.

NATO

- Carstens, Karl and Dieter Mahnke (eds.). Westeuropäische Verteidigungskooperation. München/Wien: R. Oldenbourg Verlag, 1972.
- Knorr, Lorenz. NATO: Geschichte--Strategie--

- Atomkriegsplanung. Frankfurt a.M., Verlag Marxistische Blätter, 1985.
- Lindner, Heinz. Der Kurs der westdeutschen Militaristen auf die Vorherrschaft in der NATO. Berlin: Deutscher Militärverlag, 1961.
- Poser, Günther. Die NATO Aufgaben und Struktur Des Nordatlantischen Bündnisses. München/Wien: Günther Olzog Verlag, 1974.
- Ruge, Friedrich. Bündnisse in Vergangenheit und Gegenwart unter besonderer Berücksichtigung von UNO, NATO, EWG und Warschauer Pakt. Frankfurt a.M.: Bernard & Graefe Verlag für Wehrwesen, 1971.
- Schlösser, Maria Helene. Die Entstehungsgeschichte der NATO bis zum Beitritt der Bundesrepublik Deutschland.
 Europäische Hochschulschriften, Reihe III: Geschichte und ihre Hilfswissenschaften, Bd. 278. Frankfurt a.M./Bern/New York: Peter Lang, 1985.

The Bundeswehr

- Alleman, Fritz Rene. Bonn ist Nicht Weimar. Köln/Berlin: 1956: 368-410.
- Balke, Peter. Politische Erziehung in der Bundeswehr: Anmassung oder Chance. Wehrwissenschaftliche Forschungen: Militär; Staat und Gesellschaft, Bd. 1. Boppard a. Rhein: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1970.
- Domröse, Lothar (Hg.). Ulrich de Maizière: Stationen Eines Soldatenlebens. Herford/Bonn: Verlag S. Mittler & Sohn, 1982.
- Fleckenstein, Bernhard. Bundeswehr und Industriegesellschaft. Boppard a. Rhein: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1971.
- *Genschel, Dietrich. Wehrreform und Reaktion: Die Vorbereitung der Inneren Führung 1951-1956. Hamburg: R.v.Decker's Verlag, 1972.
- Herbell, Hajo. Saat des Verderbens. Berlin: Deutsche Militär Verlag, 1961.
- Ilsemann, Carl-Gero von. Die Bundeswehr in der Demokratie Zeit der Inneren Führung. Hamburg: R.v. Decker's Verlag, G. Schenk, 1971.
- Instituts für Staatslehre und Politik e.V. Mainz. Der Deutsche Soldat in der Armee von Morgen:

- Wehrverfassung, Wehrsystem, Inneres Gefüge. München: Isar Verlag, 1954.
- Kobe, Gerd. Der Wind Kam Vom Westen: Ein fast schon historischer Bericht. Würzburger Wehrwissenschaftliche Abhandlungen: Schriftenreihe des Instituts für Wehrrecht der Universität Würzburg Bd. 5, hg. v. Friedrich August Freiherr von der Heydte. Würzburg: Holzner Verlag, 1974.
- Obermann, Emil. Gesellschaft und Verteidigung: Idee Weltstrategie Bundeswehr. Stuttgart: Stuttgarter Verlagskontor, 1971.
- Waldman, Eric. Soldat im Staat: Der Staatsbürger in Uniform: Vorstellung und Wirklichkeit. Boppard a. Rhein: Harald Boldt Verlag, 1963.