



A CONTRACTOR

10

/ RL-STRUC-TM-464

.

AR-004-545



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE DEFENCE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANISATION AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES MELBOURNE, VICTORIA

Structures Technical Memorandum 464

A REVIEW OF MULTIAXIAL FATIGUE AND FRACTURE OF FIBRE-REINFORCED COMPOSITES (U)

by

P.W. BEAVER



Apprived for public release.

This work is copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of study, research, criticism or review, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission. Copyright is the responsibility of the Director Publishing and Markeding, AGPS. Inquiries should be directed to the Manager, AGPS Press, Australian Government Publishing Service, GPO Box 84, Canberra ACT 2002.

JANUARY 1937

108

88 3 01

Approved for public release

Distributou Unlimited

A19, 990

AL 149 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE REVISED APRIL 87		PARE CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED				
DC	CUMENT CONTROL (ATA	PRIVACY MARKING	3		
19. AR NUMBER	15. ESTABLISHMENT NUMBER	2. DOCUMENT DA	TE		3. TASK NUMBER	
AR-004-545	ARL-STRUC-TM-464	JANU	ARY 1987		DST 86/009	
4. TITLE		5. SECURITY CL	ASSIFICATION		6. No. PAGES	
A REVIEW OF MU FATIGUE AND FI	JLTIAXIAL RACTURE OF	(PLACE APPROP BOX (S) IE. SEC RESTRICTED (R),	RIATE CLASSIFICAT SRET (S), CONFIDEN UNCLASSIFIED (U)	ION IN TIAL(C). .)	39	
FIBRE-REINFOR	CED COMPOSITES	ft 1		TT	7. No. REFS.	
			TITLE	ABSTRACT	35	
8. AUTHOR (S) P.W. BEAVER		9. DOINGRADING NOT AP	S/DELIMITING INST PLICABLE	RUCTIONS		
10. CORPORATE AUTHOR AND	NOORESS	11. OFFICE/POS	SITION RESPONSIBL	e for		
		sponsor				
AERONAUTICAL RES	EARCH LABORATORIES					
P.O. BOX 4331, M	ELBOURNE VIC. 3001					
Approved for public overseas enguiries outsid department of defence, cal 13%. This document hay be	Approved for public release. Overseas enguiries outside stated limitations should be referred through asols, defence information services branch, department of defence, campbell park, canberra, act 2801 130. This document may be announced in catalogues and awareness services available to					
No limitations						
13b. CITATION FOR OTHER P	URPOSES (I.E. CASUAL ANNOUNCEMENT) MAY BE X	UNRESTRICTED OR	AS	FOR 136.	
14. DESCRIPTORS Multiaxial stress, Fracture strength Fatigue life, Fatigue limit,	14. DESCRIPTORS 15. DRA SUBJECT Multiaxial stress, Fibre reinforced composites, Fracture strength, Au STRALIA, Fatigue life, Au STRALIA, Fatigue limit, 0071F				A SUBJECT Foories	
A review of the fatigue and fract stress states can properties of the failure theories results with suffic	A review of the limited number of papers in the literature on multiaxial fatigue and fracture of fibre-reinforced composites has shown that biaxial stress states can have a significant effect on both the fatigue and fracture properties of these materials. In addition, none of the presently available failure theories for composites agrees with the observed experimental results with sufficient accuracy to be confidently used for design purposes.					
L			·····			

,

4

PAGE CLASSIFICATION

UNCLASSIFIED

PRIVACY MARKING

THIS PAGE IS TO BE USED TO RECORD INFORMATION WHICH IS REQUIRED BY THE ESTABLISHMENT FOR ITS OWN USE BUT WHICH WILL NOT BE ADDED TO THE DISTIS DATA UNLESS SPECIFICALLY REQUESTED.

.

17. INFIDIT AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES, MELBOURNE 18. COLVENT SETIES AND ANNEED 19. COST CODE STRUCTURES TECHNICAL 25 1070 20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 25. LOTONICAL DECOMMENT USED 22. ESTINALIENEDIT FILE REF. (5) 23. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION (MS REALTRED)	16. ABSTRACT (CONT.)		
17. DARDAT AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES, MELBOURNE 18. DOCHOUT SERIES AND NAMER STRUCTURES TECHNICAL 25. 1070 20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD DYPERD 21. CONFUTER PROGRAMS USED 22. ESTABLISHEDT FILE REF. 63 23. ADDITIONAL DEFENDATION (AS REALTED)			
37. DARIANT AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES, MELBOURNE 19. COCMOUNT SERVER AND NAMEER STRUCTURES TECHNICAL 25. 1070 20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED 22. ESTABLISHENT FILE REF. 00 23. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION (AS RESIDED)			
17. INFRINT AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES, MELBOURNE 18. GOOMMAN SERIES AND MANGER STRUCTURES TECHNICAL 25. 1070 20. THE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED 22. ESTABLISHEDT FILE REF. 85 23. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION (AS RESUMED)			
17. DEFINIT AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES, MELBOURNE 18. 0000MONT SERIES AND MANEER STRUCTURES TECHNICAL 25. 1070 20. THE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 21. COMPUTER PROBAMIS USED 22. ESTABLISHEDT FILE REF. (8) 23. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION (AS RESURED)			
17. DIFFINT AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES, MELBOURNE 18. 0000MONT SERIES AND MARKER 18. 0000MONT SERIES AND MARKER 19. 0007 000E 20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 21. COMPUTER PROBAMIS USED 22. ESTABLISHEOT FILE REF. (8) 23. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION (AS RESULTED)			
AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES, MELBOURNE 19. 0007 DOE STRUCTURES TECHNICAL 21. COMPUTER PROBAME USED 22. COMPUTER PROBAME USED 23. COMPUTER PROBAME USED 24. ESTABLISHMENT FILE NET. (S) 25. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION (AS RESUMPL)	17. INPRINT		
AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES, MELBOURNE 18. 000MeDT SERIES AND MANGER STRUCTURES TECHNICAL 25 1070 20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COMPRED 21. COMPUTER PROBAME USED 22. ESTABLISHEDT FILE NET. (3) 23. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION (AS RESULTED)			
19. DOCUMENT SERVER AND MANGER 19. COST CODE 20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED STRUCTURES TECHNICAL 25 1070 20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED 21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED 21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED 22. ESTABLISHED/T FILE REF. (3) 23. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION (AS RESIDRED)	AERONAUTICAL RESEA	RCH LABORATOR	RIES, MELBOURNE
19. COCIMENT SERIES AND NUMBER STRUCTURES TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 464 21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED 22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (3) 23. ADDITIONAL DEFORMATION (AS RESUMED)			
19. COCUMENT SERIES AND NUMBER 19. COST CODE 20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COMPRED STRUCTURES TECHNICAL 25 1070 20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COMPRED 21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED 21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED 22. ESTABLISHED/T FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS RESULTED)			r
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (3) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGISTRED)	18. DOCUMENT SERIES AND NUMBER	19. COST CODE	20. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED
21. CONFUTER PROGRAMS USED 22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGULARED)	STRUCTURES TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 464	25 1070	
21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED 22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS RESULTRED)			l
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGULARED)	21. COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED		
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS RESULTED)			
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (3) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS RESULTED)			
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REQUIRED)			
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (5) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS RESULTED)			
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGUIRED)			
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGUIRED)			
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (3) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGULARED)			
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGULTRED)			
22. ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S) 23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGULARED)			
23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGUIRED)	22, ESTABLISHMENT FILE REF. (S)	<u></u>	
23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS RESULTED)			
23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REQUIRED)			
	23. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (AS REGULARED)		

CONTENTS

Page No.

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	MULTIAXIAL TESTING TECHNIQUES	2
3.	MULTIAXIAL FAILURE CRITERIA FOR BOTH STATIC AND CYCLIC	
	LOADING	6
4.	EFFECTS OF BIAXIAL LOADING ON STATIC STRENGTH	11
5.	EFFECTS OF BIAXIAL LOADING ON FATIGUE PROPERTIES	18
6.	CONCLUSIONS	27
ACKN	IOWLEDGEMENT	27
REFE	ERENCES	29
APPE	ENDIX - ADDITIONAL REFERENCES NOT CITED IN TEXT	34

ł

COPY			
	Acces	sion For	•
	NTIS	GRA&I	
	DTIC	TAB	ā
	Unann	ounced	
	Justi	fication	`
	By		
	Distr	ibution,	1
	Avai	lability	y Codes
		Avail a	nd/or
	Dist	Speci	al
	1-0		

NOTATION

- $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_6$ normal and shear stresses in the direction of principal material axes
- X, Y, S, tensile strengths in the principal material directions and the 0° 90° principal shear strength
- X', Y' compressive strengths in the principal material directions
- K₁, K₂ constants evaluated under combined stress tests
- F_{12} normal stress interaction component of a strength tensor
- $\lambda = \sigma_1/\sigma_2$ biaxial stress ratio
- X_{Ω} yield strength for an unnotched uniaxial specimen
- $\Delta \sigma$ axial tensile stress range
- Δτ shear stress range
- N_f number of cycles to failure
- da/dN crack growth rate
- ΔK stress intensity factor range

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of fibre-reinforced composites in high performance structures and components, such as found in the aircraft and power generation industries, has increased rapidly in recent years. Their high specific strength and specific modulus, excellent corrosion properties and design flexibility make them ideally suited for many applications, especially when there is a need to save weight and conserve energy. However, the ability to predict the strength and safe fatigue life of composite structures and components has not kept pace with the increase in the number of new applications, i.e. design in composites has been hampered by the lack of proven design procedures.

Most design data for composite materials are obtained by testing laboratory specimens of simple geometry with the principal fibre direction usually parallel to the loading direction. In addition the specimens are treated as if they were homogeneous and that the failure condition is defined by separation into two pieces. In comparison, most engineering structures and components operate under multiaxial loading conditions and the general stress states may be further complicated by the presence of geometric discontinuities, such as notches, holes or joints. Furthermore, it is difficult to fabricate a homogeneous and defect-free composite component. Such components can fail in several different modes prior to complete separation.

At present, the amount of multiaxial fatigue and fracture research on engineering materials has been limited compared with that undertaken for uniaxial loading conditions. This situation has arisen for the following reasons:

- i) There has been a lack of reliable multiaxial or biaxial testing machines as the design, development and experimental work associated with building such equipment tends to be complicated, time consuming and expensive compared with that for uniaxial testing systems [1,2].
- ii) Intricate specimen designs are required, hence the specimens also are expensive [3].

- iii) There is no substantial theoretical framework for analysing the data [4].
- iv) The experimental work is time consuming.
- v) A large number of test results are required to develop and prove or disprove empirical criteria [3].

The influence of multiaxial stresses on material properties is starting to receive considerable attention [5] as some isotropic materials have shown significant biaxial loading effects. For example, a recent review of the literature [6, 7] has shown that for metals the more damaging biaxial stress states can (compared with uniaxial tension) decrease the low-cycle fatigue life by factors of up to 20, accelerate fatigue crack growth rates by factors of 3 to 4, and decrease critical stress intensity factors and stress intensity notch correction factors by The effects of multiaxial stressing on the fatigue and up to 50%. fracture properties of fibre-reinforced composites are recognized as being even more complex than for metals because (1) biaxial stresses may enhance the damage during loading as a result of the anistropy of strength and stiffness, and (2) several additional problems are encountered during multiaxial testing of composites, such as premature failure of a specimen near the end attachments as a result of poor load transfer.

The objective of this report is to review the limited literature on the effects of multiaxial stress on the fatigue and fracture properties of fibre-reinforced composites. To the best of the author's knowledge no such review has been published.

2. MULTIAXIAL TESTING TECHNIQUES

A wide variety of techniques has been used to test laboratory specimens under multiaxial stress states [8,9]. Of these only three appear useful for studying the complex behaviour of composite materials

•

under such stresses: these are the off-axis [10,11], the thin-walled tubular [11-14] and the cruciform [11, 15-17] specimen methods.

The off-axis (Fig. 1(a)) method is the simplest, cheapest and most widely used method of measuring the biaxial stress-strain behaviour relative to the fibre direction. However, this method has several limitations, the most significant being (1) the lack of to independent control of the biaxial stress components, so that only a limited range of stress states is possible, and (2) that the application of the applied stress produces a shear in the material at the grips, which may cause premature failure in that region.

A greater range of biaxial stress states can be achieved by subjecting thin-walled tubular specimens to a combination of axial loading, torsion and internal and/or external pressure, Fig. 1(b). This specimen geometry is more versatile than the off-axis geometry as it is possible to apply any desired biaxial stress state (with or without proportional loading) together with triaxial stress states, although these are seldom used. Tubular specimens are very useful for the yield and fracture testing of unnotched composites. However, several of the problems with this technique are:

- i) It is difficult to fabricate high quality tubes with no overlaps or discontinuities in the reinforcement and yet with a uniform wall thickness.
- ii) The specimens are expensive.
- iii) Specialised testing equipment is required.
- iv) The use of oil to pressurise tubes can lead to environmental effects on crack initiation and propagation. [This can be minimised by the use of a rubber sleeve to prevent contact between the oil and the specimen surface].





(**b**)

-





FIG. 1 Schematic representation of (a) the off-axis, (b) thin-walled tubular and (c) cruciform methods for producing biaxial stress states.

- v) The hydrowedge effect may be encountered, that is, high pressure oil may enter a crack and wedge it open thereby providing an additional mode I opening stress. [This effect can also be minimised by using a rubber sleeve].
- vi) It is difficult to design specimen end attachments to give good load transfers without failures in these regions.
- vii) Fatigue crack propagation tests with through-thickness cracks are impossible.

A full range of biaxial stress states can also be achieved in flat cruciform-shaped specimens by simultaneously applying two principal stresses σ_1 and σ_2 along mutually perpendicular loading arms, Fig. 1(c). This technique is the most suitable means for biaxial testing of notched composites and for biaxial fatigue crack propagation studies, and has been used with some success for yield and fracture testing of a few composite materials. Compared with tubular specimens, this type of specimen is easier and cheaper to fabricate, fibre overlaps and discontinuities are avoided, and there are no environmental or hydrowedge effects from a pressurising fluid. However, biaxial testing of cruciform-shaped specimens still requires specialised testing equipment and the specimens are still expensive. Other disadvantages of this technique are:

- a) Stress determinations and strain measurements in the test area are difficult.
- b) Differences between the stiffnesses of the loading arms and the test area exist. These differences, which depend on stress state, make it difficult to test in equibiaxial tension.
- c) Problems can be encountered in testing unnotched specimens, as premature failure may occur at areas of stress concentration such as corner fillets.

3. MULTIAXIAL FAILURE CRITERIA FOR BOTH STATIC AND CYCLIC LOADING

At present more than 40 multiaxial failure theories for composites have been proposed [18] which can be used for both static and cyclic loading. Most of these are based on only a limited amount of experimental data since multiaxial testing is both expensive and difficult. Moreover, these theories all use complete separation as the failure criterion and do not consider the various deformation mechanisms such as debonding, resin cracking, delamination or fibre fracture which can produce local failure before complete separation occurs. Owen and Rice [19] found that the mechanisms which cause a composite to fail depend on the matrix/fibre combination, specimen design, type of loading and stress state.

There are two main groups of failure theories for anistropic materials under biaxial loading conditions. Group 1 theories require only the normal stresses and shear stresses in the direction of the principal material axes whereas the theories in the second group require both biaxial strength values and shear strength values. Some of the better known failure theories in each group for composite materials under plane stress conditions are listed in Table 1. These failure theories are generally represented graphically as a surface in 3-dimensional Cartesian space using σ_1 , σ_2 and σ_6 as the co-ordinate axes, where σ_1 and σ_2 are the in-plane normal stresses, and σ_6 is the in-plane shear stress in the directions of the principal material axes. In practice it is easier to represent a failure surface by a series of planar sections through the surface, examples of which are shown in Fig. 2 for glass-polyester composites.

Thorough investigation of any failure theory requires a comparison of experimental data from biaxial tests over a wide range of values of σ_1 , σ_2 and σ_6 . Most of the failure theories have been supported by data obtained from static off-axis tests to rupture [10]. Unfortunately, it is difficult to discriminate between the various theories by these tests because they cover only a small part of the failure surface [10]. For better discrimination, Owen, Found, Rice and Griffith [10,11,12,14,18-

	An and the second se	
1.	Goldenblat and Kopnov	$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x'}\right)\sigma_1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{y'}\right)\sigma_2 + \left[\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x'}\right)^2 \sigma_1^2\right]$
		$+ \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{Y} + \frac{1}{Y'} \right)^2 \sigma_2^2 + 2 F_{12} \sigma_1 \sigma_2 + \frac{\sigma_6^2}{S} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$
2.	Tsai and Wu	$\left(\frac{1}{X}-\frac{1}{X'}\right)\sigma_1+\left(\frac{1}{Y}-\frac{1}{Y'}\right)\sigma_2+\frac{\sigma_1^2}{XX'}\div\frac{\sigma_2^2}{YY'}$
		$+2F_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \frac{\sigma_6^2}{s} = 1$
3.	Modified Fischer	$\frac{{\sigma_1}^2}{{\chi}^2} - \frac{{\kappa_1}{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}}{{\chi}{\gamma}} + \frac{{\sigma_2}^2}{{\gamma}} + \frac{{\sigma_6}^2}{{S}} = 1$
4.	Modified Marin	$\frac{\sigma_1^2 - \kappa_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2}{XX'} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{YY'} + \left(\frac{X' - X}{XX'}\right) \sigma_1 + \left(\frac{Y' - Y}{YY'}\right) \sigma_2 + \frac{\sigma_6^2}{S} = 1$
5.	Marin	$\left(\frac{X'-X}{XX'}\right)\sigma_1 + \left(\frac{1}{Y} - \frac{Y}{XX'}\right)\sigma_2 + \left(\frac{2}{XX'}\right)$
		$-\frac{X'X-S(X'-X-X'\left[\frac{X}{Y}\right]+Y)}{XX'S^2}\sigma_1\sigma_2+\frac{\sigma_1^2}{XX'}+\frac{\sigma_2^2}{XX'}=1$
6.	Norris and McKinnon	$\frac{{\sigma_1}^2}{x} + \frac{{\sigma_2}^2}{y} + \frac{{\sigma_6}^2}{s} = 1$
7.	Norrís distortional energy	$\frac{{\sigma_1}^2}{x} - \frac{{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}}{x\gamma} + \frac{{\sigma_2}^2}{\gamma} + \frac{{\sigma_6}^2}{s} = 1$

TABLE 1: Some of the better known static failure theories for anistropic materials under plane stress conditions [14].

NOTATION

 $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_6$ normal and shear stresses in the direction of the principal material axes X, Y, S tensile strengths in the principal material directions and the 0°-90° principal shear strength X', Y' compressive strengths in the principal

material directions

 K_1, K_2 constants evaluated under combined stress tests

 F_{12} normal stress interaction component of a strength tensor





FIG. 2 Comparison of theoretical failure curves with experimental results for two glass-polyester composites (a) Y449/T500 and (b) Y449/T500P [14] (σ_1 and σ_2 are the stresses in the directions of the principal material axes).





21] evaluated the various failure theories for several glass-polyester composites for both static and cyclic loading using thin-walled tubes under combined axial loading and internal/external pressure. This method offers the most comprehensive way of evaluating the complete failure surface. They tested over 1200 thin-walled tubular specimens under various biaxial loading conditions during a ten year period, and the main conclusions from their work are as follows:

- None of the Group 1 theories was entirely adequate as a different failure theory is required for each stress octant.
- ii) The fit of a failure theory varies from one material to another and is worse for fatigue loading than for static loading.
- iii) A reasonable fit between the Group 2 theories and experimental results can only be achieved by a subjective choice of the biaxial data, because the shape of the failure surface is dependent on (a) the stress ratio chosen to evaluate the interaction coefficients F_{12} and K_2 , and (b) the value of the in-plane shear strength σ_6 (determined from a torsion test) which is a function of the length of the tubular specimens used.
- iv) The shapes of the Group 2 failure surfaces are also very sensitive to experimental scatter (which is significant and should be treated statistically) and to changing failure modes.
- v) Existing failure theories do not take into account the various deformation mechanisms and failure modes which are dept.dent on lay-up, specimen design and stress state.
- vi) For most commercial applications of fibre-reinforced composites the expense and effort in using thin-walled tubular specimens to evaluate the various failure theories cannot be justified.

The overall conclusion from this comprehensive research program was that any failure theory should be used with extreme caution. Similarly, Rowlands [22,23] concluded that none of the failure theories agreed with his experimental results with sufficient accuracy to be confidently used in design. Found [11] later suggested that a conservative estimate of failure for design purposes, for both biaxial static and fatigue loading conditions, could be obtained for tension/tension stress states by using the Norris interaction (Norris and McKinnon) theory [14] i.e.

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_1}{X}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sigma_2}{Y}\right)^2 = 1 \tag{1}$$

and for tension/compression stress states by using the maximum shear stress theory i.e.

$$\frac{\sigma_1}{X} - \frac{\sigma_2}{Y} = 1 \tag{2}$$

However, he suggested that further work was needed to verify these simple criteria.

4. EFFECTS OF BIAXIAL LOADING ON STATIC STRENGTH

The effects of biaxial loading on the static strength of composite materials have been determined by only a few workers and for different specimen geometries. Bert, Mayberry and Ray [15] tested glass-epoxy (181-style E-glass cloth/Epon 828 E-epoxy) unnotched cruciform-shaped specimens in biaxial tension. They found that the values of the limit strength (0.01 percent strain offset) generally increased as the stress state changed from uniaxial tension to biaxial stress ratios of $\lambda = 0.5$, 1.0 and 2.0 as shown in Figs 3(a) and (b) $[\lambda = \sigma_2/\sigma_1, \text{ where } \sigma_1 \text{ and } \sigma_2 \text{ are the maximum and minimum stresses in the directions of the principal material axes]. The ultimate strength values were also dependent on stress state. However these differences, when expressed as a percentage of the uniaxial value, were smaller than those for the limit strength values.$

The effects of biaxial stress ratio on the static failure strengths of plain weave fabric, woven roving fabric and chopped strand mat glasspolyester composites were determined by Owen and coworkers [14,20,21] when evaluating the various failure theories described in the previous Section. They found that the maximum hoop stress at failure in the thinwalled tubular specimens (with the principal material axis parallel to the principal loading direction) increased as the biaxial stress ratio λ changed from -1.0 through to 0.5, and then decreased slightly for $\lambda = 1.0$ as shown for example in Fig. 4(a) and Table 2. The effects of biaxial loading on the failure strength were even more pronounced when the principal material axis was at 45° to the principal loading direction, Fig. 4(b) and Table 2. These results suggest that the layup of the composite is important in determining the magnitude of the biaxial load effect on the static strength of composites.

Guess and Gerstle [24] using unnotched, thin-walled, filament-wound tubular specimens also found that the static failure strengths of two graphite - and one Kevlar - epoxy composites were, in general, significantly greater for biaxial tension than for either axial or circumferential uniaxial tension, Table 3. They suggested that in the composite layups which they tested, the reinforcing effect of the fibres is greater with biaxial stress states. Similarly, Hotter, Schelling and Krauss [25] found that the failure strengths of unnotched thin-walled tubular specimens made from a glass-epoxy composite were greater in biaxial tension than uniaxial tension.

More recently, Jones, Poulose and Liebowitz [26] tested graphiteepoxy cruciform-shaped specimens containing central holes in biaxial tension. They found that the biaxial stress ratio λ had a significant effect on both the fracture plane and the static failure strength. For quasi-isotropic $[0/\pm45/90]_{\rm S}$ laminates the static strength values increased by a factor of 1.44 as λ increased from 0 to 1, Table 4, and the fracture plane rotated from being one at 90° to the principal loading direction to one at approximately 45°. Daniel [27] also found that the static strengths of quasi-isotropic graphite-epoxy specimens of similar geometry



FIG. 3 Biaxial-tension strength envelopes for two different lay ups of a glass-epoxy composite (a) four parallel-ply lay up and (b) fourply symmetrically cross lay up [15].

TABLE 2: Effects of biaxial stress ratio on the failure stresses of a glass fabric (TYGLASS Y449) - polyester resin (BEETLE L2615 BIP Chemicals Ltd) composite [21].

Orientation of f	ibres Applied	Stress at failure (MPa)			
principal loading direction (α)	$g \qquad ratio \\ (\lambda = \sigma_{HOOP} / \sigma_{AXIAL})$	⁰ HOOP	^o radial		
0°	- ∞ (axial compression)	0	-266		
	- 1.0	167	-149		
	- 0.5	237	-62		
	0	266	0		
	+0.5	266	1 32		
	+1.0	242	233		
	+∞ (axial tension)	0	266		
<u>لاح</u> ه	-m (avial compression)	0	-200		
	- 1.0	103	-01		
	-0 ₋ 5	156	-64		
	0	204	0		
	+0.5	270	134		
	+1.0	233	241		
	+∞ (axial tension)	0	200		

k

TABLE 3: The effect of biaxial stress ratio on the failure strength values (normalized with respect to the uniaxial values) for two graphite - and one Kevlar-epoxy composites [24] [Z and θ represent the axial and circumferential directions of tubular specimens respectively].

		Normalized	strengths
	Stress ratios	σ _θ	σ _Z
Filament/resin	σ _θ :αΖ	F _θ	FZ
T75/332 - T403	0:1	0.0	1.10
	1:0	1.02	0.00
	1:0	0.98	0.00
	1:1	1.071	1.10
T400/332-T403	1:0	1.064	0.00
	1:0	1.013	0.00
	1:0	0.922	0.00
	1:1	1.146	1.18
	2:1	1.429	0.74
Kevlar 49/332-T403	0:1	0.000	1.00
	1:0	1.04	0.00
	1:0	0.956	0.00
	1.6:1	1.435	0.77
	2:1	1.23	0.60

6



(a)

FIG. 4 Static and constant fatigue-life curves for rupture of glasspolyester thin-walled tubes with two different orientations between the principal material axes and the principal loading directions (a) $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$ and (b) $\alpha = 45^{\circ}$ [21].



(**b**)

FIG.4 (cont)

were greater in equibiaxial tension ($\lambda = 1$) than uniaxial tension by approximately 30% for the same hole radius, Fig. 5.

Biaxial strength data exist for cruciform-shaped specimens containing sharp cracks and slots. Daniel [28] tested quasi-isotropic $[0/\pm 45/90]_{q}$ graphite-epoxy cruciform specimens containing sharp cracks inclined at 30° to the principal loading direction and parallel to a principal material axis. The static failure strengths in biaxial tension were approximately 20% below the uniaxial value indicating an appreciable contribution to failure by the shear stress, Fig. 6. Pascoe and Tutton [17] tested cruciform specimens containing two transverse edge slots oriented at 45° to both the principal material axis and the principal loading axis. These specimens were also fabricated using a quasi-isotropic graphite-epoxy The static failure strength for this type of geometric composite. discontinuity was not significantly affected by the biaxiality of It is difficult to draw any conclusions from the results of loading. Daniel and Pascoe and Tutton other than to suggest that the effects of biaxial loading on static strength depend on the nature of the geometric discontinuity, and its orientation with respect to both the principal material axis and principal loading direction.

5. EFFECTS OF BIAXIAL LOADING ON FATIGUE PROPERTIES

The assessment of multiaxial fatigue behaviour of composites is very important not only for the safe and reliable operation of composite structures and components but also for a more complete understanding of the fundamental nature of the cyclic damage and failure mechanisms. The few papers published on this subject have shown that multiaxial loading can have a considerable effect on the fatigue lives of composite materials [13,21,29-33]. For example, Owen, Found and Griffith [13,21] observed that the fatigue lives of glass-polyester thin-walled tubes, with the principal material axes parallel to the principal loading directions ($\alpha = 0$), decreased by a factor of approximately 100 when the biaxial stress ratio λ changed from +0.5 to -1.0. This decrease in fatigue life was much greater when the principal material axes where inclined at 45° to the principal loading directions, as shown for example in Figs 4 and 7.

TABLE 4:	Effect	of biaxial	stress	ratio	on	the	tensile	static	strength
parallel	to the	principal	material	axis	of	[0/	/±45/90] _s	graph:	ite-epoxy
cruciform	-specime	ns containi	ng 25 📠	diamet	er	hole	s [26].		

Biaxial stress ratio (λ)	Breaking load (kN)	Static failure strength (MPa)
0	55.2	349
0	56.9	356
0.5	64.9	405
1.0	81.0	507





Francis and coworkers [29,30] observed similar changes in the fatigue lives of graphite-epoxy thin-walled tubes containing a small hole and having a $[\pm 45]$ layup, Fig. 8. A power law was fitted to the data using regression analyses i.e.

$$\sigma_{\max} = AN^B$$
(3)

where A and B are the co-efficient and exponent respectively, σ_{max} is the maximum stress of the cycle and N is the number of cycles to failure. The results of this analysis (given in Fig. 8) showed that the coefficient decreased with increasing tension to torsion ratio. Figure 8 also shows that when the tension to torsion ratio is 1:1 the fatigue life is reduced by a factor of about 100 compared with tension alone, and that when the ratio is 1:2 the life reduction is much greater.

In order to compare the results on a more scientific basis the octahedral shear stresses were calculated for each of the conditions. Figure 9 shows the transformed data. On this basis the multiaxial effects are reversed but again large differences in life are apparent.

Wang, Chim and Socie [31] studied the biaxial fatigue behaviour of glass-epoxy (G-10 grade) tubular specimens subjected to in-phase cyclic tension and torsion loading at cryogenic temperatures. They found strong biaxial effects and their conclusions can be summarised as follows:

- At any given ciclic axial stress range the fatigue life was considerably reduced by increasing the cyclic shear stress range, Fig. 10.
- Similarly, at any given shear stress range the fatigue life decreased by increasing the cyclic axial stress range, Fig. 11.



FIG. 7 Stress-life curves for biaxial fatigue loading of a glasspolyester composite for two orientations of the principal material axes to the principal loading axes: $(\chi = 0 \text{ and } (\chi = 45^{\circ} [21])$.



FIG. 8 Maximum axial stress-life curves for biaxial fatigue loading of $[\pm 45]_{\rm S}$ graphite-epoxy tubes with a 4.8 mm diameter hole [29].



FIG.9 Octahedral shear stress-life curves for biaxial fatigue loading of $[\pm 45]_{s}$ graphite-epoxy tubes with a 4.8 mm diameter hole [29].



FIG. 10 Axial tensile stress range $\Delta \sigma$ versus cycles to failure curves for several values of shear range versus $\Delta \tau$ in cryogenic biaxial fatigue of a glass-epoxy composite [31].



FIG. 11 Shear Stress range $\Delta \tau$ versus cycles to failure curves for several values of axial tensile stress range $\Delta \sigma$ in cryogenic biaxial fatigue of a glass-epoxy composite [31]

iii) The damage mechanisms were generally very complex. Extensive matrix cracking, fibre/matrix debonding, tensile fracture of load bearing fibres, microbuckling of fibre bundles and interlayer delamination all occurred during the fatigue loading. The severity of the individual mechanisms was closely related to the magnitudes of the different stress components and the biaxial stress ratio.

With reference to damage mechanisms, Smith and Pascoe [32,33] also observed several types of damage in a woven roving glass-polyester composite under various biaxial fatigue conditions at room temperature. These mechanisms depended on stress state, cyclic frequency and orientation of the principal material axis with respect to the principal loading direction.

Smith and F noe [32,33] also examined the effects of biaxial loading on the cycli s-strain beha iour of woven roving glass-polyester cruciform-s a sp imens. They found that the hysteresis behaviour was dependent on stress state, as shown for example in Fig. 12. When the stress state was equibiaxial ($\lambda = 1$), a distinct knee occurred in the first tensile half cycle but it disappeared on subsequent tensile halfcycles, whereas a linear stress-strain relationship was observed during the first compressive half cycle, Fig. 12(a). It was suggested that this behaviour indicated irrecoverable damage during the first tensile half cycle, such as breakdown of the fibre/matrix bond and matrix cracking. During subsequent cycles the amount of hysteresis was small and approximately constant, whereas the tensile and compressive modulii began to change with the difference increasing with the number of cycles. In comparison, for shear loading $(\lambda = -1)$, the stress-strain curve for the first cycle did not exhibit a distinct knee and there was a marked build up in hysteresis energy with increasing number of cycles, Fig. 12(b). Also the stress-strain behaviour for shear loading was markedly dependent on cyclic frequency whereas for equibiaxial loading the behaviour was not affected by frequency.



FIG.12 Progressive stress-strain loops for a woven roving glass-polyester composite subject to biaxial loading (a) equibiaxial stress $(\lambda = +1)$ and (b) shear stress along the fibres $(\lambda = -1)$ [33].

The effects of biaxial loading on fatigue crack growth rates in composite materials have been reported by Radon and Wachnicki [34,35]. They tested chopped strand mat glass-polyester centre-notched cruciform specimens. Results from this work showed that biaxial loading did not produce a significant change in the fatigue crack growth rate $\left(\frac{da}{dN}\right)$ as shown in Fig. 13. However, this result is surprising given the large effect of biaxial stress states on the fatigue life curves of fibre-reinforced composite materials. Therefore, more experimental data, including that for other fibre/matrix and layup combinations, are required to confirm these results.

6. CONCLUSIONS

A review of the limited number of papers in the literature on multiaxial fatigue and fracture of composites has suggested that biaxial stress states may increase static limit strengths by up to approximately 50%, increase ultimate strengths by approximately 30%, decrease fatigue lives by factors of up to several orders of magnitude and change both the cyclic stress-strain behaviour and the failure modes in a complex manner. However, more multiaxial fatigue data covering a greater range of fibre/matrix and layup combinations are required to assess the general validity of these trends. There is also a need for more biaxial fatigue crack propagation data for composites. In addition, none of the presently available multiaxial failure theories for composites agree with the observed experimental results with sufficient accuracy to be confidently used for design purposes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Dr J.M. Finney for his constructive comments on the manuscript of this report.





REFERENCES

- Lohr, R.D. Biaxial high strain fatigue of 1 Cr-Mo-V steel, <u>Ph.</u>
 <u>D. Thesis</u>, Bristol: University of Bristol, 1977.
- Lohr, R.D. and Ellison, E.G. Biaxial high strain fatigue testing of 1 Cr-Mo-V steel. Fatigue Engng Mater. Struct., 3, 1980, 19-37.
- 3. Brown, M.W. and Miller, K.J. Two decades of progress in the assessment of multiaxial low-cycle fatigue. <u>Low-Cycle Fatigue and Life Prediction.</u> [Editors: C. Amzallag, B.N. Leis and P. Rabbe]. Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 770, 1982, 482-499.
- 4. Leevers, P.S., Radon, J.C. and Culver, L.E. Crack growth in plastic panels under biaxial stress. Polymer, **17**, 1976, 627-632.
- 5. <u>Miller, K.J. and Brown, M.W. [Editors:] Multiaxial Fatigue.</u> Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 853, 1985.
- 6. Beaver, P.W. Multiaxial fatigue and fracture a literature review. Aust. Aeronaut. Res. Lab. Struct. Rep. 410, 1984.
- 7. Beaver, P.W. Biaxial fatigue and fracture of metals: a review, Metals Forum, 8 (1), 1985, 14-29.
- Evans, W.J. <u>Deformation and failure under multiaxial stresses a</u> <u>survey of laboratory techniques and experimental data</u>. London: Her Majesty's Stationary Office, C.P. No. 1306, 1972.
- 9. Krempl, E. <u>The Influence of State of Stress on Low-Cycle Fatigue</u> of <u>Structural Materials</u>: <u>A Literature Survey and Interpretive</u> <u>Report.</u> Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 549, 1974.

- Owen, M.J. and Found, M.S. The fatigue behaviour of glass fabric reinforced polyester resin under off-axis loading. <u>J. Phys. (D.)</u> Appl. Phys., 8, 1975, 480-497.
- 11. Found, M.S. A review of the multiaxial fatigue testing of fibre reinforced plastics. <u>Multiaxial Fatigue.</u> [Editors: K.J. Miller and M.W. Brown], Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 853, 1985, 381-395.
- Coles, B.W. and Pipes, R.B. Filamentary composite laminates subjected to biaxial stress fields. <u>U.S. Air Force, Air Force</u> Flight Dynamics Lab. Tech. Rep. TR-73-115, 1974.
- 13. Owen, M.J. and Found, M.S. Static and fatigue failure of glass reinforced polyester resin under complex stress conditions, <u>Faraday Special Discussions of the Chemical Society</u>, no. 2, 1972, 77-89.
- 14. Owen, M.J. and Rice, D.J. Biaxial strength behaviour of glass fabric-reinforced polyester resins. <u>Composites</u>, 12 (1), 1981, 13-25.
- 15. Bert, C.W., Mayberry, B.L. and Ray, J.D. Behaviour of fibrereinforced laminates under biaxial loading. <u>Composite Materials :</u> <u>Testing and Design.</u> Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 460, 1969, 362-380.
- 16. Smith, E.W., and Pascoe, K.J. The role of shear deformation in the fatigue failure of a glass fiber-reinforced composite. <u>Composites</u>, 8, 1977, 237-243.
- 17. Pascoe, K.J. and Tutton, P.A., Biaxial testing of multi-laminate fibre composites. <u>Fatigue and Creep of Composite Materials</u>, [Editors: H. Lilholt and R. Talreja]. Riso, Denmark: Riso National

k

University, 1982, 265-270.

2

- Owen, M.J. Biaxial failure of GRP-Mechanisms, Modes and theories. <u>Composite Materials-2</u>. [Editor: I.H. Marshall]. London: Applied Science Publishers, 1983, 21-39.
- 19. Owen, M.J. and Rice, J.D. Biaxial strength behaviour of glassreinforced polyester resins <u>Composite Materials : Testing and</u> <u>Design (Sixth Conference).</u> [Editor: I.M. Daniel], Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 787, 1982, 124-144.
- 20. Owen, M.J., Griffiths, J.R., and Found, M.S. Biaxial stress fatigue testing of thin-walled GRP cylinders. <u>ICCM-2, Proceedings</u> of the 1975 International Conference on Composite Materials. [Editors: E. Scala, E. Anderson, I. Toch and B.R. Norton]. New York: The Metallurgical Society of AIME, 1975, 917-941.
- 21. Owen, M.J. and Griffiths, J.R. Evaluation of biaxial stress failure surfaces for a glass fabric reinforced polyester resin under static and fatigue loading. <u>J. Mater. Sci.</u>, 13, 1978, 1521-1537.
- Rowlands, R.E. Flow and failure of biaxially loaded composites. <u>Inelastic behaviour of composite materials</u>. <u>ASME-AMD-13</u>, 1975, 97-125.
- Rowlands, R.E. Analytical-experimental correlations of polyaxial states of stress in thronel-epoxy laminates. <u>Exp. Mech.</u>, 18, 1978, 253-260.
- 24. Guess, T.R. and Gerstle Jr, F.P. Deformation and fracture of resin matrix composites in combined stress states. <u>J. Composite</u> Materials, 11, 1977, 146-163.

- 25. Hotter, U., Schelling, H. and Krauss, H. An experimental study to determine failure envelope of composite materials with tubular specimens under combined loads and comparison between several classical criteria. AGARD Conf. Proc. No. 163, 1975, 3.1 - 3.11.
- 26. Jones, D.L., Poulose, P.K. and Liebowitz, H. Effect of biaxial loads on the static and fatigue properties of composite materials. <u>Multiaxial Fatigue</u>. [Editors: K.J. Miller and M.W. Brown]. Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 853, 1985, 413-427.
- 27. Daniel, I.M. Behavior of graphite/epoxy plates with holes under biaxial loading. Exp. Mech., 20, 1980, 1-8.
- 28. Daniel, I.M. Biaxial testing of graphite/epoxy laminates with cracks. <u>Test Methods and Design Allowables for Fibrous</u> <u>Composites.</u> [Editor: C.C. Chamis]. Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 734, 1981, 109-128.
- 29. Francis, P.H., Walrath, D.E., Sims, D.F. and Weed, D.N. Biaxial fatigue loading of notched composites. NASA_CR-145198, 1977.
- 30. Francis, P.H., Walrath, D.E., Sims, D.F., and Weed, D.M. Biaxial fatigue loading of notched composites. <u>J. Composite Materials</u>, 11, 1977, 488-501.
- 31. Wang, S.S., Chim, E.S.-M. and Socie, D.F. Biaxial fatigue of fibre reinforced composites at cryogenic temperatures part 1. Fatigue fracture life mechanisms. <u>Engng Mater. Technol. (Trans ASME)</u>, 104, 1982, 128-136.
- 32. Smith, E.W. and Pascoe, K.J. Assessment of fatigue damage in the cyclic loading of a glass-fibre reinforced polyester composite. <u>Mechanical Behaviour of Materials, ICM vol. 3</u>. [Editors: K.J. Miller and R.F. Smith]. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1979, 217-226.

- 33. Smith, E.W. and Pascoe, K.J. Practical strain extensimetry for biaxial cruciform specimens. Part 1 and Part 2, <u>Strain</u> 21 (3), 1985, 103-126 and 21 (4), 1985, 175-181.
- 34. Radon, J.C. and Wachnicki, C.R. Fatigue damage in glass reinforced plastics. <u>Fracture Mechanics Technology Applied to</u> <u>Material Evaluation and Structure Design</u>. [Editors: G.C. Sin, N.E. Ryan and R. Jones]. The Hague: Matinus Nijoff Publishers, 1983, 623-634.
- 35. Radon, J.C. and Wachnicki, C.R. Biaxial fatigue of glass fiber reinforced polyester resin. <u>Multiaxial Fatigue</u>. [Editors: K.J. Miller and M.W. Brown], Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 853, 1985, 396-412.

APPENDIX - ADDITIONAL REFERENCES NOT CITED IN TEXT.

MULTIAXIAL TESTING TECHNIQUES

. Daniel, I.M., Liber T., Vanderby, R. and Koller, G.M. Analysis of tubular specimen for biaxial testing of composite laminates. <u>Advances in</u> <u>Composite Materials</u>, vol. 1. [Editors: A.R. Bunsell, C. Bathias, A. Martrencher, D. Menkes and G. Verchery]. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1980, 841-855.

. Duggan, M.F. and Bailie, J.A. A new test specimen geometry for achieving uniform biaxial stress distributions in laminated composite cylinders, <u>Advances in Composite Materials</u>, vol. 1. [Editors: A.R. Bunsell, C. Bathias, A. Martrencher, D. Menkes and G. Verchery]. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 901-913.

. Marloff, R.H. and Gabrielse, S.E. Further evaluation of proposed biaxial stress test specimen for composite materials. <u>Advances in</u> <u>Composite Materials</u>, vol. 1. [Editors: A.R. Bunsell, C. Bathias, A. Martrencher, D. Menkes and G. Verchery]. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 865-899.

. Thor, S.-E. Biaxial Strength of Graphite/epoxy laminates using flat test specimens. <u>Advances in Composite Materials</u>, vol. 1. [Editors: A.R. Bunsell, C. Bathias, A. Martrencher, D. Menkes and G. Verchery]. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 866-874.

. Marloff, R.H. Finite element analysis of biaxial stress test specimen for graphite/epoxy and glass fabric/epoxy composites. <u>Composite Materials</u> <u>Testing and Design (Sixth Conference)</u> [Editor: I.M. Daniel] Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 787, 1982, 34-49.

. Toombes, G.R., Swanson, S.R. and Cairns, D.S. Biaxial testing of composite tubes. Exp. Mech., 25, 1985, 186-192.

MULTIAXIAL FAILURE CRITERIA

. Francis, P.H., Walrath, D.E. and Weed, D.N. First ply failure of g/e laminates under biaxial loadings. Fibre Sci. Technol., 12, 1979. 97-110.

. Jones, R.M. and Hennemann, J.C. Biaxial strength characteristics of fibre-reinforced laminate. <u>Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. on Composite Materials</u> ICCM/2, Toronto, Canada, 1978, 689-708.

. Sendeckyj, G.P. A brief survey of empirical multiaxial strength criteria for composites. <u>Composite Materials : Testing and Desi</u>. (Second <u>Conference</u>). Philadelphia: American Society for Testing and Materials, Spec. Tech. Publ. 497, 1972, 41-51.

. Smith, E.W. and Pascoe, K.J. Behaviour of a glass-reinforced composite subject to biaxial monotonic and fatigue loading. <u>Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. on</u> <u>Mechanical Behavior of Materials</u>. Boston: American Society for Metals, 1976, 941-945.

. Tennyson, R.C. and Elliott, W.G. Failure analysis of composite laminates including biaxial compression, NASA-CR-172192, 1983.

. Tennyson, R.C., Macdonald D. and Nanyaro, A.P. Evaluation of the tensor polynomial failure criterion for composite materials. <u>J. Composite</u> <u>Materials</u>, **12**, 1978, 63-75.

. Tennyson, R.C., Nanyaro, A.P. and Wharram, G.E. Application of the cubic strength criterion to the failure analysis of composite materials. J. Composite Materials Supplement, 14, 1980, 28-41.

. Wetherhold, R.C. and Woonbong Hwang. An inconsistency in the maximum strain theory for failure of biaxially stressed composite materials. <u>J.</u> Mater. Sci. Engng, **66**, 1984, L7-L8.

. Wu, E.M. Optimal experimental measurements of anistropic failure tensors. J. Composite Materials, 6, 1972, 472-489.

. Zweben, C. Failure analysis of unidirectional fiber composites under combined axial tension and shear. J. Mech. Phy. Solids, 22, 1974, 193-215.

MULTIAXIAL FATIGUE

. Owen, M.J. Biaxial fatigue of composites with short and long fibres. Fatigue and Creep of Composite Materials [Editors: H. Lilholt and R. Talreja]. Riso, Denmark: Riso National University, 1982, 101-112.

. Prentice, P., Wachnicki, C.R. and Radon, J.C. Environmental influences of fatigue damage in glass fibre reinforced polyester. <u>Plastics and Rubber</u> <u>Processing and Applications</u>, **3**, 1983, 273-279.

. Wachnicki, C.R., and Radon, J.C. Fatigue crack growth measurement in a CSM composite using compliance and Moire techniques. <u>Composites</u>, **15**, 1984, 211-216.

DISTRIBUTION

AUSTRALIA

Department of Defence

Defence Central **Chief Defence Scientist** Deputy Chief Defence Scientist (shared copy) Superintendent, Science and Program Administration (shared copy) Controller, External Relations, Projects and Analytical Studies (shared copy) Director, Departmental Publications Counsellor, Defence Science (London) (Doc Data Sheet Only) Counsellor, Defence Science (Washington) (Doc Data Sheet Only) S.A. to Thailand MRD (Doc Data Sheet Only) S.A. to the DRC (Kuala Lumper) Doc Data Sheet Only) OIC TRS, Defence Central Library Document Exchange Centre, DISB (18 copies) Joint Intelligence Organisation Librarian H Block, Victoria Barracks, Melbourne Director General - Army Development (NSO) (4 copies)

Aeronautical Research Laboratories

Director Library Divisional File - Structures Superintendent - Aero Propulsion Superintendent - Aircraft Materials Author: P.W. Beaver A.A. Baker G. Clark J.M. Finney F.G. Harris B.C. Hoskin R. Jones G.W. Revill D.S. Saunders Materials Research Laboratories

Director/Library

Defence Research Centre Library

Navy Office

Navy Scientific Adviser (Doc Data sheet only) Aircraft Maintenance and Flight Trials Unit Director of Naval Aircraft Engineering Director of Naval Air Warfare Superintendent, Aircraft Maintenance and Repair Director of Naval Ship Design Army Office

Scientific Adviser - Army (Doc Data sheet only) Engineering Development Establishment, Library Royal Military College Library US Army Research, Development and Standardisation Group

Air Force Office

Air Force Scientific Adviser (Doc Data sheet only) Aircraft Research and Development Unit Library Technical Division Library Director General Aircraft Engineering - Air Force HQ Support Command (SLENGO) RAAF College, Point Cook

Central Studies Establishment Information Centre

Aerospace Technologies Australia Manager Library

Department of Aviation Library Mr C. Torkington

Statutory and State Authorities and Industry

CSIRO, Division of Materials Science, Library Australian Airlines, Library Gas & Fuel Corporation of Vic., Manager Scientific Services SEC of Vic., Herman Research Laboratory, Library Ansett Airlines of Australia, Library BHP, Melbourne Research Laboratories Hawker de Havilland Aust. Pty Ltd., Victoria, Library Hawker de Havilland Aust. Pty Ltd., Bankstown, Library

Universities and Colleges

Adelaide Barr Smith Library Professor of Mechanical Engineering

Flinders Library

La Trobe Library

Melbourne Engineering Library Monash Hargrave Library Prof I.J. Polmear, Materials Engineering

Newcastle Library

New England Library

Sydney Engineering Library Head, School of Civil Engineering

NSW Physical Sciences Library Library, Australian Defence Force Academy

Queensland Library

Tasmania Engineering Library

Western Australia Library

RMIT Library

SPARES (10 copies) TOTAL (97 copies)

