

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Is REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Ib RESTRICTIVE MARCINGS UNCLASSIFIED 10 BESTRICTIVE MARCINGS 22 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 2) DESTRUUTION/AVAILABUTY OF REPORT 23 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 2) DESTRUUTION/AVAILABUTY OF REPORT 24 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY 2) DESTRUUTION/AVAILABUTY OF REPORT 25 DECLASSIFICATION REPORT NUMBERS) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 26 REPORTING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 26 REPORTING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS) 26 REPORTING ORGANIZATION 6b OFFICE SYMBOL 7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION 27 REPORTERENTLAL 25896-5248 7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION 28 NAME OF PROFENDING AND ATTIC AMERICAN 40 STRUCTORING ORGANIZATION 7b ADDRESS (GR), State, and ZIP Codel 28 NAME OF SUBONDORING 6b OFFICE SYMBOL 7b ADDRESS (GR), State, and ZIP Codel 7b ADDRESS (GR), State, and ZIP Codel 29 RESONAL AUTHORS) 5b OFFICE SYMBOL 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT 21 TITLE (Include Security Classification) 10 Jan 28 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS WORK UNIT 21 ADSTENDAL AUTHORS)	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No 0704-0188 Exp. Date. Jun 30, 1986	
UNCLASSIFIED J DiSTRIBUTION (AVAILABLUTY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 25 DECLASSIFICATION /ODWNGRADING SCHEDULE J DISTRIBUTION (AVAILABLUTY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. 4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) TR-RD-RE-88-4. S. MONTORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 5. ADDRESS(CR), State, and ZIP Code) E. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) Commander U.S. Army Missile Command, ATTN: AMSMI-RD-RE Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 B. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) S. NAME OF FUNDONS/FONDORING ORGANIZATION B. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) PROCEMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER RedStone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 S. NAME OF FUNDONS/FONDORING ORGANIZATION B. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) PROCEMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER REGGRAM Re. ADDRESS(CR), State, and ZIP Code) ID SUBCET ENACES PROCEMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER REGGRAM 11 TITLE (Include Security Costification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMACES ID SUBCET ENACES 12 PERSONAL AUTHORS() ADDRESS(CR), State, and ZIP Code) IS SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse of nectary and identify by Mock number) 11 TITLE (Include Security Costification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMACES IS SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse of nectary and identify by Mock number) 12 PERSONAL AUTHORS() ADID Jan SB IA DATI FROMENT (NOTATI	1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION		1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS			
2: SECURITY CLASSPECTION ADTHONY 1: DSTRUUTON AVAILABLITY OF REPORT 2: SECURITY CLASSPECTION REPORT NUMBERS: 2: DSTRUUTON CONNEGRADUES SCHEDULE 4 POPTOVEND CORAUXION REPORT NUMBERS: 3: SECURITY CLASSPECTION REPORT NUMBERS: 5: MONTORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS: 5: MONTORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS: 3: SEARCH STRUCTOR REPORT NUMBERS: 5: MONTORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBERS: 7: A NAME OF MONTORING ORGANIZATION Search Directorate ANSMI-RD-RE 7: ADDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code) 7: ADDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code) Commander 0: SCHERE'S YMBOL 7: ADDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code) 7: ADDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code) Readation Arrank State Command, ATTH: AMSMI-RD-RE 7: ADDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code) 7: ADDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code) 7: ADDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code) 0: GROWALAUTORISONNORING 8: OFFICE SYMBOL 7: ADDRESS (CR), State, and ZP Code) 1: THE (Indude Security Classification) DIG CLASS (CR), State, and ZP Code) 1: SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS 7: ADDRESS (CR), State, and	UNCLASSIFIED					
The DECLASSFICATION /DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. a PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) TR-RD-RE-88-4 S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) TR-RD-RE-88-4 as NAME OF PROFINING ORGANIZATION Research Directorate Commander 6b: OFFICE SYMPOL // APAKCHOI 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION // APAKCHOI // APAK	2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF REPORT			
a PEPERORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) TR=RD=RE=88-4 S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION S. MONITORING ORGANIZATION Research Directorate AMSMI = PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# sphickbid) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Research Directorate AMSMI = RD=RE AMSMI = RD=RE ADDRES (GV, Stare, and ZP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (GIN, Stare, and ZP Code) 7b. ADDRESS (GIN, Stare, and ZP Code) Commander U.S. Army Missile Command, ATTN: AMSMI=RD=RE 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# sphickbid) 9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (# sphickbid) 9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Sc. ADDRESS (GV, Stare, and ZP Code) 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROCUREMENT NO. NOE 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) 10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ACCESSION NO 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) 10 SOURCE OF EPURING NUMBERS PROGRAM NOE ACCESSION NO 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) 10 STREWT NO. FROM PROGRAM ACCESSION NO 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) 13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME COVERED To Jan 88 APRIL 1988 APRIL 1988 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDU	LE	Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			
TR-RD-RE-88-4 Sa NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Research Directorate Research Directorate Research Directorate ANSMI-RD-RE Ka DORSS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Commander U.S. Army Missile Command, ATTN: N.S. Army Missile Command, ATTN: MSMI-RD-RE Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 Sa NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSONING Bb: OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9: PADCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER CHADNESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10: SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS FROGRAM DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 11: THE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 12: PERFORM AUTHOR(S) Antil K. Juin (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 13: TYPE OF REPORT 14: TYPE OF REPORT 15: SUPPLY 16: SUPPLY 16: SUPPLY 17: COSAT: CODES 18: SUPPLY 19: ASTRACT (Contine on revers if necesary and identify by block number) 17: COSAT: CODES TS SUBECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necesary and identify by block number) <t< td=""><td>4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE</td><td>R(S)</td><td>5. MONITORING C</td><td>ORGANIZATION RE</td><td>PORT NU</td><td>IMBER(S)</td></t<>	4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE	R(S)	5. MONITORING C	ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NU	IMBER(S)
Ga NAME GF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Research Directorate Research Directorate Research Directorate Resourch Directorate (Mappleade) 7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION (Mappleade) 7b. ADDRESS(CIP, State. and ZIP Code) Commander U.S. Atmy Missile Command, ATTN: AMSMI-RD-RE Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 7b. ADDRESS(CIP, State. and ZIP Code) 7b. ADDRESS(CIP, State. and ZIP Code) B. ADDRESS(CIP, State. and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 7b. ADDRESS(CIP, State. and ZIP Code) B. ADDRESS(CIP, State. and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. B. ADDRESS(CIP, State. and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 11 THILE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 14. DATE OF REPORT FINAL 15b. NUE COVERD FINAL 14 DATE OF REPORT TASK APRIL 1988 15 SAGE COUNT APRIL 1988 17 COSATI CODES FIELD 115 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 48 17 COSATI CODES FIELD 115 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 48 17 COSATI CODES FIELD 115 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 48 18 SUBTEROUNDIN AVAILABILITY OF ASSTRACT Guident	TR-RD-RE-88-4					
Research Directorate Impactual Res, Dev, & Eng (RD&E) Center ANSHI-RD-RE 64. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) ANSHI-RD-RE 65. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) To: ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) 88. NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bb: OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 9: PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 86. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) 10: SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS NO. NO. 87. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) 10: SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS NO. NO. 87. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZP Code) 10: SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS NO. NO. 111 TILE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECILE IMAGES 11: SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 13: TYPE OF REPORT 13: SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14: SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 11: SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14: SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 11: SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14: SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)	6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION	6b. OFFICE SYMBOL	7a. NAME OF MC	NITORING ORGAN	IZATION	
Ress. Devy. a Lbg (RD&E) Center (ALSAIT-RD-RE) 75. ADDRESS(CRy, State, and ZIP Code) Commander 75. ADDRESS(CRy, State, and ZIP Code) Commander 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Sw AMM OF PRODONO(SPONSORING (# applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 9. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 9. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 9. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 9. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 9. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS If acts in a construction of action of a applicable in applicable in applicable in applicable in a construction of a state of a applicable in a construction of a applicable in applicable	Research Directorate					
ac ADDRESS (CD), Mate, MP 2000 10 bit Action of the action action of the action of the action action of the action action of the action actin action actin action action action action action actin	Kes, Dev, & Eng (KD&E) Center	AMSMI~RD-RE		Chate and 710 (inde)	
U.S. Army Missile Command, ATTN: AMSMI-RD-RE Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 8. AMM OF Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 8. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and Z/P Code) 8. ADDRESS (Chy, State, and Z/P Code) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER PROJECT TASK WORK UNIT LEMENT NO. NO. NO. C. TASK PROJECT TASK WORK UNIT TASK WORK UNIT 11 THLE (include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Anil K. Jain (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 7. Jan 28 14 DATE OF REPORT (Vew, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT Final 19. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 15. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 16. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. AdSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. AdSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was devel- oped and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of seve- ral digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20. OFFIGE NUMUNAL Charles R. Christensen 21. AMM OF AFSPONSIEC INDUCAL 22. BISAMP edition are built outer autority of ABSTRACT 23. AMM OF AFSPONSIEC NUMUNAL Charles R. Christensen 23. APP edition are obtened All other editions are observed. 24. AMM OF ALSONIA	Commander		70. ADDRESS (City	y, state, and zir C	.002)	
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248 Ba, NAME OF FUKDING, SPONSORING ORGANAZITON Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. Bc. ADDRESS (Ciry, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. TASK NO WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. TASK NO WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 11. STRUE OF REPORT Final TASK NOM WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) 13. TITLE (Include Security Classification) 15. PAGE COUNT FROM 16. DATE OF REPORT Final 15. PAGE COUNT FROM 16. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 16. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 16. digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was devel- homomorphic filter 10 block number) 18 Adigital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was devel- noped and verified by comparison with experi	U.S. Army Missile Command, ATTN:	AMSMI-RD-RE				:
Bas NAME OF FUNCING (SPONSORING ORGANIZATION Bb. OFFICE SYMBOL (# applicable) 9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER BC. ADDRESS (Gip, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROGRAM TASK WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) And IK. Jain (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 13. TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME COVERED FROM 14 Date OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 48 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 13. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14. Date OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 48 17. COSATI CODES (Gastri CoDES) 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14. Date of REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 48 17. COSATI CODES (Gastri CoDES) 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 11. Adstract Security Classification 19. Adstract (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14. Date of represental ly obtained imagery. The digital simulation was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was	Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898-5248	3				
ORGANIZATION (# applicable) Bc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. PROJECT NO Task NO WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO 11 TITLE (include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 13. TYPE OF REPORT Tab TIME COVERED FROM To Jan 88 14. Date Of REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 48 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 48 17 COSAT/ CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 48 17 COSAT/ CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 48 17 COSAT/ CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 48 17 COSAT/ CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 48 18 Adigital simulation of an image with speckle noise median filter wiener filter homomorphic filter spatial averaging 19 AASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 A digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. 10 momorphic Wiener filtering produced the best n	8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING	86. OFFICE SYMBOL	9. PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDE	NTIFICAT	ION NUMBER
BC: ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM, ELEMENT NO. PROJECT TASK NO. NO. NO. NO. 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES PROJECT TASK 12 PERSONAL AUTHORS) Anil K. Jain (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 15. PAGE COUNT 13a. TYPE OF REPORT TBS TIME COVERED TO Jan 88 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION TROM TO Jan 88 NAPRIL 1988 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 16. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. APRIL 1988 17 COSATI CODES 16. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 4.4 17 COSATI CODES 16. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 4.5 18 SUB-ECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 14. BASTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was devel- oped and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained im	ORGANIZATION	(If applicable)				
PROGRAM PROJECT TASK WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO. NO. NO. ACCESSION NO 11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES IACCESSION NO 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Anil K. Jain (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) IS. PAGE COUNT 13. TYPE OF REPORT IBD TIME COVERED TO Jan 88 I4. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) IS. PAGE COUNT Final FROM TO Jan 88 IA. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) IS. PAGE COUNT 14. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) APRIL 1988 48 17 COSATI CODES IS. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 48 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) speckle noise median filter Wener filter 19. Adigital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was devel-ral digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Mener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABUTY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED	8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	s	
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Anil K. Jain (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 13. TYPE OF REPORT 14. DATE OF REPORT 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of several digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVALABULTY OF ABSTRACT 21 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 220 DISTRIBUTION/AVALABULTY OF ABSTRACT 21 220 DISTRIBUTION/AVALABULTY OF ABSTRACT 21			PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Anil K. Jain (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 13b. TYPE OF REPORT 17 COSAT: CODES 16. SUBPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSAT: CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)			ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO	ACCESSION NO.
11 THE (Include Security Classification) DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Anil K, Jain (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED Final FROM 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 Adigital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of several digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT 21 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22 DIVECLASSIFIED 22 23 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 21<						
DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE IMAGES 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Anil K. Jain (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME COVERED Final 13b TIME COVERED Final 13b TIME COVERED 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSAT/ CODES 17 COSAT/ CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSAT/ CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 COSAT/ CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was devel- and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of several digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED 220 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT 21	11 TITLE (Include Security Classification)					
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Anil K. Jain (JMA, Inc.) and Charles R. Christensen (Research Directorate) 13a TYPE OF REPORT 13b TIME COVERED Final FROMTO_Jan 88 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT 48 48 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 48 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 GROUP SUB-GROUP 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of several digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT DIC USERS 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 220 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT DIC USERS 220 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULTY OF ABSTRACT DIC CUSERS 220 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABULTY OF	DIGITAL PROCESSING OF SPECKLE	IMAGES				
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED To Jan 88 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT Final FROM To Jan 88 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT APRIL 1988 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. SUB GROUP 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was develored and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of several digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 220 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 220 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABS	12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Anil K. Jain (JMA, Inc.) and (Charles R. Chris	tensen (Rese	arch Directo	orate)	
Final FROMTO_JAN 38 APRIL 1988 48 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 10. Supplementally of the special of the	13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME CO	OVERED	14. DATE OF REPO	RT (Year, Month, I	Day) 15	PAGE COUNT
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of several digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 21 ADSTRIED INDUMINATED INDUMOUAL 220 FILEPHONE (Include Area Code) 222 OFFICE SYMBOL 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDUVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDUVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDUVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22b OFFORM 1473, 84 MAR B3 APR edition may be used until exhausted SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete UNCLASSIFIED U	Final FROM	<u>TO Jan 88</u>	APRIL 1988			48
17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Sub-GROUP Sub-GROUP speckle noise median filter low pass filtering speckle noise median filter Wiener filter homomorphic filter spatial averaging 19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of several digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT DTIC USERS 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNULIMITED IS SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS UNCLASSIFIED 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Charles R. Christensen 220 STELEPHONE (include Area Code) 220 OFICE SYMBOL All other editions are obsolete. All other editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFIED MISMI-RD-RE	16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17 COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP digital processing linear filter low pass filtering gital processing Inear filter low pass filtering speckle noise median filter Wiener filter 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of several digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED XII SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Christensen 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL Charles R. Christensen 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED				··=		
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 21 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 220 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 DITC USERS 2	17. COSATI CODES	18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse sing line	e if necessary and ar filtor	identify	by block number)
20 Distribution / Availability of abstract 21 Abstract security classification 20 Distribution / Availability of abstract 21 Abstract security classification 20 Distribution / Availability of abstract 21 Abstract security classification 20 Distribution / Availability of abstract 21 Abstract security classification 20 Distribution / Availability of abstract 21 Abstract security classification 20 Distribution / Availability of abstract 21 Abstract security classification 20 Distribution / Availability of abstract 21 Abstract security classification 20 Distribution / Availability of abstract 21 Abstract security classification 21 NAME of RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 21 Abstract security classification 22a NAME of RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b Telephone (include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SymBol 22b Deform 1473, 84 Mar B3 APR edition may be used until exhausted Security classification of this page 226 Mi other editions are obsolete UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED	FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	speckle noise	medi	an filter	100 W12	ener filter
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was developed and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of several digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 12 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 221 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Charles R. Christensen 220 BI3 APR edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	┝──··· ─	homomorphic fi	lter spat	ial averagin	ng	
A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was devel- oped and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of seve- ral digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution. 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 12 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Charles R. Christensen 23 APR edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete 24 UNCLASSIFIED 25 ELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 26 CURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
A digital simulation of an image with speckle noise from coherent illumination was devel- oped and verified by comparison with experimentally obtained imagery. The digital simulation was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of seve- ral digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction. Homomorphic Wiener filtering produced the best noise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution.	is not received on reverse in necessary and locally by block humbery					
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 220 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 221 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 222 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 223 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 224 Charles R. Christensen 225 TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 226 DIFFER R. Christensen 230 APP edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete 210 KCLASSIFIED	A dicital cimulation of an	d	1			
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 23 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22 Charles R. Christensen 23 APR edition may be used until exhausted 24 AMAR	A digital simulation of an	image with speci	kle noise fro	om conerent	illumi	nation was devel-
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 20 UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 23 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Charles R. Christensen 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED 22c OFFICE SYMBOL (205) 876-3934 22b FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	was then used to obtain detection	n probability of	ally obtained	l imagery.	ine di	gital simulation
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 22 UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 22 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22 Charles R. Christensen 23 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete SECURITY CLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED UNCLASSIFIED	was then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate the utility of seve-					
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED Image of the security of	the best poise reduction with the least degradation in image resolution					
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 122 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 122 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Charles R. Christensen 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (205) 876-3934 22c OFFICE SYMBOL AMSMI-RD-RE DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	the best horse reduction with the reast degradation in image resolution.					
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 22 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 22 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Charles R. Christensen 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (205) 876-3934 22c OFFICE SYMBOL AMSMI-RD-RE DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED						
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 21 UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 22 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE 22c OFFICE SYME 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22c OFFICE SYMBOL 22c OFFICE SYMBOL						
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 22 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL Charles R. Christensen (205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete UNCLASSIFIED						
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 22 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL Charles R. Christensen (205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete UNCLASSIFIED						
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 22 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL Charles R. Christensen (205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete UNCLASSIFIED						
20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED 22 SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS 22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL Charles R. Christensen (205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete UNCLASSIFIED						
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL 22b TELEPHONE (include Area Code) 22c OFFICE SYMBOL Charles R. Christensen (205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE All other editions are obsolete UNCLASSIFIED	20 DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT		21. ABSTRACT SEC UNCLASSII	URITY CLASSIFICA	TION	
Charles R. Christensen (205) 876-3934 AMSMI-RD-RE DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL		226 TELEPHONE (I	nclude Area Code)	22c OF	FICE SYMBOL
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 APR edition may be used until exhausted. All other editions are obsolete. UNCLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	Charles R. Christensen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(205) 876	5-3934	AM	SMI-RD-RE
All other editions are obsolete. UNCLASSIFIED	DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR 83 API	Redition may be used un	til exhausted.	SECURITY C	LASSIFICA	TION OF THIS PAGE
i/(11 blank)		i/(ii hla	nk)	UNCLASS	IFIED	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	SPECKLE THEORY	2
	A. Fully Developed Speckle in the Presence of Coherent Background (Ricean Statistics)	4
	B. N-Look Averaging	5
III.	DIGITAL MODEL	7
IV.	MODEL VALIDATION: N-LOOK FILTER RESULTS	8
۷.	SPATIAL FILTERS FOR SPECKLE REDUCTION	11
REFER	 A. Spatial Averaging Filter	11 12 12 14 14 14 15 40



Accessory For NTIS CRA& DTIC TAR United J . . . -----...... . ------. , Dir t

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
1	Optical and digital test patterns	16
2	Histogram of the digital test pattern with fully developed speckle noise	17
3	Histogram of the digital test pattern with Ricean speckle noise, $\gamma = 6.46$	18
4	Digital N-look averaging of speckle	19
5	Optical N-look averaging of speckle	20
6	Contrast required to detect objects sixteen times the speckle size	21
7	Optical and digital simulations of Ricean speckle images	22
8	Spatial averaging applied to three types of filters	24
9	Homomorphic filtering	25
10	Conventional filtering	26
11	Frequency response of the low pass filter	27
12	Test pattern and speckle images, N = 1,2,4	28
13	Homomorphic low pass filtering, N = 1,2,4	29
14	Homomorphic spatial averaging filter, N = 1,2,4	30
15	Homomorphic Wiener filter, N = 1,2,4	31
16	Homomorphic median filter, N = 1,2,4	32
17	Comparison between various homomorphic filters, $N = 1$	33
18	Comparison between various homomorphic filters, $N = 2$	34
19	Comparison between various homomorphic filters, $N = 4$	35
20	Comparison of linear and homomorphic low pass filters, N = 1,2,4	36
21	Comparison of linear and homomorphic spatial averaging filters, N = 1,2,4	37

LIST OF FIGURES (Concluded)

Figure	Title	Page
22	Comparison of linear and homomorphic Wiener filters, N = 1,2,4	38
23	Comparison of linear and homomorphic median filters, N = 1,2,4	39

I. INTRODUCTION

Images obtained using coherent illumination contain a multiplicative noise component called speckle. The noise component is a result of interference between wavelets scattered from a surface whose roughness is on the order of the wavelength of the illumination. The statistical properties of this noise have been developed [1] and the phenomenon and its suppression have usen extensively studied [2].

The presence of speckle noise in an imaging system reduces the resolution capability of the system by as much as a factor of seven [3] and is particularly severe in imagery of low contrasts [4]. Thus the suppression of speckle noise is an important component of a coherent imaging system design. The design of suppression techniques can best be optimized by basing them on a detection theory. An excellent account of the detection theory for small high contrast objects in speckle noise has been given by Dainty [5].

For images in speckle, the resolution can be expected to improve if independent intensity patterns, or looks, are superimposed. This is due to the fact that the standard deviation of the mean of N-independent measurement sets is given by $\sigma = \sigma_1/(N)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ where σ_1 is the standard deviation of a single measurement set. The noise can also be reduced by averaging the fluctuations over some area A of uniform intensity in the image. If s is linear dimension of a speckle in the image plane, then the standard deviation should be reduced by a factor proportional to $s/A^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The procedures used to produce the final speckle smoothed image can be divided into four categories: 1) reduction in the temporal coherence of the illumination, 2) reduction in the spatial coherence of the illumination, 3) time averaging while moving the receiver or transmitter aperture, and 4) integrating adjacent pixels. These techniques trade off system bandwidth, recording time, or system resolution for signal-to-noise improvement.

An appealing option to the above "N-look" methods of speckle smoothing is to perform some type of digital processing technique in addition to or instead of N-look smoothing. Conventional imaging processing techniques have been developed for additive noise; however, if the log of the intensity is first obtained, then speckle noise becomes an additive noise [6] and conventional techniques can be applied [7].

In this paper a digital simulation of an image with speckle noise is developed and verified by comparison to experimentally obtained speckle imagery. The digital simulation is then used to obtain detection probability statistics and to evaluate various linear and nonlinear digital filtering techniques for speckle reduction.

II. SPECKLE THEORY

Radiation that has at least a partial degree of spatial and temporal coherence will exhibit speckle noise due to volume scattering while propagating through a medium such as the atmosphere or due to scattering from a rough surface. The physical origin of this noise is in the interference of many coherent wavelets that, because of the scattering, have traveled over different optical path lengths.

In free space propagation it is easy to see that many wavelets will contribute to the intensity at an observation point. In a perfect imaging system, diffraction causes the intensity at an image point to result from many wavelets.

To obtain speckle we require only that a large number of scattering events occur within the point spread function of the imaging system [1].

The electric field at any point (x,y) in our observation plane is, for a monochromatic wave

$$E = a(x, y)e^{i\omega t}$$

where a(x,y) is the complex amplitude of the field. For both free space propagation and imaging, the amplitude is given by the sum of amplitudes of wavelets

$$a(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |\alpha_k| \exp(i\phi_k) . \qquad (1)$$

To obtain the statistics of the electric field, we must solve the classical random walk problem [1,2]. In general, the statistics depend upon the coherence of the radiation and the statistics of the scattering surface or medium. For simplicity, we will assume that the radiation is coherent and linearly polarized. We will only consider speckle caused by scattering from a rough surface and will make those assumptions necessary to allow us to ignore the details of the scattering process [3]. The resulting statistics apply to speckle fields that were generated in this study.

The assumptions to be made are:

1. The scattering does not affect the polarization. To produce speckle in the laboratory, we rely upon multiple scattering in opal glass, which depolarizes the light. A polarizer is used in the recording of the speckle field to allow us to retain this assumption.

2. α_k and ϕ_k are statistically independent of each other and of all other α 's and ϕ 's. This assumption allows us to neglect the actual scattering event.

3. ϕ_k is uniformly distributed in the interval $-\pi$ to π . This assumption requires that the scattering surface be rough compared to a wavelength.

4. We will assume that the observation plane is in the far field. The statistics in the image plane are the same as the far field, provided there are a large number of independent scattering centers within the point spread function of the imaging system [1,2]. With these assumptions and for a value of N large enough to allow application of the central limit theorem, we can write speckle as a complex Gaussian field

$$a(x,y) = a_R(x,y) + i a_I(x,y)$$
 (2)

where a_{R} , a_{I} are zero mean Gaussian random variables (for each x,y) with variance

$$\sigma_{a}^{2} = E \begin{bmatrix} a_{R}^{2} \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} a_{I}^{2} \\ I \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3)

The speckle intensity is then, simply

$$s = s(x,y) = a_R^2(x,y) + a_I^2(x,y)$$
 (4a)

and phase

$$\theta = \theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \tan^{-1} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}$$
(4b)

The random variables θ and s are found to be independent with densities

$$p(s) = \frac{1}{2\sigma_{a}^{2}} \exp((-s/2\sigma_{a}^{2})), s \ge 0 , \qquad (5a)$$

$$p(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}, \ -\pi < \theta < \pi \quad (5b)$$

$$p(s,\theta) = p(s) p(\theta) . \qquad (5c)$$

The intensity at a point in the observation plane obeys negative exponential statistics and the phase obeys uniform statistics. Also the intensity and phase are statistically independent. We will call this fully-developed speckle.

The intensity is the parameter measured experimentally and thus of primary interest. The mean and variance of the intensity are given by

$$\mu_{s} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} E[s] = 2\sigma_{a}^{2} , (mean)$$
 (6a)

$$m_{2,s} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} E[s^{2}] = 2\mu_{s}^{2}$$
, (second moment) (6b)

$$\sigma_{\rm s}^2 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} {\rm E} \left[({\rm s} - \mu_{\rm s})^2 \right] = \mu_{\rm s}^2 , \text{ (variance)} \tag{6c}$$

The contrast ratio (a measure of signal to noise) is defined as

$$C_{r} = \frac{\text{standard deviation}}{\text{mean}}$$
 (7)

For fully developed speckle, $C_r = 1$ regardless of the signal strength. Thus, speckle is a signal dependent on multiplicative noise. This is in contrast to images with additive noise where a change in total light level can affect the apparent signal to noise [4].

A. Fully Developed Speckle in the Presence of Coherent Background (Ricean Statistics)

The effect of coherently adding a uniform intensity to the speckle intensity is of more than pedantic interest. If the assumption that the surface roughness is greater than a wavelength no longer can be made, then the resulting speckle pattern can be analyzed by assuming that it consists of a uniform field coherently added to a speckle field [1,2]. This would correspond to scattering from a slightly rough object with the uniform field corresponding to a specular reflection and the speckle field corresponding to diffuse scattering. We would expect to encounter this mixed beam case more often than the fully developed case (for example, holography).

In this case the speckle complex amplitude contains a constant phasor so that

$$a = a_{s} + a_{R} + j a_{I} , \qquad (8)$$

 a_s = constant with a fixed phase angle (zero phase angle can be assumed).

Let $a_s^2 = s_0$. Then

$$\hat{s} = (a_s + a_R)^2 + a_I^2$$

= $s_0 + s + 2a_s a_R$. (9)

The statistics of \hat{s} are given as follows:

Joint Density

$$p(\hat{s},\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4\pi\sigma^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\hat{s}+s_0-2\sqrt{\hat{s}s_0}\cos\theta}{2\sigma_a^2}\right), s \ge 0, -\pi \le \theta \le n \\ 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(10)
$$\frac{\text{Marginal Density}}{2\sigma_a} \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_a}\right) = \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma_a^2}\right) = \left(-$$

$$p(\hat{s}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu_{s}} \exp\left(-\frac{\hat{s} + s_{0}}{\mu_{s}}\right) & I_{0}\left(2\sqrt{s \ \hat{s}_{0}}/\mu_{s}\right), s \ge 0\\ 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(11)

 $I_0(.)$ = zero order modified Bessel function of first kind.

Mean

$$\mu_{s}^{*} = E[s] = E[s] + s_{0} + 2E [a_{s}a_{R}]$$
$$= E[s] + s_{0} + 2E [a_{s}] E [a_{R}]$$
$$= E[s] + s_{0} = \mu_{s} + s_{0} . \qquad (12)$$

Second Moment

$$E[(\hat{s})^2] = 2\mu_s^2 + 4\mu_s s_0 + s_0^2 . \qquad (13)$$

Variance

$$\sigma_{s}^{2} = \mu_{s}^{2}(1 + 2r), \text{ where } r = \text{beam ratio} = s_{0}/\mu_{s}$$
(14)

The contrast ratio is given by

$$C_r = \sqrt{1 + 2r/(1 + r)}$$
 (15)

For large values of r

$$C_{\mathbf{r}} \cong \sqrt{2/\mathbf{r}} \tag{16}$$

B. N-Look Averaging

One method used to reduce speckle noise is the intensity addition of N speckle patterns

$$N_{k \ge 1} = \sum_{k \ge 1} s_k$$
 (17)

If each speckle field s_k is statistically independent of all the other N-l fields, and all the average intensities μ_k are distinct, i.e., $\mu_k \neq \mu_\ell$ if $k \neq \ell$, then the probability density is

$$p(s) = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ p \neq k}}^{N} \frac{\frac{N-2}{\mu_k}}{\pi (\mu_k - \mu_p) \delta_{k,p}} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{\mu_k}\right), s \ge 0$$
(18)

$$p(s) = 0$$
, otherwise.

If the average intensity is the same for all N fields [1], i.e., μ_k = μ_0 for k = 1,...,N, then

$$p(s) = \frac{s^{N-1}}{(N-1)! \mu_0^N} \exp\left(-\frac{s}{\mu_0}\right) , s \ge 0$$
$$= 0 , s \ge 0 .$$
(19)

The average value of intensity, the variance and the contrast ratio may be calculated from this probability density function giving

$$\langle s \rangle = \mu_0$$
 (20)

$$\langle s^2 \rangle = (1 + 1/N) \mu_0^2$$
 (21)

$$\sigma^2 = \mu_0^2 / N \tag{22}$$

$$\gamma = 1/\sqrt{N} . \tag{23}$$

III. DIGITAL MODEL

For our initial experiments, a very simple test pattern was selected. This test pattern consists of a 64 x 192 array of pixels which contain square cells of sizes 1×1 , 2×2 , 4×4 , 8×8 , and 16×16 at eight different intensities. This pattern is similar to a chart developed by Rose[8] f : experiments in human vision. This type of pattern was also used in a previous investigation of speckle noise[9]. Figure 1 shows the optical and digital test patterns

If we let

v(x,y) = image intensity at x,y T(x,y) = object transmittance (or reflectivity) at x,y

then

$$v(x,y) = T(x,y) s(x,y)$$
 (24)

is the speckle image model in the simplest case with no receiver noise.

To generate the speckle noise intensity s(x,y) for fully developed speckle, we first generate a pseudo-random pair of Gaussian random variables, then calculate their magnitude squared. This algorithm, repeated for every point in the image field, will produce a speckle noise field. One hundred twenty eight speckle noise fields 64 x 192 pixels in size were digitally generated.

In addition to the noise fields with fully developed speckle, eight frames of size 64 x 192 that contain speckle noise with Ricean statistics were generated. The beam ratios selected were 0, 2.41, 6.46, 14.48, 30.49, 62.5, 126.5 and 254.5. These beam ratios were selected to provide the same beam ratio C_r as occurs in N-look averaging for N=1, 2, 4, ..., 128.

In the more general case of spatially invariant diffraction limited imaging geometry, the observed image is given by first finding the amplitude field in the imaging plane as

 $A(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-\zeta, y-\eta) \alpha(\zeta,\eta) d\zeta d\eta$ (25)

where $\alpha(\zeta,n)$ is the complex amplitude distribution of the object. Then the image intensity is given by

$$v(x,y) = |A(x,y)|^2 + n(x,y)$$
 (26)

where n(x,y) represents the additive detector noise.

IV. MODEL VALIDATION: N-LOOK FILTER RESULTS

Figure 2 shows the histogram of the digital test pattern in the presence of fully developed speckle. This histogram represents the convolution of the test pattern intensity distribution with the speckle intensity distribution given by (5a). Figure 3 shows the histogram of the Ricean speckled test pattern for $\gamma = 6.46$ (Note that $\gamma = 0$ would be the same as fully developed speckle).

To validate the digital speckle models we compare the N-look, linear temporal averaging filter outputs of digital and optical experiments. Let

$$v_k(x,y) = T(x,y) s_k(x,y)$$
 (27)

where $k = 1, \ldots, N$ and v_k represents the k^{th} image of the object. Then

$$E[v_k] = TE[s_k] - T\mu_s \quad . \tag{28}$$

This suggests

$$T_{N}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\mu_{s}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_{k}(x,y)$$
(29)

as an estimate of T(x,y). This is a point by point (i.e., for each x,y) average of N independent samples of a random variable. Therefore,

$$E[\hat{T}_{N}] = \frac{1}{\mu_{s}^{2}} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 & N \\ \overline{N} & \Sigma \\ k=1 \end{array} \right\} E[v_{k}] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} = T \end{array} \right\}$$
(30)

which means T_N is an unbiased estimate of T. Using a probability model such as (19) for \hat{T}_N , it is quite easy to show that it is the maximum likelihood estimate of T given $v_k(x,y)$, $k=1,\ldots,N$. Also,

$$E[T_{N}^{2}] = \frac{1}{\mu_{s}^{2}} \cdot \frac{T^{2}}{N^{2}} \sum_{k, \ell=1}^{N} E[s_{k}s_{\ell}] . \qquad (31)$$

Since s_k and s_g are independent from (6b) we get

$$E[s_k s_{\ell}] = \begin{cases} 2\mu_s^2 & k = \ell \\ \\ \mu_s^2 & k \neq \ell \end{cases}.$$
(32)

This gives

$$E[\hat{T}_{N}^{2}] = \frac{T^{2}}{N} + T^{2} . \qquad (33)$$

From (33) we have

$$var[\hat{T}_N] = E[\hat{T}_N - E(\hat{T}_N)]^2 = \frac{T^2}{N}$$
 (34)

Therefore the contrast ratio is

$$\gamma = \frac{T}{\sqrt{N}} \cdot \frac{1}{T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \quad . \tag{35}$$

Thus the contrast should improve by \sqrt{N} as the number of looks, N is increased.

The above N look digital filter was applied to a sequence of 128 computer simulated speckle images of the digital test pattern of Figure 1. Filtered outputs for N=1,2,4,8,16,32,64 and 128 were produced (see Figure 4). Output images were displayed on a high quality TV monitor and photographs were made for evaluation by a set of observers. The observers made independent evaluations of the minimum detectable contrast as a function of the object size for the digital imagery and for optical images produced (see Figure 5 [4] using the test pattern in Figure 1). Figure 6 [10] shows the comparison between the optical and digital speckle experiments for minimum detectable contrast for objects whose size (area) is about sixteen times the speckle size. The results show agreement between the two experiments except for the effects due to the optical transfer function. The reader can perform his own evaluation by comparing the digitally produced data shown in Figure 4 with the optically produced data in Figure 5. The effect of the optical transfer function can be seen in the N-128 case in Figure 5 where the low contrast elements of rows 3 and 4 are not visible. To verify the Ricean speckle model, one needs to compare the digital and optical Ricean speckle images at various beam ratios. It has been established earlier[4] that a Ricean speckle with beam ratio r and an N-look temporarily averaged speckle have the same contrast when

$$\frac{\sqrt{1+2r}}{1+r} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$$
or $r = N + \sqrt{N^2 - N} - 1$ (36)

Thus Ricean speckle at r = 0, 2.41, 6.46, 14.48, 30.49, 62.5, 126.5 and 254.5 corresponds to the N-look averaged speckle for N = 1,2,4,8,16,32,64 and 128 respectively. Figure 7[10] shows the digitally and optically produced Ricean speckle images respectively. An agreement consistent with Figure 6 was obtained in this case also.

The agreement obtained between the optical and digital imagery validates the use of the digital model in speckle noise experiments involving human observers.

Nonlinear Temporal Filters

It is difficult to accomplish nonlinear filtering of speckle noise in an optical system. However, in a digital system it is quite easy to produce non-linear filters. Two nonlinear filters that were simple to implement digitally were selected for the initial experiments.

Square Root Filter

From Eq. (27) we can write

$$v_k^{\frac{1}{2}} = T^{\frac{1}{2}} s_k^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (37)

or

$$T^{\frac{1}{2}} = E[v_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}] / E[s_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}]$$
(38)

which suggests

$$\hat{F}_{N}(x,y) = \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x,y) / E[s_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}] \right\}^{2}$$
$$= \frac{16}{\mu_{s}^{\pi}} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_{k}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x,y) \right]^{2} .$$
(39)

Squaring Filter

Also from Eq. (27)

$$v_k^2 = T^2 s_k^2$$
 (40)

which gives

$$T = \left\{ E[v_k^2] / E[s_k^2] \right\}^{1/2}$$
(41)

and

$$N_{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \mu_{s}} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} v_{k}^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
(42)

as another estimate of T.

These nonlinear filters did not produce a measurable change in the minimum detectable contrast compared to the linear temporal filter. Observers did comment however that the noise in the squaring filter data appeared less objectionable. Experimental results using these filters are shown together with spatial averaging results of the next section.

V. SPATIAL FILTERS FOR SPECKLE REDUCTION

The results of the N-look temporal averaging method show that a large number of looks (N > 8) may be required to detect objects which have small size and/or low contrast. Spatial filtering of speckle images offers a means of speckle noise reduction when the number of available looks is not very large. We consider several simple linear spatial filters.

A. Spatial Averaging Filter

A standard technique used to reduce speckle noise in synthetic aperture radar systems is to integrate the intensity values of adjacent pixels. This type of speckle filtering is digitally produced very easily in the following fashion. Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k=1}}^{N} \mathbf{v}_{k}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$$
(43)

and define a spatial average \boldsymbol{v}_N as

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}}_{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{2} [\mathbf{v}_{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) + \frac{1}{4} \{\mathbf{v}_{N}(\mathbf{x} - \Delta,\mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{v}_{N}(\mathbf{x} + \Delta,\mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{v}_{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y} - \Delta) + \mathbf{v}_{N}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y} + \Delta)\}] .$$

$$(44)$$

For digital images x,y are integer indices and $\Delta = 1$. From Eqs. (27) and (43) we would have

$$\hat{T}_{N}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\mu_{S}} \overline{v}_{N}(x,y)$$
(45)

if T(x,y) is almost constant over the averaging window. In general, the size of the window will govern the tradeoff between resolution and speckle noise suppression. In these experiments, the spatial filter improved object recognition for the larger objects with an accompanying reduction in resolution. The spatial filter was combined with each of the other filters (linear, square, and square root) and the results are shown in Figure 8. The minimum detectable contrast of large objects for a linear temporal averaging of N with spatial averaging is approximately equal to the same minimum detectable contrast for a linear temporal averaging of 2N without spatial averaging. Figure 8 shows that, as was the case without spatial filtering, the nonlinear filters produce no visible improvement.

3. Homomorphic Filtering for Speckle Reduction

Since speckled images involve multiplicative noise, linear filtering methods may not be employed arbitrarily. Consider an N-look averaged speckle image given as

$$\hat{V}_{N}(x,y) = T(x,y) \ \hat{S}_{N}(x,y) \ , \ T \ge 0 \ , \ \hat{S} \ge 0$$
 (46)

where $S_N(x,y)$ represents the speckle noise after averaging over N looks. Taking the logarithm of both sides of (46) we can write

$$W_N(x,y) = Z(x,y) + \eta_N(x,y)$$
 (47)

where

$$W_{N}(x,y) = \log V_{N}(x,y)$$
$$Z(x,y) = \log T(x,y)$$
$$n_{N}(x,y) = \log \hat{S}_{N}(x,y)$$

Eq. (47) now represents observations $W_N(x,y)$ of a signal Z(x,y) in the presence of additive noise n(x,y). It has been shown by Arsenault[11] that for $N \ge 2$, the additive noise may be modeled reasonably well by a Gaussian density function. Regardless of the probability distribution of n_N , one can design easily optimum linear filters to estimate Z(x,y) from $W_N(x,y)$. Figure 9 shows the block diagram of the overall filter algorithm. This filter is also called a homomorphic filter. We shall compare this filter with the conventional filtering method shown in Figure 10. Here, we use the same filter as in homomorphic filtering, but logarithmic and exponential operations are deleted. The following filters are considered.

C. Low Pass Filter (F1)

An ad-hoc digital low pass filter was designed to filter the noise. The frequency response of this filter is given by

$$H(\omega_{x}, \omega_{y}) = h(\omega_{x}) h(\omega_{y})$$
(48)

where $\omega_{\rm X}$ and $\omega_{\rm y}$ are the spatial frequency variables in the Fourier transform domain of the sampled images. Figure 11 shows the normalized frequency response h(ω). The passband is chosen to be $\left[-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right]$ and the transition width to be $\frac{\pi}{64}$. The filter was implemented via the discrete Fourier transformation of the speckle image.

D. Wiener Filter (F2)

For a signal with additive noise, the frequency response of the optimum linear mean square filter (called the Wiener filter) is given by

$$G(\omega_{\mathbf{x}}, \omega_{\mathbf{y}}) = S_{Z}(\omega_{\mathbf{x}}, \omega_{\mathbf{y}}) / (S_{Z}(\omega_{\mathbf{x}}, \omega_{\mathbf{y}}) + S_{\eta}(\omega_{\mathbf{x}}, \omega_{\mathbf{y}}))$$
(49)

where S_Z and S_N denote the spectral density functions of the signal and noise processes. Since in our digital simulations the speckle size was chosen to coincide with the sampling grid size, the fully developed speckle field is independent from pixel to pixel. Hence, $n_N(x,y)$ can be considered as a white noise field of mean μ_n and μ_Z , the mean value of Z to be zero by subtracting the sample mean μ_W of the observation field from each observation $W_N(x,y)$. Hence, for zero mean data

$$S_{\eta}(\omega_{x}, \omega_{y}) = \sigma_{\eta}^{2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}, N = 1\\ \\ \\ \frac{1}{N}, N \geq 2 \end{cases}$$
(50)

where we have used the result of Arsenault[11] to evaluate σ_{η}^2 . To estimate the spectral density of the signal Z(x,y) we assume its covariance function is exponentially decreasing in each dimension, i.e.,

$$Cov[Z(x,y)] = E[(Z(x',y') - \mu_Z)(Z(x+x',y+y') - \mu_Z)]$$

= $\sigma_Z^2 \rho_x |x| |y|$
(51)

where $0 \leq |\rho| \leq 1$. For zero mean observation $W_N(x,y)$, the parameters ρ_x , ρ_y can be estimated as

$$\rho_{\mathbf{X}} \simeq \sum_{\mathbf{X}} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} W(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) W(\mathbf{x}+1, \mathbf{y}) / (\sum_{\mathbf{X}} \sum_{\mathbf{y}} (W(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))^2)$$
(52a)

$$\rho_{y} \simeq \sum_{x y} \sum_{y} W(x,y) W(x,y+1) / (\sum_{x y} \Sigma(W(x,y))^{2})$$
(52b)

The variance σ_Z^2 is estimated from σ_W^2 the sample variance of W(x,y) as

$$\sigma_{\rm Z}^2 = \sigma_{\rm W}^2 - \sigma_{\rm \eta}^2$$

Note that this model does not require the a priori knowledge of the object covariance since all the measurements are made on the available data. Experimentally, this model was found to be satisfactory although a better covariance model would be ultimately desirable. The object spectral density function corresponding to the foregoing covariance model is simply given by its Fourier transform as

$$S_{Z}(\omega_{\mathbf{x}}, \omega_{\mathbf{y}}) = \beta^{2} \left[(1 - 2\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \cos \omega_{\mathbf{x}} ((1 - 2\alpha_{\mathbf{y}} \cos \omega_{\mathbf{y}}))^{-1} \right]$$
(53)

where

$$\beta^{2} = \sigma_{Z}^{2} (1 - \rho_{X}^{2})(1 - \rho_{y}^{2})/(1 + \rho_{X}^{2})(1 + \rho_{y}^{2})$$
$$\alpha_{X} = \rho_{X}/(1 + \rho_{X}^{2}) , \ \alpha_{y} = \rho_{y}/(1 + \rho_{y}^{2})$$

Given S_Z and S_y , the Wiener filter design is complete. It is implemented via the discrete Fourier transform of the sampled image as follows:

1. Take the logarithm of the image $\hat{V}_N(x,y)$ to obtain $W_N(x,y)$.

2. Find the sample mean of $\mathtt{W}_N(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y})$ and subtract from each pixel value.

3. Calculate ρ_x , ρ_y , σ_z^2 and the Wiener filter frequency response.

4. Take the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) of the image (of appropriate size). In the present case (see examples in paragraph G below) a 256x256 transform was adequate.

5. Multiply the image DFT with the Wiener filter gain sampled at appropriate frequencies.

6. Take inverse DFT, exponentiate and scale the image appropriately for display.

E. Spatial Averaging Filter (F3)

The homomorphic spatial filter is implemented by spatial averaging of $W_N(x,y)$ according to (44).

F. Median Filter (F4)

Here a spatial window of suitable size (3x3 here) is chosen. We slide this window on the image field $W_N(x,y)$ and for each position, replace the pixel value at the center of the window by the median value of all the pixels lying in the window.

G. Examples and Comparisons

The foregoing filters (Fl to F4) were implemented digitally both in the homomorphic mode and in the conventional mode. In the homomorphic mode, the logarithmically transformed image is the input to a spatial filter and its output is exponentiated (Fig 9). In the conventional mode, the logarithmic and the exponential transformation are deleted. The spatial filters, once designed, are kept the same in either mode.

Figure 12 shows the test pattern used and the corresponding N-look averaged speckle images for N=1,2,4 that are available for digital processing. Figures 13 to 16 show the results of applying homomorphic filters F1 to F4 to these images. These results show that filters F1, F2 and F3 tend to smooth the speckle. The median filter performs some smoothing but distorts smaller objects. In a detection experiment this could cause high false alarm rates. This is not completely surprising because the median filter is known to perform well on binary noise whereas presently (in the homomorphic mode) the noise is nearly Gaussian.

Figures 17 to 19 compare the performances of the various homomorphic filters. Since the noise is additive and nearly Gaussian as expected, we find the Wiener filter to give the best performance. The low pass filter tends to oversmooth and somewhat distort the size and shape of the objects. The median filter affects most, the resolution of objects to which are close to the size of the median filter window. It is notable that both the Wiener and Spatial averaging filters are able to resolve objects of smaller size (see the fourth and the fifth rows).

Finally, we compare the conventional vs homomorphic filters in Figures 20 to 23. For larger objects (low resolution), the performance of the linear and homomorphic filters is nearly equivalent. However for smaller size objects (rows 3, 4, and 5) i.e., at higher resolution, the homomorphic filters definitely seem to perform better. This is particularly noticeable for the Wiener and Spatial averaging filters (Figures 21, 22).

H. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing experiments we conclude the following:

1. Homomorphic filtering has a definite advantage over straightforward linear filtering for speckle reduction.

2. The homomorphic Wiener filter yields most favorable results in terms of resolution vs noise reduction. More accurate object spectral density models could be useful in the design of Wiener filters.



Figure 1. Optical and digital test patterns.







Figure 4. Digital N-look averaging of speckle.

ł





Figure 6. Contrast required to detect objects sixteen times the speckle size. [10]







Figure 8. Spatial averaging applied to three types of filters.



Figure 9. Homomorphic filtering.



Figure 10. Conventional filtering.









Figure 13. Homomorphic low pass filtering, N = 1,2,4.



N : 1



Figure 15. Homomorphic Wiener filter, N = 1,2,4.

N : 1



1 : N



Figure 17. Comparison between various homomorphic filters, N = 1

FILTERING AFTER LOGARITHMIC TRANSFORMATION 2-LOOK AVERAGED IMAGE



Figure 18. Comparison between various homomorphic filters, N = 2.

FILTERING AFTER LOGARITHMIC TRANSFORMATION 4-LOOK AVERAGED IMAGE







N





WIENER FILTER

1 : N

2

4



MEDIAN FILTER

z

2

REFERENCES

- J. W. Goodman, "Statistical Properties of Laser Speckle Patterns," <u>Laser</u> <u>Speckle and Related Phenomena</u>, ed. J. C. Dainty (New York: Springer-Verlag, 1975).
- 2. J. Opt. Soc. Am. 66, No. 11 (Nov. 1976). The entire issue is devoted to "Speckle in Optics."
- 3. A. Kozma and C. R. Christensen, "The Effects of Speckle on Resolution," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 66 (1976), 1257-1260.
- 4. N. George, C. R. Christensen, J. S. Bennett, and B. D. Guenther, "Speckle Noise in Displays," J. Opt. Soc. Am. 66, (1976), 1282-1290.
- 5. J. C. Dainty, "Detection of Images Immersed in Speckle Noise," Opt. Octa. 18 (1971), 327-339.
- H. Kato and J. W. Goodman, "Nonlinear filtering in coherent optical systems through halftone screen processes," <u>Appl. Optics 14</u>, (1975), 1813-1824.
- 7. A. Tai, T. Cheng and F. T. S. Yu, "Optical logarithmic filtering using inherent film nonlinearity," Applied Optics 16 (1977) p. 2559-
- 8. A. Rose, Vision, Human and Electronic (New York: Plenum Press) 1974.
- B. D. Guenther, C. R. Christensen and A. K. Jain, "Digital Processing of Speckle Images," <u>Proc. IEEE Conf. Pattern Recognition and Image Proces</u>sing, 178 CH 1318-5C1, pp. 85-90, 1978.
- C. R. Christensen, B. D. Guenther, J. S. Bennett, A. K. Jain, N. George and A. Kozma, "Object Detectability in Speckle Noise," <u>Proc. SPIE Int.</u> Conf. on Lasers, Orlando, FL, Dec 1978.
- H. H. Arsenault and G. April, "Properties of Speckle Integrated with a Finite Aperture and Logarithmically Transformed," <u>J. Opt. Soc. Am.</u>, Vol. 66, pp. 1160-1163, 1976.
- 12. A. K. Jain and C. R. Christensen, "Digital Processing of Images in Speckle Noise," Proceedings SPIE, Vol. 243, July 1980.

DISTRIBUTION

	No. of Copies
Director U.S. Army Research Office ATTN: SLCRO-PH SLCRO-ZC PO Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211	1 1
Headquarters Department of the Army ATTN: DAMA-ARR Washington, DC 20310-0632	1
Headquarters OUSDR&E ATTN: Dr. Ted Berlincourt The Pentagon Washington, DC 20310-0632	1
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Defense Sciences Office Electronics Systems Division ATTN: Dr. John Neff 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209	1
Commander U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center ATTN: AIAST-RA 220 Seventh Street NE Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396	1
Commander U.S. Army Strategic Defense Command ATTN: DASD-H-V PO Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35807-3801	1
Director, URI Univerity of Rochester College of Engineering and Applied Science The Institute of Optics Rochester, NY 14627	1
Director, JSOP University of Arizona Optical Science Center Tucson, AZ 85721	1

۲

DISTRIBUTION (Concluded)

	No. of Copies
Dr. Anil K. Jain	
JMA, Inc.	
517 Hubble Street	100
Davis, CA 95616	
U.S. Army Materiel System Analysis Activity	
ATTN: AMXSY-MP	1
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	
IIT Resarch Institute	
ATTN: GACIAC	1
10 W. 35th Street	
Chicago, IL 60616	
AMSMI-RD, Dr. McCorkle	1
Dr. Rhoades	1
-RD-RE, Dr. R. Hartman	1
Dr. J. Bennett	1
-RD-RE-OP, Dr. C. R. Christensen	100
-RD-CS-R	15
-RD-CS-T	1
-GC-IP, Mr. Fred Bush	1