## JTR FILE COPY

STATE STATE

## 

The Geometry of a Satellite-Ballistic Missile Engagement

12 March 19 Carry March 19 Carry 19 Car

Michael D. Miller

February 1988





28

AD-A191 982

KEPURT DUCUMENTATI	ON PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS REFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSIO	N NO. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
N-2093-SDIO		
4. TITLE (and Sublille)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
The Geometry of a Satellite-Bal	llistic	Interim
Missile Engagement		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(2)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
Michael D. Miller		MDA903-85-C-0030
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDR	TESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
The RAND Corporation		AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
1700 Main Street		
Santa Monica, CA. 90406		12. REPORT DATE
Strategic Defense Initiative Or	ganization	February 1988
Office of the Secretary of Defe	nse	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
Wasnington, DC 20301-7100	ferent from Controlling Olli	LY
		Unclassified
		15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
		SCHEDULE
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract ente No Restrictions	Istribution Unlin	aited
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract ente No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	stribution Unlin	nited
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract ente No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	stribution Unlin	nited
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract ente No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar	y and identify by bjock nur	nited
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract ente No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar Artificial Satellites Continue On the second	y and identify by block nur	nited
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar Artificial Satellites Strategic Satellites Antimissile Defense	stribution Unlin	nited
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Artificial Satellites Strategic Satellites Antimissile Defense Ballistic Missiles	y end identify by bjock nur	nt tran Report)
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessar Artificial Satellites Strategic Satellites Antimissile Defense Ballistic Missiles 4. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessar)	wed in Block 20, if different y and identify by block num	nt tran Report)
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Artificial Satellites Artificial Satellites Antimissile Defense Ballistic Missiles 1. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary See servers side	end identily by block num	nt tran Report)
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Artificial Satellites Strategic Satellites Antimissile Defense Ballistic Missiles 1. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary See servers side	wed in Block 20, if differen	nited n: from Report) nber) ber)
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Artificial Satellites Strategic Satellites Antimissile Defense Ballistic Missiles 1. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary See servers side	stribution Unlin	nited n: from Report) nber) ber)
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Artificial Satellites Artificial Satellites Antimissile Defense Ballistic Missiles 1. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary See servers side	wed in Block 20, if differen	nt tran Report)
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract enter No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Artificial Satellites Artificial Satellites Antimissile Defense Ballistic Missiles 1. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary See servers side 1. JAN 73 1473	end identily by block num	nited
Approved for Public Release; Di 7. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entre No Restrictions 8. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary Artificial Satellites Strategic Satellites Antimissile Defense Ballistic Missiles 1. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side II necessary See servers side 1. JAN 73 1473	stribution Unlin	nited

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Date Entered)

\$~`\$\$~`#\$~**`#**\$~**`**#\$~**`**#\$~

This Note describes in mathematical terms the dynamic geometry between a constellation of satellites deployed for ballistic missile defense (BMD) and the missiles the satellites are engaging. Formulas, readily translatable into computer code, are given for such engagement parameters as slant range, closing velocity, and line-of-sight incidence angle in terms of satellite and missile position and velocity data. These formulas are the foundation of a model developed at Rand to support study of the BME capability of various satellite armament concepts.

## A RAND NOTE

N-2093-SDIO

The Geometry of a Satellite–Ballistic Missile Engagement

Michael D. Miller

February 1988

Prepared for The Strategic Defense Initiative Organization



APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

This Note describes in mathematical terms the dynamic geometry between a constellation of satellites deployed for ballistic missile defense (BMD) and the missiles the satellites are engaging. Formulas, readily translatable into computer code, are given for such engagement parameters as slant range, closing velocity, and line-of-sight incidence angle in terms of satellite and missile position and velocity data. These formulas are the foundation of a model developed at The RAND Corporation to support study of the BMD capability of various satellite armament concepts.

This work was initiated at RAND under sponsorship of the Directed Energy Office of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. Sponsorship was transferred to the Directed Energy Office of the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization when that agency was formed. The study was carried out in RAND's National Defense Research Institute, a Federally Funded Research and Development Center sponsored by the Office of the Secretary of Defense.



## THE GEOMETRY OF A SATELLITE-BALLISTIC MISSILE ENGAGEMENT

Our objective is to describe the dynamic geometry of an engagement in which a constellation of satellites is defending against ground-based ballistic missile launches. The formulas presented allow one to keep track simultaneously of satellite motion, missile motion, and earth rotation, and thus permit the determination of such variables as slant range, closing velocity, line-of-sight visibility, and missile/satellite orientation.

## ASSUMPTIONS

All satellites are assumed to be in circular orbits at a common altitude. We assume a spherical earth of uniform density and ignore possible orbit perturbations due to extraterrestrial bodies. The constellation consists of m orbit rings with n satellites per ring and is called an  $m \times n$  constellation. All rings have the same inclination with respect to the equatorial plane, with satellites in a given ring equally spaced and traveling in the same direction. We consider two ring-spacing options:

<u>Option A</u>: The ascending nodes of the rings<sup>\*</sup> are equally spaced around the equator. In the case of polar orbits with m even, each ring is coincident with another ring, with satellites in the two rings traveling in opposite directions.

Option B: The ascending nodes of the rings are equally spaced around one-half the equator.

These options are illustrated for two orbit inclinations in Fig. 1.

We select a fixed reference ring, called ring 1, and label the remaining rings 2, 3, 4, ..., according to the position of their ascending nodes westward from ring 1. This reference ring can be any of the

<sup>\*</sup>That is, the point at which satellites in the ring cross the equatorial plane and ascend into the northern hemisphere.



Fig. 1 – Examples of constellation configurations

m rings under option A; under option B, it is that ring whose ascending node is the easternmost along that half of the equator containing all m ascending nodes. Select some fixed satellite in ring 1 and agree that it occupies position 1 in this ring. This satellite, labeled 1-1, will henceforth be called the reference satellite. Label the positions of other satellites in ring 1 by 2, 3, 4, ..., moving forward (i.e., in the direction of satellite motion) from the reference satellite. In general, satell' - i-j occupies position j in ring i. The positions of satellites in rings 2 through m, relative to those in ring 1, are determined by the constellation phasing fraction  $\rho$  (0  $\leq \rho < 1$ ). In particular, we assume that satellite 2-1 is Q = 360p/n deg ahead (in argument of latitude) of satellite 1-1, satellite 3-1 is Q deg ahead of 2-1, and so on. For ring-spacing option A, in order that satellites in rings m and 1 occupy the same relative positions as those in other pairs of adjacent rings,  $\rho$  is restricted to the values 0, 1/m, 2/m, ..., (m - 1)/m. This requirement cannot be met under option B since satellites in rings m and 1 travel in opposite directions; thus  $\rho$  can assume any value between 0

and 1. So that we can assign times to various events, we assume that at some O-hour time, the reference satellite crosses the equator at E deg longitude heading north.

To facilitate our presentation, we introduce an earth-referenced (rotating) xyz coordinate system, with the origin (0,0,0) at the earth's center. The equator lies in the xy-plane with the positive x-axis passing through the Greenwich prime meridian, the positive y-axis lying 90 deg east, and the positive z-axis passing through the north pole. As is conventional, latitudes are measured northward from the equator, longitudes eastward from the positive x-axis, azimuths clockwise from due north, and path elevation angles upward from horizontal.

The ballistic missile (which we refer to as the ICBM) is sited at a particular latitude and longitude and is launched with a known azimuth relative to the above coordinate system. It is assumed that sufficient ICBM trajectory data are available (e.g., from a powered flight simulator) to establish ICBM position and velocity vectors at all times of interest. An example of such data is shown in Table 1 (p. 9) for the boost phase period of a hypothetical ICBM. Although, in general, six trajectory elements are needed to establish position and velocity, the actual six used can vary depending on the output of the model used to simulate the ICBM flight. Rand's model COMET (see R-3240-ARPA, forthcoming) outputs position and velocity directly. To accommodate models that do not directly output these data, we describe in the pages that follow how to calculate these vectors and other relevant parameters, assuming as basic inputs the following six ICBM trajectory elements:

- ICBM altitude
- ●ICBM latitude ●ICBM longitude
- ICBM speed
- ICBM path azimuth
- ICBM path elevation angle

The formulas incorporate the following definitions and conventions:

## Definitions

Satellite orbit inclination  $(\theta)$ --the angle between the equatorial plane and the plane of the satellite's orbit.

-3-

 $0^{\circ} \le \theta < 90^{\circ}$  if satellite travels eastward in its orbit  $\theta = 90^{\circ}$  if satellite travels due north and south  $90^{\circ} < \theta \le 180^{\circ}$  if satellite travels westward in its orbit

ICBM path elevation angle  $(\delta)$ --the angle between the ICBM's velocity vector and the local horizontal plane.

ICBM path azimuth  $(\lambda)$ --the angle in the local horizontal plane between the projection of the ICBM's velocity vector and the vector pointing due north.

Satellite argument of latitude  $(\psi)$ --the (non-negative) central angle in a satellite's orbit plane between the ascending node and the satellite.

## Conventions

- 1. Altitudes are measured from the surface of the earth.
- 2.  $-90^{\circ} \le \sin^{-1}() \le 90^{\circ}; 0^{\circ} \le \cos^{-1}() \le 180^{\circ}; -90^{\circ} < \tan^{-1}() < 90^{\circ}.$
- 3. Arg(x,y) is the angle (measured counterclockwise) between the positive x-axis and the ray joining (0,0) to (x,y). In particular,

	(	$tan^{-1}(y/x)$	if :	x >	0			
		$\tan^{-1}(y/x) + 180^{\circ}$	if :	x <	0			
arg(x,y)	= {	90 <sup>°</sup>	if :	x =	0,	у	>	0
		-90 <sup>°</sup>	if	x =	0,	у	<	0
	(	undefined	if :	x =	0,	у	=	0

- 4. The dot product (•) of two vectors  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  is  $x \cdot y = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3$ .
- The cross product (×) of two vectors x = (x<sub>1</sub>,x<sub>2</sub>,x<sub>3</sub>) and y = (y<sub>1</sub>,y<sub>2</sub>,y<sub>3</sub>) is x × y = (x<sub>2</sub>y<sub>3</sub> - x<sub>3</sub>y<sub>2</sub>,x<sub>3</sub>y<sub>1</sub> - x<sub>1</sub>y<sub>3</sub>,x<sub>1</sub>y<sub>2</sub> - x<sub>2</sub>y<sub>1</sub>).
   The length (|| ||) of a vector x = (x<sub>1</sub>,x<sub>2</sub>,x<sub>3</sub>) is

 $||\mathbf{x}|| = \sqrt{\mathbf{x}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_2^2 + \mathbf{x}_3^2}$ 

7. Unless otherwise indicated, all variables in the lists and tables that follow are referenced to the rotating xyz coordinate system described earlier.

INPUTS		
Constants	Notation	Range
Earth radius (km)	r	6375.58
Earth gravitation constant (km $^3$ /sec $^2$ )	G	398603
Length of sidereal day (sec)	F	86164.1
Earth rotation rate (rad/sec)	ω	$7.29212 \times 10^{-5}$
Constellation Variables		
Satellite orbit inclination (deg)	θ	$0 \leq \theta \leq 180$
Satellite orbit altitude (km)	h	
Number of rings of satellites	m	
Number of satellites per ring	n	
Ring number of particular satellite	i	
Position number of particular satellite	j	
Constellation phasing fraction	ρ	0 <u>&lt;</u> p < 1
Ring spacing option	٤	<pre>{1 if option A 2 if option B</pre>
Longitude of reference satellite at O-hour (deg)	E	
ICBM Variables		
ICBM launch time (min after O-hour)	q	
Particular time after ICBM launch (min after 0-hour)	t	t <b>&gt;</b> q
ICBM altitude at time t (km)	α	
ICBM latitude at time t (deg)	А	
ICBM longitude at time t (deg)	В	
ICBM speed at time t (km/sec)	Ŷ	
ICBM path elevation angle at time t (deg)	δ (	
ICBM path azimuth at time t (deg)	λ	

-5-

OUTPUTS

Satellite orbital period (sec)\*

 $2\pi \sqrt{\frac{(r+h)^3}{G}}$ 

Satellite orbital speed \*

$$\frac{60 \cdot 360}{s}$$
 deg/min

$$\sqrt{\frac{G}{r+h}}$$
 km/sec

Angular separation between ring i and ring 1 (deg east from ring 1)

$$\frac{360(1 - i)}{m\epsilon}$$

Longitude of ascending node of ring i at time t (deg)

$$\phi - t\omega \frac{60 \cdot 180}{\pi} + E$$

Argument of latitude of satellite i-j at time t (deg)

$$\frac{360(\rho(i - 1) + j - 1)}{n} + kt$$

Latitude of satellite i-j at time t (deg)

$$\sin^{-1}(\sin \psi \sin \theta)$$

Longitude of satellite i-j at time t (deg)

H + arg(cos  $\psi$ , sin  $\div$  cos  $\theta$ )

With respect to inertial space.

Notation

s

k

z

Φ

Н

t,

L

М

-7-	
Position vector of satellite i-j at time t (km)	X
$X(1) = (r + h) \cos L \cos M$ $X(2) = (r + h) \cos L \sin M$ $X(3) = (r + h) \sin L$	
Speed of satellite i-j at time t (km/sec)	с
$\sqrt{z^2 - 2z\omega(r + h)} \cos \theta + \omega^2(r + h)^2 \cos^2 L$	
Path azimuth of satellite i-j at time t (deg)	Ъ
$\arg(z \cos \psi \sin \theta, z \cos \theta - \omega(r + h) \cos^2 L)$	
Velocity vector of satellite i-j at time t (km/sec)	u
$u(1) = c(-\cos b \sin L \cos M - \sin b \sin M)$ $u(2) = c(-\cos b \sin L \sin M + \sin b \cos M)$ $u(3) = c \cos b \cos L$	
ICBM position vector at time t (km)	Y
$Y(1) = (r + \alpha) \cos A \cos B$ $Y(2) = (r + \alpha) \cos A \sin B$ $Y(3) = (r + \alpha) \sin A$	
ICBM velocity vector at time t (km/sec)	ν
$ v(1) = \gamma(-\cos \lambda \cos \delta \sin A \cos B - \sin \lambda \cos \delta \sin B + \sin \delta  v(2) = \gamma(-\cos \lambda \cos \delta \sin A \sin B + \sin \lambda \cos \delta \cos B + \sin \delta  v(3) = \gamma(\cos \lambda \cos \delta \cos A + \sin \delta \sin A) $	cos A cos B) cos A sin B)
Slant range between satellite i-j and ICBM at time t (km)	d
$\sqrt{(X(1) - Y(1))^2 + (X(2) - Y(2))^2 + (X(3) - Y(3))^2}$	
Closing velocity between satellite i-j and ICBM at time t (km/sec)	f
$\frac{(u - v) \cdot (Y - X)}{d}$	

Angle between ICBM velocity vector at time t and line-of-sight vector between satellite i-j and ICBM (deg)

$$\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{v \cdot (X - Y)}{\gamma d}\right)$$

Minimum altitude along line-of-sight vector joining satellite i-j to ICBM at time t (km)

 $\begin{cases} \text{Min } \{h, \alpha\} & \text{if } \left[ (X - Y) \cdot X \right] \left[ (X - Y) \cdot Y \right] \ge 0 \\ \\ \frac{||X \times Y||}{||X - Y||} - r & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

## AN EXAMPLE ENGAGEMENT

We will illustrate the use of these formulas by considering a hypothetical engagement in which a 24-satellite constellation is defending against an ICBM launched from  $50^{\circ}$  latitude,  $90^{\circ}$  longitude, and with an initial azimuth heading of 10 deg. Trajectory information for such a launch is given in Table 1. We will assume that the launch occurs at 0-hour, so that the five-minute boost phase period shown in the table occurs between times t = 0 and t = 5. Constellation inputs are assumed as follows:

Satellite orbit inclination	3	= 75 deg	
Satellite orbit altitude	h	= 1250.75	km
Number of rings of satellites	m	= 6	
Number of satellites per ring	n	= 4	
Constellation phasing fraction	£	= 2/3	
Ring-spacing option	А	$(\varepsilon = 1)$	
Longitude of reference satellite at 0-hour	E	= 0 deg	

We compute

Satellite orbital period	s = 6628.01 sec
	$\int k = 3.26 \text{ deg/min}$
Satellite orbital speed	z = 7.23  km/sec

ξ

D

Table 1

## POSITION AND VELOCITY DATA FOR A HYPOTHETICAL ICBM (Boost Phase)

## Launch Information

50<sup>0</sup> N. 900 E. 10<sup>0</sup> (clockwise from north) Launch latitude: Launch longitude: Launch azimuth:

## Irajectory Data

tor	v(3)	0.00	0.04	0.09	0.15	0.21	0.29	0.37	0.46	0.55	0.66	0.78	0.92	1.07	1.23	1.41	1.61	1.82	2.07	2.34	2.65	3.01	3.02	3.04	3.06	3.08	3.10	3.12	
locity ved	V(2)	0.00	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.12	0,12	0,11	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.02	-0.02	-0.05	-0.09	-0.14	-0.19	-0.22	-0.26	-0.29	-0.33	-0.36	-0.40	
Ve	(1)/	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02	-0.02	-0.03	-0.04	-0.06	-0.07	-0.08	-0.10	-0.12	-0.14	-0.17	-0.19	-0.22	-0.26	-0.29	-0.34	-0.34	-0.35	-0.36	-0.36	-0.37	-0.38	
tor	1617	4884.0	4884.1	4884.4	4885.0	4885.9	4887.1	4888.8	4890.8	4893.4	4896.4	4900.0	4904.3	4909.2	4914.9	4921.5	4929.0	4937.6	4947.3	4958.3	4970.8	1984.9	5000.0	5015.2	5030.4	5045.7	5061.2	5076.7	
ition vec	Y(2)	4098.1	4098.2	4098.4	4098.8	4099.2	4099.7	4100.2	4100.8	4101.4	4102.0	4102.5	4103.0	4103.5	4103.8	4104.1	4104.2	4104.2	4104.1	4103.7	4103.2	4102.3	4101.3	4100.1	4098.7	4097.2	4095.5	4093.6	
POS	TUX	0.0	-0.0	0.0-	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.4	-0.6	-0.8	-1.1	-1.5	-2.0	-2.5	-3.2	-4.0	-4.8	-5.9	-7.1	-8.4	-10.0	-11.7	-13.5	-15.2	-17.0	-18.9	-20.7	
Speed	( nac/mu )	0.00	0.05	0.10	0.17	0.23	0.31	0.39	0.47	0.57	0.67	0.79	0.93	1.07	1.24	1.42	1.61	1.83	2.08	2.36	2.67	3.03	3.05	3.07	3.09	3.12	3.14	3.17	
Elevation angle	(fan )	90.00	85.23	80.77	76.73	73.07	69.77	66.79	64.09	61.65	59.46	57.49	55.73	54.15	52.75	51.51	50.40	49.42	48.55	47.79	47.13	46.57	46.04	45.52	45.01	44.49	43.98	43.47	
Azimuth	16an1	;	9.58	9.48	9.41	9.36	9.32	9.30	9.28	9.26	9.25	9.25	9.2H	9.25	9.25	9.26	9.26	9.27	9.29	9.31	9.32	9.35	9.37	9.39	9.42	9.45	9.47	9.50	
Long i tude	( fian )	90.00	90.00	00.06	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.01	90.01	90.01	90.02	90.02	90.03	90.04	90.04	90.06	90.07	90.08	90.10	90.12	90.14	90.16	90, 19	90.21	90.24	90.26	90.29	
Latitude	( 6en )	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.01	50.01	50.02	50.03	50.05	50.06	50.08	50.11	50.14	50.17	50.22	50.27	50.32	50.39	50.46	50.55	50.64	50.73	50.83	50.92	51.02	51.12	
Altitude	ja	0.0	0.1	0.5	1.2	2.2	3.4	5.0	6.9	9.3	12.0	15.1	18.7	22.8	27.3	32.6	38.4	45.0	52.4	60.7	0.01	80.3	91.3	102.3	113.2	124.1	135.1	146.0	
after 0-hour		0.000	0.083	0.167	0.250	0.333	0.417	0.500	0.583	0.667	0.750	0.833	0.917	1.000	1.083	1.167	1.250	1.333	1.417	1.500	1.583	1.667	1.750	1.833	1.917	2.000	2.083	2.167	
after Jaunch	( sec )	0.0	5.0	10.0	15.0	20.0	25.0	30.0	35.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	55.0	60.0	65.0	70.0	15.0	80.0	85.0	90.0	95.0	100.0	105.0	110.0	115.0	120.0	125.0	130.0	

-9-

Table 1--continued

Densoures.

V(3) Velocity vector (km/sec) v(2) v(  $\begin{array}{c} -0.47\\ -0.551\\ -0.551\\ -0.551\\ -0.551\\ -0.552\\$ 0.33 0.35 (1) 13) vector (Km) √(2) Position -24.6 -26.6 -26.6 -26.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -30.6 -50.6 -10.6 -50.7 -50.6 -50.6 -50.6 -50.6 -50.6 -50.7 -50.6 117 Speed (km/sec) Elevation angle (deg) Azimuth (deg) 99.55 Longitude (deg) B Latitude (deg) ltitude (km) Q 167.1 178.6 Time after O-hour (min) t 2.333 2.417 Time after launch (sec) 146.0 1450.0 140

The positions, velocities, and azimuths of the 24 satellites at the time of ICBM launch (t = 0), shown in Table 2, are found by letting i run from 1 to 6 and j from 1 to 4 in the formulas for  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ , and then substituting in the formulas for L, M, X, u, b, and c. For the sake of example, we select satellite 1-2 and show in Table 3 its positions and velocities during the ICBM boost phase. It is constructed like Table 2, except that time is varied between t = 0 and t = 5, the end of boost phase. Finally, in Table 4, the data of Tables 1 and 3 are combined using the formulas for d, f,  $\xi$ , and D to yield the crucial engagement parameters shown.

We see, for example, that the slant range between the ICBM and satellite 1-2 changes very little during the boost phase (thus the relatively low closing velocity). This can be seen geometrically in Fig. 2, which shows the earth shadows of the orbit rings at O-hour and the ground trace of the ICBM during its boost phase. The fifth column of Table 4 gives the angle between the ICBM velocity vector and the line-of-sight vector between satellite and ICBM. The absolute difference between this angle and 90 deg represents the *incidence angle* (measured from the normal to the ICBM surface) that would be encountered by a laser beam fired from the satellite toward the ICBM<sup>\*</sup> and passing through the ICBM axis.

The last column of Table 4 records the minimum altitude (above the earth's surface) of points along the line-of-sight vector joining the satellite to the ICBM. If this minimum is positive, the ICBM is visible to the satellite above the earth's horizon.

### PERIODICITY IN THE ENGAGEMENT GEOMETRY

If the altitude h of the m × n satellite constellation is chosen properly, the relative geometry between the rotating earth and the constellation repeats every fixed number of days. This phenomenon is an analytic convenience in that it bounds the time period that must be examined in determining the effectiveness of the constellation in defending against ICBM launches. In the paragraphs that follow, we determine the minimum length of such a "repetition period."

-11-

<sup>\*</sup>We assume here that the ICBM is cylindrical with its axis always pointing along its velocity vector.

459.64 (4.8) 849 (849) 6491

Sec. Sec.

# POSITIONS OF SATELLITES IN A 6 $\times$ 4 CONSTELLATION

Table 2

Inclination =  $75^{\circ}$  Altitude =

Altitude = 1250.75 km Phasing fraction = 2/3

(Time = 0-hour)

<u>u(3</u> )	6.98 0.00 -6.98 -0.00	3.49 -6.05 -3.49 6.05	-3.49 -6.05 3.49 6.05	-6.98 -0.00 6.98 0.00	-3.49 6.05 3.49 -6.05	3.49 6.05 -3.49 -6.05
ocity vector (km/sec) u[2)	1.32 0.00 -1.32 0.00	5.64 2.50 -2.50 -2.50	-2.64 -2.50 -5.64 2.50	1.32 -0.00 -1.32 0.00	5.64 -5.50 -2.50	5.64 -2.50 -5.64 2.50
Vel u(1)	0.00 -7.09 0.00 7.09	-2.50 -2.76 2.50 2.76	2.50 -2.76 -2.50 2.76	0.00 -7.09 7.09	-2.50 -2.76 2.76 2.76	2.50 -2.50 -2.50 -2.76
tor X(3)	0.0 7366.5 -0.0 -7366.5	6379.5 3683.2 -6379.5 -3683.3	6379.5 -3683.2 -6379.6 3683.2	0.0 -1366.5 -0.0 7366.5	-6379.5 -3683.3 6379.5 3683.2	-63/9.6 3683.2 6379.5 -3683.2
Position vec (km) X(2)	0.0 1973.8 -0.0 -1973.8	-2447.6 6213.2 2447.6 -6213.2	2447.6 6213.2 -2447.6 -6213.2	0.0 1973.8 -0.0 -1973.8	-2447.6 6213.2 2447.6 -6213.2	2447.6 6213.2 -2447.6 -6213.2
(()X	7626.3 0.0 -7626.3 -0.0	3387.0 -2447.6 -3387.0 -2447.6	3387.0 2447.6 -3387.0 -2447.6	7626.3 0.0 -1626.3 -0.0	3387.0 -2447.6 -3387.0 2447.6	3387.0 2447.6 -3387.0 -2447.6
Azimuth (deg) b	10.66 90.00 169.34 90.00	26.02 166.56 153.98 13.44	153.98 166.56 26.02 13.44	169.34 90.00 10.66 90.00	153.98 13.44 26.02 166.56	26.02 13.44 153.98 166.56
Speed (km/sec) c	7.11 7.09 7.11 7.09	7.09 7.10 7.10	7.09 7.10 7.09 7.10	7.11 7.09 7.11 7.09	7.09 7.10 7.09 7.10	7.09 7.10 7.10 7.10
Longitude (deg) M	0.00 90.00 180.00 270.00	324.15 111.50 144.15 291.50	35.85 68.50 215.85 248.50	0.00 90.00 180.00 270.00	324.15 111.50 144.15 291.50	35.85 68.50 215.85 248.50
Latitude (deg) L	0.00 75.00 -0.00 -75.00	56.17 28.88 -56.77 -28.88	56.77 -28.88 -56.77 28.88	0.00 - 75.00 -0.00 75.00	-56.17 -28.88 56.17 28.88	-56.77 28.88 56.77 -28.88
ellite Position	- ~ ~ z	-063	r ∾ ∾ ⊐	-0-04	トッシュ	- 0 m z
Sat Ring İ		~~~~	<u>ო</u> ო ო ო	3333	nnnn	مومو

-12-

Table 3

# POSITION AND VELOCITY OF SATELLITE 1-2 DURING BOOST PHASE OF HYPOTHETICAL ICBM

75<sup>0</sup> Altitude = 1250.75 km Phasing fraction = 2/3

Inclination = 75<sup>0</sup> Altitude = 1250.75 km Pl

Ĕ
Ξ.
ţ
-
6
ų,
ĉ
8
-
-
×
Ś

0-hour
at
launched
L CBM

Time after Iaunch (sec)	Time after 0-hour (min) t	Latitude (deg) L	Long i tude ( deg ) M	Speed (km/sec) c	Azimuth (deg) b	(L)X	osition vec (km) X(2)	tor X(3)	V (1)	elocity vect (km/sec) u(2)	or u(3)
0.0	0.000	75.00	90.00	7.09	90.06	0.0	1973.8	7366.5	-7.09	0.00	0.00
5.0	0.083	75.00	91.03	7.09	91.03	-35.4	1973.8	7366.4	-7.09	-0.00	-0.03
10.0	0.167	74.99	92.06	7.09	92.07	-70.9	1973.8	7366.1	-7.09	-0.01	-0.07
15.0	0.250	74.98	93.08	7.09	93.10	-106.3	1973.8	7365.7	-7.08	-0.01	-0.10
20.0	0.333	74.96	94.11	7.09	94.13	-141.7	1973.7	7365.1	-7.08	-0.01	-0.13
25.0	0.417	74.94	95.13	7.09	95.16	-177.1	1973.6	7364.4	-7.08	-0.02	-0.17
30.0	0.500	74.91	96.15	7.09	96.18	-212.5	1973.5	7363.5	-7.08	-0.02	-0,20
35.0	0.583	74.88	97.16	7.09	97.20	-247.9	1973.4	7362.4	-7.08	-0.03	-0.23
40.0	0.667	74.85	98.17	7.09	98.22	-283.4	1973.3	7361.2	-7.08	-0.03	-0.26
45.0	0.750	74.81	99.18	7.09	99.23	-318.8	1973.1	7359.8	-7.08	-0.03	-0.30
50.0	0.833	74.76	100.18	7.09	100.23	-354.1	1972.9	7358.2	-7.08	-0.04	-0.33
55.0	0.917	74.71	101.17	7.09	101.23	-389.5	1972.7	7356.5	-7.08	-0.04	-0.36
60.0	1.000	74.66	102.16	7.09	102.23	-424.9	1972.5	7354.5	-7.07	-0.04	-0.40
65.0	1.083	74.60	103.14	7.09	103.21	-460.3	1972.3	7352.5	-7.07	-0.05	-0.43
70.0	1.167	74.54	104.11	7.09	104.19	-495.6	1972.1	7350.2	-1.07	-0.05	-0.46
75.0	1.250	74.47	105.07	7.09	105.16	-531.0	1971.8	7347.9	-7.07	-0.05	-0.50
80.0	1.333	74.40	106.03	7.09	106.12	-566.3	1971.5	7345.3	-7.07	-0.06	-0.53
85.0	1.417	74.32	106.97	7.09	107.07	-601.6	1971.2	7342.6	-7.06	-0.06	-0.56
90.0	1.500	74.24	107.91	7.09	108.01	-636.9	1970.9	7339.7	-7.06	-0.07	-0.60
95.0	1.583	74.16	108.84	7.09	108.94	-672.2	1970.5	7336.6	-7.06	-0.07	-0.63
100.0	1.667	74.07	109.75	7.09	109.87	-707.5	1970.2	7333.4	-7.05	-0.07	-0.66
105.0	1.750	73.98	110.66	7.09	110.78	-742.8	1969.8	7330.0	-7.05	-0.08	-0.69
110.0	1.833	73.88	111.56	7.09	111.68	-778.0	1969.4	7326.4	-7.05	-0.08	-0.73
115.0	1.917	13.78	112.44	7.09	112.57	-813.3	1969.0	7322.7	-7.04	-0.08	-0.76
120.0	2.000	73.67	113.32	7.09	113.45	-848.5	1968.6	7318.9	-7.04	-0.09	-0.79
125.0	2.083	73.57	114.18	7.09	114.32	-883.7	1968.1	7314.8	-7.04	-0.09	-0.83
130.0	2.167	73.46	115.03	7.09	115.18	-918.9	1967.7	7310.6	-7.03	-0.09	-0.86
135.0	2.250	73.34	115.87	7.09	116.02	-954.0	1967.2	7306.2	-7.03	-0.10	-0.89



city vector (km/sec) u(2) u(3)	
Velo.	
ctor X(3)	7287.0 7287.0 7287.0 7287.0 7287.0 7287.0 7225.5 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.6 77186.7 77187.7 77186.7 771777777777777777777777777777777777
Position ve (km) X(2)	1966.2 19
cont inued	
Table 3c Azimuth (deg) b	116.86 1176.86 1176.86 1176.86 1176.86 1176.86 1176.86 1176.86 1221.36 1221.36 1221.36 1221.36 1221.26
Speed (km/sec) c	66666666666666666666666666666666666666
Longitude (deg) M	1116.11 116.12 1116.12 1116.12 122.16 123.16
Latitude (deg) L	222 222 222 222 223 225 225 225
Time after O-bour (min) t	500 1 300 1
Time after launch (sec)	

 $\sim \infty$ .

## Table 4

## BOOST PHASE ENGAGEMENT PARAMETERS FOR HYPOTHETICAL ICBM AND SATELLITE 1-2

## (ICBM launched at 0-hour)

-

50000

Time	Time			Angle	Minimum
11me	1 Ine	C1	Clasing	Detween LOS	altitude
laurah	aiter O haum	Stant	Closing	did ICD.1	LOS matem
(acc)	(-i-)	i ange	(hm/man)	direction (dec)	LUS Vector
(sec)	(m1n)	(Km)	(Km/Sec)	(deg)	(Km)
	t	a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
0.0	0.000	3267.3	0.00		0.0
5.0	0.083	3267.4	-0.04	77.43	0.1
10.0	0.167	3267 7	-0.07	69.00	0.5
15.0	0.250	3268.1	-0.10	68.55	1.2
20.0	0.333	3268.7	-0.11	63.90	2.2
25 0	0.417	3269.3	-0.11	59.83	3.4
30.0	0.500	3269.9	-0.11	57.46	5.0
35.0	0.583	3270.5	-0.11	54.66	6.9
40.0	0.667	3270.9	-0.10	53.34	9.3
45.0	0.750	3271.3	-0.05	49.94	12.0
50.0	0.833	3271.5	-0.02	48.64	15.1
55.0	0.917	3271.4	0.04	47.02	18.7
60.0	1.000	3271.0	0.12	44.69	22.8
65.0	1.083	3270.3	0.19	43.83	27.3
70.0	1.167	3269.0	0.29	42.76	32.6
75.0	1.250	3267.4	0.40	41.48	38.4
80.0	1.333	3265.1	0.52	40.68	45.0
85.0	1.417	3262.1	0.68	40.02	52.4
90.0	1.500	3258.3	0.85	39.56	<b>60.7</b>
95.0	1.583	3253.6	1.05	38.90	70 0
100.0	1.667	3247.7	1.29	38.41	80.3
105.0	1.750	3241.4	1.25	38.34	91.3
110.0	1.833	3235.1	1.23	37.80	102.3
115.0	1.917	3229.1	1.20	37.47	113.2
120.0	2.000	3223.3	1.16	37.27	124.1
125.0	2.083	3217.5	1.14	36.97	135.1
130.0	2.167	3211.9	1.11	3b.72	145.0
135.0	2.250	3206.5	1.08	36.33	150.8

m ·	m ·			Angle	Minimum
lime	lime		<b>a</b> ) i	between LUS	altitude
after	after	Slant	Closing	and ICBM	along
launch	0-hour	range	velocity	direction	LOS vector
(sec)	(min)	(km)	(km/sec)	(deg)	(km)
	t	<u>d</u>	<u><u>f</u></u>	ζ	D
140.0	2.333	3201.1	1.04	36.32	167.7
145.0	2.417	3195.9	1.02	35,91	178.6
150.0	2.500	3190.9	0.99	35.73	189.5
155.0	2.583	3186.0	0.97	35.61	200.3
160.0	2.667	3181.3	0.94	35.61	211.3
165.0	2,750	3176.6	0.91	35.29	222.1
170.0	2.833	3172.2	0.89	35.24	233.0
175.0	2.917	3167.7	0.85	35.43	244.0
180.0	3.000	3163.5	0.84	35.05	255.0
185.0	3.083	3159.5	0.81	35.29	265.9
190.0	3.167	3155.4	0.79	34.97	277.0
195.0	3.250	3151.5	0.76	35.30	288.0
200.0	3.333	3147.8	0.74	35.26	299.3
205.0	3.417	3144.0	0.72	35.13	310.4
210.0	3.500	3140.5	0.71	35.20	321.6
215.0	3.583	3136.9	0.68	35.50	333.0
220.0	3.667	3133.5	0.67	35.47	344.4
225.0	3.750	3130.3	0.66	35.71	355.8
230.0	3.833	3127.1	0.64	35.79	367.4
235.0	3.917	3123.9	0.62	36.08	379.1
240.0	4.000	3120.7	0.61	36.29	391.0
245.0	4.083	3117.8	0.60	36.72	402.9
250.0	4.167	3114.8	0.59	36.85	414.9
255.0	4.250	3111.9	0.57	37.38	427.1
260.0	4.333	3109.1	0.56	37.52	439.5
265.0	4.417	3106.2	0.57	37.86	452.0
270.0	4.500	3103.5	0.55	38.38	464.7
275.0	4.583	3100.8	0.55	38.86	477.6
280.0	4.667	3098.0	0.55	39.27	490.8

0.55

0.56

0.56

0.57

39.75

40.18

40.71

41.39

504.2

517.9

531.8

546.1

 $a_{LOS} = line of sight$ 

3095.3

3092.5

3089.7

3086.9

4.750

4.833

4.917

5.000

285.0

290.0

295.0

300.0

Table 4--continued



Fig. 2 – Example engagement geometry-6 x 4 constellation

At the altitude h, each satellite makes c orbits per sidereal day, where  ${}^{\!\star}$ 

$$c = \frac{86164.1}{s}$$

Imagine a point Q on the earth's surface which at some time 0 is at  $0^{\circ}$  latitude and  $0^{\circ}$  longitude. Assume that the reference satellite (1-1) is directly overhead Q at this moment, and heading north. Suppose that p days later, the earth occupies the same position relative to the constellation as it did at time 0. The determination of the minimum possible value for p varies depending on the ring-spacing option assumed (either option A or B).

\*Variables (such as s) that are not defined here are as defined earlier.

## Ring-spacing Option A

The point Q, p days after time 0, must again be directly beneath some north-bound satellite. This forces p to be a positive integral multiple of 1/m, the fraction of a day required for the earth to rotate from under one ring to under the one immediately to the east. Thus p = I/m for some positive integer I. The satellites in the Ith ring to the east of ring 1 are shifted ahead of those in ring 1 by p(m - I)/cn days. Thus for some satellite in this ring to be at the equator p days after time 0 requires that

$$p = \frac{J}{cn} - \frac{\rho(m - I)}{cn}$$
 for some integer J

Equating the two expressions for p gives

$$\frac{I}{m} = \frac{J}{cn} - \frac{\rho(m-I)}{cn}$$

Set  $\rho = \ell/m$  (recall that  $\rho$  can only assume the values 0, 1/m, 2/m, ..., (m - 1)/m). After substituting and simplifying, we obtain

$$I(cn - \ell) = m(J - \ell)$$

To find the *minimum* period  $\hat{p}$  (that is, the smallest possible value for p), it suffices (since p = I/m) to determine the least positive integer I for which this equation has an integer solution J. If c is not a rational number (that is, the quotient of two integers), no solution is possible. If c is rational, express it as the quotient x/y of two integers, in lowest terms. It can be shown that the minimal solution is

$$\hat{I} = \frac{my}{\gcd(nx - \ell y, my)}$$

where the greatest common divisor of two integers F and G (gcd(F, G)) is defined as the largest integer that divides evenly into both F and G.

We conclude that the minimal period  $\hat{p}$  is given by

$$\hat{p} = \frac{y}{\gcd(nx - ly, my)} days$$

This computation is illustrated below for four different constellation configurations. In example 1, the engagement geometry repeats every day; in example 2, every sixth of a day; in example 3, every three days; and in example 4, every fourth of a day.

Example	m	n	ρ	e	с	h	x	у	nx-l;	y my	gcd(nx-ly,my)	ŷ
1	6	4	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	3	13	1250.75	13	1	49		<sup></sup> . 1	1
2	6	4	<sup>2</sup> /3	4	13	1250.75	13	1	48	6	6	1/6
3	3	8	0	0	141	/ <sub>3</sub> 770.15	43	3	344	9	1	3
4	4	6	$^{1}/_{2}$	2	141,	/ <sub>3</sub> 770.15	43	3	252	12	12	1/4

## Ring-spacing Option B

An  $m \times n$  constellation configured under this option does not possess the perfect symmetry of one configured under option A. This lack of symmetry results from the existence of a "seam" between rings 1 and m, with satellites in these two rings moving in opposite directions, and those in other pairs of adjacent rings moving in the same direction. As a consequence, repetition periods of less than one day are not possible. If each satellite makes c orbits per day, and c = x/y is a rational number in lowest terms (x and y are integers), then the *minimum* repetition period is  $\hat{p} = y/gcd(y, n)$  days. This formula is illustrated in the table below. Note, in particular, that  $\hat{p}$  is independent of the phasing fraction  $\rho$ .

Ex	ample	m	n	с	h	x	у	gcd(y,n)	p	
	1	6	4	13	1250.75	13	1	1	1	
	2	6	4	$13^{1}/_{2}$	1061.26	27	2	2	1	
	3	8	3	13 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	1061.26	27	2	1	2	
	4	4	6	$13^{2}/_{3}$	1000.68	41	3	3	1	

-19-