





Destroy this report when no longer needed. Do not return, it to the originator.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The contents of this report are not to be used for advertising, publication, or promotional purposes. Citation of trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use of such commercial products.

CURE RETAIL

The Point Bydendraick, a catented drilling managem developed by foleta, be, gred to upstall a comprete stidd wall to control cepage it the still teppes Rowerstie, loper Street catentrols Brook ty Sti Separation of carolina.

(11) D. M. L. De Mark, and M. Mertin, and Difference, An experimental environmental systems of the environmental systems of the environmental systems of the environmental systems of the environmental systems. A system of the environmental systems of the environmental systems of the environmental systems of the environmental systems. A system of the environmental systems of the environmen

	Unclass	itiel	
SECURITY	CLASS FICA	TONIE	TH S PAGE

1. REPORT SEC. RITE CLASS FORTION Unclassified 2. SECURITY CLASS FICATION AUCHORITY	16 RESTRICTIVE	MARKINGS		
2. SECURITY CLASS FICATION AUCHORITY				
	3 DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY OF	FREPORT	
The DECLASS FOR TON DOW NORADING SOUTDING	Approved	for public	release	e; distribution
	unlimite	d		
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NUN	RBER(S)
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 66 OFFICE SYMBOL USAEVES (If applicable)	73 NAME OF MO	DNITORING ORGAN	NIZATION	<u> </u>
Geotechnical Laboratory WESGE-R				
6c ACOREST (City, State, and ZIP Code) PO Box 631	76 ADDRESS (Cit	y. State, and ZIP C	lode)	
Vicksburg, MS 39180-0631				
Ba NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING Bb OFFICE SYMBOL ORGANIZATION (If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT IDE	ENTIFICATIO	N NUMBER
to Army Corps of Engineers			<	
un, mouneus jerg, none, and zir euger	PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT
Washington, DC 26314-1000	ELEMENT NO	NO	NO	ACCESSION NO 32310
and Soil Foundations 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Perry, Edward B., Compiler 13a TYPE OF REPORT Final report 13b TIME COVERED FIGURATION A report on the Geo tion, Maintenance, and Rehabilitation (REM National Technical Information Service, 52 17 COSAT CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP Berms Blankets Earth dams	Day) 15 f the Re ailable ngfield, identify by routing to concr Lal Seep	PAGE COUNT 177 pair, Evalua- from the VA 22161. (block number) rete bage Control		
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Presented are the Proceedings of the REMR Workshop on New Remedial Seepage Control Methods for Embankment-Dams and Soil Foundations. The Workshop was conducted to stimulate exchange of ideas and information among leading practitioners, and to provide an authori- tative review of the state-of-the-art for potential users. The proceedings provide written lecture on grouting, flexible membrane linings, drainage measures, jet grouted cutoff walls, reinforced downstream berms, plastic concrete cutoff walls, and ground freezing. A video tape of the workshop, including the panel discussion, is available from the WES library. 20 Distribution Availability OF ABSTRACT 21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified				
22a NAME OF RESIDENCE INDEVICES	226 TELEPHONE (nclude Area Code	220 016	CE SYMBOL
and the second	1		1	

PREFACE

The Proceedings of the Repair, Evaluation, Maintenance, and Rehabilitation (REMR) Research Program Workshop, "New Remedial Seepage Control Methods for Embankment-Dams and Soil Foundations," were prepared for the Headquarters, US Army Corps of Engineers (HQUSACE), by the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES).

The workshop was conducted under REMR Work Unit 32310, "Remedial Cutoff and Control Methods for Adverse Conditions in Embankment-Dams and Soil Foundations." The REMR Overview Committee consists of Mr. James E. Crews and Dr. Tony C. Liu, HQUSACE. Mr. Arthur H. Walz, HQUSACE, was Technical Monitor for this work. The REMR Program Manager was Mr. William F. McCleese, Concrete Technology Division, Structures Laboratory, WES.

This workshop was organized by Dr. Edward B. Perry, Soil Mechanics Division (SMD), Geotechnical Laboratory (GL), WES, under the supervision of Mr. Clifford L. McAnear, Chief, SMD, GL, and the general supervision of Dr. William F. Marcuson III, Chief, GL.

COL Dwayne G. Lee, CE, was the Commander and Director of WES at the time of the workshop. Dr. Robert W. Whalin was Technical Director.



ł	Addession For
•	NTIS GRAGI
i	DIT 179 (1
	Sheet and the second second
	Teller Att and a second
ŀ	
	2.
ļ	DISTING STATE
ļ	Availat Linea and
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
t	Mar Section
1	
	r
	A-1

CONTENTS

	Page
PREFACE	1
CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT	3
INTRODUCTION	4
ATTENDEES	5
AGENDA	7
PRESENTATIONS - OCTOBER 21-22, 1986	
GROUTING FOR GROUND - WATER CONTROL	9
FLEXIBLE MEMBRANE LININGS	34
REMEDIAL DRAINAGE MEASURES	49
USE OF THE HYDROFRAISE TO CONSTRUCT CONCRETE CUTOFF WALLS Jonathan J. Parkinson	61
JET GROUTED EUTOFF WALLS	71
REINFORCED DOWNSTREAM BERMS	106
PLASTIC CONCRETE CUTOFF WALLS	131
GROUND PREEZING AS A CONSTRUCTION EXPEDIENCY FOR EXCAVATING CUTOFF TRENCHES AND/OR INSTALLATION OF DRAINS	147

2

CONVERSION FACTORS, NON-SI TO SI (METRIC) UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Non-SI units of measurements used in this report can be converted to SI (metric) units as follows:

PERSONAL PROPERTY

Multiply	By	To Obtain
acres	4,046.873	square metres
acre-feet	1,233.481	cubic metres
cubic yards	0.7645549	cubic metres
Fahrenheit degrees	5/9	Celsius degrees or Kelvins*
feet	0.3048	metres
feet per second	0.3048	metres per second
gallon (US liquid)	3.785412	cubic decimetres
gallons per minute	3.785412	cubic decimetres per minute
horsepower (550 ft-1b per sec)	745.699	watts
inches	25.4	millimetres
inches per second	25.4	millimetres per second
miles (US statute)	1.609347	kilometres
pounds (force) per inch pounds (force) per square foot	175.1268 47.88026	newtons per metre pascals
pounds (force) per square inch	6894.757	pascals
pounds (mass)	0.4535924	kilograms
square feet	0.9290304	square metres
square yards	0.8361274	square metres
tons (2,000 lb, mass)	0,9144	kilograms

* To obtain Celsius (C) temperature readings from Fahrenheit readings, use the following formula: C = (5/9)(F - 32). To obtain Kelvin (K) readings, use: K = (5/9)(F - 32) + 273.15.

PROCEEDINGS OF REMR WORKSHOP ON NEW REMEDIAL SEEPAGE CONTROL METHODS FOR EMBANKMENT-DAMS AND SOIL FOUNDATIONS

INTRODUCTION

The Repair, Evaluation, Maintenance, and Rehabilitation (REME) Workshop on "New Remedial Seepage Control Methods for Embankment-Dams and Soil Foundations" was held at the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station (WES) on 21-22 October 1986. The workshop was sponsored by REMR Work Unit 32310 entitled "New Remedial Seepage Control Methods for Embankment-Dams and Soil Foundations."

The purpose of the workshop was to stimulate exchange of ideas and information among leading practitioners, and to provide an authoritative review of the state-of-the-art for potential users, primarily those within the federal government.

The workshop was attended by 58 people from the Corps of Engineers, Bureau of Reclamation, Tennessee Valley Authority, Soil Conservation Service, and private organizations. A list of attendees is given on the following page. Presentations were made on grouting, flexible membrane linings, drainage measures, jet grouted cutoff walls, reinforced downstream berms, plastic concrete cutoff walls, and ground freezing. A copy of each written lecture is included in these Proceedings. A video tape of the workshop, including the panel discussion, is available from the WES Library.

ATTENDEES

REMR Workshop on New Remedial Seepage Control Methods for Embankment-Dams and Soil Foundations Vicksburg, Mississippi 21-22 October 1986

Name	Organization	FTS Phone No.	Commercial Phone No.
Herbert C. Albert, Jr.	New Orleans District	None	(504) 862-1003
Leroy Arnold	Little Rock District	740-5055	(501) 378-5055
Dwayne Bankofier	NPD	423-3868	(503) 221-3868
Timothy L. Beauchemin	NED	None	(617) 647-8365
Dewayne Campbell	BuRec, Denver, CO	776-6067	(303) 236-6067
Lawrence H. Cave, Jr.	LMVD	542-5897	(601) 634-5897
Robert Chamlee	SAD	242-6704	(404) 331-6704
Randy R. Childress	SCS - Jackson, MS	490-5035	(601) 965-5035
Edward E. Chisolm	Vicksburg District	542-5638	(601) 634-5638
Jerry Christensen	Portland District	423-6456	(503) 221-6456
Frank T. Cousin, Jr.	SCS - Ft. Worth, TX	334-5445	(817) 334-5445
Erwin Curry	SCS - Jackson, MS	None	(601) 965-4419
Sam K. Darnell	SCS - Jackson, MS	940-4423	(601) 965-4423
Charles M. Deaver	Little Rock District	740-5604	(501) 378-5604
Harold G. DeShazo	SCS - Jackson, MS	490-4431	(601) 965-4431
Clarence Duster	BuRec, Denver, CO	776-3892	(303) 236-3892
Marshall Fausold	Pittsburgh District	722-4123	(412) 644-4123
Rick Ferguson	Kansas City District	758-5560	(816) 374-5560
Ken Graybeal	Seattle District	466-3712	(206) 764-5712
Billy P. Hartsell	SCS - Jackson, MS	490-5191	(601) 965-5191
Lynn Helms	Vicksburg District	542-7207	(601) 634-7207
James B. Hyland	SCS - Ft. Worth, TX	334-5242	(817) 334-5242
Ben Kelly	OCE	272-0207	(202) 272-0207
M. Kenneth Klaus	Vicksburg District	542-7122	(601) 634-7122
Ben J. Letak	Omaha District	864-4447	(402) 221-4447
Morris N. Lobrecht	SCS - Ft. Worth, TX	334-5242	(817) 334-5242

(Continued)

(Concluded)

Name	Organization	FTS Phone No.	Pho	ne No.
Kevin W. Mahon	NAD	264-7556	(212)	264-7556
Leo Mantei	BuRec, Denver, CO	776-3916	(303)	236-3916
Danny K. McCook	SCS - Ft. Worth, TX	334-5444	(817)	334-5444
Charles H. McElroy	SCS - Ft. Worth, TX	334-5444	(817)	334-5444
George J. Mech	Rock Island District	386-6288	(309)	788-6361
Chuck Mendrop	Vicksburg District	542-5208	(601)	634-5208
Pete Montalbano	Vicksburg District	542-5627	(601)	634-5627
Ronald A. Nulton	SCS - Ft. Worth, TX	334-5242	(817)	334-5242
Richard L. Peace	SCS - Jackson, MS	490-5194	(601)	965-5194
Paul Pettit	Haliburton, Houston, TX	None	(713)	652-6073
George R. Powledge	BuRec, Denver, CO	776-9325	(303)	236-9326
George L. Sills	Vicksburg District	542-5631	(601)	634-5631
Hari N. Singh	NCD	353-5733	(312)	353-5733
Michael Snyder	Baltimore District	922-4772	(301)	962-4772
Andrew T. Soule'	Seattle District	466-3790	(206)	764-3790
Samuel T. Stacy	Vicksburg District	542-5635	(601)	634-5635
David Duane Stagg	Vicksburg District	542-5644	(601)	634-5644
Eric Stasch	Omaha District	864-4446	(402)	221-4446
Mel Stegall	LMVD	542-5900	(601)	634-5900
James W. Stingel	SCS - Chester, PA	None	(215)	499-3940
Hugh Taylor	WESGE	542-3454	(601)	634-3454
Eddie Templeton	Vicksburg District	634-5727	(601)	634-5727
Robert J. Thomas	Haliburton, Duncan, OK	None	(405)	251-3557
Carl E. Thompson	TVA-Knoxville, TN	None	(615)	632-6897
F. Walberg	Kansas City District	758-3454	(816)	374-3454
Bill Walker	Alaska District	None	(907)	753-2683
Frank Weaver	LMVD	542-5896	(601)	634-5896
S. Rodney White, Jr.	SCS - Ft. Worth, TX	334-5042	(817)	334-5242
Carroll D. Winter	Little Rock District	740-5603	(501)	378-5603
Bebe S. Yarbrough	SCS - Jackson, MS	490-5202	(601)	965-5202
i. A. Young	LMVD	542-5509	(601)	634-5509
Sibte A. Zaidi	Rock Island District	3866238	(309)	788-6361

AGENDA

REMR Workshop on New Remedial Seepage Control Methods for Embankment-Dams and Soil Foundations Auditorium, Building 1006

Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi

Tuesday Oct. 21.		
1986	Presentations	Speakers
8:30 am	Introduction of LTC Jack R. Stephens, Deputy Commander and Director of WES	Edward B. Perry, WES
8:30	Welcome	LTC Jack R. Stephens, WES
8:40	REMR Research Program	William F. McCleese, WES
8:30	Geotechnical REMR Research Program	Gerald B. Mitchell, WFS
9:00	Introduction of Workshop	Edward B. Perry, WES
9:05	Introduction of Speakers	Joseph L. Kauschinger Tuffs University
9:10	Grouting for Ground-Water Control	Reuben H. Karol, Rutgers University
10:10	Break	
10:30	Flexible Membrane Linings	William R. Morrison, Bureau of Reclamation
11:30	Remedial Drainage Measures	Walter C. Sherman, Tulane University
12:30 pm	Lunch	
1:30	Use of Hydrofraise to Construct Concrete Cutoff Walls	Jonathan J. Parkinson, Soletanche
2:30	Jet Grouted Cutoff Wall	Giorgio Guatteri, Novatecna Victorio D. Altan, Suelotecnica
3:30	Break	
4:00	Reinforcement Downstream Berms	James M. Duncan, Virginia Polytechnic Institute
5:00	Adjournment	

Wednesday Oct. 22, 1986	Presentations	Speakers
8:30 am	Announcements	
8:35	Plastic Concrete Cutoff Walls	George J. Tamaro, Mueser Rutledge Consulting Engineers
9:35	Break	
10:00	Ground freezing as a Construction Expediency for Excavating Cutoff Trenches and/or Installation of Drains	John A. Shuster, Geocentric
11:00	Panel Discussion *	Joseph L. Kauschinger Tufts University
12:30	Adjournment	

* Panel consists of all speakers.

GROUTING FOR GROUND-WATER CONTROL

Reuben H. Karol Consulting Engineer Professor Emeritus, Rutgers University

Introduction

1. Grouting with cement for control of ground water became an accepted construction procedure in the late nineteenth century in Europe and, at the turn of the twentieth century, in the United States.

2. Chemical grouting became an accepted construction procedure between 1920 and 1930, with the successful completion of field jobs using sodium silicate. The modern era of chemical grouting, which saw the introduction of many new and exotic products for field use, began only 30 to 40 years ago.

3. Procedures and techniques used with cement grouts were developed primerily by the large federal agencies concerned with dam construction; Corps of Engineers, Bureau of Reclamation, and Soil Conservation Service. Predictably, each of these organizations developed its methods unilaterally, resulting in major areas of difference in philosophy and execution.

4. It remains difficult, if not impossible, to assess the effects of these differences on the success of field work. This is partly because each field project is unique, and two similar jobs done by different approaches do not exist. Primarily, however, almost all of the cement grouting is done to increase the safety factor against some kind of failure. There are generally no precise methods of measuring the safety factors before and after grouting, when failure does not occur. By way of contrast, remedial grouting (often done for seepage control) is aimed at a specific problem, where failure or incipient failure on a limited scale is recognizable. Grouting either corrects or fails to correct the problem, and the benefits of grouting, as well as the specific procedures used, are directly measurable.

5. By any reasonable variation (volume, cost, man-hours, etc.), the grouting experience of the federal approxies is overwhelminaly is the use of cement. The standard and practices used in coment arouting duite naturally were carried over into obscical arouting upage. Since of these procedures were totally imppropriate and severely limited the success of some of the carly

 \bigcirc

chemical grouting experiences. However, these philosophical difficulties have by now been largely overcome. Chemical grouting is accepted as a valid and valuable construction procedure, and the concepts of short gel times, accurate control of gel times, and sophisticated multipump systems and grout pipes have been integrated into practice.

6. There are two major purposes for grouting, and any field problem can be classified in terms of the desired results of the grouting process: (a) to reduce seepage or to create a barrier against water flow, and (b) to add shear strength to a formation or structure in order to increase bearing capacity, increase stability, reduce settlements and ground movement, and/or immobilize the particles of a granular mass.

7. The term seepage is difficult to define quantitatively. The American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) Glossary of Terms states that it is "the flow of small quantities of water through soil, rock or concrete." This definition depends on the interpretation of the word "small." Five gallons per minute (gpm)* of water entering the bottom of a deep shaft is a small amount. The same quantity entering a domestic basement is a large amount. Seepage, then, is better defined in terms of the procedures used to eliminate it, rather than by job or quantity of water involved. Seepage control generally does not require complete grouting of a formation.

8. Creating a barrier against water flow may be done by grouting specific individual flow channels, by constructing a grouted cutoff, or by a grout curtain through some or all of a pervious formation.

Types of Flow Problems

9. During the construction phase of a project, water inflow is considered a problem (and dealt with) only when the inflow halts or retards construction. However, the same amount of inflow that is tolerable during construction may not be tolerable during operational phase of the structure. Seepage may also begin after construction is completed, because of the elements of the structure that modify the normal ground-water flow, because of faulty construction, because of foundation movements due to consolidation or

A table of factors for converting non-S1 units of measurement to S1 (metric) units is presented on page 3.

earthquakes, and/or because of ground movements from slope instability. Many times the need for seepage control is recognized in the design stages, and cutoff construction is integrated into the overall construction schedule. However, seepage control procedures are more generally carried out after the structure is completed.

10. Typical seepage problems include infiltration through fissures in rock, through joints and porous zones in concrete, and through pervious soil strata.

Control of Water Flow

II. If only a limited number of channels are available for water flow, it is feasible and usually preferable to use procedures aimed at individual channels. These are discussed under the heading of "seepage control."

12. If many channels are available for water flow, but flow is occurring only through a few of them, seepage control procedures often result in shifting the water flow from grouted channels to previously drv channels. If, in the end, a large number of channels must be grouted, other procedures will probably be more cost-effective than treating flow channels one at a time.

13. If many flow channels are available and flowing, such as in the case of granular soils or severely fissured rock, procedures are generally used that attempt to impermeabilize a predetermined volume of the formation. These procedures are discussed under the heading "grouted cutoffs."

Grouting Materials

14. The desirable properties for grouts used for seepage control and for cutoffs are similar. Except for very limited special cases, all of the grouts used must be permanent. They must have adequate strength and imperviousness, and these properties must not deteriorate with age or by contact with ground or ground water. The grouts must have controllable setting times over a wide range, be acceptably nonhazardous to humans and ecology, and be inexpensive enough to be competitive with other construction alternatives. Finally, they must have a low enough viscosity or particle size to permit placement at acceptable economic rates and safe pressures. 15. Figure 1 shows a comparison chart of various ground-water control methods and materials, including commercial grouts. These are plotted against minimum grain size and permeability of granular materials in which the various processes are effective. The data can also be used to define the minimum groutable fissure size for fractured rock or concrete. The limits shown in the chart are approximate, but the relative positions of the processes are reasonably correct.

16. All of the grouts shown have histories of successful field use. The effectiveness of each in terms of penetrability can be evaluated by defining the specific formations to be grouted. All of the grouts shown are considered permanent, except for some of the low concentration silicates. Although the various products differ considerably in strength, all (again, except for some of the low concentration silicates) have adequate strength to resist extrusion from flow channels if placed at pressures greater than the maximum static head at the point of placement. Recent laboratory tests give indication that some of the silicates may not be stable under long-term, high static heads. In a series of tests reported by Krizek and Madden at the April, 1985, ASCE symposium in Denver, Colorado, the behavior pattern shown in Figure 2 was presented. Silicate grouts generally followed patterns 1 and 2. Acrylamides and acrylates followed pattern 3. The report concludes:

- a. The polyacrylic grouts AM-9 and AC-400 show no signs of deterioration or erosion due to the application of a gradient of 100. The permeability of specimens injected with these grouts remained constant throughout the tests and appeared to be independent of the curing time allowed prior to testing or the average void size in the soil matrix.
- b. Specimens injected with the sodium silicate grouts underwent large variations in permeability during the early stages of testing, but once the permeability stabilized, it remained relatively constant for the remainder of the test. The value at which the permeability stabilized appears to be dependent on the permeability of the ungrouted soil and to a lesser extent on the chemical characteristics of the grout. In general, it appears that at gradients between 50 and 100 the maximum longterm reduction in the permeability of a soil due to the injection of these grouts is one to two orders of magnitude.
- c. The amount and rate of grout elutriation appear to be dependent on the strength of the gel and the amount of syneresis experienced in the grout. The polyacrylic and sodium aluminate grouts, which achieve their maximum strength very shortly after gelation and experienced little or no syneresis, showed no sign of grout elutriation when cured for periods of at least 10 and

30 min, respectively. The silicate grouts require several days to achieve their maximum strengths and exhibit reductions of as much as 25 percent of their original volume due to syneresis. Specimens injected with silicate grouts and cured for less than one day experienced rapid, and usually complete, elutriation because of lack of strength. In older specimens the elutriation was a gradual process, and the rate at which the permeability increased was apparently accelerated by increases in the degree of syneresis.

- d. For the silicate grouted specimens in which most of the grout was eroded, that grout which remained appeared to be concentrated at the contact points between the soil grains.
- e. Extreme caution is recommended when considering the silicate grouts tested in this program for use in situations where they will be subjected to high gradients.

17. All properly placed grouts at time of placement have permeabilities of 10^{-8} cm/sec or less. Silicate grouts, within days or weeks after placement, undergo permeability increase, probably due to syneresis, with final permeability in the range of 10^{-4} cm/sec. This does not appear to be a serious limitation when grouting granular deposits. When grouting rock fissures, however, syneresis may have serious affects. Recent graduate studies at Rutgers University, of which some results are shown in Figure 3, indicate that the amount of syneresis varies with the catalyst systems (and with other factors) and may approach 40 percent of the grout volume. Thus many of the silicate formulations may not be appropriate for grouting fissures for water control.

18. All of the grouts have controllable setting times. Among the best are acrylamides and acrylates, and among the worst are silicates and phenoplasts. The degree of difference between those described as "best" and those described as "worst" is not sufficient to remove any of them from consideration for field work. All of the grouts pose some degree of hazard to people and ecology. Among the best are the various silicate formulations, and among the worst is acrylamide. Although acrylamide has been used throughout the world since the mid-fifties and continues to be used everywhere except Japan, it has been known for many years that it is toxic and neurotoxic. More recently, laboratory studies by the manufacturers indicate that acrylamide is also carcinogenic. These negative properties obviously forecast a decrease in usage.

19. The grouts that come closest to matching acrylamide's excellent properties are the acrylates. Currently, two commercial products, somewhat

similar in nature, are available in the United States. One of these is AC-400, and the other is Terragel. Typical properties of the commercial grouts are shown in Figure 4. Many of the metallic acrylates can function as chemical grouts. The selection of the ones marketed commercially is based largely on cost, but also on specific properties of the various salts. Since some of the salts will increase in volume when changing from liquid to solid, it is possible to tailor products to specific field requirements. Acrylates have low levels of toxicity and ecological impact and seem to be the best candidates to replace acrylamide.

20. Among the relatively new catalyst systems for silicates is a product called glyoxal. This material has been used in large quantities in Japan in recent years and also in Europe. It has seen little use in the United States, although it is readily available from several sources. It can be used for both low and high concentration silicate solutions, and with or without accelerators, such as calcium chloride. Limited laboratory studies at Kutgers University, some results of which are shown in Figure 5, indicate that glyoxal tends to give much higher stabilized strength thar other catalyst systems. However, there appears to be a falloff of strength with time. (This phenomenon also occurs with other catalyst systems.) Glyoxal was not among the materials checked in the report by Krizek and Madden. More extended testing is meeded to determine if glyoxal is the catalyst that will permit effective use of silicates for seepage control.

21. The ability of a solid grout, such as cement, to penetrate a fissure or formation is a function of the particle size. A rule of thumb is that formation openings must be at least three times the maximum particle size to prevent rapid blinding of the formation. When normal Portland Cement will not penetrate, high early-strength cement (a more finely ground material) is often used. Although this change is generally effective, the degree of difference is small. Within the past 5 years a new product. Microfine Cement MC-500, has been introduced by Onoda Cement Co. of Japan. The product and its usage were detailed in a paper, which appeared in the proceedings of the New Orleans ASCE Grouting Conference held in 1982. Figure 6 lists some of the physical properties of this product.

22. Manfacturers' data suggest that penetrability of MC-500 is equal to that of acrylamides and acrylates. Laboratory studies at Rutgers University tend to equate MC-500 and the silicates, in regard to penetrability.

23. Cement and silicates are mutual catalysts. Each, in small quantities, can be used to gel the other. MC-500 also follows this relationship. When silicates are used to catalyze MC-500, setting times of a few seconds to several minutes are obtained. When MC-500 is used alone with water, settings times are 4 to 6 hr. No catalyst system has yet been found that will give reliable setting times in the 10-min to 3-hr range. This is a severe drawback, but possibly one that can be eliminated by modifying percentages of the MC-500 components. Currently the cost of MC-500 is high, in the range of the chemical grouts. As the market grows, and other manufacturers compete, costs will decrease significantly.

24. Based on the data presented above, material recommendations for seepage control and cutoff construction are: (a) use Portland Cement wherever feasible, and (b) use MC-500 and the acrylates for field conditions where ordinary cements will not penetrate.

Grouting Procedures

Seepage control

25. The earliest studies of seepage control procedures that were fully documented for the profession were performed in 1957 by the author while in charge of Cyanamid's Engineering Materials Research Center. It is probable that the methods developed by these studies had been used as described below or in modified form by others prior to the publication of the results and recommendations. If so, these data remained buried in contractors' files as proprietary information.

26. The initial field work in the 1957 study was performed in a Canadian copper mine, 250 ft below ground surface. This was one of the first applications of grouts other than silicates in mines. Data on fault zone location, such as shown on the drift map in Figure 7, as well as direct visual observation of seepage locations were used to determine placing and direction of grout boles. Grouting through these holes proved to be completely ineffective in ceducing seepage. This work indicated clearly that the route grout would follow (from its injection point to its exit point or firal location) could not be determined with any accuracy by interpretation of the geologic map coupled with visual site examination. In fact, the complexity of seepage

through a fissured rock mass virtually precludes the effective preplanning of a complete grouting operation.

27. Following the initial mine grouting, a series of laboratory experiments were set up to simulate field seepage conditions. Lucit: tubing was used to represent seepage channels and drill holes, as shown in Figure 8.

28. In one experiment, point B was shut and water was pumped through point A at a constant rate until equilibrium was reached. The numbers on the lines in Figure 8 show the percent of total flow going through each line. When the volume pumped through point A was changed and equilibrium again established, the percent of total flow going through each line varied as shown on the table in Figure 8.

29. Other experiments verified the conclusion to be drawn from this one that percent flow was not a direct function of total flow and that the variation was much higher in pipes with low percentage flows than in those with high percentages.

30. It was found after working with any given model under a number of different conditions that sufficient data were available so that the simulated seepage system could be sealed with a preplanned procedure. The required data for any system could be summarized by the following descriptions:

- a. The required pumping pressure to fill all the seepage channels with ground-water dilution held to negligible proportions.
- b. The time lapse from the start of pumping until the pumped fluid reaches the end of each seepage channel.
- c. The volume of pumped fluid required to fill all the channels at the required pumping pressure.

Such data can be obtained only by a pumping test. It cannot be obtained by visual examination even for very simple seepage systems, because the addition of external pumping pressure, which will be required for grouting, changes the characteristics of the seepage system.

31. Lab work and field work both indicate conclusively that when pressure conditions within a seepage system are changed, the very small leaks are much more affected than the large ones. Thus, it should be expected that a field pumping test will reveal leaks which were not flowing under normal static head conditions.

²⁰. The laboratory studies showed that at some point within a seepage system the external fluid pumped mixes with the internal fluid (in field work, this means growt would mix with ground water). At small pumping volumes it should be expected that somewhere within the seepage system grout will be diluted with ground water to the extent that it will not gel. As the pumping volume is increased, the detrimental dilution will decrease. Under both conditions, the grout tends to flow toward and into that portion of the seepage system farthest from the source of ground water supply.

33. The laboratory studies pointed the way to techniques, refined by rield experimentation, which work well in stopping seepage in tractured rock and which are also useful in treating fractured and porous concrete. The technique consists of first drilling a short hole that will intersect waterbearing fissures and cracks. Holes are drilled in the simplest fashion, often by jackhammer. Bry holes are generally worthless and should be abandoned. Wet holes (holes that strike water) are generally useful and are dye-tested as scon as completed.

34. The dye test is done with the grout plant by pumping dyed water through a packer placed at the collar of the wet hole just drilled. The dye concentration must be catchely controlled, so that if ground water dilutes the dye beyond the point where similar dilution would prevent the grout from gelling, the dye cannot be seen. Pumping pressures should be kept well below the pump capacity. It these criteria limit the pumping rate to less than i gpm, it may be best to abandon the hole and drill a new one. (The rate noted is approximate and may well vary from job to job. At some low rate for each specific job, it will become economically more feasible to drill new holes to find higher takes rather than to treat tight ones. Job experience will soon dictate which wet holes need not even be tested.)

35. When the dye test begins, the adjacent wall area is carefully watched for evidence of dye. When dye is first seen at any point, the time since the start of pumping is noted as well as the pumping pressure and rate. Dye tests may be stopped when dye appears at one point or may be continued until a number of different locations show dye. (Generally, the points where dye appears are in areas which are already wet or flowing. This is the assumed condition in the discussion which follows. If dye appears only in areas that were dry prior to the dye test, the hole should be abandoned.) For each point, time, pressure, and rale are recorded.

36. Every time dye appears, this indicates that an open seepage channel exists between the packer and the point where dye appears. The exact location of the channel within the rock mass is not known, but it the drill hole being

tested mode water, then the established channel must reach into the water scores somewhere. Therefore, the hole is worth grouting.

- Barrenser - Renservan

C. The time recorded for the appearance of dye is the maximum gel time that can be used to seal that particular channel. If longer gel times are used, the grout will run out of the leak before it sets. If the time is short, of the order of 5 to 10 sec, this may be too short a gel time to handle readily. The effective time can be lengthened by lowering the pumping rate. This is a necessary step when attempting to seal a number of zones from one hole and one of the zones has a very short return time.

38. If the return time is long, say 5 min or more, it can be shortened by increasing the pumping rate. This will generally raise the pumping pressure and may therefore not be feasible if allowable working pressures would be exceeded. It is usually not productive to treat holes that show return times of 10 min and more when holes with shorter return times are available.

39. Metering pumps are highly desirable for dealing with seepage probleas for both the dve tests and the actual grouting. Once a hole has been drilled and tested and it has been determined that grouting is in order, the composition lines may be switched directly from the dved water tanks to the er ut tanks. Dve may also be used in the grout, a color different from that used for dve testing.

40. When pumping begins, the pumping volume should be brought as quickly as possible to that used during the tests, and the grout itself should have a gel time of about three quarters of the previously recorded return time. The numbing pressure is monitored to make sure it does not exceed the allowable, but otherwise no attempt need be made at this stage to keep the pressure at dive test values. The leak is watched closely. It should begin to seal at about the recorded return time. If this does not bappen and dive (of the color used in the grout) does not appear at the leak, then dilution beyond the ability to gel has occurred. (This would normally mean too high a dive connectration was used in the dive tests.) If dive does appear but the leak does not seal, then dilution of the grout has extended the gel time beyond the return time. This may be counteracted by decreasing the gel time (easy to do with returning equipment but very difficult with equal volume equipment) or by the reside the purping rate (easy to do with either kind of equipment).

As the leak begins to seal, the pumping pressure will rise, partic- $(-)^{(n-1)}$ is a similar channel is being treated. If the rise is rapid or reaches high enough values, it will blow out the seal just made and reopen the leak. Therefore, it is important, as the leak begins to seal, to keep the pressure from rising by reducing the pumping rate. It is desirable at this time to continue pumping and, if possible, to place additional grout, since the grout now being pumped is most probably going directly into the source of the seepage. If field conditions and experience offer no clue to the additional volume to be placed, pump an amount equal to that pumped up to the time the leak sealed.

42. Once gel begins forming in the seepage channel, the entire seepage net is altered, and all previously gathered seepage data may become totally unreliable. This is the primary reason why holes should be drilled, tested, and grouted one at a time. For holes which feed more than one leak, considerable change in return times will occur once sealing of one leak begins. For such holes, sealing of additional leaks becomes a trial-and-error proposition, with a good chance of blowing out earlier seals with the higher pressures that may be needed for other leaks.

43. The process described can be readily used to seal one leak or zone at a time, and if the total number of leaking zones is small, the technique is economical for complete seepage control. (Actually, 100 percent shutoff can be obtained for individual leaks but is often not economically feasible for a system with many leaks. For example, it may cost as much to shut off the last 10 percent as it does the first 90 percent of the total seepage.) However, if there are many leaks, the method becomes very time-consuming, and it also becomes necessary to shut off leaks by shutting off the source of seepage. Grout pumped through the hole after all the external leaks have closed is very effective for this purpose. It may be necessary to use cutoff wall procedures. Curtains

44. Grout curtains are barriers to ground-water flow whose purpose is to restrict or redirect the existing ground-water flow paths sufficiently to limit total water flow to colerable amounts. A curtain is created by grouting a volume of soil or rock, generally of limited thickness, normal to the existing flow direction. Typically, a grout curtain could be used alongside or underweath a dam to reduce drainage of the impounded water. Curtains may also be placed around construction sites or shafts to reduce water inflow. Where the required service life is of limited duration, well points of other evolution methods often prove more practical. For long-term shutofis,

where the zone to be impermeabilized is close to ground surface, slurry walls are often more cost-effective. Where the treatment is deep or below a structure that cannot be breached, grout curtains remain the most practical solution.

45. The previous discussion of grouting materials is applicable to eront curtains as well as to sealing small seepages. However, grout curtains are generally large jobs in terms of time and materials involved. For large jobs of any kind, there is often economic merit in the use of more than one grout, using a less expensive material for the first treatment (cement, clay, and bentonite should be considered if they will penetrate coarser zones) and following with a (generally) more expensive and less viscous material to handle residual permeability. To date, there has been very limited use of the microtine cements. These products fall in between normal cements and the low viscosity chemical grouts both in penetrability and cost, and depending upon site corditions, they may replace one or both of the other grouts.

46. The pattern for a grout curtain is a plan view of the location of each grout line or row, and every hole in each row. The sequence of grouting each hole should also be noted on the pattern. In order to approach total curter, a grout curtain must contain at least three rows of grout holes and the inner row should be grouted last. The distance between rows, as well as the distance between holes in each row, is selected by balancing the cost of placing grout holes against the cost of the volume of grout required. As the spacing between grout holes increases, the required total grout volume increases, but the required linear feet of drilling decreases. For any specific job, the actual costs of drilling and grout can be computed for several different spacings to determine the specific spacing for minimum cost. This generally turns out to be in the 3- to 6-ft range for chemical grouts. For the microfine cements, optimum spacing will fall in the same range.

37. Grout curtains placed with normal cement grout have in the past used much larger hele spacings to begin with (10 to 30 ft), and have used a technique of splitting the spacing one or more times while grouting to a returns pressure or volume. Thus, holes placed at a 20-ft spacing to begin with may be out to a 10-ft spacing, then 5 ft, then 2 1/2 ft, etc. This triand, which requires a lot of drilling, is based on the fact that cement counter, as generally used, will have long setting times in the field, several hours or more. When working with cement as the major grout, hole spacing

should start at 10 ft or more if microtine cements or chemical grouts will not be used, or will be used only in the center row of holes. If normal cements are to be used at the start of a chemical grout curtain primarily to plug large voids, the spacing of grout holes should be that applicable to a chemical grout curtain.

48. The length of a grout curtain is often determined by the physical parameters of the job. A cutoff between two foundations obviously has a length equal to the distance between them. A grout curtain on one side of a dam, however, need not extend indefinitely or to the closest impervious formation. Such curtains function by extending the otherwise short flow paths far enough so that flow is reduced to tolerable amounts. The length may be extended to where more permeable zones terminate or may be designed on hydraulic principles alone.

49. The depth of a grout curtain is determined by the soil profile. Unless the bottom of the curtain reaches relatively impervious material, the curtain will be ineffective if shallow, and very expensive if deep.

50. When a grout curtain is built for a dam prior to the full impounding of water behind the dam, there may be no way to evaluate performance of the curtain for a long time after its completion. Even when performance can be evaluated quickly, there is often no way to relate poor performance to faulty construction opening or "windows" in the curtains which were not grouted. Thus, complete and detailed records are vital for each grout hole. These will indicate the location of probable windows and permit retreatment of such zones while grouting is still going on.

51. In contrast to the seepage problems previously discussed, grout curtains cannot economically be constructed by trial and error in the field. The entire program of grouting must be predesigned, based on data from a geological investigation and an adequate concept of anticipated performance.

52. The first step in the design of a grout curtain is the spatial definition of the soil or rock volume to be grouted. The design then defines the location of grout holes and the sequence of grouting. For each hole the volume of grout per lineal foot of hole is determined, based on the void volume and the pipe spacing, to allow sufficient overlap between grouted mones. The intent is to form a stabilized cylinder of a desired specific diameter along

the length of pipe. The diameter is selected so that stabilized masses from adjacent grout holes will be in contact with each other, and overlap slightly.

53. In practice, it is difficult to synchronize the pumping rate and pipe pulling (or driving) rate to obtain a uniform grout placement rate along the pipe length. It is common practice to pull (or drive) the pipe in increments and hold it in place for whatever length of time is required to place the desired volume of grout. If small volumes of grout are placed at considerable distances apart, the obvious result is isolated stabilized spheres (or flattened spheres). As the distance between placement points decreases, the stabilized masses approach each other. The stabilized masses will also approach each other, if the distance between placement points remains constant but grout volume increases. Experiment and experience have shown that the chances of achieving a relatively uniform cylindrical shape are best when the distance the pipe is pulled between grout injections does not exceed the grout flow distance normal to the pipe. For example, if a stabilized cylinder 5 ft in diameter is wanted, in a soil with 30 percent voids, 45 gal of grout are needed per foot of grout hole. The pipe should not be pulled more than 30 in. At 36-in, pulling distance, 112 gal should be paced. (The grouting could also be done by injecting 77 gal at 18-in, intervals, etc.)

54. Even when the proper relationship between volumes and pulling distance is observed, nonuniform penetration can still occur in natural deposits when these are stratified. Degrees of penetration can vary as much as natural permeability differences. Such nonuniformity has adverse effects on the ability to carry out a field grouting operation in accordance with the engineering design.

S5. It would be of major value to be able to obtain uniform penetration rewardless of permeability differences in the soil profile. In assessing the cause for penetration differences, it becomes apparent that the grout which is interted first will seek the easiest flow paths (through the most pervious materials) and will flow preferentially through those paths. To modify this condition, other factors must be introduced. If the grout were made to set prior to the completion of the grouting operation, it would set in the more open channels where it had gone first and force the remaining grout to flow into the finer ones. Accurate control of gel time thus becomes an important factor in obtaining more uniform penetration in stratified deposits. Just as in controlling the detrinental effects of ground-water flow, more uniform

penetration in stratified deposits also requires keeping gel times to a minimum.

56. The operating principles can be summarized as follows:

- a. The pipe pulling distance must be related to volume placed at one point.
- b. The dispersion effects of gravity and ground water should be kept to a minimum.
- c. Excess penetration in coarse strata must be controlled to permit grouting of adjacent finer strata.

11. The first criterion requires only arithmetic and a knowledge of soil voids. Isolated stabilized spheres will result if the distance the pipe is pulled between injections is greater than the diameter of the spheres formed by the volumes pumped. Graphic trials at decreasing pipe pulling distances readily show that the stabilized shape begins to approach a cylinder as the distance the pipe is pulled approaches the radial spread of the grout as discussed previously.

58. The second criterion requires that grout be placed at a substantially greater rate than the flow of ground water past the placement point and that the gel time does not exceed the pumping time. In the formations where chemical grouts would be considered--those too fine to be treated by cement, pumping rates more than 1 gpm are adequate to prevent dispersion under laminar flow conditions. (Turbulent flow does not occur in such soils other than at surfaces exposed by excavation). The control of gel times not to exceed the pumping time is readily done with dual pumping systems but is difficult and trustrating with batch systems.

59. The third criterion requires that the gel time be shorter than the pumping time. (The alternative is to make additional injections in the same zone after the first injection has set. This would require additional drilling and would certainly be more costly). This process is feasible with chemical grouts but obviously cannot work with a batch system. Dual pumps and continuous catalysis are required.

Summary

60. Controlling seepage or flow through subsurface channels requires plugging the channels individually or collectively. Crouts are often used for these purposes. Cements, including the new microfine products, and acrylates

are the materials most suitable, considering cost, toxicity and ge! time control. Field procedures for seepage control and curtain grouting differ greatly, but each method works most effectively when used with short gel times.

<u> </u>		-								
PERMEA	BILITY K,	CM/SE	C							
19	•	10-1		10.2	10	3	10 4	10-5	10 ⁻⁶	
	товот 22тт	<u>+</u> បច		0.2	0.1		0.02	U.01 0.0)06	0 002
GRAIND	DIAMETER	, MM								
) L	20 1	40	60 1	100 140	200 	U.S. STA	NDARD SIEVE	SIZES	
GRAVEL	T		SAND			COAF	ISE SILT	SILT (NON	N-PLASTIC)
LINE	COARSE		MEDIUM		FINE			CLAY-SOIL		
DEWATE	RING MET	THODS								
SUMPS & P	HIMPS									
	WEL:POI	NTS				7				
	L			VA	CUUM WE	LLPOIN	TS			
1							ELE	CTRO-OSMO	SIS	
STABILIZ	ZATION M	етно	os							
VIGRO CO	MPACTION									
DYNAMIC	CLEP COMP	ACTIO	V							
COMPRESS	SEDAIR									
FREEZING										
1				[PRE-LO4	DING				
1							LIME TRE	EATMENT		
	NG MATER	RIALS								
	F									
POLYURE	THANES & F	POLYAC	BYLAMI	DES						
HIGH CON	CENTRALIC	ON SILI	CATES		1					
A MINOPLA	ASTS									
LOW CONC	ENTRATIO	N SILIC	ATËS							
PHENOPLA	<u>xsts</u>									
ACAYLAT	ES									
ACRYLAM	IDES									

BAR TEAC

Figure 1. Ground-water control methods



 INCLUDES HARDENER 600, GELOC-4, TERRASET, AND ETHYL ACETATE/FORMAMIDE GROUTS

** INCLUDES AM-9 AND AC-400

MARCHINE SAMAGE

Figure 2. Permeability versus time



Figure 3. Syneresis of some sodium silicate grouts

PROPERTIES OF CONCENTRATED GROUT

THE NEW GROUP CONSISTS ∂F a mixture of acrylate monomers in water solution with the following properties

APPE ARIANCE	STRAW YELLOW COLOR
DENSITY	98 LBS GAL (118 GM ML)
STABILITY	6000
PERCENT SOLIDS	38 - 42*
; н	65-75

THE GROUP HAS GUOD STORAGE STABILITY BUT SHOULD BE KEPT ABOVE ITS FREEZING POINT - 4, F - 20, CHANU BELOW 100, F (38, C), IT CANNOT BE STORED IN CONTACT WITH STEEL

PROPERTIES OF GROUTING SOLUTION

Ŀ

AC-400 GHOUTING SOLUTION CONTAINS 10 PERCENT MONOMER SOLIDS AND SUFFICIENT ACCELERATOR FTEAL AND INITIATOR 14P- TO GIVE THE REQUIRED GEL TIME. THE PROPERTIES OF A TYPICAL ORDUTING SOLUTION FOLLOWS

VISCUSITY	2 CPS (20 C 50 RPM, BRUOKFIELD)
DENSITY	8.6 LBS GAL (1.04 GM ML)
5114	7.5 - 9.5
STABILITY	3 DAYS CATALYZED

PROPERTIES OF ACRYLATE GELS

THE LONG FLEXINE CROSSLINKED POLYMER CHAINS OF ACRYLATE GEL AT 10 PERCENT SULTOS IN WATER HAVE THESE PROPERTIES.

APPEARANCE	WHITE, FLEXIBLE GEL
SCLOBIL: *Y	INSOLUBLE IN WATER, KEROSENE GASOLINE THE GEL WILL SWELL SLIGHTLY IN THE PRESENCE OF WATER
PERMEABILITY	5 × 10 ⁻⁹ CM SEC
\$*481, 1**	UNDER CONDITIONS THAT ALLOW WATER TO EVAPO RATE GEL WILL GRADUALLY DEMYDRATE IN UNDER. WATER OR AT 100 PERCENT RELATIVE HUMIDITY (CONDITIONS UNDERGROUND). THE GEL WILL MAINTAIN ITS VOLUME FOR YEARS THE GEL IS RESISTANT TO ATTACK BY BACTERIA, FUNGI AND THE DILUTE CHEMI- CALS THAT MAY BE FOUND UNDERGROUND
LONGEVITY	BECAUSE OF THE PERMANENCE OF ACRYLATE POLY-

BECAUSE OF THE PERMANENCE OF ACRYLATE POLY-MERS IN SOILS AND THE SIMILARITY BETWEEN ACRYLAMIDE MONOMER AND ACRYLATE MOVIOMER POLYMERIZATIONS. IT IS BELIEVED THAT AC-400 GEL WILL REMAIN UNAFFECTED FOR MANY YEARS IN SOILS.



THE CHEMICAL GROUT CONSISTED BY WEIGHT OF 25 PERCENT LIDUID GROUT CONCENTHANE O 3 PERCENT THOSTHANDLAMINE AND 0 3 PERCENT AMMINIUM PERSILERATE THE BALANI - WAS WATER THIS GROUT MICHANE A 10 MINUTE GELITIME AT THE

Sec.

٠.



Figure 4. Properties of acrvlate grout

1.000



Figure 5. Strength versus age analysis of glyoxal, diacetin, formamide, and terraset Part B

MU-500 MICROFINE CEMENT

[



BRAHATION CHRVES FOR MC 500 AND CEMENTS



Figure 6. Properties of MC-500 grout (Continued)

مرجمة المواجر المواجر ومرجمة ومرجمة ومرجمة والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعادية والمعاد



Э́Ц.÷

Figure 6. (Concluded)


Figure 7. Geologic map at 250-ft level

والمرجع والمرجع

		MH - M	NUCH W	UDEL.
PIPE NO.	CC	2°	55	-~
-	305	20-	169	20.
2	43	3.	22	3.
ന	21	1.	80	÷
4	15	-	6	-
5	395	26-	232	28+
6	455	30+	210	26-
7	12	-	5	÷
30	78	5	44	5.
6	48	e	32	4 -
10	46	٣	37	4.
1	35	2+	20	2+
12	34	2+	1	-
13	39	ŝ	33	4
TOTAL FLOW	1526		828	

D



Figure 8. Seepage model and data

FLEXIBLE MEMBRANE LININGS by William R. Morrison Bureau of Reclamation

Background

1. Since the end of World War II, the rapid development of synthetic polymers has made a bost of new construction materials available. In cooperation with industry, the Bureau of Reclamation has conducted extensive laboratory and field research on many of these synthetic materials engineered specifically for use as waterproof membrane linings. This work has led to the development of FML's (flexible membrane linings) for seepage control in irrigation canals, reservoirs, and ponds. As a result of this development, there has been an increased use of FML's in dam construction.

2. The FML's are thin, tough, impermeable plastic or elastomeric films ranging in thickness from 10 to 100 mils (1 mil equals 0.001 in.). The term "geomembrane" has recently been coined for FML's.

- 3. The most common membrane linings include the following materials:
 - a. PVC (polyvinyl chloride).
 - b. LDPE (low-density polyethylene). This plastic is the type used in manufacturing trash bags, such as Hefty and Glad. For comparative purposes, trash bags range in thickness from 1-1/2 to 2 mils. Also, LDPF is quite often called "Visqueen."
 - c. HDPE (high-density polyethylene).
 - d. CPE (chlorinated polyethylene).
 - e. CSPE (chlorosulfonated polyethylene). This material is also called Hypalon, which is Dupont's trade name for the compound.
 - f. Butyl rubber.
 - g. EPDM (ethylene propylene diene monomer).

Some of these linings (\underline{d} through \underline{g}) can be manufactured with a reinforcing scrim to improve tear strength properties and dimensional stability (shrink resistance).

Canal Linings

4. Much of the development work on plastic canal linings has been accomplished under the Bureau's ICCL (Lower Cost Canal lining) program,

terminated in 1967, and its replacement, the OCCS (Open and Closed Conduit Systems) program.

5. The use of the plastic linings has primarily been in conjunction with the rehabilitation of old, unlined canals, especially in areas unsuitable for compacted earth or concrete linings. This work involving the procedures listed below is generally accomplished during the nonirrigation season, and it often involves wintertime construction.

- a. Excavation of the existing canal a minimum of 1 ft.
- b. Subgrade preparation.
- c. Installation of the membrane lining.
- d. Placement of an earth cover (12 to 18 in. in depth) to protect the membrane from the elements and physical damage.

6. Because of the requirement of an earth cover, membrane linings are restricted to canals having low-velocity flows (1 to 3 ft/sec). Also, the side slopes should be no steeper than 2.5:1 and preferably 3:1. Several excellent articles have been prepared recently concerning slope stability of membrane-lined facilities (Giroud and AH-Line 1984, Martin and Koerner 1985).

7. Either PVC or LDPE can be used in plastic canal lining work. The decision to use PVC or PE (polyethylene) is made on the basis of local conditions and service requirements for each specific installation. Because PVC is more resistant to punctures, more readily available in larger sheets (up to 70 ft wide and 1,000 ft long, depending upon thickness), and more easily repaired and field spliced with solvent-type cement, it has been used more extensively than PE in Bureau work.

8. Although PE possesses better low-temperature properties and aging characteristics than PVC, it is more difficult to handle and currently is available only in seamless rolls up to 40 ft wide and 200 ft long. Consequently, it requires more field seams. These shortcomings have recently been discussed with United States manufacturers of PE film.

9. The first PVC installation under Bureau construction specifications was in 1968, on the Helena Vallev Canal, Helena Vallev Unit, Montana. Since then, PVC has been used in rehabilitation work on the East Bench Unit, Montana; Riverton Unit, Wyoming (Wilkinson 1984); Farwell Unit, Nebraska; and the Yakima Project, Washington.

10. For this work, PVC of 10-mil (0.01 in.) thickness was used. However, based on field and laboratory performance studies conducted the past few years, 20-mil PVC is now being specified in Bureau work. The additional cost of the heavier gauge material will be minimal. With a 100 percent increase in thickness of the membrane, the overall cost of construction will only increase by about 15 percent.

11. Plastic linings are now being specified for new construction. For example, a 20-mil PVC is being used on the San Luis Project, Colorado, to line a conveyance channel for delivering salvaged ground water as a supplemental source to the Rio Grande River. Three of four specifications have been issued and awarded for this work. The installation on the San Luis Project is the largest use to date of a plastic lining in canal construction in the United States (Starbuck and Morrison 1984; Morrison 1985).

12. In 1984, a study was completed (Morrison and Starbuck 1984) on the performance of buried plastic membrane linings. primarily 0.25-mil-thick PVC, used for seepage control in Bureau irrigation canals. Samples from nine canal installations ranging in service life from 1 to 19 years were evaluated. Results of the study indicate that plastic linings are providing satisfactory service for seepage control and are viable alternatives in areas not suitable for concrete or compacted earth linings. Results of the study indicated that some stiffening of the FVC has occurred with time. This stiffening or aging is caused by the loss of plasticizer, the agent used in the manufacturing of the lining to impart flexibility. The rate of this aging is primarily leberdent on three factors:

- 3. Source linings originally manufactured with a high plasticizer content exhibited less aging,
- b. location samples obtained from within the water prism exlibited less aging than those obtained cutside the prism, and
- c. Subgrade preparation.

13. From 1975 to 1982, the Bureau was involved in a score tody with the Soviet Union on the use of plastic films for canal limites. Includes the this study included the following:

- a. Exchange of technical information an plantic construction werk. The boylets primarily use the construction work. In small canal, then use the construction work. In small canal, then use the construction of film covered with about 3 it of earth of the first construction of the side clones at the with the construction control.)
- *. Installation of nine experimental cash of any or to dust the Overanian Field Test Station, Plack Sea and the Place Station.

constructed the test sections in accordance with Bureau specifications and using Bureau-furnished materials. Based on the results of these tests, two lining systems have been selected for further study in an operating canal on the Kakhovka Project in the Ukraine. The two systems are a 10-mil PVC with a concrete cover and a 20-mil polyolefin lining with a concrete cover.

c. Installation of a PE and a PVC study section on the Amarillo Canal, Navajo Indian Irrigation Project, New Mexico. Included in the study will be determination of the performance of several different protective earth cover materials. Special seepage monitoring stations were also installed to determine the effectiveness of the plastic linings for seepage control.

An interim report (Krupin et al. 1982) was prepared, summarizing the joint studies to date.

Dam and Reservoir Applications

General

14. The Bureau has been involved in three major projects using flexible membrane linings: The Kualapuu Reservoir, Molokai Project, Hawaii, the Mt. Elbert Forebay Reservoir, Fryingpan-Arkansas Project, Colorado, and the San Justo Reservoir, Central Valley Project, California.

Kualapuu Reservoir

15. The Kualapuu Reservoir, built during 1968-1969, is the principal storage facility for the Molokai Project, Hawali. This is a State venture that is federally funded in part under the Small Reclamation Projects Act of 1956. The storage capacity of the reservoir is 4,300 acre-ft, with a maximum head of 50 ft (Chuck 1970).

16. Since onsite clav materials were horderline in regard to satisfactory seepage control, a 1/32-in. nylon-reinforced butyl runner lining was installed in the reservoir to control seepage. The Bureau provided technical assistance for this 166-acre installation.

17. Fureau 05% (Operation and Maintenauce) personnel have made periodic in pactions of the reservoir. They report that occasional repairs of the second base feet required. Also, plope protection material has been placed at the waterline to miphoize damage from wind and wave action.

Mt. Elbert Reservoir

18. <u>General.</u> During the summer of 1980, the Bureau installed 290 acres of a flexible membrane lining in Mt. Elbert Forebay Reservoir (Morrison, et al. 1982). The reservoir, part of the Mt. Elbert pumped-storage facility, is situated on the north shore of picturesque Twin Lakes located in Lake County approximately 15 miles southwest of Leadville, Colorado.

19. The reservoir impounds 11,530 acre-it of water of which 7,100 acre-it is used to develop 200,000 kw of electrical power during peak demand. Two 138,000-hp hydroelectric turbine-generators are used to generate the power. These generators have also been designed to operate as 170,000-hp motors to drive the turbines in reverse to pump the water from Twin Lakes, back to the forebay reservoir during nonpeak hours.

20. The installation at Mt. Elbert constitutes the world's largest single-cell flexible membrane lining application to date, and it is the first time that such a material has been used in a pumped-storage reservoir for seepage control. Also, to meet the Bureau deadline of July 1, 1981, for power op-line, the installation had to be accomplished in one construction season to allow sufficient time to fill the reservoir and conduct acceptance tests on the generating units and other accessory equipment.

21. The membrane lining was installed under Bureau Specifications No. DC-7418. Green Construction Company of Des Moines, Jowa, was awarded the contract on April 16, 1980, and installation of the membrane was completed on September 20, 1980. The B. F. Goodrich Company of Akron, Ohio, was the subcontractor who furnished and installed the membrane lining. The cost of the work is shown below:

Item	Engineer's estimate	Bid Price
Total	\$20,566,000	\$17,884,170
furnish and install membrane lining	\$10,160,000 (\$0.80/ft ²)	\$ 8,712,200 (\$0.686/ft ²)

22. <u>Forebay reservoir</u>. At an elevation of 9,645 it, the forebay reseryoir is approximately 435 it above the Mt. Elbert pumped-storage power plant. The bulk of the water used for power generation must be pumped into the forebay reservoir from lower Twin Lakes, one of a pair of glacial-age lakes. The capacity of the lakes has been increased by construction of an embankment dam at the outlet end of the lower lake. Additional water for power production comes from the western slope of the Continental Divide. The north side and south side Collection System on the western slope collects runoff from snowmelt above 10,000 ft. The water is brought to the eastern slope via tunnel to Turquoise Reservoir where it is held in temporary storage until conveved through the 10.3-mile-long Mt. Fibert conduit to the forebay reservoir.

23. The forebay reservoir has a surface area of 290 acres at an elevation of 9,545.7 it, the top of active conservation capacity. The minimum operational water surface is at an elevation of 9,615 it. Adjacent to the upper edge of the glacial scoured valley now occupied by Twin Lakes Reservoir, the forebay reservoir occupies a former topographic depression. The reservoir was bailt in 1976-1977 by constructing a small dike in the open southwest corner of the depression and a 90-it-high zoned earth embankment across the open north side. The earth materials in the Floor and sides of the depression varied from sandy clay to pervious sands and gravels. The depression was reshaped to provide a bottom shape suitable for placement of a 5-it-thick earth liner.

24. The earth lining extended up the sides of the reservoir to an elevation of a reabove maximum water surface. In-place testing of the earth liner indicated that the permeability of the earth lining was in the range of 1.0 to .0 it per year.

25. Water was introduced into the forebay to a depth of 25 ft during the period November 1977 through March 1978. Water levels in several of the presenters and observation wells located in the valley side between the forebay reserveir and the power plant began to rise shortly after completion of this first formulation or water into the forebay. By the summer of 1979, the water level had risen over 8 ft in one well and 4 to 6 ft in several others. The reserveir wells had not either responded or experienced water level the reases, the continuous rise experienced in some wells was considered to be itribute a to water to the forebay rather than cyclical changes in the several by else

(c) As old (soveral thousand years) landslide scarp had been acted in the class side during earlier investigation for the reserve r and giver plass. Specification it malyses for the valley side were performed, and

the stability was found to be marginal for conservative strength parameters and saturated conditions. It appeared, therefore, that enough water to influence stability of the valley side could possibly seep through the compacted earth lining. The decision to line the forebay with an impermeable membrane was made in August 1979.

27. <u>Earthwork.</u> A major portion of the construction activities for installing the membrane lining at Mt. Elbert involved various types of earthwork. For example, before installing the membrane lining, the following earthwork had to be accomplished:

- <u>a</u>. Kemoving and stockpiling existing riprap and other slope protection material (coarse gravel and quarry reject material) placed to protect the existing earth liner from erosion.
- <u>b</u>. Excavating and processing the top 2 ft of the existing zone 1 earth lining to obtain earth material for membrane subgrade and earth cover. The excavated material was screened to remove all particles larger than 1 in. in size. The plus 1-in. fraction was later incorporated into gravel slope protection material placed upon the earth cover.
- c. Excavating bedding material for the riprap. This material was obtained from the Sinclair aggregate source located northwest of the Mt. Elbert power plant. Existing bedding was later reused as part of the gravel slope protection.
- d. Flattening the reservoir side slopes were required to 3:1 or less. This work was performed based on discussions with various membrane lining manufacturers who indicated that the side slopes should be no steeper than 3:1 in order to facilitate earth cover placement and to improve the stability of the cover or the slopes.
- e. Subgrade preparation. Since the membrane lining required a very smooth subgrade, considerable time and effort were spent on this work. To serve as a bedding for the lining, previously processed earth material was placed and compacted to a minimum of 6 in. in depth. For compaction and to obtain a smooth surlace, two passes of a pneumatic-tired roller followed by two passes with a vibratory steel roller provided satisfactory results. Hand labor was used to remove loose gravel or other materials which could puncture the membrane.

28. After the membrane living was installed, the following types of material were placed to protect the membrane from weathering, vandalism, unimal traffic, fee action, etc., and to provide erosion protection on the side slopes. The materials placed included:

Material	Depth (ft)	Quantity
Earth cover	1.5	720,00 vd ³
Coarse gravel slope protection	0.5	108,000 tons
Quarry reject	1.0	58,000 tons
Bedding for riprap	1.0	91,000 tons
Riprap	2.0	108,000 tons

29. To protect the membrane from mechanical damage during construction, vehicles were not allowed to operate directly on the lining. Consequently, the processed earth cover material initially was dumped at the edge of the lining and thereafter spread over the membrane by dozers. A minimum 18-in. depth of earth cover was maintained between the lining and the equipment during the spreading operation. Earth cover compaction of about 95 percent of laboratory standard density was achieved solely by equipment traffic.

30. For placement on the side slopes, the earth cover was spread from the bottom toward the top of slope, again maintaining the aforementioned earth cushion.

31. <u>Membrane lining.</u> The specifications provided alternate bidding schedules for installation of any one of three lining materials. These included 45-mil R CSPE (reinforced chlorosulfonated polyethylene), 45-mil CPER (reinforced chlorinated polyethylene), and 80-mil HDPE. The contractor selected CPEk.

32. CPER lining material is of three-layer construction consisting of two equal thicknesses of CPE laminated to one layer of 10 by 10, 1,000-denier polyester scrim. The physical properties requirements for this lining are given in Table 1.

33. The lining was factory fabricated into "blankets," each 14,000 sq ft in size and weighing approximately 5,000 lb. Two shapes of blankets were furnished: 200 by 70 ft, containing 14 factory seams made with a Leister hot air gun; and 100 by 140 ft, containing 29 factory seams made dielectrically. The latter shape was selected primarily for installation on the side slopes. For delivery to the jobsite, the blankets were accordionfolded, rolled, palletized, and transported via commercial truck. Appreximately 930 blankets were installed in the forebay reservoir.

34. To install the membrane lining, a crew of 18 to 20 laborers was used to unfold and position the blankets. Adjacent blankets were overlapped a minimum of 6 in., the contact surfaces thoroughly cleaned with trichloroethylene solvent, and the manufacturer's bodied-solvent CPF adhesive applied a minimum width of 4 in. The field seams were then hand rolled and allowed to cure before air testing to detect any weak or unbonded areas. Compressed air at 50 psi, supplied through a 3/16-in. nozzle, was used for this test. In addition to air testing, visual inspection of all blankets and seams was performed by Bureau inspectors.

35. After the field seams were tested and approved, a cap strip was applied. The cap strip consisted of a 3-in.-wide, 30-mil-thick unsupported CPE rully bonded over the field seam.

36. To check the seaming method and integrity of the resultant seams this installation involved approximately 50 miles of field seams), the following samples were taken daily:

- a. One 2- by 2-it cutout sample takes randomly.
- b. A field fabricated sample for every 1,000 ft of field seams made. These samples were prepared by having the seaming crews seam two 1- by 2-ft pieces of similar material so that the completed seamed sample was 1.5 by 2 ft in size.

both peel and shear tests were conducted on the samples to monitor and detersine the integrity of the field seams.

37. For installation on the side slopes, an anchor trench 1 ft wide by 2.5 ft in depth was excavated at the top of the slope to hold the lining in place. The lining was placed down and across the bottom of the trench. It was then backfilled and compacted.

28. The upstream face of the forebay dam was not lined. The membrane lining was terminated in an anchor trench in zone 1 material at the toe of the enhankment dam. This trench was backfilled with compacted zone 1 material.

39. <u>Quality control program</u>. An extensive quality control program was conducted in conjunction with the Mt. Elbert installation. The procedures implemented for this program included:

- g. Requiring the contractor to submit for approval certified laboratory test reports on the physical properties listed in Table 1 for each day's production of the CPER roll goods before fabricating the blankets.
- b. Weekly visits to the fabrication plants by Bureau resident inspectors to shew and wonitor the factory scanning methods.

During these visits, they also audited the shear test results for factory seams.

- g. Obtaining the samples for every 10th blanket for testing and approval at the Bureau's laboratory in Denver.
- d. Air large testing all ractory and field seams (involving approximately 490 miles of seams).
- o. Jaily sampling and testing of field seams, and visual inspection, previously described under the section pertaining to the membrane.

40. <u>lost section</u>. Included in the specifications for this work was a 5-year maintenance warranty period on the membrane lining. To monitor the periormance of the lining during the warranty period and for long-term research purposes, a 20- by 100-ft test section was installed in the southwest corner of the reservoir.

41. This location was selected to allow easy retrieval of the samples at intervals over a period of years. The test lining was placed on a cushion of sond above and separate tron, the main lining, thus precluding the need to out and fatch the actual lining to obtain samples.

42. The limitations of the test section are that the reservoir water will have access to both sides of the test membrane, and the actual effects of stresses introduced into the reservoir lining during installation and operation will not be reflected except for freeze-thaw cycling. Also, the effects of hydrostatic pressure present in deep parts of the reservoir will not be evident.

43. The test section was field fabricated into one large sheet and then out into 1) separate sections for periodic sampling. Each section contains both types of factory seams, and field seams, both capped and uncapped.

14. The physical property tests listed below are being used to monitor the changes in the lining. These tests include:

- g. Hydrostatic resistance using the Mullen burst (ASTM: $D-2(1, \dots, method|A)$.
- $\underline{\mu}_{i}$. Furthermodelic puncture resistance using the Burel contrast to and standard subgrade.
- c. Ply adhesion (A: PM: D-413, machine method, type V.
- m. Dear resistance ASIM: D-751, tongue tear, method by.

e. lex-tenserature bord test (ASTM: D-2136).

- 45. For the seams, the following tests are being conducted:
 - a. Seam strength in shear (ASTM: D-751 as modified in Appendix A of NSE standard No. 54, "Flexible Membrane Linings," dated November 1985).
 - b. Seam strength in peel (ASTM: 0-413 as modified in Appendix A of NSF standard No. 54).

.n. Samples have been retrieved on a yearly basis during the 5-year warranty period. A report is now being prepared summarizing the results of the laboratory tests.

San Justo Reservoir

47. San Justo Reservoir is located 3.4 miles southwest of Hollister, California. When completed in the fall of 1986, the reservoir will impound approximately 10.800 acre-ft of water for municipal and irrigation purposes which includes 600 acre-ft for flood control purposes (Ovganiewicz 1986).

.5. The reservoir will become an off-stream regulating facility that will be enclosed by two earthfill embankments on the west (dam) and north (dike) sides of the reservoir. The reservoir will be tilled and releases will be made by an inlet-outlet works located in a tunnel through the east side of the reservoir. An emergency spillway is located near this structure and is provided strictly as a guard against overfilling of the reservoir.

49. Geveral large beds of clean sand are located within the reservoir site. In addition to loss of water, the increased seepage through the sand beds could increase the potential for landslides on the downstream portions of natural ildges which enclose the reservoir. Consequently, the decision was made to install a flexible membrane lining over sloping portions of the reservoir containing the impervious sand beds. In flatter areas where natural impervious soil covers the sandbeds, a supplemental 6-ft-(minimum) thick earthfill blanket of clay was placed in lieu of the membrane lining.

50. Several types of FML's were included as options in the specification (SSE 1984). The contractor selected the 40-mil HDPE-A (high-density polyethylene elastomeric alloy) flexible membrane lining.

1. Approximately 200,000 solve of this PDPE-A material was installed as sight of the within the reservoir site. To protect the membrane from the elements of mechanical damage, a soil cover was placed over it. The protection of disted of affin, of impervious earthfull cover, 6 in, of hedding material, and 1. In. of new tragments. The installation was accomplished denote the sameer and fail at 1981. 52. In February 1986, extensive rains caused some slippage of the protective cover at several locations resulting in approximately 15,000 sq yd of lining being exposed. Specifications (PSB% 1986) have been issued for the repair of areas damaged from the slippage. The repair work is scheduled to be completed in the fall of 1986.

Research Activities

53. The Bureau is currently involved in the following research activities:

- a. Evaluation of exposed membrane libings such as CPE, Hypalon, EPDM, butyl, and HDPE for use in canal libing construction. These materials are being studied as alternates to concrete and buried membrane libing systems. Two sites have been identified for field testing. These include the M&D Canal, Encompanyre Project, Colorade, and the White Rock Extension Canal, Courtland Unit, Kansas. The projects have indicated an interest in providing labor and equipment to install field study sections if lining materials and technical assistance can be provided by the E&R Center.
- h. Evaluation of FML to control hydrilla and other aquatic weeds. A field test installation was made in August of 1982 on Wisterria Lateral Six, Imperial Valley Irrigation District, California. This test installation will provide an opportunity to study shading techniques for controlling hydrilla weeds. Limited studies conducted by the USDA (US Department of Agriculture) using 4-mil LDPE showed promise.
- c. Evaluation of bottom-only lining for seepage control in irrigation canals. Results of recent studies on the use of bottomonly membrane lining indicate this may be an effective low-cost method of reducing canal seepage in 'oessial soils. In these studies, conducted on the Farwell Unit in Nebraska, a reduction of 52 percent in seepage was obtained when 10-mil PVC lining was installed in the canal invert. Additional studies are needed to verify the results and develop guidelines for design and construction associated with this type of membrane lining application.
- d. Evaluation of FMS for use in the construction of excivency or auxiliary spillways on earth dams and canal wasteways. The objective of this study is to give a preliminary assessment of the concept. If feasible, this approach could lead to a lowcost method of providing the additional emergency spillwas depacity. The current study is limited to low head, noncritical, earth embankments. A field installation at Cottonwood No. 5 Dam, Collbrar Project, Colorado, has been initiated in connection with the oppoing reconstructive of the dim and spillway (Timblin 1985).

Future Work

As part of the modification work at Pactola Fam, Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program, Rapid Valley Unit, South Dakota, the existing dam and two dikes on the left abutment will be raised 15 ff above the present crest. The completed dam will have a maximum structural height of 24% ff and a 2000 -ft crost length. The completed dikes will have a combined crest length of 2,000 ft. Raising the embankment will include the installation of a flexilike membrane liming with a geotextile backing and the placement of processed shad, reskfines, and rockfill zones. The purpose of the liming is to reduce the amount of Empervious fill

A Gamil FVC lining is now being considered as the waterproofing element in the elevator shaft to be constructed as part of the new visitor facilities at hoover Dam. This type of material has been used in tunnel construction in Europe (Werner 1984). The installation at Hoover will be the Europu's first.

16. The use of plastic membranes in the Bureau's canal lining work continues to increase. Additional installations are planned for the Garrison Enit, North Dakota, and Grand Valley Unit, Colorado. The latter installation will be part of the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Project.

References

Bureau of Feclamation. 1986. "Specifications No. 20-C0287, Membrane liner Modelinations," San Justo Reservoir. San Felipe Division, CVP, California.

. 1984. "Solicitation/Specifications 4-S1-20-04270/DC-7617," Sur Juste Dam, Central Valley Project, California.

Churs, R. T. 1970. "Fargest Butyl Rubber Lined Reservoir," <u>Civil Engineer-</u> Tog. ANCE.

Commicwicz, S. M. 1986. "San Justo Reservoir," USCOID Newsletter.

Strady, J. P., and AH-Line, C. 1984. "Design of Earth Concrete Cover for semeshappes," <u>Proceedings of the International Conference on Geomembranes</u>, semes, Scienado, Vol. II, pp 487-492.

Sector, T. A., Subervkov, P. A., Morrison, W. R., and Starbuck, J. G. 1982.
Sector Studies on Plastic Films and Soil Stabilizers," Interim Report, 1910. Advantation and Field Studies in Flastic Films.

> 1. 1. 1. and Feerner, P. M. 1985. "Geotechnical Design Considerations > 1. The strate lipsd Slopes: Slope Stability, Geotextiles, and Geomem-> 1. The strate lipsd Slope 1.

والمحير والمراجع والم

المراجع Morrison, W. R. 1985. "Progress Report on Plastic Lining Construction for the Closed Basin Conveyance Channel, San Luis Project, Colorado," <u>Proceedings</u> of Geotechnical Fabrics Conference, Cincinnati, Ohio, pp 59-63.

Morrison, W. R., Gray, E. W., Jr., Paul, D. B., and Frobel, R. K. 1981. "Installation of Flexible Membrane Lining in Mt. Elbert Forebay Reservoir," REC-ERC-82-2, US Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado, 46 pp.

Morrison, W. R., and Starbuck, J. G. 1984. "Performance of Plastic Canal Linings," REC-ERC-84-1," US Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado.

Starbuck, J. G., and Morrison, W. R. 1984. "Flexible Membrane Lining for Closed Basin Conveyance Channel, San Luis Valley Project, Colorado," <u>Proceedings of the International Conference on Geomembranes</u>, Denver, Colorado, Vol. II, pp 333-336.

Timblin, I. O. Jr. 1985. "The Use of Geomembrane for Emergency Spillways, Water Power, and Dam Construction."

Werner, G. 1984. "Sealing and Waterproofing with Geotextile, and Plastic Sheeting in Tunnel Constructions," <u>Proceedings of the International Conference</u> on Geomembranes, Denver, Colorado, Vol. II, pp 353-358.

Property	Requirement	Test Method
Thickness, in., minimum	0.041	ASTM D-751
Tear strength, lb, minimum	75	ASTM D-751 (tongue method)
Low temperature	Pass	ASTM D-2136 1/8 mandrel 4 hours at -40°F
Dimensional stability, each direction percent change, maximum	2	ASTM D-1204 212°F, 1 hour
Bonded seam strength, lb, minímum	Exceeds that of parent material	ASTM D-751 (modified)
Hydrostatic resistance, lb/in ² , minimum	300	ASTM D-751 method A
Breaking strength, lb, minimum	200	ASTM D-751 grab method
Ply adhesion, lb/in. width, minimum	8	ASTM D-413 machine method,

Sec. Sec. 5

Table 1Physical Properties Requirements for CPER (ReinforcedChlorinated Polyethylene) Lining

REMEDIAL DRAINAGE MEASURES Walter C. Sherman, Jr. Tulane University

Introduction

1. Earth dams subjected to deterioration as a result of percolation and/or internal erosion must be sateguarded by prompt and effective remedial measures. These measures for the most part involve either impermeabilization (water tightening) or drainage.

2. The use of embankment and foundation drainage to provide for the controlled exit of seepage has long been an established practice on Corps of Engineers (CE) dams. The type and extent of the seepage control measures employed vary widely depending not only on site specific conditions but also on the age of the dam. Remedial measures usually consist of restoring or replacing existing drainage facilities which are considered inadequate or providing new drainage facilities when necessary. With respect to foundation seepage, new facilities may include drainage trenches, relief wells, or pervious berms. New drainage facilities to handle embankment seepage may include vertical chimney drains, drain wells connecting to existing horizontal drainage blankets, new outlets, or addition of drainage on the downstream slope. All drainage measures result in shortened seepage paths which result in a usually slight increase in the quantity of underseepage.

3. In a recent (1984) repor published by the International Commission on Large Dams, a review was made of various remedial measures applied in the case of earth dams subjected to deterioration because of seepage and/or internal erosion. Where the problems related to the foundation (131 cases), 37 percent of the cases involved some type of impermeabilization such as cutoff walls and grouting, and 31 percent of the cases involved drainage. Similarly, where the problems related to the embankment (137 cases) 23 percent involved impermeabilization and 19 percent involved drainage. On the basis of the above, it appears that drainage and impermeabilization measures are of approximately equal importance as remedial measures.

4. The subject report deals with new and innovative remedial drainage measures for earth dams and their earth foundations when subjected to deterioration by seepage and/or internal erosion.

Nelie: wells

5. For dams founded on permeable foundation strata, control of underseepade and foundation uplift pressures is of prime importance. In many cases, this has been accomplished successfully by the use of pressure relief wells along the downstream toe. Most permeable foundations tend to be stratified with the permeability in the horizontal direction usually many times greater than in the vertical direction. Thus surface drains are generally ineffective in reducing uplift pressures in underlying foundation strata. Frossure relief wells have proven to be very effective in the case of deep stratified pervious foundations and constitute an important remedial measure is situations where excessive foundation uplift pressures develop along the the of the dam.

b. The use of pressure relief wells as a remedial measure on CE earth dat prijects dates from 1942 when wells were installed at Fork Peck Dam. During filling of the reservoir, piezometers at the downstream toe indicated an owness head of about 45 ft above ground surface. A large sand boil also developed. Selief wells were immudiately installed, and the piezometric pressure dropped as soon as the first few wells were put into operation.

Relief wells are an extremely versatile remedial measure offering the best means of intercepting seepage in deep pervious aquifers. The use of relief wells as a design seepage control measure on levees and dams is well established, and considerable experience is available regarding the design and installation of such systems. Therefore, they represent an excellent remedial measure where it becomes necessary to control underseepage.

8. The use of pressure relief wells to increase the stability of an evicting embankment is demonstrated by the experiences at Smithville Dam described by Walberg et al. (1985). Because of uncertainties regarding embankment stability at high pool levels, a series of four 4-in.-diam wells were installed at the embankment toe. Pumping these wells resulted in a pieze etric drawdown sufficient to increase the factor of safety with respect to sliding to acceptable values. Pumping the wells, which would be required it when the reservoir pool reached a certain level, was considered to be sliving interim solution. A system of permanent relief wells, discharging

into a buried collector pipe, was designed to provide an adequate factor of safety for all pool levels.

loe drains

and the second of

9. Controlled grade open toe trenches of various types are commonly employed to lower ground-water levels at embankment toes. Porous concrete slabs with or without an underlying filter have been used for shallow depth trenches. With greater depth the trench is filled with filter gravels. With large anticipated tlows, perforated collector pipes with manholes to permit inspection and flow measurements may be employed.

10. Norrow trench drains at the downstream too of the dam are frequently used to facilitate the escape of shallow seepage and to reduce uplift pressures. They are particularly effective in preventing saturation along the downstream too. Too drains are less effective in cases where the foundation permeability increases with depth or where the foundation is stratified.

11. Of special interest is the case where the downstream foundation strata are overlain by an impervious or semipervious blanket. Trench drains extending through the blanket offer a means for reducing foundation uplift pressures providing the foundation strata are not too pervious or highly stratified. Analytical solutions for flow quantities and downstream uplift pressure for horizontal and semicircular trench bottoms are shown in Figure 1. The solutions for a two-layer foundation are given by Barron (1953). It was found for cases where the lower pervious layer is somewhat more pervious than the upper pervious layer, the efficiency of the drain is seriously reduced.

12. When the foundation strata are semipervious and no topstratum is present, partially penetrating trench drains may be employed usually connected with the horizontal drainage blanket to collect seepage. Gradations of the drain materials are based on CE filter criteria. The drains are usually provided with perforated collector pipes connected with gravity outlets. An example of this type of toe drainage system employed at Cochiti dam is shown in Figure 1 (11)ard 1985). The collector pipe also serves as a collector for the pressure relief wells.

13. The effectiveness of toe drains increases as the depth increases; however, prester depths become uneconomical because of the large excavations required and costs of a dewatering system. Deep drains generally require collector pipes with outlets at low elevations in order to be effective.

14. A method for constructing deep trench drains economically has been considered highly desirable by many engineers. Londe (1970) suggested construction of trench drains using a starch slurry to support the excavation buring placement of the filter sand backfill. The starch would be removed in a new days by becteriological action thus creating a drain of the required portesity. Whether such drains were constructed is not known.

15. <u>Prain wall.</u> A method for constructing deep drainage trenches by the panel method has been developed by Bachy Enterprises, France. The method described by Deniau et al. (1981) has been used to construct drainage trenches to depths of about 30 ft. Excavation of panel sections are supported by a biodegradable shurry. Filter material is placed in layers through the shurry or else can be placed as preformed panel units. Procedures have been developed for encavating and fitting adjacent panels to provide a continuous walltupy french drain. The effectiveness of the drain has been verified by field tensurements. At least five installations have been made as of 1986 according to Bachy Enterprises, who consider the drain wall to be more effective than relief walls because of its continuous nature. No information is available on the observations of the biodegradable medium.

16. Prefabricated drainage panels. Prefabricated panels of plastic are now available which have been suggested by manufacturers for potential use in the control of underseepage. The panels generally consist of a plastic core shaped in a series of peaks, valleys, and water channels which support a geotextile filter fabric. The fabric allows water to pass freely from the soil. between the open supporting core peaks, and to flow away via the channels. We type and placement (fully wrapped, one side only, or no geotextile at all) \rightarrow the filter tabric, the physical dimensions (thickness, width, and length), and the type and crushing strength of the core material can be varied to meet control requirements. The permeability of some panels is reputed to be maintrived i depths up to 40 it and with lateral pressures of 1,600 psf. The panels have been used primarily for the reduction of hydrostatic pressures in below coade structures and behind retaining walls. A partial list of manufacthread is shown in Table 1. The physical characteristics of the panels vary widely. Evidently, the panels have not been used on any ephankment dams to A star.

considered descent of the second seco

5.2

The possibility of the filter clogging with fine soil particles, smearing effects caused by installation, and permeability characteristics in the transverse and axial directions are factors which may affect their performance. In addition to further laboratory tests, field tests are needed to evaluate their performance under job conditions.

Embankment Drainage

18. Embankment seepage may increase during the life of a dam for a variety of reasons. Cracking of the clay core is usually the primary cause of increased seepage. Excessive seepage for those dams which do not employ a caimney drain is usually denoted by wet spots or sloughing of the downstream alope. The selection of a remedial drainage system depends on the magnitude of seepage, the geometry of seepage paths, location and condition of existing drainage facilities, and time and funding available for implementation. Location of the source of seepage may be a difficult time-consuming problem which must be solved before designing an appropriate seepage control measure. This is specially true for older dams where good construction records may be lacking.

Dame, with no internal drainage

19. Older dams with no internal drainage systems, if subject to subsequent cracking, could be subjected to undesirable seepage. Under such conditions, the most effective approach, particularly if the embankment is of limited height, is to excavate the downstream portion of the dam and incorporate a horizontal drainage blanket and chimney drain. A more cost-cifective solution consists of trenching near the axis of the dam to the depth of cracking, filling the trench with a filter material, and providing suitable outlets at intervals to the downstream toe areas.

Soil Conservation Service dams

20. The Soll Conservation Service (SUS) constructed a number of long. Tow dams with homogeneous cross sections in Arizona. The dams, generally of sand class and sandy silts, were not provided with seepage control measures. Now of the dams apperienced cracking that appears to be associated with destionation. The SC instituted a remedial repair program using a filterdrainage system to seal the gracks. This procedure described by Talbot et al. 1985) consists of trenching to the depth of eracking and filling the trach with a properly graded gravelly sand filter. The design (see Figure 3) includes drain outlets, spaced at intervals generally less than 160 ft, that are constructed of gravels coarser than the filter. The construction is feasible as the dams are generally less than 30 ft in height and do not retain a reservoir except in the event of high intensity rain storms.

Vertical embankment drains

21. For elder earth dams which have horizontal drainage blankets but no chimney drain, through seepage may be intercepted by installing vertical drains downstream of the core which intercept the existing drainage blanket. Unious types of vertical drains have been developed primarily for the reduction of excess pore pressures in fine grained soils, but may also have application for intercepting embankment seepage. Significant advances have been development of installation equipment and materials used in provabricated drains, permitting installations to depths of over 30 m in a single operation of rates in excess of 1 m/sec. A summary of practical factor works is presented by McGevan and Hughes (1987).

21. The major types of drain installations include: (a) traditional and drains. (b) sandwicks consisting of filter sand prepacked in geotextile tilter stocking and placed in predrilled hole, (c) wrapped (lexible pipes are isting of flexible, usually corrugated plastic pipe surrounded by a geotextile filter cloth, and (d) band drains such as Geodrain and Alidrain. The and drains generally have insufficient permeability to be considered for seepage interception in an earth dam.

$\exp\left\{ i,j,j,j,t,j,l,t \right\}$ and

23. The Arroyito Dam, a 20-m-bigh earth fill dam in Argentina described by collians at al. (1985), was observed to have breas of seepage on the downstream take of the dam during initial impoundment of the reservoir despite the presence of a substantial downstream drainage blanket. It was concluded from piecemetric data that the most likely cause of seepage was the presence of both the structification in the embankment with layers of high permeability which the distribution of vertical drains, 3 in. In diameter and acout 15 it deep, is on the dewnstream of permeability the drainage blanket.

Kanapolis Dam

24. A novel approach to provide additional embankment drainage is being carried out by the CE Kansas City District at Kanapolis Dam. The embankment was constructed as a homogeneous section with a downstream drainage blanket which was found to be inadequate with respect to interception of through seepage. The Kansas City District is currently developing a method for installing vertical drains near the top of the embankment, which intersect the existing drainage blanket. The drains consist of 10-in.-diam holes filled with a filter sand. Initially a geotextile sleeve was used as a liner but was subsequently eliminated. The drains are spaced at 10 to 20 ft and extend to depths of 70 ft. The design and construction of the drains were developed on the basis of field experience. Data are not yet available on the effectiveness of the crains in control of the embankment seepage.

Prefabricated drainage panels

25. Prefabricated drainage panels installed as chimney drains would uppear to have some merit in the case of small dams. An important advantage would be that flow in the panels would be under gravity conditions to outlets at the toe of the dam. As previously noted, considerably more development and testing are required before the panels could be considered for use in an embankment.

References

Barron, R. A. 1953. "The Efficiency of Toe Drains in Controlling Seepage Uplift in Layered Pervious Foundations," <u>Proceedings of Third International</u> <u>Continence on Soll Mechanics and Foundation Engineers</u>, Vol. II, Switzerland.

Deniau, Cartier, G., Morbois, and Virollet, M. 1981. "Un Nouveau Procede de Drainage: la Faroi Drainante," <u>Proceedings of Tenth International Conference</u> on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Stockholm, Sweden.

Giuliana, F. L., and Pujor, A. 1985. "Seepage and Slide Downstream Slope, Arroyito Dam, Argentina, Remedial Measures," <u>Transactions of Fifteenth Inter-</u> national Congress on Large Dams, Vol. IV, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Lillard, F. r. 1985. "Seepage Control at Cochiti Dam, New Mexico," Proceedings of Symposium on Seepage and Leakage from Dams and Improvements, American Society of Civil Engineers, New York.

Lorde, P. 1970. "Receat Developments in Inc. Design and Construction of Dars and Reservoirs on Deep Alluvium, Karstic and Other Unfavorable Formations," General Report on Question 37 Transactions of Tenth International Congress on Large Dams, Montreal, Canada. Londe, P. 1984. "Deterioration of Dams and Reservoirs," International Commission on Large Dams, Paris.

YeGowan, A., and Hughes, F. H. 1982. "Practical Aspects of the Design and Installation of Deep Vertical Drains," <u>Vertical Drains</u>, Institution of Civil Engineers, London.

Talbot, J. R., and Ralston, D. C. 1985. "Earth Dam Seepage Control, SCS Experience," <u>Proceedings of Symposium on Seepage and Leakage from Dams and</u> Impoundments, American Society of Civil Engineers, New York.

Walberg, F. C., Facklam, H. L., Willig, K. D., and Moylan, J. E. 1985. "Abutment Seepage at Smithville Dam," <u>Proceedings of Symposium on Seepage and Leakage from Dams and Impoundments, American Society of Civil Engineers, New York.</u>

Trade Name	Manufacturer
Bidim	Quline Corporation
Eljen Drainage System	Eljen Corporation
Enkadrain	American Enko Company
Filtram	ICI Americas
Geofab	Mercantile Development Inc.
Geotech Drainage Board	Southern Ohio Foam
Hitek	Burcan Manufacturing Company
Hydraway	Monsanto Company
Miradrain	Mirafi Inc.
Permedrain	NW Fabrics Company
Tensor	The Tensor Corporation

Table 1Typical Prefabricated Drainage Modules



Figure 2. Toe drainage system - Cochiti Dam





USE OF THE HYDROFRAISE TO CONSTRUCT CONCRETE CUTOFF WALLS

Jonathan J. Parkinson Soletanche and Rodio, Inc., Paris, France

Introduction

1. The SOLETANCHE HYDROFRAISE is a machine used for excavating trenches for concrete slurry walls. The idea of building such a rig stems from the early 1970's when the need to provide deep underground parking garages in Paris, France, led to the requirement of installing slurry walls close to adjacent buildings, through varied types of soil which frequently contained hard limestone layers up to 50 ft thick. The traditional methods used for cutting through rock, i.e., chise'ling or explosives, were very slow at best, and not acceptable in an urban environment.

2. The name "HYDROFRAISE" is an abbreviation of the French for "hydraulically powered milling machine" and describes the machine's basic features hydraulic motors driving cutter drums. A less obvious but equally important part of the concept is the method used for continuous spoil removal during the excavation process. The machine has been the subject of continuous development and refinement since its inception, but the basic layout has remained the same.

Description of the machine and method of operation

- 3. A HYDROFRAISE "work unit" consists of four principal components:
 - Heavy duty crawler crane, 100- to 150-ton capacity.
 - Hydraulic power-pack.
 - HYDROFRAISE itself.
 - Slurry treatment plant

4. The HYDROFRAISE consists of a metal frame 50 ft high, weighing 20 to 25 tons depending on the model (Figure 1). At the base of the frame there are three hydraulic motors.

5. Two of these operate the cutting drums, one motor being built into the center of each drum (Figure 2). The speed of rotation is slow, about 10-20 rpm, but the torque is sufficient to break up all the soil and rock encountered during trench excavation. The drums have threaten-carnide teach which are replaceable; they are changed when they become excessively worm. The rate of wear depends on the type of soil or rock being estavated.

b. The third hydraulic motor operates a special pump mounted centrally just above the cutter drums (Figure 3). The drums rotate in presite directions, bringing the excavated material towards the center at the base, and then upwards towards the lower orifice of the pump, which continuously pumps a flow of bentonite slurge in through this orifice, up through a lose to the surface and thence to the desanding plant. All the excavated spoil is caught in this ascending flow of slurry and thus removed from the trench; the HYDRO-FRAISE excavates continuously downwards until it reaches the required depth of the cutoff. This spoil-removal system is similar in principle to the reversecirculation system used on some rotary drilling rigs.

7. Clean, screened bentonite slurry is fed back into the top of the trench to compensate for the volume pumped out and for the increasing trench colume as excavation proceeds.

8. The power pack is mounted on the rear of the grane. It is diesel driven and provides hydraulic power for the three down-the-hole motors.

⁶. Built into the upper part of the HYDROFRAISE frame, there is a Evaluation feed cylinder (Figure 3). The support cable from the stane is connected to the piston of this unit and not directly to the HYDROFRAISE frame. The operator can use this device to control the effective weight of the HYDRO-FPA on, i.e., the force of the vertical reaction at the base of the superter drams. For sutting through cock, the maximum weight of the machine is mobilike, but for excavating sore materials, the weight can be partially relieved to that the excavation rate does not exceed the capacity of the spoil-removal pump.

10. The sturry depanding plant consists of a sturry preparation unit, bolding tanks, and the actual desander, which works by continuously screening and centrituging the spoil-laden sturry arriving from the trench. The type of desadder used with the PMDFCCDAISE produces spoil which is virtually free of bontourie. The spoil can be handled by conventional loaders and trucks. The two that all the spoil from the trench transits to the description in a pipeline, together with the clean state of the spoil, means that the work platform will the e-sampler area can be kept clean - a difficult test with a conventional clear, well peration. 11. In a single cut the HYDROFRAISE creates an 8-ft long excavation: this is the overall length defined by the exterior of the cutter drums, measured along the wall axis. The lateral width, i.e. the thickness of the panel being excavated, can be varied by changing the drums from 25 in. (minimum) to 5 ft, which is the practical maximum. Operation depth is usually up to 300 ft, but greater depths can be attained to suit project requirements.

Excavation Performance

12. The upper limit of rock hardness for excavation with the HYDRO-FRAISE is an unconfined compressive strength of about 15,000 psi. The excavation rate varies inversely with the rock hardness.

13. The HYDROFRAISE is also extremely efficient in excavating through soil. In dense cand and gravel or residual soils for example, the excavation rates can be well above those obtained with clamshell equipment.

14. The one limiting condition on use of the HYDROFRAISE is the presence of boulders of extremely hard rock. When these have a diameter greater than about 4 in., they cannot be crushed or be removed by the spoil-removal system, and the HYDROFRAISE is not recommended for use in situations where there is a large quantity of such boulders.

15. The cutting action of the drums is such that there are no shocks or vibrations, even when cutting through rock. This is of extreme significance for urban-type slurry wall sites, but it can also be important in dam repair work where heavy chiselling during excavation with conventional equipment can cause vibrations that are undesirable for trench stability or can fracture the rock surrounding the trench.

16. Since the HYDROFRAISE excavates a full-depth cut without being brought to the surface, it avoids the constant up-and-down motions of clamshell excavating rigs, which can cause local instability of the trench walls in some cases. Confirmation of this is found in the fact that overbreak is considerably less with HYDROFRAISE excavated cutofis.

Permanent Desanding

17. The use of the reverse circulation principle means that the bentonite slurry is continuously descended and the slurry in the panel being exercated is always clean, with a low send content. Thus there is usually no beed to undertake an extensive descaling operation when excavation is complete and before concreting.

Panels and panel joints

¹⁹. A slurry wall installed using the HYDEOFRAISE consists of primary and secondary panels, but the relative lengths of the panels and the method of forming the joints is different from that of clamshell walls.

19. Primary panels usually consist of three or five full-depth HYDRO-ERAISE "bites" (Figure 4). In the case of a three-bite panel there are two 8-ft-long segments separated by a soil "wedge" about 3-1/2 ft long, which is removed by the third bite to give an excavated panel (8 + 3-1/2 + 8) =14-11. It long. A five-bite panel typically has an excavated length of (8 + 3-1/2 + 8 + 3-1/2 + 8) = 31 ft. Once excavated, a primary panel is concreted, without the use of joint pipes of any sort. Concreting is by tremie, as for a convertional concrete cutoff wall. The primary panels are accurately positioned so that they are exactly 7-1/3 ft apart.

20. A secondary papel is formed between the primaries by a single 2030FFAISE bite, which is necessarily 8 ft long. As the EYDROFRAISE descends, it exceptes the -1 3-ft length of soil or rock left between the primaries, and it also removes 4 in, from the end of each adjacent primary slighter by. Since it is able to cut into rock of up to 15,000 psi, it has no difficulty in cutting the concrete of the primaries, which typically will have a strength of about 2,000 psi when the secondaries are installed. When excazation has reached full depth, the secondary is concreted.

21. The panel foint produced by this method consists of a serrated surface resulting from the presence of grooves cut in the concrete of the primary panel during excavation of the secondary, with a tight concrete-to-concrete contact between the panels. These joints have proved to be very watertight.

22. As mentioned above, foint pipes are not used at all to form the foints; this is all important advantage over conventional methods, especially for very thick and very deep sutches.

estimality Control

 α (norder to possible objects quality cutoff wall, and for particular to so that the point is used as described above, it is essential that the

verticality of the excavation is setting the coefficient accurate. In this respect the HYDROFFALSP can attach a recent who have a serie of that of other equipment. In a test performed in 1424, the case of the set a depth of 330 ft was kept to which in a test performed in 1424, the case of the setting. This can be compared with the usual origination to extra the setting of the depth.

24. Several feature in the second such precision to be actained. Built into the second second second are then bigh-precision inclinemeters, or which the second sec

25. If the event of tentors is levely in the "productional direction, the operator can immediately months for its soulid power supplied to each of the output drum motors in such a way that the deviation is corrected.

26. Deviations in the transversal direction are corrected by actuating avdraulic pistons, which enable the operator to tilt the plane of the entire outter drum assembly in relation to the EXDEDURALSE trame.

27. A permanent record of the verticality readings and of other excavation parameters can be obtained by using the ENPAFRAISE. This device records and provides a simultaneous printout of the following parameters:

- Four inclinometers.
- Torque supplied by each cutting motor.
- Excavation rate.

28. The quality of the finished cutoff wall, in particular the wall verticality and the soundness of the parel joints, leads to use of the HVDRO-FRAISE on projects where the soil contains no rock, but where the above aspects or other advantages of this machine dictate its use.

Application to Embankment Dams

9. The setted of operation of the hets(ERALSE makes it highly suitable for use in isotalling concrete cutoffs for enb abment dars and other scepage critic.

7. In addition to the aspects described in the precedul chapters, with the HEROPANST it is possible to entry key in the vertical the of op-

 $F(\tilde{T}_{i})$

existing concrete structure at the point where the cutoff wall contacts such a structure.

31. Also, when the cutoff is installed through the dam core and into the rock below, the problem of keeping the excavation on line at the abutment sections, where the core-rock interface is often steeply inclined, is solved by using the HYDROFRAISE's verticality-control devices.

32. Another problem sometimes arises when the alignment of the cutoff intersects existing steel grout pipes, or concrete dental work at the core-rock contact. In both cases, the HYDROFRAISE can cut its way through the obstacles.

33. The HYDROFRAISE can be used on any cutoff wall project where bentonite slurry is used during trench excavation. It has always been used on concrete panel-type walls: either normal "hard" concrete of 3,000- to 4,000-psi strength, or "plastic" concrete of lower strength and greater deformability. There is no reason, however, why it could not be used for excavating the trench for a soil-bentonite cutoff, where hard ground or other considerations favor its use.

34. To date, the HYDROFRAISE has been used to install more than 4 million sq ft of slurry wall worldwide including, in the United States, St. Stephen Dam in South Carolina and Fontenelle Dam in Wyoming. Its continuing improvement in performance makes it suitable for use on more and more projects, especially those where its unique features can be utilized to the full.








Figure 3. Detail of cutter drums

68



Figure 4. Plan view of construction sequence of a typical five-bite primary panel

Figure 5. Plan view of excavation of secondary panel



70

JET GROUTED CUTOFF WALLS

Georgio Guatteri, Paolo Mosiici Novatecna, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Victorio D. Altan Suelotecníca, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Dimensions and Physical Properties of Soil-Cement Bodies That Can Be Placed by Jet Grouting Methods - Recent Developments

Introduction

1. Jet grouting is a general term which can be applied to any construction method which utilizes an ultra-high pressure fluid (typically 5000 psi.) to cut, replace, and then mix the native soil with a cementing material, often a water-cement grout. At present there are about eight different construction techniques which can be classified as jet grouting methods. Background and Historical Perspective

2. The original idea and early studies of using high pressure water jets to cut, remove, and cement soils were conducted in Japan about 1965 by the brothers Yamadado. In early 1970, two competing forms of jet grouting were developed nearly simultaneously. The jet grouting technique developed by Nakan, originally utilized chemical grouts, but now water-cement grout as the jetting medium which is injected at ultra-high pressures through horizontally projected small nozzles (1.8 - 2.2 mm), which are located at the bottom of a single drill rod, which is depicted in Figure 1. Because the single rod is both lifted and rotated while jetting the grout, a pile-like soil-cement column is formed, from whence arises the name of this type of jet grouting: chemical churning pile or CCP jet grouting. Typical CCP columns are about 0.8-1.0 meters in sand, and 0.50-0.70 meters in clay.

3. The other jet grouting technique developed in Japan about 1970 was termed jet grouting by its originator Yahiro and Kajima Construction Company. The most distinctive feature of this method is related to the three rod system employed to cut, replace, and cement the in-situ soil. The three rod system is required because three different types of fluids are used during jet grouting: water, air, and cement grout. High pressure water is spouted as the setting medium through the narrow outer rod, depicted is figure 2, while the senert proof 14 injected into the cut soil at relatively 1.4 pressures slow - open, through the center rod and then out norrhes located below the outting set. This data the verify that enshrouding the high pressure water let within some transpressed for allowed the jet to project its energy farther into the set of stars which results in a deeper cut into the set. Strighnally, the three robuster was used to form panels (no robust started). Strighnally, the three robuster was used to form panels (no robust started). However, due to the pipe mits of colder provides, Yakire modified his jet crowt method in 19 set that the three robuster could be rotated to form columns. This variation of the accuting was formed column (et predict of set. spired) CCu are about the stars in said, and 1.5-2.0 meters in clay.

. Also in limit of Makaneshi modified the definitione so that compressed are could be used to protect the outting water-demont jet. This form of set protein, itilized a could rod system, as illustrated in right 3, and was colled conservation grout (180). The major advantage of number grout (30) is that empressed in allows the use of a double rod. Thus simpler and more refinit equipe of the employee. For example, it is easier to remove a double rod of in triple and when shertening the drill string in a tight overhead.

S. Dure resently (1981), Nakanishi developed a let grouting method which an totally replace the in-situ soil with chemical or water sement grouts, this soil replacement method was termed: super soil stabilization backgement. The-MaNs. The DSS-MAN system is schematically represented in Signre 4.

5. Fir jet grouting was first introduced in South America in 1980 by Novateona Construction Ltd. of Sao Paulo, Brazil. Det grouting was rapidly accepted in Frazil because this technique was better suited to grouting the silty and clayst soils of coastal Brazil than conventional intrusion and permeation grouting techniques. However, due to the robust nature of jet grouting many other applitations followed quickly, such as: construction of gravity retaining walls (Mercedes Benz and Dalmine Siderca pits); deep sharts for pump tations is apivarily it diaphrasm walls beneath earth dams. Forto Primavers, origand is dona. Data, and the riedra del Aguila test dection). The details the e-particular produces are discussed in subsequent sections of this paper.

. The difference of applications of net grouting produced a need for larger dimeter. These that what was being produced using the CCP process.

In corts 1981, itera experiments were conducted by Novatecha in an attempt to improve the design methodology and construction of jet grouted columns. Novatecha explored the summorance method in field trials where approximately of columns were driffed in various soil formations (sand and clay) using various dripting par seters (nozzle pressure and size, totation rate, lifting speed, grout it jection rate, compressed air enshrouding the water/cement jet). The test measurements indicated that columns 2 to 3 times larger than conventional code compared be economically formed if relatively large sized nozzeros can to 3.4 ps (in diameter) and high grout injection rates (200-250 1/min) were used to drill the columns. By injecting a larger slug of cement grout into the soll, than that injected during the CCP process, larger columns could be formed using relatively low nozzle pressures (3000 psi.). This approach to let grouting is in direct contrast to the original CCP process proposed by the Capanese in the early 1970's, who advocated smaller diameter nozzles (2 mm and less), higher nozzle pressures (5000 psi and above), and much lower grout injection rates (50-70 l/min.). Prior to 1986 over 150,000 meters of CCP colurns have been built in Brazil; after 1986, JG jet grouting has been used almost exclusively by Novatecna and has been utilized on over 60 jobs throughout South America to construct about 50,000 m. of large diameter columns (2-3 meters in diameter).

Geometry of jet grouted bodies

8. <u>Cylindrical bodies</u>. The normal shape of soil-cement bodies obtained by any jet grouting method is approximately cylindrical, depending on thixotrapy and the herogeneity of the soil. Generally, this body is designated a column. The diameters of the columns, in the same types of soil, depend on the injection parameters, which in turn depend on the available equipment, especially on the pumping system utilized.

4. The equipment normally used by Novateona allows diameters of 1.2 m is applications of the GCP system and 2.2 m in applications of the JG system. It should be clear that diameters of about 2.00 to 2.20 m can be obtained with the subsystem provided adequate pumping equipment is used. This statement is valid if remultiple of jet grouting system in relation to formation of diameters larger than 2 m. The limit of the largest column diameter that can be responded with any of the various jet grouting methods will be of economical rather than techsical order. A row of columns with a distance between their axes scaller than the diameter of each column forms a diaphragm wall. 10. Luminar bodies or panels. Another possible configuration of soilcement bodies is the panel that is formed in soil when the drill/injection rod is removed without rotation.

11. Field tests were conducted in San Paulo, brazil, in which panels were formed by the COF method in clay and in granular material by the CO method. The tests showed that the CCP panels in clay resulted in slender triangular sections (Figure 5), and the JG panels in granular materials resulted in rectangular sections (Figure 6).

12. During the field tests which lead to the improvement of the Ju technique, measurements were made to verify the dimensions of the panels. In the beginning it was noticed and proven in successive experiences that by using the same jetting parameters (Table 1), double radial ranges were obtained in relation to the column diameter. The individual panels have a width on the same order as the column diameter. <u>A double panel</u> equal in width to two times the column diameter is obtained with jets on opposing sides of the bold infection rod.

.3. After a number of field experiments in sand and clay undertaken in 198, to be. Novateona has learned that the JG technique, can be used to form solutioned panels with lengths of 1.80 to 2.20 m in single panels and 3.60 to 2.40 m is double panels with wall thicknesses from 0.20 to 0.40 m.

14. A test using the JG method was performed in March 1986, in two types of high-density artificial soils, one with normal and well-graduated artificial soils, one with normal and well-graduated up of five soils with medium sand and Portland cement with 40 percent in weight of stones from 1 to 7 in. in diameter. Columns with a diameter of approximately 1.40 m and panels with a minimum individual length of 1.50 m up to a maximum length of 1.80 m with wall thicknesses varying from 15 to 30 cm were obtained in this artificial soil medium.

15. Encouraged by these results and foreseeing specific uses, Novateona has recently tested a soil-cement body consisting of two divergent double laminum panels (Figure 7) coupled by a column of small diameter. These panels were obtained by utilizing four jet nozzles set two-by-two in opposite directions (Figure 7). The maximum total length of the double panels in both types of soils was approximately 3.20 to 3.30 m. A row of laminar (single or 1 flow panels with a distance between their axes less than twice the radius of each panel (or a diaphragm wall.

16. The cutoff wall formed by the double laminar panels seems to offer the following advantages over the column-type cutoff wall:

- a. Due to the greater longitudinal extension of the individual body, larger interaxial distances can be established. This shape, therefore, should prove the most economical.
- b. Due to the reduced mean thickness of the panel-type diaphragm wall, it should also be more economical to produce since the consumption of slurry will be lower.

Properties of soil-cement bodies formed by jet grouting

17. <u>Homogeneity</u>. By utilizing high-pressure pumping equipment, the in situ soil is pulverized and thoroughly mixed with the injected cement slurry and exhibits homogeneity comparable to a mortar or concrete. The resulting soil cement mixture is called soilcrete. In a subsoil composed of various layers of stratified material, the resulting soil-cement mixture will be homogeneous through each layer, presenting slightly different mechanical characteristics in each layer of strata. In the transition zone between the layers of strata, there will be a gradual change from one type of material to the next.

18. <u>Permeability</u>. Based on the results of several tests, it can be stated that the permeability of the soil-cement bodies is within the following limits:

$10^{-8} \leq k \leq 10^{-6} \text{ cm/sec}$

19. <u>Strength</u>. The mechanical strength of the soil-cement depends on four factors:

- Type of soil.
- Cement quantity.
- Water/cement ratio.
- Curing time.

The purpose of including strength values in this report is to give some guidelines about expected strength ranges. Such values and relations should be considered merely indicative for the purposes of this report.

20. <u>Guideline - values of compressive strength</u>. The values of Tables 2 and 3 are defined as the average results obtained from a series of many unconfined compression tests performed on samples of cylindrical shape from various soil types from Japan, Italy, Venezuela, Colombia, Brazir, and Argentina. The strength values (kgi/cn^2) are averages only. The values correspond to setting times of 30 and 120 days and are related to the cement quantities (kg/m^3) and the water/cement ratio of the consolidating slurry as indicated.

21. Table 4 presents preliminary correlations between the indirect tensile strength as measured in the diametral compression test (Brazilian Test) and the compressive strength as measured in the unconfined compression test.

Considerations of Cutoff Walls irom NUVATECNA Projects

Porto Primavera Dam cutoff wall

22. The cutoff wall for a cofferdam over the Parana River, Sao Paulo, Brazil, 1982, was the first of its kind in the New World (Figure 8). Several test walls were made with 10- to 14-m depth to demonstrate the efficiency of the jet grouting system and to delineate other factors related to the project.

23. The cutoff wall was formed in alluvial sands with a 5+ to 18-Standard Penetration Test (SPT) blow count. The soil included five continuous layers of firmly demented conglomerate averaging in thickness from about 0.20 to 1.0 m. The maximum depth reached was 32 m.

24. Some important design aspects were:

- Drilling/injection same unit \emptyset 2-in. drilling rod.
- Column diameter ≤ 0.80 m.
- Cutoff wall in single rows except for one double row stretch parallel to river
- Interaxial (center to center of columns = e) distance:

Single-row sections e = 0.56 m.

- Double-row sections e = 0.60 m.
- Permeability of column material $k \leq 10^{-6}$ cm/sec.
- Longitudinal extension of cutoff wall 2,000 m.
- Execution time 140 days.

The other drilling parameters (nozzle pressure, lifting rate, cement consumption, etc.) used to form the CCP columns at Porto Primavera Dam are listed in Table 1.

25. The project was carried out based on solid soils data. The execution of the tests and studies took slightly more than a year. 26. Geometric specifications for this project (column diameter and column interaxial distances) were considered a valid antecedent and were adopted for the cutofi wall project later performed.

27. Two important observations resulting from information gained from the Porto Primavera project are:

- a. In this particular alluvium type, the vertical drilling should be controlled by instruments so that interconnection of adjacent columns can be assured, and therefore, insure an efficient cutoff wall.
- b. The jetting parameters should be selected as a function of soil characteristics (SPT) as the depth increases.

Mercedes Benz pit

28. The structural cutoff wall for a pit to be excavated in soft, submerged soil in Sao Paulo, Brazil, 198?, presented no verticality problems due to the limited column depth and the excellent soil properties (Figure 9). In spite of the specified interaxial distance of 0.75 m between the columns of 0.80 m diameter, the cutoff wall formed with four-column rows resulted in perfect sealing. The 80 cm diameter columns were formed using the drilling parameters cited in Table 1. The structural strength was excellent. Dalmine Siderca pit

29. A structural cutoff wall was built for a pit to be excavated in soft, submerged soil in Buenos Aires, Argentina, 1984, as shown in Figures 10 and 11. This pit, as far as we know, was the first to be performed to this depth (7.40 m) utilizing jet grouting, employing the drilling parameters listed in Table 1. The results, both technical and economical, were excellent.

30. With the knowledge and experience accumulated in the last two years, the authors feel that it would be possible to achieve comparable results using JG and fewer columns than needed for the CCP wall. Capivari pit

31. A structural cutoff wall was constructed as a cylindrical shart 12 m in diameter, excavated in soft, submerged soil for the <u>Companhia de</u> <u>Caneamento Basico do Estado de Sao Paulo</u>, Brazil (SABESP), 1986, as shown in Figure 12.

- 32. Vertical drilling control was not necessary for two reasons:
 - a. The drill/injection unit performed in a satisfactory manner in the soil type encountered over the 9 m depth required by the design.

b. For structural reasons, the cutof: wall was designed with two rows of columns. The results of this project were excellent.

Pegard de Souda Fam outoft wall

3. The cutoff wall for this dam across the lifete River, Sae Faule, fracil, 1985, was a relatively small job (2,870 m of CCP columns) designed to connect the river bank with a reinformed concrete conferdam (Figure 13). The solution be treated was sand at the bottom and clayey fill above. A double row of columns was utilized to form the cutoff for the Edgard de Souza Dam, which was similar to the design concept used for the Porto Primavera Dam. However, the time spent jetting the CCP columns at Edgard de Souza Dam was only 2.4 minum, as indicated in Table 1, which resulted in a column which was about 7 minum, as indicated in Table 1, which resulted in a column which was about

is. Vertical drilling control was unnecessary in this project due to the shallow depth (8 m and the excellent soil properties. A double row of columns was specified due to the probability of encountering granite blocks at the lower extremities of the drill holes. The resulting cutor: wall was considered an excellent example of both efficiency and economy. Sance itsu pit

55. The structural cutoff wall for subsurface work, Banco Itau, Sao badlo, Brazil, 1986, was the first diaphragm wall constructed in brazil using the 50 method. The designer selected an interaxial distance of 5.10 m, foreseeing a nominal column diameter of 1.40 m. There were no verticality problems with this endeavor due to the shallow depth required.

36. The nite for Banco stau was a former gasoline station. The soil in some breas had become contaminated with spilled oil and gasoline which prevented the cement slurry from hardening. It is imperative to perform a chemical analysis of the soil to detect the presence of petroleum products, severage, etc. as well as geotechnical investigations.

l'iedra del Aguila cutori wall

37. Two pasion comments essential for the effective design of a deep let-un-uned outofr wall are:

- g. The minimum guaranteed dimension of the soliciete body.
- b. The guaranteed cosing limit of deviation from the vertical of the solution bedue.

btml#limble.com/second two comments is included in designing a unique is expected of detended on a source of the new deep out on will in fatagen is. Argentine, at the Piedra del Aguila cite where a concrete dam is presently under construction on the Rio Limay.

38. Sometime in the remote past, an undetermined geological incident occurred which diverted the Rio Limay to its present course. The original paleocauce (ancient riverbed) is almost immediately adjacent to the present river valley, and is now completely filled with quite diversified soil-types lying in random, mixed strata of irregular dimensions to a depth of approximately 180 m below the upper surface, which, in the area involved, is completely covered with a layer of basalt to a depth of -60 to -80 m and with an expense of about 1,100 m. The level of the ruture lake is expected to be 10 to ω is above the upper surface of the paleocauce; a cutoff wall through it is appearity.

39. The solution suggested or specified by the contract documents calls for inpregnating the alluvium with grouting substances by means of lowpressure injection to be implemented from two horizontal tunnels, one near the bottom of the basalt layer, the other 120 m above the bottom layer of the pareocauce.

40. The difficulties facing the contractors in trying to transform the specified solution into a workable, cost-efficient procedure gave NOVATEONA the impetus to develop an alternate concept and design. We decided to design a jet-grouted cutoff wall performing all necessary operations from the upper surface of the basalt cover and to take advantage of the excellent performance commune method.

al. For Novatecha, the design of jet-grouted cutoff walls consists contailly to taking the proper decisions regarding three aspects:

- ... The choice of the type of soilcrete body (column or panel) and the definition of the pertinent minimum column diameter or parel extension actually obtainable in the soil context of the specific case.
- p. Fixing the proper spacing between axes (interaxed) of the prignous scilerete bodies.
- . Whether the wall should consist of single- or multiple-row hodies, and if multiple rows are chosen, between which levels they should be executed.

In this phase t, the third aspect was not a consideration because no stadetural reason existed which required a multiple-row wall.

+... in initial study of the problem resulted in some conclusions based on the first two ispects stated above in a and b:

- a. Taking into account the difficulties inherent in drilling the axial holes for the soilcrete bodies through the basaft layer and the alluvium, the panel-type body was preliminary chosen (subject to confirmation by a technical feasibility study now underway).
- b. The interaxis spacing would obviously depend on the minimum guaranteed extension of the designed panels and would depend as well on the actual guaranteed limit of maximum deviation from vertical actainable at the depths involved.

43. To further define and examine these three interconnected aspects, Novateoua decided to test the performance of the JG method in representative samples of the soils involved and to transfer the solution of the drilling problems to a highly specialized group: the oil well drillers. We were able to interest a highly qualified oil field, mining, and deep-water service company, Dresser-Atlas, in our concepts; and early this year, they began studying the drilling problems, while we in Brazil performed our soil tests.

44. The highly respected engineer, Professor Victor de Meilo, former president of the international Society of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, studied the situation and gave us his support. After a careful analysis of the available geological and geotechnical information from the site, he specified two types of soil from those present in the paleocauce alluvium for the tests:

- a. Type A. A duly proportioned mixture of components-clays, silts, sand and gravel, and pebbles with grain sizes up to 2 in. and a moisture content between 10 and 15 percent. The specified density to be no less than 2.2 to 2.4 t/m³ (1/5 to 136 perf).
- <u>Type B</u>. This soil is composed of a matrix of sand mixed with clayey silt and 5 percent in weight, dry Portland cement, 40 percent in weight, granitic gravel, pebbles, and cobbles ranging from 1 to 8 in. in size.

The electils were representative of the lower portion of the paleocauce's Sectiments.

(4). The components for these soils were collected from a large quarry that the tacks, Brazil, then compared and verified by a highly qualified labest ry; and an encadement was carefully prepared to the specified densities. The dree cons of the embandment were large enough to allow the symmetrical instituted size that double papels and three columns in each type soil (A and the test variable feiting parameters. The excavated embankment gave evidence the relation of schemeter bodies. Panels with a single-sheet extension of up to 1.4 m were observed. Then using another set of jetting parameters, panels with a single-sheet extension of up to 1.8 m and columns with 1.4 m diameters were obtained.

46. After a detailed study of the soilcrete bodies obtained in these tests, we considered the results to be quite satisfactory in terms of the dimensions of the bodies and the quality of the soilcrete material relative to the severe conditions they were to withstand.

47. Meantime the Dresser-Atlas team had arrived at the following conclusions:

- a. It is possible to drill the necessary holes for the cutoff wall within the soil context of the paleocauce, meeting the special requirements of very tight tolerances in vertical deviation.
- b. Even with the thick basaltic cover layer, it is possible to keep the maximum deviation of the holes at the maximum depth of the paleocauce within the required limit of 50 cm.
- <u>c</u>. Tools exist that are capable of meeting today's stringent requirements for directional surveying of drilling and precise well bore positioning. Special sensors assure measurements of 0.1° azimuth and 0.05° inclination, independent of magnetic influence. Tight directional control during the drilling operation is a matter more closely related to cost than technical possibilities.
- d. Taking into account the number of holes required and the relatively limited amount of time available, the Dresser-Atlas team designed a satisfactory, rapid drilling program at acceptable cost.

48. At this point, then <u>both</u> the basic concepts of <u>the minimum guaran-</u> <u>teed dimensions</u> and <u>the maximum guaranteed deviation limits</u> were verified. With this information, we can proceed with the design of the cutoff wall.

49. Based on the results of our Sao Paulo tests, the use of the <u>panel-type</u> solicrete body was confirmed. A reduction factor of 25 percent was applied to the minimum extension obtained in the tests to define the guaranteed minimum extension of the panels to be used for the actual design of the cutoff wall. In this case, the design extension for the individual panels was 1.2 m.

50. Theoretically, an <u>adequate interaxis</u> is the maximum horizontal spacing that will safely assure a sufficient continuous seal between contiguous panels (or columns) for the entire depth of the cutoff wall even in the event of maximum allowed deviation between their axes. The operational procedure that in our opinion seems suitable for effectively implementing a

condition of continuous connection consists of first injecting two jumbo grout double panels (odd panels), spaced sufficiently far apart so that an intermediate panel (even panel) can be jetted and used to assume a continuous cutcit as shown in Figure 14.

31. By monitoring the drilling operation, it is possible to determine the actual positions of the axes of the odd panels with respect to the deviations. Taking advantage of this information, then <u>any even body</u> may be accurately conted in position between two <u>contiguous odd bodies</u> so as to compensate for the effects of the odd panel deviations by means of the even panels directions and deviations, thereby producing the required continuous connections off along the cutoff wall to ensure sealing.

We continue the later mediate even body by proper positioning when child for the intermediate.

55. This definition is valid if the soilcrete bodies are columns; in fact, since we know the design diameter and limit of maximum vertical deviation of the bornholes, it becomes a simple matter to determine the interaxes that outsing the sealing requirements. (It is interesting to point out that as we are dealing with <u>design extensions</u> of bodies with maximum allowable deviations, we could consider <u>tangency</u> between contiguous bodies, i.e., zero overlapping, an acceptable situation.)

54. With the case under consideration, the type of cutoff body chosen was a <u>double divergent</u> panel (see Figure 7). For this type of soilcrete body we may add something to the definition of <u>adequate interaxis</u>:

> The spacing should be such that even in the most unfavorable case of deviation (within maximum design limits), satisfactory compensation could be made by means of the intermediate even body by proper positioning when drilling its axis; and depending on the orientation and deviation of its own borehole, by adjusting as tecessary between the pairs of divergent jets, the resulting panels will ensure the continuous sealing of at least one row of panels.

. In cases of extremely adverse conditions of deviations such that experimentation of means of one intermediate body would not be considered prueout, that two bodies properly located between the two deviating odd bodies

ъ.

should provide adequate sealing. In this particular case we would determine by tests the <u>adequate interaxis spacing</u>.

56. The convenience and economy of the panel-type jet grouted cutoff wall described are evident if we compare it with a hypothetical solution using column-type bodies. Assuming columns with a minimum effective diameter of 1.3 m (minimum radius of 0.65 m) and applying the same reduction factor of 25 percent, the minimum guaranteed design column radius would be 0.49 m, comparable to 1.2 m of the panels single-sheet extension. However, aside from the fact that a design radius of 0.52 m is very likely <u>not</u> compatible with a limit of maximum deviation of 0.5 m, we see that even supposing the possibility of drilling the holes perfectly vertical, the column-type wall would require more than twice the number of boreholes required by the panel-bodied wall and would need a much larger quantity of cement as well.

57. We have presented the criteria used in the preliminary design of the Piedra del Aguila cutoff wall. We feel that the criteria presented are valid and should be considered, where applicable, for constructing jet-grouted cutoff walls.

Final comments on the selected projects

58. The verticality control of the drill holes was not considered in any of the reported cases with the exception of the Porto Primavera and Piedra del Aguila projects.

59. In the other cases, where the columns were visible after excavation, it was obvious that in the range of depths encountered (up to 15 m), perforation in soft soils by the drill/injection unit resulted in excellent verticality. Visual inspections have allowed us to prove that the effective column diameters were consistent with the theoretical forecast of the project.

60. The satisfactory results achieved, indicated that the choice of the column interaxial spacing was correct.

Recommendations for Jet-Grouted Cutoff Walls

Essential concepts for design

61. <u>Soil characteristics</u>. Soil characteristics are usually the first available data. The geological and geotechnical information should be complete. Data sampling frequency should be on par with the complexity of each job. ol. <u>Cutoff wall efficiency</u>. This aspect should be previously fixed by the designer. The relevant information consists of:

a. The underground water levels up and downstream from the panel.

b. The maximum head loss the cutoff should provide in relation to the upstream water level.

The head efficiency of a cutoff panel (ratio of head loss between points immediately upstream and downstream of the cutoff wall at its junction with the base of the dam to the head loss across the dam expressed as a percentage) is generally established to be between 70 and 80 percent.

63. <u>Guaranteed limit of deviation related to the verticality of the</u> <u>drill holes</u>. The guaranteed limit of deviation depends on the drilling equipment and method adopted as well as the maximum depth of the cutoff wall and the soil type.

64. The drill/injection unit normally used for jet grouting can drill directly into clays, silts, sands, and mixed soils up to 30 m. For greater depth requirements or perforations in alluvial soils containing gravel and/or stones, it may be necessary to drill holes previous to treatment by means of equipment especially designed for each specific case.

Example: To drill to 13 m depth in soil made up of 70 percent rolled pebbles of 0.5 to 5-in. diameter in the Limay River bed in Patagonia, we utilized Stanwick equipment. Drilling through 80 m of basalt underlying 180 m of heterogeneous alluvial deposit required the use of equipment such as that used for drilling oil wells.

In each case, however, it should be possible to define by means of previous testing the guaranteed limit within an acceptable safety margin for the drilling deviation (in relation to the vertical requirements) in the specific context of the cutoff wall to be designed.

The word <u>guaranteed</u> makes sense insofar as dimension, direction, and level of the deviations could be verified by adequate instrumentation. The term <u>guaranteed limit</u> should be compatible with the resources available for the JG method to be applied in the cutoff formation to obtain the required sealing within economical limits. This <u>guaran-</u> <u>teed limit</u> should also be the one allowed or compatible in individual cases.

The best equipment available should be utilized to assure minimum deviation within the acceptable limits at maximum depth. This information should be available when the cutoff wall is designed.

55. Minimum guaranteed soil-cement body dimensions. When choosing the Collmethod to be utilized, the designer must take into consideration the minimum dimensions of the treated soil bodies obtainable within the soil conditions encountered. 66. The <u>minimum guaranteed dimensions</u> (diameter of columns or length of panels) to be considered for the definition of the cutoff wall geometry will result in the selection of a reduction factor for the minimum dimensions. The one that is based on previous in situ tests could be obtained at any point along the consolidated bodies in actual soil conditions.) The maximum allowed <u>guaranteed deviation</u> and the <u>minimum guaranteed dimension</u> of the consolidated bodies forming the cutoff wall will constitute the basis of the design for the wall.

67. Quite often, complete soils information is not available during the initial design phase. If this is the case, the designer must complete his preliminary work based on the information at hand but should require that adequate field testing be completed and the results be made available prior to final design of the cutoff wall.

68. <u>Basic requirements for an in situ diaphragm wall test.</u> The aspects that must be considered in performing an in situ test should include the following:

- Analysis of available geotechnical data usually provided by the client.
- Discussion and definition (with the client) of the limits the diaphragm wall must ensure.
- Definition of stresses the wall may have to tolerate under various circumstances.
- Design of the cutoff wall (preliminary).
- Location, shape, and extent of wall.
- Minimum characteristics strength, modulus of deformation, permeability of the injected soil-cement bodies in relation to the various soil types it will encounter, acceptable tolerance ranges.
- Shape of soil-cement bodies forming cutoff wall.
- Criteria for definition of interaxial spacing.
- Analysis of resistance conditions expected.

69. The formation process of the test wall consists of the following:

- brilling of alluvial mass and bedrock.
- Type of drilling.
- Equipment, tools, and materials.
- Sequence of operations.
- Productivity range.

- Necessary inputs.
- Drilling verticality.
- Maximum acceptable vertical deviation of drilling.
- Methods of ensuring verticality.
- Instrumentation and methods to control verticality.
- Checking routines.
- Procedures to correct effects of eventual tolerance deviations.
- Utilization of DACTEST instruments (monitor which continuously records the depth of hole, rotation speed, torque, flow rate, and water loss during drilling).
- Jet grouted trial diaphragm wall.
- Procedure and ranges of foreseen parameters for the execution of diaphragm wall tests.
- Range of cementing materials consumption.
- Equipment.
- Coordination of cementing operations and drilling operations.
- Procedures for correcting the effects of deviations occurring during drillings.
- Necessary support and inputs.
- Routines for checking operating parameters.
- 70. Control of diaphragm wall efficiency is accomplished by:
 - Proving rates of efficiency and acceptable tolerance range of the diaphragm wall.
 - Instrumentation programs and proposed surveys to establish efficiency rates in the trial diaphragm wall.
 - Conclusions from results of the trial diaphragm wall.
 - Procedures to correct eventual faults in efficiency of the diaphragm wall and controlling the efficiency of such corrections.
 - Preliminary program for controlling the efficiency of final diaphragm wall.
- 71. Time must be allotted:
 - For design, execution, and test of diaphragm wall.
 - For final diaphragm wall.

72. Among the costs involved is:

• Final cost to be drawn up with general contractor.

Design guidelines

73. The design of a jet grouted cutoff wall consist essentially of decisions concerning:

- a. The kind of consolidated-body which will form the wall, characterized by the shape and correspondent minimum dimension.
- <u>b</u>. The horizontal distance which will separate the axes of the overlapping bodies.
- c. whether the wall should be composed of single or multiple columns, and if multiple rows of columns are specified, between which underground levels they should be injected.

24. The relevant decisions concerning aspects (a) and (b) are closely interconnected, and for the same technical result of possible alternatives, the decisions should be directed to the most convenient economical solution. It is essential that the designer should have available the basic information regarding the minimum dimensions of possible alternative bodies and the limit of maximum deviations that can be obtained as well as the approximate cost of these alternative components.

75. The relevant decisions concerning aspect (c) when impervious cutoff valis are involved can be applied to particular situations, but not required to assure the best performance of their sealing functions. The columns should be sufficiently connected so that in the worst situation of reciprocal verticality deviation of two adjacent columns, there should still be a minimum safety connection or overlapping between them. The worst situation of reciprocal verticality deviations between two contiguous bodies is determined by the positions of the axes of those bodies when both show maximum deviation and are oriented in the most adverse directions.

76. Keeping in mind the technical condition mentioned above, in our opinion the alternative ways for a satisfactory definition of the basic design decisions could be as follows:

- a. To predetermine one fixed interaxial distance compatible for the type of body selected based on the economical convenience, and to determine by trial simulating adverse positions of the overlapping bodies, which should be the minimum range (diameter in the case of a column, or length in the case of a panel) that should be guaranteed to these bodies or to satisfy the design requirements.
- b. To define the type of body which will form the panel and the respective minimum range that could be guaranteed in the soil context of the job site, then to determine which should be the more onvenient interaxial distance that satisfies the design reparameters.

22. It is important to emphasize that the analysis should consider the cutoff construction process. First, the odd bodies are formed, then the even bodies of each discussion of eace 81%. The even bodies should be localized in such

a way that each one compensates for effects of the deviation on the two adjoining odd bodies.

78. In cases of extremely adverse situations of reciprocal verticality deviation of two adjacent odd body axes, a satisfactory compensation may be accomplished by means of two even bodies rather than one in their respective positions.

79. For the column-type diaphragm wall, the specified procedures are simple and quick. However, when using the double laminar panels (Figure 7), the procedures are not so simple and a special computer program should be developed to aid in determining the proper procedures. <u>The suggested</u> <u>procedures imply the absolute necessity to know the direction of the deviation</u> in terms of dimensions, orientation, and location in relation to the vertical.

80. In short, the fundamental criteria that in our opinion should be taken into account for this type of project are:

- o Design the diaphragm wall according to minimum guaranteed dimensions of the consolidated bodies.
- o The safety factor adopted to transform the minimum dimensions into minimum guaranteed dimensions should be fixed by the designer.
- o Design the diaphragm wall taking into account the maximum compatible deviation in function of the diaphragm wall depths and in determining the correspondent controls.

This concept implies that if a diaphragm wall has variable depths, the design could toresee larger interaxial distances in shallower depths.

Verticality Deviations and Corrections for Sealing Between <u>Two Laminar Double Panels of Odd Order</u>

81. Figures 14 to 16 illustrate examples of (a) theoretical location of adjacent panels without deviation, (b) location of even bodies to compensate deviation of odd bodies, and (c) jets location of even bodies that also had a maximum deviation to compensate maximum deviations of odd bodies, respectively.

Jetting Parameters

Porto Primavera Dam (CCP System)	
Pressure	5,000 psi
Time	4.1 min/m
Nozzle	2 Ø 1.8 mm
Slurry Quantity	62 £/min
Cement Consumption	140 kg/m
Water/Cement Ratio (Dry Weight)	1.5 : 1
Column Diameter	80 cm

Mercedes Benz Pit (CCP System)

6

Pressure	5,000 psi
Time	3.75 min/m
Nozzle	2 Ø 2.2 mm
Cement Consumption	375 kg/m
Water/Cement Ratio (Dry Weight)	0.8:1
Column Diameter	80 cm

Dalmine Siderca Pit (CCP_System)

Pressure	5,000 psi
Time	3.33 min/m
Nozzle	2 Ø 1.8 mm
Cement Consumption	150 kg/m
Water/Cement Ratio (Dry Weight)	1.1 : 1
Column Diameter	70 cm

(Continued)

Table 1 (Concluded)

Capivari Pit

Pressure*	4,300 psi
Time*	2.9 min/m
Grout Injection Rate*	118 l/min
Nozzle*	2 Ø 2.4 mm
Pressure	4,300 psi
Time	2.9 min/m
Slurry Quantity	279 l/m
Grout Injection Rate*	95.6 l/min
Cement Consumption	212 kg/m
Water/Cement Ratio (Dry Weight)	1:1
Column Diameter	75 cm

* Pre-rupture using only water as the jetting material.

alla suur

Jet Grouted Soilcrete in Fine Soils

530 1
390 20
390 25
300 50

91

. .

ĥ.

Jet Grouted Soflcrete in Sandy Soils

	Water		Unconf Compre Stre	ined ssive ngth		Unconf Compre Strer	fined sssive ngth		Uncon Compr Stre	fined essive ngth
Type of	Cement Ratio (Dry	Cemert Quantity 3	kgf/ Curing Day	cm ² Time, ys	Cement Quantity 3	<u>kgf/</u> Curing Da	/cm ² ; Time, !ys	Cement Quantity 2	kgf Curing Da	/cm ² Time, ys
Soil	Weight)	kg/m	30	120	kg/m	30	120	kg/m	30	120
Sandy Silt	1:1	300	60	72	400	80	94	500	100	115
Silty Sand	1:1	300	70	84	400	95	111	500	120	138
Sand	1:1	300	85	102	400	120	140	500	150	173
Sand with Gravel	1:1	300	>100	>120	400	>150	>180	500	200	>230

92

	Ratio of Tensile Strength to Unconfined Compressive Strength					
Type of Soil	Experimental Range	Conservative Range				
Clay	0.18 - 0.36	0.18 - 0.23				
Silty Clay	0.16 - 0.32	0.16 - 0.17				
Clayey Silt						
Inorganic Silt		0.14 - 0.15				
Sandy Silt Silty Sand	0.11 - 0.26	0.12 - 0.13				
Sand	0.08 - 0.16	0.10				
Sand with Gravel	-	0.08				

Soilcrete Properties

2	AD-A191 073 REPAIR EVALUATION MAINTENANCE AND REMABILITATION 2/2 RESEARCH PROGRAM PROCEE (U) ARMY ENGINEER WATERWAYS EXPERIMENT STATION VICKSBURG NS GEOTE E B PERRY INCLASEIFEED ION PROCEE (U) ARMY ENGINEER WATERWAYS										2			
							- <u></u>	\$			- h î			
	,			_										
												_		



6

MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NOTIONAL BURGALL STANDARDS 1963 A

Figure 2. Three-concentric-rod system

Figure 1. Single-rod system







Ň

Figure 4. The SSS MAN method

Figure 3. Double-rod system



Figure 5. Typical CCP panel in clay



Figure 6. Typical JG single panel in granular soils



Figure 7. Typical JG double panel in granular soils



REPRESENTATIVE ALLUVIAL PROFILE

METERS OF CCP COLUMNS : 28,000 CONSTRUCTION TIME : 140 DAYS

Figure 8. Porto Primavera cutoff wall for a cofferdam over the Parana River, Sao Paulo, Brazil



DISTANCE BETWEEN CENTERS = 0.75 METERS

West March Street

NOTE: PIT 19.8 x 6.0 x 5.45 METERS QUANTITIES - 3,000 METERS OF C.C. P. COLUMNS PERIOD OF EXECUTION - 45 DAYS WITH ONE OPERATING UNIT



Figure 9. Structural cutoff wall for Mercedes Benz pit, Sao Paulo, Brazil









Figure 11. Inside the Dalmine Siderca pit after completion of cutoff wall, Buenos Aires, Argentina



102 SQUARE METERS OF J.G. COLUMNS PERIOD OF EXECUTION - 15 DAYS

and Barries Brands Brand

Figure 12. Structural cutoff wall for a cylindrical wall for the Capivari pit, Sao Paulo, Brazil




Figure 14. Typical theoretical location of adjacent panels without deviation



E



É

Figure 16. Sample of jets location of even bodies that also had a maximum deviation to compensate maximum deviations of odd bodies

REINFORCED DOWNSTREAM BERMS

by James M. Duncan Virginia Polytechnic Institute

Introduction

1. This paper was prepared for the "Workshop on New Remedial Seepage Control Methods for Embankment-Dams and Soil Foundations" held at the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station on October 21st and 22nd, 1986. The subject and title of this paper, "Reinforced Downstream Berms," was selected by the organizers of the workshop.

2. The paper is divided into two major sections. The first deals with factors that govern the performance of seepage berms. It borrows heavily from recent theoretical work on the subject by Barron (1984). The second section deals with the characteristics of four different proprietary systems for reinforced walls and slopes, including their components and behavior, their design, construction, and cost.

Downstream Berms for Seepage Control

3. Excessive seepage from the foundation at the downstream side of an embankment dam can lead to unsafe conditions with respect to erosion and piping. One means of controlling such seepage and improving the safety of the structure is to construct a seepage berm on the downstream side of the dam. Seepage berms restrain the foundation soils from being eroded by the seepage emerging from the foundation.

Design of seepage berms

4. Seepage berms are most effective if they are more permeable than the underlying foundation soils, or if they are constructed on a drainage blanket. A completely permeable berm has no effect on the seepage conditions and decs not change the heads in the foundation. Such berms allow the water to flow freely from the foundation, while the underlying soils are restrained. To prevent foundation soils from being washed into the voids in the berm material

by upward seepage from the foundation, the lower portion of the berm must satisfy filter criteria with respect to the foundation.

5. Seepage berms can be constructed using soils that are less permeable than the foundation, but wider and thicker berms are required to achieve the same degree of improvement. When soil with lower permeability is placed on the foundation downstream from a dam, emergence of seepage from the foundation is restricted. The heads in the foundation therefore increase, and a thicker berm is required to counteract the increased uplift pressures. The berm must be wider also, because the heads decrease more gradually with distance downstream.

b. Barron (1984) made theoretical studies of the widths and thicknesses of secondle berms constructed of permeable and not-so-permeable materials. He derived equations that can be used to determine required berm widths and thicknesses for a wide range of geometry and permeability conditions.

2. An example from Barron (1984) is shown in Figure 1. It pertains to a level 30 ft high, with a 5-ft-thick blanket capping the permeable foundation wile. It can be seen that the required berm width is about 300 ft if the permeability of the berm material is not less than that of the underlying blanket material. When the permeability of the berm is less than that of the blacket, however, the berm must be considerably wider to achieve the same degree of safety. For a berm one-tenth as permeable as the blanket, the required berm width would be about 1,000 ft. For a completely impermeable bern, the required width would be nearly 1,400 ft.

S. Larren (1984) used mathematical analysis to derive formulas for com-, tit, the repared widths and thicknesses of seepage berms. Barron's analysis considerable interest and which into the second enumber of cases that are of considerable interest and which into the second enable mathematical complexity. Some of the formulas he derived are another the asy to use. A number of the results derived by Barron are any structure to following paragraphs, in simplified form. The simplified are the construction of this typer is interded to make easier applications of structure electric transition paratical cases. The simplified approach does not include construction electric transitions part to and it involves some approximations not that the there approach does not include construction electric transitions analysis.

is end ing the fired widths of see ige berms.

4. A consist carron derived for infinitely permeable and for comportant income able berg one given in Figure 2. The terms used in these formulas are defined at the bottom of Figure 2 and in the sketch at the top of Figure 1.

10. The formulas given in section (1) of Figure 2 can be used to calculate berm widths for cases where the permeability of the berm material exceeds that of the underlying natural blanket, or where a permeable blanket drain underlies the berm. In this case, the distribution of heads in the foundation is not affected by the berm. The head at the toe of the embankment (h_t) , which is the same after the berm is placed as it was before, can be calculated using the formula given in the figure. When h_t has been determined, the required berm width can then be calculated.

11. Although the formulas at the top of Figure 2 were derived assuming the berm permeability is infinite, they can be used to estimate h_t and B for cases where the berm permeability exceeds that of the foundation but is not intinite. As can be seen in Figure 1, the required berm width increases as the berm permeability decreases. For the case where the berm permeability is equal to that of the underlying natural blanket, the required berm width is obout 40 percent wider than for an infinitely permeable berm. It seems reasonable to use the formulas in Figure 2 to determine the berm width for infinite permeability, and then to increase the width to account for a finite value of permeability using Figure 1 as a guide. Also h_t should be increased by the same factor as applied to the width.

12. The formulas given in section (2) of Figure 2 apply to the case where the berm is completely impermeable. In this case, the berm completely seals off seepage from the foundation, and this results in higher heads within the foundation. With no upward seepage through the berm, the variation of head with distance from the embankment toe is linear, and the value of h_t can be calculated once the berm width is known.

13. While the formulas given in section (2) of Figure 2 only apply to completely impermeable berms, the values can be adjusted for cases where the berm permeability is smaller than that of the underlying blanket but is not zero. This adjustment can be made using the factors shown in Table 1, which were derived from the example shown in Figure 1. For a completely impermeable berm, the formula in Figure 2 gives a required berm width of 1,370 ft to achieve a factor of safety against uplift equal to 1.50. For $k_t = k_b$ (berm permeability equal to blanket permeability), the required berm width is 370 ft for F = 1.56, or 27 percent of the required width for zero permeability.

14. Values of h_t calculated using the formulas in Figure 2 can also be adjusted for other values of berm permeability. The adjustment factors, which were derived irom calculations given by Barron (1984) for the example in Figure 1, are listed in the right-hand column in Table 1. For a completely impermeable berm and F = 1.20, the formula in Figure 2 gives a value of $h_t = 22.2$ ft. For $k_t = k_b$ (berm permeability equal to blanket permeability) and F = 1.20, the value of h_t given by Barron (1984) is 12.5 ft, or 56 percent of the value corresponding to zero permeability.

Determining required thicknesses of seepage berms

15. The required thickness of a seepage berm is governed by the head beneath it and the desired factor of safety against uplift. The factor of safety against uplift, defined as the ratio of upward seepage force to buoyant unit weight, can be calculated using the formulas given in Figure 3.

16. Two expressions for factor of safety are given in Figure 3, one for the factor of safety against uplift of both the natural blanket and the berm beneath it, and a second for uplift of only the seepage berm. Either of these may be more critical, depending on the relative values of permeability of the berm and the blanket. When the blanket is less permeable than the berm, the factor of safety against uplift of both the blanket and the berm (given by the first expression in Figure 3) is usually smaller than the factor of safety for the berm alone (given by the second expression).

17. The expressions given in Figure 3 can be solved for the value of berm thickness required to give the desired factor of safety. Using these expressions with $h = h_i$ gives the required berm thickness at the embankment toe.

18. The required berm thickness at the downstream end of the berm is zero for cases where the berm is more permeable than the underlying natural blanket. For cases where the berm is less permeable than the blanket, some thickness is required at the toe. The thickness required can be calculated using the formula given in Figure 4. This expression gives the thickness required to achieve the same factor of safety against uplift at the downstream toe of the berm as for the area just beyond the berm toe. It was derived by using the first formula in Figure 3 to develop an expression for the factor of safety of the blanket alone, by setting the berm thickness equal to zero. This expression then gives the factor of safety just beyond the berm toe. This expression was then equated to the second formula in Figure 3, and the resulting equation was solved for the value of t. This value of t thus corresponds to equal factors of safety at the berm toe and just beyond the berm toe. This value of factor of safety is the one used in calculating the width of the berm, by means of the formulas given in Figure 2.

19. If the berm was completely impermeable, the head at the base of the natural blanket would vary linearly with distance downstream from the embankment. A berm with uniformly varying thickness would have the same factor of safety at all locations from the embankment toe to the berm toe.

26. If the berm has a finite permeability, or even if it is infinitely permeable, the head does not vary linearly with distance downstream from the embankment. Near the embankment toe the variation of head with distance is more rapid than it is further downstream. In this case, a berm with uniformly varying thickness has a higher factor of safety in the area between the embankment toe and the berm toe than it does at those locations. The thickness of the berm would have to vary in a nonlinear manner with distance from the embankment toe in order to achieve exactly the same factor of safety at all locations. Barron (1984) extended his theoretical studies to include consideration of how the berm thickness should vary to achieve an exactly uniform factor of safety.

21. Because of the way the head varies with distance downstream from the embankment toe, if the slope of the berm surface is constant, the minimum tactors of safety occur at the embankment toe and the berm toe. All of the area in between has a slightly higher factor of safety. The extreme magnitude of this effect occurs when the berm is infinitely permeable. In this case, a savings in volume of about 30 percent would be possible if the berm surface was graded in a curve calculated to achieve exactly the same factor of safety at all locations. The details indicating the required shape are given by Barron (1984).

Reinforcement of Berms

22. As can be seen from the discussion in the previous section, seepage berms usually have mild surface slopes and small thickness at the downstream end. Thus, in most cases, there would appear to be little need for reinforcement of seepage berms. In some situations, however, a seepage berm may have a steep slope that would need to be supported or reinforced for stability. This might occur, for example, at the edge of an outlet channel below a dam. The following sections consider the possible use of various types of reinforcement for such slopes.

23. Slope reinforcement systems of the kinds discussed in this paper are proprietary. The companies that market these systems employ engineering staffs and are able to provide engineering assistance to design engineers who wish to use their products, as well as technical information regarding their products and their design methods. Through this mechanism a considerable body of extremely valuable design and construction experience is available to engineers who may be unfamiliar with the details of the various slope reinforcement systems, but who would like to explore their potential value for use on a particular project.

Reinforced Earth

24. Reinforced Earth, a product of the Reinforced Earth Company (headquarters in Arlington, Virginia), was originated by French engineer Henri Vidal about 20 years ago. It has since been extensively used in Europe and the United States. Many of the applications have been for highway bridge abutments, but a wide variety of other applications have also been made, notably including marine structures.

25. Reinforced Earth walls, shown in Figure 5, employ galvanized or aluminum ribbed strips as the reinforcing elements, and precast concrete or steel panels as the wall facing. The facing panels overlap to prevent raveling of the backfill, and cork is used in the joints between panels to accommodate differential settlements. The backfill for Reinforced Earth must be a fairly clean sand or gravel, with less than 15 percent finer than the # 200 sieve, and a PI less than 6.

26. The company provides a full range of engineering services, including preliminary design and cost estimates, final design, assistance at prebid and preconstruction conferences, and assistance to the contractor during construction.

Hiltiker Welded Wire Walls and Reinforced Soil Embankments

27. The Hilfiker Company, located in Fureka, california, was awarded its first patent for a reinforced retaining wall system in 1977, and now folds several. Hiliiker walls have been used for a wide variety of applications, including bridge abutments, landslide repairs, embankment stabilization, erosion control, and others.

28. Two different systems are marketed by Hilfiker. Their Welded Wire Wall, the less costly of the two, uses wire mesh and wire screen as wall facing (Figure 6). The second system, Reinforced Soil Embankment, which is shown in Figure 7, uses precast concrete facing elements. Both types use galvarized wire mesh as the reinforcing element, and both interlock for assembly, so no bolts or other fasteners are required. Backfill materials range from GW to SC by the Unified Classification System, and required compaction is 90 percent of Standard Proctor.

29. Hilfiker provides a full range of engineering services, in addition to manufacturing the wall components. Many designs are standardized, and designs for unique conditions are prepared on request.

VSL Retained Earth walls

30. The VSL Corporation has its headquarters in Los Gatos, California. In business since the 1960's, VSL was originally a contracting company specializing in post-tensioning. Unlike the manufacturers of the other wall systems included in this paper, VSL offers construction services and will build complete wall systems, including erection and backfilling as well as manufacturing the components. VSL will also work with other erection and backfilling contractors if desired.

31. Retained Earth walls, shown in Figure 8, use galvanized wire mesh reinforcing elements and precast concrete wall panels. The company does not set general specifications for acceptable backfill systems, but candidate backfills must be approved by VSL engineers. Retained Earth walls have been used for a wide range of applications, including bridge abutments, retaining walls, stream protection, and others.

32. VSL employs structural and geotechnical engineers and offers a wide range of services, including consultation, feasibility studies, design, and construction.

Tensar Geogrid-reinforced walls and slopes

55. The Tensar Corporation has its headquarters in Atlanta, Georgia. Lensar has recently reached a marketing agreement with Armoo, and information on lensar products is available from all Armoo offices. Tensar Geogrids were most stored first in England by a company called Netlon, Itd. The geogrid manufactoring process involves punching holes in sheets of polymer and stretching them at elevated temperatures. As the polymer sheets are stretched, the molecules become oriented in the direction of stretch, and their strength is increased. The oriented polymers actually have tensile strengths exceeding that or some steel. The polymer grids are chemically inert under most circumstances encountered in buriel, and the grids are thus not subject to deterioration by chemical action. Carbon black is added to the polymer mix to make the geogrids resistant to damage from ultraviolet radiation.

34. Congrid-reinforced walls use the polymer grids for reinforcing and, in some applications, for facing also, as shown in Figure 9. The exposed grid facing system is called the "wraparound" design. With the grids exposed, the face of the wall can be seeded to develop a turf covering. Other types of facing have also been used. These include brick, wood, concrete, and other materials. The facings can be attached to the reinforcing, or which the wraparound design, the facing can stand in front of the wall face, serving as a decorative and protective element in front of the geogrid facing. Applications include retaining walls, reinforced slopes, landslide repairs, gabions, ecastal structures, and others.

35. Besides manufacturing the geogrids, Tensar employs geotechnical engineers in a number of offices around the United States and provides a wide variety of engineering services. These include feasibility studies, design studies, technical assistance regarding geogrid properties, and construction advice

36. Table 2 contains a summary of the characteristics of these reinforcement systems. It can be seen that Reinforced Earth, Reinforced Soil Embankments, and Retained Earth have a great deal in common. All use galvanized steel reinforcement, all use precast concrete facing panels, and all use similar types of backfill soils. They differ in that the Hilfiker and VSI products use welded wire resh for reinforcing rather than the separate flat ribbet reinforcement strips used in Reinforced Farth, and the Hilfiker walls helt together without belts or other conjectors. Reinforced Farth has feen constructed with all memory strips in at react, he application, and using steel soft gamels in another.

1. The Sejded Wire Will produced by Hilliber mess no concrete facing. The Eachfill is pertained by wire facing elements called "backing parts," with timer screep behand to retain the timer soft particles. Flimination of the

1 H B

concrete facing reduces the cost of Welded Wire Wall as compared to the Rein-Forced Soil Embankments, which are also produced by Hilfiker and which are the same in most other respects.

35. The Tensar Geogrid-reinforced walls and slopes differ from the other systems mainly in the reinforcement, which is high-strength oriented polymer rather than metal. Tensar walls are sometimes faced with the geogrid material, and sometimes with other materials. The geogrids can be cast into the facing it desired. When the grid itself is used as the facing material, the grid is wrapped back around the soil at the face to encapsulate and retain the backfill. Most types of onsite soils can be used as backfill for Geogridreinforced walls and slopes. The amount of reinforcement needed in a given application is determined by the wall height, the external loads, and the type of backfill.

burability

54. Longevity is an extremely important consideration for all of these spatian, because they use reinforcement embedded in the backfill, inaccessible for repair or maintenance. Tests to measure rates of corrosion of buried metal conclusted by the National Bureau of Standards from 1910 to 1955 (Romanoff 1957) provide data for estimating corrosion rates for galvanized and plain steel, in a range of pH environments. Rates of corrosion are used in estimating the metal thickness that may be lost over the design life of the structure. Netal thicknesses are used that will provide sufficient reinforcing capacity at the end of the design life, after reduction of thickness by corrosion.

46. The polymer materials used in Tensar Geogrids are chemically inert in most environments, an advantage for longevity. No reduction in area or strength due to corrosion or other chemical action is made in selecting design loads for the polymer geogrids. The material does creep appreciably under load, and the reinforcement loads used in design are selected considering the creep strains expected over a design life of 120 years or more. Design procedures

-1. Design of reinforced walls involves two types of considerations:

a. External stability.

b. Reinforcement capacity.

The transformation of the example of the same as the transformation of the evaluate stability of conventional gravity and cartilever walls.

The reinforced zone is considered as a block, and its safety with respect to sliding, overturning, and bearing capacity is evaluated using equations of static equilibrium.

42. Design for reinforcement capacity considers the stress in the reinforcing elements and the possibility of pullout failure. Field measurements have shown that the peak stress in the reinforcing occurs at a distance behind the facing equal to about three tenths of the wall height. The length of reinforcing behind the plane of peak stress is the grip length, where resistonce to pullout is developed.

43. The design procedures used by the Reinforced Earth Company, Bilifker, and VSL are very similar. These are shown in Figure 10. The width of the reinforced zone (B), equal to the length of the reinforcing elements, is standardized. The minimum width used is 0.7H or 0.8H. Larger values of B, up to 1.3H, are used for low walls and severe loadings conditions. Surcharge roadings on the backfill are considered in analyzing both external stability and internal stability.

44. The procedures used for design of Tensar Geogrid walls, while the same in principle as those shown in Figure 10, differ in some respects. The reinforcing extends a constant distance behind a plane inclined at $45^{\circ} + \phi/2$ from the horizontal and is thus longer near the top of the wall than at the bottom. The procedures used to evaluate safety against overload of the reinforcement and pullout are similar to those shown in Figure 10.

45. The design procedures used by all of the distributors discussed are founded on solid principles of soil mechanics, properly tempered with laboratory tout results and field experience. As noted previously, all of the comparies have engineering staffs who can provide assistance with design when required. It is thus not necessary for an engineer wishing to use one of these systems, or to explore its usefulness for a particular project, to be fully versed in all of the detailed aspects of its design. Performance

46. One advantage of reinforced walls as compared to conventional concrete wall: is that they are more flexible and thus better able to withstand differential settlements without distress. The reinforcing elements can undergo considerable distortion without ill effects, and the articulated facing panel: can accommodate some differential settlements without damage. The flexible forings used on the Hilfiker Welded Wire Walls and the Tensar wraparound Geogrid-reinforced walls are even better able to tolerate differential foundation movements, being even more flexible than the other types of facing.

Construction and cost

47. One of the principal advantages of all of these reinforced wall systems is that they can be constructed using relatively small equipment. Crews of four or five workers are common. Depending on the neight of the wall, construction rates from about 700 sq ft per shift to 2,000 sq ft per shift have been achieved. The rate of production increases as the height of wall decreases.

48. According to the manufacturers of these systems, costs per square foot of wall are about one half of the cost of conventional concrete gravity or cantilever walls. Cost figures developed by the Federal Highway Administration in 1971 indicated costs per square foot for Reinforced Farth walls were less for low walls than for high walls, the per square foot cost for cost for the walls being only about 40 percent as great as for 50 ft-high walls. This strong influence of wall height on the cost per square foot is interesting and worth noting. Costs also depend on job location and the accessibility of the site, as well as on the cost of suitable backfill material. Costs per square foot in 1986 probably average somewhere around \$25 to \$30 per square foot. The manufacturers of these systems are able and willing to assist with cost estimates on particular projects if desired.

Summary and Conclusion

44. Seepage berms provide an effective and reliable means for controlling excessive seepage from the foundation at the downstream side of dams on soil foundations. Seepage berms restrain the foundation soils, increasing safety with respect to erosion and piping in periods of high water. Berms constructed of permeable material are more effective than those constructed of impermeable or semipermeable materials, in that the same degree of safety can be achieved with much less material. As shown in the first section of this paper, berms constructed of material having permeabilities as high or higher tuan the foundation soil need be only about one fourth as wide and half as this a perms of less permeable soils and would thus have only about one eighth as much volume. There is thus a clear advantage to constructing berms of permeable materials whenever possible.

50. The extensive mathematical studies made by Barron (1984) provide a valuable basis for estimating the widths and thicknesses of berms required to achieve a given factor of safety against uplift. The first section of this paper presents a simplified approach for estimating the width and thickness of berms, based on Barron's mathematical studies.

51. The second section of this paper discusses the use of reinforced walls and slopes for seepage berms, in cases where that may be desirable. The characteristics of four different proprietary systems for constructing reinforced walls are discussed: Reinforced Earth, Hilfiker Welded Wire Walls and Reinforced Soil Embankments, VSL Retained Earth walls, and Tensar Geogrid-reinforced walls and slopes. These systems have been used previously for applications such as marine structures, erosion control, stream protection, and coastal structures, and all appear to have potential for use in reinforce-ing seepage berms, as well as for a variety of other applications.

52. The characteristics of the four reinforcing systems, and the methods used for their design have been discussed in detail and summarized in Table 2 and Figure 10. Their advantages as compared to conventional concrete walls include lower cost, rapid construction, and greater ability to accommodate differential foundation movements without distress. All of the distributors of these systems employ engineering staffs, and detailed information and design assistance are available to engineers who want to determine the cost and the technical potential of these systems for use on a particular project.

Acknowledgment

The writer wishes to express his appreciation to Ms. Suzanne Blackburn of the Hilfiker Company, Mr. Oliver MacIntosh and Mr. Robert Gladstone of the Reinforced Earth Company, Mr. Roger Bloomfield of the VSL Corporation, and Dr. Rudy Bonaparte of The Tensar Corporation for their very generous assistance in providing information for use in this paper.

References

Barron, R. A. 1984. "Mathematical Analysis of Landside Seepage Berms," Technical Report REMR-GT-1, prepared for US Army Corps of Engineers, Washington, DC.

Romanoff, M. 1957. "Underground Corrosion," National Bureau of Standards Circular 579.

In addition to these published references, considerable information on the reinforced wall systems discussed in this paper was obtained from the informative product literature provided to the writer by the various manufacturers. Their telephone numbers are listed below so that interested readers can obtain copies of the latest available product literature directly from the manufacturers.

> Reinforced Earth Company, Arlington, Virginia. Telephone No. (703) 527-3434.

The Hilfiker Company, Eureka, California. Telephone No. (707) 443-5091.

The VSL Corporation, Los Gatos, California. Telephone No. (408) 860-5000.

The Tensar Corporation, Atlanta, Georgia. Telephone No. (404) 968-3255. Table 1

kequired Berm Lengths and Heads at the Embankment Toe for Berms That Are Less

Permeable Than the Foundation Blanket

Katio of Berm Permeability	Ratio of Requi	red Berm length	Ratio of Head at Toe to
te Blanket Permeability	to Length Kequ	red lor k = 0	liead for $k = 0$
κ / κ t	for $F = 1.0$	for $F = 1.5$	ht ht
1.0	0.30	0.27	0.56
0.1	0.64	0.71	0.89
0.01	0.76	0.95	0.99
0.001	0.97	1.00	1.00
0.0001	1.00	1.00	1.00

Table 2

Characteristics of Proprietary Systems for Soil Reinforcement

viduet Nitter	l onpany Nane	Reinforce- ment Materials	Facing Materials	Design and Engineering	Applica- tiens	Acceptable Backfill Materials	Design Life
keirtered suth	Reintorced Arth Conpany	Calvanized steel or aluminum ribbed strips	Precast .c.ctete or steel panels	Company provides complete service	Walls, maríne struc- tures, other	Less than 15Z pass- ing #200, P1 * 6	Based on extra thick- ness, can be more than 100 years
Nelled Firz Kall	The Hilliker Company	Galvanized wire mesh	Wire mesh and screen	Company's consultant or other	Embankment reinforce- ment, ero- sion con- trol, other	GW to Sc 90% Std. Proctor	50 years standard, can be more than 100 years
keintoreed Seil Embankment	The Hilfiker Company	Galvanized wire mesh	Precast concrete	Cempany's consultant or other	Embankment reinforce- ment, ero- sion con- trol, other	GW to SC 907 Std. Proclut	50 year: standard, can be more than 100 years
Retained Farth	VSI. Cor- peration	Galvanîzed wîre mesh	Precast concrete	Company provides complete service, also con- struction	Retaining walls, stream protec- tion, other	VSL approved, 957 Std. Proctor	Based on extra thick- ness, can be more than 100 years
Tensar Geogrid Walls	The Tensar Corpor- ation	Polymer geogrids	Geogrids, concrete, wood, brick, other	Owner's engineer, with Tensar engineer- ing staff	Retaining walls, coastal structures, other	Un-site material	Based on chemical stability, creep, can be more than 100 years



Figure 1. Variation of required width of seepage berm with ratio of berm permeability to blanket permeability (Barron 1984)

÷.

(1) Infinitely Permeable Berm

$$B = L_{LS} \cdot ln \left(\frac{F \gamma_w h_t}{Z_b \gamma_b} \right)$$

$$h_{t} = \frac{H \cdot L_{LS}}{X + L_{2} + L_{LS}} \text{ (calculate } h_{t} \text{ before B)}$$

(2) Completely Impermeable Berm

$$B = \frac{F \gamma_w H L_{LS}}{Z_b \gamma'_b} - (X + L_2 - L_{LS})$$

$$h_{t} = \frac{H(B + L_{LS})}{X + L_{2} + B + L_{LS}} \text{ (calculate B before } h_{t}\text{)}$$

where
$$L_{LS} = \sqrt{\frac{k_f}{k_b}} \cdot D \cdot Z_b$$

 $X = L_{LS} \cdot \tan h\left(\frac{L_1}{L_{LS}}\right)$
 $F = factor of safety against uplift$
 $\gamma'_b = buoyant unit weight of blanket$
 $\gamma_w = unit weight of water$

Definitions of other terms shown in Figure 1

Figure 2. Formulas for calculating required berm lengths for infinitely permeable and impermeable berms

(1) Factor of Safety Against Uplift of Both Blanket and Berm

$$F = \frac{Z_b \gamma'_b + t \gamma'_t}{(h - t) \gamma_w}$$

(2) Factor of Safety Against Uplift in the Seepage Berm

$$F = \frac{\gamma'_t \left(t + Z_b \frac{k_t}{k_b}\right)}{(h - t) \gamma_w}$$

where F = factor of safety against uplift

y'_b = buoyant unit weight of blanket

y'_t = buoyant unit weight of berm

Z_b = blanket thickness

t = berm thickness

k_b = blanket permeability

k_t = berm permeability

h = head at bottom of blanket, measured
from ground surface as datum

Figure 3. Formulas for calculating factors of safety against uplift in the natural blanket and the seepage berm

$$t = \frac{h - \frac{Z_b \gamma_b \frac{k_t}{k_b}}{F\gamma_w}}{1 + \frac{\gamma_t}{F\gamma_w}} \text{ for } \frac{k_t}{k_b} < 1$$

$$t = 0$$
 for $\frac{k_t}{k_b} \ge 1$

where t = berm thickness required at downstream end of berm

 Z_b = thickness of natural blanket γ'_t = buoyant unit weight of berm γ'_b = buoyant unit weight of blanket k_t = berm permeability k_b = blanket permeability

h = head at downstream end of berm, measured from ground surface as datum

Figure 4. Formula for calculating required berm thickness at the downstream end of the seepage berm



TYPICAL SECTION OF A REINFORCED EARTH RETAINING WALL



TYPICAL SECTION OF A REINFORCED EARTH MARINE BULKHEAD. FILTER FABRIC IS USED BEHIND ALL PANEL JOINTS TO AVOID WASHOUT OF FINE BACKFILL MATERIAL



TYPICAL SECTION OF A REINFORCED EARTH ABUTMENT. NOTE THAT THE BRIDGE SEAT RESTS DIRECTLY ON THE REINFORCED VOLUME.





. Sector Stitleer Methews for a

1.10





52532225 - 12565

Figure 8. VSL Retained Earth fill walls







CRUSS SECTIONAL FIEW OF A "WRAPAROUND" RETAINING WALL STRUCTURE WITH A NON-LOAD BEARING FACING. IN THIS APPLICATION, ALL LATERAL FARTH PRESSURES ARE RESISTED BY THE TENSAR GEOGRID RE'INFORCEMENT, AND ANY CONNECTION BETWEEN FACING AND BACKFILL IS ONLY TO ALIGN AND OR SUPPORT THE FACING



CROSSISECTIONAL USEW OF A REINFORCED SOLL PETAINING WALL LICING THE WRAPAROINEL FACING TECHNIODE WHERFIT, HEITENSAR GEOGRID IS USED AS THE FACING ELEMENT



TYPICAL WRAP AROUND SAFELICATION

Figure 9. lensar Geogrid-reinforced walls and slopes



q = surcharge

Earth pressure force, E, includes effect of surcharge. E is determined using earth pressure coefficient, K, from field measurements. K = K at surface, decreasing to $K = K_a$ at depth of 20 feet.

Design Criteria	
(1) Sliding	
$F = \frac{R \tan \phi}{T} \ge 1.5$	B = width of reinforced zone
(2) Overturning	= length of reinforcement
	H = wall height
$F = \frac{M_{\rm H}}{M_{\rm H}} \ge 2.0$	E = earth pressure force
E	W = weight
$b = \frac{M_{W} - M_{E}}{M_{E}} \ge \frac{B}{E}$	q = surcharge
W 3	R = vertical reaction
(3) Bearing Pressure	T = horizontal reaction
$P = \frac{W + q \cdot B}{2b} \leq \text{bearing}$	M_{W} = moment due to W
$M_{W} + M_{q} - M_{E}$	M = moment due to q of wall q point 0
$b = \frac{1}{W + qB}$	$M_E = moment due to E$
(4) Reinforcement Stress	b = offset of R from toe
$f_r = \frac{C_h A}{A} \leq \frac{allowable}{stress}$	σ = horizontal earth pressure at a h particular depth
(5) Pullout Resistance	A = area of wall face loading rein-
F = Pullout Resistance ≥ 1.5 Pullout Load	a = cross-sectional area of rein- forcing element

Figure 10. Design procedure for Reinforced Earth, Hilfiker, and VSL Reinforced Walls

PLASTIC CONCRETE CUTOFF WALLS

George J. Tamaro Mueser Rutledge Consulting Engineers

Introduction to Slurry Wall Technology

1. There are basically three methods of achieving an impermeable cutoff wall using slurry wall techniques:

a. Soil-bentonite backfill slurry trench.

5. Cement-bentonite backfill slurry trench.

c. Plastic or rigid concrete backfill slurry trench.

Soil-bentonite backfill slurry trenches

2. Soil-bentonite (SB) backfill slurry trenches are excavated in a continuous manner to practical depths down to 100 ft. For depths of approximately 50 ft, excavation is performed by hydraulic backhoe or drag line excavators. For depths in excess of 50 ft, a combination of either backhoe or drag line and clamshell operation is necessary to effectively perform the excavation. A chisel is used to excavate hard lenses of soil or rock and, if necessary, to cut a key into rock. The slurry trench excavation is kept open by replacement of excavated soil with a bentonite slurry. Bentonite slurry stabilizes the sidewalls of the excavation until such time as a mixture of soil and bentonite slurry can be replaced in the trench to provide the permanent cutoff (Figure 1). This procedure is most appropriate in shallow trenches (depths down to 50 ft) where the backhoe can effectively dig a continuous trench without the need for clamshell or chisel operation. The thickness of the soil-bentonite backfill is usually related to the hydraulic gradient i (pressure differential in feet of water divided by the wall thickmess in feet), which is a measure of the hydraulic head differential across the cutoff wall. Conventional practice suggests that a maximum gradient of 10 (using a factor of safety of 3) be applied to soil-bentonite cutofts in order to minimize the possibility of either hydraulic fracturing or migration of times under hydraulic gradient.* These criteria may be excessively

* For a more complete discussion of the blowout requirements of soilbentonite slurry trench cutoffs, see EM 1110-2-1901, pp 9-24 to 9-25. conservative and are more often than not, not followed. These criteria would require a 5-ft-thick soil-bentonite backfill slurry trench for a cutoff wall extending 50 ft below ground-water levels. Because of the nature of the geology at certain sites, it may not be economical, practical, or even possible to excavate to a full 5-ft width. Taboratory tests on properly designed and blended backfill indicate permeabilities in the range of 1 x 10^{-6} to 1×10^{-7} cm/sec.

Cement-bentonite backfill slurry trenches

3. Cement-bentonite (CB) slurry trenches are excavated either as a continuous trench, as described in the foregoing paragraph, or in panels, that is, a segmented wall excavated as shown in Figure 2. The cement-bentonite slurry trench differs from the foregoing technique inasmuch as a mixture of cement and bentonite in slurry form is substituted for the conventional bentonite slurry. The cement-bentonite slurry provides a two-fold function. First, it supports the trench during excavation, and second, it provides the permanent backfill material for the trench as the cement bentonite solidifies in place.

4. Because of variations in the geology at certain sites where the occurrence of various hard and soft materials makes it difficult to predict and estimate accurately the rate of progress of the "rench excavations, it is possible that the cement bentonite would harden in the trench at a rate faster than the rate of excavation. As a result, it becomes necessary to reexcavate cerebet bentonite which has hardened, wasting a significant portion of completed work. Cement-bentonite backfill undergoes shrinkage and cracking during drving: large variations in ground-water levels can render this backfill pervious if drving occurs. Taboratory tests on properly designed CB backfills indicate permeabilities of about 1 x 10^{-6} cm/sec.

Plastic concrete backfill slurry trenches*

5. Plastic concrete (PC) backfill slurry trenches are constructed in panels using the following procedure (Figure 2). First, a panel is excavated by anger (figure 3), backbor, and/or clamshell bucket (Figure 4) down to the specified ; and subgrade. The excavation is filled with a bentonite stabilizing slurry as the excavation advances downward. At the completion of the

^{*} The design of PC mixers and construction of PC suboff walls is covered in elsectent paragraphs.

excavation of a panel, the bentonite slurry is cleaned through a desanding operation (Figure 5). The panel is then filled with a specially designed PC mix (Figure 6 or 9). The PC mix is designed to provide ultimate strengths f'_{c} at 28 days in the range of 500 to 1,000 psi (Figure 12) and nominal permeability k of approximately 1 x 10^{-7} cm/sec.

6. This method is most practical for the construction of deep cutoff walls in dense soils and soft rock requiring a key into impervious strata since excavation can be performed in panels using heavy clamshell buckets and chisels to remove hard lenses of soil and rock. The method is not timedependent inasmuch as panels can remain open for as long as necessary to complete the excavation. The method can be used in remedial work on existing dams and levees since the short panels are stable and cause minimal disruption to existing soil.

7. Due to the low permeability and high strength of the PC backfill it is possible to use a thinner cutoff wall. Furthermore, PC backfills have sufficient structural strength that hydraulic fracturing or migration of fines under hydraulic head is not of concern. For this method, the thickness of the cutoff wall is, in most cases, dictated by the minimum width of the excavating tools. With 2-ft-wide tools the panels can be excavated to depths far in excess of 100 ft.

8. This method is an extension of the conventional slurry wall technology that has been successfully used to cut off seepage from dams, dikes, and levees, and to construct deep basement and subway structures since the late 1940's.

9. The joining of individual panels is obtained either through the reexcavation of previously placed plastic concrete when constructing adjacent panels (Figure 7) or by the slip forming of joints at the ends of consecutive panels through the use of end stops or pipes (Figures 8, 9, 10, and 11). The former is known as the overbite method and the latter as the end pipe method. Laboratory tests on properly designed PC backfills indicate permeabilities in the range of 1×10^{-7} to 1×10^{-10} cm/sec, with permeability increasing with sample age.

Guide Walls

(6.) uside walls are essential for the accurate control of the alignment

and elevations of a PC cutoff wall. They serve as a guide for the excavation and prevent the collapse of the trench at ground surface.

Panel Dimension and Arrangement

11. Panel dimensions are usually controlled by both the technical requirements of the work and the type and size of equipment available. Panel lengths can be no shorter than the length of the bucket and no thinner than the width of the bucket. Short panel lengths are usually in the range of 7 ft and should be used in loose unstable materials or in areas where there are very high surcharge pressures from adjacent structures or slopes. Longer panels, ranging up to 30 ft in length, can be used in cohesive soils or other stable materials. Wall thickness is usually 24, 30, or 36 inches.

12. The botcom of wall elevations are governed by the location of the top of rock, by the need to embed the wall in impervious strata, and by the need to prolongate flow lines through pervious strata.

13. If the bottom of the wall is to be seated on rock, care must be taken to verify the location and nature of the top of the rock. The top of the rock must be satisfactorily cleaned prior to the placement of concrete in the panel.

14. If the bottom of the wall is to be seated in a rock socket, the rock socket should be sufficiently deep to provide the function required,i.e., fateral support, load bearing, or watertightness. Reinforcing cages are usually not required.

Construction Joints Between Fanels

15. Construction joints between panels are achieved in a variety of ways. The most basic and simplest method is the half-round joint formed by a stop end pipe or a joint formed with steel wide-flanged sections. Less often used are joints formed by square-end buckets and joints incorporating sheetpile sections or break-away keys set into the pour. Complicated joint details are expensive, as well as difficult to install, and perform unsatisfactorily in less than ideal conditions.

16. Occasionally the overbite method is used. Great care must be taken to ensure sufficient overlap and lateral alignment. Overstrength concrete can happen excavation of the overbite.

Design of Plastic Concrete Mixes

17. Plastic concrete mixes incorporate various proportions of cement, bentonite, fly 4sh, local aggregates, and local water with the intended purpose of providing an economical mix that is easily placed, highly impervious, and resistant to local permeant water.

18. Because of variations in materials, mixing equipment, and skilled labor, it is difficult to recommend a specific concrete mix design; however there are several rules that should be followed in the design of the mix. Hard gravel is preferred over crushed, gap-graded stone; the aggregates used in the mix should be well-graded. A sandier (45 to 50 percent sand) mix similar to pump-crete mix will flow better in a tremie pipe and throughout the panel and is therefore preferred. Plasticizers and air entrainment mixtures are recommended. Design mixes should be of as low an ultimate strength as practical (less than 1000 psi) (Figure 12) and should be designed and tested with enough water to guarantee that an 8-in. slump will be achieved.

19. Tests should be conducted to determine the lowest practical strength for the intended use. A low modulus of elasticity and high strain at tailure are desirable (Figures 13 and 14).

20. It is important that all personnel involved in the execution of the work understand that an 8-in. slump is essential for the proper casting of panels and that the field staff will not be permitted to tamper with the mix. Laboratory testing of the mix should be performed to determine the following:

- a. Permeability with site water.
- 5. Ultimate strength.
- c. Modulus of elasticity.
- d. Strain at failure.

Concrete Placement

21. If excavations are performed in fine sand, or with percussion tools used to drill boulders or bedrock, it is imperative that the penel be cleaned prior to the placement of the concrete. Otherwise, fine sand particles will settle to the bottom of the panel or, if held in suspension by the hertopite, will mix with the concrete and form pockets of "mud" in the panel. 22. Panel cleaning can be done by an airlift and desander (Figure 5) at the trench, by complete replacement of contaminated bentonite with fresh bentonite, or by cleaning the bottom with a "toothless" clamshell bucket.

23. A single 8- or 10-in.-diam tremie pipe centrally located within the pupel is recommended. The tremie hopper should be large enough to receive the obcasional surge of concrete and prevent the spillage of concrete from the hopper into the trench (Figure 11).

14. The concrete pour should proceed as rapidly as possible. However, it should always be timed in such a manner that a continuous placement of concrete is maintained. Disruptions in the delivery of concrete guarantee a cold joint in the panel and a tuture source of leakage.

15. At the conclusion of concrete placement, the stop end pipe must be extructed from the excavation; it must be removed at a rate slow enough that it is never raised above the level at which the concrete has already set and or a rate fast enough that the pipe will not become stuck within the panel (Figure 2). In the overbite method, the concrete is permitted to set and gain minimal strength. Excavation of an adjacent panel must take place within a short period of time in order to permit easy removal of the fresh concrete.

Quality of the In-Place Concrete

When that correctly designed mix has been properly placed, it is almost impossible for the concrete not to achieve its designs strengths. (The problem is that the concrete usually exceeds desired strength.) Experience has shown that cylinders taken during the pour usually show concrete strengths 10 to 50 percent greater than the strength specified, and that cores taken from a wall even under the most displayantageous placement conditions are apt to have strengths equal to, or more than, 50 percent greater than the strength specified. The goal in plastic concrete mixes is to obtain a <u>low strength</u>, <u>low</u> modulus of elasticity, and a wigh strain at failure (Figures 12 to 14).

Accuracy, Tolerances, and Finish

". Construction accuracy and finishes are dependent upon the geology of the cite and the contractor's skill and tools. However, properly executed construction should fall within the following tolerances: The vertical joint at the end of a panel formed with an end pipe should fall within 6 in. of the specified location. The wall should be within 1 percent of verticality.

28. Properly executed cutoff walls are watertight throughout the panel. Occasionally seepage will occur at the vertical joint between panels or at cold joints.

29. Leaks are the responsibility of the slurry wall contractor and will be sealed with chemical or cement grout inserted into the soil directly behind the wall at the location of the leak. Occasionally grout pipes are placed directly in the joint and pressure grouted after the concrete sets.

- 35,254,255 - 2

Conclusions

30. Plastic concrete cutoff walls can be successfully constructed to depths in excess of 100 ft in various geologic environments. The walls can be keyed into hard, impervious strata and can be joined, panel to panel, using a variety of techniques.

31. High-strength impervious concrete backfills can be obtained using mixtures of coarse and fine aggregates, cement, bentonite, and fly ash. Low strength, low modulus of elasticity, and high strain at failure are desirable properties.




Ĩ









Figure 5. Cleanup with sand separator unit



and a second second

Figure 6. Placement of concrete overbite method





142

ዿጜ፝ኇ፞ዿጟዄዸዄዸዄዸዄኯፚኯፚኯፚኯ



Barranda Therefore Therefore The

Figure 8. Preparations for concrete placement end pipe method



Figure 9. Placement of concrete end pipe method



Ţ

Figure 10. Different phases of construction end pipe method



Figure 11. Concrete placement with two tremie pipes and end pipes in place





l

الإداديدي

Figure 14. Stress-strain relationship

GROUND FREEZING AS A CONSTRUCTION EXPEDIENCY FOR EXCAVATING CUTOFF TRENCHES AND/OR INSTALLATION OF DRAINS

John A Shuster Geocentric

Backgiound

1. Controlled ground freezing for mining and construction applications has been in use for over a century. Despite the great technological evolution which has occurred during this period, the application of new developments to the art of ground freezing, especially in the United States, has been slow. Considering the fact that freezing is a more widely specified and successfully used construction procedure in Europe and Asia, and that common alternatives normally used for temporary construction are becoming more costly in the United States, it is important that US industry become aware of this important construction technique.

Purpose and Scope

2. In view of the current state-of-the-art, it is desirable to examine ground freezing in light of recent technical developments, together with highlights on some of the apparent advantages, disadvantages and economics of the various alternative construction approaches. The purpose of this paper is to attempt briefly such an examination, with particular emphasis on the practical application of presently available technology.

General Description of Construction Ground Freezing

3. Ground freezing employs the use of refrigeration to convert in-situpersivater to ice. The ice then acts as a cement or glue bonding together adjacent particles of soil or blocks of rock to increase their combined strength and take them impervious. The is the only thing that wakes frozen ground different from unfrozen ground. It is the key component of the system, and its mechanical properties are much more dependent on time and temperature than the geology of the strata in which it occurs. 4. Essentially all ground freezing projects require one or more of the following:

- a. Structural support by stressed frozen earth, or
- b. Structural support by the mass of an essentially slightlystressed zone of frozen ground, or
- c. Ground water control by an impervious frozen earth barrier.

5. Ground freezing may be used in any soil or rock formation, regardless of structure, grain size or permeability; however, it is better suited to soft ground rather than rock conditions. Freezing may be used for analysis, shape or depth of excavation and the same physical plant can be used from job to job despite wide variation in these factors.

6. Freezing is normally used to provide:

- a. Structural underpinning,
- b. Ground stabilization,
- c. Temporary support for an excavation, or
- d. To prevent groundwater flow into an excavated area.

As the impervious frozen earth barrier is constructed prior to excavation, it generally eliminates the need for additional ground support or dewatering, or the concern for adjacent ground subsidence during dewatering or excavation. However, lateral groundwater flows may result in failure of the freezing program if not properly considered during planning. Further, though subsidence may not be of concern, ground movements resulting from frost expansion of the soil during freezing may occur under certain conditions, and this potential must be considered in planning.

7. Contrary to popular belief, freezing can be completed rapidly if necessary, or desirable. However, the freezing rate can be directly related to overall costs and rapid freezing, particularly with Liquid Nitrogen or Liqsid Carbon Dioxide, is relatively more costly than slower freezing.

8. Frozen ground behaves as a visco-plastic material with strength properties which are primarily dependent on the ice content, duration of applied load, and the temperature of the ground. The type and texture of the ground are relatively less important. For this reason, a frozen ground barrier is extremely versatile. Within limits, it is relatively insensitive to advance geologic prediction. This is particularly advantageous for tunneling by changing the temperature or duration of loading, it will usually be possible to accommodate all types of ground conditions on a project with one freezing scheme.

Initial Evaluation of Technical Feasibility

Site related factors

9. A brief examination of the overall site geology, together with the geometry of the planned excavation relative to existing structures, is probably the first step in limiting the practical construction alternatives for a project - whether an open-surface excavation or a subsurface excavation, such as a tunnel.

a. Excavation geometry - configuration, depth, space for open cut, provimity to existing structures and utilities (above and below ground).

A frozen earth barrier will normally be a meter, or more thick; hence, may require greater clearances, but it is not limited by depth, as say piling or dewatering would be. A frozen barrier can also be inscalled in any geometry and any angle from vertical to horizontal. Furthermore, as it is installed prior to excavation, it may function as underginning for adjacent structures as well as excavation support and groundwater control.

b. Soil and rock conditions - Because a frozen earth barrier is less sensitive to geologic conditions and prediction than other alternatives, it is probable that site geology will frequently be the main reason for selecting freezing.

Freezing is attractive where pile driving depth is limited, or where driving is difficult or would cause unwanted settlements. Freezing can be conducted in areas underlain by building rubble, piles and old foundation where other alternatives would be extremely difficult. Nott or running ground and high lateral soil or water pressures favor freezing. Soil and rock profiles which are too heterogeneous to grout predictably may readily be trozen.

On the negative side, soils of low thermal conductivity such as silts and claus, or inorganic cea chells, take a long time to ireeze and have poor structural properties when frozen. Depending on the specific conditions, some silty of claycy seeks when undergo considerable volumetric expansion the state.

In heterogeneous around, the frozen zone will be irregular in shape. Even without laboratory tests a general knowledge of the natorials to be frozen will provide valuable insight as to the probable shape of the frozen zone and facilitate prediction of those areas which may be critical.

As a rule, in the absorbers, flowing witer, the phape of the frozen zone after a relatively short freezing period is primarily dependent upon the frozen thermal conductivity of the strata. This parameter may vary by a factor of only 4 or 5 being lowest for organic silts and clays and highest for sand and rock. In comparison, the permeabilities of these strata would range over several orders of magnitude. It is this fundamental difference between the possible ranges of thermal conductivity and permeability which makes freezing more controllable than grouting in a heterogeneous profile.

For any given refrigerant temperature, the relatively thinner frozen zones will normally occur in silts, clays, organic soils, and sea-shell beds. These are also the weaker strata; hence, structural analysis and design will frequently be dictated by these materials.

Frozen bedrock is not necessarily stronger than the overlying soil. The performance of frozen jointed rock is largely a function of the joint system and the behavior of the interstitial ice. Though the ice will serve to bond jointed rock, the ice itself has little long-term strength.

c. Site groundwater conditions - Most of the problems and failures which have occurred on freezing projects have been related to groundwater flow. If water flowing into the freezing zone supplies energy at a greater rate than it can be removed by the refrigeration plant, the zone will not freeze. For circulating coolant systems, the maximum rate of water flow which can routinely be frozen is of the order of 1 to 2 m per day. Rates of flow greater than this require special consideration. For liquid nitrogen systems, flows as high as 50 m per day have been stopped. However, the amount of energy and time required are increased substantially. The increase in required time is directly proportional to the increase in energy which must be removed.

As with most thermal considerations, the spacing of the freezing elements, the temperature and flow the refrigerant (coolant) are critical. Empirical techniques have been developed for approximately calculating the maximum spacing which can be used for any given temperature and groundwater flow. These techniques provide useful guidelines, but they are imprecise. A rule of thumb approach is to combine the normal refrigeration load per unit length of freezing element with the additional load which may be expected, for any given element spacing, due to water flow. The refrigeration plant selected must then have a capacity, at the prescribed temperature, greater than these combined loads including a safety factor.

At present the critical factors which control treezing in the presence of groundwater flow are reasonably well-known and they have been combined into a comprehensive analytic approach for design. However, sufficient data from projects are not yet available to confirm the design procedure. Considering the importance of this information, additional research is needed in this area. As a practical matter where groundwater flows are expected, freezing element spacing should be cloce and alignment carefully controlled. Further, the coldest practical refrigerants should be used.

The feasibility of dewatering and the potential effect of dewatering on adjacent structures may govern the selection of the freezing approach. On sites where dewatering is either not reasible or economically impractical, freezing becomes an attractive alternative. Also, for excavations where site or work conditions require the use of a pump(s) manned twenty-four hours a day, freezing automatically becomes more attractive. The same man who would have operated the pump can operate the refrigeration plants instead; thus combining excavation support with groundwater control at little or no additional labor cost.

Ground which is too dry - say less than 10% saturated - cannot be frozen unless water can be introduced prior to, or during, freezing. This has been done on projects in the past, but requires special considerations.

The quality of the groundwater may significantly affect the pertermance of the frozen barrier. The presence of dissolved salts or hydrocarbons in large quantities will prevent freezing or reduce the strength of frozen material at any given temperature. Because of this, the salinity of the groundwater or the concentration of hydrocarbons should be determined when there is doubt. Further, where saline groundwater conditions exist, frozen strength properties should be determined by laboratory tests or extrapolation of data from prior tests on saline soils. Extrapolation of existing strength data obtained for materials containing fresh water is not recommended.

With proper understanding and planning, almost anything can be frozen.

- d. Anticipated loading Static loads imposed by earth, water and existing structural pressures will normally govern design. However, dynamic loading must be checked when applicable, and for structures such as Class I structures for nuclear power plants, the design will probably be governed by seismic or other disaster-type loadings. In fine-grained soils where the soils are confined, ice pressure must also be considered.
- e. Ground movement The settlement, heave and lateral displacement criteria for adjacent structures and utilities will be an important influence on the decision whether or not to use freezing. Freezing under the right conditions will essentially provide a rigid support system eliminating any concern for movements of any kind. Conversely, under the wrong conditions, freezing can induce movements which would not otherwise occur.

Two type of potential ground movements must be considered in the design of a temporary frozen ground support system; these are movements due to creep, and frost effects.

Ground movements after excavation can theoretically occur due to creep relaxation of the frozen zone after prolonged loading. However, as a practical matter it is rarely of concern. The amount of creep which will occur under any given stress is small and determinable hence, displacements under field conditions are small and more or less predictable and within the control of the designer.

Ground movements due to frost expansion occur only in some soils. The movements result from two different phenomena:

- (1) Basic frost expansion due to the conversion of existing pore water to ice during freezing, and
- (2) Secondary frost expansion due to pore water migration and ice segregation with time at the freezing isotherm or in the frozen zone.

These two phenomena occur simultaneously; however, they differ in predictability and magnitude. Further, when secondary frost expansion occurs, it may continue after the freezing isotherm is no longer advancing. For these reasons, the two phenomena are considered separately.

Clean free draining sands and gravels are generally not susceptible to either type of frost expansion. Basic frost expansion is avoided in these soils because of their high permeability. During freezing, water is forced out of the soil at the same rate as freezing progresses resulting in a lower frozen water content without volume change. Secondary frost expansion is closely related to capillarity and pore water pressure. In clean free draining sands and gravels, the potential capillary head is small or non-existent; hence, the soil will not support appreciable water migration, and secondary frost expansion will not occur. As the percentage of silt and clay size particles increases in a soil, the permeability is reduced, capillarity increased, and basic frost expansion may occur if the water conditions are right and the freezing rate exceeds the rate at which the water can be forced out. Confining pressure will reduce the apparent frost expansion, particularly in coarser materials.

Basic frost expansion is relatively small and predictable (9% or less of the pore volume). It does not increase with time. In contrast, secondary frost expansion is more difficult to predict and may be much greater. Furthermore, it continues as long as the soil and water conditions remain unchanged and freezing continues.

As a rule, fine silts and lean silt-clay mixtures below the water tuble usually represent the worst combination of potential combined pressure and permeability. Further, an additional consideration of secondary frost expansion, particularly in these soils, is the possible subsidence and loss of strength which may occur upon thawing.

<u>f</u>. Thermal loads - In addition to the ground movement criteria discussed above, it is necessary to consider thermal criteria, particularly in-service water, sewage or stream lines which would pass through or adjacent to the frozen barrier. Unless these lines are stagnant for very long periods of time, the thermal load they represent normally are more of a threat to the frozen barrier than vice versa. Because of potential condensation problems, stream lines are probably the most sensitive of the various utilities.

g. Preliminary design - If the aforementioned factors have been considered and freezing appears to be an attractive alternative, then a preliminary structural analysis and design must be undertaken to determine the approximate geometry of the frozen barrier, and whether or not it should be designed as a stressed or unstressed section. The results of this analysis are important for evaluating the economic feasibility of freezing for the project.

Job related factors

10. In addition to the characteristics of the site, there will normally be project constraints which are important. As a rule, such constraints usually have a more important effect on the economic than technical feasibility of the work.

> <u>a</u>. Time - two time periods are important. First, how much time is available to form the frozen barrier (prefreezing time). This would be analogous, for example to how much time is available to drive sheeting, dewater and excavate. Longer available time means less expensive freezing. However, where circumstances warrant, and frozen barrier can be completed in a matter of hours after the freezing elements have been installed.

The second important time period is how long must the frozen barrier be maintained after it is in place? In general, where there are other competitive alternatives, frozen barriers are most attractive if they do not have to be maintained for a long period of time.

- b. Continuity of work Can the barrier be installed in one continuous operation, or does the work require multiple mobilizations and complex scheduling which could make for poor equipment utilization?
- <u>c</u>. Power, water and space requirements Refrigeration plants for field use are normally mobile mounted on truck trailers which need only be connected to water, power and the in-situ freezing elements. About 3.5 kilovolt-ampere (440 volts, 3 phase) of power per ton or refrigeration will be required. Where commercial power is not available, diesel generators must be used. The availability and cost of electric energy is a major factor in determining economic feasibility, and may result in the selection of liquid nitrogen or liquid carbon dioxide as an alternative. Water use will normally be of the order of 5 -10 gallons per minute. For a large project, available storage space for multiple refrigeration plants may be a concern.
- d. Personnel and equipment availability Large mobile refrigeration plants are not commonly available in the United States.

However, it is possible to rent or lease a plant; though it may have to be mobilized a long distance. If obtained from anyone other than a specialty ground freezing subcontractor, the mobilization may be quite costly, as all of the ancillary equipment which is normally an integrated part of a mobile plant must be assembled on multiple skids, shipped to the site and field connected. This factor may offer an economic advantage for LN or LCO2 on some projects, as no refrigeration plant is required when expendable refrigerants are used.

Because of the rather unique nature of mobile refrigeration plants, the availability of equipment to do the work may govern the technical feasibility of a project.

The availability of trades personnel to install and operate a freezing system should be no problem. Normally, on union projects, the work is distributed between the Operating Engineers and the Laborers; the installation being much the same as a well point dewatering system. However, though the craftsmen may be available to assemble and operate the equipment, it may be difficult to locate anyone sufficiently familiar with the process to design, organize and control the work. Ground freezing is much like chemical grouting in that a thorough understanding is necessary to ensure success. The availability of such personnel, usually from speciality firms may govern the technical feasibility of a project.

As a rule, because of the specialized equipment and personnel constraints, ground freezing is normally a speciality subcontract item. It has been used with varied success by General Contractors marginally familiar with it. In general, it is important to be very selective in choosing a ground freezing subcontractor or consultant. A careful review of credentials and past performance are a must.

Material availability - Ground freezing require a relatively small amount of materials, principally steel (or aluminum), pipe, rubber hose and plastic tubing. Most alternative construction methods, particularly those for excavation support require large amounts of timber, concrete, and steel. These materials are becoming increasingly costly and difficult to obtain with reliably delivery. Further, from the standpoint of conservation, freezing does not deplete these natural resource materials by using them for temporary construction applications where they will be abandoned with the permanent construction is in place.

Because of these concerns, freezing will probably be increasingly attractive in the future.

Initial Evaluation of Economic Feasibility

11. Excluding considerations of the contractor's capability, the actual direct costs of freezing for a specific project will depend largely on the

ground conditions, the spacing of the freezing elements, the time available, and the type of refrigeration system used.

12. Given a competent freezing contractor, the relative economics of ground treezing are critically dependent on the specific conditions and requirements of a project. Furthermore, to properly evaluate the relative economics of various alternatives means of temporary ground support, it is necessary to consider their effect on the total project costs, rather than the direct costs of the specific alternative as a single item of work. In some cases, the direct costs of freezing alone may appear somewhat higher than the direct costs of another alternative; however, when indirect costs required with the alternatives are considered - such as dewatering, compressed air, shield, congested excavation and building area, spoil disposal, space required, material availability, etc., the actual total costs may favor the treezing approach.

13. Any consideration of costs must include an estimate of the probapolity of success. For example, grouting may appear economically competitive or advantageous for a project. However, heterogeneity of the formation and a wide range in permeability, which commonly occurs, may cause the approach to be unsuccessful. Freezing is more predictable and less difficult to control than grouting; hence, because of increased confidence, a properly engineered freezing scheme may be more attractive.

14. Though it is difficult to make any generalized statements regarding the relative costs of freezing, it is probable that the method will be competitive for supporting open excavations greater than about 7 to 8 meter deep in bouldery, soft, or running ground, particularly sands, and for subsurface excavations in similar soils or mixed soil-rock face, particularly below the water table. These generalizations will probably be especially true in urban areas where concern for underpinning of adjacent structures and utilities is a major concern.

Design Considerations

is. If, after a preliminary feasibility analysis, it appears desirable to consider freezing as one of the prime alternatives for the work, then a complete design must be undertaken to obtain a final evaluation. This initial design need not be as thorough and detailed as will be required for construction, but it should be adequate to cover all of the important considerations. Though a presentation of detailed design procedures is beyond the scope of this seminar, we will briefly discuss several of the more important factors which must be considered in planning and executing a ground freezing project, and our present ability to predict field performance as related to each of them. As a rule, design is based on a number of interrelated factors; hence, an optimum design is normally a trial and error iterative process. The amount of effort put into such optimization obviously depends on the relative size and importance of the project.

16. If the frozen barrier is for groundwater control only, or will be essentially unstressed, then structural design is a simple matter of statics and the available time for completion of the barrier will be the key factor governing design. The design in this case would be primarily based on thermal analyses.

17. If the barrier is to be stressed then the ground temperatures and duration of applied stresses will be important. Under these circumstances, the thermal and structural design procedures proceed more or less in parallel - starting from initial separate assumptions and converging to a compatible result. For circular shafts the procedure is simple; for tunnels, diaphrages, arches, etc., the procedure becomes complex. Though the thermal and structural design is normally combined, we will separate the two for clarity.

ibermal analyses

18. The thermal design consists of the following:

a. Thermal properties and methods of obtaining them - The thermal properties (latent heat, specific heat and conductivity) of the ground are primarily dependent on the mineral/textural nature of the ground and its water content. Tests of laboratory samples are of limited value and published data are probably adequate for most jobs. The range of values for the variables is fairly small, and the resultant thermal calculations will be most critically affected by water content. The more water the slower the rate of freezing and the more energy required.

In-situ methods of measurements are available. They have been used primarily for measuring glacial ice properties, but it is only a matter of time before they will be applied to soil and rock.

Freezing rates - The amount of thermal energy and duration of time necessary to complete freezing may be approximately calculated. The conventional closed form analytic approach is based

on two-dimensional heat conduction theory and assumes isothermal boundary conditions at the freezing elements and in the surrounding ground at some large distance from the freezing elements. Heat transfer during freezing in normally heterogeneous ground is quite complex and more accurate closed form solutions are not presently available. However, for projects of unusual geometry, or with nonuniform thermal loads, the use of finite elements or difference techniques on a digital computer will provide more accurate results and may be justified. Computer analyses may cost several thousand dollars in labor and computer time to set up, run and interpret for several sets of conditions, even with an available program; hence, they would normally be used only for critical situations or more important projects. In view of this, for many smaller or less critical projects of regular geometry, approximate closed form analytic solutions may be expected to remain the principal technique of analysis. Regardless of the analytic technique used, for any given material properties and geometry of frozen earth structure, the most important factors which govern the thermal performance of a freezing project are the size and spacing of the freezing elements. In fact the amount of drilling and material recuired, the capacity of the refrigeration plant and the time required to complete freezing are all critically dependent on these factors.

The prefreezing period - prior to excavation - is composed of the duration of time necessary for the barrier to close between adjacent freeze elements (T_1) , and the subsequent time (T_2)

during which the barrier thickens to meet the requirements of the structural design.

Other things being equal, T_1 is exponentially proportional to

the relative spacing of the freezing elements (R^{1}) . Secondary factors which affect the variation in both T_{1} and T_{2} for any

given value of R' are soil and refrigerant (coolant) properties. For any given spacing of freezing elements, the required prefreezing time is directly proportional to the energy to be removed from the ground, and inversely proportional to the relative temperature of the outside of the freezing element. For any given ground conditions, relative refrigerant temperature, and spacing of the freezing elements' prefreezing time is significantly affected by the heat transfer efficiency between the refrigerant (coolant) and the freezing element.

The combined effects of very efficient boiling heat transfer, very low temperatures and changes in soil thermal properties at these temperatures account for dramatic differences between the relatively slow freezing time required for a closed circulating coclant system and rapid freezing with an liquid nitrogen system. However, with improper field control of the liquid nitrogen freezing process, the potentially great savings in time may not be realized. Liquid nitrogen is much more difficult to control effectively under field conditions than is circulating coolant. b. Energy requirements - The thermal energy required to freeze ground is directly proportional to the groundwater content. For coarse-grained soils, the energy requirements are relatively low if no lateral groundwater flow occurs. In finegrained silt and clay soils, the energy requirement will generally be higher. As a rule of thumb, the energy requirements in K calories per cubic meter of soil frezer will be between 2200 and 2800 times the water content in percent.

The total energy required is dependent on the frozen volume; hence, it is directly proportional to the total length of freezing elements in operation. The total refrigeration load is also dependent on the total length of freezing element. For any given geometry of zone to be frozen, the closer the freezing elements are spaced the greater the total length of elements in operation and the greater the initial refrigeration load, or cost of refrigeration plant. The cost of drilling and materials also goes up as the spacing between adjacent elements is decreased. The net effect of these two factors results in an exponential increase in direct cost and attendant reduction in time. If time is of the essence and an approximate dollar value can be attached to it, it is possible to determine the optimum economic spacing of freezing elements. At close spacing the cost of refrigeration plants with sufficient capacity and related energy exceed the cost of using an expendable refrigerant. When the value of time is considered the optimum spacing, which corresponds to this economic crossover point, may be increased.

The maximum freezing time is theoretically infinite; however, as a practical matter, pipe spacings greater than about 15 times the pipe diameter are not normally used, except with liquid nitrogen systems. Furthermore, at spacings greater than the thickness of the desired frozen zone, the efficiency of energy utilization drops sharply.

The preceding discussion of time, energy and related effects on costs is based on the fundamental assumption that a constant refrigerant (coolant) temperature is maintained in the distribution system and that the refrigeration load varies with time. This is the most rapid, readily controlled and desirable freezing approach. Furthermore, with reliquefaction or expendable refrigerant systems it is the only practical approach. However, when a refrigeration plant of adequate capacity to maintain the desired coolant temperature is not available for a project, the plant is normally run at full constant load and the coolant temperature varies with time. Under these conditions the effect of spacing on direct costs is markedly reduced and the required freezing time is substantially increased. For reasons of plant costs and availability, many projects have been conducted this way. To optimize costs and reduce time when sufficient plant capacity is not available, it may be possille to use an expendable refrigerant to pre-chill the coolant during the initial freezing period when the peak refrigeration load occurs. The use of the expendable refrigerant can be

discontinued when the refrigeration load has been reduced to a point where the plant can maintain the desired coolant temperature.

Within the framework of available technology all of the thermal considerations mentioned are reasonably well understood and the various time-energy relationships can be calculated with acceptable accuracy. Greater information on the thermal properties of earth and their temperature dependence, together with increased use of digital computers, should enhance the flexibility and accuracy of these calculations.

Structural analyses

19. Structural analyses and design with frozen ground is much the same as for unreinforced concrete. The design depends primarily on ground type, groundwater conditions, ground temperatures, rate of excavation, and duration of loading.

> a. Selection of material properties and methods of obtaining them - For an essentially unstressed barrier the material properties can be rather crudely estimated. For a stressed barrier, a careful laboratory determination must be made of the actual proparties of the frozen soils of the site. On occasion, and especially for smaller projects, data obtained in the past from similar soils may be used with an appropriate factor of safety.

Frozen ground behaves visco-plastically. Its long term strength and stress-strain characteristics are primarily a function of ice content, temperature and duration of applied load. Presently available test procedures may be used with reasonably good confidence to characterize frozen soil, and to a lesser extent fractured rock. The creep test procedures are straight forward, but they require complex temperaturecontrolled equipment which is available in very few laboratocies in the United States. Further, the interpretation of the test results is technically involved and should be done by someone knowledgeable in Trozen ground technology.

b. Structural design - If the mechanical properties of the ground and the maximum probable duration of loading prior to the placement of the permanent ground support is known, a designer can select compatible allowable scresses and ground temperatures. Though design will frequently be dependent on the strength characteristics, it is also necessary to evaluate the displacements which may be expected.

Though structural designs based on complicated plastic creep analysis are possible for some simplified conditions, most designs are presently based on 'ut and try" elastic analysis using parametric values from creep tests, and loading conditions, which are compatible for a given duration of loading. Yormally, two trials at different durations of loading will be sufficient to define the most critical duration. If the delay between initial excavation and the installation of permanent ground support is less than about one shift, the most critical condition will probably occur within two to four hours after excavation. In this short interval, the frozen ground loses a great deal of its initial strength due to primary creep, but has undergone insufficient creep displacement to appreciably reduce the surrounding earth pressures. Design loads for this condition will normally be between at-rest and passive.

If the delay between the initial excavation and the installation of permanent ground support will exceed about one shift, the most critical condition will probably occur at the end of the delay. After this period, the frozen ground has lost most of the strength it will ever lose (at the given temperature) and secondary creep has probably caused some redistribution and reduction of ground pressures. Design loads for this condition will normally be between at-rest and active.

Digital computers can be programmed to include load-history in structural analyses directly; further, they can handle nonlinear material properties and any structural geometry. However, such analyses are relatively costly and would normally be used only for major properties and loadings modified for creep behavior, will probably continue to be the most common technique of analysis for many projects.

Overall design considerations

20. During ground freezing, a number of somewhat unusual factors must be considered. Some of the more important items are discussed below.

21. To control the freezing process and ensure completion of a satisfactory frozen zone, it is necessary to monitor ground temperatures at critical locations. Multi-sensor termistor or copper-constantan thermocouple strings are good for this purpose. However, they may be supplemented by frost penetration monitors. Both types of instrumentation are read periodically to determine ground temperatures and rates of frost penetration. The proper installation and interpretation of this instrumentation is vital. An otherwise successful project may be unnecessarily costly or experience difficulties because of a lack of instrumentation, or proper interpretation of the data obtained from good instrumentation.

22. Ground freezing in areas containing steam, water or sewage lines is best avoided; however, it need not be a matter of particular concern providing:

a. The Contractor knows the utilities are there,

- \underline{b} . That he insulates them sufficiently to maintain the isotherm within the insulation during freezing, and
- \underline{c} . That he monitors their surface temperatures periodically to ensure that the insulation is performing as planned.

13. Iteam lines probably represent the most difficult consideration; primarily because any cooling of saturated steam causes undesirable condensation. For this readon, insulation should be sufficient to protect the utility against condensation during minimum flow periods and minimum adjacent ground temperatures. Water and sewage lines should be protected for the same minimum conditions; however, they may be subjected to much greater temperature changes than steam lines without suffering ill effects. Because of this, less insulation will normally be required. The thickness of insulation must also be sufficient to maintain the freezing isotherm within the utility; otherwise, thawing of the frozen zone may occur. A simple alternative to insulating the utilities, themselves, is to insulate the adjacent freeze pipes where they are mean sensitive utilities.

L4. One of the easiest, most effective, and economical methods of insulation is to excavate all of the potentially affected water, steam and sewage utilities, throughout the area where they will intersect the frozen zone, and spray them in-situ with foam plastic. If an open excavation will be part of the project, many of the utilities will be re-routed during construction and insulation for the remainder can be completed simultaneously.

25. In addition to insulating utilities, it may be necessary to insulate the exposed surfaces of the excavation from the sun to minimize surface slougning and reduce the load on the refrigeration plant. In general, this will not be necessary in shafts and tunnels, but will be a concern with open sortance excutations exposed to the sun. A single layer of reflective plastic is trequently sufficient. Where greater protection is required, foam plastic applied wer wire mesh is probably one of the most effective and least expensive of the available insulating methods. Furthermore, this type of insulation may be acceptable as a water-stop concrete can be single-formed directly against it.

24. Even without insulation, concrete can be poured directly against treaces earth. The principal concern being that the concrete not freeze before it has attained its initial set. In many cases, particularly with structural sections over 20 centimeters thick, the heat of hydration is sufficient to offset freening during the initial critical period. When the ordinary heat of hydration may be inadequate, high early strength cement and/or additives such as calcium chloride should be sufficient to eliminate the problem.

21. When the freeze elements will intersect, the ground surface three dimensional heat flow as well as seasonal ground temperature effects will affect the shape of the frozen zone. In fall or early winter, the surface soils (to b depth of about 3 m) will be appreciably warmer than deeper strata. The combined deasonal and three dimensional effects may result in a conical shape of the trozen zone near the ground surface and difficulty may be experienced in obtaining closure between adjacent freeze elements at shallow depths. Additional shallow refrigeration or surface insulation around the freeze pipes will materially reduce this effect. During the later winter months, thic will not be a problem.

Alternative Construction Freezing Methods

28. The refrigeration plant and refrigerant or coolant distribution system represent a major portion of the direct cost of a freezing project. Surthermore, the direct cost as well as the time required to complete adequate treezing are all dependent to some extent on the type of freezing approach used. There are about five basic alternative freezing approaches available.

Primary plant and pumped loop secondary coolant (brine system)

30. This freezing approach is the one used on most projects today. It was developed by F. H. Poetsch in Germany about 100 years ago. It is simple, straight forward and well understood. For projects requiring only a single installation of all elements and a prolonged period of maintenance freezing after completion of the initial frozen earth barrier, this system is still very attractive.

a. The primary source of refrigeration for this approach is a conventional one or two-stage ammonia or freon refrigeration plant (frequently two stage for temperatures below -25 C). These plants are commonly available in wide range of capacities, and can be rented completely assembled in portable modules for

field use. These plants use either diesel or electric prime movers, they have a high thermal efficiency and their technology is well understood. The condensers may be air or water cooled, the latter requiring a cooling tower. New plant costs would typically be of the order of 1500 dollars per ton of refrigeration capacity (TR) and rental might be about 90 to 180 dollars per TR per month with some minimum total amount.* These plants may be available new for a specific project in two to three months. Though plants could theoretically be built to any capacity, 500 TR probably represents about the largest unit that could be assembled in a convenient and practical mobile configuration. Multiple smaller plants can be, and frequently are, ganged together to obtain high capacity with better overall efficiency as well as a measure of redundancy in case of malfunction.

Distribution system - The distribution system for this freezing b. approach typically consists of an insulated coolant supply manifold, a number of parallel connected freezing elements in the ground with inner supply and outer return lines, and an insulated return manifold. This system is simple but cumbersome and thermally inefficient. Heat transfer occurs between the coolant and the freeze element by convection, no phase change occurs. Because of this, large quantities of coolant must be circulated to cause freezing and an inherent thermal gradient exists in the system during the active treezing periods. Large flows require large volumes of coolants and large fixed plumbing systems. Furthermore, the circulating pumps put energy into the system in direct opposition to the primary refrigeration plant. Though it is possible to reduce the refrigeration capacity or individual freezing elements, it is not possible to increase it without increasing the capacity of the entire system. The flexibility to independently increase the capacity of individual elements is desirable to facilitate control of unique localized conditions such as unexpected water flows.

Coolant - The secondary coolant distribution system limits the attractiveness and usefulness of this basic freezing approach. Despite drawbacks and inefficiencies, energy costs for this system are low, probably of the order of 15,000 to 20,000 effective Kilo-calories per dollar (based on 0.06 dollars per kilo-watt hour).

Though many different types of coolant have been used with this system (diesel oil, propane, glycol-water mixtures, and brines), the most common is calcium chloride brine. The crlcium chloride is added to water in sufficient quantities to depress its freezing point below that attainable by the refrigeration plant during on-line operation. These brine solutions have a high specific heat; however, they are also dense, relatively viscous and corrosive. Other fluids may have more attractive properties under some conditions, but flammable or toxic coolants must be avoided for obvious reasons.

^{*} Based on 1987 costs.

Freezing elements - On many projects, the pipes used for freezс. ing elements represent a substantial cost. For circulating coolant refrigeration systems, ordinary steel pipe is adequate. For lower temperatures (less than about -+0 C) non-ferrous metals or alloys, such as aluminum or nickel steel, are desirable to eliminate problems related to brittle fracture. The pipe wall thickness will normally depend on either internal hydraulic pressure, external confining pressure, or stresses imposed during installation. Plastic pipe should be avoided, except for inner tubing or surface piping, because of its poor thermal properties. All connections between pipes must be tight, and the system should be pressure tested for leaks prior to starting freezing. A subsurface leak in a circulating coolant freezing system, particularly one containing brine, may make it very difficult o obtain an adequate frozen zone. Leaks in expendable refrigerant systems are undesirable, but not critical as the refrigerant will vaporize at low temperature and dissipate.

Primary plant with in-situ evaporator

31. This approach employs a primary refrigeration plant such as that previously described. However, recognizing the inefficiencies inherent in a large distribution system, the secondary coolant is eliminated and a much smaller volume of high pressure refrigerant is circulated directly from the condenser to the freezing elements where evaporation is allowed to occur. The resulting vapor is then returned to the compressor. This approach has merit and might be advantageous for some applications, however, it has not been used in recent years. For many of the commonly used refrigerants the evaporator must operate at less than atmospheric pressure (vacuum). Leaks are difficult to detect and may critically affects its operation. Of the available refrigerants which operate with positive evaporator pressures carbon dioxide has been used. Several projects have been successfully completed using carbon dioxide in this manner; however, a number of difficulties were encountered in the physical control of the system. Most of these difficulties apparently involved transmission of high pressure fluids, low temperature embrittlement of metals, and plugging of orifices due to phase change and entrapped moisture.

32. The application of modern refrigeration technology has resulted in the development of solutions for all of these problems, but they have not yet been field tested.

Reliquefaction plant with in-situ second stage

33. This freezing approach employs the best features of both of the preceding approaches. It uses a primary refrigeration plant thermally coupled to a distribution system containing either the same refrigerant, or one that is thermodynamically compatible.

a. Plant - Though any primary refrigeration plant could theoretically be adapted for this purpose, only a few alternatives would be practical. Some of the most likely combinations of primary plant and secondary refrigerant are:

		Typical Operating Ranges	
			Kilograms
Primary	Secondary	Temperature	per square
<u>Plant</u>	Refrigerant	deg C	centimeter
Nitrogen	Nitrogen	-170 to -190	1.7 - 10.6
Carbon	Carbon		
Dioxide	Dioxide	- 40 to -55	5.6 - 10.6
Ammonia	Carbon		
	Dioxide	- 15 to -40	10.6 - 21.1

The main difference in the various reliquefaction systems is the relative horsepower requirements of the different plants. Furthermore, though carbon dioxide plants are presently available in capacities and costs somewhat comparable to those previously given for ammonia plants, nitrogen plants are not commonly available. These plants are presently in use only within the liquefied gas industry and would have to be specially made for field applications.

Distribution system - The principal advantage of a reliquefacь. tion freezing approach stems from the improved distribution system. Heat transfer in the freezing elements is by boiling phase change. This results in energy capacities per liter of fluid circulated of the order of 50 times greater than those obtained by circulating secondary coolants. Because of this, much smaller volumes of fluid need to be circulated, and small diameter pressure hoses with quick-connect couplings can be used to replace large diameter pipe plumbing. Though somewhat more exotic tubing materials are required to handle the low temperatures, these are available at competitive cost and they are essentially 100 percent salvageable for reuse without modification. The potential savings in assembly and disassembly labor for quick connect hoses, as compared to steel pipe, are obvious.

The temperature range of the reliquefaction system is dependent on the plant, secondary refrigerant, and circulating pump used. However, each freezing element is an independent evaporator connected in parallel, and therefore can be adjusted to operate anywhere within this range. This flexibility enables one or more freezing elements to be operated at lower temperatures than the remainder of the system; thus allowing the operator complete flexibility to increase or decrease the refrigeration capacity of an element to handle unique localized or unexpected conditions.

To date reliquefaction systems have been proven, but they have not been used in the field. It is likely that the reliquefaction refrigeration approach will eventually replace the primary refrigeration and circulating secondary coolant approach for ground freezing construction in the future.

Expendable refrigerants

34. For single projects of short duration (a few hours to a week or two), or for projects where the cost of delay is high, expendable refrigerants are very attractive. No refrigeration plant is required, but the cost of energy is high, probably of the order of 1000 to 2000 K cal per dollar, even when purchased in large quantities. Furthermore, outside of the main urban areas, the refrigerants may not be available in quantities sufficient to conduct the work.

> <u>a</u>. Liquid nitrogen - Uniform boiling of liquid nitrogen throughout a series of freezing elements represents the fastest, thermally most efficient means of ground freezing presently possible. However, it is usually not possible to attain this objective with an open system.

The principal difficulties encountered with the use of expendable refrigerants involve control of the system. The relatively unconfined venting of liquid nitrogen in a series of vertical or horizontal freezing elements frequently results in a waste of refrigerant and a very irregular frozen zone. the irregularity occurs because the heat transfer coefficient varies by orders of magnitude depending on the quality of the liquid/vapor mixture and its velocity. A supply and exhaust manifold with appropriate valves at each end of a series of freezing elements permits reverse flow which tends to even out the irregular freezing characteristics.

b. Carbon dioxide - Sublimating carbon dioxide is thermally less efficient than liquid nitrogen and harder to control. Solid dry ice, even in pelletized form, is bulky and difficult to handle. However, dry ice used with a mixing tank and a circulating secondary coolant is effective.

Liquid carbon dioxide may be employed with a temperature controlled sewo-system to chill a circulating coolant. This type of liquid carbon dioxide control equipment can readily be mounted on a conventional refrigeration plant employing circulating coolant. The system is thermally effective, but problems frequently develop because of the high solubility of liquid carbon dioxide. When using expendable refrigerants it is necessary to provide positive exhaust for the vapor. Neither carbon dioxide nor nitrogen are flammable or toxic. However, they are heavier than air and in very large quantities can cause suffocation; therefore, in addition to adequate ventilation, emergency oxygen should be available for underground or confined work.

Critical Construction Cost Factors

35. The following remarks apply primarily to the use of a circulating coolant freezing system. The use of reliquefaction equipment or expendable reirigerants is too unique at this time to justify generalized comments on costing.

Mebilization

36. The location of the project relative to the location of the freezing plants, drill rigs, materials and available personnel will have an important influence on the cost of the work. Hauling the equipment, all necessary loading and unloading, labor, plus materials and initial positioning of the equipment is considered part of mobilization. Mobilization is normally priced as a lump sum.

- <u>a</u>. Site power and water facilities If adequate electric power is not available at the site, then mobilization will include its installation or provision of comparable generating capacity. The same is true of water service. Frequently, these services will be needed for subsequent construction anyway, and all, or a portion of, the cost of providing them can be carried against the total project, rather than just the construction freezing aspect of it.
- b. Site accessibility Where the site is difficult or inaccessible to trucks, mobilization may include the building or up-grading of roads, provisions of a temporary working camp, etc. Again, under these circumstances, such costs would be carried against the total project, not just the construction freezing portion of it.
- c. Timely notice Timely notice prior to mobilization is important to facilitate scheduling of equipment, personnel, and the development of detailed economical designs. Late, or emergency, notice will cost money because of the need of quickly conceived probably over-conservative designs.

Drilling and casing of freeze holes

37. The cost of drilling and casing freeze holes mainly depends on the site surface conditions, the soil and rock conditions, the required depth of the holes, and the acceptable deviation of the holes. The cost of drilling is

normally based on the total linear footage of subsurface retrigeration pipe to be installed. It is usually included in the lump sum price for installation and prefreezing of the frozen barrier, but may on occasion be included separately as a linear footage unit price.

- a. Site surface conditions The ideal situation is an open level surface with no above or below ground obstacles. Side slopes, uneven ground, existing utilities which have to be taken care of, and limitation of working space due to traffic requirements will reduce the drilling production, sometimes considerably, thus increase the cost.
- b. Ground conditions Homogeneous soil particularly silts and sands - allow quick inexpensive drilling. Intermediate layers of harder material will, or course, reduce production but not result in excessive extra cost. However, large cobbles, boulders, or other obstructions will really slow down the drilling. The principal reason is that their presence requires the use of little or no down pressure on the drill in order to avoid unacceptable deviation of the holes. It may also be necessary to use modified procedures or special equipment to complete the holes. Drilling costs under these conditions would routinely be two to three times higher than those in soft uniform soils. If cased rotary diamond drilling is required the price would be higher yet.
- <u>c</u>. Depth of holes The average drilling cost per linear foot generally decreases with increasing depth because the cost for mobilization, rig moving time and setting up for each hole is a decreased percentage of the total cost. This holds true as long as the maximum depth does not require heavier and therefore more expensive drilling equipment in the first place.
- d. Hole deviation Tough specification for the alignment of holes costs money! On the other hand, accuracy of hole alignment is of great importance for a successful freezing operation. With close hole spacing, drilling costs go up and larger deviations are tolerable. At larger spacing drilling costs go down, but deviation becomes critical, as the frozen barrier might not close or might be structurally unsatisfactory in the affected area. Because of this, it is necessary for each project to determine the optimum relation between the minimum number of pipes (maximum spacing) and the maximum probable deviations of the pipes which will still allow freezing to be completed on time at the minimum cost, and with the required safety.

Installation of refrigeration plant and coolant distribution system

38. The important factors which influence the cost of on-site plant installation for a specific freezing job are the site surface conditions, the magnitude and duration of the project, the total volume of ground to be frozen and its properties, and the overall time schedule for the job. The cost of the plant installation for a given site and allowable prefreezing period are normally related to the total linear footage of subsurface freeze pipe. The cost of the surface distribution system is normally related to the surface length (perimeter) of the frozen barrier. Both costs are usually included in the lump sum price for installation and prefreezing of the frozen barrier.

- <u>a</u>. Site surface conditions The nature of the site surface conditions and the proximity of adjacent buildings, streets, utilities, etc., has more or less the same overall effect on onsite plant installation as on drilling. Also, corollary to this are site restrictions on noise, air or water pollution or vibration. Under very confined conditions the continuously running plants may require sound deadening enclosures. As the plants are normally electric powered, this is rarely a concern.
- b. Magnitude and duration of project The magnitude and duration of a freezing job influences the on-site installation cost of the surface piping (distribution) system for supply and of the brine between the plant and individual freeze elements. Larger projects of long duration will require essentially permanent well-insulated and protected surface piping. In contrast, a small job of short duration may be conducted with uninsulated quick connect surface piping.
- c. Volume of frozen zone and available time The total volume and the water content of the ground to be frozen are important factors which determine the plant capacity to be installed for a specific job. Equally important is the overall time schedule for the freezing operation. The larger the volume of ground to be frozen within a given period of time - the more refrigeration capacity has to be installed. More capacity means higher installation cost.

In general, the refrigeration load at the start of the prefreezing period will be two or three times as high as the load during the period of maintenance freezing. Because of this, it may frequently be possible to stage the work so as to limit the maximum load to that of the installed plant capacity at any one time. This applies in particular to sequential projects such as multiple shafts or tunnels which are constructed in a series of sections.

Initial prefreezing period

39. The cost of initial freezing - the so-called prefreezing time necessary to complete the frozen barrier to the required thickness depends on the ground conditions: the number and capacity of plants operating during this period, the number and capability of personnel on site, and the cost of energy. The cost of prefreezing for a given site and allowable prefreezing period is normally related to the total linear motage of subsurface freeze pipe installed. The price for prefreezing is usually included in the lump sum price for installation and prefreezing of the frozen barrier.

40. The factor which influences the prefreezing cost the most is usually the water content of the ground. The more water which as to be frozen the more energy which must be removed and the longer the prefreezing period required for any given plant capacity. The only alternative other than using expendable refrigerants being to increase the capacity to meet a specified time. In any case, increasing water content means increasing cost.

-i. Basically freezing is a labor saving construction method. Nevertheless, the cost of personnel, primarily operators for the refrigeration plants, is an item which has to be carefully evaluated when estimating a freezing job. As far as technical necessity is concerned, no operator is required. This is a result of the fact that modern refrigeration equipment is completely automated and requires little attention. However, in some areas the unions require the contractor to employ personnel to monitor plant operation which, or course, results in higher costs - sometimes much higher. As a rule, the union jurisdiction for freezing in a given location will be the same as those required for installation and operation of an around-the-clock dewatering system.

42. Once drilling and installation of the plant is complete, the only personnel needed on a freezing job are the plant operation(s) and periodic supervisory and maintenance staff.

43. Since freezing requires energy, the local price for obtaining or generating electricity influences the overall cost of a freezing operation considerably.

Long term maintenance freezing period

Same and Breezeward

44. Maintenance freezing commences as soon as the frozen barrier is completed sufficiently to allow subsequent construction, such as excavation, to start. The cost of maintenance freezing is affected by the location and type of excavation, and more importantly by the required duration of the maintedance period. The price for maintenance freezing is normally based on a unit price per week.

> a. Location and type of excavation - A large open excavation in a hot, dry climate may cause an increase in refrigeration load on the plants after excavation and the face of the excavation must be insulated to minimize this effect. In contrast, the refrigcration load after excavation of a shaft or tunnel, especially

in a cool moist climate, may be lower than before excavation and insulation would not be required. As still air is an insulator relative to the earth itself, an open surface excavation in any climate does not represent an impossible refrigeration load. In fact, in cool climates a single sheet of lightcolored plastic hung over the face of such an excavation is frequently all that is required to minimize the long term maintenance refrigeration costs.

Duration of maintenance period - The cost of maintaining a b. freeze wall is directly related to time. Extension of maintenance time will increase maintenance cost proportionally. Therefore, it is of the greatest importance to plan the entire project in a way that minimizes maintenance time. Doing so, can save a great deal of money. Initial installation of a frozen barrier is frequently less expensive than other alternatives, but if the required maintenance period is long, the combined cost of installation and maintenance becomes excessive. Even on projects where freezing is potentially much less expensive, if the subsequent construction operations which must be completed prior to stopping refrigeration are not planned and scheduled together efficiently, no savings may be realized. Changing an excavation procedure, steel erection, concrete or backfill schedule to "get out of the ground" faster may cost some money, but when combined with the reduction in maintenance freezing time, the net result may be a handsome savings.

45. Ground freezing is a proven construction technology which has been in use successfully on a wide variety of projects, for over a century. As with any geotechnical construction technique, its suitability and costeffectiveness for a project will be directly related to the specific site and job conditions which we have discussed in this Seminar. Properly designed and constructed trozen earth structures are a powerful tool for the foundation construction industry. BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION ON SPEAKERS AND AUTHORS

1. <u>Victorio D. Altan</u> - Mr. Altan is the Technical Director of Suelotechnica, LIDA, Buenos Aires, Argentina. He is a graduate of buenos Aires "tiversity, Argentina. He has worked on numerous jet grouting projects including the construction of a deep cutoff wall at the Paleocauce-Piedra del Squia Dum in Argentina.

L. <u>James M. Duncan</u> - Professor Buncan is the W. Thomas Rice Professor of Civil Engineering at Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in blacksburg, Virginia. He is a graduate of the Georgia Institute of Technology and the University of California, Berkeley. He is a member of the National Academy of Engineering and numerous professional organizations. He received the Collingwood Prize, Walter L. Huber Research Prize, and Thomas A. Mindlebrooks Award from the American Society of Civil Engineers. He has served as a consultant for many organizations including the US Army Corps of Englacers, Bureau of Reclamation, United Nations, and World Bank. He has sufficient more than 140 professional papers and research reports on geotechnial engineering subjects.

3. <u>Giorgio Guatteri</u> - Dr. Guatteri is the President of Novatecna cusolidacees E Construcees LTDA, Sao Paulo, Brazil. He is a graduate of the University of Modena, Italy. He is the founder of Novatecna, which specializes in soil improvement by means of jet grouting. Over 150,000 metres of jet grouted column: have been installed in Brazil, Argentina, and the United States.

". <u>Reuben H. Karol</u> - Professor Karol is a Professor of Civil Engineering at Rutgers University in New Brunswick, New Jersey. He is a graduate of Rutgers University and is a member of numerous professional organizations. He has served as a consultant on grouting problems for many organizations including the US Army Corps of Engineers, Bureau of Reclamation, Environmental Protection Agency, Harza Engineering Company, and Dames and Moore. He has authored more than 50 professional papers and research reports and 4 books on geotechnical engineering subjects including the textbook on Chemical Grouting.

5. <u>Joseph L. Kauschinger</u> - Professor Kauschinger is Assistant Professor of Givil Engineering at Tufts University. He is a graduate of Manhattan College, Eutgers University, and the University of Texas. He has worked for 1008 Corporation of America, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, and Meuser Rutledge Consulting Engineers. He is a member of numerous professional organizations and has authored more than 10 professional papers and research reports on geotechnical engineering.

5. <u>Paolo Mosiici</u> - Mr. Mosiici is the Technical Director of Novatecna Consolidacees E Construcees LTDA, Sao Paulo, Brazil. He is a graduate of the University of Pisa, Italy. He has participated in the installation of over 150,000 meters of jet grouted columns in Brazil, Argentina, and the United States.

7. William R. Morrison - Mr. Morrison has worked for over 25 years with the Bureau of Reclamation specializing in seepage and erosion control materials. He is a graduate of the University of Colorado. He is a member of numerous professional organizations and has authored more than 15 professional papers and research reports on seepage and erosion control materials.

8. <u>Jonathan J. Parkinson</u> - Mr. Parkinson is Vice-President of Soletanche and Rodio, Inc., in Paris, France. He is a graduate of Cambridge University and Imperial College. He has worked for Binnie and Partners in London and Soletanche and Rodio, Inc. (formerly Recosol), in the United States and France.

9. <u>Walter C. Sherman, Jr.</u> - Mr. Sherman is Adjunct Professor of Civil Engineering at Tulane University in New Orleans, Louisiana. He is a graduate of Purdue University, Harvard University, and Imperial College. He was employed with the US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station for 33 years where he worked on projects involving earth dams, hydraulic structures, stability of nuclear excavated slopes, dredged material disposal, expansive clays, and pile foundations. He has served as a consultant for many organizations and has authored more than 40 professional papers and research reports on geotechnical engineering subjects.

10. John A. Shuster - Mr. Shuster is the Fresident of Geocentric Engineering in Lorton, Virginia. He is a graduate of the University of Alaska and Stanford University. He has over 20 years of experience in hervy construction and foundation engineering of which 13 years is in ground freezing. He has published numerous papers on construction ground freezing and engineering with frozen earth.

11. <u>George J. Tamaro</u> - Mr. Tamaro is a partner in Mueser Rutledge Consulting Engineers in New York. He is a graduate of Manhattan College, Lehigh University, and Columbia University. He has worked in the engineering design
and construction field for a variety of agencies and international firms including Pier Luigi Nervi Architects, Rome, Italy; The Port Authority of New York and New Jersey; ICOS Corporation of America and ICOS Orient; and ICANDA Corporation. He holds United States and Canadian patents in slurry wall construction methods and equipment.

