AD-A203 864

MC HER CODA

LUMINOSITY VARIATIONS OF STARS SIMILAR TO THE SUN

G. W. Lockwood and B. A. Skiff Lowell Observatory 1400 West Mars Hill Road Flagstaff, Arizona 86001

1 September 1988

FINAL REPORT

1 January 1984–31 December 1987

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Air Force Geophysics Laboratory Air Force Systems Command United States Air Force Hanscom AFB Massachusetts 01731



89 1 17 371

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

RICE

Contract Manager

STEPHEN L. KEIL, Chief Solar Research Branch

FOR THE COMMANDER

Director

Space Physics Division

This report has been reviewed by the ESD Public Affairs Office (PA), and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

Qualified requestors may obtain additional copies from the Defense Technical Information Center. All others should apply to the National Technical Information Service.

If your address has changed, or if you wish to be removed from the mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify AFGL/DAA, Hanscom AFB, MA 01731. This will assist us in maintaining a current mailing list.

Do not return copies of this report unless contractual obligations or notices on a specific document requires that it be returned.

unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE					
	REPORT DOCUM	AENTATION I	PAGE		
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified		1b. RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
2a SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		approved for	r public rel	REPORT ease;	
26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	LE	distributio	n unlimited		
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5. MONITORING C	ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NU	MBER(S)
		AFGL-TI	R-88-0221		:
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Lowell Observatory	7a. NAME OF MC Air Force G	eophysics La	ization iborato	ry	
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	A	7b ADDRESS (Cin	, State, and ZIP (ode)	
1400 West Mars Hill Road Flagstaff, Arizona 86001		Hanscom Air Massachuset	Force Base ts 01731		
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMENT F19628-84-K	INSTRUMENT IDE -0013	NTIFICATI	ON NUMBER
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)	······································	10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	5	
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK NO	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.
		61102F	2311	G3	CZ
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Luminosity Variations of Stars	Similar to the	Sun		_	
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) G. W. Lockwood and B. A. Skiff					
138. TYPE OF REPORT 136. TIME C Final FROM 84	оvered Jan01 то 87Dec31	14. DATE OF REPOR	RT (Year, Month, L	Day) 15	PAGE COUNT 110
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17 COSATI CODES	18 SUBJECT TERMS (C	Continue on reverse	if necessary and	identify t	by block number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	 stellar photom	etrv. solar	type stars		
A			- J F		
 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary Using precision differential p characteristics of 36 solar-ty stars were determined from nea March 1984 and December 1987. length) of intercomparison of ing 12 (or 24) pairwise differ each trio or quartet group are cycles is typically 0.2% rms, seasons is 0.2% for stars whos Nightly and annual mean light ranges, and intraseason root m DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS SAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 	and identify by block n hotoelectric pho pe stars (spectr rly 2000 measure Each observatio the brightnesses ential magnitude measured identi and the median r e output appears curves, annual m ean square dispe (continued rev	umber) tometry at 4 al types F, ments made o of a trio (s. Program cally. The cally. The cange of annu to be intri mean differen ersions are g verse)	72 and 551 G, and K) and n more than f four cycle or quartet) stars and co precision of al mean brin nsically co tial magnit iven for ea	nm, the ad thei 350 ni es (two of sta omparis f night ghtness nstant. udes, i ch diff	e variability ir 65 comparison ights between o at each wave- ars, thus produc- son stars within tly measurement s over four interseason ferential pair,
Richard Radick			noude Area Lode,	AFGL/	PAS
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 A	PR edition may be used un All other editions are ob	til exhausted. osolete.	SECURITY	CLASSIFICA lassifi	ATION OF THIS PAGE

19. ABSTRACT (continued).

Individual stars are designated as variable, probably variable, or constant within each season and over the duration of the program. A complete statistical profile of the observations is included along with a discussion of the observational and analytical methodology.

The principal conclusions of this study are (1) about one-half the program stars and one-fourth the comparison stars are demonstrably variable on short-time scales at levels typically 0.3% and greater, (2) variability is about twice as common among K stars as among F- and G-type stars, (3) rotational modulation was measurable in nine stars, (4) sixteen program stars and eight comparison stars varied by more than 0.5% over four seasons, (5) twenty-six pairs of exceptionally stable stars varied by less than 0.3% over four years, (6) variability of the program stars is strongly correlated with independently determined chromospheric activity levels, and (7) interseason variability is more consistent with longterm cyclic variation than with stochastic fluctuations.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

P	age
I. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Solar luminosity variation	1
1.2 Activity cycles in Sun-like stars	2
1.3 Photometric variability of Sun-like stars.	2
2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	3
2.1 Selection of stars	3
2.1.1 Program stars	3
2.1.2 Comparison stars	14
2.1.3 Distribution of color and apparent magnitude	15
2.2 The observational facility	15
2.5 The basic observation sequence	17
2.4 Expected precision revers	18
2.4.1 Photon-counting errors 1.1	18
2.4.3 Extinction and airmass	19
2.4.4 Temporal atmospheric transparency changes	19
2.4.5 Temporal changes in photometer sensitivity	19
2.4.6 Scintillation error	20
2.4.7 Errors caused by seeing and image motion	20
$2.4.8~\Lambda$ hypothetical error budget \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots	29
3. THE DATA	20
3.1 Observations obtained	20
3.2 Observational procedures	22
3.3 Data rejection criteria	22
3.4 Reductions	23
3.5 Seasonal mean differential magnitudes	23
3.6 Light curves	23
3.7 Observed precision levels	23
3.7.1 Cycle-to-cycle differences	62
$3.7.2$ Errors arising from differential extinction \ldots \ldots	63
3.8 Possible instrumental effects	00
3.8.1 Color effects	65
5.6.2 Digitiless and Digitiless difference chects	00
4. INTRINSIC STELLAR VARIABILITY ON INTRASEASON	.
TIME SCALES	65
4.1 Intraseason standard deviations	05 67
4.2 The relation between s_b and s_y	01 72
4.4 Frequency histograms of intraseason variability	73
4.5 Consistently variable stars	75
4.6 Photometrically derived rotation periods	76

TABLE OF CONTENTS continued.

	P٤	age
5. INTRINSIC STELLAR VARIABILITY ON INTERSEASON		
TIME SCALES		76
5.1. The least-variable stars		78
5.2. Possible long-term systematic effects	•	80
5.3. The large-range variable stars: incipient cycles?	•	80
6. PHOTOMETRIC VARIABILITY AND CHROMOSPHERIC		
ΑCTIVITY	•	84
7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	•	86
REFERENCES		88
APPENDIX:	•	93

List of Figures

Page
Figure 1. Chromospheric emission ratio as a function of $B - V$ color 14
Figure 2. Location of trio and quartet groups on the sky
Figure 3. Apparent V magnitudes and $B - V$ colors
Figure 4.1. Light curves of the IID1835 group
Figure 4.2. Light curves of the HD10476 group
Figure 4.3. Light curves of the HD13421 group
Figure 4.4. Light curves of the HD18256 group
Figure 4.5. Light curves of the HD23140 group
Figure 4.6. Light curves of the HD25998 group
Figure 4.7. Light curves of the HD35296/HD39587 group
Figure 4.8. Light curves of the HD76572/HD75332 group
Figure 4.9. Light curves of the HD81809 group
Figure 4.10. Light curves of the HD82885/HD82635 group
Figure 4.11. Light curves of the HD103095 group
Figure 4.12. Light curves of the HD114710 group
Figure 4.13. Light curves of the HD115383/HD117176 group
Figure 4.14. Light curves of the HD115404 group
Figure 4.15. Light curves of the HD120136 group
Figure 4.16. Light curves of the HD124570 group
Figure 4.17. Light curves of the HD129333 group
Figure 4.18. Light curves of the HD131156 group
Figure 4.19. Light curves of the HD143761 group
Figure 4.20. Light curves of the HD149661/HD152391 group
Figure 4.21. Light curves of the HD157856/HD158614 group
Figure 4.22. Light curves of the HD160346 group
Figure 4.23. Light curves of the HD161239 group

List of Figures continued.

Page
Figure 4.24. Light curves of the HD176095 group
Figure 4.25. Light curves of the HD182572 group
Figure 4.26. Light curves of the HD185144 group
Figure 4.27. Light curves of the HD190007 group
Figure 4.28. Light curves of the HD201091/HD201092 group
Figure 4.29. Light curves of the HD215704 group
Figure 4.30. Light curves of the HD216385 group
Figure 5. Observed and predicted cycle-to-cycle magnitude differences 63
Figure 6. Distribution of differential airmasses
Figure 7. Relation between seasonal rms magnitude dispersion and differential airmass
Figure 8. Average intraseason dispersion as a function of difference in $(b - y)$ color
Figure 9. Average intraseason dispersion as a function of difference in V magnitude
Figure 10. Average intraseason dispersion as a function of V magnitude 66
Figure 11. Ratio of s_b/s_y to s_b
Figure 12. Frequency histograms for intraseason variable, probably variable, and constant pairs of stars
Figure 13. Average intraseason dispersion as a function of $B - V$ color
Figure 14. Frequency histograms for interseason variable, probably variable, and constant pairs of stars
Figure 15. Interseason range as a function of $B - V$ color
Figure 16. $B - V$ colors as a function of color difference coded by variability range
Figure 17. Intraseason dispersion as a function of chromospheric emission ratio
Figure 18. Interseason range as a function of chromospheric emission ratio

List of Tables

Page

Table 1. Observing list and variability summary	•	. 4
Table 2. Error budget .	•	. 21
Table 3. Summary of annual mean differential magnitudes	•	. 24
Table 4. Intraseason dispersion and interseason range. \ldots . \ldots .	•	. 68
Table 5. Intraseason variability and spectral type		. 74
Table 6. Summary of rotation determinations	•	. 77
Table 7. The least-variable stars	•	. 81
Table 8. Strongly varying stars	• •	84

- vii -

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Solar luminosity variation

The appearance and disappearance of sunspots during the 11-year solar cycle has long been suspected of causing slight fluctuations of the Sun's luminous output. Decades of pioneering efforts by Abbott and his coworkers (1963) from several sites, however, failed to demonstrate a convincing actual detection of solar variability. Groundbased observations, compromised by the necessity of accounting exactly for the variable amounts of sunlight absorbed and scattered by Earth's atmosphere, simply were not capable of revealing tiny intrinsic variations in the "solar constant."

In 1980, extremely precise measurements of total solar irradiance from space finally revealed small (<0.2%) dips of the total solar output accompanying the disk passage of large sunspot groups (Willson *et al.* 1981). Near solar maximum, a strong peak in the power spectrum of total irradiance at the solar rotation frequency is caused by the sunspot flux deficits in active regions (Willson 1982). A model of solar irradiance variation reconstructed from historic sunspot and faculae data predicted that owing to the flux blocking effects of sunspots, the solar output should vary inversely with solar activity, reaching a maximum at sunspot minimum and inversely (Hoyt and Eddy 1982).

Contrary to this simple scenario of irradiance variation, continuing measurements since 1980 showed that the solar constant decreased by almost 0.1% from sunspot maximum to sunspot minimum (Willson *et al.* 1986; Willson 1987). A new model of the radiation balance between sunspot flux deficits and faculae and bright magnetic network flux enhancements was proposed. In this model, excess radiation from faculae and network components compensates the flux blockage from sunspots to produce the observed decline of total irradiance between solar maximum and solar minimum (Foukal and Lean 1987, 1988; Lean and Foukal 1988).

With the existence of measurable solar variability now firmly established, the question of long-term irradiance changes and their effects on the solar-terrestrial environment becomes more than academic. Even though the sensitivity of terrestrial climate to solar variability is currently thought to be much smaller than anthropogenic trace gas and volcanic effects, the baseline of measured solar variations—less than one 11-year sunspot cycle—is still far too short to permit a comprehensive assessment of the causes of long-term climatic change (Dickinson 1987; Ramanathan 1988). Thus continuing irradiance monitoring is imperative.

The study of relationships between solar irradiance, solar activity, and terrestrial weather systems is also becoming important. Although past efforts were unconvincing (e.g., Abbott 1963), more sophisticated analysis reveals significant links between the solar cycle and some large-scale meteorological phenomena (Labitzke 1987; Tinsley 1988). Particularly intriguing is the situation prevailing during the so-called "Maunder minimum" from about 1645 until 1715, when sunspots were virtually absent (Eddy 1976). An accompanying period of unusual cold in northern Europe was so extraordinary that it has become known as the "Little Ice Age." Now that an apparent connection seems to exist between solar activity and irradiance, the suggestion of a causal relation between these two very disparate phenomena--one solar and one climatological - seems hard to avoid.

It is in this context that the study of solar variability has undergone a renaissance. The Sun is now recognized as providing a varying input to the Earth radiation budget, and some component of this variability has observable terrestrial effects on solar-cycle time scales. But to characterize the nature and significance of solar variations by observing the Sun itself will require decades and many solar cycles. For a more timely understanding of past and present solar variability, we turn to the stars, especially those similar to the Sun in age, mass, and composition.

1.2 Activity cycles in Sun-like stars

Sun-like chromospheric-activity cycles were discovered by O. C. Wilson (1978) in the course of a decade-long study during which he monitored the strengths of the emission cores of the II and K lines of ionized calcium in a group of solar-type stars. It was Wilson's work that gave rise to the concept of "solar analogs," stars that truly mimic the Sun in all aspects of their spectral output and variability.

A basic indicator of the magnetic activity of these stars is provided by the variability of Wilson's index of composite H+K line strength, S, defined as the ratio of the emission in the line cores to the nearby continuum level. Stars with small, constant S indices were used as nightly calibration standards. Old main-sequence stars with the weak S indices signalling low chromospheric activity showed activity cycles remarkably similar to the Sun's, some comparable in length. Continuing Wilson's program, Vaughan ϵt al. (1981) and Baliunas et al. (1983) found that young, chromospherically active stars displayed rotational modulation of the HK flux, attributable to the stellar analog of solar plage, thus accounting for some of the random fluctuations observed at lower time resolution by Wilson.

By subtracting the photospheric component of the S index, Noyes *et al.* (1984) derived a new parameter, $R'_{\rm HK}$, the "chromospheric emission ratio," that quantitatively estimates the actual fraction of the star's total luminosity originating in the chromosphere. In the following discussion, we shall show that the magnitude of photometric variability is closely related to log $R'_{\rm HK}$.

1.3 Photometric variability of Sun-like stars

Measurements of the continuum brightness of young, chromospherically active stars in the Hyades open cluster showed that nearly all of these stars cooler than spectral type F7 are demonstrably variable, modulated like the Sun—though with much larger amplitudes—by the disk transit of spotted regions (Lockwood *ct al.* 1984; Radick *et al.* 1987). Axial rotation periods that recur from year to year have now been determined for 18 stars. Repeated observations in successive seasons provided evidence of long-term changes as well: during seasons when the rotational modulation of brightness was most apparent, the mean brightness was reduced slightly and vice versa. A simple interpretation is that the presence of spots reduces the time-averaged stellar brightness—flux deficits associated with spots are not compensated by excess radiation elsewhere in the stellar atmosphere, as is evidently the case for the Sun. However, in contrast to the clear picture that has emerged regarding the relation of chromospheric activity and photometric variability in young solar-type stars, essentially nothing was known about luminosity variations of common mainsequence stars. In fact, some of the Wilson stars exhibiting Sun-like chromospheric activity cycles are among those chosen decades ago and long accepted as photometric standard stars on the basis of their presumed stability. Nearly all these stars have appeared to be rock-steady in their light output. A photometric program carried out by Jerzykiewicz and Serkowski (1966) from 1955 to 1966 involving repeated measurements of thirteen mostly main-sequence F, G, and K stars uncovered no variability at the level of about 1%.

The present photometric study of stars similar to the Sun was undertaken in 1984 to look for analogs of solar variation in the mostly unexplored and technically difficult regime of stellar variability below 1%. Finding evidence of luminosity variations in a group of similar stars—especially ones with known Sun-like activity cycles—would help characterize the historic variability of the Sun and its possible modes of variability in solar cycles to come. With better instrumentation than Jerzykiewicz and Serkowski had available 25 years ago, we hoped to measure solarlike stellar variability at levels well below 0.5% on rotational as well as solar cycle time scales. In this report, we describe the results of the first four observing seasons of this program.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

2.1 Selection of stars

2.1.1 Program stars

Thirty vix main-sequence and subgiant F, G, and K stars were selected for photometric monitoring, all but two from Wilson's (1978) survey of chromospheric activity in 91 main-sequence stars. These plus others suggested by D. K. Duncan (private communication) are currently also monitored spectroscopically in a continuation of the HK program at Mt. Wilson. Our list includes HK standards, young active stars, and solar-age stars with observed cyclic chromospheric activity. The program stars are listed in Table 1, organized together with the comparison stars required for differential photometry into 30 trio and quartet groups. Program stars are denoted by tabulated log $R'_{\rm HK}$ or $\langle S \rangle$ values. Four stars (HD82885, HD114710, HD120136, HD131156) were previously monitored by Jerzykiewicz and Serkowski (1966); several are ucby or UBV photometric standards, HK standards, or MK spectral standards and are so designated in the Stds column of Table 1.

In Table 1, the "intra" column indicates detected variability in each season, and the "inter" column indicates variability of the seasonal mean magnitudes, as discussed further in $\S\S4$ and 5.

Notes	9 Cet=BE Cet. Hyades group. MK85 std Rossiter 4146	107 Psc. NSV 600 109 Psc. rv var?	64 Cet 65=ξ¹ Cet. MK83 std, spec bin. <s>=0.15. NSV 749 spec bin</s>	46=p ³ Ari 47 Ari. Hyades group	<s>=0.32 (D. K. Duncan 1984, private communication) ADS 2669</s>	50 Persei. Hyades group; cpm w/HD 25893 V491 Per=ADS 2995 Hyades group. rv est (Fekel <i>et al.</i> 1986)	111 Tau. Call em. HD 35171 (dK5) shares cpm/rv. rv cst (Young <i>et al.</i> 1987) 15 Ori 54= χ^1 Ori in UMa cluster, Sirius group rv cst (Young <i>et al.</i> 1987) MK85 std 130 Tau, MK85 std	61 Cnc=ADS 7107. UMa stream spec bin
Stds		4C	4C, HK				4C	НК
Inter	+ >00	>00<	0×0	čo č	000	<000>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	>00>
Intra	VVVV CCCC	2222 2222 2222		-000- CVC-	VV- CCC- CCC-	*VC CCC CCC	C VVV C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	CCCC CCCC
log R' _{HK}	-4. 42 	-4.87 	-5.21	-4.79	: : :	-4.50 	-4.38 4.44	-4.94 -4.44
Sp.	G2V F5 G0V	KIV G5IV G5	G0V G6II-III CN-2 F0 F2	F6V F5IV F8 F5 111	K2 F2 G5	F7V F0 K1V K1V	F8V F2IV G0V F0II	F6V F5III F2V F7Vn
B-V	0‴66 0.5 0.59	0.84 0.75 0.8	0.56 0.89 0.4 0.5	0.4 3 0.4 1 0.5 0.4	0.86 0.4 	0.46 0.4 0.4 0.86	0.53 0.32 0.59 0.30	0.43 0.35 0.36 0.49
1	6 ^m 4 6.9 6.5	5.2 6.3 6.7	5.6 4.4 6.6 7.2	5.6 6.6 6.3	7.7 7.7 7.7	5.5 6.9 6.6 7.1	5.0 5.5 5.5	6.3 6.1 6.2 6.2
Dec 000)	-12°13′ -11 14 -13 27	+20 16 +20 05 +18 17	+08 34 +08 51 +05 01 +07 32	+18 01 +20 40 +19 10 +16 29	+46 02 +45 21 +46 01	+38 02 +36 30 +37 52 +38 04	+17 23 +15 36 +20 17 +17 44	+30 14 +31 57 +32 32 +33 17
RA (21	0 ^k 22 ^m 9 0 28.6 0 18.0	1 42.5 1 44.9 1 51.8	2 11.4 2 13.0 2 13.5 2 13.5 2 01.9	2 56.4 2 58.1 2 50.7 2 53.2	3 44.8 3 45.6 3 40.7	4 08.6 3 57.6 3 50.1 4 07.6	5 24.4 5 09.7 5 54.4 5 47.4	8 58.0 8 40.3 9 08.1 8 50.5
НК	20 : * 20 :	49 3 508	635 649 	869 878 856	: : :	1278 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1780 1676 2047 1990	3563 3423 3620 3499
ЧD	1835 2488 1388	10476 1(: 37 11326	13421 13611 13683 13683 12414	18256 18404 17659 17918	23140 23256 22679	25998 24747 23885 23893 25893	35296 33276 39587 38558	76572 73596 78234 75332
	321	- 01 89	- 0 6 4		3 2 -	4004	4 35 4	-064

TABLE 1. Observing List and Variability Summary

Notos		Burnham 2530. spee bin 31=r ¹ Hya=h1167	II LMi=ADS 7441 Call em. rv est	(Young et al. 1987). Rot per: Skill and Lockwood 1936 10 LMi. <s>≈0.31. rv est (Young et al. 1987). Reference (Gebeeced 1986)</s>	13 LMi	Groombridge 1830=CF UMa 62 UMa	43=β Com. spee bin? MK85 std 31 Com. member of Coma cluster in Hertzsprung	gap. MK85 std: HK weak, very broad metallic lin es 37 Com=ADS 8731. MK 85 std.	e=59 Vir=Kui 62. rv cst (Young <i>et al.</i> 1987) 71 Vir. spec bin 70 Vir. MK85 std	ADS 8841. Call em moderately strong in B comp 39 Com. MK: weak lined A3p	4=τ Boo=ADS 9025: 4.5,11.1,4.8,11(1970). NSV 6442 7 Boo	14 Boo. spec bin. NSV 6597 18 Boo. UMa stream ADS 9251. AGK3 shows cpm,bcth incl in measures.
Stds.		4C	÷	4C		4C 4C	4C 4C		4C 4C			НК
Inter		~ ~ + > > >	+^	+ v	00	00>	: ^ >	+>	+ ^·	+~.~ ∧ ∧ ∧	+ ~· ~· > > >	~ ~ + ^ ~ ^
lut ra		wCV CCVC CCVC	CVVV	νννν	000 0000-	CCCC VVC CCvv	CCCC	VVCV	CCCC CCCC CCCC		ACCC VCCC VCCC	CCCC CCCC VVV
lour R'	NH** 6***	-4.9] 	-4.58	:	::	-4.87	-4.73	:	-4.43 -5.11	-4.46 	-4.76 	-5.14
G.	ob.	G2V F6V G6IV	G8IV-V	G8.5111	F3V F5	G8V1 K0III CN-1 F4V	F9.5V GOIIIp	G9111 CN-2 Fel Ca-1	G0Vs K0III G4V	K1V+M1V F4V F2II	F6IV G5III F6V	F6IV F5IV F8V+G1V
R_ V		0.46 0.46 0.84	0.77	0.92	$0.36 \\ 0.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75 \\ 0.99 \\ 0.43 \end{array}$	0.57 0.67	1.17	0.59 1.05 0.71	$\begin{array}{c} 0.94 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	0.48 0.84 0.50	0.54 0.38 0.5
~	•	5"4 4.6 6.3	5.4	4.6	6.1 7.0	6.4 7.0 5.7	4.3 4.9	4.9	5.2 5.7 5.0	6.5 6.0 6.7	4.5 5.7 6.2	5.5 5.4 6.7
Dec 1000		-06°04′ -02 46 -04 15	+3549	+3624	+3506 +3520	+37 43 +38 45 +31 45	+2753 +2732	+3047	+0925 +1049 +1347	+1701 +2109 +2114	+1727 +1756 +1403	+1258 +1300 +1115
RA (20		9 ^A 27 78 9 29.1 9 29.5	9 35.7	9 34.2	9 12.7 9 40.0	11 53.0 11 55.4 11 41.6	13 11.9 12 51.7	13 00.3	13 16.8 13 29.2 13 28.4	13 16.9 13 06.4 13 10.9	13 47.3 13 53.2 13 55.8	14 14.1 14 19.3 14 24.1
HR		3750 3759 3762	3815	3800	3857	4550 * 4501	4983 4883	4924	5011 5081 5072	* 4946 *	5185 5225 5243	5323 5365
ЧD		81809 81997 82074	82885	82635	83951 83525	$\begin{array}{c} 103095 \\ 103520 \\ 101606 \end{array}$	114710 111812	112989	115383 117304 117176	115404 113848 114520	120136 121107 121560	124570 125451 126246
		- :, :,	1	51	÷. +	- 01 65	6	S	- 7 r	- 9 5	- 7 F	- C P

TABLE 1. Observing List and Variability Summary (continued).

Inter Stds Notes	C V+ Callem C C	 C 37=ξ Boo=ADS 9413, both incl., both show C'all em, both rv cst (Young et al. 1987) V+ 35=o Boo. barium star. MK85 std V+ Hyades group. NSV 6847. 	C HK, 4C $15=\rho$ CrB. MK85 std V $11=\kappa$ CrB. MK85 std C $9=\pi$ CrB	V+ 12 Oph=V2133 Oph. Call em. poss rv var (Young et a.'. 1987) C V+	C V C V+ ADS10598. Also classed G5V (Abt 1981) C	C ADS10688. closer, brtr comp incl in measures V+	 V+ HK 84 Her. shows long-term cycle despite low HK flux. MK85 std. V? 87 Her.
R' _{HK} Intra I	4.23 VVVV CCCC 0	1.36 CVVV 0 CCCC 1	5.02 vCCC VVvC 0	454 VVOC 1 CCCC 0 145 CCVV 1	4.70 CCCC 1 CCCC 1 4.99 CCVC 1	4.71 CCCC 6	5.14 CCCC
B-V Sp. log	5 0 ^m 6 G0 5 0.4 F2 2 F8	6 0.76 G8V+K4V - 6 0.98 G8.5III 0 0.83 K2V 7 0.94 gG5	4 0.60 G0+Va.Fe-1 - 8 1.00 K11Va 5 1.06 gG9	8 0.82 K2V 7 1.1 K0 5 0.76 G7V 3 0.28 F0V	4 0.46 F3V - 7 0.5 F8 - 3 0.72 G9IV-VH61 - 3 0.68 G5IV -	5 0.96 K3V 8 1.1 K3IIIb 9 0.6 G0	7 0.65 G2IIIb
RA Dec V (2000) V	4 ^{h39^m0 +64^o18' 7^m 14 39.4 +64 09 7.5 14 49.9 +64 35 8.2}	14 51.4 +19 06 4.6 14 45.2 +16 58 4.6 14 53.4 +19 09 6.0 14 57.2 +16 23 5.7	16 01.0 +33 18 5.4 15 51.2 +35 39 4.8 15 44.0 +32 31 5.6	16 36.4 -02 19 5.8 16 38.7 -01 14 6.7 16 53.0 -00 02 6.6 16 54.2 -01 37 6.3	17 26.0 -01 39 6.4 17 18.8 -02 49 6.1 17 30.4 -01 04 5.5 17 22.9 -02 23 6.3	17 39.3 +03 33 6.8 17 39.5 +03 24 6.8 17 41.9 +04 22 6.9	17 43.4 +24 20 5.7 17 48.8 +25 37 5.1
HD HR	129333 1- 129390 131330	131156 5544 13126 5544 129972 5502 131511 5553 132146 5575	143761 5968 142091 5901 140716 5855	149661 6171 150050 152391 * 152569 6277	157856 6489 156635 158614 6516 157347 6465	160346 * 160385 160823	161239 6608 162211 6644

TABLE 1. Observing List and Variability Summary (continued).

Notes	62 Ser. spec bin. NSV 11536(in IR)	 Aql. MK85 std: NSV 11994. 61 Cygni group 25=ω¹ Aql ADS12670; mag 12.5 comp excluded from measures. 	61=σ Dra. NSV 12176. MK85 std 52=υ Dra, spec bin 67=ρ Dra=LDS2447		61 Cyg A=V1803 Cyg=ADS14636A: 29.7;148(1990). NSV . 13543. Call em. MK85 std; rv cst (Young <i>et al.</i> 1987)	61 Cyg B=ADS14636B. NSV 13546 Call em. MK85 std; rv cst (Young et al. 1987)	<s>=0.25 (Duncan 1984 private communication)</s>	49=σ Peg. spec bin 52 Peg=ADS16428:6.0,7.3;0.67:315(1990),
Stds			40		4C	4C		НК
Inter	000	2002	+++ >>>	43 73 74	۰۸ ۸	Λ3 Λ3	ν? 12 22	A D D
Intra	CCCC CCCC VVVV	CCCC CCCC CCCC	CCCC vCCv vvvV	VVVV CCCV CCCV		CCVC CCVC	CCCC VVVV CCCC	CCCC CvVV VvVC
log K' _{HK}	-4.68 	-5.0 3	-4.75 	4.59 	-4.80	4.91		-5.0 3
Sp.	F5IV K0III F0	G8IV H61 F0IV G01b-11	K0V K0111 Ba0.2 K3111	K4 G5 K0	K5V	K7V G8III	K0 K5 G5	F7IV F0IV-V A8V+F6V
B-V	0rn46 1.04 0.4	0.77 0.20 0.88	$\begin{array}{c} 0.79 \\ 1.15 \\ 1.32 \end{array}$	1.14 0.8 1.1	1.18	1.01 1.01	0.80 1.4 0.9	0.48 0.29 0.32
>	6°2 5.6 7.3	5.2 5.3 6.0	4.5	6.5 6.8 8.6	5.2 £ 0	6.0 6.1	7.2 8.0	5.2 6.5 5.8
Dev: 00)	+06°14′ +06 37 +07 08	+111 57 +111 36 +111 16	+6940 +7118 +6752	+03 20 +03 20 +03 26	+3845	+ 38 45 + 38 39 + 38 39	+5013 +4925 +5001	+0950 +1029 +1144
R.A (20	18 ⁴ 58 ¹¹ 4 18 55.5 18 56.0	19 25.0 19 17.8 19 36.9	19 32.4 18 54.4 20 02.8	20 02.8 20 00.4 20 05.2	21 06.9	21 06.9 21 06.9 21 03.1	22 46.3 22 44.3 22 49.9	22 52.4 22 49.6 22 59.2
HR	7163 7135	7373 7315 7456	7462 7180 7685	: : :	8085 *	8086 8063	: : :	8697 8681 8739
ПH	176095 175515 175637	182572 180868 185018	185144 176524 190940	190007 189533 190521	201091	201092 201092 200577	215704 215427 216175	216385 216048 217232
	- 0100	- 01 55		- 0 6		лю 4 .	- 01 59	3 7 1

TABLE 1. Observing List and Variability Summary (continued).

*Star is listed in YBS Supplement.

- 7 ...

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES – TABLE 1

The information in these notes is derived in large part from a bibliographic search kindly conducted for us by Dr. W. H. Warren, Jr., National Space Science Data Center, using the SIMBAD astronomical literature database. We acknowledge with gratitude this extremely useful service.

In general, all the program stars and many of the comparison stars are F2-K7 dwarfs of apparent magnitude 4.5 < V < 7 and consequently lie close to Earth, many within 10 pc. Many of the stars are thus included in high proper-motion and parallax catalogues of Gliese, Luyten, Woolley, Lowell, Yale, etc. Information about the dynamical aspects of any particular star should be sought in these sources. Membership of several stars in dynamical groups such as the Hyades are noted in the table. The Mount Wilson HK data for nearly all the Wilson stars have been analyzed by Vaughan *et al.* (1981) and Baliunas *et al.* (1983, 1985).

HD1835 = HR 88 = 9 Ceti = BE Ceti. This Wilson star, 1985 MK G2V standard, and Hyades group member has been frequently studied as a solar analog. However, because it is younger and much more chromospherically active than the Sun, it has not proven to be a perfect match (cf. Hardorp 1978; Cayrel de Strobel *et al.* 1981; Hardorp 1982). Chugainov (1980) found rotational variability ($\Delta V = 0.032$, $\Delta B = 0.037$) with a period of 7.655 days, using HD2488 as the comparison star (included in our trio with HD1835). Campbell and Cayrel (1984) found molecular lines characteristic of sunspot umbrae in high-resolution spectra that suggested spot coverage of ~3% of the surface.

IID10476 = HR493 = 107 Piscium = NSV 600. This Wilson star is a photometric standard on the *UBV* (fundamental), *uvby*, and DAO systems. The suspected variability is due to Gutierrez-Moreno *et al.* (1966), who claim a range of 0.12 in V, which is clearly discredited by our observations (the star is nevertheless a long-term microvariable). The star is a suspected spectroscopic binary, but unresolved via speckle interferometry (Hartkopf and McAlister 1984).

HD13421 = HR635 = 64 Ceti. A Wilson star that has received relatively little attention apart from analysis of the Mount Wilson HK data. It is included as a standard in Perry *et al.* (1987). MK classification suggests this is a subgiant.

HD13611 = HR649 = ξ^1 Ceti = 65 Ceti = NSV 749. This luminous marginal barium star is a 1983 MK and DDO standard. It was suspected of variability by Argue (1966), who suggested it was an eclipsing binary of amplitude V = 0.03 from two nights' data (!). Our data also show variability, but of a different kind; shortterm variation at the 3% level is ruled out. It was observed by Wilson (1982) during a quick-look survey of HK emission in late-type giants and found to be inactive. The star has a diameter determined via lunar occultation (cf. White and Feierman 1987). It is a spectroscopic binary with several published orbits (most recently Griffin 1982), which is resolved via speckle interferometry (McAlister *et al.* 1984); the companion was detected using *IUE* and interpreted as a white dwarf (common among Ba stars) by Bohm-Vitense and Johnson (1985). The last three observations have not been correlated directly.

IID18256 = HR869 = ρ Arietis = 46 Arietis. A relatively low HK flux Wilson star. The reality of its spectroscopic binarity has been disputed (cf. Morbey and Griffin 1987).

HD23140 = SAO 39072. Included in D. K. Duncan's 1984 list of stars then actively observed in HK at Mount Wilson.

HD25893 = ADS 2995 = V491 Persei. A Hyades group star having common propermotion with the brighter Wilson star HD25998 (see below). It was added to program because of probable variability. HK emission was noticed by Bic. 'man (1983) on objective-prism plates. This led Boyd ϵt al. (1984) to check it for variability using an automated telescope; they found a V amplitude of 0.03 mag and a period of 7.37 days. Our data, though not as extensive, suggest a period of about 11 days (more concordant with expectations from other Hyades stars of this spectral type), and 1986/7 season amplitude $\Delta y = 0.05$.

HD25998 = HR1278 = 50 Persei. A Wilson star and Hyades group member having common proper-motion with the binary HD25893 (above). Aside from analysis of Mount Wilson HK data, no other relevant study.

HD35296 = HR1780 = 111 Tauri. A bright Wilson star whose chromospheric activity is well-studied in many lines from the UV to IR. Boyd *et al.* (1984) used this as a comparison star for the long-period variable 119=CE Tauri, but did not notice the variability found in the present observations.

HD39587 = HR2047 = χ^1 Orionis = 54 Orionis. Among the most thoroughly studied Wilson stars, and among the brightest on our observing list. Also a Strömgren photometric, zero-polarization, and 1985 MK standard. Its angular diameter has been measured via lunar occultation (cf. White and Feierman 1987).

HD75332 = HR3499. An active Wilson star without much astrophysical study apart from Mount Wilson HK analysis. It is included as a "highly accurate" photoelectric standard in Khaliullin *et al.*'s (1985) WBVR system, but with only two nights' observation, they missed the 2% interannual variation we see. UBV measures have been made by a Canadian amateur (Kaitting 1985), who also found no variations (his rms dispersion was 0.02).

HD76572 = HR3563 = 61 Cancri = ADS 7107. A low-flux Wilson HK standard. Classed as F6V by Cowley and Bidelman (1979), but moderate-dispersion classification by Abt (1986) shows it to have slightly weak metallic lines (F4V/F2met/F4 G-band). Observed by Radick ϵt al. (1983) in 1978/9, who found no variability at the 4 mmag level in y.

HD81809 = HR3750 = Burnham 2530. This Wilson star is often considered a solar analog (see, however, Neckel 1986). Observed by Radick ϵt al. (1983) in 1978/9, who found no variability at the 4 mmag level in y. Duquennoy and Mayor (1988) present the result of nine years of CORAVEL radial velocity measures, which are consistent with the 35-year visual binary orbit of Baize (1985); the implied mass ratio suggests a GOV+G9V pair.

HD82635 = HR3800 = 10 Leonis Minoris. This chromospherically active giant was chosen as a comparison star for HD82885 = 11 LMi (see next). A rotation period was found by Skiff and Lockwood (1986). The star is a standard on the *uvby* system. It was observed by Wilson (1982) in a quick-look survey of IIK emission in cool giants, where the activity was about double that of the Sun. About the same time, Middelkoop (1982) found a similar S value.

HD82885 = HR3815 = 11 Leonis Minoris = ADS 7441. An active and wellstudied Wilson star. The star is a fundamental UBV standard, uvby, DAO, zeropolarization, and "Lowell 10-Year" (Jerzykiewicz and Serkowski 1966) standard. Radick ϵt al. (1983) found no variations in 1978/9 at the 4 mmag level. Nevertheless, Skiff and Lockwood (1986) found a rotation period with an amplitude over 3% in 1984/5. The spectral type has been disputed. In the original UBV paper (Johnson and Morgan 1953), Morgan classed it as G8IV-V; later the YBS listed it as G8III. However, the large parallax and proper-motion indicate that the star cannot be other than a dwarf. More recently, Abt (1981) has classed it as G8V. The star has a mag. 13 M-dwarf companion which has an orbital period near 200 years (Heintz 1988).

HD103095 = HR4550 = NSV 5374 = CF Ursae Majoris = Groombridge 1830. This thoroughly studied Wilson star is a famous high-velocity halo subdwarf 8 parsecs from the Sun. It has the third-highest known proper-motion, amounting to 7.1 arcsec-yr⁻¹. The star is used as a UBV, Strömgren, Washington, Lowell "10-Year," and radial-velocity standard. Flares have been reported twice by parallax observers (see Heintz 1984 for summary), but these almost certainly arose from instrumental effects; the variable star designations were thus assigned prematurely, and we find only long-term changes in our measures. There is an extensive literature of detailed spectroscopy and spectrophotometry examining various aspects of the star's low metal abundance (roughly ten times less than solar). The star will pass near another field star (V = 13.90, b - y = 0.27, based on two nights differential photometry relative to HD103095) around the turn of the century.

HD111812 = HR4883 = 31 Comae. This star is a rapidly rotating G-giant that appears to be a member of the Coma cluster, but lies in the Hertzsprung gap. It is a fundamental UBV standard, Strömgren, and 1985 MK standard. Well-studied spectroscopically because of its unusual properties. The rapid rotation and similarity to FK Comae-type variables led Boyd *et al.* (1984, 1985) to search for variability using an automatic telescope; none was found at the 1% level (Strassmeier and Hall 1988); our measures show significant seasonal and interannual variations. Simon (1986) sought rotational modulation in IUE spectra without success.

HD112989 = HR4924 = 37 Comae = ADS 8731. This chemically peculiar K-giant is a 1985 MK standard (G9HI CH-2Fe1Ca1) and has been much studied as a member of the class of metal-weak stars. The visual binary companion is very faint (V = 13) and not important to the present photometry. Eggen (1973) included the star in a list of young, disk population giants. It has twice been classified as cooler than the standard: K1HIp (weak G band) by Schild (1973) and K1HI-HI by Abt (1981). The star was observed photoelectrically by Boyd *et al.* (1984, 1985); no variability was found (Strassmeier and Hall 1988).

 $HD114710 = HR4983 = \beta$ Comae = 43 Comae Berenices. This thoroughly studied Wilson star is a relatively inactive nearby dwarf and 1985 MK standard.

HD115383 = HR5011 = 59 Virginis = e Virginis. A fairly active Wilson star and Strömgren standard. No directly relevant study apart from HK analysis. Not previously known to be variable.

HD115404 = ADS 8841 = SAO 100491. A chromospherically active pair involving K1 and M1 dwarfs. Variability was first reported by Radick *ct al.* (1983), who found a range of $\sim 3\%$ and a period of 18 days, consistent with Mount Wilson HK measurements.

HD120136 = HR5185 = 4 Bootis = τ Bootis = NSV 6444 = ADS 9025. This Wilson star is a *UBV* and Lowell "10-year" standard, but has been suspected of variability for many years. The chromospheric activity is relatively weak as measured in the HK lines and in He I lines (see Wolff *et al.* 1986). We find a small, gradual change in mean brightness after four seasons unrelated to the originally suspected δ Scuti-like variations, which do not exist in our data. The faint (V = 10.7) companion is an M3 dwarf (Joy and Abt 1974).

HD124570 = HR 5323 = 14 Bootis = NSV 6597. This Wilson HK standard was observed for short-period variability by Breger (1969), who found no variations at the 3 mmag level during 2.4 hours of monitoring. The spectroscopic binary companion is undetectable either via secondary lines (Gomez and Abt 1982) or via speckle interferometry (most recently: Blazit ϵt al. 1987).

HD129333 = SAO 16453. A relatively faint star on the HK program at Mount Wilson in later years. The most active star in our photometric program. Well observed in the Geneva system, but not suspected of variability (see Rufener 1981). HK emission analysis only by Soderblom (1985) and Soderblom and Clements (1987). Photometric activity quite variable, ranging from 0.03 0.09 mag in y over one season, and changes of 0.05 mag or so over several years.

HD131156 = HR5544 = 37 Bootis = ξ Bootis = ADS 9413. Another active double star long suspected of variability; we find clear evidence of rotation despite its being a Lowell "10-year standard" (Jerzykiewicz and Serkowski 1966). The secondary star is 2.23 magnitudes fainter in V on average, though both stars are likely to be variable.

HD131511 = HR5553 = NSV 6847. This star was chosen as a comparison for HD131156, but as it is a member of the Hyades moving group, it was not surprising to find it variable; our data provide a rotation period of about 10 days, near what is expected for Hyades K2V stars. The spectroscopic binary ($P\sim125$ days) is unresolved via speckle interferometry.

HD143761 = HR5968 = 15 Coronae Borealis = ρ Coronae Borealis. This low HK-flux high-velocity halo star is a Strömgren, radial-velocity, and 1985 MK standard.

HD149661 = HR6171 = 12 Ophiuchi = V2133 Ophiuchi. An active Wilson star first discovered to be variable by Dorren and Guinan (1982) after null results published by Blanco *et al.* (1979), who observed the star for four seasons, and Rybka (1979), who analyzed relatively noisy observations. Radick *et al.* (1983) also found no variability at the 5 mmag level.

HD152391 = SAO121921. An active Wilson star, but photometric variability varies from nil to obvious between seasons. A light curve was first published by Chugainov (1976). Variability was also observed by Dorren and Guinan (1982), who derived a rotation period, and by Radick *et al.* (1983).

HD157856 = HR6489. A low HK-flux Wilson star.

HD158614 = HR6516 = ADS10598. Another inactive Wilson star. Keenan and Pitts (1980) included it their 1980 list of MK standards as G9IV-V H δ 1, however Abt (1981) classed it as G5V.

IID160346. Relatively inactive Wilson star and 1983 MK standard. Blanco ϵt al. (1979) found it to be constant at the 1% level.

HD161239 = HR6608 = 84 Herculis. The sole true giant on the Wilson list (relatively inactive), and a 1985 MK standard (G2IIIb).

IID162211 = IIR6644 = 87 Herculis. This slightly variable comparison star has traditionally been used as a comparison star for the extensively observed UU-Herculis variable 89 Her; the variability we find has not been previously suspected (e.g., Fernie, 1986, where Strömgren photometry is given).

HD176095 = HR7163. A relatively hot Wilson star.

HD182572 = HR7373 = b Aquilae = 31 Aquilae = NSV 11994. This Wilson star has attracted attention largely because of its high velocity and its strong-lined spectrum. It is a radial-velocity (extensive high-precision observations indicate it is single) and 1985 MK standard.

HD185018 = HR7456 = ADS12670. This supergiant was selected as a comparison star before we were aware of its luminous nature. It lies just outside the Cepheid instability strip, and variability has not been demonstrated before the present observations (Eggen, 1985, found it nonvariable at the 3 mmag level on four nights).

HD185144 = HR7462 = 61 Draconis = σ Draconis = NSV 12176. This bright, nearby K dwarf is a *UBV*, Strömgren, and 1985 MK standard. The suspected variability ($\Delta V = 0.07$) was found visually and can be discounted from our data.

HD190007 = SAO 125379. A cool, active Wilson star. A marginal detection of variability was found by Dorren and Guinan (1982), but there were insufficient data to make a firm statement. Radick ϵt al. (1983) also came to an uncertain conclusion based on Strömgren data whose y-filter rms dispersion was 0.009 mag.

IID201091 = HR8085 = 61 Cygni A = V1803 Cygni = ADS14636A. This famous, exhaustively studied star (and UBV, Strömgren, and 1985 MK standard) was first clearly observed to be variable by Dorren and Guinan (1982). They found an amplitude of 0.03 mag in the blue that correlated well with the HK-derived rotation period. They observed near the cyclic HK-flux maximum about 1981. In contrast, Perry *et al.* (1987) find no variability on 18 nights; likewise our observations do not show this short-period variability.

HD201092 = HR8086 = 61 Cygni B = NSV 13546 = ADS14636B. A standard on many systems: UBV. Strömgren, DAO, 1985 MK, and others. This star has also been suspected of variability ($\Delta V = 0.07$), which is ruled out by the present observations. Neff (1968) claimed "rapid irregular light variations on several occasions." Blanco ϵt al. (1979) saw no variations over five seasons; Dorren and Guinan (1982) had insufficient data to come to a conclusion about variability; finally, Perry *et al.* (1987) found no variability over 18 nights.

HD215427 = SAO 52320. A new semiregular variable among our comparison stars for HD215704. No citations in the SIMBAD database.

HD215704 = SAO 52353. A relatively faint, low HK-flux star from the 1984 Duncan observing list.

HD216385 = HR8697 = 49 Pegasi = σ Pegasi. UBV and Wilson HK standard.

Figure 1 shows the relationship between the chromospheric emission ratio (log $R'_{\rm HK}$) and B - V color for the program stars. The designation "Y" or "O" refers to the classification of the stars as "young" or "old," according to their position in an [< S >, B - V] diagram (Vaughan 1980). On this diagram the Sun's position is bracketed in color and activity level by program stars that cover the full range of both quantities. In these parameters, the closest solar analog is HD81809, a G2V spectroscopic binary. The location of the Sun on Figure 1 suggests that it may be among the hottest of the old stars having a measurable activity cycle. At the lower left, the six stars denoted by filled symbols are HK standards with low and constant < S > values; two of them (HD13421, G0V; HD143761, G0+Va) happen to be uvby photometric standards as well, and all have subsolar activity levels according to their low values of log $R'_{\rm HK}$.



Figure 1. Chromospheric emission ratio log R'_{HK} as a function of B - V color for the program stars. (Y) – young, active stars, (O) – old stars, (*filled circles*) – inactive HK standards. The designations "old" and "young" are from Vaughan (1980).

2.1.2 Comparison stars

Optimal differential photometry requires the measurement of two or more field comparison stars of comparable apparent magnitude and color. A minimal configuration is thus a trio containing one program star and two comparison stars located within a few degrees of one another on the sky. In six cases initially, quartet groups were formed containing two program stars and two comparison stars. In Table 1, the entries are organized into the resulting 30 such groups. When more than one star in a trio exhibited variability, an additional comparison star was added, eventually making several new quartet groups.

Figure 2 shows the location of the groups on the sky. The star symbols are program stars. In most cases, the group members are so close together that their airmasses are very nearly the same, thus minimizing differential extinction effects.



Figure 2. Location of the trio and quartet groups on the sky. (stars) - program stars. Differential airmasses may be estimated by the separate scale showing the airmass at meridian transit.

2.1.3 Distribution of color and apparent magnitude

Figure 3 shows that the distributions of apparent V magnitudes and B - V colors for the program stars and comparison stars are similar, though the comparison stars are on average about 0.5 mag fainter and 0.04 mag redder in B - V.

2.2 The observational facility

All observations were made using the 0.5-m reflecting telescope of Lowell Observatory, located on a wooded mesa at an elevation of 2200 m about 1 km west and 100 m above downtown Flagstaff. The telescope is a manually slewed f/16 Cassegrainian housed in a building with a roll-off roof; it was originally used in the 1950s by H. L. Johnson in the early days of UBV photometry. Recent photographs of the telescope may be found in Lockwood (1983) and Lockwood and Skiff (1987).



Figure 3. Apparent visual magnitudes and measured or estimated B - V colors for the program stars (*stars*) and comparison stars (*open circles*).

Despite the proximity of city lights, the sky brightness is only a couple of stellar magnitudes brighter at the zenith than the natural celestial background and is therefore essentially negligible for bright-star photometry. Local aerosols are usually trapped below the level of the Observatory by a nighttime temperature inversion, a significant factor in winter when woodstoves are in use.

Since 1974, the telescope has been equipped with a photoelectric photometer of conventional design, pulse-counting electronics, and a data-acquisition microcomputer, currently a Digital Equipment Corporation LSI-11/03 and associated standard interface and control circuit cards. Data are displayed on a video terminal and recorded on DEC RX02 flexible disks for subsequent analysis on the Observatory's VAX-750 computer. Recently, funds provided by this contract were used to equip the telescope with a computerized coordinate display system.

The photometer utilizes a thermoelectrically cooled EMI 6256S photomultiplier and standard intermediate-band Strömgren *uvby* interference filters. An Sr^{90} Cerenkov source provides a stable light output used to monitor the nominal performance of the detector and electronics. The same photomultiplier and filters have been in constant use since 1971, a factor contributing significantly to the long-term stability and precision of results obtained with this facility.

2.3 The basic observation sequence

Following the methodology recommended to us by Jerzykiewicz 15 years ago, the basic data unit in precision differential photometry is a "cycle" comprising sequential observations of the three stars of a trio or the four stars of a quartet through a single filter. In this program we utilize only the b (472 nm) and y (551 nm) filters of the Strömgren four-color system. Typically, a standard nightly observation consists of four cycles in the filter order y, b, b, y. Within each cycle, each star is measured for a total of six 10-second intervals as follows:

					dark	Sr ⁹⁰	Sr ⁹⁰
			*sky 2	sky 2	† star 2	star 2	star 2
star 1	star 1	star 1	sky 1	sky 1	star 1	star 1	star 1
[star 4	star 4	star 4	sky 4	sky 4	star 4	star 4	star 4]**
star 3	star 3	star 3	sky 3	sky 3	star 3	star 3	star 3
star 2	star 2	star 2	sky 2	sky 2			
			••••		Sr ⁹⁰	Sr^{90}	dark

** - quartet only.

Subsequent cycles in the same filter begin at †; subsequent cycles in a different filter begin at *

A sample complete observation is given in the Appendix. Each set of n cycles is preceded and followed by a dark count and standard light source sequence that serves as an archived indicator of system performance.

An important element of the experimental design is the equal statistical weight (in terms of total integration) of each stellar measurement, regardless of whether it is a program or a comparison star. The various pairwise differential combinations (i.e., star 1-star 2, star 1-star 3, ..., star 3-star 4) are thus statistically equivalent except for time order and the splitting of the observations of star 2 (normally a comparison star) into two half-weight sequences beginning and ending each cycle. Every nightly differential magnitude set for a group contains an internal noise measure provided by two presumably quiescent comparison stars. The most stable pairwise differential magnitude defines the night-to-night noise baseline, which in the absence of variability is typically in the 0.002 -0.003 mag range.

2.4 Expected precision levels

Attaining the highest possible long-term precision is a major goal of this program. If they mimic the Sun exactly, our solar analog program stars will have rotational amplitudes under 0.5% and cycle amplitudes under 0.1%. This is a formidable challenge to conventional stellar photometry, one which has few precedents in the astronomical literature and little in the way of technical guidance (cf. Young 1974, for a litany of photometry pitfalls relevant to this program). We have previously found (e.g., Lockwood 1984) that intermediate-band photoelectric photometry yields nightly mean differential magnitudes with a standard deviation of about 0.003 mag (0.3%). If there are no systematic errors, 8-16 nights should theoretically yield seasonal mean values with a precision on the order of 0.001 mag (0.1%). Obviously, at this demanding level of precision, the long-term stability of the photometer and the interference filters is of paramount importance. Earlier long-term monitoring of solar system objects using the same equipment yielded results that appear to be stable to about 0.003 mag and perhaps better, but this prior experience offers no certain proof of performance at the even higher precision required here (Lockwood ϵt al. 1980; Lockwood and Thompson 1986a).

Ultimately, the uncertainty of differential magnitudes propagates from the measurement errors of the simplest data element, the photon-counting statistics of individual 10-second integrations, plus random or systematic measurement errors due to a variety of causes. As a fundamental, observationally testable statistic of the error budget, we estimate how precisely the differential magnitudes should repeat from cycle to cycle within the nightly sequence y, b, b, y. Known or suspected components of error include (1) photon counting statistics and the correction for dead time, (2) sky background measurements, (3) differential extinction, (4) sky transparency changes during the cycle, (5) photometer sensitivity changes during the cycle, (6) scintillation, and (7) effects of seeing and image motion within the star diaphragm. In the following sections, we estimate as best we can the relative importance of these various components.

2.4.1 Photon-counting errors

The throughput of the telescope + photometer system changes only slowly with time, as evidenced by the constancy of stellar and Sr^{90} count rates. Photoncounting errors are thus a simple function of stellar magnitude, since the observations are deliberately made in an identical way each night. The stars in Table 1 have a median V magnitude of 6.1 mag; the faintest is 8.2 mag. Three-quarters of the stars are brighter than V = 6.7 mag, corresponding to an observed count rate in the y filter > 25,000 sec⁻¹, and greater still in b for stars hotter than $B - V \sim 1.4$ (K7V, K3III). Six 10-second integrations therefore yield an internal photon-counting error <0.001 mag for V < 6.7 (i.e., for 75% of the stars in Table 1). In §3.7.1 we illustrate the predicted photon-counting error distribution for the actual set of differential star pairs in the observing program.

2.4.2 Sky background

Under dark sky conditions, the observed count rate through the 49 arcsec diameter star diaphragm normally used was $\sim 250 \text{ sec}^{-1}$, yielding a negligible (<1%) sky contribution to the stellar signal and a completely negligible (<0.1%) uncertainty in the resulting sky-subtracted count.

An unseen 12th magnitude background star will contaminate the measurement of a 7th magnitude star at the 1% level, whether it is included in the diaphragm with the star or is accidently encountered during the separate measurement of sky brightness. Fortunately, the density of 12th magnitude stars averaged over the whole sky is fairly low (Roach and Gordon 1973): the probability of accidently including a background star in a 49 arcsec diaphragm is ~0.03 in the magnitude range 12.5 < V < 13.5 and <0.05 for all stars brighter than V = 14.0, a negligible risk. Nonetheless, great care has been taken to avoid including faint background stars (see §3.2).

2.4.3 Extinction and airmass

Scintillation noise and atmospheric extinction effects are minimized in this program because all but one of the star groups transit the meridian at an airmass <1.3. Differential airmasses within groups are usually negligibly small (Figure 2). If, for example, the differential airmass range is as large as 0.03-a condition occurring only rarely—and the assumed mean extinction coefficient is incorrect by 0.03 mag airmass⁻¹. a typical uncertainty (Lockwood and Thompson 1986b), the resulting differential magnitude error is <0.001 mag. Note, however, that this error systematically affects all observations of a particular group in the same way on a given night; it contributes therefore to the night-to-night dispersion and can in principle masquerade as stellar variability. In §3.7.2 we test some data with especially "large" values of the differential airmass for possible extinction-related systematic effects.

2.4.4 Temporal atmospheric transparency changes

The observation of a single cycle requires at most 10 minutes for a quartet and 7 minutes for a trio. If the sky transparency changes during this interval (due to vanishingly thin cirrus clouds, for example), random errors are introduced into the differential magnitudes. Termination of observations is at the discretion of the observer (see §3.2); but generally, problems with sky transparency become noticeable only when they reach the 1-2% level.

Certainly, on truly "photometric" nights, repeated observations of bright stars should approach the scintillation + photon limit. In some earlier measurements (cf. Lockwood 1984), we looked for evidence of sky transparency fluctuations over intervals of a few minutes by examining the relative precision of the differential magnitudes of the various pairs of stars within cycles. Over the 2-7 minute time interval in which the various pairs of stars within quartets were measured, we found no evidence for systematic effects—the precision attained for each of the pairs was the same.

2.4.5 Temporal changes in photometer sensitivity

The recorded output of the Sr^{90} standard source light that precedes and concludes each four-cycle data set provides confirmation of the temporal stability of the photometer electronics. Apart from a slow (<1% hr⁻¹) drift in the count rate, evidently related to ambient temperature changes as the night progresses, the source output repeats in accord with photon-counting statistics, i.e., about 0.16% rms (10second integrations).

2.4.6 Scintillation error

The relevant formulas are given by Young (1974). For a 0.5-m telescope, the expected standard deviation for a single 10-second integration is 0.0008 0.0018 mag in the airmass range of interest, depending on the angle between the line of sight and the upper-air wind direction. Combining six 10-second integrations thus is expected to provide an essentially negligible 0.0005 mag contribution to the error budget.

2.4.7 Errors caused by seeing and image motion

The observed brightness of decentered stars can vary because of inhomogeneities in the sensitivity across the focal plane aperture of the photometer. In addition, decentered or moving images result in a position-dependent occultation of different parts of the extended faint wings of stellar images by the circular diaphgram. Variable seeing, image motion, and telescope tracking errors combine to degrade measurement precision unpredictably.

Drift scans in perpendicular directions across the 29- and 49-arcsec apertures indicate that the photometer response is uniform within 0.3% or so over the central third of each aperture where most observations are made (cf. §3.2). Random decentering of star images may contribute significantly to the error budget, but we can only guess an approximate amount.

2.4.8 A hypothetical error budget

A hypothetical error budget for a single stellar magnitude determined from six 10-second integrations includes the terms in Table 2. In this example, more than half the variance is conceivably contributed by the poorly estimated component related to image motion. When two individual stellar measurements are combined to create a differential magnitude, the variance is doubled, leading to an expected cycle-to-cycle rms dispersion of 0.002-0.005 mag. In §3.7.1, we compare the error budget with real data, and in §3.7.2 we will show that the value 0.002 corresponding to the low estimate above is achieved for the most stable stars.

3. THE DATA

3.1 Observations obtained

The stars listed in Table 1 are organized into 30 groups of trios and quartets. Trios were promoted to quartets if, during the course of the program, more than one star was found to vary. Nearly 2000 nightly observations, about 60 nights per group, were obtained on 350+ nights between March 1984 and December 1987 – three full seasons for all groups and four, or part of a fourth, for nearly all groups. All observations were made and reduced to differential magnitudes by B. A. Skiff. A typical original data record is given in the Appendix.

3.2 Observational procedures

Observations were about evenly split between diaphragm 3 (29 arcsec) and diaphragm 4 (49 arcsec). One or the other was selected based on the apparent

	Low Estimation (mag)	High Estimation (mag)
Photon counting $(V = 6.7)$	0.001	0.001
Sky subtraction	0.0005	0.001
Differential extinction	< 0.0005	0.001
Scintillation	0.0003	0.0007
Sensitivity drift		0.0005
Centering/tracking	0.001	0.003
Root mean square	0.0016	0.0036

TABLE 2. Error Budget

image size of bright (V < 2) stars: if the seeing disk was less than 6 to 8 arcsec (corresponding roughly to FWHM and estimated using the known sizes of the diaphragms), then the smaller aperture was used; worse seeing dictated the use of the larger aperture. Observations were not made (or halted at some convenient point) if the images were larger than about 25 arcsec, a condition that occurred a few nights each year associated with passage of winter storms. The estimated seeing is recorded in the comments line of each file header.

Observations were begun during late twilight when the sky counts declined to about double the expected full-dark level for the appropriate diaphragm size. Measurements were not made closer than 20 to 30 degrees from the Moon or when the sky counts exceeded 1000 sec^{-1} in the *b* filter due to moonlight. At high galactic latitudes, the sky readings were made by simply flipping the declination slow-motion crank either north or south about a full turn; tests showed this corresponds to a typical offset "throw" of 4 or 5 arcminutes. Except during the first few months of the project, the first two cycles have sky readings to the south and the last two to the north. At low galactic latitudes, sky readings were taken at locations selected during dark time and used consistently; for several groups this meant taking all the sky readings in one direction. All the low-latitude groups could be measured using diaphragm 4.

Stars were carefully centered in the diaphragm, usually within the central onethird of the diameter. The telescope drive often exhibited a slow drift caused by a small periodic error in the worm, and stars were usually offset to one side or the other of the central one-third of the field to let the star drift across dead center. For the numerous double stars where both components were visible, pairs were set on the weighted photocenter (determined roughly by eye), which generally was very close to the primary star. The fields were usually observed within one hour of meridian passage, and rarely up to 2.5 hours hour angle. Contamination of both star and sky counts by faint field stars was anticipated both in planning and in observing. Bright stars were thoroughly examined by various double star observers about 100 years ago with large telescopes. Thus, any significant close companions are recorded in double star catalogs (and noted in Table 1). Many more of our program stars are also in double star catalogs by virtue of their large proper motions – micrometric measurements of faint background stars being used to ascertain this independent of meridian circle measurements. These ended up being listed as double stars because not a few of the faint companions turned out to have common motion. At any rate, the point is that we know about reasonably bright (V < 14) stars near the program/comparison stars that would be included in the diaphragm.

The declination offset throws were not done completely blindly: the "WPHOT" computer program that records data warns the observer of overbright readings on the sky (> 2x normal). The readings that were noted as even slightly above normal (indicated by the one-second integrations on a frequency counter) were repeated at a slightly different location to try to get the offending star out of the diaphragm as the integrations continued. Regarding the discussion in §2.4.2, stars V < 14 were hardly ever included in sky readings, not so much because the odds of inclusion are so small, but because the observing technique specifically attended to this point. Certain stars have a faint "companion" consistently falling in the normal "sky" position, and these have all their sky readings taken in the opposite direction.

Finally, it is also worth noting that the sky background at low galactic latitudes is noticeably brighter due to the presence of stars below the limit of visibility of the telescope (V > 15 on dark nights).

3.3 Data rejection criteria

Integrations were monitored regularly for anomalous counts both as they were taken and using a summary facility in the photometry program. Generally, the batches of repeated 10-second "star" integrations would show a total range of 1% or a bit more; larger ranges were indicative of clouds, miscentered stars, or poor seeing (i.e., too-small a diaphragm). A set of integrations would be repeated only due to observer or mechanical error, viz. the wrong star, miscentering due to drive motor drift or wind. The rms noise on a set of six integrations was typically 0.3-0.5%; on the most stable nights (generally associated with the best seeing), the rms would consistently reach 0.1-0.3%; and on poor nights, increase to 0.5-0.8%—these last often preceding the arrival of cirrus, easily discernable photometrically at the 1-2% level. The repeated cyclic measurements provided further checks on photometric stability. If a star did not repeat to within about half a percent between cycles (after compensating mentally for airmass changes), suspicions were raised; usually, continued observations would show persistent deviations (either cycle-to-cycle or intra cycle), thus ending the night.

3.4 Reductions

Utilizing data reduction procedures that have been highly standardized for many years, the raw observations were corrected for pulse pair "dead time," the sky background was subtracted, and differential magnitudes were computed using seasonally adjusted mean values of the extinction coefficients (Lockwood and Thompson 1986b). The principal raw data archive is maintained on the original 8-inch flexible disks.

Each reduced observation yields a printed output and one data "record" per cycle that is appended to the master file for each group. Every cycle produces a set of three differential magnitudes for a trio (star 1-star 2, 1-3, 2-3), six for a quartet (star 1-star 2, 1-3, 1-4, 2-3, 2-4, 3-4). Examples of the printed nightly output file are shown in the Appendix.

3.5 Seasonal mean differential magnitudes

Table 3 contains the seasonal mean Julian Date, the mean differential b and y magnitudes, and their standard deviations for each group. Nomenclature of the pairwise magnitude combinations corresponds to the star numbers assigned within each group in Table 1. The number of observations, n, is the number of cycles obtained in each filter; hence the number of nights is n/2.

3.6 Light Curves

Figures 4.1-4.30 show the light curves for each group. Because the light curves in b and y are essentially identical, the data have been condensed for presentation by averaging the y magnitudes of cycle 1 with the b magnitudes of cycle 2, and the b magnitudes of cycle 3 with the y magnitudes of cycle 4. Individual data points for the averaged (b + y)/2 cycles are shown on the left-hand panels, using the same vertical scale (0.05, 0.1, or 0.2 mag full range as required) for all the panels of a given group.

Seasonal mean magnitudes are summarized on the right-hand panels, where the mean values are connected by solid lines. The error bars are 95% confidence intervals about the means. Median differential magnitudes are shown as open circles: by comparing the relative locations of means and medians, some feeling for the asymmetry of the data can be obtained, particularly useful in assessing the importance of outliers that may be observed on the left-hand panels. Note that the 95% confidence intervals are sensitive to not only the rms dispersion of the data, but also to the number of data points: large confidence intervals often indicate sparseness of data rather than variability.

3.7 Observed precision levels

Before attempting to determine which stars may be varying, it is important to establish a clear picture of the magnitude of various error sources; i.e., a statistical profile of the data as a whole. In this section we partition the data in different ways to examine (1) cycle-to-cycle magnitude variations, (2) errors related to differential extinction, and (3) errors associated with bad nights or a particular star group.

	Mean		<u>_</u>	Ċ1	1	3	-	- 7	2-	i.	 -	÷	<u>ئ</u> ۔	4
Groun	JD 9 440 000+	z	.dm (maσ)	s.d. (mao)	Δm (mac)	s.d. (mav)	∆m (mar)	s.d. (maø)	Δm (mag)	s.d. (mar)	Δm (mag)	s.d. (mar)	Δm (mag)	s.d. (maø)
15000		:	(Q)	(9)	(e)	(c)	10	/0	/o	10	//	10	19	19
HD1835														
q	6030.6	28	-0.4097	0.0038	-0.0837	0.0034			0.3260	0.0018				
	6347.4	53	-0.4245	0.0031	-0.0974	0.0032			0.3271	0.0013				
	6713.4	38	-0.4135	0.0030	-0.0867	0.0031			0.3268	0.0013				
	7085.6	87. 78	-0.4219	0.00:34	-0.0960	0.0034			0.3259	0.0014				
2	6030.6	86	-0.4763	0.0035	-0.1155	0.0037			0.3608	0.0015				
'n	6347.4	2.2	-0.4885	0.0027	-0.1264	0.0029			0.3621	0.0010				
	6713.4	38	-0.4797	0.0031	-0.1185	0.0030			0.3613	0.0010				
	7085.6	28	-0.4863	$0\ 0029$	-0.1255	0.0028			0.3608	0.0010				
HD10476														
q	6016.3	30	-0.9926	0.0006	-1.5099	0.0011			-0.5173	0.0010				
	6364.4	30	-0.9927	0.0008	-1.5100	0.0010			-0.5172	0.0011				
	6712.8	28	-0.9881	0.0010	-1.5046	0.0011			-0.5166	0.0007				
	7089.1	5 8	-0.9890	0.0007	-1.5056	0.0011			-0.5167	0.0012				
ų	6016.3	30	-1.0470	0.0011	-1.3495	0.0008			-0.3024	0.0008				
	6364.4	30	-1.0466	0.0010	-1.3490	0.0010			-0.3024	0.0010				
	6712.8	28	-1.0442	0.0008	-1.3455	0.0008			-0.3013	0.0011				
	7089.1	28	-1.0444	0.0005	-1.3460	0.0009			-0.3016	0.0010				
HD13421														
q	6009.0	57	1.1226	0.0012	-0.7520	0.0017			-1.8746	0.0016				
	6381.0	18	1.1235	0.0013	-0.7529	6100.0			-1.8105 1	0.0010				
	6731.9	53	1.1149	0.0008	-0.7514	0.0011	-000	1000 0	-1.300.5	0.0011				0,000
	7098.8	.	1.1057	6100.0	-0.610	0.0018	-1.3901	0.0021	-1.3000	0.0013	-2-430-1	0.0014	-0.6397	0.0013
A	6009.0	24	1.2938	0.0019	-0.8868	0.0016			-2.1805	0.0012				
	6381.0	18	1.2925	0.0012	-0.8859	0.0012			-2.1784	0.0012				
	6731.9	29	1.2861	0.0012	-0.8854	0.0010			-2.1715	0.0012				
	7098.8	7	1.2790	0.0017	-0.8838	0.0021	-1.4306	0.0013	-2.1628	0.0015	-2.7096	0.0016	-0.5468	0.0015
4 D18250	60153	8	0 1896	0.0016	-1 1083	0.0018			-0 0257	0.0016				
5	6389.6	61	-0.1823	0.0006	-1.1079	0.0015			-0.9256	0.0015				
	6739.8	15	-0.1815	0.0011	-1.1047	0.0010			-0.9231	0.0012				
	7099.8	11	-0.1820	0.0013	-1.1047	0.0018	-1.4118	0.0054	0.9227	0.0015	-1.2298	0.0060	-0.3071	0.0057
ħ	6015.3	18	-0.2069	0.0010	-1.0429	0.0008			-0.8360	0.0010				
	6389.6	19	-0.2071	0.0011	-1.0429	0.0015			-0.8358	0.0010				
	6739.8 7000 e	15	-0.2058	0.0012	-1.0408	0.0014	-1313.	0 0051	-0.8351	0.0012	1 1041	0.0059	0 97 43	0 0017
	0.6601		1007.0-	0100.0	12001	e 100.0	1010.1	1000.0	0100.0	0.0020	1.001.1	0.000.0	1.6.1.7.0-	1600.0

TABLE 3. Summary of Annual Mean Differential Magnitudes

- 24 --

	-	s.d. (mag)			0.0146	0.0130	0.0024 0.0025 0.0017 0.0025	0.0030 0.0023 0.0014 0.0020	0.0018 0.0044	0.0017 0.0035
	~	dni (mag)			-0.8462	-0.5121	$\begin{array}{c} -0.9328\\ -0.9250\\ -0.9200\\ -0.9200\\ -0.9166\end{array}$	-1.0940 -1.0861 -1.0827 -1.0811	0.1743 0.1545	0.2546 0.2382
	-	s.d. (mag)			0.0146	0.0118	0.0035 0.0019 0.0006 0.0010	0.0035 0.0021 0.0005 0.0007	0.0018 0.0047	0.0018 0.0038
		unag)			-0.3797	-0.1366	-0.6598 -0.6589 -0.6618 -0.6618	-0.6592 -0.6580 -0.6619 -0.6593	-0.0733 -0.0929	-0.0025 -0.0187
	-	s.a. (шад)	0.0015 0.0010 0.0015	0.0012 0.0010 0.0017 0.0030 0.0030	0.0025 0.0033	0.0028 0.0015 0.0027 0.0030	0.0033 0.0035 0.0016 0.0026	0.0034 0.0029 0.0014 0.0022	0.0016 0.0006 0.0008 0.0008	0.0021 0.0011 0.0008 0.0008
	÷1	(mag)	-0.0348 -0.0322 -0.0330	0.2582 0.2594 0.2582 0.4679 0.4691	0.4658 0.4664	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3741 \\ 0.3764 \\ 0.3752 \\ 0.3755 \\ 0.3755 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2730 \\ 0.2662 \\ 0.2582 \\ 0.2591 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4348 \\ 0.4281 \\ 0.4208 \\ 0.4208 \\ 0.4218 \end{array}$	-0.2481 -0.2473 -0.2476 -0.2474	-0.2571 -0.2565 -0.2571 -0.2570
		5.0. (mag)			0.0144	0.00.14	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0041 \\ 0.0027 \\ 0.0019 \\ 0.0024 \end{array}$	0.0040 0.0024 0.0017 0.0020	0.0018 0.0045	0.0038 0.0038
		(mag)			-1.7895	-1.6055	-0.3440 -0.3481 -0.3482 -0.3367	-0.4775 -0.4788 -0.4763 -0.4693	0.0040 -0.0142	0.0374 0.0224
	-	s.u. (mag)	0.0025 0.0018 0.0028	0.0017 0.0018 0.0025 0.0044 0.0025	0.0034	0.0034 0.0018 0.0027 0.0044	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0052\\ 0.0039\\ 0.0020\\ 0.0032\end{array}$	0.0056 0.0034 0.0018 0.0030	0.0015 0.0011 0.0008 0.0007	0.0012 0.0007 0.0006 0.0010
	-	am (mag)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2602 \\ 0.2619 \\ 0.2589 \end{array}$	0.3066 0.3066 0.3043 -0.9478 -0.9458	-0.9455 -0.9433	-1.0987 -1.0969 -1.0938 -1.0938	0.5888 0.5770 0.5758 0.5758 0.5798	0.6165 0.6074 0.6063 0.6118	-0.1714 -0.1698 -0.1693 -0.1687	-0.2187 -0.2170 -0.2172 -0.2159
	-	s.u. (mag)	0.0024 0.0019 0.0022	0.0017 0.0019 0.0023 0.0035 0.0033	0.0020 0.0034	0.0031 0.0021 0.0023 0.0031	0.0044 0.0035 0.0018 0.0023	0.0050 0.0031 0.0016 0.0019	0.0015 0.0011 0.0007 0.0007	0.0021 0.0008 0.0007 0.0006
	-	میں (mag)	0.2950 0.2941 0.2919	0.0483 0.0472 0.0461 -1.4157 -1.4150	-1.4114 -1.4097	-1.4729 -1.4734 -1.4689 -1.4689	0.3158 0.3158 0.3108 0.3176 0.3207	0.1817 0.1792 0.1855 0.1855	0.0766 0.0775 0.0773 0.0773	0.0384 0.0395 0.0400 0.0411
		=	22 22 15	51 12 15 15 15	12 11	11 12 11	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 63\\ 32\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\32\\32\\32\end{array}$	23 24 34 29	$23 \\ 24 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 29 \\ 20 \\ 20$
	Mean	2.440,000+	6046.1 6397.3 6741.3	6046.1 6397.3 6741.3 6042.6 6042.6 6390.3	6708.0* *6766.2	6042.6 6390.3 6708.0* *6766.2	5769.2 6088.7 6420.1 6776.1	5769.2 6088.7 6420.1 6776.1	5782.7 611925 6432.6 6847.8	5782.7 6119.5 6432.6 6847.8
		Group	НD23140 в	y HD25998 b		ų.	н D.352%/ Н D.39587 в	ð	НD76572/ НD75332† в	20

TABLE 3. Summary of Annual Mean Differential Magnitudes (continued)

25

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Mean]	5 .	Am 1-	3 sd	Δm	4 s.d.	Δm	3 s.d.	Δm	l s.d.	$\Delta_{\rm III} = \frac{3}{3}$	4 s.d.
	ł	2,440,000+	-	unag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(bem)	(mag)	(niag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)
	6	57863	10	0.8809	0.0016	-0 9673	0.0016			-1.8482	0.0016				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6122.7	26	0.8804	0.0012	-0.9687	0.0015			-1.3491	0.0011				
		6464.7	14	0.8761	0.0033	-0.9679	0.0015			-1.8440	0.0037				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6864.6	28	0.8765	0.0016	-0.9620	0.0013			-1.8384	0.0013				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5786.3	19	0.7755	0.0015	-0.8758	0.0013			-1.6513	0.0010				
		6122.7	26	0.7754	0.0011	-0.8764	0.0010			-1.6517	0.0010				
		6464.7	14	0.7729	0.0021	-0.8755	0.0011			-1.6484	0.0023				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5/	6864.6	28	0.7731	0.0018	-0.8721	0.0017			-1.6452	0.0011				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>5</u>														
		5790.0	19	0.7683	0.0065	-0.5265	0.0034			1.2947	0.0052				
		6137.6	54	0.7842	0.0042	-0.5162	0.0038	-1.3643	0.0037	-1.3004	0.0017	-2.1485	0.0014	-0.8481	0.0006
6864.8 41 0.7716 0.0024 -0.5255 0.0014 -1.2414 0.0018 -2.1432 0.0018 -0.7733 0.0013 5790.0 19 0.8436 0.0003 -0.7263 0.0003 -1.5616 0.0013 -2.3567 0.0018 -0.7733 0.0011 6486.7 55 0.8353 0.0003 -0.7733 0.0011 -1.5676 0.0011 -2.3567 0.0016 -0.7736 0.0016 6486.7 55 0.9744 0.0011 -1.5776 0.0011 -1.7744 0.0016 6486.7 51 0.7016 -1.5776 0.0011 -1.5776 0.0015 -2.3567 0.0016 -0.7736 0.0007 6119.7 28 -0.7146 0.0011 0.8336 0.0011 -1.5476 0.0015 -0.7736 0.0016 6195 21 -0.7038 0.0001 0.8336 0.0011 -1.5476 0.0015 -0.7736 0.0015 6119.7 <td< td=""><td></td><td>6486.7</td><td>55</td><td>0.7773</td><td>0.0026</td><td>-0.5233</td><td>0.0017</td><td>-1.3709</td><td>0.0014</td><td>-1.3006</td><td>0.0017</td><td>-2.1483</td><td>0.0019</td><td>-0.8476</td><td>0.0008</td></td<>		6486.7	55	0.7773	0.0026	-0.5233	0.0017	-1.3709	0.0014	-1.3006	0.0017	-2.1483	0.0019	-0.8476	0.0008
		6864.8	41	0.7716	0.0024	-0.5255	0.0014	-1.3716	0.0014	-1.2971	0.0018	-2.1432	0.0018	-0.8461	0.0007
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5790.0	19	0.8436	0.0063	-0.7358	0.0031			-1.5795	0.0054				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6137.6	54	0.8588	0.0035	-0.7263	0.0032	-1.5016	0.0035	-1.5851	0.0013	-2.3603	0.0013	-0.7753	0.0011
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6486.7	55	0.8533	0.0020	-0.7319	0.0016	-1.5062	0.0014	-1.5851	0.0016	-2.3595	0.0016	-0.7744	0.0006
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ļ	6864.8	41	0.8491	0.0022	-0.7339	0.0011	-1.5076	0.0011	-1.5831	0.0016	-2.300/	0.0010	-0.1/30	0.0007
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	69	5705 5	06	0 7047	0 0013	0 9794	0100 0			1 5.139	0 0011				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0130.7	0,20	0.7046	0.000.0	0.0001	010000			1 5430	1100.0				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0119.1 6406 2	07 E	0401.0-	1100.0	0.9267	0.0010			1 5406	0.0010				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6872.5	20	-0.7030	0.0013	0.8366	0.0017			1.5397	0.0015				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5705 S	06	0 5034	0.0011	0 6754	0 0008			1 9678	0 0011				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		61197	22	-0.5028	0100.0	0.6773	0.000			1.2702	0 0008				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6496.3	24 15	-0.5921	0.0010	0.6753	0.0007			1.2675	0.0010				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6872.5	20	-0.5914	0.0013	0.6744	0.0013			1.2658	0.0012				
5795.518 -0.7411 0.0013 -0.9497 0.0022 -0.2087 0.0021 6163.8 18 -0.7410 0.0015 -0.9413 0.0034 -0.2003 0.0034 6519.8 27 -0.7352 0.0029 -0.9302 0.0023 -0.2003 0.0034 6908.4 12 -0.7361 0.0020 -0.9361 0.0077 -0.2000 0.0064 6795.5 18 -0.6813 0.0011 -0.6138 0.0018 0.0025 0.0016 6163.8 18 -0.6822 0.0017 -0.6138 0.0025 0.0028 0.0028 6519.8 27 -0.6784 0.0018 -0.6680 0.0018 0.0026 0.0027 6519.8 27 -0.6784 0.0018 -0.6680 0.0018 0.0017	10	•	1												
6163.8 18 -0.7410 0.0015 -0.9413 0.0034 -0.2003 0.0034 6519.8 27 -0.7352 0.0029 -0.9302 0.0023 -0.030 0.0030 6908.4 12 -0.7352 0.0020 -0.9361 0.0077 -0.2000 0.0030 6908.4 12 -0.7361 0.0020 -0.9361 0.0077 -0.2000 0.0030 5795.5 18 -0.6813 0.0011 -0.6138 0.0018 0.0025 0.0016 6163.8 18 -0.6822 0.0013 -0.6138 0.0025 0.0028 0.0028 0.0028 6519.3 27 -0.6724 0.0018 -0.6080 0.0018 0.0025 0.0027 6519.3 27 -0.6734 0.0018 -0.6080 0.0018 0.0027 6519.4 27 -0.6734 0.0018 0.0026 0.0016 0.0027 0.0027		5795.5	18	-0.7411	0.0013	-0.9497	0.0022			-0.2087	0.0021				
6519.8 27 -0.7352 0.0029 -0.3302 0.0023 6908.4 12 -0.7361 0.0020 -0.9361 0.0077 -0.2000 0.0064 5795.5 18 -0.6813 0.0011 -0.6133 0.0018 0.0620 0.0016 6163.8 18 -0.68222 0.0017 -0.6138 0.0025 0.0028 6519.8 27 -0.6734 0.0018 0.0025 0.0026 0.0022 6519.8 27 -0.6734 0.0018 0.0018 0.0026 0.0027 6519.8 27 -0.6734 0.0018 0.0018 0.0026 0.0027		6163.8	18	-0.7410	0.0015	-0.9413	0.0034			-0.2003	0.0034				
6908.4 12 -0.7361 0.0020 -0.9361 0.0077 -0.2000 0.0064 5795.5 18 -0.6813 0.0011 -0.6193 0.0018 0.0620 0.0016 6163.8 18 -0.68322 0.0017 -0.6138 0.0025 0.06833 0.0028 6163.8 27 -0.68322 0.0018 0.0025 0.06833 0.00228 6519.4 27 -0.6680 0.0018 0.0018 0.0017 0.0017 60070.4 0.0018 0.0018 0.0018 0.0017 0.0017 0.0017		6519.8	27	-0.7352	0.0029	-0.9302	0.0023			-0.1950	0.0030				
5795.5 18 -0.6813 0.0011 -0.6193 0.0018 0.0620 0.0016 6163.8 18 -0.6822 0.0017 -0.6138 0.0025 0.0683 0.0028 6519.8 27 -0.6784 0.0018 -0.6080 0.0018 0.0704 0.0027 6519.4 27 -0.6784 0.0018 -0.6080 0.0018 0.0704 0.0027		6908.4	12	-0.7361	0.0020	-0.9361	0.0077			-0.2000	0.0064				
6163.8 18 -0.6322 0.0017 -0.6138 0.0025 0.0683 $0.00286519.8$ 27 -0.6784 0.0018 -0.6080 0.0018 0.0018 0.0704 0.0027		5795.5	18	-0.6813	0.0011	-0.6193	0.0018			0.0620	0.0016				
6519.3 27 -0.6784 0.0018 -0.6080 0.0018 0.0018 0.0704 0.0027 0.0017		6163.8	<u>8</u>	-0.6822	0.0017	-0.6138	0.0025			0.0683	0.0028				
		6519.S	21	-0.6734	0.0018	-0.6080	0.0040			1-01-0-0	0.0017				

- 26 -

EStor 2 - HDS9695

+	s.d. (mag)																												
	. Д ш (тад)																												
-	s.d. (mag)																												
<u>،</u>	∆ш (шад)																												
•••	s.d. (шақ)		0.0010	0.0015	0.0016	0.0014	0.0009	0.0013		0.0013	0.0010	0.0018	0.0021	0.0008	0.0021	1100.0	0.0010	0.0023	0.0013	0.0013	0.0015	0.0011		0.0034	0.0019	0.0027	0.0027	0.0019	0.0013
بن	Δm (mag)		0.8598 0.8600	0.8551	0.8558	0.6787	0.6791	0.6761 0.6751		-0.8426 -0.8406	-0.8388	-0.8368	-0.8432	-0.8402	-0.8369	83(Ng ()	-0.2971	-0.2939	-0.2330	-0.4686	-0.4694	-0.4694		-1.4964	-1.4760	-1.4814	-1.4016	-1.3860	-1.3838 -1.3902
	s.d. (mag)																												
	Δm (mag)																												!
	s.d. (mag)		0.0015 0.0039	0.0017	0.0030	0.0012	0.0025	0.0018 0.0020		0.0028 0.0023	0.0042	0.0059	0.0025	0.0022	0.0059	6100 0	0.0010	0.0031	0.0016	0.0012	0.0017	0.0017		0.0041	0.0019	0.0026	0.0028	0.0019	$0.0011 \\ 0.0020$
-	ын (mag)		0.1682 0.1556	0.1496	0.1560	0.2362	0.2268	0.2207 0.2247		-0.0831	-0.0963	-0.0887	-0.3489	-0.3544	-0.3524	0602 1	-1.7028	-1.6943	-1.6927	-1.6841	-1.6830	-1.0700		-1.2876	1172.1-	-1.2756	-1.2674	-1.2523	-1.2517 -1.2580
21	s.d. (mag)		0.0016 0.0038	0.0010	0.0020	0.0017	0.0026	0.0017 0.0022		0.0029 0.0023	0.0040	0.0061	0.0031	0.0019	0.0057		0.0010	0.0030	6100.0	0.0016	0.0012	0.0012		0.0013	0.000	0.0018	0.0010	0.0011	0.0007 0.0015
—	Δm (mag)		-0.6916 -0.7044	-0.7055	-0.6998	-0.4125	-0.4524	-0.4554 -0.4504		0.7594 0.7499	0.7424	0.7480	0.4943	0.4858	0.4845	0007 5	-1.4056 -1.4056	-1.4003	-1.3946	-1.2155	-1.2135	-1.2057		0.2088	0.02.0	0.2058	0.1342	0.1337	0.1321 0.1322
	u		12	21	6	51	12	12 6	•	18 39	25	11	18	39	63 II		16 16	15	16	24	16 15	16	1	16	02	сл 14	16	20	29 14
Mean	JD 2.440,000+		58026 61563	6520.6	6907.7	5802.6	6156.3	6520.6 6907.7		5823.9 6189.8	6517.2	6912.6	5823.9	6189.8	0911.2 6912.6	0.0601	6180.9	6546.1	6932.7	5830.8	6180.9 6546 1	6932.7		5814.4	0.1010 65.10 6	6930.7	5814.4	6187.8	6549.6 6930.7
	Group	HD115383/ HD117176	Ą			Ŕ			HD115404	q			Ŕ			HD120136	5			λî,			HD124570	9			R	•	

TABLE3. Summary of Annual Mean Differential Magnitudes (continued)

- 27 -
| | 3 4 | Д іп s.d.
nag) (niag) | | | 2209 0.0020
2151 0.0047
2130 0.0017 | 2849 0.0018
2800 0.0041
2771 0.0016 | | | 6033 0.0021
6005 0.0033
6228 0.0044
6237 0.0064 | 3488 0.0036
3427 0.0028
3606 0.0038
3604 0.0038 |
|----------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | s.d. ,
(mag) (n | | | 0.0007
0.0007
0.0007
0.0007 | 0.0905 0.1
0.0010 0.1
0.0007 0.1 | | | 0.0030 0.0
0.0027 0.0
0.0039 0.0 | 0.0040 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000000 |
| | ÷1 | Δm
(mag) | | | -1.1085
-1.1024
-1.1024 | -1.1157
-1.1115
-1.1101 | | | 1.0093
1.0068
1.0099
1.0053 | 0.4377
0.4361
0.4378 |
| (remin | 5 | s.d.
(mag) | 0.0021
0.0018
0.0008
0.0008
0.0030 | 0.0020
0.0010
0.0011
0.0022 | 0.0033
0.0020
0.0048
0.0048
0.0017 | 0.0030
0.0018
0.0042
0.0018 | 0.0010
0.0013
0.0016
0.0006 | 0.0019
0.0010
0.0015
0.0010 | 0.0025
0.0023
0.0035
0.0046 | 0.0018
0.0024
0.0031 |
| ווווווווו | с ' 1 | Δm
(mag) | -0.9786
-0.9787
-0.9787
-0.9788 | 0.9493
0.9487
0.9484
0.9493 | -1.3280
-1.3295
-1.3175
-1.3151 | -1.3999
-1.4006
-1.3918
-1.3871 | -0.8228
-0.8230
-0.8215
-0.8202 | -0.7749
-0.7755
-0.7724
-0.7724 | 0.4000
0.4062
0.3871
0.3816 | 0 0889
0.0935
0.0772 |
| וונומו או מאוו | | s.d.
(mag) | | | $\begin{array}{c} 0.0022\\ 0.0026\\ 0.0026\end{array}$ | 0.0017
0.0024
0.0024 | | | 0.0041
0.0031
0.0038
0.0038 | 0.0047
0.0030
0.0034 |
| | | Δm
(mag) | | | -1.2536
-1.2563
-1.2593 | -1.1679
-1.1688
-1.1707 | | | -0.2050
-0.2098
-0.2132
-0.2061 | -0.5031
-0.5067
-0.5123 |
| V IPUIIIV | | s.d.
(niag) | 0.0095
0.0071
0.0043
0.0085 | 0.0089
0.0055
0.0036
0.0068 | 0.0031
0.0030
0.0052
0.0024 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.0029\\ 0.0026\\ 0.0044\\ 0.0022\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 0.0010\\ 0.0012\\ 0.0011\\ 0.008\end{array}$ | 0.0024
0.0008
0.0010
0.0012 | 0.0041
0.0039
0.0034
0.0041 | 0.0029
0.0033
0.0036 |
| | | ∆m
(mag) | -0.8722
-0.8732
-0.8512
-0.8512 | -0.9660
-0.9650
-0.9455
-0.9197 | -1.4776
-1.4775
-1.4715
-1.4715
-1.4723 | $\begin{array}{c} -1.4534 \\ -1.4528 \\ -1.4489 \\ -1.4489 \\ -1.4477 \end{array}$ | -0.4057
-0.4072
-0.4055
-0.4051 | -0.1589
-0.1598
-0.1590
-0.1591 | $\begin{array}{c} -0.8143 \\ -0.8103 \\ -0.8360 \\ -0.8360 \\ -0.8299 \end{array}$ | -0.8519
-0.8493
-0.8729 |
| LADIAL 0. | ÷. | s.d.
(mag) | 0.0033
0.0064
0.0042
0.0085 | 0.0081
0.0054
0.0036
0.0072 | 0.0029
0.0019
0.0031
0.0028 | 0.0024
0.0017
0.0028
0.0025 | 0.0011
0.0010
0.0011
0.0011 | 0.0013
0.0007
0.0013
0.0013 | $\begin{array}{c} 0.0025\\ 0.0029\\ 0.0021\\ 0.0022\end{array}$ | 0.0023
0.0027
0.0023 |
| - | 1 | ∆m
(mag) | 0.1064
0.1056
0.1269
0.1537 | -0.0167
-0.0163
0.0029
0.0297 | -0.1495
-0.1481
-0.1540
-0.1542 | -0.0535
-0.0523
-0.0571
-0.0606 | 0.4170
0.4158
0.4160
0.4161 | 0.6161
0.6157
0.6135
0.6135 | -1.2144
-1.2166
-1.2231
-1.2231 | -0.9408
-0.9428
-0.9501
-0.9400 |
| | | u | $\begin{array}{c} 14\\ 53\\ 9\\ 9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 14\\52\\9\\\end{array}$ | 35 33 55 88
35 33 57 88 | 28
33
35 | $22 \\ 18 \\ 18 \\ 20 $ | $\begin{array}{c} 22\\18\\20\\20\end{array}$ | 18
26
20 | 20
20
20
20 |
| | Mean | JD
2.440.000+ | 5842.9
6209.3
6554.8
6880.4 | 5842.9
6209.3
6554.8
6880.4 | 5839.4
6204.6
6553.1
6942.6 | 5839.4
6204.6
6553.1
6942.6 | 5838.8
6193.7
6552.5
6942.8 | 5838.8
6193.7
6552.5
6942.8 | 5841.3
6223.4
6611.5
6965.4 | 5841.3
6223.4
6611.5
6965.4 |
| | | Group | HD129333
b | y
HD131156 | 9 | 27 | HD143761
b | ð | HD149661/
HD152391
b | ñ |

(TABLE 3. Summary of Annual Mean Differential Magnitudes (continued)

			Ţ	ABLE 3. 5	o vinnary o	f Annual)	Mean Differ	ential Mag	nitudes (co	ntimed)				
	Mean			÷1	-		-		71		÷,		:	
Group	JI) 2.440,000+	E	(mag)	s.d. (mag)	Δm (mag)	s.d. (mag)	∆m (mag)	s.d. (mag)	Δm (mag)	s.d. (mag)	Δm (mag)	s.d. (mag)	Δm (mag)	s.d. (mag)
HD157856/ HD158624														
9	5850.7	! -1	-0.2534	0.0027	1.0130	0:00:30	0.0542	0.0030	1.2663	0.0027	0.3076	0.0026	-0.9588	0.0015
	6228.1	=	-0.2569	0.0018	1.0101	0.0027	0.0501	0.0023	1.2670	0.0022	0.3069	0.0021	-0.9600	0.0020
	6592.1	î.	-0.2504	0.0017	1.0003	0.0023	0.0550	0.0021	1.2597	0.0023	0.3054	0.0014	-0.9543	0:00:30
Ŋ	6965.0	13	-0.2555	0.0015	1.0070	0.0011	0.0513	0.0010	1.2626	0.0016	0.3068	0.0015	-0.9557	0.0011
	5850.7	ŀI	-0.2227	0.0015	1.1.400	0.0014	0.1625	0.0023	1.3627	0.0016	0.3852	0.0016	-0.9775	0.0022
	6228.1	t-1	-0.2239	0.0017	1.1385	0.0014	0.1614	0.0012	1.3624	0.0014	0.3853	0.0015	-0.9771	0.0012
	6592.1 e0es 0	21 2	-0.2235	0.0014	1.1337	0.0026	0.1608	0.0023	1.3572	0.0017	0.3843	0 0020	-0.9729	0.0022
HD160346	0.6060	01	1077-0-	0100.0	1661.1	0100.0	0.1001	e100.0	1 1 (. ()	0.0010	11-00-0	0.0010	nere.n-	(°100.0
9	5838.5	1	-0.4847	0.0033	-0.5390	0.0014			-0.0542	0.0030				
	6232.1	23	-0.4877	0.0029	-0.5379	0.0022			-0.0502	0.0029				
	6602.5	21	-0.4857	0.0019	-0.5415	0.0015			-0.0558	0.0025				
	6966.5	14	-0.4833	0.0022	-0.5403	0.0018			-0.0569	0.0026				
ħ.	5838.5	14	-0.1022	0.0023	-0.4831	0.0009			-0.3809	0.0026				
	6232.1	23	-0.1055	0.0021	-0.4829	0.0017			-0.3773	0.0017				
	6602.5	21	-0.1043	0.0015	-0.4873	0.0015			-0.3831	0.0023				
0561AICH	6966.5	ļ	-0.1031	0.0018	-0.4350	e100.0			-0.3326	0.0026				
b	5880.5	5	0.4254	0.0034	-0.0998	0.0023			-0.5253	0.0049				
	6228.5	30	0.4258	0.0025	-0.0984	0.0013	-0.9286	0.0011	-0.5242	0.0024	-1.3544	0.0028	-0.8302	0.0015
	6612.3	21	0.4283	0.0023	-0.0944	0.0019	-0.9257	0.0010	-0.5226	0.0024	-1.3540	0.0025	-0.8313	0.0022
	6958.5	12	0.4201	0.0026	-0.0983	0.0018	-0.9244	0.0007	-0.5183	0.0025	-1.3444	0.0028	-0.8261	0.0020
N	5880.5	21	0.6863	0.0021	0.0472	0.0022			-0.6371	0.0034				
3	6228.5	30	0.6864	0.0025	0.0487	0.0012	-1.0008	0.0008	-0.6377	0.0022	-1.6872	0.0027	-1.0495	0.0013
	6612.3 6052.5	21	0.6882	0.0019	0.0520	0.0017	-0.9993	0.0008	-0.6361	0.0021	-1.6875	0.0019	-1.0514 -1.0460	0.0016
HD176095	0.066.0	1	0100.0	0.00.0	01000	1700.0	00000	0100.0	1000.0	1.00.0	100011	0.0044	COF0.1 -	6.700.0
q	5909.8	22	0.3733	0.0021	-1.0250	0.0042			-1.3583	0.0040				
	6253.1	31	0.3720	0.0011	-1.0284	0.0038			-1.4004	0.0037				
	6631.0 7005.7	53 53	0.3712	0.0008	-1.0290 -1.0276	0.0035			-1.4011	0.0038				
2	5000 B	66	06790	0.0016	-1 13.16	0.0040			-1 8075	1.100 0				
ð.	6253.1	12	0.6708	0.0010	-1.1379	0.0031			-1.8088	0.0034				
	6637.0	55	0.6698	0.0012	-1.1385	0.0012			-1.408.1	0.0039				
	1005.7	53	0.6704	0.0007	-1.1355	0.0038			6608.1-	0.0039				

ual Mean Differential Magnitudes (d ۲ ع 1 TABLE3 Sun

- 29 -

	Mean		-	71	, 		-		Ŷ	~	÷.			- + -
	ſſſ		٦m	s.d.	μĊ	s.d.	Чш	s.d.	Δm	s.d.	Δm	s.d.	$\Delta_{\rm m}$	s.d.
roup	2.440,000+	æ	(आवर्ष)	(mag)	(mag)	(Inag)	(mag)	(mag)	(પ્રાથણ)	(mag)	(mag)	(111ag.)	(mag)	(mag)
182572		ŝ	10210	1000	2000 U	0100 0			9690-1	0100 0				
0	5-1680 0.0103	9 F	0.1795	1100.0	-0.9986	0.0013			-1.0601	0.0010				
	6634 6	1	0.1713	0100.0	-0.0000-	0.0010			-1.0001	0.0015				
	7004.9	25	0.1697	0.0009	-0.8843	0.0009			-1.0540	0.0009				
n	5937.4	58	-0.1477	0.0008	-0.8064	0.0008			-0.6587	0.0010				
0	6249.9	51	-0.1471	0.0010	-0.8064	0.0012			-0.6593	0.0011				
	6634.6 70010	22	-0.1464	0.0010	-0.8035	0.0010			-0.6572	0.0010				
185144	1004.9	6	-0.140/	6000.0	-0.00.0	0.0009			-0000-n	0.0003				
9	5939.7	30	-0.3465	0.0010	-0.1362	0.0012			0.2103	0.0012				
	6267.0	18	-0.3456	0.0011	-0.1371	0.0016			0.2085	0.0011				
	6641.9	Ξ	-0.3399	0.0012	-0.1303	0.0016			0.2096	0.0018				
	7015.1		-0.3412	0.0016	-0.1267	0.0015			0.2146	0.0018				
'n	5939.7	30	-0.1435	0.0019	0.1800	0.0013			0.3236	0.0018				
	6267.0	18	-0.1439	0.0013	0.1764	0.0017			0.3203	0.0017				
	6641.9	= ;	-0.1393	0.0010	0.1815	0.0011			0.3209	0.0012				
100001	7015.1		0.1403	0.0011	0.1844	0.0014			0.3247	0.0021				
p	5957.3	36	0.5971	0.0031	-0.1961	0.0029			-0.7932	0.0012				
	6269.2	: 	0.5971	0.0014	-0.1925	0.0017			-0.7896	0.0012				
	0040.0 7020.6	9 10 2 20	0.5940	0.0027	-0.1955	0.0023			-0.7895	0100.0				
		¢,		00000	0110	00000								
y	6.1686 C 0969	2.5	0.7334	0.0013	-0.1571	0.0013			-0.8931	0.0010				
	6640.8	<u>, 7</u>	0.7394	0.0018	-0.1510	0.0017			-0.8905	0.0011				
1 10010	7020.6	58	0.7298	0.0024	-0.1607	0.0022			-0.8904	0.0008				
201092														
p	5957.3	39	-1.3740	0.0013	-0.9719	0.0012	-0.8130	0.0013	0.4021	0.0010	0.5609	0.008	0.1588	0.0003
	6301.8	34	-1.3759	0.0008	-0.9733	0.0016	-0.8137	0.0011	0.4026	0.0014	0.5621	0.0012	0.1596	0.0010
	6657.6	5 % 5 %	-1.3708	0.0008	0.9667	0.0011	-0.8095	0.0010	0.4041	0.008	0.5613	0.0008	0.1572	0.0011
	7038.3	£	-1.3723	0.0012	-0.9697	0.0010	-0.8127	0.0010	0.4025	0.0007	0.5596	0.0008	0.1571	0.0007
'n	5957.3	30	-1.5815	0.0012	-0.8220	0.0012	-0.9110	0.0011	0.7595	0.0010	0.6705	0.0011	-0.080.0 -	0.0011
	6301.8		-1.5846	0.0012	-0.8255	0.0010	-0.9127	0.0011	0.7620	0.0007	0.6718	0.0010	-0.0902	0.0008
	6657.6		-1.5806	0.0005	-0.8201	0.0008	-0.9104	0.0008	0.7605	0.0010	0.6702	0.0008	-0.0903	0.0005
	(or-n1		1100-1	C-000-0	-1-0-0-	0.000		00000	0.100	0000.0	0.000	0000-0	6-10-0-	0000.0

TABLE 3. Summary of Annual Mean Differential Magnitudes (continued)

	s.d.	(IIIAG)																		
	μĊ	(।।।अंध्)																		
-	s.d.	(ווומע)																		
71	mΔ	(IIIAg)																		
	s.d.	(mag)		0.0044	0.0024	0.0029	0.0033	0.0038	0.0023	0.0024	0.0031		0.0012	0.0007	0.0010	0.0009	0.0012	0.0010	0.0024	0.0008
÷1	Δm	(mag)		-0.2252	-0.1876	-0.2086	-0.2222	-0.9297	-0.9021	-0.9189	-0.9288		0.7871	0.7878	0.7865	0.7862	0.7802	0.7796	0.7798	0.7783
-	s.d.	(mag)																		
-	Δm	(niag)																		
	s.d.	(mag)		0.0010	0.0007	0.0007	0.0009	0.0012	0.0010	0.0007	0.0011		0.0015	0.0008	0.0010	0.0006	0.0010	0.0010	0.0015	0.0007
-	کس ک	(mag)		0.0376	0.0399	0.0401	0.0401	-0.0683	-0.0666	-0.0657	-0.0659		-0.4791	-0.4796	-0.4763	-0.4764	-0.6101	-0.6110	-0.6079	-0.6088
24	s.d.	(niag)		0.0043	0.0025	0.0029	0.0034	0.0036	0.0023	0.0024	0.0029		0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0009	0.0011	0.0010	0.0012	0.0007
-	ДШ	(mag)		0.2628	0.2275	0.2487	0.2623	0.8614	0.8355	0.8532	0.8629		-1.2662	-1.2674	-1.2628	-1.2626	-1.3903	-1.3906	-1.3877	-1.3871
		=		34	21	:: ::	÷		;1 ≁	<u>;</u>	++		26	33	읽	36	26	3:3	22	36
Mean	df .	2.410,000+		5978.8	6342.2	6643.3	7062.0	8.8165	6342.2	6:643.3	7062.0		5989.9	6337.4	6658.2	7074.6	5989.9	6337.4	6658.2	7074.6
		Group	HD215704	ų				ħ				HD216385	<i>q</i>				h	·		

TABLE3. Summary of Annual Mean Differential Magnitudes (continued)

- 31























Figure 4.6. Light curves of the HD25998 group, compressed scale. Star 1 = HD25998 (F7V), a member of the Hyades group, varied slightly in the first two seasons. Comparison stars 2 and 3 are slightly noisy. Star 4 = HD25893 = V491 Persei (K1V) shares a common proper motion with HD25998 and is photometrically active.



Figure 4.7. Light curves of the HD35296/HD39587 group. Star 1 = HD35296 (F8V) and star 3 = HD39587 (G0V) were variable the latter in good agreement with the IIK period. One or both of the comparison stars appeared to vary slightly during two each year and varied strongly from year to year as well. We find photometric rotation periods of 2.3 and 4.9 days, respectively. seasons and from year to year. Note the variation of star 2 just at the end of the second season.



Fig.re 4.8. Light curves of the HD76572/HD75332 group. All three of the original trio pairs are very stable, with the four-season range of star 2-star 3, 0.0007 mag, the smallest observed. Star 2 = HD73596 (F5III) may vary slightly on short time scales. Star 4 = HD75332 (F7Vn) is very active.



Figure 4.9. Light curves of the HD81809 group. Comparison star 3 = HD82074 (G61V) varied strongly from year to year. Star 1 = HD81809 (G2V) may fluctuate slightly.



Figure 4.10. Light curves of the HD82885/HD82635 group, compressed scale. This group was expanded into a quartet because of the large dispersions in all of the original trio differential magnitudes. In the second season, star 1 = HD82885 (G8IV-V), a uvby standard star, exhibited a rotational light curve with a period of 18.0 days and an amplitude of 0.03 mag. The same year. star 2 = 11D82635 (G8.5111), also a uvby standard, displayed a rotational light curve with a period of 40.4 days and an amplitude of 0.01 mag. Both stars vary strongly from year to year, but the large-amplitude light curve of star 1 disappeared in the following two seasons. Comparison stars 3 and 4 are quiescent.































(K2V) are consistently variable each year, but the long-term light curves are confused, with only star 2 = HD129972 (G8.5III) showing a clear signal thus far.





- 50 -





















- 55 -

























3.7.1 Cycla-to-cycle differences

Comparing the differential magnitudes of the same pair of stars observed in two separate cycles on the same night provides a useful measure of the precision actually attained on $\sim 1/2$ -hour time scales, where the inconstancy of the atmospheric transparency and photometer response may be possible sources of noise. On this time scale, all the stars are assumed to be nonvariable.

Because the cycle order is always y,b,b,y, the comparison of cycles 2 and 3 (separated by less than 10 minutes) and cycles 1 and 4 (separated by about 20 minutes) provides a diagnostic of the stability of measurement over these intervals. We computed the absolute values of the differences [cycle 1-cycle 4] and [cycle 2-cycle 3] to investigate the repeatability of measurements independent of night-to-night intrinsic stellar variability and measurement error. Because this quantity is also independent of the actual differential magnitudes of the stars, it can be summed over all groups and all nights to provide global statistics pertaining to the entire program, not just small data subsets. Note that the absolute cycle-to-cycle differences are larger by a factor $\sqrt{2}$ than the rms cycle-to-cycle dispersion of differential magnitudes.

The top panel of Figure 5 shows the observed distribution of the absolute values of cycle-to-cycle differences of differential magnitudes for a representative subset of all the observations. The observed error distributions are similar in shape, with medians of 0.0024 and 0.0022 and upper quartiles 0.0044 and 0.0039 mag for the absolute values [cycle 1-cycle 4] (y) and [cycle 2-cycle 3] (b), respectively. Higher count rates in b for all stars earlier than K3 probably accounts for the slight differences between the two histograms rather than the temporal proximity of the b cycles.

In the bottom panel of Figure 5, the predicted cycle-to-cycle photon-counting error distribution is shown, based on the actual B and V magnitudes—and the corresponding known count rate—of the 66 pairs of stars comprising the 22 original trio groups. (A distribution computed for quartet pairs should be essentially identical but was not computed.) Note that the variances contributing to this distribution are a factor of four larger than the photon count variance for a single star: computation of a differential magnitude doubles the variance, and subtracting one differential cycle from another doubles it again.

Comparing the two panels of Figure 5, we observe, with no surprise, that real observations (top panel) evidently include contributions to the total variance that greatly exceed the photon counting error (bottom panel). Some guidance to the relative importance of various sources of error is suggested in Table 2; but as the importance of the largest suspected variance source (i.e., centering) is basically just a guess, we must conclude tentatively that it is the small atmospheric transmission fluctuations on less-than-perfect photometric nights that contribute most to the tail of the histograms of Figure 5a.



Figure 5. (top). Observed distribution of the absolute values of cycle-to-cycle differences obtained within the y, b, b, y sequence each night. A representative subset of the whole data set has been used. (solid line) - y data. (dotted line) - b data. (bottom). Predicted cycle-to-cycle error distribution due only to photon statistics, based on the V and B magnitudes of the pairwise combinations of stars in the 30 groups. (solid line) - V. (dotted line) - B.

3.7.2 Errors arising from differential extinction

Figure 6 shows the actual distribution of differential airmasses for the whole set of observations. The upper quartile of the distribution has the value 0.02 airmass. Assuming an uncertainty in the assumed seasonally adjusted mean extinction coefficient ~0.03 mag airmass⁻¹ (Lockwood and Thompson 1986b), the resulting differential magnitude error is ~0.0006 mag, a negligible addition to the error budget contributing insignificantly to the night-to-night dispersion of nonvariable stars.

For those few groups having "large" (>0.02) differential airmasses, averaging the nightly cycles will not reduce the systematic errors arising from incorrect assumed values of differential extinction. Figure 7 shows the relation between mean intraseason dispersion and differential airmass for a small group of stars presumed to be intrinsically nonvariable on the basis of their low chromospheric activity (log $R'_{\rm HK}$) values. There is no tendency for the dispersion to increase at larger values of differential airmass, so we conclude that the use of mean extinction coefficients is not a significant source of systematic error.


Figure 6. Distribution of differential airmasses for all of the observations. The third quartile of the distribution occurs at 0.02 airmass.



Figure 7. The relation between the seasonal rms dispersion of differential magnitudes and differential airmass for stars unlikely to be intrinsically variable.

3.8 Possible instrumental effects

3.8.1 Color effects

Over the length of an observing season the conditions under which observations of a given group are made can change significantly. For example, between January and June the mean nighttime low temperature rises from -10° C to $+5^{\circ}$ C (NOAA Local Climatological Data for Flagstaff, Arizona). To test for seasonal temperaturerelated effects or temporal effects of unknown origin, we plotted on Figure 8 the cycle-to-cycle rms dispersion (b and y averaged) as a function of the difference in b - y color of the two stars of a pair for pairs that showed no evidence of intrinsic variability in any season. Temporal (perhaps temperature-related) changes in the transmission of either filter should cause the star pairs with large differences in b - ycolor to exhibit a larger dispersion. No effect is evident.

3.8.2 Brightness and brightness difference effects

For the brighter stars, dead-time corrections are not insignificant, amounting to more than 0.5% at V = 5.0. Temporal—perhaps temperature-related—changes in the amplifier output pulse width could cause systematic effects. Changes in linearity of the pulse-counting electronics will be manifested as an increase in the dispersion as a function of the magnitude difference of the two stars of a pair and as a function of the magnitude of the brighter member of a pair. Using the same data as in §3.8.1 above, we plotted these relationships in Figures 9 and 10. No effects are evident.

4. INTRINSIC STELLAR VARIABILITY ON INTRASEASON TIME SCALES

Observations in just one season provide information on the variability of stars on time scales of days to months and can reveal the modulation of disk-integrated brightness caused by the flux deficits as spotted regions rotate into view. On longer time scales, the evolution of spot groups may in principle be inferred by changes in the amplitude, phase, and period of rotational light curves. Having developed the tools needed to distinguish low-level intrinsic stellar variations from observational artifacts, we proceed to characterize the intrinsic variations.

When a group contains only one varying star, as is ideally but not always the case, its identification is unambigous: the two (three for a quartet) differential light curves for star pairs—each including the variable as one of its components—are correlated. Variability is thus quantitatively confirmed by the attained significance level of the correlation coefficients and is supported usually by an obvious pattern in the rms dispersions of the several differential magnitudes.

4.1 Intraseason standard deviations

The rms dispersion of the seasonal mean differential magnitudes, s_b and s_y , based usually on two observations per night in each filter, can signal the presence of intrinsic stellar variability. For a given star pair, $s_b \sim s_y$; so to reduce tabulation in this report, we shall use the standard deviations of the combined b and y magnitudes, s_{by} , formed by averaging the y magnitudes of cycle 1 with the b magnitudes of cycle 2, and the b magnitudes of cycle 3 with the y magnitudes of cycle 4, thus producing two nightly data points for each group observed.



Figure 8. Average intraseason standard deviations (b and y averaged) as a function of the difference in (b - y) color for pairs of stars showing no evidence of intrinsic variability.



Figure 9. Average intraseason standard deviations (b and y averaged) as a function of the difference in V magnitude for pairs of stars showing no evidence of intrinsic variability.



Figure 10. Average intraseason standard deviations (b and y averaged) as a function of the V magnitude of the brighter member of pairs of stars showing no evidence of intrinsic variability.

Table 4 gives s_{by} values for each observing season, the Julian Date range, and n, the number of averaged [(b + y)/2] data points. Except for the rare occasions when only one b and one y cycle were observed, the number of nights each season is therefore n/2. In each group, star 1 provides the group name and is the principal program star; the second program star in the group label for certain quartets is star 3 unless otherwise noted.

Following each s_{by} value in Table 4 is an indication of whether that particular star pair shows variability: V – definitely variable (99% confidence), v – possibly variable (95% confidence), or C – constant, according to the significance of correlation coefficients (§4.3). These designations are omitted when the identity of the variable star(s) is ambiguous.

4.2 The relation between s_b and s_y

Although $s_b \sim s_y$, the ratio $s_b/s_y \sim 1.1$ for variables and ~ 1.0 for constant stars, reflecting, at large values of s_b and s_y , mainly an aspect of intrinsic variability and, at small (<0.004 mag) values of s_b and s_y , mainly observational noise. Figure 11 shows s_b/s_y plotted as a function of s_b . For stars whose consistent fluctuations every year verified their intrinsic variability, we find $s_b \sim 1.15s_y$ and for consistently nonvariable stars, $s_b \sim s_y$.



Figure 11. The ratio of the intraseason standard deviation in b to the standard deviation in y, s_b/s_y as a function of s_b . (filled circles) - consistently constant stars. (open circles) - consistently variable stars. The ratio is typically ~1.1 for variable stars.

- 67 -

				-		,			
Group	-	JD 2440000+	1-2 (mag)	1-3 (mag)	1-4 (mag)	2~3 (mag)	2-4 (mag)	3-4 (mag)	Variable
			/0	101	/0		/01	10	
HD1835	28	5947.8-6077.6	V 1600 0	0 ^m 0087 V		0 ^m 0029 C			1
	53	6286.9-6432.6	0.0100 V	0.0105 V		0.0027 C			1
	38	6642.0-6777.6	0.0088 V	0.0088 V		0.0024 C			1
	28	7036.9-7136.6	0.0075 V	0.0075 V		0.0019 C			1
		Range	0.0135 V	0.0123 V		0.0013 C			+
HD10476	30	5947.8-6068.6	0.0016 C	0.0017 C		0.0017 C			
	30 36	6293.9-6424.6 6652 0 - 6907 6	0.0017 C	0.0017 C		0.0022 C			
	28 28	7038.9-7137.7	0.0019	0.0027 V		0.0030 V			ę
		Range	0.0037 V	0.0046 V		0.0009 C			1
HD13421	24	5955.9-6065.7	0.0030 V	0.0023 V		0.0021 C			I
	18 29	6306.9-6450.6 6678 9-6808 6	0.0018 C	0.0018 C		0.0018 C			97.37
	14	7063.9-7127.7	0.0020 C	0.0024 C	0.0015 C	0.0018 C	0.0015 C	0.0018 C	
		Range	0.0159 V	0.0020 C		0.0179 V			2+
HD18256	18	5955.9-6082.6	0.0022 C	0.0021 C		0.0019 C			¢
	19 15	6307.0-6459.6 6679.9-6808.6	0.0011 C 0.0018 C	0.0021 V 0.0018 C		0.0021 V 0.0017 C			
	11	7063.9-7127.7	0.0015 C	0.0021 C	0.0074 V	0.0019 C	0.0079 V	0.0073 V	4
		Range	0.0011 C	0.0037 V		0.0024 v			3?
HD23140	22	5955.9-6086.6	0.0037 V	0.0043 V		0.0020 C			1
	22 15	6307.0-6459.6 6680.0-6808.6	0.0036 V 0.0027 v	0.0035 V 0.00 34 v		0.0018 C 0.0021 C			1?
		Range	0.0027 C	0.0026 C		0.0019 v			
HD25998	16 85	5955.9-6086.6	0.0059 v	0.0063 v		0.0044 C			1?
	22	6331.0-6459.7 6679.0-6731.8*	0.0030 C	0.0043 V 0.0043 C		0.0036 C 0.0034 C			I
	11	*6742.8-6827.9	0.0043 C	0.0059 C	0.0184 V	0.0043 C	0.0187 V	0.0195 V	4
		Range	0.0050 C	0.0048 C		0.002 3 C			
HD35296/	01	5760.7-5778.6	0.0054 C	0.0053 C	0.0041 C	0.0031 C	0.0042 C	0.0026 C	•
18668014	90 19	6331 0-6512 6	0.0086 V 0.0068 V	0.0093 0.0074	0.0065 V 0.0075 V	0.0056 V 0.0056 V	0.0051 C	0.0060 V 0.0061 V	ц 1 с
	32	6705.0-6881.6	0.0055 V	0.0082	0.0060 V	0.0060 V	0.0019 C	0.0058 V	1,3
			0.0104 V	0.0115 V	0.0104 V	0.0144 V	0.0034 V	0.0146 V	1+,3+

TABLE 4. Intraseason Dispersion and Interseason Ranges in (b+y)/2

Group	u	JD 2440000+	1-2 (niag)	1 :} (mag)	1 - 1 (mag)	2-3 (111ag)	2 4 (mag)	3 4 (mag)	Variable
HD76572/ HD75332 [†]	23 27 34 29	5760.7-5813.6 6064.9-6158.7 6363.0-6516.7 6741.0-6905.6	0.0028 v 0.0019 v 0.0017 v 0.0014 C	0.0025 C 0.0017 C 0.0015 C 0.0018 C	0.0048 V 0.0105 V	0.0032 v 0.0021 v 0.0016 C 0.0017 C	0.0050 0.0108 V	V 7+00.0 V 1010.0	2? 2?,4 4
		Range	0.0024 V	0.0020 V	0.0166 V	0.0007 C	0.0179 V	0.0180 V	12,4+
HD81809	19 26 14 28	5762.7-5814.6 6064.9-6158.7 6404.0-6511.7 6807.9-6907.6	0.0026 v 0.0024 v 0.0042 V 0.0040 V	0.0024 v 0.0024 v 0.0017 C 0.0034 V		0.0020 C 0.0020 C 0.0047 V 0.0026 C			
		Range	0.0037 V	0.0055 V		0.0086 V			3+
HD82885/ HD82635‡	19 54 55 41	5762.7-5814.7 6064.9-6196.7 6373.0-6570.7 6741.0-6920.7	0.0126 V 0.0137 0.0085 0.0069	0.0066 C 0.0127 V 0.0057 V 0.0035 V	0.0126 V 0.0051 V 0.0035 V	0.0097 V 0.0051 V 0.0056 V 0.0051 V	0.0045 V 0.0063 V 0.0050 V	0.0023 C 0.0019 C 0.0016 C	2 1,2 1,2
		Range	0.0155 V	V 6600.0	0.0067 V	0.0058 v	0.0044 V	0.0018 V	1+,2+
HD103095	20 21 28 20	5762.8–5837.7 6065.0–6164.7 6421.0–6539.7 6808.9–6919.7	0.0016 v 0.0021 V 0.0014 0.0021 C	0.0013 C 0.0011 C 0.0014 0.0025 v		0.0016 v 0.0021 V 0.0020 0.0024 v			2? 3?
		Range	0.0015 v	0.0028 V		0.0043 V			e.
HD114710	18 18 27 12	5766.9–5814.8 6120.9–6224.7 6486.9–6570.7 6880.9–6965.7	0.0020 C 0.0030 C 0.0057 V 0.0029 C	0.0036 V 0.0057 V 0.0048 C 0.0088 V		0.0035 V 0.0060 V 0.0069 V 0.0072 V			n n n n
		Range	0.0049 V	0.0154 V		0.0110 V			3+
HD115383/ HD117176	12 12 21 9	5780.8–5839.7 6103.9–6225.7 6486.9–6570.7 6880.9–6964.7	0.0022 v 0.0046 V 0.0026 0.0021 C	0.0017 v 0.0047 V 0.0035 0.0026 C		0.0012 C 0.0014 C 0.0028 0.0018 C			1? 1 1?,3?
		Range	0.0135 V	0.0171 V		0.0041 V			+

TABLE 4. Intraseason Dispersion and Interseason Ranges in (b+y)/2 (continued)

[†] Star 4 = HD75332 [‡] Star 2 = HD82635

	=	ID 9440004	1-2 (mad)	1-3 (mao)	[-4 [mao]	2-3 (mao)	2-4 (mag)	3-4 (mag)	Variable
			(Gmm)	(9,000)		(9mm)	/9mm/	19	
~	n 0	5780.9-5849.7 6104 0-6234 7	0.0052 V 0.0069 V	0.0046 V 0.0064 V		0.0025 C			
- 10 0	<u>ہ</u> م -	6486.9-6570.8 6480.9-6570.8	0.0086 V	0.0083 V		0.0019 C			4
•	•	Range	0.0154 V	0.0109 V		0.0061 V			1+,(2? or 3? confused)
8	19 19 19	5776.8-5864.7 6104.0-6237.7 6486.9-6594.7 6882.9-6594.7	0.0024 0.0016 C 0.0042 C 0.0015 C	0.0033 0.0017 C 0.0041 C 0.0016 C		0.0026 0.0017 C 0.0038 C 0.0018 C			1?,2
		Range	0.0115 V	0.0099 V		0.0038 V			1+
- 00-	9 0 6 7	5776.9–5864.7 6144.9–6225.7 6486.9–6601.7 6882.9–6969.7	0.0016 C 0.0015 C 0.0015 C 0.0021 C	0.0061 V 0.0045 V 0.0026 V 0.0034 v		0.0053 V 0.0045 V 0.0027 V 0.0035 v			ი ი ი <u>წ</u>
			0.0025 V	0.0174 V		0.0195 V			3+
w wan w	4 6 6 9 9	5780.9–5873.7 6104.0–6251.7 6487.0–6639.7 6829.0–6982.7	0.0142 V 0.0208 V 0.0136 V 0.0160 V	0.0150 V 0.0218 V 0.0137 V 0.0161 V		0.0019 C 0.0039 V 0.0026 C 0.0022 C			
		Range	0.0471 V	0.0482 V		0.0014 C			1+
0 014.000	8 5 5 5	5776.9–5873.7 6104.0–6250.7 6487.0–6601.7 6882.0–6979.7	0.0065 C 0.0056 V 0.0081 V 0.0075 V	0.0075 v 0.0088 0.0133 0.0065	0.0061 V 0.0068 V 0.0075 V	0.0077 v 0.0060 V 0.0124 V 0.0048 V	0.0015 C 0.0026 C 0.0015 C	0.0058 V 0.0122 V 0.0045 V	3? 1,3 1,3
		Range	0.0087 V	0.0027 v	0.0027 C	0.0139 V	0.0060 V	0.0079 V	2+,(3? or 4 ? confused)
8 H H 8	0 8 8 5	5782.9-5866.7 6145.0-6222.8 6487.0-6601.8 6885.0-6975.7	0.0021 0.0011 C 0.0014 C 0.0015 C	0.0033 0.0016 v 0.0014 v 0.0016 C		0.0026 0.0014 v 0.0019 v 0.0018 C			1?,3 3? 3?
		Range	0.0022 V	0.0014 C		0.0029 V			2?

(continued)
হ
y)
+
9)
c
W
- S S
an
Ä
ä
Interseaso
þ
ЯIJ
Ę
.Q.
22
ğ,
-Si
Intraseasor
i
TABLE -
× .

Group	r r	JD 2440000+	12 (mag)	1 3 (inag)	1 - 4 (тақ)	2 3 (mag)	2 -4 (111ag)	3 ्1 (गावट्र)	Variable
HD149661/ HD152391	18 26 20 20	5781.0-5873.8 6145.0-6250.7 6566.8-6643.7 6908.9-6981.7	0.0042 V 0.0066 V 0.0041 C 0.0036 C	0.0066 V 0.0084 V 0.0070 V 0.0074 V	0.0084 0.0069 V 0.0077 V 0.060 V	0.0040 C 0.0053 C 0.0064 C 0.0081 V	0.0064 v 0.0051 C 0.0063 V 0.0064 V	0.0052 v 0.0070 C 0.0079 0.0110	1,4? 1 3,4
		Range	0.0109 V	0.0247 V	0.0087 V	0.0228 V	0.0033 C	0.0218 V	1+,3+
HD157856/ HD158614	14 15 15 15 15	5808.0-5873.8 6196.9-6241.7 6566.9-6624.7 6909.0-6982.7	0.0030 C 0.0020 C 0.0015 C 0.0020 C	0.0026 C 0.0021 C 0.0030 v 0.0017 C	0.0036 C 0.0023 C 0.0024 C 0.0018 C	0.0022 C 0.0021 C 0.0026 v 0.0021 C	0.0028 C 0.0025 C 0.0015 C 0.0019 C	0.0026 C 0.0018 C 0.0026 v 0.0026 v	32
		Range	0.0034 V	0.0062 V	0.0025 v	0.0062 V	0.0015 C	0.0049 V	1,3+
HD160346	14 23 14	5781.0–5873.8 6190.9–6277.7 6547.9–6639.7 6922.0–6982.8	0.0045 V 0.0052 V 0.0033 0.0031 v	0.0015 C 0.0036 C 0.0027 0.0023 C		0.0041 V 0.0047 V 0.0050 0.0040 v			2 2 2 2 2,3
		Range	0.0034 C	0.0040 V		0.0060 V			3+
HD161239	$21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\$	5807.9–5957.6 6192.9–6277.7 6516.9–6691.6 6907.9–6982.8	0.0016 C 0.0065 V 0.0042 V 0.0033 V	0.0021 v 0.0028 V 0.0037 V 0.0027 v	0.0023 C 0.0013 C 0.0013 C	0.0020 v 0.0059 0.0047 0.0032	0.0071 V 0.0044 V 0.0036 V	0.0032 V 0.0037 V 0.0030 v	2, 2, 3 2, 3 3, 3 2, 3 2, 3 2, 3 2, 3 2,
		Range	0.0072 V	0.0036 V	0.0034 V	0.0056 V	0.0085 V	0.0048 V	1+,4+,(2? or 3? confused)
HD176095	22 31 23 23	5837.9–5986.6 6192.9–6325.6 6591.9–6720.6 6956.9–7059.6	0.0036 C 0.0020 C 0.0022 C 0.0012 C	0.0086 V 0.0092 V 0.0092 V 0.0081 V		0.0077 V 0.0093 V 0.0087 V 0.085 V			
		Range	0.0025 V	0.0043 C		0.0024 C			
HD182572	28 21 25 25	5861.9-5995.6 6218.9-6325.6 6591.9-6718.6 6956.9-7071.6	0.0020 v 0.0014 C 0.0017 C 0.0019 v	0.0019 v 0.0021 V 0.0016 C 0.0016 C		0.0018 C 0.0020 V 0.0018 C 0.0018 C			1? 3 2?
	-	Range	0.0017 v	0.0045 V		0.0053 V			3

TABLE 4. Intraseason Dispersion and Interseason Ranges in (b+y)/2 (continued)

Group	=	JD 2440000+	1-2 (mag)	l-3 (mag)	1-4 (mag)	2-3 (.nag)	2-4 (mag)	3-4 (mag)	Variable
HD185144	30 14 22	5861.9-5992.6 6224.9-6330.6 6595.9-6717.6 6962.9-7075.6	0.0028 0.0015 C 0.0012 C 0.0026	0.0029 0.0018 v 0.0015 v 0.0029		0.0023 0.0021 v 0.0019 v 0.0040			2?,3? 3? 3? 2?,3
		Range	0.0054 V	0.0033 V		0.0652 V			1+,(2? or 3? confused)
HD190007	36 27 58 58	5861.9-6014.6 6218.9-6375.6 6587.9-6731.6 6956.9-7085.6	0.0085 V 0.0038 V 0.0064 V 0.0094	0.0076 V 0.0038 V 0.0069 V 0.0083		0.0024 C 0.0024 C 0.0026 C 0.0026 C			1 1 1,2
		Range	0.0103 V	0.0102 V		0.0032 V			+
HD201091/ HD201092	$39 \\ 28 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 39 \\ 3$	5862.0-6014.6 6233.9-6375.6 6589.9-6727.6 6964.9-7085.6	0.0032 V 0.0020 V 0.0018 0.0026	0.0031 V 0.0028 0.0021 0.0022 V	0.0031 V 0.0021 V 0.0017 0.0023 V	0.0022 C 0.0025 V 0.0016 0.0016 v	0.0021 C 0.0022 C 0.0016 0.0015 v	0.0023 C 0.0020 V 0.0020 6.0015 C	$1 \\ 1,3 \\ 1,34? \\ 1,2? \end{cases}$
		Range	0.0045 V	0.0033 V	0.00 33 V	0.0015 V	0.0027 V	0.0021 V	1?,4? confused
HD215704	34 72 44	5874.0-6036.6 6277.8-6450.6 6570.9-6777.6 6963.9-7127.6	V 60109 V 0.0097 V V 0.0001 V V 0.0100	0.0020 C 0.0026 C 0.0022 C 0.0022 C		0.0113 V 0.0097 V 0.0086 V 0.0102 V			0000
		Range	0.0311 V	0.0026 V		0.0327 V			2+
HD216385	26 33 36 36	5947.8-6056.6 6286.9-6396.6 6623.9-6725.7 6995.9-7126.6	0.0018 C 0.0016 0.0019 0.0019 V	0.0026 V 0.0016 0.0019 0.0013 C		0.0025 V 0.0017 0.0032 0.0019 V			.3 2?,3? 2.3
		Range	0.0041 V	0.0032 V		0.0014 C			1

TABLE 4. Intraseason Dispersion and Interseason Ranges in (b+y)/2 (continued)

In addition to helping provide confirmation of intrinsic variability, the ratio s_b/s_y is astrophysically meaningful: it derives from the variation of the disk-averaged effective temperature of the star caused by the presence of cool dark spots. For stars of solar temperature, the *b* filter lies shortward of the blackbody maximum while the *y* filter is located near the peak, so that small temperature variations affect the two filters unequally: $\Delta b > \Delta y$.

As a simple illustration, at 6000 K, a 20 K decrease in disk-averaged blackbody temperature associated with a spotted hemisphere causes a 0.0185 mag drop in band an 0.0164 mag drop in y, yielding the ratio $\Delta b/\Delta y = 1.13$. Similarly, a 20 K increase will increase b and y in the same ratio. Obviously, spotted regions of various temperatures, spatial distribution, and filling factors can produce similar indistinguishable effects.

4.3 Correlations of light curves

The principal statistic used to confirm variability is the attained significance level of the correlation coefficient for light curves containing one star in common (e.g., [star 1-star 2] and [star 1-star 3]). In Table 4, the designation V (variable) and v (possibly variable) indicate, respectively, 99% and 95% significance of the correlation coefficient. Variable stars identified by this procedure are listed in the final column of Table 4. When it is impossible to determine uniquely from the array of correlation coefficients (three for trios, six for quartets) which stars are variable, as happens sometimes when more than one star is evidently varying, the variability designation is omitted even though the s_{by} values of individual differential light curves are large enough that variability is suspected.

4.4 Frequency histograms of intraseason variability

Figure 12 presents frequency histograms of the seasonal standard deviations, s_{by} , for constant pairs, possibly variable pairs, and variable pairs (Table 4). The data divide evenly between variable and constant pairs, with only ~15% falling in the possibly variable category. Pairs with both stars possibly or probably variable are omitted. Overlap between the histograms is small: the third quartile for constant pairs occurs at 0.0026 mag and the first quartile for variable pairs is located at 0.0041 mag. As a general rule, any pair with $s_{by} > 0.0025$ mag is likely to include a variable star, since few of the constant pairs have dispersions this large.

According to Figure 12, half the star pairs include a variable star; but because of redundancy in pair membership, the fraction of variable stars is actually fewer than half. (One variable star in a trio produces two entries in the "variable" histogram and one in the "constant" histogram. One variable star in a quartet produces three "variable" pairs and three "constant" pairs; two variable stars in a quartet produce five "variable" pairs and one "constant" pair, etc.) According to the final column of Table 4, 35 stars were variable in two or more seasons, leading to the conclusion that field F, G, and K stars have a significant chance of being found perceptibly variable on short time scales. About half the program stars and one-fourth the comparison stars were variable.



Figure 12. Frequency histograms for star pairs exhibiting definite variability at the 99% confidence level (top), at the 95% confidence level $(middl\epsilon)$, or nonvariability (bottom).

- 74 -

4.5 Consistently variable stars

More than one-third of the program and comparison stars were found to be consistently variable in two or more seasons. Table 5 summarizes the number and percentage distribution of variable stars by spectral type and luminosity classification. About one-fourth the F and G stars and two-thirds the K stars were variable. Surprisingly, five of thirteen *uvby* photometric standard stars are variable. Variability at the <1% level is therefore an extremely common phenomenon among cool stars regardless of luminosity type.

Figure 13 shows the relation between s_{by} (averaged over all seasons) and B-V color for consistently variable program and comparison stars. The scale of spectral types is from Fitzgerald (1970). Only one star (HD129333) fluctuated by more than 1%. The F and G variables were predominantly subgiants and dwarfs, while the K variables were mostly giants. Figure 13 includes seven uvby standards, but three (HD101606, HD201091, and HD201092) are marginal (~0.002 mag) detections. Two others (HD82885, HD82635), however, have recently derived photometric rotation periods based on light curves with amplitudes greater than 0.01 mag (Skiff and Lockwood 1986).



Figure 13. Average intraseason rms dispersion, s_{by} , for program and comparison stars that were variable in two or more seasons as a function of B - V color. (filled circles) – luminosity IV and V stars. (open circles) – luminosity class I, II, or III, (stars) – luminosity class unknown.

- 75 -

4.6 Photometrically derived rotation periods

A number of the program stars have rotation periods determined earlier from their HK variations (Vaughan *et al.* 1981; Baliunas and Vaughan 1985). Because the relative variation in the HK index, S, is an order of magnitude greater than the photometric variation, it is much easier to measure—typically $\Delta S/S$ is in the range 3-20%, while the rms b or y variation in the optical continuum rarely exceeds 1%.

Using an algorithm by Scargle (1982), we were nonetheless able to derive photometric rotation periods for nine stars, essentially confirming the HK periods for several, and obtaining original periods for three others. Table 6 summarizes the known HK and newly measured photometric rotation periods. Theoretical rotation periods, $P_{\rm calc}$, derived from the convective turnover time and B-V color by Noyes et al. (1984) are included for comparison. To maximize the signal to noise ratio in this calculation, we first averaged together all the differential magnitudes having the program star in common; i.e., [(star 1-star 2) + (star 1-star 3) + (star 1-star 4)]/3. In Table 6, the quantity $Z_{\rm max}$ is a measure of the signal-to-noise ratio of the photometric rotation signal: if $Z_{\rm max} > 10$, it was possible to derive photometric periods with some confidence.

5. INTRINSIC STELLAR VARIABILITY ON INTERSEASON TIME SCALES

The detection of interseason variability is, in principle, straightforward and analogous to the procedure used to analyze intraseason variability: an analysis of variance is used to test the significance of the differences between seasonal mean magnitudes; and the correlation of seasonal mean light curves uniquely identifies the particular star that is varying. However, this task must be approached with caution because, on time scales of years, the risk of systematic errors is ever increasing.

Correlations, or the lack thereof, among pairs of seasonal mean magnitudes having a subject star in common were identified by simple inspection of the light curves—the number of annual data points is presently too small to justify formal statistics. Often, however, this method is completely sufficient. For example, in the light curves for the HD1835 group (Figure 4.1), it is obvious that the chromospherically active program star (star 1) is strongly variable from year to year: the total variation greatly exceeds the 95% seasonal confidence limits indicated by the error bars.

In other cases, more formal statistics must prevail. In the HD182572 group (Figure 4.25), for example, it appears from inspection that star 3 may be variable, though its light curves (star 1-star 3, star 2-star 3) show hardly more activity than the constant pair (star 1-star 2). An analysis of variance confirms the suspicion: the light curves for star 1-star 3 and star 2-star 3 both indicate variation at a confidence level >99.9%. Variation of star 1-star 2 is significant at the 99% level.

In cases of low-range variation hardly distinguishable from noise, we must rely upon significance tests in a formal analysis of variance (AOV), using the consistency among pairs of light curves with a star in common to decide which star is actually varying. Some groups present insoluble complexity when more than one

HD	$\log R'_{\rm HK}$	JD 2,440,000+	 n	s _{by}	P_{photom} (days)	P _{HK} (days)	P^{a}_{calc} (days)	Z _{max}
1835	-4.42	6287.0-6432.6	53	0.010	7.7	7.7	6.7	19.5
25998	-4.50					2.6		
35296	-4.38	6331.0-6512.6	63	0.007	2.3		3.3	10.4
39587	-4.40	6331.0-6512.6	63	0.007	4.9	5.2	5.9	11.4
82885	-4.58	6064.9-6196.7	54 55	0.013	18.2	18.1	18.4	23.1
114710	4 72	6496 0 6570 7	00 97	0.000	10.1	17.0	10.0	20.4
114710	-4.13	0480.9~0370.7	27	0.006		12.4	12.2	6.2
115383	-4.43			< 0.005		3.4	4.9	
115404	-4.46	6104.0-6234.7	39	0.006	11.0:	18.8	15.5	7.2
129333	-4.23	6104.0-6250.7	53	0.021	3.0			17.2
		6487.0-6639.7	52	0.014	2.7		•••	11.7
131156 AB	-4.36	6104.0-6250.7	43	0.006	6.1	6.2 ^b	7.7	12.5
		6487.0-6601.7	33	0.007	6.4			12.6
		6882.0-6979.7	35	0.008	6.2			9.2
149661	-4.54			0.006		21.3	17.7	
160346	-4.71			< 0.005		33.5	37.0	
176095	-4.68		31	0.009	2.8			10.4
190007	-4.59	5861.9-6014.6	6	0.008				
		6218.9-6375.6	37	0.004	35.0	29.3	25.2	11.6
		6587.9-6731.6	48	0.006	28.0			9.7
		5861.9-6998.4	147°	0.008	26.3			14.8
201091	-4.80			0.003		37.9	40.6	9.1
201092	-4.91		·	···	•••	48.0	50.0	

TABLE 6. Summary of Rotation Determinations

NOTES:

^aNoyes et al. 1984.

^b6.2-6.7 (Baliunas et al. 1985)

^cFour years combined.

star is evidently varying; e.g., HD161239 (Figure 4.23), HD201091/HD201092 (Figure 4.28).

On the bottom row of data for each group, Table 4 summarizes the results of the formal statistical tests for interseason variability. The peak-to-peak range (b and y averaged) for each star pair is listed along with the designation V, v, or C according to the outcome of the AOV for that pair: V means that the attained significance (via the F statistic) for variation in the annual mean values is 99% or greater; v indicates a significance greater than 95%, and C indicates no significant variability. By inspecting the decision for each pair, the identity of the variable star(s) is usually evident and is listed in the final columnm of Table 4. A "+" denotes variation in excess of 0.005 mag (0.5%) peak-to-peak—these are the most certain detections.

Figure 14 reports the outcome of the analysis of variance: the upper histogram pertains to pairs of stars that show evidence of variability at the 99% confidence level, the center histogram includes pairs in the 95–99% range, and the bottom histogram includes nonvariable pairs. According to this procedure, over half the pairs show evidence of some variability. As there remains some possibility of systematic error contributing to the observed variability, this statistic alone is insufficient; and we require further evidence before deciding that a particular star is variable, including correlation of the appropriate pairs of light curves, and the existence of a pair of stars in each group deemed "constant," regardless of the AOV.

In all groups, but especially in quartets, one pair of stars has to be chosen as "constant," regardless of the outcome of the formal AOV, to provide a basis for assessing the variations of the other stars. Sometimes this pair itself is suspect because the total amplitude of variation is clearly larger than that seen in some of the best-behaved groups. Most likely, this simply indicates that no pair of stars in that group is truly constant—or it could mean that a systematic error of unknown origin is contaminating the data for that particular group. We consider this problem further below.

Analogous to Figure 13 for intraseason variations, we show in Figure 15 the relation between peak-to-peak three- or four-year range of seasonal mean magnitudes (b and y averaged) and B - V color. The median range is 0.008 mag, and there are no trends with luminosity or spectral type. Ranges at the 0.002 mag level are marginally significant but may ultimately be confirmed by further observations.

5.1. The least-variable stars

Having established (Table 5) that one-third of the entire sample and two-thirds of the K stars are consistently variable on intraseason time scales, we now consider the statistics of long-term variability. The least-variable stars are provisionally so designated and listed by differential pairs in Table 7 if they (1) show a total range of the averaged b and y seasonal mean magnitudes <0.003 mag and (2) have an average intraseason rms dispersion <0.003 mag. Twenty-six pairs of stars, including 40 individual stars (12 appear in two or more pairs) qualify for this list. Even with this



Figure 14. Frequency histograms of peak-to-peak range of seasonal mean magnitudes for pairs of stars judged variable at the 99% confidence level (top panel), possibly variable at the 95-99% confidence level (middle panel), or nonvariable (bottom panel).

severe restriction upon the total range of variability, 17 pairs of stars appear to be significantly (>95% probable) variable according to the formal analysis of variance, leaving only 9 pairs showing no evidence whatsoever of long-term variability. These 18 "constant" stars are predominantly F stars; and the sole MK-classified giant in the list, HD73596 (F5111), may fluctuate slightly each year. Another likely giant, HD140716 (gG9), the coolest of the "constant" stars, is also suspected of varying slightly each year.



Figure 15. Interseason range for program and comparison stars that were judged variable according to the analysis of variance and correlated light curves. (*filled circles*) - luminosity class IV and V stars, (*open circles*) - luminosity class I, II, or III, (*stars*) - luminosity class unknown.

5.2 Possible long-term systematic effects

The most likely long-term systematic error source we could imagine has to do with the slowly changing color response of the photometer that might accompany the aging of the photomultiplier or the interference filters. Such an effect, if present, would be most easily seen as a drift in the differential magnitudes of two stars widely different in B - V color (cf. Figure 8). The group of least-variable stars (Table 7) contains pairs of stars whose B - V colors differ by up to 0.6 mag. On Figure 16 are plotted the colors of the hotter members of the various pairs as a function of color difference, using symbols whose size represents the range of variation (larger symbols for larger range, up to 0.003 mag). There is no tendency for the star pairs with the larger ranges of variability to cluster anywhere on this diagram; therefore we conclude that systematic color-related effects are absent.

5.3. The large-range variable stars: incipient cycles?

Stars exhibiting "large" variations (i.e., >0.5% peak-to-peak in the light curve of annual mean magnitudes) over the four-year course of this program (cf. Table 4) comprise about one-fourth of the total sample and are found predominantly among the program stars. These stars are listed in Table 8 along with an indication of their intraseason variability: a "V" signifies consistent variability in two or more seasons, and a "C" signifies nonvariability in most seasons. Typical values of the intraseason

HD	Spectral Type	Range (mag)	AOV	Average s.d.	Note
2488 1388	F5 G0 V	0.0013	С	0.0027	
$10697 \\ 11326$	G5 IV G5	0.0009	С	0.0022	
13421 13683	G0 V F0	0.0020	С	0.0021	uvby, HK std, log $R'_{\rm HK} = -5.21$
18256 18404	F6 V F5 IV	0.0011	С	0.0017	$\log R'_{\rm HK} = -4.79$
18404 17659	F5 IV F8	0.0024	v	0.0019	Variable?
$23256 \\ 22679$	F2 G5	0.0019	v	0.0020	Three seasons only
76572 73596	F6 V F5 III	0.0024	V	0.0020	HK std, log $R'_{\rm HK} = -4.94$, variable Var? each year
76572 78234	F6 V F2 V	0.0020	V	0.0019	
73596 78234	F5 III F2 V	0.0007	С	0.0022	Var? each year
83951 83525	F3 V F5	0.0018	V	0.0019	Three seasons only
103095 103520	G8 VI K0 III	0.0015	v	0.0018	<i>uvby</i> std, log $R'_{\rm HK} = -4.87$ Var? in two seasons
103095 101606	G8 VI F4 V	0.0028	V	0.0016	uvby std, variable
124570 125451	F6 IV F5 IV	0.0025	V	0.0017	HK std, log $R'_{\rm HK} = -5.14$
129390 131330	F2 F8	0.0014	C	0.0027	

TABLE 7. The Least-Variable Stars

dispersion (b and y averaged), and the interseason observed range are listed.

The sixteen program stars listed in Table 8 are for the most part consistently variable on intraseason time scales as well. Only four stars, those with the smallest

HD	Spectral Type	Range (mag)	AOV	Average s.d.	Note
143761 142091	G0 +Va K1 Va	0.0022	V	0.0016	<i>uvby</i> , MK, IIK std, $\log R'_{\rm HK} = -5.02$ Variable
143761 140716	G0 +Va gG9	0.0014	С	0.0020	Slightly variable?
142091 140716	K1 Va gG9	0.0029	V	0.0017	Variable
157856 157347	F3 V G5 IV	0.0025	v	0.0025	log $R'_{\rm HK} = -4.70$, variable?
156635 157347	F8 G5 IV	0.0015	С	0.0022	
176095 175515	F5 IV K0 III	0.0025	V	0.0023	$\log R'_{\rm HK} = -4.68$
182572 180868	G8 IV F0 IV	0.0017	v	0.0018	MK std, log $R'_{\rm HK} = -5.03$
200031 201092	G5 III K7 V	0.0015	v	0.0020	uvby, MK std, $\log R'_{HK} = -4.91$
200031 200577	G5 III G8 III	0.0027	V	0.0019	
201092 200577	K7 V G8 III	0.0021	V	0.0020	
215704 216175	K0 G5	0.0026	V	0.0023	< <i>S</i> >= 0.25.
216048 217232	F0 IV-V A8 V	0.0014	С	0.0023	Both slightly variable?

TABLE 7. The Least-Variable Stars continued.

(and most Sun-like) log $R'_{\rm HK}$ values, failed to exhibit consistent intraseason variability. The eight comparison stars were evenly divided, with just half consistently varying within two or more seasons. Because the sample here is small, it seems imprudent to attempt to make a distinction between the statistics of the program and comparison stars in Table 8, even though the latter, by and large, is comprised of more-luminous stars.

Do the light curves of these stars contain clues to the nature of the variability? Can random variability be distinguished from segments of cycles? To consider these



Figure 16. B - V colors of the hotter members of the pairs of least-variable stars from Table 7 as a function of color difference. The size of the symbols is related to the observed range of interseason variability, in the range 0.0007 mag-0.0029 mag.

questions, we examined the statistics of artificial "light curves" consisting of sets of four-point time series generated from normally distributed, consecutive random numbers. Fourteen percent of the artificial "light curves" thus generated were monotonic (steadily rising or falling), 52% contained a single maximum or minimum, and 34% contained two extrema—one maximum and one minimum. Thus 66% of the random-noise light curves had characteristics consistent with cycle segments where the periods are substantially longer than four years.

However, turning to the real data, only 13% of the observed light curves (Table 8) contained two extremes, 23% were monotonic, and 64% contained just a single maximum or minimum. Thus 86% were consistent with undersampled cyclic variation, compared with 66% of the artificial light curves, and the fraction of observed double-extrema light curves was less than half the fraction generated artificially. These statistics suggest that the observed light curves are slightly more consistent with short segments of some kind of cyclic phenomenon than with random noise on a one-year time scale. This conclusion is, of course, highly preliminary because of the short time base of available data; and it is prejudiced by the expectation that luminosity cycles, if detected, will be commensurate in length with the magnetic activity cycles.

				Typ. rms	Peak-Peak	Number
	Spectral		Intra	Dispersion	Range	of
HD	Туре	$\log R'_{\rm HK}$	Season	(mag)	(mag)	Extremes
		Р	rogram	Stars		
1835	G2V	-4.42	V	0.009	0.013	2
35296	F8V	-4.38	V	0.007	0.010	1
39587	G0V	-4.44	V	0.006	0.015	1
75332	F7Vn	-4.44	V	0.007	0.018	
82885	G8IV-V	-4.58	V	0.007	0.008	1
82635	G8.5III		V	0.005	0.005	1
115383	G0Vs	-4.43	V(?)	0.003	0.015	1
115404	K1V+M1V	-4.46	V	0.007	0.013	1
120136	F6IV	-4.76	С	0.003	0.011	0
129333	G0	-4.23	V	0.017	0.048	0
149661	K2V	-4.54	V	0.006	0.010	1
152391	G7V	-4.45	V	0.007	0.022	1
158614	G9IV-V	-4.99	С	0.002	0.006	2
161239	G2IIIb	-5.14	С	0.004	0.005	1
185144	K0V	-4.75	С	0.002	0.007	?
190007	K4	-4.59	V	0.007	0.010	1
		Cor	nparisor	n Stars		
13611	G6II-III		С	0.002	0.017	0
112989	G9IH		V	0.006	0.013	1
126426	F8V+G1V		V	0.004	0.018	1
129972	G8.5III		С	0.007	0.011	0
131511	K2V		V	0.003	?	0
160823	G0		С	0.004	0.005	2
160935	F5		С	0.003	0.007:	1
215427	K5		V	0.010	0.032	1

TABLE 8. Strongly Varying Stars

6. PHOTOMETRIC VARIABILITY AND CHROMOSPHERIC ACTIVITY

Figure 17 shows the seasonal values of the dispersion, s_{by} , for each of the program stars as a function of the chromospheric emission ratio log R'_{HK} . One symbol is plotted for each season's observations. Generally, the magnitude of var'ability each season is comparable, leading to the observed clustering of points on the diagram, and the conclusion that the amount of spot coverage may change only slowly with time. The G8IV-V program star HD82885 provides an example where the s_{by} values have showed a clear evolution: in four consecutive seasons, $s_{by} = 0.0066$, 0.0127 (with a rotational period determined), 0.0057, and 0.0035 mag. Obviously, it is important to determine if the changes in seasonal activity are in any way cyclic and if the intraseason variability can be related to the average brightness.



Figure 17. Intraseason standard deviation, s_{by} , as a function of the chromospheric emission ratio log R'_{HK} . Each star is plotted once for each season in which a variability decision could be made. (*open circles*) - constant, (*star*) - possibly variable, (*filled circles*) - variable.

In Figure 17, the onset of consistent intraseason activity occurs rather suddenly at a value of log $R'_{\rm HK} \sim -4.6$, about twice (in linear units) the solar value of -4.94. In this regard, the data seem rather significantly grouped: there is only one program star with log $R'_{\rm HK} > -4.6$ with an observed intraseason dispersion less than 0.003 mag. Below -4.6, the regime of the true solar analogs, the opposite holds: no star is more variable than about 0.004 mag. We note that the Sun itself, if observed in this manner, would be seen as quiescent, with a dispersion well under 0.001 mag.

Figure 18 shows the three- or four-year range of variation for the program stars as a function of the chromospheric emission ratio, $\log R'_{\rm HK}$. The error bar tails indicate the 95% confidence interval: if the error bar lies fully above zero, the star is considered variable. By this criterion, most of the program stars seem to be variable. Note the position of the Sun on the diagram, based on an estimated cyclic amplitude of 0.1%.

Unlike intraseason variabiliy, the ranges of interseason variation do not show a distinct grouping, except for the general rise with increasing log $R'_{\rm HK}$. In particular, among the "active" stars (log $R'_{\rm HK} > -4.6$), some stars maintain a very small range of observed long-term variation.



Figure 18. Interseason range of variation over four years plotted as a function of the chromospheric emission ratio log $R'_{\rm HK}$. The error bar "tails" indicate the 95% confidence interval for the range: if the bars lie above zero, the star is considered variable.

Intraseason and interseason variability are intimately related, at least among the program stars. Of the 16 program stars considered "strongly variable" because their four-year ranges exceed 0.005 mag, all but four have values of log $R'_{\rm HK} > -4.6$, and it is these four alone, those most like the Sun in terms of emission ratio, that fail to display intraseason variability as well. These four stars are HD120136 (F6IV), HD158614 (G9IV-V), HD161239 (G2IIIb—the sole giant), and HD190007 (K4).

7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To characterize the luminosity variations of stars similar to the Sun but coverent, a range of stellar age, we have obtained over 2,000 nightly observations of 36 program stars during the interval March 1984 through December 1987. Chromospheric activity in the program stars was previously monitored for a decade by O. C. Wilson, who discovered that many of the presumably old solar analog stars exhibited cycles of magnetic activity similar to that of the Sun. The program stars were selected to bracket the Sun in several observable quantities: (1) temperature, as suggested by B - V color and MK spectral type; (2) age, as suggested by atomic abundances and chromospheric activity; (3) rotation rate, as indicated by periodicities in the strength of chromospheric emission; (4) mass, as inferred from a variety of generally accepted astrophysical relationships; and (5) known cyclic behavior of magnetic activity. The stars were measured differentially at 472 and 551 nm with respect to two or three nearby comparison stars of similar brightness and color, with the aim of obtaining the most precise observations possible. Accordingly, we have devoted considerable attention to "quality control": all observations were made using a standard time-tested procedure that has built-in checks of consistency and precision and which produces data sets of equal statistical weight, unbiased with regard to *a priori* assumptions about which star(s) within groups may be variable. Though not obtained using a fully randomized design, the observations seem to be free of temporal artifacts and the results demonstrate a precision that exceeds the expectations of the original experimental objective.

The principal conclusions of this study are:

1. About one-half the program stars and one-found the comparison stars are demonstrably variable on intraseason time scales, as increated by (a) the magnitude of the night-to-night dispersion of differential magnitudes, (b) the correlation of pairs and trios of light curves having a variable star in common, (c) the repeated occurrence of large dispersions in two or more independent observing seasons, and (d) the ratio of dispersions in the two filter passbands, consistent with a "starspot" model of irradiance variation. The boundary between variable and nonvariable stars is about 0.003 mag (0.3%) rms in the cycle-to-cycle dispersion of averaged b and y differential magnitudes averaged together. The range of recorded variability is from 0.002-0.02 mag, with a median of 0.006 mag (compared with a median value of 0.002 mag for constant stars).

2. The incidence of intraseason variability is essentially independent of luminosity class--about 40% for classes I-III and IV-V alike--but is about twice as likely among K stars (63%) as among F and G stars, regardless of huminosity. However the amplitude of observed variation among the consistently variable stars is essentially independent of B - V color, even though it may arise from different physical phenomena, depending upon temperature and luminosity.

3. The determination of rotation periods is relatively more difficult from photometry than from the chromospheric HK index because the signal amplitudes are much smaller. Nonetheless, rotation periods were determined for nine stars, including three for which no HK rotation rates were available. For four stars, rotation periods were measured in at least two seasons, but the data are insufficient to tell if the season-to-season differences are significant.

4. Using principally an analysis of variance, most of the differential light curves exhibited statistically significant interseason variations with three- or four-year peakto-peak ranges from 0.002-0.05 mag (0.2-5%). With only four data points per light curve, a formal correlation analysis is premature; however, in many cases, simple inspection of the ensemble of light curves is sufficient to identify variable stars unambiguously. Twenty-four stars (including 16 of the 36 program stars) exhibited large enough ranges (>0.005 mag) in two or more light curves to indicate variability beyond reasonable doubt. Such large-range variability was relatively uncommon among the comparison stars, occurring in only 8 out of a total of 61 stars observed in three or more seasons. By usual standards, therefore, a great majority of comparison stars are stable enough to be used as standard stars for conventional photometry, even though they may be demonstrably variable by small amounts. Nearly all the stars that vary strongly on interseason time scales are consistently variable on intraseason time scales, with the notable exception of four program stars with near-solar values of log $R'_{\rm HK}$ (see below).

5. Variability of the program stars is a strong function of the chromospheric emission ratio $R'_{\rm HK}$, and it tends to repeat at about the same level from year to year. Consistent intraseason variability is observed in stars with emission ratios about twice the solar value and greater (log $R'_{\rm HK} > -4.6$). Interseason variability likewise is a strong function of emission ratio, but four stars with near-solar values of log $R'_{\rm HK}$ have shown total ranges greater than 0.005 mag, even though intraseason variability was absent.

6. The identification of cyclic behavior is not yet possible for program stars because the time base is insufficient. However, it is significant that of the 16 program stars exhibiting total four-year ranges greater than 0.005 mag, twelve of the light curves are consistent with cyclic variation: either they rise or fall monotonically, or they exhibit at most one extremum—i.e., "flickering" is rarely seen. This strongly suggests that further observation will reveal cyclic variation.

REFERENCES

Abbott, C. 1963. Smithsonian Misc. Collection 146, No. 3.

- Abt, H. A. 1981. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 45, 437.
- Abt, H. A. 1986. Astrophys. J. 309, 260.
- Argue, A. N. 1966. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 133, 475.
- Baize, P. 1985. Astron. Astrophys.. Suppl. 60, 333.
- Baliunas, S. L., Horne, J. H., Porter, A., Duncan, D. K., Frazer, J., Lanning, H., Misch, A., Mueller, J., Noyes, R. W., Soyumer, D., Vaughan, A. H., and Woodard, L. 1985. Astrophys. J. 294, 310.
- Baliunas, S. L., and Vaughan, A. H. 1985. Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. J. 23, 379.
- Baliunas, S. L., Vaughan, A. H., Hartmann, L. W., Middelkoop, F., Mihalas, D., Noyes, R. W., Preston, G. W., Frazer, J., and Lanning, H. 1983. Astrophys. J. 275, 752.
- Beavers, W. I., and Salzer, J. J. 1983. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 95, 79.
- Bidelman, W. P. 1983. Astron. J. 88, 1182.
- Blanco, C., Catalano, S., and Marilli, E. 1979. Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 36, 297.

Blazit, A., Bonneau, D., and Foy, R. 1987. Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 71, 57.

- Bohm-Vitense, E., and Johnson, H. R. 1985. Astrophys. J. 293, 288.
- Boyd, L. J., Genet, R. M., and Hall, D. S. 1984. IAU Inf. Bull. Var. Stars 2561, 2563.
- Boyd, L. J., Genet, R. M., and Hall, D. S. 1985. *IAU Inf. Bull. Var. Stars* 2680. Breger, M. 1969. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 19, 79.
- Campbell, B., and Cayrel, R. 1984. Astrophys. J. 283, L17.
- Cayrel de Strobel, G., Knowles, N., Hernandez, G., and Bentolila, C. 1981. Astron.

Astrophys. 94, 1.

- Chugainov, P. F. 1976. Izv. Krym. Astrofiz. 54, 89.
- Chugainov, P. F. 1980. Izv. Krym. Astrofiz. 61, 124.
- Cowley, A. P., and Bidelman, W. P. 1979. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 91, 83.
- Dickinson, R. E. 1987. In Solar Radiative Output Variations, P. Foukal, ed. (National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado), p. 49.
- Dorren, J. D., and Guinan, E. F. 1982. Astron. J. 87, 1546.
- Duquennoy, A., and Mayor, M. 1988. Astron. Astrophys. 195, 129.
- Eddy, J. A. 1976. Science 192, 1189.
- Eggen, O. J. 1973. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 85, 289.
- Eggen, O. J. 1985. Astron. J. 90, 1250.
- Fekel, F. C., Moffett, T. C., and Henry, G. W. 1986. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 60, 551.
- Fernie, J. D. 1986. Astrophys. J. 306, 642.
- Fitzgerald, M. P. 1970. Astron. Astrophys. 4, 234.
- Foukal, P., and Lean, J. 1987. In Solar Radiative Output Variations, P. Foukal, ed. (National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado), p. 323.
- Foukal, P., and Lean, J. 1988. Astrophys. J. 328, 347.
- Gomez, A. E. and Abt, H. A. 1982. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 94, 650.

Griffin, R. 1982. Observatory 102, 82.

- Guitierrez-Moreno, H., Stock, J., Torres, C., and Wroblewski, H. 1966. Publ. Cerro Calan 11, 1.
- Hardorp, J. 1978. Astron. Astrophys. 63, 383.
- Hardorp, J. 1982. Astron. Astrophys. 105, 120.
- Hartkopf, W. I., and McAlister, H. A. 1984. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 96, 105.
- Heintz, W. D. 1984. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 96, 557.
- Heintz, W. D. 1988. Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 72, 543.
- Hoyt, D. V., and Eddy, J. A. 1982. NCAR Tech Note TN-194+STR.
- Jerzykiewicz, M., and Serkowski, K. 1966. Lowell Obs. Bull. 6 (137), 295.
- Johnson, H. L., and Morgan, W. W. 1953. Astrophys. J. 117, 313.
- Joy, A. H., and Abt, H. A. 1974. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 28, 1.
- Kaitting, M. K. 1985. J. Amer. Assoc. Var. Star Obs. 14, 15.
- Keenan, P. C., and Pitts, R. E. 1980. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 42, 541.
- Khaliullin, KH., Mironov, Λ. V., and Moshkalyov, V. G. 1985. Ap. and Space Sci. 111, 291.
- Labitzke, K. 1987. Gcophys. Res. Lett. 14, 535.
- Lean J., and Foukal, P. 1988. Science 240, 906.
- Lockwood, G. W. 1983. In Solar System Photometry Handbook, R. Genet, ed. (Willmann-Bell, Richmond), Chapter 2, pp.2-1 to 2-19.
- Lockwood, G. W. 1984. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Improvements to Photometry, NASA Conference Publication 2350, W. A. Borucki and A. Young, eds. (NASA, Washington, D. C.), pp. 79-87.
- Lockwood, G. W., and Skiff, B. A. 1987. In Proceedings of the Workshop on Improvements to Photometry, NASA Conference Publication W. A. Borucki and A. Young, eds. (NASA, Washington, D. C.), in press.

Lockwood, G. W., and Thompson, D. T. 1986a. Science 234, 1543.

Lockwood, G. W., and Thompson, D. T. 1986b. Astron. J. 92, 976.

- Lockwood, G. W., Thompson, D. T., and Lumme, K. 1980. Astron. J. 85, 961.
- Lockwood, G. W., Thompson, D. T., Radick, R. R., Osborn, W. H., Baggett, W. E., Duncan, D. K., and Hartmann, L. W. 1984. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 96, 714.
- McAlister, H. A., Hartkopf, W. I., Gaston, B. J., Hendry, E. M., Fekel, F. C. 1984. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 54, 251.
- Middelkoop, F. 1982. Astron. Astrophys. 113, 1.
- Morbey, C. L., and Griffin, R. F. 1987. Astrophys. J. 317, 343.
- Neckel, H. 1986. Astron. Astrophys. 169, 194.
- Neff, J. S. 1968. Astron. J. 73, 75.
- Noyes, R. W., Hartmann, L. W., Baliunas, S. L., Duncan, D. K., and Vaughan, A. H. 1984. Astrophys. J. 279, 763.
- Perry, C. L., Olsen, E. H., and Crawford, D. L. 1987. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pacific 99, 1184.
- Radick, R. R., Thompson, D. T., Lockwood, G. W., Duncan, D. K., and Baggett, W. E. 1987. Astrophys. J. 321, 459.
- Radick, R. R., Wilkerson, M. S., Worden, S. P., Africano, J. L., Klimke, A., Ruden, S., Rogers, W., Armandroff, T. E., and Giampapa, M. S. 1983. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 95, 300.
- Ramanathan, V. 1988. Science 240, 293.
- Roach, F. E., and Gordon, J. L. 1973. The Light of the Night Sky (D. Reidel, Dordrecht), p. 11.
- Rufener, F. 1981. Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. 45, 207.
- Rybka, E. 1979. Astron. Acta 29, 177.
- Scargle, J. D. 1982. Astrophys. J. 263, 835.
- Schild, R. E. 1973. Astron. J. 78, 37.
- Simon, T. 1986. Astron. J. 91, 1233.
- Skiff, B. A., and Lockwood, G. W. 1986. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 98, 338.
- Soderblom, D. B. 1985. Astron. J. 90, 2103.
- Soderblom, D. B., and Clements, S. D. 1987. Astron. J. 93, 920.
- Strassmeier, K., and Hall, D. S. 1988. Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 67, 439. 339
- Tinsley, B. A. 1988. Geophys. Res. Lett. 15, 409.
- Vaughan, A. H. 1980. Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 92, 392.
- Vaughan, A. H., Baliunas, S. L., Middelkoop, F., Hartmann, L. W., Mihalas, D., Noyes, R. W., and Preston, G. W. 1981. Astrophys. J. 250, 276.
- White, N. M., and Feierman, B. H. 1987. Astron. J. 94, 751.
- Wilson, O. C. 1978. Astrophys. J. 226, 379.
- Wilson, O. C. 1982. Astrophys. J. 257, 179.
- Willson, R. C. 1982. J. Geophys. Res. 87, 4319.
- Willson, R. C. 1987. In Solar Radiative Output Variations, P. Foukal, ed. (National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado), p. 143.
- Willson, R. C., Gulkis, S., Janssen, M., Hudson, H. S., and Chapman, G. A. 1981. Science 211, 700.
- Willson, R. C., Hudson, H. S., Frohlich, C., and Brusa, R. W. 1986. Science 234, 1114.

Wolff, S. C., Boesgaard, A. M., and Simon, T. 1986. Astrophys. J. 310, 360.

Young, A., Mielbrecht, R. A., and Abt, H. A. 1987. Astrophys. J. 317, 787.

Young, A. T. 1974. Methods in Experimental Physics, Vol. 12, Part A, N. Carleton,

ed. (Academic Press, New York), Chapters 1 and 2.

TYPICAL RAW DATA FILE AS RECORDED AT THE TELESCOPE

Entries to the right of the colons in the eight-line header are system defaults and information provided by the observer. Additionally, remarks may be inserted into the data stream at any point and are keyed by the time of entry (this file happens to have none).

One data line is produced for each 10-second integration. The columns, respectively, are UT month, day, year, hour, minute, second, star identification (in this case 2, 1, 4, or 3), filter number $(2 = Sr^{90}$ source, 3 = y, 4 = b), whether the observation is a "star" or a "sky" (ST or SK), a numerical code for the same information, and, finally, the number of counts recorded.

The order of integrations is the same as indicated in §2.3 (page 17): Dark, std source, std source, sky, sky, star, star, star Note at 04:51:51 the filter is changed from y to b, and at 05:06:10 from b back to y. The total elapsed time for four cycles, y, b, b, y is 31 minutes, yielding a data collection efficiency of 75% (a remarkable feat for a manually slewed telescope!).

OBSERVER: BAS ON LVS099, 870328.Q02 PROGRAM: LVSSS.HD 82885 WEATHER: CLEAR > WEAK TROUGH, CALM, DARK COMMENTS: DIAPHRAGM 4, SEEING ~8" TEMPERATURE: 24F, BOX @ 69F TELESCOPE: 21 INCH INTEG. TIME:10 SEC S/N: 300 NPAUSS: 10 NPAUSB: 10

03 28 1987	04 43 06	2	2	SK	2	178
03 28 1987	04 43 19	2	2	ST	1	390983
03 28 1987	04 43 29	2	2	ST	1	389210
03 28 1987	04 43 41	2	3	SK	2	2098
03 28 1987	04 43 51	2	3	SK	2	2046
03 28 1987	04 44 08	2	3	ST	1	1706123
03 28 1987	04 44 18	2	3	ST	1	1702900
03 28 1987	04 44 28	2	3	ST	1	1699656
03 28 1987	04 44 55	1	3	ST	1	783740
03 28 1987	04 45 05	1	3	ST	1	784869
03 28 1987	04 45 15	1	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	783002
03 28 1987	04 45 27	1	3	SK	2	2123
03 28 1987	04 45 37	1	3	SK	2	2102
03 28 1987	04 45 54	1	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	784580
03 28 1987	04 46 04	1	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	783807
03 28 1987	04 46 14	1	3	ST	1	781933
03 28 1987	04 46 44	4	3	ST	1	196831
03 28 1987	04 46 54	4	3	ST	1	198792
03 28 1987	04 47 04	4	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	196888
03 28 1987	04 47 17	4	3	SK	2	1907
03 28 1987	04 47 27	4	3	SK	2	1895
03 28 1987	04 47 46	4	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	198000
03 28 1987	04 47 56	4	3	ST	1	197245
03 28 1987	04 48 07	4	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	197541
03 28 1987	04 48 34	3	3	ST	1	400397
03 28 1987	04 48 44	3	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	399742
03 28 1987	04 48 54	3	3	ST	1	399286
03 28 1987	04 49 06	3	3	SK	2	2059
03 28 1987	04 49 17	3	3	SK	2	2048
03 28 1987	04 49 34	3	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	401987
03 28 1987	04 49 44	3	3	ST	1	397263
03 28 1987	04 49 55	3	3	ST	1	398786

03 28 1987	04 50 21	2	3	ST	1	1696854
03 28 1987	04 50 31	2	3	ST	1	1702772
03 28 1987	04 50 41	2	3	ST	1	1701605
03 28 1987	04 50 55	2	3	SK	2	2041
03 28 1987	04 51 06	2	3	SK	2	2158
03 28 1987	04 51 19	2	4	SK	2	1487
03 28 1987	04 51 29	2	4	SK	2	1419
03 28 1987	04 51 48	2	4	ST	1	2103694
03 28 1987	04 51 59	2	4	ST	1	2114661
03 28 1987	04 52 09	2	4	ST	1	2114694
				6m		1010510
03 28 1987	04 52 34	1	4	ST	1	1040512
03 28 1987	04 52 44	1	4	ST	1	1044046
03 28 1987	04 52 54	1	4	ST	1	1038937
03 28 1987	04 53 06	1	4	SK	2	1354
03 28 1987	04 53 16	1	4	SK	2	1403
03 28 1987	04 53 37	1	4	\mathbf{ST}	1	1039723
03 28 1987	64 53 47	1	4	\mathbf{ST}	1	1040253
03 28 1987	04 53 57	1	4	ST	1	1041398
03 28 1987	04 54 24	4	4	ST	1	296813
03 28 1987	04 54 34	4	4	\mathbf{ST}	1	298029
03 28 1987	04 54 44	4	4	\mathbf{ST}	1	296944
03 28 1987	04 54 56	4	4	SK	2	1300
03 28 1987	04 55 06	4	4	SK	2	1322
03 28 1987	04 55 24	4	4	\mathbf{ST}	1	296049
03 28 1987	04 55 34	4	4	ST	1	296342
03 28 1987	04 55 44	4	4	ST	1	297123
03 28 1987	04 56 09	3	4	ST	1	646679
03 28 1987	04 56 19	3	4	ST	1	647020
03 28 1987	04 56 30	3	4	ST	1	647352
03 28 1987	04 56 41	3	4	SK	2	1354
03 28 1987	04 56 51	3	4	SK	2	1365
03 28 1987	04 57 11	3	4	ST	1	647989
03 28 1987	04 57 21	3	4	ST	1	644257
03 28 1987	04 57 31	3	4	ST	1	644874
03 28 1987	04 58 03	2	4	ST	1	2111821
03 28 1987	04 58 13	2	4	ST	1	2117788
03 28 1987	04 58 23	2	4	ST	1	2110270
03 28 1987	04 58 35	2	4	SK	2	1538
03 28 1987	04 58 45	2	4	ŠK	2	1399
03 28 1987	04 59 05	$\tilde{2}$	4	ST	1	2104792
03 28 1987	04 59 15	2	4	ST	1	2104374
03 28 1987	04 59 25	2	4	ŠT	1	2107944
					-	

- 95 -

03 28 1987	04 59 53	1	4	ST	1	1043625
03 28 1987	05 00 03	1	4	ST	1	1050818
03 28 1987	05 00 13	1	4	ST	1	1037219
03 28 1987	05 00 25	1	4	SK	2	1361
03 28 1987	05 00 35	1	4	SK	2	1357
03 28 1987	05 00 51	1	4	ST	1	1045662
03 28 1987	05 01 01	1	4	ST	1	1041850
03 28 1987	05 01 11	1	4	ST	1	1042583
	00 01 11	-	_			
03 28 1987	05 01 38	4	4	ST	1	297718
03 28 1987	05 01 48	4	4	\mathbf{ST}	1	296424
03 28 1987	$05 \ 01 \ 58$	4	4	ST	1	297957
03 28 1987	05 02 10	4	4	SK	2	1305
03 28 1987	05 02 20	4	4	SK	2	1262
03 28 1987	05 02 37	4	4	ST	1	296825
03 28 1987	05 02 47	4	4	ST	1	295665
03 28 1987	05 02 57	4	4	ST	1	295704
03 28 1087	05 03 94	3	4	ST	1	647121
03 28 1087	05 03 34	3	4	ST	1	645897
03 28 1987	05 03 44	3	4	ŠŤ	ĩ	643341
03 28 1087	05 03 56	3	4	ŠK	2	1417
03 28 1987	05 04 06	3	4	SK	2	1388
03 28 1087	05 04 23	3	4	ST	1	646031
03 28 1087	05 04 33	3	4	ŠT	ì	646196
03 28 1087	05 04 43	3	4	ST	1	644847
00 20 1001	00 01 10		•			
03 28 1987	05 05 13	2	4	ST	1	2102190
03 28 1987	$05 \ 05 \ 23$	2	4	ST	1	2113119
$03 \ 28 \ 1987$	05 05 33	2	4	ST	1	2112761
$03 \ 28 \ 1987$	05 05 46	2	4	SK	2	1485
$03 \ 28 \ 1987$	05 05 56	2	4	SK	2	1396
03 28 1987	05 06 10	2	3	SK	2	2065
03 28 1987	05 06 20	2	3	SK	2	2047
03 28 1987	05 06 39	2	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	1699506
03 28 1987	05 06 49	2	3	ST	1	1703262
03 28 1987	05 06 59	2	3	ST	1	1704188
03 28 1987	05 07 24	1	3	ST	1	786593
03 28 1987	05 07 35	1	3	ST	1	790224
03 28 1987	05 07 45	1	3	ST	1	783932
03 28 1987	05 07 57	1	3	SK	2	2028
03 28 1987	05 08 07	1	3	SK	2	2000
03 28 1987	05 08 26	1	3	ST	1	782534
03 28 1987	05 08 36	1	3	ST	1	782951
03 28 1987	05 08 46	1	3	ST	1	782128

03 28 1987	05 09 13	4	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	197812
03 28 1987	05 09 23	4	3	ST	1	198589
03 28 1987	05 09 33	4	3	ST	1	197407
03 28 1987	05 09 45	4	3	SK	2	1971
03 28 1987	05 09 55	4	3	SK	2	1923
03 28 1987	05 10 11	4	3	ST	1	197126
03 28 1987	05 10 21	4	3	ST	1	198257
03 28 1987	05 10 31	4	3	ST	1	195730
03 28 1987	05 11 02	3	3	ST	1	400082
03 28 1987	05 11 12	3	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	399779
03 28 1987	$05 \ 11 \ 22$	3	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	403006
03 28 1987	05 11 34	3	3	SK	2	2093
03 28 1987	05 11 44	3	3	SK	2	2173
03 28 1987	05 12 01	3	3	ST	1	401794
03 28 1987	05 12 11	3	3	ST	1	402532
$03 \ 28 \ 1987$	$05 \ 12 \ 22$	3	3	ST	1	400210
03 28 1987	05 12 51	2	3	ST	1	1700011
03 28 1987	05 13 01	2	3	ST	1	1701801
03 28 1987	05 13 11	2	3	\mathbf{ST}	1	1700465
03 28 1987	$05 \ 13 \ 23$	2	3	SK	2	2092
03 28 1987	$05 \ 13 \ 33$	2	3	SK	2	2158
03 28 1987	05 13 47	2	2	\mathbf{ST}	1	389807
03 28 1987	$05 \ 13 \ 57$	2	2	\mathbf{ST}	1	391184
03 28 1987	05 14 13	2	2	SK	2	166

- 97 -

REDUCED DATA OUTPUT, SAMPLE PRINTED FILE

On the first page, header information from the raw data file is repeated, amended to include input and output file names, the name of the file in which star positions are found, assumed value of extinction, and assumed positions of the stars in the group. The tabulation following gives the fractional time of day, an internal star identification number, filter number (S = standard source, 4 = y, 2 = b), instrumental magnitudes for each sequence of three 10-second integrations (corrected for sky background, pulse pair dead time, and atmospheric extinction), and in the final column an indication of outlying data points (if any).

The second page includes in the upper section a tabulation of the differential magnitudes and differential air masses for each pairwise combination and for each cycle of observation. These values are reported, cycle by cycle, to the stored output file named in the header on the previous page, and are used for further analysis.

Finally, a summary at the bottom of the page provides averaged differential magnitudes for that particular data set.

PHOTOMETRY OF HD 82885

RAW DATA FILE: 870328.Q02 "OSITION FILE: LVSSS.POS INPUT FILE: 870328.S04 (POUP IDENT.= 4 OUTPUT FILE: 870328.T04 EXTINCTION: KB= 0.230 KV= 0.360 KY= 0.170 OBSERVER: BAS ON 1/VS099, 870328.Q02 PROGRAM: LVSSS.HD 82885 WEATHER: COCAR > WEAK TROUGH, CALM, DARK COMMENTS: DIAPHRAGM 4, SEEING ~8" TEMPERATURE: 24F, BOX @ 69F

TELLSCOPE: 21 INCH

DATE/OFT	IME: 10 SEC		
	S/N:300	NPAUSS:10	NPAUSB:10
COORDIN	NATES OF O	BJECT 1	9 35.7 35 49
COORDIN	ATES OF O	BJECT 2	9 34.2 36 24
COORDIN	SATES OF O	BJECT 3	9 42.7 35 6
COORDIN	SATES OF U	BJECT 4	9 40.0 35 20

DATE (U.T.)						
1987 3 28						STAR- SKY
FRAC. DAY	*	F	D	A.M.	Ν	COUNT > 2 SIGMA FROM MEAN
	S		6.0204			
0.1974	2	4	4.2140	1.0003	3	
0.1980	1	-1	5.0930	1.0001	3	
0.1987	1	-1	5.0936	1.0002	3	
0.1992	4	4	6.6005	1.0000	3	
0.2000	4	4	6.6000	1.0000	3	
0.2005	3	4	5.8288	1.0000	3	
0.2012	3	-1	5.8300	1.0000	3	
0.2017	2	-1	4.2455	1.0008	3	
0.2028	2	2	3.9478	1.0010	3	
0.2033	1	2	4.7218	1.0008	3	
0.2040	1	2	4.7225	1.0009	3	
0.2046	4	2	6.0902	1.0004	3	
0.2053	4	2	6.0930	1.0005	3	
0.2058	3	2	5.2414	1.0003	3	
0.2065	3	2	5.2436	1.0004	3	
0.2071	2	2	3.9463	1.0022	3	

0.2078	2	2	3.9502	1.0024	3
0.2084	1	2	4.7186	1.0021	3
0.2090	1	2	4.7191	1.0023	3
0.2096	4	2	6.0895	1.0015	3
0.2103	4	2	6.0942	1.0017	3
0.2108	3	2	5.2439	1.0014	3
0.2115	3	2	5.2434	1.0015	3
0.2121	2	2	3 .9479	1.0041	3
0.2131	2	-1	4.2436	1.0046	3
0.2136	1	4	5.0880	1.0042	3
0.2143	1	4	5.0940	1.0045	3
0.2148	4	4	6.5978	1.0034	3
0.2155	4	4	6.6627	1.0037	3
0.2161	3	4	5.8253	1.0031	3
0.2168	3	4	5.8238	1.0034	3
0.2174	2	4	4.2443	1.0069	3
	S	_	6.0193		

DEADTIME CORRECTION: MAX.= -0.0116 MIN.= -0.0011 MAG.

DIFFERENTIAL MAGNITUDES FOR OUTPUT FILE 870328.T04

-2	-3	-4	
0.8486	-0.7361	-1.5069	
-0.0004	0.0001	0.0001	
	-1.5846	-2.3555	
	0.0006	0.0006	
		-0.7708	
		0.0000	
-2	-3	-4	
-2 0.7751	-3 -0.5203	-4 -1.3695	
-2 0.7751 -0.0008	-3 -0.5203 0.0005	-4 -1.3695 0.0004	
-2 0.7751 -0.0008	-3 -0.5203 0.0005 -1.2955	-4 -1.3695 0.0004 -2.1446	
-2 0.7751 -0.0008	-3 -0.5203 0.0005 -1.2955 0.0012	-4 -1.3695 0.0004 -2.1446 0.0011	
-2 0.7751 -0.0008	-3 -0.5203 0.0005 -1.2955 0.0012	-4 -1.3695 0.0004 -2.1446 0.0011 -0.8491	
	-2 0.8486 -0.0004	$\begin{array}{ccc} -2 & -3 \\ 0.8486 & -0.7361 \\ -0.0004 & 0.0001 \\ & -1.5846 \\ 0.0006 \end{array}$	
CYCLE=3 FILTER=2	-2	-3	-4
------------------------------	----------	---------	---------
STAR 1- , DAY= 28.2087 DMAG=	0.7698	-0.5248	-1.3730
DAIR=	-0.0010	0.0008	0.0006
STAR 2-, DAY= 28.2099 DMAG=		-1.2946	-2.1428
DAIR=		0.0018	0.0016
STAR 3-, DAY= 28.2112 DMAG=			-0.8482
DAIR=			-0.0002
CYCLE = 4 FILTER = 4	-2	-3	-4
STAR 1- , DAY= 28.2140 DMAG=	0.8470	-0.7336	-1.5093
DAIR=	-0.0014	0.0011	0.0008
STAR 2-, DAY= 28.2152 DMAG=		-1.5806	-2.3563
DAIR=		0.0024	0.0022
STAR 3- , DAY= 28.2165 DMAG=			-0.7757
DAIR=			-0.0002
AVERAGED DIFFEREN	TIAL MAG	NITUDES	

FILTER= 4 -2 -3 -4 STAR 1- , DAY= 28.2061 DMAG= 0.8478 -0.7348 -1.5081 STAR 2- , DAY= 28.2074 DMAG= -1.5826 -2.3559 STAR 3- , DAY= 28.2087 DMAG= -0.7733

AVERAGED DIFFERENTIAL MAGNITUDES

FILTER = 2	-2	-3	-4
STAR 1-, $DAY = 28.2062 DMAG =$	0.7725	-0.5226	-1.3712
STAR 2^{-} , DAY = 28.2014 DMAG = STAR 3^{-} , DAY = 28.2086 DMAG =		-1.2930	-0.8487

MIDTIME OF OBSERVATIONS WAS 1987 3 28.20746